SFUND RECORDS CTR
99830

William Baker AFRL/HEST WPAFB Dayton OH

From: ANNIE JARABEK
To: BBaker, DaveMattie, MajorDan
Date: 10/23/98 12:46pm
Subject: Final Consult Letter re: Morphometry for Neurodevelopmental study

Bill,

Thanks for the call. I think that we finally have all the issues clarified and addressed. I agree with you that an entire new consultative letter should be sent for this report that combines both the 5-day pup data and the PND90-92 data from morphometry performed in your laboratory on the neurodevelopmental study into one reference. I will replace the two (2) previous submittals with this one report instead since it provides corrections and clarifications to the data.

For the record, here are the specifics that we spoke about today:

1) The measure should be referred to as "mean follicular lumen area" rather than lumen size. All references in text, tables and figures should reflect this characterization.

2) Provide a description of and rationale for the choice of this measure (e.g., versus follicular height) per our discussion.

3) Explain the numer of follicular counts and why they can be uneven, i.e., why number of follicles counted is different for each animal.

4) Provide the requested translation table (that allows cross-referenceof your animal numbers back to animal numbers of original contractor study) as part of the report.

5) Attach your data tables as part of this report that reflect corrections we have requested as you provided in the electronic files.

We really appreciate all the hard work that you have cranked out under constant time pressure. You win the "most valuable eyes" award for the series!

Annie.

CC: farland-william, crofton-kevin

Jarabek personal communication 10/23/98. as in title and specifically re: measurement of mean follicular lumen area (1) and (2) arbitrary choice of this measure versus follicular height.

3075