

REMEDIAL ACTION II LITE
Solicitation No.: PR-R4-07-10082
Questions and Answers
Modification No.:3

The purpose of this modification is to respond to additional questions received from potential offerors. The response date for submittal of the SF330s is extended to May 1, 2007. No additional questions will be addressed at this time.

Q1. Is it possible to get a list of bidders to this solicitation?

A1. No, a list of bidders is not available.

Q2. Are we permitted to alter the SF330 forms (i.e., can empty field be removed from Section E, 19 Relevant Projects; Section F, 25, Firms from Section C Involved with This Project?

A2. No, altering the SF330 is not permitted.

Q3. Your response to Question 10 stated "Past Performance will be limited to the prime contractor", please clarify. Are projects in Section F to be only those of the prime?

A3. Question 10 of modification 2 is revised as follows: Past performance references should be submitted for all members of the proposed team identified in Part I, Section C., of the SF330. Therefore, Section F may include projects which best illustrate the proposed team's qualifications for all members.

Q4. Is there an incumbent performing this work?

A4. No, there is not an incumbent performing this work. (See the answer to question 1 of modification 2.

Q5. Is there a projected timeframe for issuing the RFP?

A5. The RFP is expected to be issued to the selected firm at a date to be determined.

Q6. Will there be a pre-solicitation conference?

A6. No, there will not be a pre-solicitation conference. (See the answer to question 19 of modification 2.

Q7. Will the Government post interested companies for partnering?

A7. No, the Government will not post interested companies for partnering.

Q8. In reference to questions and answers on Modification 02, does the answer to question 10 mean that Section F of the SF 330 is limited to projects performed by the prime and cannot include projects performed by team members other than the prime? This would seem to discriminate against teams that chose not to propose as a joint venture and also is contrary to the general instructions for the SF 330.

A8. See the answer to question 3 of this modification.

Q9. In reference to the questions and answers on Modification 02, the answer to question 2 appears to conflict with the answer to question 10. How will the team subcontractors contribution be evaluated if their experience cannot be included in Section F?

A9. See the answer to question 3 of this modification.