

202–564–7167. An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 7, 2006 (71 FR 17845).

Draft EISs

EIS No. 20060233, ERP No. D–FHW–C40336–NY, Long Island Expressway (LIE) Rest Area Upgrade Project, Upgrading the Existing Rest Area from Route 1–495/Long Island Expressway between Exits 51 and 52, Funding, Suffolk County, NY

Summary: EPA does not object to the proposed project. Rating LO.

EIS No. 20060246, ERP No. D–NRC–C06016–NJ, GENERIC—License Renewal of Nuclear Plants Regarding Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station Supplement 28 to NUREG–1437, Located adjacent to Barnegat Bay, Lacy and Ocean Townships, Ocean County, NJ

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding compliance with Section 316 of the Clean Water Act, impacts due to entrainment and impingement of fish and shellfish, and impacts to aquatic systems from heat shock. Rating EC2.

EIS No. 20060262, ERP No. D–SFW–K65313–CA, San Joaquin Valley Operations and Maintenance Program Habitat Conservation Plan, Application for Incidental Take Permits, San Joaquin, Stanislaus, Merced, Fresno, Kings, Kern Mariposa, Madera and Tulare Counties, CA

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about impacts to listed species due to temporary disturbances and recommended the use of enhanced compensation ratios. Rating EC2.

EIS No. 20060264, ERP No. D–AFS–J65465–WY, Lower Valley Energy (LVE) Natural Gas Pipeline Project, Construction and Operation of a Pressurized Natural Gas Pipeline, Special-Use-Authorization, Big Piney and Jackson Ranger Districts, Bridger-Teton National Forest, Sublette and Teton Counties, WY

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about potential adverse impacts to wetlands, vegetation, and riparian habitat in the pipeline disturbance corridor. EPA suggests that the Final EIS include additional BMPs that avoid or reduce impacts to wetlands and that controls on compressor engines or the use of electric motors be considered to reduce potential air quality impacts. Rating EC2.

EIS No. 20060272, ERP No. D–COE–E11060–NC, West Onslow Beach and

New River Inlet (Topsail Beach) Shore Protection Project, Storm Damages and Beach Erosion Reduction, Funding, Pender County, NC

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about the long-term consequences of the proposal to dredge sand onto the eroding shoreline of Top Sail Beach. Rating EC1.

EIS No. 20060317, ERP No. D–FHW–C40168–NY, NY Route 347 Safety and Mobility Improvement Project, from Northern State Parkway to NY Route 25A, Funding, Towns of Smithtown, Islip and Brookhaven, Suffolk County, NY

Summary: EPA does not object to the proposed project. Rating LO.

EIS No. 20060318, ERP No. D–FHW–E40810–NC, Greenville Southwest Bypass Study, Transportation Improvements to NC 11 and U.S. 264 Business, U.S. Army COE Section 404 Permit, Pitt County, NC

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about high stream and wetland impacts, potential impact to a historic district, potential indirect and cumulative impacts to water quality, and socio-economic impacts to farmlands and residential relocations. Rating EC2.

EIS No. 20060270, ERP No. DA–COE–E39054–FL, Cape Sable Seaside Sparrow Protection, Interim Operation Plan (IOP), Additional Information Alternative 7, Providing Additional Flood Control Capacity, Implementation, Everglades National Park, Miami-Dade County, FL

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about long-term compliance with state/federal water quality standards. Rating EC2.

Final EISs

EIS No. 20060314, ERP No. F–SFW–K39087–CA, Bair Island Restoration and Management Plan, Tidal Action Restoration, Don Edwards San Francisco Bay National Wildlife Refuge, Bair Island State Ecological Reserve, South San Francisco Bay, San Mateo County, CA

Summary: EPA does not object to the proposed action.

EIS No. 20060351, ERP No. F–FRC–G03031–00, Carthage to Perryville Project, Construction and Operation of a Natural Gas Pipeline Facilities, Center Point Energy Gas Transmission, Located in various counties and parishes in eastern Texas and northern Louisiana

Summary: No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

Dated: September 25, 2006.

Robert W. Hargrove,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. E6–16056 Filed 9–28–06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER–FRL–6679–6]

Environmental Impacts Statements; Notice Of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564–7167 or <http://www.epa.gov/compliance/nepa/>.

Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements

Filed 09/18/2006 Through 09/22/2006 Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

EIS No. 20060387, Final EIS, AFS, UT, West Fork Blacks Fork Allotment Management Plan, Proposes to Authorize Continued Livestock Grazing, Township 1 North, Range 11 East, Salt Lake Principle Meridan, Evanston Ranger District, Wasatch-Cache National Forest, Summit County, UT, *Wait Period Ends:* 10/30/2006, *Contact:* Amy Barker 307–789–3194

EIS No. 20060388, Final EIS, NOA, ME, Atlantic Herring Fishery Management Plan (FWP), Amendment 1, Management Measure Adjustment, Implementation, Gulf of Maine, George Bank, ME, *Wait Period Ends:* 10/30/2006, *Contact:* Paul Howard 978–465–3116

EIS No. 20060389, Final EIS, AFS, CA, Slapjack Project, Protect Rural Communities from Fire Hazards by Constructing Defensible Fuel Profile Zones (DFPZS), Feather River Ranger District, Plumas National Forest, Butte and Yuba Counties, CA, *Wait Period Ends:* 10/30/2006, *Contact:* Susan Joyce 530–534–6500

EIS No. 20060390, Final EIS, FHW, PA, Southern Beltway Transportation Project, Improvement from US–22 in Robinson Township to Interstate 79 in South Fayette Township and Cecil Township, Funding and U.S. Army COE Section 404 Permit, Washington, Allegheny Counties, PA, *Wait Period Ends:* 10/30/2006, *Contact:* Karyn E. Vandervoort 717–221–2276

EIS No. 20060391, Final EIS, NRC, MN, GENERIC—License Renewal of Nuclear Plants, Supplement 26 to NUREG 1437, Regarding Monticello Nuclear Generating Plant (TAC NO. MC6441) Renewal of Operating License DRP–22 for Additional 20–

Years of Operation, Mississippi River, City of Monticello, Wright County, MN, *Wait Period Ends:* 10/30/2006, *Contact:* Laura Quinn 301-415-2220

EIS No. 20060392, Draft EIS, FRC, 00, North Baja Pipeline Expansion Project, Docket Nos. CP06-61-000 and CP01-23-000, Construction and Operation a Natural Gas Pipeline System, Land Use Plan Amendment, Right-of-Way Grant, Temporary Use Permits and U.S. Army COE Section 10 and 404 Permits, La Paz County, AZ and Riverside and Imperial Counties, CA, *Comment Period Ends:* 12/28/2006, *Contact:* Todd Sedmak 1-866-209-FERC

EIS No. 20060393, Final EIS, COE, 00, Kansas City's Levees, Missouri and Kansas Flood Damage Reduction Study, Improvements to the Existing Line of Protection, Birmingham, Jackson, Clay Counties, MO and Wyandotte County, KS, *Wait Period Ends:* 10/30/2006, *Contact:* Christopher M. White 816-389-3158

Amended Notices

EIS No. 20060131, Draft EIS, AFS, OR, *Withdrawn*—Kelsey Vegetation Management Project, Moving Resource Conditions Closer to the Goals and Desired Future Condition, Deschutes National Forest Land Resource Management Plan, Bend-Fort Rock Ranger District, Deschutes County, OR, *Comment Period Ends:* 05/30/2006, *Contact:* David Frantz 541-383-4721

Revision of FR Notice Published 04/14/2006: Officially Withdrawn by the Preparing Agency

EIS No. 20060337, Draft Supplement, COE, FL, Lake Okeechobee Regulation Schedule Study, Updated Information on Operational Changes to the Current Water Control Plan, Caloosahatchee and St. Lucie River Estuaries, Lake Okeechobee, FL, *Comment Period Ends:* 10/16/2006, *Contact:* Yvonne Haberer 904-232-1701

Revision of FR Notice Published 08/18/2006: Extend Comment Period from 10/02/2006 to 10/16/2006

EIS No. 20060348, Draft EIS, NPS, MN, Disposition of Bureau of Mines Property, Twin Cities Research Center Main Campus, Implementation, Hennepin County, MN, *Comment Period Ends:* 10/24/2006, *Contact:* Kim M. Berns 651-290-3030-x244

Revision of FR Notice Published 08/25/2006: Correction to Comment Period from 10/09/2006 to 10/24/2006

Dated: September 26, 2006.

Robert W. Hargrove,
Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. E6-16055 Filed 9-28-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA-HQ-OPP-2005-0123; FRL-8095-9]

Methyl Bromide; Tolerance Reassessment and Risk Management Decision for Methyl Bromide, and Reregistration Eligibility Decision for Methyl Bromide's Commodity Uses; Extension of Comment Period

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Extension of comment period.

SUMMARY: On August 9, 2006, EPA announced the availability of EPA's Tolerance Reassessment and Risk Management Decision (TRED) for Methyl Bromide, and Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED) for Methyl Bromide's Commodity Uses, and opened a 60-day public comment period on the document. This document announces the extension of the comment period for an additional 45 days.

DATES: Comments, identified by docket identification (ID) number EPA-HQ-OPP-2005-0123 must be received on or before November 24, 2006.

ADDRESSES: Follow the detailed instructions as provided under **ADDRESSES** in the **Federal Register** document of August 9, 2006.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Steven Weiss, Special Review and Reregistration Division (7508P), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460-0001; telephone number: (703) 308-8293; fax number: (703) 308-8005; e-mail address: weiss.steven@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. General Information

A. Does this Action Apply to Me?

The Agency included in the earlier notice a list of those who may be potentially affected by this action. If you have questions regarding the applicability of this action to a particular entity, consult the person listed under the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**.

B. What Should I Consider as I Prepare My Comments for EPA?

1. *Submitting CBI.* Do not submit this information to EPA through regulations.gov or e-mail. Clearly mark the part or all of the information that you claim to be CBI. For CBI information in a disk or CD ROM that you mail to EPA, mark the outside of the disk or CD ROM as CBI and then identify electronically within the disk or CD ROM the specific information that is claimed as CBI. In addition to one complete version of the comment that includes information claimed as CBI, a copy of the comment that does not contain the information claimed as CBI must be submitted for inclusion in the public docket. Information so marked will not be disclosed except in accordance with procedures set forth in 40 CFR part 2.

2. *Tips for preparing your comments.* When submitting comments, remember to:

- i. Identify the document by docket ID number and other identifying information (subject heading, **Federal Register** date and page number).
- ii. Follow directions. The Agency may ask you to respond to specific questions or organize comments by referencing a Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) part or section number.
- iii. Explain why you agree or disagree; suggest alternatives and substitute language for your requested changes.
- iv. Describe any assumptions and provide any technical information and/or data that you used.
- v. If you estimate potential costs or burdens, explain how you arrived at your estimate in sufficient detail to allow for it to be reproduced.
- vi. Provide specific examples to illustrate your concerns and suggest alternatives.
- vii. Explain your views as clearly as possible, avoiding the use of profanity or personal threats.
- viii. Make sure to submit your comments by the comment period deadline identified.

C. How and to Whom Do I Submit Comments?

To submit comments, or access the official public docket, please follow the detailed instructions as provided in the **ADDRESSES** Unit of the August 9, 2006 **Federal Register** document. If you have questions, consult the person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**.

II. What Action is EPA taking?

This document extends the public comment period established in the