

Internal Report

Assessment of High-Rate Sedimentation Processes: Microcarrier Weighted Coagulation Jar Tests

by

Yuan Ding, Robert Dresnack, and Paul C. Chan
Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering
New Jersey Institute of Technology
Newark, New Jersey 07102

Contract No. 7C-R364-NAFX

August 1999

Project Officer

Chi-Yuan Fan
Water Supply and Water Resources Division
National Risk Management Research Laboratory
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Edison, New Jersey 08837

Disclaimer

The study described in this document had been funded wholly or in part by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (Contract No. 7C-R364-NAFX) and the New Jersey Institute of Technology.

It has been subjected to the Agency's technical peer review. Currently, the microcarrier weighted coagulation jar test procedure is being further evaluated. It does not necessarily reflect the views of the Agency, and no official endorsement should be inferred. Also, the mention of trade names or commercial products does not imply endorsement by the United States government.

Abstract

Past studies have identified that a significant amount of wet weather flow pollutants is associated with colloidal and larger particulate solids. These particles can play an important role in water treatment and pollutant transport due to their large specific surface area and high energies that facilitate the sorption of significant quantities of substances. Since the colloidal particles adsorb heavy metal and organic ions and water borne microorganisms, removal of these particles is of paramount importance in the water treatment process. In this process, colloidal particles, coagulated with microcarriers (MC), can be removed by a high-rate sedimentation process. The MC plays a crucial role in enhancing settling properties, and in particular, the removal of colloidal particles and associated contaminants.

A detailed testing procedure and a method of experimental analysis using a modified jar test for the MC process have been developed. A series of MC weighted jar tests were undertaken on parking lot storm runoff, synthetic samples, and combined sewer overflow mixed with a MC, coagulant (electrolyte) and coagulant aid (polyelectrolyte). Two particle analyzers with a range of 0.002 to 5 micrometers (μm) and 0.1 to 2,000 μm , respectively, were used to determine the full range of particle size distribution. Different materials were used as the MC in this study. The operational parameters being evaluated include coagulant dosage, coagulant aid type and dosage, mixing- and flocculation-induced hydraulic shear or velocity gradients and duration, and characteristics of the MC. The pH, turbidity, particle size distribution, total solids, total volatile solids, suspended solids, and zeta potential were determined. The experimental results reveal that the MC weighted coagulation dramatically reduced coagulation (< 3min.) and settling time (< 8 min) producing high settling velocity flocs and high quality supernatant (turbidity from > 80 to < 2 NTU).

Table of Contents

<u>Number</u>	<u>Page</u>
Disclaimer.....	ii
Abstract.....	iii
Table of Contents.....	iv
Figures.....	vii
Tables.....	xi
Chapter 1 - Introduction.....	1
1.1 Background.....	1
1.2 Purpose of Study.....	3
Project Objectives.....	3
1.3 Colloids Coagulation Analysis.....	4
Mass Transport Coefficient (β).....	4
Colloidal Stability (α).....	5
Particle Interactions.....	5
Fractal Approach.....	6
Relevant Aspects.....	6
1.4 Organization of Report.....	6
Chapter 2 - Experimental Instruments and Testing Procedures.....	8
2.1 Test Apparatus and Instruments.....	8
2.2 Testing Procedures.....	14
MC Weighted Jar Test.....	15
Particle Size Determination.....	16
Zeta Potential Measurement.....	20

Table of Contents (continued)

<u>Number</u>	<u>Page</u>
Chapter 3 - Experimental Program.....	23
3.1 Sample Preparation	23
Surface Runoff	23
Combined Sewer Overflow	27
3.2 Measurement Parameters	27
Control Variables	27
Response Variables	28
3.3 Experimental Design	28
MC	29
Coagulant	29
Coagulant Aid	31
Experiments	35
Chapter 4 - Experimental Results: Surface Runoff.....	43
4.1 Prescreening Tests	43
4.2 Effectiveness of MC Process	45
4.3 Screening Tests	49
Level One	49
Level Two	50
Level Three	51
Summary	52
4.4 Confirmative Tests	54
Chapter 5 - Experimental Results: Combined Sewer Overflow....	87
5.1 Prescreening Tests	87
5.2 Effectiveness of MC Process	87
5.3 Control Variable Determination	91
Coagulant Concentration	91
Coagulant Aid Concentration	94
MC Concentration	96
MC Size	96
Settling Time	99
5.4 Response Variable Evaluation	101
pH	101
Suspended Solids	102
Total Solids	103
Total Volatile Solids	103
Total Organic and Inorganic Carbon	104
Fecal Coliform	107
Relationship of Particle Count Rate and Turbidity ...	107
Zeta Potential	112

Table of Contents (continued)

<u>Number</u>		<u>Page</u>
Chapter 6 - Summary and Recommendations.....		110
6.1 Summary		110
6.2 Recommendations		111
References.....		113
Appendix A - Quality Assurance Statement.....		A-1
Appendix B - Zeta Potential Measurement Procedures.....		B-1
Appendix C - Test Data.....		C-1

Figures

<u>Number</u>	<u>Page</u>
2-1. Particle Size Analyzer I.....	10
2-2. Particle Size Analyzer II with Zeta Potential Meter....	11
2-3. Six Stirrer Jar Test Apparatus.....	12
2-4. Square Jars.....	13
2-5. Principles of Particle Size Analyzer I.....	17
2-6. Principles of Particle Size Analyzer II.....	18
2-7. Principles of Zeta Potential Measurement.....	22
3-1. Zeta Potential Distribution of Aluminum Sulfate Solution...	30
3-2. Zeta Potential Distribution of Ferric Chloride Solution....	30
3-3. pH Distribution of Aluminum Sulfate Solution.....	31
3-4. pH Distribution of Ferric Chloride Solution	31
3-5. Zeta Potential Distribution of POL-EZ-2466.....	33
3-6. Zeta Potential Distribution of POL-EZ-3466.....	33
3-7. Zeta Potential Distribution of POL-EZ-2696.....	34
3-8. Zeta Potential Distribution of POL-EZ-7736.....	34
3-9. A Comparison of Zeta Potential of Coagulant Aid.....	35
4-1. Particle Size of Raw and Treated Samples (Cumulative)	45
4-2. Particle Size of Raw and Treated Samples (Distributions)	46
4-3. Effect of the MC.....	43
4-4. Typical pH Distributions (Level-1).....	56

Figures (continued)

<u>Number</u>	<u>Page</u>
4-5. Turbidity Versus Coagulant Concentration (Level-1)	57
4-6. Zeta Potential Distributions (Level-1).....	58
4-7. Correlation of Zeta Potential and Turbidity (Level-1)	59
4-8. Particle Count Rate for the Best Coagulant Concentration (Level-1)	60
4-9. Typical pH Distributions (Level-2).....	61
4-10. Summary of pH Distributions (Level-2).....	62
4-11. Typical Turbidity Distributions (Level-2).....	63
4-12. Summary of Turbidity Distributions (Level-2).....	64
4-13. Total Solid Distributions (Level-2).....	65
4-14. Total volatile Solid Distributions (Level-2).....	66
4-15. A Comparison of Turbidity and Count Rate (Level-2)	67
4-16. Zeta Potential Distributions (Level-2).....	68
4-17. Correlation of Zeta Potential and Turbidity (Level-2)	69
4-18. Summary of pH Distributions (Level-3).....	70
4-19. Turbidity Distributions (Level-3; POL-EZ-2696).....	71
4-20. Zeta Potential Distributions (Level-3; POL-EZ-2696)	72
4-21. Correlation of Zeta Potential and Turbidity (Level-3)	73
4-22. Turbidity Distributions (Level-3; POL-EZ-2466).....	74
4-23. Zeta Potential Distributions (Level-3; POL-EZ-2466)	75
4-24. Correlation of Zeta Potential and Turbidity (Level-3)	76

Figures (continued)

<u>Number</u>	<u>Page</u>
4-25. Count Rate Distribution (Level-3; POL-EZ-2466).....	77
4-26. Total Solids Distributions (Level-3; POL-EZ-2466)	78
4-27. Total volatile Solids Distributions (Level-3; POL-EZ-2466)	79
4-28. Turbidity for Different MC Concentrations (small MC)	80
4-29. Turbidity for Different MC Concentrations (large MC)	81
4-30. Turbidity for Different MC Sizes (Low MC Dosage).....	82
4-31. Turbidity for Different MC Sizes (High MC Dosage).....	83
4-32. Turbidity Summary for Confirmative Tests (by MC Group)	84
4-33. Turbidity Summary for Confirmative Tests (by Coagulant Aid group)	85
4-34. Correlation of Particle Count Rate and Turbidity.....	86
5-1. Effectiveness of the MC Process.....	89
5-2. Particle Sizes of Raw and Treated Samples (Cumulative)	89
5-3. Particle Sizes of Raw and Treated Samples (Distributions)	90
5-4. Coagulant Concentration Selection (Test-1).....	92
5-5. Coagulant Concentration Selection (Test-2).....	93
5-6. Particle Count Rate Versus Turbidity.....	94
5-7. Coagulant Aid Concentration Selection (Low Dose).....	95
5-8. Coagulant Aid Concentration Selection (High Dose).....	95
5-9. MC Concentration Selection.....	97
5-10. MC Size Selection.....	98
5-11. Turbidity Versus Settling Time with Optimal Condition ...	99
5-12. Turbidity Versus Settling Time.....	100

Figures (continued)

<u>Number</u>		<u>Page</u>
5-13.	pH Versus Settling Time with Optimal Condition	101
5-14.	Suspended Solids Versus Settling Time	102
5-15.	Total Solids Versus Settling Time	103
5-16.	Total Volatile Solids Versus Settling Time	104
5-17.	Total Organic and Inorganic Carbon Distributions (with Different Raw Samples)	105
5-18.	Total Organic and Inorganic Carbon Distributions (with Different Mixing Duration)	106
5-19.	Fecal Coliform Distributions	107
5-20.	Particle Count Rate Versus Turbidity	108
5-21.	Particle Count Rate Versus Turbidity (log-log)	108
5-22.	Turbidity Distribution Versus Zeta Potential	109

Tables

<u>Number</u>	<u>Page</u>
1-1. Metal Distribution Versus Particle Size.....	2
2-1. Specifications of Major Instruments.....	9
2-2. Parameter Measurement Procedures.....	14
3-1. Preservation Condition and Holding Time For Sample Analysis.....	24
3-2. Dry Sample Size Characteristics.....	26
3-3. Composition of Synthetic Samples.....	26
3-4. List of Coagulant Aids.....	32
3-5. Zeta Potential of Coagulant Aids.....	35
3-6. Parameter Setup for Prescreening Jar Tests.....	36
3-7. Determination of Rapid Mixing Rate with Duration and Flocculation Rate with Duration.....	37
3-8. MC Identification.....	38
3-9. Screening and Confirmative Tests Parameter Evaluation	38
3-10. Screening Tests -- Level 1.....	39
3-11. Screening Tests -- Level 2.....	40
3-12. Screening Tests -- Level 3.....	41
3-13. Confirmative Tests.....	42
4-1. Summary of Experimental Settings.....	44

Tables (continued)

4-2. Particle Size Distributions of Raw and
Treated Samples 47

4-3. Relationship of Turbidity and Zeta Potential.....53

5-1. Summary of Experimental Settings for CSO Treatment.....88

A-1. QA Objective for Precision, Accuracy, MDL, and
CompletenessA-2