

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This guidance was prepared through the cooperative efforts of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) Office of Wastewater Management and Office of Science and Technology in the Office of Water, EPA's Office of Research and Development, and EPA's Office of Enforcement and Compliance Assurance. The Cadmus Group, Inc. provided support for the final document production.

EPA Variability Workgroup

Debra Denton, EPA Region 9, San Francisco, CA
John Fox, EPA Office of Science and Technology, Washington, DC
Florence Fulk, EPA Office of Research and Development, Cincinnati, OH
Kathryn Greenwald, EPA Office of Enforcement and Compliance Assurance, Washington, DC
Madonna Narvaez, EPA Region 10, Seattle, WA
Teresa Norberg-King, EPA Office of Research and Development, Duluth, MN
Laura Phillips, EPA Office of Wastewater Management, Washington, DC

EPA Support Outside of the Variability Workgroup

Gregory Currey, EPA Office of Wastewater Management, Washington, DC
Margarete Heber, EPA Office of Wetlands, Oceans, and Watersheds, Washington, DC
Phillip Jennings, EPA Region 6, Dallas, TX
Henry Kahn, EPA Office of Science and Technology, Washington, DC
Marion Kelly, EPA Office of Science and Technology, Washington, DC
James Pendergast, EPA Office of Wetlands, Oceans, and Watersheds, Washington, DC
Stephen Sweeney, EPA Office of General Counsel, Washington, DC
William Telliard, EPA Office of Science and Technology, Washington, DC
Robert Wood, EPA Office of Wastewater Management, Washington, DC
Marcus Zobrist, EPA Region 2, New York, NY

Contractor Support

The Cadmus Group, Inc., Durham, NC

Karalyn Colopy Susan Conbere
Blanche Dean Penelope Kellar

DynCorp Information and Enterprise Technology, Inc., Alexandria, VA

Robert Brent

Science Applications International Corporation, Columbia, MD

Sidina Dedah Ruth Much
Kathleen Stralka

Assistance from States

State Case Example and Chapter 4

EPA especially thanks Larry Ausley and Matt Matthews of the North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources, Division of Water Quality for preparing Chapter 4 (Variability in Context) and Appendix F (Improvements in Minimizing WET Test Variability by the State of North Carolina).

State-Specific WET Program Implementation

EPA appreciates the assistance and support from the following States in providing their State-specific approaches to the WET program implementation used for Appendix E (Examples of Selected State WET Implementation Programs) of this document.

Betty Jane Boros-Russo, State of New Jersey
Kari Fleming, State of Wisconsin
Randall Marshall, State of Washington
Matt Matthews, State of North Carolina
Charlie Roth, State of Kentucky

Additional Assistance

We also thank the EPA Regions, States, and laboratories that provided the WET toxicity data used to develop this guidance document.

EPA Peer Review

Peer review was conducted following the EPA's Science Policy Council Handbook for Peer Review (January 1998). The anonymous review comments are gratefully acknowledged, and the changes were incorporated, as appropriate.

This page intentionally left blank.