

enforce its requirements. (See section 307(b)(2).)

**List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52**

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Nitrogen oxides, Ozone, Particulate matter, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Sulfur dioxide.

Dated: January 15, 2008.

**Robert W. Varney,**

*Regional Administrator, EPA New England.*

■ Part 52 of chapter I, title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

**PART 52—[AMENDED]**

■ 1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

*Authority:* 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

**Subpart H—Connecticut**

■ 2. Section 52.370 is amended by revising paragraph (c)(80)(i)(B) and adding paragraphs (c)(86)(iii) and (c)(97) to read as follows:

**§ 52.370 Identification of plan.**

\* \* \* \* \*

- (c) \* \* \*
- (80) \* \* \*
- (i) \* \* \*

(B) Regulation section 22a–174–22a, “The Nitrogen Oxides (NO<sub>x</sub>) Budget Program” adopted on December 15, 1998, and effective on March 3, 1999. As of January 24, 2008, Section 22a–174–22a is superseded and shall have no prospective effect. Violations of Section 22a–174–22a that occur prior to January 24, 2008 shall continue to be subject to enforcement, including on or after January 24, 2008, in accordance with applicable law.

\* \* \* \* \*

(86) \* \* \*

(iii) Section 22a–174–22b, State of Connecticut Regulation of Department of Environmental Protection Concerning The Post-2002 Nitrogen Oxides (NO<sub>x</sub>) Budget Program, is fully enforceable up to and including April 30, 2010. As of May 1, 2010, Section 22a–174–22b is superseded and shall have no prospective effect. Violations of Section 22a–174–22b that occur prior to May 1,

2010 shall be subject to enforcement, including on or after May 1, 2010, in accordance with applicable law.

\* \* \* \* \*

(97) Revisions to the State Implementation Plan submitted by the Connecticut Department of Environmental Protection on April 26, 2007 and September 12, 2007.

(i) Incorporation by reference.

(A) Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies (RCSA) section 22a–174–22c entitled “The Clean Air Interstate Rule (CAIR) Nitrogen Oxides (NO<sub>x</sub>) Ozone Season Trading Program,” effective in the State of Connecticut on September 4, 2007.

■ 3. In § 52.385, Table 52.385 is amended by adding new entries to existing state citations for 22a–174–22a and 22a–174–22b; and by adding a new state citation for 22a–174–22c to read as follows:

**§ 52.385 EPA-approved Connecticut regulations.**

\* \* \* \* \*

TABLE 52.385.—EPA-APPROVED REGULATIONS

Connecticut state citation	Title/subject	Dates		Federal Register citation	Section 52.370	Comments/description
		Date adopted by State	Date approved by EPA			
22a–174–22a .....	The Connecticut NO <sub>x</sub> Budget Program.	9/04/07	1/24/08	[Insert <b>Federal Register</b> page number where the document begins].	(c)(97)	Repealed as of January 24, 2008. Superseded by CAIR.
22a–174–22b .....	The Connecticut Post-2002 NO <sub>x</sub> Budget Program, as of May 1, 2010.	9/04/07	1/24/08	[Insert <b>Federal Register</b> page number where the document begins].	(c)(97)	Repealed as of May 1, 2010. Superseded by CAIR.
22a–174–22c .....	The Clean Air Interstate Rule (CAIR) Nitrogen Oxides (NO <sub>x</sub> ) Ozone Season Trading Program.	9/04/07	1/24/08	[Insert <b>Federal Register</b> page number where the document begins].	(c)(97)	
*	*	*	*	*	*	*

[FR Doc. E8–1183 Filed 1–23–08; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

**40 CFR Part 52**

[EPA–R02–OAR–2007–0913; FRL–8514–9]

**Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; New York: Clean Air Interstate Rule**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is taking final action to approve a revision to the New York State Implementation Plan (SIP) that addresses the requirements of EPA’s Clean Air Interstate Rule (CAIR), promulgated on May 12, 2005 and subsequently revised on April 28, 2006, and December 13, 2006. EPA has determined that the SIP revision fully implements the CAIR requirements for New York. As a result of this rulemaking, EPA will also withdraw, through a separate rulemaking, the CAIR Federal Implementation Plans (CAIR FIPs) concerning sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>),

nitrogen oxides (NO<sub>x</sub>) annual, and NO<sub>x</sub> ozone season emissions for New York. The CAIR FIPs for all states in the CAIR region were promulgated on April 28, 2006 and subsequently revised on December 13, 2006. In addition, EPA is determining that the New York SIP revision satisfies New York's obligation under section 110(a)(2)(D)(i) of the Clean Air Act (CAA) to prohibit air emissions that would interfere with provisions to prevent significant deterioration of air quality.

**DATES:** This rule is effective on January 24, 2008.

**ADDRESSES:** EPA has established a docket for this action under Docket ID No. EPA-R02-OAR-2007-0913. All documents in the docket are available online at [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov). Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, i.e., Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, is not placed on the Internet and will be publicly available only in hard copy form. Publicly available docket materials are available either electronically in [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) or in hard copy at the Air Programs Branch, Environmental Protection Agency, Region 2 Office, 290 Broadway, 25th Floor, New York, New York 10007-1866.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. Kenneth Fradkin, Environmental Protection Agency, Region 2 Office, 290 Broadway, 25th Floor, New York, New York 10007-1866, phone number (212) 637-3702 or by e-mail at: [fradkin.kenneth@epa.gov](mailto:fradkin.kenneth@epa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Table of Contents**

- I. EPA's Action
  - A. What action is EPA approving?
  - B. When did EPA propose to approve New York's SIP revision?
  - C. What are the public comments on EPA's proposal?
  - D. Where is additional information available on EPA's action?
- II. Conclusion
- III. When Is This Action Effective?
- IV. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

**I. EPA's Action**

*A. What action is EPA approving?*

EPA is taking final action to approve a revision to New York's SIP which was approved for adoption by New York's State Environmental Board on August 28, 2007 and submitted as a SIP revision on September 17, 2007. New York's revision addresses the Clean Air

Interstate Rule (CAIR) and obligations under 110(a)(2)(D)(i) for the 8-hour ozone and fine particle (PM<sub>2.5</sub>) National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS). New York's adoption was published in the New York Register on October 10, 2007 (Volume XXIX, Issue 41).

EPA has determined that the SIP, as revised, will meet the applicable requirements of CAIR. Parts 243, 244 and 245 of title 6 of the New York Code of Rules and Regulations (6NYCRR) constitute New York State's CAIR program. Part 243 establishes the CAIR NO<sub>x</sub> Ozone Season Trading Program; Part 244 establishes the CAIR NO<sub>x</sub> Annual Trading Program; and Part 245 establishes the CAIR SO<sub>2</sub> Trading Program.

As a result of this action, the Administrator of EPA will also issue a final rule to withdraw the FIPs concerning SO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>x</sub> annual, and NO<sub>x</sub> ozone season emissions for New York. The Administrator's action will delete and reserve 40 CFR 52.1684 and 40 CFR 52.1685, relating to the CAIR FIP obligations for New York. The withdrawal of the CAIR FIPs for New York is a conforming amendment that must be made once the SIP is approved because EPA's authority to issue the FIPs was premised on a deficiency in the SIP for New York. Once the SIP is fully approved, EPA no longer has authority for the FIPs. Thus, EPA will not have the option of maintaining the FIPs following the full SIP approval. Accordingly, EPA does not intend to offer an opportunity for a public hearing or an additional opportunity for written public comment on the withdrawal of the FIPs.

In addition, as EPA determined in the final CAIR, EPA's conclusion that the revised SIP meets the applicable requirements of CAIR is also sufficient to demonstrate that the New York SIP satisfies the requirements in section 110(a)(2)(D)(i) of the Clean Air Act (CAA) with regard to "significant contribution" and "interference with maintenance". Section 110(a)(2)(D)(i) requires, among other things, that each state submit a SIP that prohibits any source or any other type of emission activity within a state from emitting pollutants in amounts that will: (1) contribute significantly to downwind nonattainment of the NAAQS and (2) interfere with maintenance of the NAAQS. Because EPA previously determined in the CAIR that states will meet these two obligations by complying with the applicable CAIR requirements, EPA is not taking any final action in this notice with regard to the "significant contribution" and

"interference with maintenance" obligations in section 110(a)(2)(D)(i).

Section 110(a)(2)(D)(i) also contains requirements related to emissions that interfere with the prevention of significant deterioration of air quality (PSD) and visibility protection, and CAIR did not address states' obligations with respect to these two requirements. In today's action, EPA is taking final action to determine that the New York SIP satisfies the CAA 110(a)(2)(D)(i) requirement that each state is to submit a SIP that prohibits any source or any other type of emission activity within a state from emitting pollutants in amounts that will interfere with provisions to prevent significant deterioration of air quality. EPA is taking no action to determine whether the New York SIP satisfies the visibility protection requirements in 110(a)(2)(D)(i) of the CAA because it is not possible at this time for New York to accurately determine whether there is interference with measures in another state's SIP to protect visibility. New York will need to address the visibility protection requirements once the regional haze SIP is completed and submitted to EPA.

*B. When did EPA propose to approve New York's SIP revision?*

EPA proposed to approve New York's request to amend the SIP on October 1, 2007 (72 FR 55723). The comment period closed on October 31, 2007. One comment was received and is addressed in Section I.C. below.

*C. What are the public comments on EPA's proposal?*

The following is a summary of the comments received on the proposed rule published on October 1, 2007 (72 FR 55723), and EPA's response.

*Comment:* On October 30, 2007, the Connecticut Department of Environmental Protection (CTDEP) submitted adverse comments on EPA's proposed rule to approve New York's CAIR SIP. CTDEP indicates that the State is encouraged by the efforts of New York and other states to adopt programs to meet the emission reduction requirements of CAIR, and urges EPA approval. However, it argues that before approving state plans with respect to CAA 110(a)(2)(D), EPA should evaluate individually and in the aggregate each state's clean air programs. They argue such evaluation is necessary to ensure that each state's emissions do not significantly contribute to ozone nonattainment in Connecticut or any other state. CTDEP expresses concern that EPA is determining through this and other

similar rulemakings that CAIR programs are sufficient to meet states' section 110(a)(2)(D)(i) obligations. CTDEP asserts, based on EPA and State modeling for CAIR, that the levels of transported pollution remaining after CAIR implementation are large enough that, even with local controls, it may be difficult for Connecticut to attain the 8-hour ozone NAAQS by 2010. Finally, CTDEP questions EPA's determination that highly cost effective controls are adequate to address states' section 110(a)(2)(D)(i) obligations as compared to "reasonable cost" controls that could be achieved to effect more stringent NO<sub>x</sub> reductions.

*Response:* EPA does not agree that it is appropriate or necessary for EPA to conduct additional analysis before approving the New York CAIR SIP revision. Under this SIP revision, New York has chosen to participate in the EPA administered cap-and-trade program for SO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>x</sub> annual, and NO<sub>x</sub> ozone season emissions. EPA has evaluated this SIP revision and has determined that it complies with the applicable requirements in 40 CFR 51.123(o) and (aa), with regard to NO<sub>x</sub> annual and NO<sub>x</sub> ozone season emissions, and 40 CFR 51.124(o), with regard to SO<sub>2</sub> emissions. CTDEP does not challenge this determination. Thus, CTDEP's comments do not specifically pertain to any aspect of EPA's proposed action to approve New York's CAIR SIP revision. Rather, the comments appear to be directed broadly at EPA's decisions with regard to states' section 110(a)(2)(D)(i) obligations. These decisions were made by EPA in the context of the CAIR rulemaking, which was promulgated on May 12, 2005 (70 FR 25162), not in the proposed action to approve New York's CAIR SIP revision. Therefore, CTDEP's comments are not relevant to the proposed action. CTDEP had ample opportunity to submit comments both during the comment period for the proposed CAIR rulemaking of January 30, 2004 (69 FR 4566) and during the comment period for the proposed CAIR FIP of August 24, 2005 (70 FR 49708). EPA's proposal to approve New York's CAIR SIP did not reopen either the CAIR or CAIR FIP rulemakings. Consequently, CTDEP's comments are not relevant to this rulemaking, or timely with respect to the CAIR and CAIR FIP rulemakings. Thus, EPA does not believe it is necessary to conduct additional analysis on whether New York or any other state satisfies the requirements of 110(a)(2)(D) before approving the New York CAIR SIP submission.

#### *D. Where is additional information available on EPA's action?*

A detailed analysis of New York's SIP submittal pertaining to New York's CAIR program and the requirements of section 110(a)(2)(D)(i) of the CAA is available in the October 1, 2007 Proposed Rulemaking (72 FR 55723). A copy of the rulemaking is available in the EPA docket.

#### **II. Conclusion**

EPA is taking final action to approve New York's full CAIR SIP revision submitted on September 17, 2007. Under this SIP revision, New York is choosing to participate in the EPA administered cap-and-trade program for SO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>x</sub> annual, and NO<sub>x</sub> ozone season emissions. The SIP revision meets the applicable requirements in 40 CFR 51.123(o) and (aa), with regard to NO<sub>x</sub> annual and NO<sub>x</sub> ozone season emissions, and 40 CFR 51.124(o), with regard to SO<sub>2</sub> emissions. The revision includes three emission cap-and-trade rules, 6 NYCRR Parts 243, 244, and 245, effective on October 19, 2007, which implement the State's CAIR Cap-and-Trade Programs in New York. EPA has determined that the SIP, as revised, will meet the requirements of CAIR. The Administrator of EPA has also issued a direct final rule to automatically withdraw the CAIR FIPs concerning SO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>x</sub> annual, and NO<sub>x</sub> ozone season emissions for New York State upon the effective date of EPA's approval of a full state SIP revision that meets the requirements of CAIR. This action will delete and reserve 40 CFR 52.1684 and 40 CFR 52.1685.

In addition, EPA is also taking final action to determine that the New York SIP satisfies the requirement in section 110(a)(2)(D)(i) of the Clean Air Act (CAA) that requires each state to submit a SIP that prohibits any source or any other type of emission activity within a state from emitting pollutants in amounts that will interfere with provisions to prevent significant deterioration of air quality. EPA is not taking action to determine whether the New York SIP satisfies the 110(a)(2)(D)(i) requirement regarding visibility protection. This requirement will be re-evaluated after regional haze SIPs are completed and approved by EPA.

#### **III. When Is This Action Effective?**

EPA finds that there is good cause for this approval to become effective on January 24, 2008, because a delayed effective date is unnecessary due to the nature of the approval, which allows the State to implement the State's CAIR

Cap-and-Trade Programs in New York. The expedited effective date for this action is authorized under both 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(1), which provides that rule actions may become effective less than 30 days after publication if the rule "grants or recognizes an exemption or relieves a restriction" and section 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(3), which allows an effective date less than 30 days after publication "as otherwise provided by the agency for good cause found and published with the rule." CAIR SIP approvals relieve states and CAIR sources within states from being subject to allowance allocation provisions in the CAIR FIPs that otherwise would apply to them, allowing States to make their own allowance allocations based on their SIP-approved State rule. The relief from these obligations is sufficient reason to allow an expedited effective date of this rule under 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(1). In addition, New York's relief from these obligations provides good cause to make this rule effective on January 24, 2008, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(3). The purpose of the 30-day waiting period prescribed in 5 U.S.C. 553(d) is to give affected parties a reasonable time to adjust their behavior and prepare before the final rule takes effect. Where, as here, the final rule relieves obligations rather than imposes obligations, affected parties, such as the State of New York and CAIR sources within the State, do not need time to adjust and prepare before the rule takes effect.

#### **IV. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews**

Under Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993), this action is not a "significant regulatory action" and therefore is not subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget. For this reason, this action is also not subject to Executive Order 13211, "Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use" (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001). This action merely approves state law as meeting Federal requirements and would impose no additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law. Accordingly, the Administrator certifies that this rule would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.). Because this action approves pre-existing requirements under state law and would not impose any additional enforceable duty beyond that required by state law, it does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described

in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-4).

This rule also does not have tribal implications because it would not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000). This action also does not have Federalism implications because it would not have substantial direct effects on the states, on the relationship between the national government and the states, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government, as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999). This action merely approves a state rule implementing a Federal standard and will result, as a consequence of that approval, in the Administrator's withdrawal of the CAIR FIP. It does not alter the relationship or the distribution of power and responsibilities established in the Clean Air Act. This rule also is not subject to Executive Order 13045 "Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks" (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997), because it would approve a state rule implementing a Federal Standard.

In reviewing SIP submissions, EPA's role is to approve state choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the Clean Air Act. In this context, in the absence of a prior existing requirement for the state to use voluntary consensus standards (VCS), EPA has no authority to disapprove a SIP submission for failure to use VCS. It would thus be inconsistent with applicable law for EPA, when it reviews a SIP submission, to use VCS in place of a SIP submission that otherwise satisfies the provisions of the Clean Air Act. Thus, the requirements of section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) do not apply. This rule would

not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.).

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801, et seq., as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. EPA will submit a report containing this rule and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the **Federal Register**. A major rule cannot take effect until 60 days after it is published in the **Federal Register**. This action is not a "major rule" as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

Under section 307(b)(1) of the CAA, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by March 24, 2008. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this rule for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements. (See section 307(b)(2)).

**List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52**

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Electric utilities, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Nitrogen oxides, Ozone, Particulate matter, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Sulfur dioxide.

Dated: December 31, 2007.

**Alan J. Steinberg,**  
Regional Administrator, Region 2.

■ 40 CFR part 52 is amended as follows:

**PART 52—[AMENDED]**

■ 1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 7401, et seq.

**Subpart HH—New York**

■ 2. Section 52.1670 is amended by adding new paragraph (c)(113) to read as follows:

**§ 52.1670 Identification of plans.**

\* \* \* \* \*  
(c) \* \* \*

(113) A revision to the State Implementation Plan that was submitted on September 17, 2007 by the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC). This revision consists of regulations to meet the requirements of the Clean Air Interstate Rule (CAIR). This revision also addresses New York's 110(a)(2)(D)(i) obligations to submit a SIP revision that contains adequate provisions to prohibit air emissions from adversely affecting another state's air quality through interstate transport.

(i) Incorporation by reference:

(A) Part 243, CAIR NO<sub>x</sub> Ozone Season Trading Program, Part 244, CAIR NO<sub>x</sub> Annual Trading Program, and Part 245, CAIR SO<sub>2</sub> Trading Program, effective on October 19, 2007, of Title 6 of the New York Code of Rules and Regulations (NYCRR).

(B) Notice of Adoption, New York State Clean Air Interstate Rule, addition of Parts 243, 244 and 245 to Title 6 NYCRR, New York State Register, dated October 10, 2007, pages 16-22.

(ii) Additional information:

(A) Letter dated September 14, 2007 from Assistant Commissioner J. Jared Snyder, NYSDEC, to Alan J. Steinberg, RA, EPA Region II, submitting the SIP revision.

■ 3. In § 52.1679, the table is amended by adding under Title 6 entries for Parts 243, 244, and 245 in numerical order to read as follows:

**§ 52.1679 EPA—approved New York State regulations.**

State regulation	State effective date	EPA approved date	Comments
<b>Title 6</b>			
* * * * *			
Part 243, CAIR NO <sub>x</sub> Ozone Season Trading Program .....	10/19/07	1/24/08, [Insert FR page citation].	
Part 244, CAIR NO <sub>x</sub> Annual Trading Program .....	10/19/07	1/24/08, [insert FR page citation].	
Part 245, CAIR SO <sub>2</sub> Trading Program .....	10/19/07	1/24/08, [insert FR page citation].	
* * * * *			

[FR Doc. E8-802 Filed 1-23-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

### 47 CFR Part 73

[MM Docket No. 98-203; FCC 01-306]

#### Ancillary or Supplementary Use of Digital Television Capacity by Noncommercial Licensees

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Final rule; announcement of effective date.

**SUMMARY:** The Federal Communications Commission adopted rules concerning the provision of ancillary and supplementary services by noncommercial educational television licensees. The changes to the rules require Office of Management and Budget (OMB) approval to become effective. This document announces that the Commission has received OMB approval for these rules.

**DATES:** The changes to the rules published on November 26, 2001, 66 FR 58982, amending 47 CFR 73.624(g)(2)(i) are effective January 24, 2008.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For information on this proceeding, contact Kim Matthews, [kim.matthews@fcc.gov](mailto:kim.matthews@fcc.gov), (202) 418-2154, of the Federal Communications Commission, Media Bureau. Questions concerning the OMB control number should be directed to Cathy Williams, Federal Communications Commission, (202) 418-2918, [cathy.williams@fcc.gov](mailto:cathy.williams@fcc.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Federal Communications Commission has received OMB approval for the rule changes published at 66 FR 58982, November 26, 2001. Through this document, the Commission announces that it received this approval on July 7, 2003.

In a Report and Order, released on October 17, 2001, and published in the **Federal Register** on November 26, 2001, 66 FR 58982, the Federal Communications Commission adopted rules that contained information collection requirements subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act. On July 7, 2003, the Office of Management and Budget approved the information collection requirements contained in 47 CFR 73.624(g)(2)(i). This information collection is assigned OMB Control Number 3060-0906. This publication satisfies the requirement that the Commission publish a document

announcing the effective date of the rule changes requiring OMB approval.

Federal Communications Commission.

**Marlene H. Dortch,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. E8-1163 Filed 1-23-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-P

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Defense Acquisition Regulations System

#### 48 CFR Parts 204 and 225

#### Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement; Technical Amendments

**AGENCY:** Defense Acquisition Regulations System, Department of Defense (DoD).

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** DoD is making technical amendments to the Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement (DFARS) to update an office symbol and a cross-reference.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* January 24, 2008.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ms. Michele Peterson, Defense Acquisition Regulations System, OUSD(AT&L)DPAP(DARS), IMD 3D139, 3062 Defense Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301-3062. Telephone 703-602-0311; facsimile 703-602-7887.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This final rule amends DFARS text as follows:

- *Section 204.7005.* Updates the office symbol for the Defense Logistics Agency order code monitor.
- *Section 225.103.* Updates a cross-reference.

#### List of Subjects in 48 CFR Parts 204 and 225

Government procurement.

**Michele P. Peterson,**

*Editor, Defense Acquisition Regulations System.*

■ Therefore, 48 CFR Parts 204 and 225 are amended as follows:

■ 1. The authority citation for 48 CFR parts 204 and 225 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 41 U.S.C. 421 and 48 CFR Chapter 1.

#### PART 204—ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS

##### 204.7005 [Amended]

■ 2. Section 204.7005 is amended in paragraph (c), in the entry “Defense Logistics Agency”, by removing “(J-3311)” and adding in its place “(J71)”.

## PART 225—FOREIGN ACQUISITION

### 225.103 [Amended]

■ 3. Section 225.103 is amended in paragraph (a)(ii)(B) introductory text, by removing “225.872-4(b)” and adding in its place “PGI 225.872-4”.

[FR Doc. E8-1102 Filed 1-23-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001-08-P

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Defense Acquisition Regulations System

#### 48 CFR Parts 204 and 244

RIN 0750-AF61

#### Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement; Closeout of Contract Files (DFARS Case 2006-D045)

**AGENCY:** Defense Acquisition Regulations System, Department of Defense (DoD).

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** DoD has issued a final rule amending the Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement (DFARS) to remove text addressing DoD procedures for closeout of contract files. Text on this subject has been relocated to the DFARS companion resource, Procedures, Guidance, and Information.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* January 24, 2008.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ms. Deborah Tronic, Defense Acquisition Regulations System, OUSD(AT&L)DPAP(DARS), IMD 3D139, 3062 Defense Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301-3062. Telephone 703-602-0289; facsimile 703-602-7887. Please cite DFARS Case 2006-D045.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### A. Background

This final rule revises DFARS 204.804 to remove text addressing DoD procedures for closeout of contract files. Text on this subject has been relocated to the DFARS companion resource, Procedures, Guidance, and Information (PGI), at <http://www.acq.osd.mil/dpap/dars/dfarspgi/current/index.html>. In addition, the rule amends DFARS 244.304 to clarify an existing reference to corresponding PGI text.

DoD published a proposed rule at 72 FR 14256 on March 27, 2007. DoD received no comments on the proposed rule. Therefore, DoD has adopted the proposed rule as a final rule without change.

This rule was not subject to Office of Management and Budget review under