

Biomass Combined Heat and Power Catalog of Technologies

U. S. Environmental Protection Agency Combined Heat and Power Partnership



September 2007

Foreword

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Combined Heat and Power (CHP) Partnership is a voluntary program that seeks to reduce the environmental impact of power generation by promoting the use of CHP. CHP is an efficient, clean, and reliable approach to generating power and thermal energy from a single fuel source. CHP can increase operational efficiency and decrease energy costs, while reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases, which contribute to global climate change. The CHP Partnership works closely with energy users, the CHP industry, state and local governments, and other stakeholders to support the development of new projects and promote their energy, environmental, and economic benefits.

This version 1.1 deletes references to the CO₂ benefits of combusting biomass pending a final EPA determination on how to evaluate CO₂ emissions from the combustion of biomass.

The partnership provides resources about CHP technologies, incentives, emission profiles, and other information on its Web site at www.epa.gov/chp. For more information, contact:

Susan Wickwire 202 343 9155 wickwire.susan@epa.gov

Report prepared by: Energy and Environmental Analysis, Inc., an ICF International Company, and Eastern Research Group, Inc. (ERG) for the U. S. Environmental Protection Agency, Combined Heat and Power Partnership, September 2007.

Table of Contents

Table	of Conte	ents		i		
List of	Tables .			iii		
List of	Figures			v		
Acron	yms & A	Abbrevia	tions	vii		
1.	Introdu	uction ar	nd Overview	1		
	1.1	Bioma	ss for Power and Heat Generation	1		
	1.2	Bioma	ss Feedstocks	2		
	1.3	Bioma	ss Conversion	3		
	1.4	Report	Layout	4		
2.	Basic 1	Basic First Steps and Considerations				
	2.1	Survey Availability of Local Resources				
	2.2	Cost C	Considerations	8		
3.	Bioma	ss Resou	irces	11		
	3.1	Rural l	Resources	11		
		3.1.1	Forest Residues and Wood Wastes	11		
		3.1.2	Crop Residues	13		
		3.1.3	Energy Crops	14		
		3.1.4	Manure Biogas	15		
	3.2	Urban	Resources	16		
		3.2.1	Urban Wood Waste	16		
		3.2.2	Landfill Gas	17		
		3.2.3	Wastewater Treatment Biogas	18		
		3.2.4	Food Processing Waste	20		
4.	Bioma	ss Prepa	ration	21		
	4.1	4.1 Solid Biomass Fuel Preparation				
		4.1.1	Receiving System	23		
		4.1.2	Processing System	24		
		4.1.3	Buffer Storage	24		
		4.1.4	Fuel Metering	24		
		4.1.5	Prep-Yard Capital Costs	25		
		4.1.6	Labor for Operating the Prep-Yard	26		
	4.2	Biogas	Fuel Preparation	26		

		4.2.1	Gas Collection Systems.	27
		4.2.2	Gas Treatment Systems	27
		4.2.3	Collection and Treatment System Capital and O&M Costs	29
5.	Biomas	ss Conve	ersion Technologies	30
	5.1	Direct-	Fired Systems	31
		5.1.1	Boilers	31
		5.1.2	Cofiring	42
	5.2	Gasific	ation Technologies	45
		5.2.1	Gasifiers	46
	5.3	Modula	ar Systems	56
6.	Power	Generati	ion Technologies	62
	6.1	Steam '	Turbine Technologies	64
	6.2	Gas Tu	rbine Technologies	66
	6.3	Microt	urbine Systems	69
	6.4	Recipro	ocating Internal Combustion Engine Technologies	71
	6.5	Fuel Co	ell Systems	74
	6.6	Stirling	g Engines	76
7.	Repres	entative	Biomass CHP System Cost and Performance Profiles	78
	7.1	Direct	Firing of Biomass (Boilers With Steam Turbines)	79
		7.1.1	Fixed Bed Stoker Boiler CHP Configurations and Performance	80
		7.1.2	Circulating Fluidized Bed Boiler CHP Configurations and Performance	84
	7.2	Biomas	ss Gasification Systems	89
	7.3	Modula	ar Biomass Systems	93
Append	dix A—l	Not used	l	
Append	dix B—I	ЕРА СН	P Partnership Biomass Tools and Resources	97
Append	dix C—l	Landfill	Gas	99
Append	dix D—	Anaerob	ic Digesters	100
Append	dix E—N	Modular	Biomass Systems Available or in Pre-Commercial Development	102
Append	dix F—F	Referenc	es	106

List of Tables

Table 1-1. Commercialization Status of Biomass Conversion Systems for Power and Heat Generation	5
Table 3-1. Energy and Cost Characteristics of Energy Crops.	. 15
Table 4-1. Installed Capital Costs for Solid Biomass Receiving and Preparation	. 25
Table 4-2. Labor Requirements	. 26
Table 4-3. Summary of Representative Collection and Treatment Costs (\$2006)	. 29
Table 5-1. Summary of Biomass CHP Conversion Technologies	. 30
Table 5-2. Biomass Boiler Efficiency as a Function of Input Fuel and Combustion Characteristics	. 36
Table 5-3. Comparison of Stoker and Fluidized Bed Boilers	. 38
Table 5-4. Estimated Installed Capital Costs for a Biomass-Fueled Steam Plant	. 39
Table 5-5. Effect of Steam Output Conditions on Boiler Capital Costs	. 40
Table 5-6. Annual O&M Costs for a Biomass-Fueled Steam Plant	. 40
Table 5-7. Summary of Biomass Combustion Boiler System Cost and Performance	.41
Table 5-8. Utility Cofiring Biomass With Coal (Continuous Operation)	. 39
Table 5-9. Comparison of Fixed Bed Gasification Technologies	. 48
Table 5-10. Typical Characteristics of a Fixed Bed Gasifier	. 48
Table 5-11. Typical Characteristics of a Fluidized Bed Gasifier	. 50
Table 5-12. Gas Cleanup Issues	.51
Table 5-13. Relative Advantages/Disadvantages of Gasifier Types	. 52
Table 5-14. Biomass Gasification Capital Costs to Produce Syngas	. 53
Table 5-15. Gasification O&M Cost Estimates for Syngas Production	. 54
Table 5-16. Biomass Gasification Cost and Performance	. 54
Table 5-17. Efficiencies of Modular Biomass Systems, Based on Conversion Switchgrass at 20 Percent	
Moisture	. 60
Table 6-1. Comparison of Prime Mover Technologies Applicable to Biomass	. 63

Table 6-2. Steam Turbine CHP Typical Performance Parameters	66
Table 6-3. Gas Turbine CHP Typical Performance Parameters	68
Table 6-4. Microturbine CHP Typical Performance Parameters	71
Table 6-5. Gas Engine CHP Typical Performance Parameters	72
Table 6-6. Fuel Cell CHP Typical Performance Parameters	75
Table 6-7. Contamination Limitations on Fuel and Oxidant Delivered to Fuel Cells	75
Table 6-8. Comparison of Stirling Engine Technologies	77
Table 7-1. Key Economic Assumptions	79
Table 7-2. Example of Biomass Power Plants and Costs	79
Table 7-3. Biomass Stoker Boiler Power Generation System Input and Output Requirements	81
Table 7-4. Biomass Stoker Boiler Power Generation System Capital Cost Estimates	83
Table 7-5. Biomass Stoker Boiler Power Systems Non-Fuel O&M Cost Estimates	83
Table 7-6. Biomass Stoker Boiler CHP Systems—Net Cost to Generate Power (\$/kWh)	85
Table 7-7. Biomass Circulating Fluidized Bed Power Generation System Input and Output Requirement	ents
	86
Table 7-8. Biomass Circulating Fluidized Bed Power Generation System Capital Cost Estimates	87
Table 7-9. Biomass Circulating Fluidized Bed System Non-Fuel O&M Cost Estimates	87
Table 7-10. Biomass Circulating Fluidized Bed Power Generation Systems—Net Cost to Generate Po	ower
(\$/kWh)	88
Table 7-11. Biomass Gasification Power Generation System Input and Output Requirements	91
Table 7-12. Biomass Gasification Power Generation System Capital Cost Estimates	92
Table 7-13. Biomass Gasification Power Generation Non-Fuel O&M Cost Estimates	92
Table 7-14. Biomass Gasification Power Generation Systems—Net Cost to Generate Power (\$/kWh)	94
Table 7-15. Modular Biomass System Cost and Performance Estimates	95
Table E-1. Small Modular Biomass System Comparison	103

List of Figures

Figure 3-1.	Forest Residue Potential	12
Figure 3-2.	Forest Thinning Generation Potential From National Forests and Bureau of Land Management Property	12
Figure 3-3.	Primary Mill Residue Potential	13
Figure 3-4.	Available Corn Stover Residues at Less Than \$50/Dry Ton	14
Figure 3-5.	Available Wheat Straw Residues at Less Than \$50/Dry Ton	14
Figure 3-6.	Available Switchgrass Residues at Less Than \$50/Dry Ton	15
Figure 3-7.	Urban Wood Waste Potential Including Yard Trimmings, C&D Waste, and Other Wood Wastes	16
Figure 3-8.	Operational LFG Energy Projects and Candidate Landfills	18
Figure 3-9.	Wastewater Treatment Facilities Greater Than 5 Million Gallons Per Day and Electric	
Ger	nerating Potential	19
Figure 4-1.	Manual Biomass Receiving and Preparation System	22
Figure 4-2.	Automatic Biomass Receiving and Preparation System	22
Figure 4-3.	Estimated Unit PrepYard Capital Cost As a Function of Throughput	26
Figure 5-1.	Cut-Away View of a Traveling Grate Stoker Boiler	32
Figure 5-2.	Cross Section of Underfeed, Side-Ash Discharge Stoker	32
Figure 5-3.	Cross Section of Overfeed, Water-Cooled, Vibrating-Grate, Mass-Feed Stoker	33
Figure 5-4.	Cut-Away View of a Fluidized Bed Combustion Boiler	34
Figure 5-5.	Biomass Cofiring in Coal Power Plant	42
Figure 5-6.	Fixed Bed Gasifier Types	47
Figure 5-7.	Fluidized Bed Gasifier	49
Figure 5-8.	Example Modular Biomass Gasification System	57
Figure 5-9.	Heat Engine Power Cycles for Modular Biomass Combustion Systems	58
Figure 5-10	Example of Modular Gasification/Combustion Process	59

Figure 5-11. Size and Cost Ranges for Direct-Fired Modular Systems	61
Figure 6-1. Simple Steam Turbine Power Cycle	65
Figure 6-2. Components of a Simple-Cycle Gas Turbine.	67
Figure 6-3. Proposed Gas Turbine Configuration in Integrated Biomass Gasification Facility	69
Figure 6-4. Microturbine-Based CHP System (Single-Shaft Design)	70
Figure 6-5. Closed-Loop Heat Recovery System for a Reciprocating Engine	73
Figure 7-1. Kettle Falls Plant Schematic	80
Figure 7-2. Power to Steam Production Options for Boiler/Steam Turbine CHP System	82
Figure 7-3. Atmospheric Pressure Biomass Gasification Combined-Cycle	90
Figure 7-4. High-Pressure Biomass Gasification Combined-Cycle	90

Acronyms & Abbreviations

AC alternating current
Btu British thermal unit(s)
C&D construction and demolition

CCAR California Climate Action Registry
CDM clean development mechanism
CHP combined heat and power
cfm cubic feet per minute
CI compression ignition

CIBO Council of Industrial Boiler Owners

CO carbon monoxide CO₂ carbon dioxide

DOE U.S. Department of Energy

EPA U.S. Environmental Protection Agency EPRI Electric Power Research Institute

ft³ cubic foot GHG greenhouse gases HHV high heating value

hr hour(s) Hz Hertz

IC internal combustion

IGCC integrated gasification combined cycle
IPCC Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change

kW kilowatt(s) kWh kilowatt-hour(s) lb pound(s) LFG landfill gas

LMOP Landfill Methane Outreach Program

MCFC molten carbonate fuel cell

Mlb thousand pounds

MMBtu million British thermal units MSW municipal solid waste

MW megawatt(s)
MWh megawatt-hour(s)
N₂O nitrous oxide
NO_x nitrogen oxides

NRCS Natural Resource Conservation Service

O&M operations and maintenance ORC organic Rankine cycle PAFC phosphoric acid fuel cell

PEMFC proton exchange membrane fuel cell

PM particulate matter ppm parts per million

psig pounds per square inch gauge

scf standard cubic foot
SI spark ignition
SO₂ sulfur dioxide
SOFC solid oxide fuel cell

UNFCCC

United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change U.S. Department of Agriculture wastewater treatment facility USDA WWTF

1. Introduction and Overview

1.1 Biomass for Power and Heat Generation

As part of its efforts to reduce the environmental impacts of energy production and use, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has engaged in outreach and technical assistance to broadly increase understanding and use of highly efficient combined heat and power (CHP) applications through the efforts of the CHP Partnership program. Over the past three years, market and policy forces have driven strong interest and early implementation of new biomass-fueled CHP projects by Partners and other clean energy stakeholders. In the interest of continuing the trend toward greater utilization of biomass fuels to power clean, efficient electricity and thermal energy generation, this document provides resource owners, facility managers, developers, policymakers, and other interested parties with a detailed technology characterization of biomass CHP systems. The report reviews the technical and economic characterization of biomass resources, biomass preparation, energy conversion technologies, power production systems, and complete integrated systems.

There are many potential advantages to using biomass instead of fossil fuels for meeting energy needs. Specific benefits depend upon the intended use and fuel source, but often include: greenhouse gas and other air pollutant reductions, energy cost savings, local economic development, waste reduction, and the security of a domestic fuel supply. In addition, biomass is more flexible (e.g., can generate both power and heat) and reliable (as a non-intermittent resource) as an energy option than many other sources of renewable energy.

Biomass fuels are typically used most efficiently and beneficially when generating both power and heat through CHP. CHP, also known as cogeneration, is the simultaneous production of electricity and heat from a single fuel source, such as biomass/biogas, natural gas, coal, or oil. CHP provides:

- **Distributed generation** of electrical and/or mechanical power.
- Waste-heat recovery for heating, cooling, or process applications.
- **Seamless system integration** for a variety of technologies, thermal applications, and fuel types into existing building infrastructure.

CHP is not a single technology, but an integrated energy system that can be modified depending on the needs of the energy end user. The hallmark of all well-designed CHP systems is an increase in the efficiency of fuel use. By using waste heat recovery technology to capture a significant proportion of heat created as a byproduct in electricity generation, CHP systems typically achieve total system efficiencies of 60 to 80 percent for producing electricity and thermal energy. These efficiency gains improve the economics of using biomass fuels, as well as produce other environmental benefits. More than 60 percent of current biomass-powered electricity generation in the United States is in the form of CHP.

.

¹ Energy and Environmental Analysis, Inc., 2006.

The industrial sector currently produces both steam or hot water and electricity from biomass in CHP facilities in the paper, chemical, wood products, and food-processing industries. These industries are major users of biomass fuels; utilizing the heat and steam in their processes can improve energy efficiency by more than 35 percent. The biggest industrial user of bioenergy is the forest products industry, which consumes 85 percent of all wood waste used for energy in the United States. Manufacturing plants that utilize forest products can typically generate more than half of their own energy from woody waste products and other renewable sources of fuel (e.g., wood chips, black liquor).

Most of the electricity, heat, and steam produced by industrial facilities are consumed on site; however, some manufacturers that produce more electricity than they need on site sell excess power to the grid. Wider use of biomass resources will directly benefit many companies that generate more residues (e.g., wood or processing wastes) than they can use internally. New markets for these excess materials may support business expansion as the residues are purchased for energy generation purposes or new profit centers of renewable energy production may diversify and support the core business of these companies.

1.2 Biomass Feedstocks

The success of any biomass-fueled CHP project is heavily dependent on the availability of a suitable biomass feedstock. Biomass feedstocks are widely available in both rural and urban settings and can include:

Rural Resources:

Forest residues and wood wastes Crop residues Energy crops Manure biogas

Urban Resources:

- Urban wood waste
- Wastewater treatment biogas
- Municipal solid waste (MSW) and landfill gas (LFG)
- Food processing residue

Feedstocks vary widely in their sources and fuel characteristics and therefore vary in typical considerations for their utilization. Various biomass resources can require different approaches to collection, storage, and transportation, as well as different considerations regarding the conversion process and power generation technology that they would most effectively fuel.

Of the 9,709 megawatts (MW) of biomass electric capacity in the United States in 2004, about 5,891 MW were from wood and wood wastes; 3,319 MW of generating capacity was from MSW and LFG; and 499 MW of capacity was attributable to other biomass, such as agricultural residues, sludge, anaerobic digester gas, and other sources.²

² Energy Information Administration, 2006.

1.3 Biomass Conversion

Biomass can be used in its solid form or gasified for heating applications or electricity generation, or it can be converted into liquid or gaseous fuels. Biomass conversion refers to the process of converting biomass feedstocks into energy that will then be used to generate electricity and/or heat.

Multiple commercial, proven and cost effective technologies for converting biomass feedstocks to electricity and heat are currently available in the United States (see **Table 1-1**). These technologies include anaerobic digesters for animal waste or wastewater, and three types of direct-fired boiler systems that have been used for decades for converting woody biomass: fixed bed boilers, fluidized bed boilers, and cofiring applications. Some of these boiler technologies are extremely clean and can result in electricity production of up to 50 megawatts (MW)—enough electricity to power 50,000 homes.³

Additionally, an emerging class of biomass conversion technologies is becoming available that converts woody biomass feedstocks to useable fuel through gasification processes. These technologies, called fixed bed gasifiers and fluidized bed gasifiers, are becoming commercialized and are currently in limited use producing syngas for power and heat. Rapid commercialization may be seen in the near future as these gasification technologies are expected to be used in integrated gasification combined cycle (IGCC) coal plants and within some of the thermochemical (cellulosic) biorefineries built in the United States in the next two to ten years (see **Table 1-1**). Modular versions—smaller than 5 MW—of both direct-fired boiler and gasification technologies are also being developed, though they are at earlier stages of commercialization.

-

³ In contrast, coal-fired power plants are generally sized in the 100 MW to 1,000 MW range.

1.4 Report Layout

The report is organized into the following chapters:

- **Chapter 2:** Basic First Steps and Considerations—This chapter provides an overview of basic considerations that need to be taken into account when beginning to evaluate the viability of biomass-fueled electricity and thermal energy generation.
- **Chapter 3:** Biomass Resources—This chapter presents a discussion of the various types of biomass resources, locations, characteristics, resource potential, and costs.
- **Chapter 4: Biomass Preparation**—This chapter describes the receiving, processing, and treatment systems required for preparing biomass feedstocks and biogas for use as a power generation fuel. Equipment configurations and capital and operating costs are outlined.
- **Chapter 5: Biomass Conversion Technologies**—This chapter describes configurations, cost, and performance for the two basic biomass conversion approaches: combustion and gasification. In addition, a brief discussion of small modular biomass conversion technologies is presented.
- **Chapter 6:** Power Generation Technologies—This chapter provides basic cost and performance information for power generation technologies with heat recovery, and special considerations for selecting and operating these technologies on biomass or biogas fuels.
- Chapter 7: Representative Biomass CHP System Cost and Performance Profiles—
 This chapter provides an integration of resource, preparation, conversion, and power and heat production system costs into integrated biomass-fueled CHP facilities. Capital costs, operating costs, fuel costs, and typical energy balances, including power and heat production options, are described. This chapter provides a starting point for conducting a preliminary economic screening of possible biomass energy production options.

Additional biomass-related resources and tools created by the EPA CHP Partnership are listed in Appendix B.

Table 1-1. Commercialization Status of Biomass Conversion Systems for Power and Heat Generation

This table identifies the major biomass conversion technologies and associated prime mover technologies for CHP applications. The commercial status of each technology for biomass applications is described.

Energy Conversion Technology	Conversion Technology Commercialization Status	Integrated CHP Technology (Prime Mover)	Prime Mover Commercialization Status
Anaerobic Digestion			
		Internal combustion engine	Commercial technology
Anaerobic digester		Microturbine	Commercial technology
(from animal feeding operations or	Commercial technology	Gas turbine	Commercial technology Commercial introduction
wastewater treatment facilities)		Fuel cell	
Direct Combustion—Boilers		Stirling engine	Emerging
Direct Combustion—Bollers			
Fixed bed boilers (stoker)	Commercial technology – Stoker boilers have long been a standard technology for biomass as well as coal, and are offered by a number of manufacturers.		
Fluidized bed boilers	Commercial technology – Until recently fluidized bed boiler use has been more widespread in Europe than the United States. Fluidized bed boilers are a newer technology, but are commercially available through a number of manufacturers, many of whom are European-based.	Steam turbine	Commercial technology
Cofiring	Commercial technology – Cofiring biomass with coal has been successful in a wide range of boiler types including cyclone, stoker, pulverized coal, and bubbling and circulating fluidized bed boilers.		
	Commercial technology – Small boiler systems commercially available for space heating. A small number of demonstration projects in CHP configuration.	Small steam turbine	Commercial technology
Modular* direct combustion		Organic Rankine cycle	Emerging technology – Some "commercial" products available.
technology		"Entropic" cycle	Research and development (R&D) status
		Hot air turbine	R&D status

^{*}Small, packaged, pre-engineered systems (smaller than 5 MW).

Energy Conversion Technology	Conversion Technology Commercialization Status	Integrated CHP Technology (Prime Mover)	Prime Mover Commercialization Status		
Gasification	Gasification				
Fixed bed gasifiers	Emerging technology – The actual number of biomass gasification systems in operation worldwide is unknown, but is estimated to be below 25.	Gas turbines – simple cycle	Prime movers have been commercially proven with natural gas and some medium heating value		
Fluidized bed gasifiers	A review of gasifier manufacturers in Europe, USA, and Canada identified 50 manufacturers offering commercial gasification plants from which 75 percent of the designs were fixed bed; 20 percent of the designs were fluidized bed systems.	Gas turbines – combined cycle	Operation on low heating		
Tiuluized bed gasillers		Large internal combustion (IC) engines	value biogas and the effects of impurities on prime mover reliability and longevity need to be demonstrated.		
Modular* gasification technology	Emerging technology – A small number of demonstration projects supported with research, design, and development funding.	IC engine	Commercial technology – But operation on very low heating value biogas needs		
Woddiai gasincation technology		Microturbine	to be demonstrated.		
		Fuel cell	Commercial introduction		
		Stirling engine	Emerging technology		
Modular* hybrid gasification/combustion	Emerging technology – Limited commercial demonstration.	Small steam turbine	Commercial technology – But integrated system emerging.		

^{*}Small, packaged, pre-engineered systems (smaller than 5 MW).