

Brownfields Multi-Purpose Pilot Grant Frequently Asked Questions

EPA prepared these frequently asked questions (FAQs) to assist potential applicants for the Multi-Purpose Pilot Grant. Please review the Multi-Purpose Pilot Grant Proposal Guidelines published in December 2011 when preparing your proposal. If there is a conflict between the answer to a question and the statute, regulation, or the Guidelines, the statute, regulation or the Guidelines take precedence.

A. DEFINITIONS

1. What is a Brownfields Site?
2. What is the Brownfields multi-purpose pilot grant and how does it differ from other grants the Brownfields Program offers?
3. How does EPA interpret “general purpose unit of local government” for the purposes of eligibility for brownfields grants?
4. What types of contamination are eligible for brownfields hazardous substances funding?
5. What is equitable development and its role in redeveloping brownfields?

B. COMMUNITY NOTIFICATION

6. Does EPA require that all applicants notify the community about their intent to submit a brownfields multi-purpose pilot grant application?
7. How do multi-purpose pilot grant applicants comply with the Community Notification criterion?
8. Are the costs of Community Notification allowable under cleanup grants?

C. COMMUNITY BASED ORGANIZATIONS AND PARTNERSHIPS

9. What are examples of organizations that would meet the definition of "community-based organization" for the purposes of the brownfields grant guidelines?
10. What types of “roles” might community-based organizations play with regard to brownfields projects?
11. How can an applicant successfully respond to the ranking criteria on engaging with local environmental job training programs?
12. How do I demonstrate leveraging commitments to the proposed project?
13. If I name a consulting, law or engineering firm as a “partner” in the application is it proper to award that firm a sole source contract on that basis?

D. COMMUNITY NEED

14. For the purposes of the Community Need criterion for brownfields grants, what are examples of demographic information I could provide about my community? Where do I find demographic information about my community?

E. APPLICANT ELIGIBILITY

15. Who is eligible to apply for the multi-purpose pilot grants?

F. TRIBAL

16. Are tribes considered "potentially responsible parties" (PRPs) and therefore prohibited from using Brownfields grant funds to pay for response costs at a site for which the recipient is potentially liable under CERCLA §107?
17. Are Alaskan Native Villages eligible for grant funding?
18. Do tribes "own" tribal trust lands for purposes of brownfields multi-purpose grants?
19. Are properties on lands held in trust by the Federal government for Indian tribes eligible for brownfields grant funding?

G. ADMINISTRATIVE COST PROHIBITION

20. What grant activities cannot be paid for with Brownfields multi-purpose pilot grant funds?
21. What is the Administrative Cost Prohibition?
22. When does the Administrative Cost Prohibition **not** apply?
23. If I am a successful applicant, will EPA reimburse me for the costs of paying a consultant to prepare my grant application?
24. If I am a successful applicant and I contract with an environmental services contractor to perform services within the scope of the grant does the prohibition on administrative costs prevent the grantee from using the grant money to reimburse the indirect costs of the contractor?

H. USE OF GRANT FUNDS

25. What is the amount of funding available through the multi-purpose pilot grants?
26. If my application is successful, will EPA reimburse me for eligible programmatic costs I incurred prior to the award?
27. If cleanup funds have been expended at a site under a multi-purpose pilot grant, can additional brownfields funding be provided for additional cleanup work at the same site?
28. How does EPA enforce the requirement for cost sharing for the cleanup portion of the multi-purpose pilot grants?
29. Can I use brownfields grant funds to purchase environmental insurance?
30. What kind of environmental insurance can I purchase with a brownfields grant?
31. As a local government applicant, what program activities can be funded under the 10% provision of the brownfields amendments?
32. Can the Department of Housing and Urban Development's (HUD) Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) funds be used as a cost share on the cleanup portion of the brownfields multi-purpose pilot grant?

I. PROPERTY-SPECIFIC FUNDING DETERMINATIONS

33. How do I know if a site identified in the proposal requires a Property-Specific Funding Determination?
34. Who makes the Property-Specific Funding Determination of whether a site is eligible for brownfields funding?
35. What characteristics of a site does EPA look for in order to make a Property-Specific Funding Determination for brownfields funding eligibility?
36. Why do some sites require Property-Specific Funding Determinations to be eligible for funding?

37. What sites are not eligible for Property-Specific Funding Determinations?
38. What information must an applicant include if a site in the proposal requires a Property-Specific Funding Determination?

J. SITE ELIGIBILITY

39. What happens if I accidentally include an ineligible site in my proposal or I did not realize I needed a property-specific funding determination?
40. What happens if my site is only partially eligible?
41. Are RCRA sites eligible for brownfields grant funding?
42. Are former military installations that have been closed and subsequently turned over to local

K. GENERAL GUIDELINES QUESTIONS

43. How do I submit my multi-purpose grant application and what is the deadline for submission?
44. Can I submit my proposal electronically?
45. What is the multi-purpose pilot grant application process?
46. How do I get help in understanding and responding to the grant proposal guidelines?
47. Do applicants for brownfields multi-purpose pilot grants need to inform their State regarding the submission of a grant proposal to EPA?
48. A consultant has approached us and offered to prepare our application for a Brownfields grant. What actions should we take?

L. ASSESSMENT

49. If I am requesting a site-specific assessment grant waiver for \$350,000 what must I include in my proposal to the EPA? What criteria does EPA use to evaluate the assessment waiver requests?
50. What sort of information does EPA need to determine if a proposed site is eligible for multi-purpose pilot funding?
51. Does assessment include production of reports including Phase I, Phase II, and the trenching, boring and testing?
52. Does redevelopment planning include obtaining a brownfields covenant not to sue, a feasibility study to use for community involvement, and the legal and project manager costs of rezoning if needed?
53. Do all applicants have to do a Phase I or AAI?

M. ALL APPROPRIATE INQUIRIES

54. What is “All Appropriate Inquiries” and who conducts “All Appropriate Inquiries” under the Brownfields Law?
55. What are the Federal standards for conducting “All Appropriate Inquiries?”
56. What are the statutory criteria for conducting “All Appropriate Inquiries?”
57. How does an applicant demonstrate they have conducted “All Appropriate Inquiries”?

N. PETROLEUM BROWNFIELDS

58. Can a petroleum brownfields multi-purpose pilot grant be used for the incidental assessment

and/or cleanup of hazardous substances?

59. What statutory determinations must my state (or EPA) make to assure that petroleum-contaminated sites (or portions of properties contaminated with petroleum) are eligible for brownfields funding?
60. What information must I provide to a state or EPA so that my petroleum contaminated site is eligible for grant funding?
61. What happens if I do not receive a determination letter back from my state prior to the proposal deadline?
62. If a site is contaminated with petroleum, diesel, and lead from gasoline, can a petroleum grant address all three contaminants?
63. Can tanks be pulled under the assessment portion of a petroleum brownfields multi-purpose pilot grant?
64. What happens if I want to clean up my petroleum brownfields site to a higher cleanup standard than required under state law or regulation, but a responsible party exists that is required to clean up the site to meet the standard in the state law or regulation?
65. Can a state or tribe use LUST Trust Fund money from a cooperative agreement to pay for its preparation of its own brownfields petroleum proposal?
66. Can a state or tribe use LUST Trust Fund money as a direct cost under its RCRA §9003(h) grant to pay for providing advice, technical assistance, or (in the case of states) making determinations related to another eligible entity or nonprofit organization's brownfields application?

O. BROWNFIELDS AND PUBLIC HEALTH

67. Our community is concerned about health problems that may be related to brownfield sites. How do we apply for funds to conduct health monitoring at our brownfield sites?
68. Can brownfields grant funds be used to assess or clean up sites contaminated with PCBs?
69. What is a risk and what is a stressor?
70. What is a sensitive population?

P. BENEFITS OF BROWNFIELDS PROJECTS

71. What are some of the benefits associated with assessing and cleaning up a brownfield site?
72. What are examples of activities and EPA Initiatives that support the sustainable reuse of brownfield sites?

Brownfield Multi-Purpose Pilot Grant Guidelines Frequently Asked Questions (FAQs)

A. DEFINITIONS

Q1. What is a Brownfields Site?

A1. For the purposes of EPA's Brownfields grant program, a "Brownfields Site" is: "...real property, the expansion, redevelopment, or reuse of which may be complicated by the presence or potential presence of a hazardous substance, pollutant, or contaminant." In order to be eligible for Brownfields grant funding, the site(s) covered by your application must meet the definition of a Brownfield site.

Brownfield sites also include, but are not limited to, three specific types of properties eligible for funding:

- sites contaminated by petroleum or a petroleum product;*
- sites contaminated by controlled substances; and,*
- mine-scarred lands.*

Some sites are excluded from the definition of a Brownfield site, though many of these may be eligible if EPA makes a "property- specific funding determination" that allows grant funds to be used at that site. This process is explained in Appendix 1, section 1.5 of the Brownfields grant proposal guidelines.

For a more detailed discussion of Brownfields sites eligible for funding, please refer to the Appendices of the Proposal Guidelines for Brownfields Assessment, Revolving Loan Fund and Cleanup Grants on the EPA website at: www.epa.gov/brownfields.

Q2. What is the Brownfields multi-purpose pilot grant and how does it differ from other grants the Brownfields Program offers?

A2. The Brownfields multi-purpose pilot grant provides funding for the assessment and cleanup of one site owned by the applicant. Traditionally, the EPA has provided this funding in two separate agreements. The grant gives a recipient flexibility in conducting assessment and cleanup activities, and aims to eliminate the delay that may occur when moving from assessment to cleanup if additional funding has not been secured.

Because this is a pilot, EPA expects to award only ten multi-purpose grants in FY12 (one per region). Each grant would not exceed \$550,000 (\$350,000 for assessment with a waiver and \$200,000 for cleanup per statutory limitations). The performance period remains at three years, as the expectation is projects will be ready to move immediately from assessment to cleanup.

Multi-purpose grants also have a somewhat different cost share requirement than other EPA grants. By statute, recipients must provide a cost share of 20% of the amount of the cleanup portion of the grant unless EPA approves a waiver of this requirement. Please see question 28 for

details.

In their proposals, applicants must provide a schedule with detailed milestones to demonstrate their project readiness, as well as explain why they believe contamination exists commensurate with the amount of assessment funds they are requesting.

Q3. How does EPA interpret “general purpose unit of local government” for the purposes of eligibility for brownfields grants?

A3. The Agency follows the definition of “Local Government” under 40 CFR 31.3 which provides the following: Local government means a county, municipality, city, town, township, local public authority (including any public and Indian housing agency under the United States Housing Act of 1937) school district, special district, intrastate district, council of governments (whether or not incorporated as a nonprofit corporation under State law), any other regional or interstate government entity, or any agency or instrumentality of a local government.

Q4. What types of contamination are eligible for brownfields hazardous substances funding?

A4. Sites eligible for hazardous substance funding are those sites with presence or potential presence of hazardous substances, pollutants or contaminants, sites contaminated with controlled substances and/or mine-scarred lands. The following examples include, but are not limited to, the types of contaminants that can be addressed using brownfields hazardous substances funding:

- *heavy metals*
- *polynucleararomatic hydrocarbons (PAHs)*
- *volatile organic compounds (VOCs)*
- *brine*
- *asbestos*
- *a site contaminated with controlled substances (e.g. a methamphetamine laboratory)*

Q5. What is equitable development and what is its role in redeveloping brownfields?

A5. The principle of equitable development is coordinating housing, transportation, and environmental policy to support equitable, healthy, and prosperous communities. For example, families can reduce their combined housing and transportation costs by locating homes close to jobs, services, and high-quality public transportation; encourage healthy walking and bicycling by bringing destinations closer together and investing in sidewalks and bicycle infrastructure; and bring new opportunities to existing communities like urban centers and rural villages through reinvestment. Removing environmental uncertainty and contamination from brownfields can promote equitable development by creating the opportunity to reuse existing infrastructure, incentivizing infill development, creating urban green space, etc.

Examples of equitable development include, but are not limited to, redevelopment of brownfields, affordable housing options, viable transportation options, conducting community planning to prioritize investments, preserve neighborhood character, promote urban greening, etc.

B. COMMUNITY NOTIFICATION

Q6. Does EPA require that all applicants notify the community about their intent to submit a brownfields multi-purpose pilot grant proposal?

A6. Yes. Responses to the threshold Community Notification criterion are required only for all multi-purpose pilot proposals. This criterion is pass/fail. If the applicant fails to conduct the appropriate notification the proposal will not be evaluated by national panels for selection.

Q7. How do multi-purpose pilot grant applicants comply with the Community Notification criterion?

A7. The applicant is responsible for providing community notification and opportunity for public comment about the applicant's plans for cleaning up the site that is the subject of its grant proposal. Community notification may be conducted by or on behalf of the applicant. The applicant's proposal must demonstrate how the applicant's personnel were involved in the community notification (i.e., attended a public meeting, responded to comments, etc.), even if another party acts on behalf of the applicant.

The applicant must describe how the community was notified. The requirements for community notification are outlined in the guidelines. The applicant must publish an ad in the local newspaper or an equivalent means that the applicant uses to communicate to the community targeted by the proposal and conduct a public meeting regarding its grant proposal. The notice must be made at least two weeks prior to the proposal submission date. The notice must state the time and place of a public meeting and must state where a draft of the grant proposal will be available for comment. Any comments received and responses to those comments must be attached as part of each cleanup grant proposal.

Many communities use local newspapers to provide information to their residents. Some communities may have other customary means of communicating with their residents. Some examples of "equivalent" notice to an ad in the local newspaper include:

- website screen shot;*
- mass mailer;*
- placing an ad on a community bulletin board;*
- notifying affected residents door-to-door.*

Applicants may describe other methods that were used to notify the community.

Even if an applicant has notified the community regarding a proposal submitted under a previous EPA Brownfields grant competition, the applicant must notify the community of its FY12 cleanup grant proposal, hold a meeting and respond to public comments.

Q8. Are the costs of community notification allowable under cleanup grants?

A8. No. Costs for community notification are pre-award costs that will be incurred prior to selection under the competition and more than 90 days prior to award. Pre-award costs incurred more than 90 days prior to award require EPA approval to be allowable. Under 2 CFR Parts 225 and Parts 230, pre-award costs must be incurred directly pursuant to negotiation and in

anticipation of an award. Costs incurred prior to a competitive selection in the competition do not meet this standard. In addition, EPA will not, as a matter of policy, approve pre-award costs for community notification due to the limited amount of funding (\$200,000) the Agency can provide for actual site cleanup work. Also, because the Agency cannot reimburse unsuccessful applicants for community notification costs, as a matter of fairness, it will not reimburse successful applicants for such costs.

C. COMMUNITY BASED ORGANIZATIONS & PARTNERSHIPS

Q9: What are examples of organizations that meet the definition of "community-based organization" for the purposes of the brownfields multi-purpose pilot grant guidelines?

A9: The EPA Brownfields Grant Proposal Guidelines require applicants to provide letters of commitment from community-based organizations involved in the applicant's project. Examples of community-based organizations include (but are not limited to): non-governmental civic and non-profit organizations such as service clubs, veterans organizations, local universities and community colleges or other education institutions, fraternal orders, youth organizations, watershed protection groups, and faith-based organizations.

Examples of organizations that do not qualify as community based organizations include the mayor's office or other elected officials or for-profit engineering, law or consulting firms that will compete for contracts to provide the applicant with professional services to carry out its grant.

Please keep in mind that EPA is most interested in the role each organization will play with regard to the proposed project and will not favorably evaluate proposals based on the sheer number of letters submitted. Also, please note that any financial transactions between the applicant and its supporting community based organizations must comply with EPA regulations governing procurement contracts and subgrants.

Q10: What types of "roles" might community-based organizations play with regard to brownfields projects?

A10: Community-based organizations can play a number of valuable supporting roles with regard to brownfields projects including (but not limited to):

- *financial (e.g. hosting fundraising events),*
- *educational (e.g. the creation, reproduction or distribution of project information to the community),*
- *direct on-the-ground assistance (e.g. assisting in the identification of sites for a community-wide assessment),*
- *in-kind contributions of facilities, equipment or materials (e.g. hosting public meetings).*

Please note that any financial transactions between the applicant and its supporting community based organizations must comply with EPA regulations governing procurement contracts and subgrants. For example, fundraising costs are not allowable costs under EPA Brownfields grants.

Q11. How can an applicant successfully respond to the ranking criteria on engaging with local environmental job training programs?

A11. One way applicants can show they are engaged with a local environmental training program is to connect with an organization that received an Environment Workforce and Job Training Grant from EPA.

Even where no environmental job training programs currently exist, applicants have the ability to foster local hiring and stimulate their local economies working through their local Workforce Investment Board (WIB) or One-Stop Center to identify ways to hire locally, especially where a local EPA funded Job Training Program does not exist. These organizations are dispersed throughout every state and metropolitan area in the United States. By promoting local hiring, assessment and cleanup grantees are helping to ensure the economic benefits derived from brownfields revitalization benefit local residents - those who the program was intended to help.

It should also be noted that the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences (NIEHS) also has established job training grant programs throughout the country where local residents have gained the certifications and skills to perform assessment and cleanup work. These programs should also be investigated to see if one exists in the targeted community.

Additionally, EPA regulations in 40 CFR Part 34 require that Agency grantees take affirmative steps to provide disadvantaged business enterprises with opportunities to compete for contracts funded with EPA financial assistance.

A12. How do I demonstrate leveraging commitments to the proposed project?

A12. An applicant can show leveraging commitments by providing a copy of the notifying letter that the organization received funding, attach the signatory letter or cover sheet of grant funding. Additionally, an applicant can provide a letter from the entity identifying committed leveraged funds to the project.

Q13. If I name a consulting, law or engineering firm as a “partner” in the application is it proper to award that firm a sole source contract on that basis?

A13. No. All contracts for professional services must be awarded competitively to the maximum extent practicable and in compliance with requirements to consider small and disadvantaged businesses and cost or price analyses. The market for consulting, legal and engineering services is robust and it is unlikely that competition is impractical. Please refer to Section V. of the Proposal Guidelines for detailed guidance.

D. COMMUNITY NEED

Q14. For the purposes of the community need criterion for brownfields grants, what are examples of demographic information I could provide about my community? Where do I find demographic information about my community?

A14. Below are weblinks that can serve as authoritative sources of health, environmental and demographic information that may be useful to consider in preparing your grant proposal.

Applicants should select the type of demographic information to support their proposals based on their assessment of what information will make the best case that their community needs brownfields funding. The below are examples only. Applicants may choose to provide different or additional information. The applicant is responsible for assessing the importance of types of demographic information that will best describe the specific challenges of the community being served.

Health/ Welfare/Environment:

- Asthma rates among children
- The incidence of illness amongst the population in contact with the site
- Cancer, diabetes, obesity rates in the community
- Health care access
- Teen pregnancy rate
- Number of vulnerable inhabitants (women of child-bearing age, children, the elderly)
- Information showing that the targeted community is disproportionately impacted by the environmental issues of the site (e.g. sizes and numbers of brownfields sites, suspected or known level of contamination, past uses of the site etc.)
- Crime rate
- Education levels and other education statistics (e.g. graduation rate, drop out rate)

Tools for locating this information for your community. While not exhaustive, many authoritative public health information sources from the Department of Health and Human Services Agencies, US EPA, US Census and other sources are listed below.

Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA)

Provides state profiles for medical professional shortage areas and grants for health care

<http://datawarehouse.hrsa.gov/>

Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR)

ATSDR has toxicity profiles, health consultations and education tools at: <http://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/>

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS)

CDC has a National Vital Statistics System (NVSS) that includes national birth and death statistics.

<http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nvss.htm>

A FastStats section provides summary statistics and links to State and territorial data sources on:

Asthma, (<http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/fastats/asthma.htm>)

Diabetes, <http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/fastats/diabetes.htm>

Heart disease, <http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/fastats/heart.htm>

National Institutes of Health (NIH), National Cancer Institute (NCI)

NCI has a webpage that provides State specific cancer statistics,

<http://statecancerprofiles.cancer.gov/>

Cancer trends and maps can also be found at that site.

NIH, National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases

NIDDK compiles and presents national diabetes statistics on the following website:

<http://diabetes.niddk.nih.gov/populations/index.htm>

Office of Minority Health, Department of Health and Human Services

General health and racial, and health disparities data can be found at:

<http://minorityhealth.hhs.gov/>

*Indian Health Service, Health Promotion Disease Prevention, <http://www.ihs.gov/hpdp/index.cfm>
US Census Bureau, US Department of Commerce*

American Community Survey, <http://www.census.gov/acs/www/>

Maps county level data based on the 2000 Census and 2005-2009 American Community Survey estimates.

Bureau of Labor Statistics, US Department of Labor, <http://www.bls.gov/>

Provides national, state and local unemployment and employment data.

<http://www.bls.gov/bls/unemployment.htm>

US Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD),

Grants for community lead hazard abatement, training and to support creating healthy homes.

<http://www.hud.gov/offices/lead/> and other community programs

http://www.hud.gov/offices/cpd/about/cpd_programs.cfm

US Environmental Protection Agency

Air Quality Index, <http://www.airnow.gov/>

America's Children and the Environment, <http://www.epa.gov/economics/children/index.html>

Cleanups in My Community, <http://iaspub.epa.gov/Cleanups/>

Environmental Indicators Gateway, <http://www.epa.gov/igateway/>

Fish/ Shellfish Advisories,

<http://water.epa.gov/scitech/swguidance/fishshellfish/fishadvisories/states.cfm>

Radon Zone Map, <http://www.epa.gov/radon/zonemap.html>

Toxic Release Inventory, <http://www.epa.gov/tri/>

State and Territorial Environmental Agency links, <http://www.epa.gov/epahome/state.htm>

Water, Where You Live map. <http://water.epa.gov/type/location/states/>

Socio-Economic:

- *Low property values*
- *Low tax base for the community*
- *Percentage of the community unemployed/underemployed*
- *Percentage of the community below the poverty line*
- *Factors that make leveraging funds for addressing the site difficult*
- *Percentage of community on welfare*

Tools for locating this information for your community:

- *Fedstats.gov*
 - *This website provides links to all relevant agencies based on your search criteria, such as income, health, labor, education, and crime levels and allows you to search by state as well.*
- *Census.gov*
 - *This website is the home page of the U.S. Census Bureau and provides statistics on economics, employment, health, housing, employment, and other categories. You can search by state, and find detailed reports on each state.*
- *Factfinder.census.gov*
 - *This webpage provides information on a more specific area than Census.gov. You can search by state, but also by zip code to find statistics on your specific community.*

E. APPLICANT ELIGIBILITY

Q15. Who is eligible to apply for the multi-purpose pilot grants?

A15. *The Brownfields law defines entities eligible to receive grants, based on the type of grant requested:*

- *The following entities are eligible to apply for the multi-purpose pilot grant: state, local, and tribal governments, with the exception of Indian tribes in Alaska, as well as a range of government entities, including a general purpose unit of local government or land clearance authority or other quasi-governmental entity operating under the control, supervision, or as an agent of a local government, a governmental entity or redevelopment agency created or sanctioned by a State, or a regional council of governments, are eligible. An Alaska Native Regional Corporation and an Alaska Native Village Corporation, as those terms are defined in the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act, and the Metlakatla Indian community is eligible.*
- *All eligible entities must have sole ownership of the site **at the time of proposal submission.***
- *Non-profits are not eligible to apply for the multi-purpose pilot.*
- *For-profit organizations are not eligible for Brownfields grant funding from EPA.*

Please note: *To be eligible to receive a Multi-purpose grant you must be an existing brownfields grant recipient or a past brownfields grantee who received a brownfields grant under the FY2003 competition or later.*

F. TRIBAL

Q16. Are tribes considered "potentially responsible parties" (PRPs) and therefore prohibited from using Brownfields grant funds to pay for response costs at a site for which the recipient is potentially liable under CERCLA §107?

A16. *Generally, EPA has not considered tribes to be liable as PRPs under CERCLA and, therefore, they are not subject to the statutory prohibition (however, the other prohibitions on uses of Brownfields funds may still apply). Applicants should contact their Regional Brownfields Coordinator for additional information.*

Q17. Are Alaskan Native Villages eligible for grant funding?

A17. *No, only Indian Tribes other than those located in Alaska are eligible for grant funding. However, Alaska Native Regional Corporations, Alaska Native Village Corporations, and the Metlakatla Indian Community are eligible for grant funding. Alaska Native Regional Corporations and Alaska Native Village Corporations are defined in the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act (43 U.S.C. 1601 and following). For a listing of these corporations, please see the following websites. Please note that the U.S. government does not maintain these websites and is not responsible for*

their content or accuracy.

Alaska Department of Natural Resources - Alaska Native Region - Village - Corporation Index
<http://dnr.alaska.gov/mlw/trails/17b/corpindex.cfm>

National Congress of American Indians - Alaska Native Regional Corps
<http://www.ncai.org/Alaska-Regional-Corporations.432.0.html>

University of Alaska Anchorage Justice Center - Alaska Native Regional Corps & Alaska Regional Non-Profits
http://justice.uaa.alaska.edu/rlinks/natives/ak_natives_organizations.html

Q18. Do tribes “own” tribal trust lands for purposes of brownfields cleanup grants and RLF cleanup subgrants?

A18. *Generally, EPA believes tribes have a sufficient ownership interest in tribal trust lands to “own” such lands for purposes of brownfields cleanup grants and RLF cleanup subgrants. Applicants should contact their Regional Brownfields Coordinator for additional information.*

Q19. Are properties on lands held in trust by the Federal government for Indian tribes eligible for brownfields grant funding?

A19. *Generally, properties on lands held in trust by the Federal government for Indian tribes are eligible for brownfields grant funding. You should contact your Regional Brownfields Coordinator for further information.*

G. ADMINISTRATIVE COST PROHIBITION

Q20. What grant activities cannot be paid for with Brownfields multi-purpose pilot grant funds?

A20. *Grant funds may not be used for the payment of:*

- *A penalty or fine.*
- *A federal cost-share requirement (for example, a cost share required by other federal funds).*
- *An administrative cost (see below).*
- *A response cost at a brownfield site for which the recipient of the grant or loan is potentially liable under CERCLA §107.*
- *A cost of compliance with any federal law, excluding the cost of compliance with laws applicable to the cleanup.*
- *Unallowable costs (e.g., lobbying and fund raising) under OMB Circulars A-21, A-87, or A-122, as applicable.*

Q21. What is the Administrative Cost Prohibition?

A21. *The Brownfields Law prohibits the use of any “part of a grant or loan” for the payment of an*

administrative cost. In implementing this prohibition, EPA has made a distinction between prohibited administrative costs and eligible programmatic costs.

- *Administrative Costs. Prohibited administrative costs are direct costs, including those in the form of salaries, benefits, contractual costs, supplies, and data processing charges, incurred to comply with most provisions of the Uniform Administrative Requirements for Grants contained in 40 CFR Part 30 or 40 CFR Part 31. Direct costs for grant administration are ineligible even if the grantee or subgrantee is required to carry out the activity under the grant agreement. **Prohibited administrative costs include indirect costs the recipient incurs under the OMB Cost Principles found at 2 CFR Parts 220, 225, or 230 and Subpart 31.2 of the Federal Acquisition Regulation. Please note that prohibited administrative costs also include proposal preparation costs.***
- *Management Fees. Recipients must not include management fees or similar charges in excess of the direct costs in budgets for brownfields grants. The term "management fees or similar charges" refers to expenses added to the direct costs in order to accumulate and reserve funds for ongoing business expenses, unforeseen liabilities, or for other similar costs that are not allowable under Brownfields grants as administrative costs.*

Q22. When does the Administrative Cost Prohibition not apply?

A22. There are Statutory Exclusions to the Administrative Cost Prohibition. The Administrative Cost Prohibition does not apply to direct costs, including costs incurred under properly awarded contracts, for:

- 1. Investigation and identification of the extent of contamination;*
- 2. Design and performance of a response action; or*
- 3. Monitoring of a natural resource.*

Programmatic Costs. EPA has determined that the Administrative Cost Prohibition does not apply to "programmatic" costs, i.e., costs for activities that are integral to achieving the purpose of the grant, even if EPA considered the costs to be "administrative" under the prior brownfields program. For example, the prohibition does not apply, under a revolving loan fund grant, to costs incurred in making loans (such as the costs of loan processing, legal fees, and professional services) or overseeing the borrower's activities to ensure compliance with relevant and appropriate requirements of the National Contingency Plan (see 40 CFR §300.700 et seq.). These costs are programmatic, not administrative. Direct costs, as defined in the applicable OMB Cost Principle Circular, for the following programmatic activities are not subject to the administrative cost prohibition:

- 1. In the case of grants for site characterization and assessment, expenses for inventorying, characterizing, assessing, and conducting planning related to brownfield sites.*
- 2. In the case of grants for capitalization of revolving loan funds:*
 - (a) expenses for making and managing loans;*
 - (b) expenses, including financial management expenses, for operating the revolving fund; and*

(c) expenses for making and managing subgrants under CERCLA §104(k)(3)(B)(ii).

3. In the case of grants for direct use by eligible entities and nonprofit organizations in remediation of brownfield sites under CERCLA §104(k)(3)(A)(ii), expenses for site remediation activities.

4. In the case of grants for implementation of brownfields programs under CERCLA §104(k)(6), expenses for providing training, research, and technical assistance.

5. Costs incurred for complying with procurement provisions of 40 CFR Part 30 and 31 are considered eligible programmatic costs only if the procurement contract is for services or products that are direct costs for performing activities specified above in Section B, “Statutory Exclusions,” or Section C, “Programmatic Costs.”

6. Costs for performance and financial reporting required under 40 CFR 30.51 and 30.52, and 40 CFR 31.40 and 31.41 are eligible programmatic costs. Performance and financial reporting are essential programmatic tools for both the recipient and EPA to ensure that grants are carried out in accordance with statutory and regulatory requirements.

Eligible programmatic costs can include expenses for travel, training, equipment, supplies, reference materials, and contractual support if those costs are reasonable and allocable to tasks specified in a grantee’s approved scope of work for carrying out the activities described in “Statutory Exclusions,” or “Programmatic Costs.”

Eligible programmatic costs may be used to help meet the RLF capitalization grant and direct cleanup grant recipients’ 20 percent cost share. Prohibited administrative costs may not be used to meet recipients’ cost share.

For further information on these prohibitions, contact your Regional Brownfields Contact listed in Section VII of the Guidelines at www.epa.gov/brownfields.

Q23. If I am a successful applicant, will EPA reimburse me for the costs of paying a consultant to prepare my grant application?

A23. *No. Proposal preparation costs are prohibited administrative costs. Additionally, post-selection grant application preparation is administrative and is an ineligible cost.*

Q24. If I am a successful applicant and I properly contract with an environmental services contractor to perform services within the scope of the grant (such as investigation of the contamination, or performance of a response action), does the prohibition on administrative costs prevent the grantee from using the grant money to reimburse the indirect costs of the contractor?

A24. *No. The administrative cost prohibition applies to the grant recipient's indirect costs and not to costs the grantee incurs under a contract for eligible programmatic costs.*

Section 104(k)(4)(B)(ii) of CERCLA provides that the administrative cost prohibition does not apply to costs for investigation and identification of the extent of contamination and the design and

performance of a response action. Appendix 1 of EPA's guidelines provides that eligible programmatic costs are expenditures for activities that are integral to achieving the purpose of the grant. It states that eligible programmatic costs include costs for ". . . contractual support if those costs are reasonable and allocable to tasks specified in a grantee's approved scope of work for carrying out the activities" that are excluded by statute from the reach of the administrative cost prohibition. A contractor's indirect costs that are otherwise reasonable (e.g. are covered by an indirect cost rate agreement with its cognizant federal audit agency) and normally charged to cost reimbursement contracts are programmatic rather than administrative.

H. USE OF GRANT FUNDS

Q25. What is the amount of funding available through the multi-purpose pilot grants?

A25. *An eligible entity may apply for up to \$550,000 to assess and cleanup one site contaminated by hazardous substances, petroleum, pollutants, or contaminants (including hazardous substances co-mingled with petroleum).*

Applicants may apply for up to \$200,000 in assessment funding and may seek a waiver of the \$200,000 limit and request up to \$350,000. Such waivers must be based on the anticipated level of hazardous substances, pollutants, or contaminants (including hazardous substances co-mingled with petroleum) or petroleum at a single site. In the same proposal, applicants may apply for up to \$200,000 in cleanup funding for the direct cleanup activities at the site also assessed with the grant.

The EPA plans to award a total of ten multi-purpose pilot grants (one in each region). EPA reserves the right to partially fund proposals.

Q26. If my application is successful, will EPA reimburse me for eligible programmatic costs I incurred prior to the award?

A26. *It depends. EPA may reimburse successful applicants for pre-award costs incurred up to 90 days prior to award, even if the applicant did not request prior approval to incur pre-award costs provided the costs are eligible, allowable, and included in the approved budget and work plan for the grant. For example, costs for contracts are allowable only if the contract was entered into in a manner that complies with the competitive procurement provisions of EPA's grant regulations. Costs incurred more than 90 days prior to award require specific approval of an EPA award official.*

Please note that applicants incur pre-award costs at their own risk and that EPA is not obligated to reimburse applicants for pre-award costs that are not included in the work plan and budget the Agency approves. EPA has no obligation to reimburse unsuccessful applicants for pre-award costs.

Q27. If cleanup funds have been expended at a site under a multi-purpose pilot grant, can additional brownfields funding be provided for additional cleanup work at the same site?

A27. *Yes, a multi-purpose pilot grant recipient may apply for additional funding through a brownfields revolving loan fund. A multi-purpose pilot grant recipient may also request that a state or tribe conduct additional cleanup with CERCLA § 128 State and Tribal Response Program*

funding. A city/town or other eligible entity may also apply for a cleanup grant for a site on which a state or tribe has already expended CERCLA § 128 funds.

Q28. How does EPA enforce the requirement for cost sharing for the cleanup portion of the multi-purpose pilot grants?

A28. By statute, recipients must provide a cost share equal to 20% of the amount of EPA funding for the cleanup portion of their multi-purpose grants. Recipients must meet this cost share requirement in compliance with 40 CFR 31.24 by expending funds or providing in-kind goods and services to carry out eligible and allowable cleanup activities. Recipients may not use ineligible administrative costs (see questions 21 and 22) to meet their cost share. Additionally, recipients may not use costs for assessment-related activities for their cost share. EPA does not require that recipients provide a cost share for the assessment portion of the multi-purpose grant.

The Agency requires recipients to report on their cost sharing in financial status reports. Recipients must have documentation to support cash and in-kind contributions of labor, material and services for cost sharing expenses to be eligible and allowable. If a recipient fails to provide its agreed upon cost share, EPA may take action to recover all or part of the grant as well as take other enforcement actions authorized by Agency grant regulations.

Q29. Can I use brownfields grant funds to purchase environmental insurance?

A29. Yes. Entities that receive grants or loans to perform characterization, assessment or cleanup of a brownfields site may use a portion of their brownfields grant to purchase environmental insurance. This amount must be less than 100% of the total grant awarded under the Brownfields law. Purchases must be consistent with the applicable OMB Cost Circulars: A-21 is applicable to universities and educational institutions, A-87 is applicable to governmental units, and A-122 is applicable to non-profit organizations.

Q30. What kind of environmental insurance can I purchase with a brownfields grant?

A30. Companies can offer many different kinds of environmental insurance policies for brownfields sites. Typically, these policies cover risks related to cleanup cost overruns, third-party liability, and lender liability. Insurance carriers do not use the same names for these policies, and coverage is generally negotiated to cover site-specific risks. Therefore, successful applicants are encouraged to work closely with EPA to ensure any policy to be purchased with EPA funds does not cover ineligible expenses, including but not limited to:

- paying for a penalty or fine;*
- paying a federal cost share requirement (for example, a cost-share required by another Federal grant) unless there is specific statutory authority;*
- paying for a response cost at a brownfields site for which the recipient of the grant is potentially liable under CERCLA §107.*

Q31. As a local government applicant, what program activities can be funded under the 10% provision of the Brownfields amendments?

A31. Under section 104(k)(4)(C) of CERCLA, a local government may use not to exceed 10% of the grant funds in three ways to develop and implement a brownfields program. These activities may

include use of up to 10% of its grant funds for: monitoring the health of populations exposed to one or more hazardous substances, pollutants, or contaminants from a brownfield site; and, monitoring and enforcement of any institutional control used to prevent human exposure to any hazardous substance, pollutant, or contaminant from a brownfield site; To effectively oversee assessments and cleanups, local governments may use grant funds (subject to the 10% limit) for other related program development and implementation activities. Local government applicants may not exceed 10% in total for all three types of activities planned and the budget submitted as part of the proposal should reflect the tasks to be conducted with the 10% of funds.

Q32. Can the Department of Housing and Urban Development’s (HUD) Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) funds be used as a cost share on the cleanup portion of the multi-purpose pilot grant?

A32. In general, funds from one federal grant (from any agency) may not be used to meet a statutory cost share requirement on another federal grant (from any agency) unless there is specific statutory authority that provides otherwise. The CDBG statute is an example of statutory authority to use federal funds for a cost share on another grant.

I. PROPERTY-SPECIFIC FUNDING DETERMINATIONS

Q33. How do I know if a site identified in the proposal requires a Property-Specific Funding Determination?

A33. Applicants must determine if any of the properties, or facilities, included in their proposal require a property-specific determination. Although excluded from the definition of a brownfield site, the following types of properties are eligible for brownfields funding if a property-specific determination is made that funding for assessment and cleanup activities will meet the criteria set forth in the statute and meet the goals and criteria of the brownfields program. These types of properties are:

- 1) Properties subject to planned or ongoing removal action under CERCLA.*
- 2) Properties that include facilities subject to an administrative or judicial order or consent decree, or to which a permit has been issued by the U.S. or authorized state, under RCRA, FWPCA, TSCA, or SDWA.*
- 3) Properties that include facilities subject to RCRA orders requiring corrective action (§3004(u) or §3008(h)).*
- 4) Properties that are land disposal units that have submitted a RCRA closure notification or that are subject to closure requirements specified in a closure plan or permit.*
- 5) Properties where there has been a release of PCBs and all or part of the property is subject to TSCA remediation.*
- 6) Properties receiving monies for clean up from the LUST trust fund.*

Grant applicants must determine whether the property or properties that are the subject of their proposal fall within the scope of one or more of the exclusions listed above. In their grant proposal, applicants should identify which funding exclusion is applicable to their property and describe why each property falls within that exclusion. Please see Appendix 1.5 in the Proposal Guidelines for more information on each of these exclusions (www.epa.gov/brownfields).

Q34. Who makes the Property-Specific Funding Determination of whether a site is eligible for brownfields funding?

A34. A determination of eligibility for or exclusion from funding will be made by EPA at the time of proposal evaluation based upon information provided by the applicant. To aid EPA in making this determination, if one or more properties that are the subject of a grant proposal fall within the scope of any of the types of properties listed above in Q33, the grant proposal must specifically identify the properties, identify the applicable funding exclusion from the list above in Q33, and describe why each property falls within that exclusion (e.g., RCRA permit for hazardous waste storage, CERCLA removal action on-going, etc.)

Q35. What characteristics of a site does EPA look for in order to make a Property-Specific Funding Determination for brownfields funding eligibility?

*A35. The types of sites listed in Q33 may qualify for brownfields funding if EPA makes a property-specific determination that brownfields funding will protect human health and the environment **and** will either promote economic development or the creation, preservation, or addition to parks, greenways, undeveloped property, other recreational property, or other property used for nonprofit purposes.*

Q36. Why do some sites require Property-Specific Funding Determinations to be eligible for funding?

A36. Congress specifically prohibited certain types of sites from the definition of “brownfield site” in CERCLA § 101(39)(B) and, therefore, from being eligible for brownfields funding. However, Congress listed certain sites that would normally be prohibited from funding, but could be allowed to be considered for funding if an eligibility determination was made specific to that site (See list in Q.33). In keeping with this prohibition, property-specific brownfields funding determinations will be made based upon the availability of funding and the extent to which applicants can provide documentation that funding for particular sites offers opportunities to protect human health and the environment and enhance economic development or create or preserve greenspace (as the criteria is described in Q.38). Applicants are encouraged to address, in the body of the proposal, why federal funding is appropriate for brownfields assessment and clean up at the property, given that brownfields funding cannot be used to reimburse liable property owners for response activity costs.

Q37. What sites are not eligible for Property-Specific Funding Determinations for Brownfields grants?

A37. The Brownfields Law excludes the following three types of properties from funding eligibility and prohibits EPA from making property-specific determinations on these properties:

- 1) *Facilities listed (or proposed for listing) on the National Priorities List (NPL);*

- 2) *Facilities subject to unilateral administrative orders, court orders, administrative orders on consent or judicial consent decrees issued to or entered into by parties under CERCLA; and*
- 3) *Facilities that are subject to the jurisdiction, custody or control, of the United States government. (Note: Land held in trust by the United States government for an Indian tribe is **generally eligible** for brownfields funding.)*

Q38. What information must an applicant include if a site in the proposal requires a Property-Specific Funding Determination?

A38. If an applicant includes within the scope of a grant proposal a facility that requires a property-specific funding determination, the proposal must include, on a separate page, the following information (to the extent this information replicates information requested elsewhere in the proposal, the applicant may directly copy the text to this page):

- 1) *Basic site identification information and eligible entity identification information.*
- 2) *The specific circumstance that requires the grantee to request a property-specific determination (from the list above in Q33).*
- 3) *A short explanation of why the site falls within the identified circumstance requiring the property-specific funding determination.*
- 4) *An explanation of how providing brownfields funding for the site will meet the criteria necessary for making a property-specific funding determination. See below for description of the necessary criteria to address.*
- 5) *The degree to which other funding is or is not available for the assessment or clean up of the site.*
- 6) *An explanation of whether or not the applicant is responsible for the contamination at a site.*

The information provided will be used in making a property-specific determination for funding purposes, which will take place during the proposal evaluation process.

*Grant proposals for brownfields funding that include, within the scope of planned assessment and cleanup activities, sites, properties, or facilities that potentially fall within any of the funding exclusions listed above, should specifically identify such circumstances and explain, in as much detail as possible, why the availability of brownfields funding will protect human health and the environment **and** promote economic development or the creation or preservation of greenspace (or other listed objectives). Information provided by the applicant in addressing these criteria will be used in documenting EPA's decision in making property-specific determinations for funding eligibility.*

*Again, an applicant must show how financial assistance, if awarded, will protect human health and the environment **and** either promote economic development **or** enable the creation of, preservation,*

or addition to parks, greenways, undeveloped property, other recreational property, or other property used for nonprofit purposes. The three areas are discussed in more detail below.

1. Protection of Human Health and the Environment

Grant applicants must provide a detailed discussion of how financial assistance for brownfields assessment or cleanup activities at each property for which a property-specific determination for funding eligibility must be made will result in the allocation of funding in accordance with legislative intent. Each proposal for financial assistance, including a recipient of a revolving loan fund grant seeking EPA approval of loans, whose proposal includes one or more sites for which a property-specific determination must be made must include a discussion of how brownfields funding will ensure protection of human health and the environment. Documentation supporting a determination that brownfields funding will ensure protection of human health and the environment should include documentation of one or more of the following:

- Specific examples of human health risks that will be mitigated by activities funded under a brownfields grant.*
- Specific environmental improvements that can reasonably be expected to result from activities funded under a brownfields grant.*
- Specific examples of contamination that will be addressed, including the specific hazardous substances, pollutants, or contaminants of concern and the environmental media that will be addressed.*
- Description of how the proposed clean up and redevelopment of the property will ensure that the property will be protective of human health and the environment and that the remedy will be both protective and consistent with the planned reuse of the property.*

2. Promote Economic Development

Applicants also must provide detail on how financial assistance will promote economic development or the creation of, preservation of, or addition to parks, greenways, undeveloped property, other recreational property, or other property used for nonprofit purposes. Documentation of economic development activities should include information such as the following:

- A description of economic development activities that can reasonably be expected to occur as a result of brownfields funding (e.g., number of jobs created, estimated increase in the property and/or profits/sales tax base to community, additional business expansion or new business relocation that may occur within the community).*
- A description of how the redevelopment of the brownfields property will contribute to community-wide redevelopment and revitalization plans with a specific emphasis on how funding for the brownfields redevelopment is integral to the success of the community-wide plan.*
- A description of new businesses or business expansions that are planned for the brownfields property.*

3. Creation of, Preservation of, or Addition to Parks, Greenways, Undeveloped Property, other Recreational Property, or Other Property Used for Nonprofit Purposes

If brownfields funding will be used by the applicant to preserve or create greenspace, recreational areas, undeveloped property, or property to be used for nonprofit purposes, the applicant should provide specific documentation of these activities in the proposal. Grant proposals should provide specific information documenting how brownfields funding will result in the creation of, preservation of, or addition to parks, greenways, undeveloped property, other recreational property, or other property used for nonprofit purposes, such as:

- A description of the proposed park, recreational property, greenspace, undeveloped space, or other type of property to be used for nonprofit purposes, including size, use, and surrounding environment that will be preserved or created as a result of brownfields funding.*
- A description of how the property will be used and by whom.*
- A description of how the property will be integrated with surrounding properties or environments.*
- A description of how the property will be maintained or preserved for its continued use as a greenspace, recreational area, etc.*

When documenting compliance with these criteria, applicants may copy information provided elsewhere in their proposal, if such information directly addresses the criteria. However, all documentation must be comprehensive and specific to actual events that will be mitigated or can reasonably be expected to occur as a result of federal brownfields funding, should the applicant receive brownfields funding.

J. SITE ELIGIBILITY

Q39. What happens if I accidentally include an ineligible site in my proposal or I did not realize I needed a Property-Specific Funding Determination?

A39. Applicants may not substitute sites if EPA determines a site is not eligible. EPA may provide applicants a limited opportunity to clarify site eligibility issues during the proposal application process. If EPA has reason to believe a site that falls in the “excluded” category is eligible for a property-specific funding determination, EPA may seek clarification from the applicant before making that determination. EPA strongly encourages applicants to evaluate their site against the information provided in Appendix 1 of the guidelines. In addition, applicants should contact their Regional Brownfields Coordinators for pre-application assistance on site eligibility.

Applicants may not substitute sites if EPA determines a site is not eligible.

Q40. What happens if my site is only partially eligible?

A40. While applicants may not substitute a site if EPA determines a site is not eligible, EPA may find a portion of the site eligible. The eligible portion of the site will be forwarded for national ranking review and EPA will notify the applicant which portion is ineligible during the threshold

review process. If the eligible portion of the site scores within the recommendation to be selected for funding, the applicant may be awarded a portion of the requested amount for the eligible portion of the site.

Q41. Are RCRA sites eligible for Brownfields grant funding?

A41. Some Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) sites may be eligible. RCRA facilities that may be eligible for Brownfields funding, (subject to meeting all other Brownfields grant eligibility requirements), include:

- RCRA interim status facilities that are not subject to any administrative or judicial order or consent decree;
- RCRA interim status facilities that are subject to administrative or judicial orders or consent decrees that do not include corrective action requirements or any other cleanup provisions (e.g., RCRA 3008(a) orders without provisions requiring the owner/operator to address contamination); and
- Parcels of RCRA facilities that are not under the scope of a RCRA permit or administrative or judicial order to conduct corrective action.

Facilities subject to an administrative or judicial order or consent decree, facilities with permits issued under the authorities of RCRA, Toxic Substances and Control Act (TSCA), and the Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA), as well as facilities with permits issued under section 1321 of the Clean Water Act (the oil and hazardous substance liability provisions) are excluded from the definition of brownfield site but **may be eligible for funding if the EPA makes a property-specific funding determination**. Without a property-specific funding determination provided for in §101(39)(C), these specified RCRA facilities cannot receive grant or loan funding.

When making this determination, EPA assesses whether Brownfields funding for assessment or cleanup activities will:

- ensure protection of human health and the environment; **and** either
- promote economic development, or
- promote the creation, preservation, or addition to parks, greenways, undeveloped facility, other recreational facility, or other property used for non-profit purposes.

Q42. Are former military installations that have been closed and subsequently turned over to local and/or state governments eligible for funding?

A42. Yes. Generally, former military installations that are no longer owned or under the custody or control of the U.S. government, including properties that have been closed and subsequently turned over to governments may be eligible for brownfields funding. **For example, the following types of facilities may be eligible for brownfields funding:**

- Privately-owned, Formerly Used Defense Sites (FUDS)
- Privately-owned, Formerly Utilized Sites Remedial Action Program (FUSRAP) properties
- Other former federal properties that have been disposed of by the U.S. government

Facilities owned by, or under the custody or control of the federal government **are not eligible** for brownfields funding with the exception of land held in trust for an Indian tribe. Applicants should

contact their Regional Brownfields Coordinator for additional information.

Q43. We have identified a specific site for assessment with our EPA grant. What sort of information should I have available when I ask EPA to determine its eligibility for funding?

A43. The Guidelines provide information on sites eligible for brownfields funding under CERCLA §104(k) in Appendix I. Section III.C.4.d. of the multi-purpose pilot grant guidelines outlines the types of information necessary to make an eligibility determination. Below are the types of information that will need to be considered.

Basic property and grant eligibility information, such as:

- *the property's name and address*
- *the grant recipient's name*
- *the type of assessment proposed (Phase I, Phase II, etc.)*
- *how the property has been used in the past (from today going back to its first known use)*
- *why the property is thought to be contaminated, especially if it's been vacant for many years*
- *whether the property is a "brownfield site," as defined by the statute (including whether the property is subject to any of the exclusions to the definition of "brownfield site" and if so, whether it is eligible for a property-specific determination)*
- *whether the CERCLA § 107 prohibition applies (i.e., whether you are potentially liable as an owner/operator (current or at the time of disposal), arranger, or transporter).*
- *if and when you conducted All Appropriate Inquiry and whether you are affiliated with a liable party*
- *whether you can take advantage of a CERCLA liability protection (i.e., are a Bona Fide Prospective Purchaser, a Contiguous Property Owner, an Innocent Land Owner, or not)*
- *if you are a municipality, how you acquired the property (e.g., foreclosure, donation, eminent domain, purchased, etc.)*

For petroleum sites you will also need to:

- *Obtain a written determination of statutory eligibility by the state LUST contact. (Talk to your project officer for assistance)*
- *If the state is unable to make the determination, you will need to have available information regarding*
 - *whether the site is of "relatively low risk" compared to other petroleum-only sites in the state*
 - *whether there is a viable responsible party that can address the petroleum contamination at the site (whether a party is "responsible" and "viable" is defined in the Guidelines)*
 - *whether you, the applicant, are potentially liable for cleaning up the site, as defined in the Guidelines*
(be prepared to provide information regarding whether the party having such legal obligations has adequate financial resources to meet the obligation)
 - *whether the site is subject to an order issued under 9003(h) of the Solid Waste Disposal Act*

Please note that some sites may either require a property-specific determination or may not be eligible at all for funding (see Section V. Property Specific Determinations in these Frequently Asked Questions.)

K. GENERAL GUIDELINES QUESTIONS

Q44. How do I submit my multi-purpose pilot Grant application and what is the deadline for submission?

A44. Proposals are due February 10, 2012. Applicants may submit their proposals through the U.S. Postal Service, commercial delivery service, or electronically to www.grants.gov. Only one method should be used for the submission of the original, complete proposal. **Facsimile or email delivery of proposals is not permitted and will not be considered.**

- **Hard Copy Submissions**

*Proposals sent through the U.S. Postal Service or a commercial delivery service must be postmarked by February 10, 2012. **Two copies of the complete proposal are required.** Mail one complete, original proposal to: Environmental Management Support, Inc., Attn: Mr. Don West, 8601 Georgia Avenue, Suite 500, Silver Spring, MD 20910. Phone 301-589-5318.*

A second complete copy of the proposal must be mailed to the appropriate EPA Regional Brownfields Coordinator listed in Section VII.

Proposals postmarked by the USPS/commercial delivery service after February 10, 2012 will not be considered.

- **Electronic Submissions**

*Proposals sent electronically to www.grants.gov must be received by 11:59 p.m. Eastern Time on February 10, 2012. **Proposals received after 11:59 p.m. Eastern Time on February 10, 2012 will not be considered.** Refer to Appendix 2 for specific instructions on the use of [grants.gov](http://www.grants.gov). In the event that an applicant experiences difficulties transmitting its proposal(s) through [grants.gov](http://www.grants.gov), please refer to the procedures in Appendix 2. **Note: There is a registration process to complete for electronic submission via [grants.gov](http://www.grants.gov), which may take a week or more to complete.***

In addition to electronic submission to [Grants.gov](http://www.grants.gov), a complete copy of the proposal must be mailed to the appropriate EPA Regional Brownfields Coordinator listed in Section VII of the guidelines.

Q45. Can I submit my proposal electronically?

A45. Applicants may submit proposals electronically to <http://www.grants.gov>. Proposals submitted to www.grants.gov must be received by February 10, 2012, 11:59pm Eastern Time to receive consideration.

The electronic submission of your application must be made by an official representative of your institution who is registered with [Grants.gov](http://www.grants.gov). For more information, go to <http://www.grants.gov>.

Note that the registration process may take a week or longer.

EPA strongly encourages applicants to review Appendix 2 of the Brownfields Grant guidelines for specific instructions on submitting proposals electronically via www.grants.gov.

Q46. What is the Multi-purpose Pilot Grant application process?

A46. *The process for applying for assessment, revolving loan fund and cleanup grants is a one step process as discussed in the guidelines: www.epa.gov/brownfields.*

*Applicants may submit their proposals through the U.S. Postal Service, commercial delivery service, or electronically to Grants.gov- only one method should be used for the submission of the original, complete proposal. The deadline for proposals is **February 10, 2012**.*

*Prior to **February 10, 2012**, EPA Regions may conduct open meetings with potential applicants. Please check with your regional office for date and location information. EPA Regions will also respond to questions from individual applicants about any of the threshold criteria, including site eligibility and property ownership. Upon request, Regional staff may review pertinent documents relating to these threshold criteria. However, in accordance with EPA's Competition Policy, EPA staff will **not** meet with individual applicants to discuss draft proposals, provide informal comments on draft proposals, or provide advice to applicants on how to respond to **ranking** criteria.*

Proposals initially will be reviewed by the appropriate EPA Regional Office to determine compliance with the applicable threshold criteria. The threshold criteria review is pass/fail. Applicants deemed ineligible for funding consideration as a result of the threshold criteria review will be notified within 15 calendar days. All proposals that pass the threshold criteria review will then be evaluated by national evaluation panels chosen for their expertise in the range of activities associated with the National Brownfields Program. The national evaluation panels will be composed of EPA staff and other federal agency representatives. National evaluation panels will base their evaluations solely on the responses to the applicable ranking criteria and will assign a total point score to each proposal.

Completed evaluations will then be referred to the Selection Official, who is responsible for further consideration of the proposals and final selection of grant recipients. Proposals will be selected for award by this Official based on their evaluated point scores, the availability of funds, and consideration of, if any, other factors. Those other factors include: Fair distribution of funds between urban and non-urban areas, including "micro" communities (those communities with populations of 10,000 or less); Fair geographic distribution of funds between EPA's ten Regions (states, tribes, territories); Fair distribution of funds between new applicants and previous brownfields grant recipients; Compliance with the statutory petroleum funding allocation; Whether the applicant is a federally recognized Indian tribe or United States territory; The applicant's score under the leveraging criterion in Section V, including the extent to which they have demonstrated firm leveraging commitments to facilitate project completion by identifying amounts and contributors in the proposal; Communities experiencing plant closures (or other significant economic disruptions), including communities experiencing auto plant closures due to bankruptcy; Whether the applicants is a recipient of a HUD/DOT/EPA Partnership for Sustainable Communities grant; Communities implementing green remediation plans; Consideration of the type

of contamination being addressed, such as whether a site is mine-scarred or contaminated with controlled substances; and Zones affected by natural disasters.

EPA will inform successful and unsuccessful proposal applicants in writing.

Funding will be awarded as a cooperative agreement. EPA anticipates substantial involvement with the cooperative agreement recipient. The applicants whose proposals are selected will be asked to submit a cooperative agreement application package to their EPA Regional office.

EPA reserves the right to reject any or all proposals and make no awards.

EPA anticipates that successful applicants will be notified in the Spring of 2012.

For additional information regarding the multi-purpose pilot grant application process, contact your EPA Regional Brownfields Coordinator listed in Section VII.

Q47. How do I get help in understanding and responding to the multi-purpose pilot grant proposal guidelines?

A47. EPA urges applicants to review the grant proposal guidelines carefully and any supplemental information on the EPA brownfields website. If resources permit, EPA Regions may conduct open meetings with potential applicants. Please check with your regional office for date and location information.

*EPA Regions will respond to questions from individual applicants about any of the threshold criteria, including site eligibility and property ownership. Upon request, Regional staff may review pertinent documents relating to these threshold criteria. However, in accordance with EPA's Competition Policy, EPA staff will **not** meet with individual applicants to discuss draft proposals, provide informal comments on draft proposals, or provide advice to applicants on how to respond to **ranking** criteria.*

Applicants may contact their EPA Regional Brownfields Coordinator for general information or questions regarding the threshold criteria, including eligibility. Contact information for EPA Regional Brownfields Coordinators can be found in Section VII of the guidelines at: www.epa.gov/brownfields.

*EPA has also awarded Technical Assistance to Brownfield Communities (TAB) cooperative agreements to four groups: New Jersey Institute of Technology (NJIT) serves communities in EPA Regions 1, 2, and 3; Enterprise Corporation of the Delta, Inc (ECD) serves communities in Regions 4 and 6; Kansas State University (KSU) serves communities in Regions 5 and 7; and Center for Creative Land Recycling (CCLR) serves communities in Regions 8, 9, and 10. The TAB grantees can provide technical assistance to communities including assistance to better understand the threshold eligibility requirements, reviewing a community's draft proposal, and facilitating discussions within the community related to the proposal. Please note, however, that while a TAB grantee is a source of technical assistance, they **will not** write a proposal for you. More information about the TAB grantees is available at <http://www.epa.gov/brownfields/tools/index.htm>*

All proposals will be reviewed and evaluated objectively against the criteria identified in the grant

guidelines and ranked based upon their written response. **Applicants are responsible for making decisions on the content of their proposals.**

Q48. Do applicants for brownfields multi-purpose pilot grants need to inform their State regarding the submission of a grant proposal to EPA?

A48. Yes. Applicants (other than a State or tribal environmental authority) must provide a letter from a State or Tribal environmental authority that acknowledges the applicant's planned activities in their grant proposal and eligibility determination on those sites, where appropriate.

Q49. A consultant has approached us and offered to prepare our application for a Brownfields grant. The terms of the contract requires us to hire the consultant to provide environmental services necessary to perform grant if EPA selects the application for funding or pay the consultant a \$5,000 application preparation fee if we hire a different consultant. What are EPA's views on this practice?

A49. If your organization entered into an agreement along the lines you describe, EPA may consider the practice to be a violation of the procurement requirements in EPA's grant regulations because it may provide the consultant who prepared the application an unfair competitive advantage. The Agency's grant regulations require recipients to fully and openly compete professional services contracts. As stated in EPA's Fiscal Year 2012 Proposal Guidelines for Brownfields Grants (Guidelines), the fact that a consultant prepared an applicant's proposal for funding does not justify a sole source procurement contract with that consultant.

Environmental consulting services are widely available in the commercial market place. EPA would not accept a justification for a sole source contract on the grounds that your organization would be forced to pay the consultant an application preparation fee if the consultant did not receive a contract to perform environmental services under the grant. Additionally, if your organization did conduct a competition to procure environmental services, and the consultant that prepared the application won the competition, EPA may question whether the decision to select the consultant was based on an improper factor such as the requirement to pay the consultant the \$5,000 application fee otherwise. The Agency would be particularly concerned if other consultants with comparable qualifications offered to provide the environmental services at lower rates than the consultant who prepared the grant application. Finally, as provided in the Guidelines, application preparation fees are ineligible administrative costs under section 104(k) of CERCLA, the statute which authorizes EPA to award Brownfields grants.

L. ASSESSMENT

Q50. If I am requesting a site-specific assessment grant waiver for \$350,000 what must I include in my proposal to the EPA? What criteria does EPA use to evaluate site-specific assessment waiver requests?

A50. The brownfields law allows for applicants who have identified an eligible site that will require more than \$200,000 to complete assessment activities to apply for a waiver of the funding limit and request up to \$350,000 to assess an eligible site. In order for the waiver request to be considered the applicant must include a one (1) page justification describing the site and the reason(s) for the additional funding request. An applicant must include the following in the written justification: a

description of the site, why the assessment costs exceed the funding limit, and specific information regarding the circumstances which justify the extra costs (e.g. fiscal state of the community, size of the site, expected level of contamination etc.). Please see Section I.A.2. of the Guidelines for more information on the assessment waiver request.

EPA will base all decisions on site-specific assessment waiver requests on the information the applicant provides in the one (1) page attachment and will evaluate the information on the size of the site, the status of ownership of the site, and/or the anticipated level of contamination at the site. EPA will take into account only the information provided and will base all decisions on the justification of the need.

Q51. Does assessment include production of reports including Phase I, Phase II, and the trenching, boring, and testing?

A51. Yes -- assessment would include the above activities. In general, assessment is the process of evaluating alternatives -- activities that are part of that evaluative process are considered assessment; but once an alternative has been selected, ensuing activities no longer are considered assessment.

Q52. Does redevelopment planning include obtaining a brownfields covenant not to sue, a feasibility study to use for community involvement, and the legal and project manager costs of rezoning if needed?

A52. Assessment funding is appropriate for a study on community involvement. Assessment funding is NOT appropriate for costs associated with securing a covenant not to sue or for rezoning. One definition of planning for assessment purposes is considering end uses for the site, Institutional Controls, community involvement and organizing a design charette.

Q53. Do all applicants have to do a Phase I or AAI?

A53. Yes. All Applicants must have a completed AAI or ASTM E1527-05 Phase I site assessment as a prerequisite for funding, even if the applicant does not believe it needs to have conducted AAI in order to demonstrate a liability protection. Applicants requesting solely petroleum funding must also have conducted an AAI or ASTM Phase I site assessment."

M. ALL APPROPRIATE INQUIRIES

Q54. What is “All Appropriate Inquiries” and who conducts “All Appropriate Inquiries” under the Brownfields law?

A54. The Brownfields Law establishes that site characterizations or assessments conducted with the use of brownfields grants awarded under CERCLA §104(k)(2)(B)(ii) must be conducted in accordance with the federal "all appropriate inquiries" standards established under CERCLA and addressed in EPA's final rule setting standards and practices for all appropriate inquiries (70 FR 66070).

All appropriate inquiries also refers to the requirements for assessing the environmental conditions of a property prior to its acquisition, for purposes of establishing certain landowner liability

protection under subtitle B of Title II of the Brownfields Law. These landowner liability protections require that a person perform “all appropriate inquiries” into the previous ownership and uses of a property before acquiring title to the property. The landowner liability protections include the bona fide prospective purchaser, innocent landowner, and contiguous property owner provisions of CERCLA (see below).

- To qualify as a bona fide prospective purchaser, a person must meet the criteria set forth in §§ 107(r) and 101(40) of CERCLA (both threshold criteria and continuing obligations after purchase), purchase the property after January 11, 2002, and must perform all appropriate inquiries prior to purchase. These parties may buy knowing, or having reason to know, of contamination on the property. Please note that brownfields grant applicants that otherwise would meet the requirements for a bona fide prospective purchaser, except for having purchased the property prior to January 11, 2002, are eligible for federal brownfields funding.
- To qualify as a contiguous property owner, a person who owns property that is contiguous or otherwise similarly situated to a facility that is the only source of contamination found on his/her property must meet the criteria set forth in CERCLA § 107 (q)(1)(A). Contiguous property owners must perform all appropriate inquiries prior to purchase and cannot know, or have reason to know, of contamination on the property.

To qualify as an innocent land owner, a person must meet the criteria set forth in CERCLA § 107(b)(3) and 101(35), perform all appropriate inquiries prior to purchase of a property and cannot know, or have reason to know, of contamination on the property. Applicants should note that there are continuing obligations after purchase that are relevant to these liability protections.

Q55. What are the federal standards for conducting “All Appropriate Inquiries?”

A55. As of November 1, 2006, parties must comply with either the standards and practices set forth in the final rule on all appropriate inquiries (40 CFR 312 B see <http://www.epa.gov/fedrgstr/EPA-WASTE/2005/November/Day-01/f21455.htm>) or use the process established in ASTM E1527-05 Standard Practice for Environmental Site Assessment: Phase I Environmental Site Assessment Process (available at www.astm.org).

Q56. What are the statutory criteria for conducting “All Appropriate Inquiry”?

A56. Congress directed EPA to establish, by regulation, standards and practices for conducting all appropriate inquiries. In the Brownfields Law, Congress directed EPA to include, within the standards for all appropriate inquiries, the nine criteria shown below. Each of these criteria is addressed in the final rule establishing federal standards and practices for all appropriate inquiries (70 FR 66070):

- *The results of an inquiry by an environmental professional;*
- *Interviews with past and present owners, operators, and occupants of the facility for the purpose of gathering information regarding the potential for contamination at the facility;*
- *Reviews of historical sources, such as chain of title documents, aerial photographs, building department records, and land-use records, to determine previous uses and occupancies of the real property since the property was first developed;*
- *Searches for recorded environmental clean-up liens against the facility that are filed under Federal, State, or local law; Reviews of Federal, State, and local government records, waste disposal records, underground storage tank records, and hazardous waste handling, generation, treatment, disposal, and spill records concerning contamination at or near the facility;*
- *Visual inspections of the facility and adjoining properties;*
- *Specialized knowledge or experience on the part of the defendant;*
- *The relationship of the purchase price to the value of the property if the property was not contaminated;*
- *Commonly known or reasonably ascertainable information about the property; and*
- *The degree of obviousness of the presence or likely presence of contamination at the property and the ability to detect the contamination by appropriate investigation.*

Q57. How does an applicant demonstrate they have conducted “All Appropriate Inquiries”?

A57. Brownfields funding cannot be used at sites for which the grantee is a potentially responsible party (PRP) under CERCLA. If an applicant is a PRP, the applicant must demonstrate that it qualifies for one of the CERCLA liability defenses or protections, for example by being a bona fide prospective purchaser, innocent landowner, or contiguous property owner (please see FAQ Q71 for more information). To qualify for these protections, the applicant must have conducted “All Appropriate Inquiries” (AAI) prior to acquiring the property. The particular standard that may have been used to meet the pre-acquisition AAI requirement depends when the property was acquired.

The 2002 Brownfields Amendments to CERCLA directed EPA to promulgate a regulatory standard for AAI, which EPA did in its final rule on AAI, published November 1, 2005. The AAI final rule

took effect on November 1, 2006. Therefore, if the property was acquired after November 1, 2006, parties seeking a CERCLA liability protection must demonstrate compliance with either the standards and practices set forth in the AAI final rule (40 CFR 312) or use the process established in ASTM E1527-05 “Standard Practice for Environmental Site Assessment: Phase I Environmental Site Assessment Process.” The AAI final rule recognizes the ASTM-E1527-05 standard as compliant with the requirements of the final rule. Please see FAQ Q72 for links to either standard.

For properties acquired between January 2002 and November 1, 2006, the purchaser must demonstrate that prior to acquiring the property, the purchaser conducted AAI or a Phase I Environmental Site Assessment using the ASTM E1527 standard for Phase I environmental site assessments available at the time of purchase (i.e. E1527-97, E1527-00 or E1527-05).

Prior to the enactment of the 2002 Brownfield Amendments, the standard for AAI contained in CERCLA was that a party must show they conducted AAI into the previous ownership and uses of the property consistent with good commercial or customary practice. This is generally evaluated by looking at commercial or customary practice at the time and place the property was acquired. Depending on the specific circumstances, this may be anything from a title search to a full environmental assessment. However, as of 1997, the ASTM E1527 standard for Phase I environmental site assessments was the generally accepted commercial standard for due diligence. Therefore, if the property was acquired after 1997, compliance with the relevant version of the ASTM E1527 standard (i.e. the ASTM E1527-97, E1527-00 or E1527-05 Standard Practice for Environmental Site Assessment: Phase I Environmental Site Assessment Process) is sufficient to demonstrate that the applicant has satisfied its AAI obligations.

The site eligibility determination is a site-specific process and each individual application is reviewed and verified based on the circumstances in which the party acquired the property. For more information on site eligibility, please contact your regional Brownfields Coordinator listed in Section VII of the guidelines.

N. Petroleum Brownfields

Q58. Can a petroleum brownfields multi-purpose grant be used for the incidental assessment and/or cleanup of hazardous substances?

A58. Yes. As long as the principal purpose of the multi-purpose pilot grant is to assess and clean up a site potentially or actually contaminated with petroleum, a petroleum brownfields grant may be used for the incidental assessment and/or cleanup of hazardous substances.

Q59. What statutory determinations must my state (or EPA) make to assure that petroleum-contaminated sites (or portions of properties contaminated with petroleum) are eligible for brownfields funding.

A59. The Brownfields Law outlines specific criteria by which petroleum sites may be eligible for brownfields grant funding if EPA or the state makes a petroleum eligibility determination. Non-tribal applicants must provide the information required for a petroleum site eligibility determination to the state, so that the state can make the necessary determination on petroleum site eligibility. Applicants must provide EPA with a copy of the state determination letter as an attachment to each proposal. EPA or the state must determine:

1. the site is of “relatively low risk” compared with other ‘petroleum-only’ sites in the state; and
2. there is no viable responsible party; and
3. funding will be used by a party that is not potentially liable for the petroleum contamination to assess, investigate, or clean up the site.

In addition, petroleum-contaminated sites must not be subject to a corrective action order under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) §9003(h).

With the exception of Tribes, applicants must first request that their state make these determinations. If the state is unable to make the determinations, the applicant may request that EPA make the determinations. For further information see Guidelines Appendix I, section 2.3.2 Contamination by Petroleum or Petroleum Product.

Q60. What information must I provide to a state or EPA so that my petroleum contaminated site is eligible for grant funding?

A60. Generally, petroleum site eligibility will be determined by EPA or the state, as appropriate. Where the state is unable to make the eligibility determination, EPA will make the determination. EPA will make the determination for tribes. Additionally, applicants must provide EPA with a copy of the state determination letter as an attachment to each proposal.

The following information is required for EPA or the state to make the petroleum site eligibility determination:

- i) Current and Immediate Past Owners. Identify the current and immediate past owner of the site. For purposes of petroleum eligibility determinations in these guidelines only, the current owner is the entity that will own the site on November 28, 2011. For cleanup grants, this must be the applicant.
- ii) Acquisition of Site. Identify when and by what method the current owner acquired the property (e.g., purchase, tax foreclosure, donation, eminent domain).
- iii) No Responsible Party for the Site. Identify whether the current and immediate past owner (which includes, if applicable, the applicant) (1) dispensed or disposed of petroleum or petroleum product, or exacerbated the existing petroleum contamination at the site; and (2) took reasonable steps with regard to the contamination at the site.
- iv) Cleaned Up by a Person Not Potentially Liable. Identify whether you (the applicant) dispensed or disposed of petroleum or petroleum product, or exacerbated the existing petroleum contamination at the site, and whether you took reasonable steps with regard to the contamination at the site.
- v) Relatively Low Risk. Identify whether the site is of “relatively low risk” compared to other petroleum or petroleum product-only contaminated sites in the state in which the site is located, including whether the site is receiving or using Leaking Underground Storage Tank (LUST) trust fund monies.
- vi) Judgments, Orders, or Third Party Suits. Provide information that no responsible party (including the applicant) is identified for the site through, either:

- A judgment rendered in a court of law or an administrative order that would require any person to assess, investigate, or clean up the site; or
 - An enforcement action by federal or state authorities against any party that would require any person to assess, investigate, or clean up the site; or
 - A citizen suit, contribution action, or other third-party claim brought against the current or immediate past owner, that would, if successful, require the assessment, investigation, or cleanup of the site.
- vii) Subject to RCRA. Identify whether the site is subject to any order under section 9003(h) of the Solid Waste Disposal Act.
- viii) Financial Viability of Responsible Parties. For any current or immediate past owners identified as responsible for the contamination at the site, provide information regarding whether they have the financial capability to satisfy their obligations under federal or state law to assess, investigate, or clean up the site. **Note:** If no responsible party is identified in iii) or vi) above, then the petroleum-contaminated site may be eligible for funding. If a responsible party is identified above, EPA or the state must next determine whether that party is viable. **If any such party is determined to be viable, then the petroleum-contaminated site may not be eligible for funding.** For more information, see Appendix 1, Section 2.3.2.

If you are not able to provide EPA or the state with all the above information, then your request to the state or EPA for the determination on site eligibility also must include a brief explanation as to why the information requested above is not available.

Q61. What happens if I do not receive a determination letter back from my state prior to the proposal deadline?

A61. Instances where the applicant has requested the state to make a petroleum determination, but does not receive a determination letter prior to proposal due date must attach a copy of the request submitted to the state and indicate the date you submitted your request to the state. EPA will then coordinate with the state and the Agency will make the petroleum determination if necessary.

Q62. If a site is contaminated with petroleum, diesel, and lead from gasoline, can a petroleum grant address all three contaminants?

A62. Yes. CERCLA § 101(39) (D) (ii)(II)(aa) provides that a brownfield site includes a site that is “contaminated by petroleum or a petroleum product excluded from the definition of ‘hazardous substance’ ...” “Diesel” and “lead from gasoline” would fall within the purview of “petroleum or a petroleum product” and thus a site contaminated by those substances would meet the definition of a brownfield site.

Q63. Can tanks be pulled under the assessment portion of a petroleum brownfields multi-purpose pilot grant?

A63. Maybe. An underground storage tank may be pulled under an assessment grant only if the recipient determines that the tank must be pulled in order to conduct the assessment. The grant recipient is accountable for any decision made to pull a tank and will need to document the reasons for its decision for audit purposes.

Q64. What happens if I want to clean up my petroleum brownfields site to a higher cleanup standard than required under state law or regulation, but a responsible party exists that is required to clean up the site to meet the standard in the state law or regulation?

A64. You may still be eligible for the grant, even if another party is responsible for the initial cleanup to meet regulatory requirements as long as that party is not under a legal obligation to perform the same cleanup activities identified in your grant proposal. EPA or the state is required to determine that there is no viable responsible party that can address the petroleum contamination at the site. This analysis is twofold -- EPA or the state must first determine whether a responsible party exists and, if a responsible party is identified, then determine whether that party is viable for the activities identified in the grant proposal. Applicants are responsible for providing information in their proposal that demonstrates that the activities for which they seek funding have no viable responsible party (See Q58). EPA will consider a party to be viable if the party is financially capable of conducting the activity (i.e., assessment, investigation, and cleanup) identified in the grant proposal. In this case, even though the responsible party is financially capable of conducting the cleanup, the party may not be responsible for cleaning up the petroleum site to the applicant's desired level and the site may be eligible for brownfields funding consideration.

Q65. Can a state or tribe use LUST Trust Fund money from a cooperative agreement to pay for its preparation of its own Brownfields multi-purpose pilot petroleum proposal?

A65. Not as a direct cost. Under CERCLA § 104(k)(4)(B)(i)(III) administrative costs may not be charged to brownfields grants. 2 CFR Part 225, Appendix B, Item 34 requires EPA approval for states to charge proposal preparation costs directly to grants. EPA considers the costs of preparing Brownfields grants proposals to be an administrative cost. In accordance with 2 CFR Part 225 Attachment A, Paragraphs C 3.c. and F. 3.b. administrative costs that are not recoverable under one Federal grant cannot be shifted to another Federal grant and EPA cannot grant approval for a state or tribe to charge the costs of a competitive brownfields grant proposal as a direct costs. Proposal preparation costs may be allowable as part of a state's indirect cost pool without EPA approval under 2 CFR Part 225. States would then need to follow their internal policies and procedures to determine whether proposal preparation costs may be included in their indirect cost calculations. However, keep in mind that states may not charge indirect costs to CERCLA 104(k) Brownfields grants.

Q66. Can a state or tribe use LUST Trust Fund money as a direct cost under its RCRA §9003(h) grant to pay for providing advice, technical assistance, or (in the case of states) making determinations related to another eligible entity or nonprofit organization's brownfields application?

A66. It depends. As a threshold matter, under the LUST Trust Fund, the Brownfields application must be for corrective action for releases of petroleum from federally regulated USTs. Activities a state carries out in connection with applications for non-petroleum, non-corrective action activities and/or for non-federally regulated USTs would not be an eligible cost under a LUST cooperative agreement and would not qualify for financial support from the LUST Trust Fund. For example, assisting an eligible entity or non-profit organization apply for a brownfields hazardous substance grant would not be an eligible use of the LUST Trust Fund under RCRA § 9003(h).

However, providing advice and assistance to applicants for Brownfields/petroleum grants, including a state making determinations under CERCLA § 101(39)(D)(ii)(II)(bb), is a legitimate use of the LUST Trust Fund because it is an eligible cost under RCRA § 9003(h) associated with corrective action for petroleum releases. The Region awarding the grant would have to examine whether EPA's LUST Trust Fund cooperative agreement with the state allows for this type of activity within its scope of work. If the activity is within the scope of work, it would be eligible as a direct cost.

O. BROWNFIELDS AND PUBLIC HEALTH

Q67. Our community is concerned about health problems that may be related to brownfield sites. How do we apply for funds to conduct health monitoring at our brownfield sites?

A67. Under the Brownfields law, only local governments are eligible to use up to 10% of brownfield grant funds to monitor the health of populations that may be exposed to hazardous materials or monitor institutional controls to ensure they continue to protect public health.

Interested applicants may wish to review the fact sheet on Brownfields, health monitoring and public health on the Brownfields website (<http://www.epa.gov/brownfields/tools/finalphandbfffact.pdf>) to learn more about current examples of funded activities or other potential eligible activities. They should also contact and involve their local or state health department in preparing their grant proposal application and in determining what types of health monitoring may be appropriate given the brownfield sites in their community and the likely types of contaminants or exposures that may occur. The Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR) and Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) also have valuable tools to provide communities with information and assistance on environmental health issues. Please see their websites at: <http://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/> and <http://www.cdc.gov/> for additional information that may relate to environmental contaminants that pose health risks or ways to create healthier communities. For additional information, applicants should contact their EPA Regional Brownfields Coordinator to learn more about the brownfields grant program or the application process.

Q68. Can brownfields grant funds be used to assess and clean up sites contaminated with PCBs?

A68. Yes. All portions of properties potentially contaminated with PCBs may be eligible for brownfields site assessment, cleanup and revolving loan fund grants (without a property-specific determination), except where EPA has initiated an involuntary action to address PCB contamination at the facility or a portion of a facility. In cases where EPA has initiated an action under the Toxic Substances and Control Act against a property owner to address the illegal disposal of PCBs, the property still may be eligible for brownfields funding if an applicant can demonstrate that the funding will ensure protection of human health and the environment and promote either economic development or the creation or preservation of greenspace.

Q69. What is a risk and what is a stressor?

A69. EPA's Risk Assessment Portal provides the following definitions.

While there are many definitions of the word risk, EPA considers risk to be the chance of harmful effects to human health or to ecological systems resulting from exposure to an environmental stressor.

A stressor is any physical, chemical, or biological entity that can induce an adverse response. Stressors may adversely affect specific natural resources or entire ecosystems, including plants and animals, as well as the environment with which they interact

Q70. What is a sensitive population?

A70. There is no one definition of a sensitive population. Research has found your age can make a difference for risk to pollutants in the environment, either because you may be more highly exposed, or because you may have a greater response to exposures. Research has documented that risk to environmental pollutants can be greater for the very young and adults over 65 years of age. Individuals are exposed to multiple chemical and non-chemical stressors that can have the potential to negatively affect their health. EPA traditionally has used the [risk assessment paradigm](#) to assess exposures and risks to single chemicals. However, recently EPA has assessed risk to multiple contaminants like organophosphate pesticides and hazardous air pollutants. Additionally, the traditional [risk assessment](#) has not been used to assess the interaction of non-chemical stressors (e.g., stress, poverty) and environmental exposure to chemicals.

Different communities face different exposures to chemical and non-chemical stressors. Some communities may be more vulnerable to such stressors, due to genetics, social or other environmental factors. Community based risk assessment (CBRA) is an attempt to address exposures and environmental health risks in real world contexts.

P. BENEFITS OF BROWNFIELDS PROJECTS

Q71. What are some of the benefits associated with assessing and cleaning up a Brownfield site?

A71. There are numerous economic, environmental and social benefits that a community can expect upon the assessment and cleanup of brownfields sites. Examples of benefits include (but are not limited to):

- Economic benefits include an increase in local tax base and new job growth. There are numerous tax incentives from both state and local governments available for brownfields projects.*
- Environmental/social benefits include: reuse of existing infrastructure, development pressure taken off undeveloped land, prevention of sprawl, cleaner air and reduced natural habitat destruction.*
- Up to 33% of assessments conducted with Brownfield's grants reveal that no clean up is necessary and that the site is ready for development. This quick re-use is good for the developer, the local government who has been losing tax revenue, and the local community who has been living with a potentially toxic site blighting their neighborhood.*

Q72. What are examples of activities and EPA Initiatives that support the sustainable reuse of brownfield sites?

A72. There are many different activities and EPA Initiatives that support the sustainable reuse of brownfield properties. Several activities and EPA Initiatives are listed below. Please note that while EPA encourages applicants to incorporate elements of sustainable reuse in their brownfields projects, not all of the activities in the initiatives identified below will be eligible costs under the brownfields grant. Please contact your Regional Brownfields Coordinator listed in Section VII of the guidelines if you intend to seek brownfields grant funding for any of these activities.

(1) Clean Energy/ Energy Efficiency at a brownfield site

- Consider the use of renewable sources of energy such as solar, wind, geothermal or biomass for power on your brownfield site;
- Consider ways to reduce the use of conventional, hydrocarbon power on your brownfield site by using energy efficient appliances and fixtures

For more information on Clean Energy and Energy Efficiency: epa.gov/cleanenergy or epa.gov/greenpower or energystar.gov

(2) Water Efficiency at a brownfield site

- Consider ways to conserve water on your brownfield site by incorporating high efficiency water fixtures and toilets into any new or revitalized buildings.
- Consider ways to promote water conservation techniques and sustainable practices at the brownfield site through such techniques as Low Impact Development (LID) or Storm Water Retention.
- By promoting water efficiency at the brownfield site a storm water management plan can prevent untreated storm water from washing contaminants from the brownfield site into waterways.

For more information on water efficiency:

http://www.epa.gov/watersense/water_efficiency/index.html

For more information on storm water retention:

*cfpub.epa.gov/npdes/home.cfm?program_id=6 or cfpub.epa.gov/npdes/stormwater/const.cfm
(specifically related to construction concerns)*

For more information on Low Impact Development: epa.gov/owow/nps/lid

(3) Green Remediation of a brownfield site

- Green Remediation is defined by the EPA as “the practice of considering all environmental effects of remedy implementation and incorporating options to maximize net environmental benefit of cleanup actions.”
- Consider ways to incorporate sustainable practices when cleaning up a brownfield site including: ways to reduce harmful air emissions, minimize water consumption, reduce land and ecosystem impacts during the cleanup and reduce material consumption and waste generation.

For more information on Green Remediation practices at brownfield sites:

<http://www.clu-in.org/download/remed/Green-Remediation-Primer.pdf>

(4) Construction and Demolition Recycling

- *Consider ways of incorporating construction and demolition materials recycling into the assessment, cleanup, or redevelopment of your brownfield site.*
- *If your site has buildings that need to be demolished, plan to recover and sell, donate, or reuse the uncontaminated usable materials rather than disposing of them in a landfill. Materials such as clean untreated wood, gypsum wallboard, metal, asphalt paving, concrete, brick, roofing material, and architectural salvage materials are commonly recycled.*
- *If your project will include new construction, plan to use recycled materials such as those from deconstruction projects or from materials that have been made out of recycled goods.*

For more information on Construction and Demolition Recycling at brownfields sites:

<http://www.epa.gov/brownfields/tools/cdbrochure.pdf>

(5) Diesel Emission Reduction at brownfields sites, especially during the cleanup of your brownfield site

- *Consider ways to reduce harmful emissions released by the burning of diesel fuels by incorporating modifications to operations such as: reducing operating and idle times of machinery, keeping engines well tuned and maintained in accordance with engine manual (i.e., change air filters, check engine timing, fuel injectors and pumps) or replacing existing engines with cleaner diesel engines, hybrid or alternative fuels.*

*For more information on diesel emission reduction: [**http://www.epa.gov/diesel/**](http://www.epa.gov/diesel/)*