

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Acknowledgments	ix
Executive Summary	xi
List of Acronyms and Abbreviations	xv
Glossary	xvii
1.0 INTRODUCTION	1-1
1.1 Background	1-1
1.2 Effect of This Guidance	1-2
1.3 Three Goals of This Document	1-2
2.0 DEFINITION AND MEASUREMENT OF METHOD VARIABILITY IN WET TESTING	2-1
2.1 Terms and Definitions	2-1
2.2 Defining WET Test Variability	2-1
2.3 Quantifying WET Test Variability	2-2
3.0 VARIABILITY OF WET TEST METHODS	3-1
3.1 Acquisition, Selection, and Quality Assurance of Data Presented in this Document	3-1
3.2 Variability of EC25, LC50, and NOEC	3-2
3.2.1 Within-Laboratory Variability of EC25, LC50, and NOEC	3-2
3.2.2 Between-Laboratory Variability of EC25, LC50, and NOEC	3-7
3.3 Variability of Endpoint Measurements	3-8
3.4 Conclusions about Variability of WET Methods	3-10
3.4.1 Variability of EC25, LC50, NOEC	3-10
3.4.2 Variability of Endpoint Measurements	3-11
4.0 VARIABILITY IN CONTEXT	4-1
4.1 Society of Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry Pellston WET Workshop	4-1
4.1.1 General Conclusions and Recommendations	4-1
4.1.2 Conclusions about Data Precision	4-2
4.2 Water Environment Research Foundation Study	4-3
4.3 Minimizing Variability by Adhering to WET Methods	4-3
4.4 Conclusion	4-4
5.0 GUIDANCE TO REGULATORY AUTHORITIES, LABORATORIES AND PERMITTEES: GENERATING AND EVALUATING EFFECT CONCENTRATIONS	5-1
5.1 Steps for Minimizing Test Method Variability	5-1
5.2 Collecting Representative Effluent Samples	5-1
5.3 Conducting the Biological Test Methods	5-2
5.3.1 Quality Control Procedures	5-3
5.3.2 Experimental Design	5-5
5.3.3 Test Power to Detect Toxic Effects	5-7
5.4 Test Acceptability Criteria	5-9
5.5 Conducting the Statistical Analysis To Determine the Effect Concentration	5-10
5.6 Chapter Conclusions	5-11

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

6.0	GUIDANCE TO REGULATORY AUTHORITIES: DETERMINING REASONABLE POTENTIAL AND DERIVING WET PERMIT CONDITIONS	6-1
6.1	Analytical and Sampling Variability in Calculations for Reasonable Potential and Permit Limits	6-1
6.1.1	“Adjusting for Analytical Variability” in Calculations for Reasonable Potential and Permit Limits	6-1
6.1.2	Analytical Variability and Self-monitoring Data	6-2
6.1.3	Precision of WET Measurements and Estimates of Effluent CV	6-2
6.1.4	Between-Laboratory Variability	6-3
6.2	Determining Reasonable Potential and Establishing Effluent Limits	6-4
6.3	Development of a Total Maximum Daily Load for WET	6-5
6.4	Accounting for and Minimizing Variability in the Regulatory Decision Process	6-5
6.4.1	Recommended Additional TACs: Lower and Upper Bounds for PMSD	6-5
6.4.2	How To Determine the NOEC Using the Lower PMSD Bound	6-8
6.4.3	Justification for Implementing the Test Sensitivity Bounds	6-8
6.4.4	Guidance to Testing Laboratories on How to Achieve the Range of Performance for PMSD	6-9
6.5	Additional Guidance That Regulatory Authorities Should Implement to Further Support the WET Program	6-9
6.6	Chapter Conclusions	6-10
7.0	CONCLUSIONS AND GUIDANCE TO LABORATORIES, PERMITTEES, and REGULATORY AUTHORITIES	7-1
7.1	General Conclusions	7-1
7.2	Recommendations for Minimizing Variability and Its Effects	7-2
7.2.1	Guidance to Toxicity Testing Laboratories	7-3
7.2.2	Guidance to NPDES Permittees	7-3
7.3	Guidance to Regulatory Authorities	7-4
7.4	Future Directions	7-5
8.0	BIBLIOGRAPHY	8-1
Appendix A	Interim Coefficients of Variation Observed Within Laboratories for Reference Toxicant Samples Analyzed Using EPA’s Promulgated Whole Effluent Toxicity Methods	
Appendix B	Supplementary Information for Reference Toxicity Data	
Appendix C	Sample Calculation of Permit Limits Using EPA’s Statistically-Based Methodology and Sample Permit Language	
Appendix D	Frequently Asked Questions (FAQs)	
Appendix E	Examples of Selected State WET Implementation Programs	
Appendix F	Improvements in Minimizing WET Test Variability by the State of North Carolina	
Appendix G	Analytical Variability in Reasonable Potential and Permit Limit Calculations	

List of Tables

3-1	Promulgated WET Methods Included in This Report	3-3
3-2	Quartiles (25 th and 75 th) and Median (50 th) of the Within-Laboratory Values of CV for EC25 (Chronic Tests)	3-4
3-3	Quartiles (25 th and 75 th) and Median (50 th) of the Within-Laboratory Values of CV for LC50	3-4
3-4	Quartiles (25 th and 75 th) and Median (50 th) of the Within-Laboratory Values of CV for NOEC	3-5
3-5	Estimates of Within-Laboratory and Between-Laboratory Components of Variability	3-7
3-6	Range of Relative Variability for Endpoints of Promulgated WET Methods, Defined by the 10 th and 90 th Percentiles from the Data Set of Reference Toxicant Tests	3-9
3-7	Number of Laboratories Having a Given Percent of Tests Exceeding the PMSD Upper Bound for the Sublethal Endpoint	3-10
5-1	Tests for Chronic Toxicity: Power and Ability To Detect a Toxic Effect on the Sublethal Endpoint	5-8
5-2	Power to Detect a 25-Percent Difference from the Control at the 90 th Percentile PMSD	5-8
6-1	Example of Applying the Lower Bound PMSD for the Chronic <i>Ceriodaphnia</i> Test with the Reproduction Endpoint	6-8

List of Figures

5-1	Steps to minimize WET test method variability	5-2
6-1	Paradigm that incorporates the lower and upper percent minimum significant difference	6-6
6-2	Implementing applications of upper and lower PMSD bounds for effluent testing requirements	6-7

This page intentionally left blank.