REACH A
DIAGONAL WAY

CORE DESCRIPTION

WESTON

Project Name: DUWAMISH RIVER SI
Project No.: 4000-019-038-5100
Project Location: SEATTLE, WA
Core Method: GRAVITY CORER (4")

Field Log by: S Fernández Lab Log by:

		Field	Lab
Tide Level (MLLW)	Date	5/22/99	
Depth to Mud	S. Time	0944	
Mud Line Elev.	E. Time	0947	

Core Number	DR008
Drive Length, ft.	5', 3"
Recover Length, ft.	5', 7" 43"
Recovery Efficiency	

DESCRIPTION OF CORE TUBES & TESTS (based on core tube lengths, feet)

Tube No. Ln, ft.	FIELD End Description	Spl No. & Test	LABORATORY Sample Description
1	Clayey Silt, Bluish black saturated, sewer odor, sheen, 60/40		
2	1)		
3	2) Clay - gray & brown mottled, plastic, Bluish black		
4	3		
5	4		
6	① As above		
7	② Clay with some & Silt - 80/20, Bluish black, saturated, red plasticity, brown & gray mottling, no strong odor 80/10/10		
8			
9			
10	③ Sand with silt & clay, Bluish black fine grained, sheen & hydrocarbon odor (diesel), saturated 70/20/10		
11			
12			
13	④ Clayey SILT, Bluish black with brown and grey mottling, slight hydrocarbon odor, no sheen, saturated		
14			
15			

(based on in-situ depths, feet)

Interpreted Summary Log (1)	Acquisition Data (2)	Blows/Ft. (3)
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		

1. The summary log is an interpretation based on samples, blow counts, acquisition data, and interpolation. The recovery efficiency is a rough indication of the general confidence of the summary log. Variation between what is shown and actual conditions should be expected
2. 11/9 (83%) Penetration Depth, ft./Corresponding Sample Recovery, ft. (Corresponding Total Recovery Efficiency percent)
3. Blows/ft. are the number of hammer strikes required to drive the core tube 1 foot.

FIELD SAMPLING PLAN

Expected Mudline Elev:

Expected Thickness of Recent Sediment:

Tube Segment			Actual Recovery				Chain-of-Custody of Core Tube Sections Transfer Tube Sections from Field to Lab
No.	In Situ Depth	Ln.	Total % R	Core Tube Depth	Length	Segment % R	
A							Relinquished By:
B							Received By:
C							Date:
D							Time:
							Comments:

LAB: SAMPLES SELECTED FOR TESTING

SAMPLE		Sample Depth	
Number	Test	Tube	In-Situ
98394014	0-2		
98394015	2-3', 7"		

COMMENTS

95-1128 FRM

REACH A
SLIP 1 (MOUTH)

CORE DESCRIPTION

WESTON

Project Name: DUWAMISH RIVER SI
Project Location: SEATTLE, WA
Project No: 4000-019-038-5100
Core Method: GRAVITY CORER (4")

Field Log by: S Fernández Lab Log by:

		Field	Lab
Tide Level (MLLW)	Date	9/22/98	
Depth to Mud	S. Time	0837	
Mud Line Elev.	E. Time	0841	

Core Number	DR021
Drive Length, ft	5', 3"
Recover Length, ft.	4', 5" 5''
Recovery Efficiency	84%

DESCRIPTION OF CORE TUBES & TESTS (based on core tube lengths, feet)

Tube No. Ln, ft.	FIELD End Description	Spl No. & Test	LABORATORY Sample Description
_1	Silty Clay, Bluish black saturated, med plasticity no stain or odor, some mottling (70/30)		
_2			
_3 ≈5"	Clay, bluish black, with grey mottling		
_4 ≈16"	Silty Clay, Bluish black saturated, med plasticity no stain or odor. Clayey SILT, Bluish black, strong diesel to odor, sheen, sat. 70/30		
_5	TD = 4", 5"		
_6			
_7			
_8			
_9			
_10			
_11			
_12			
_13			
_14			
_15			

(based on in-situ depths, feet)

Interpreted Summary Log (1)	Acquisition Data (2)	Blows/Ft. (3)
_1		
_2		
_3		
_4		
_5		
_6		
_7		
_8		
_9		
_10		
_11		
_12		
_13		
_14		
_15		

1 The summary log is an interpretation based on samples, blow counts, acquisition data, and interpolation. The recovery efficiency is a rough indication of the general confidence of the summary log. Variation between what is shown and actual conditions should be expected
2. 11/9 (83%) Penetration Depth, ft./Corresponding Sample Recovery, ft. (Corresponding Total Recovery Efficiency, percent)
3. Blows/ft. are the number of hammer strikes required to drive the core tube 1 foot.

FIELD SAMPLING PLAN Expected Mudline Elev: Expected Thickness of Recent Sediment:

Tube Segment			Actual Recovery				Chain-of-Custody of Core Tube Sections Transfer Tube Sections from Field to Lab
No.	In Situ Depth	Ln.	Total % R	Core Tube Depth	Length	Segment % R	
A							Relinquished By
B							Received By.
C							Date:
D							Time:
							Comments:

LAB: SAMPLES SELECTED FOR TESTING

SAMPLE		Sample Depth	
Number	Test	Tube	In-Situ
98394012	0-2		
98394013	2-4		

COMMENTS

95-1128 FRM

REACH A
BRANDON CSO

CORE DESCRIPTION

WESTON

Project Name: DUWAMISH RIVER SI
Project Location: SEATTLE WA
Project No.: 4000-019-038-5100
Core Method GRAVITY CORER (4")

Field Log by: S Fernández Lab Log by:

		Field	Lab
Tide Level (MLLW)	Date 9/21/98		
Depth to Mud	S. Time	1537	
Mud Line Elev.	E. Time	1548	

Core Number	DR025
Drive Length, ft.	5', 3"
Recover Length, ft.	4', 3"
Recovery Efficiency	81%

DESCRIPTION OF CORE TUBES & TESTS (based on core tube lengths, feet)

Tube No. Ln, ft.	FIELD End Description	Spl No. & Test	LABORATORY Sample Description
_1	Silty Clay with some sand (in layer = ½" thick - med grain sand) slight hydrogen odor in sand, bluish black, sate v slight ~~green~~ nothing (gray)		
_2			
_3			
_4			
_5	TD= 4', 3"		
_6			
_7			
_8			
_9			
_10			
_11			
_12			
_13			
_14			
_15			

(based on in-situ depths, feet)

Interpreted Summary Log (1)	Acquisition Data (2)	Blows/Ft. (3)
_1		
_2		
_3		
_4		
_5		
_6		
_7		
_8		
_9		
_10		
_11		
_12		
_13		
_14		
_15		

1 The summary log is an interpretation based on samples, blow counts, acquisition data, and interpolation. The recovery efficiency is a rough indication of the general confidence of the summary log. Variation between what is shown and actual conditions should be expected
2. 11/9 (83%) Penetration Depth, ft./Corresponding Sample Recovery, ft. (Corresponding Total Recovery Efficiency, percent)
3. Blows/ft. are the number of hammer strikes required to drive the core tube 1 foot.

FIELD SAMPLING PLAN Expected Mudline Elev Expected Thickness of Recent Sediment:

Tube Segment No.	In Situ Depth	Ln.	Actual Recovery Total % R	Core Tube Depth	Length	Segment % R	Chain-of-Custody of Core Tube Sections Transfer Tube Sections from Field to Lab
A							Relinquished By:
B							Received By:
C							Date.
D							Time:
							Comments.

LAB: SAMPLES SELECTED FOR TESTING

SAMPLE Number	Test	Sample Depth Tube	In-Situ
983940 1b	0-2'		
983940 1l	2-4'		

COMMENTS

95-1128 FRM

REACH A
KELLOGG ISLAND

CORE DESCRIPTION

WESTON

Project Name: DUWAMISH RIVER SI
Project No.: 4000-019-038-5100
Project Location: SEATTLE, WA
Core Method: GRAVITY CORER (4")

Field Log by: S. Fernández Lab Log by:

		Field	Lab
Tide Level (MLLW)	Date	9/21/98	
Depth to Mud	S. Time	1452	
Mud Line Elev.	E. Time	1455	

Core Number	DR046 - 44 (Replaced)
Drive Length, ft.	5', 3"
Recover Length, ft.	4', 5" 53"
Recovery Efficiency	84 %

DESCRIPTION OF CORE TUBES & TESTS (based on core tube lengths, feet)

Tube No. Ln, ft.	FIELD End Description	Spl No. & Test	LABORATORY Sample Description
1	① Silty clay, Bluish black, no stain or odor and plasticity - wood fragments		
2			
3	②		
4	③		
5	TD 4', 5"		
6	② Clay with trace silt, Bluish black with gray & brown mottling, med to high plasticity saturated, no stain or odor		
7			
8			
9			
10	③ Same as ①		
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			

(based on in-situ depths, feet)

Interpreted Summary Log (1)	Acquisition Data (2)	Blows/Ft. (3)
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		

1. The summary log is an interpretation based on samples, blow counts, acquisition data, and interpolation. The recovery efficiency is a rough indication of the general confidence of the summary log. Variation between what is shown and actual conditions should be expected
2. 11/9 (83%). Penetration Depth, ft./Corresponding Sample Recovery ft. (Corresponding Total Recovery Efficiency percent)
3. Blows/ft. are the number of hammer strikes required to drive the core tube 1 foot

FIELD SAMPLING PLAN Expected Mudline Elev: Expected Thickness of Recent Sediment:

Tube Segment No.	In Situ Depth	Ln.	Actual Recovery Total % R	Core Tube Depth	Length	Segment % R	Chain-of-Custody of Core Tube Sections Transfer Tube Sections from Field to Lab
A							Relinquished By:
B							Received By:
C							Date:
D							Time:
							Comments:

LAB: SAMPLES SELECTED FOR TESTING

SAMPLE Number	Test	Sample Depth Tube	In-Situ
98394023	0-2		
98394024	2-4		

COMMENTS

95-1128 FRM

REACH A
DUWAMISH SHIPYAR

CORE DESCRIPTION

WESTON

Project Name: DUWAMISH RIVER SI
Project No.: 4000-019-038-5100
Project Location: SEATTLE, WA
Core Method: GRAVITY CORER (4")

Field Log by: S Fernandez Lab Log by:

		Field	Lab
Tide Level (MLLW)	Date	9/21/98	
Depth to Mud	S. Time	1514	
Mud Line Elev.	E. Time	1514	

Core Number	DR054
Drive Length, ft	5', 3"
Recover Length, ft.	4'5" 53'
Recovery Efficiency	84%

DESCRIPTION OF CORE TUBES & TESTS (based on core tube lengths, feet)

Tube No. Ln. ft.	FIELD End Description	Spl No. & Test	LABORATORY Sample Description
_1	Clayey SILT with some SAND (in strings) Bluish black (2.5/1, 5B), very slight hydrocarbon smell (in sand) no staining, some mottling (gray), wood fragments		
_2			
_3			
_4			
_5	TD 4', 5"		
_6			
_7			
_8			
_9			
_10			
_11			
_12			
_13			
_14			
_15			

(based on in-situ depths, feet)

Interpreted Summary Log (1)	Acquisition Data (2)	Blows/Ft. (3)
_1		
_2		
_3		
_4		
_5		
_6		
_7		
_8		
_9		
_10		
_11		
_12		
_13		
_14		
_15		

1. The summary log is an interpretation based on samples, blow counts, acquisition data, and interpolation. The recovery efficiency is a rough indication of the general confidence of the summary log. Variation between what is shown and actual conditions should be expected.
2. 11/9 (83%): Penetration Depth, ft./Corresponding Sample Recovery, ft. (Corresponding Total Recovery Efficiency, percent)
3. Blows/ft. are the number of hammer strikes required to drive the core tube 1 foot.

FIELD SAMPLING PLAN Expected Mudline Elev. Expected Thickness of Recent Sediment.

Tube Segment No.	In Situ Depth	Ln.	Actual Recovery Total % R	Core Tube Depth	Length	Segment % R	Chain-of-Custody of Core Tube Sections Transfer Tube Sections from Field to Lab
A							Relinquished By
B							Received By:
C							Date:
D							Time:
							Comments:

LAB: SAMPLES SELECTED FOR TESTING

SAMPLE Number	Test	Sample Depth Tube	In-Situ
9839 4008	0-2'		
9839 4009	2-4'		

COMMENTS

95-1128 FRM

CORE DESCRIPTION

WESTON

Project Name: Duwamish River SI
Project Location: SEATTLE, WA
Project No.: 04000-019-038-5100
Core Method: Gravity Corer (4")

Field Log by: S Fernandez Lab Log by:

		Field	Lab
Tide Level (MLLW)	Date	9/22/98	
Depth to Mud	S. Time	1044	
Mud Line Elev.	E. Time	1047	

Core Number	DR08
Drive Length, ft.	5', 3"
Recover Length, ft.	2', 4" 28
Recovery Efficiency	

DESCRIPTION OF CORE TUBES & TESTS (based on core tube lengths, feet)

Tube No. Ln. ft.	FIELD End Description	Spl No. & Test	LABORATORY Sample Description
_1	①	<13"	
_2	②	23"	
	③	28"	
_3			
_4	① Clayey SILT, Brush black, slight hydrocarbon odor (diesel) sat,		
_5			
_6			
_7	② SAND with silt, clay & gravel, (70 15, 10, 5), Angular gravel, Fine grained sand, sat, no stain or odor		
_8			
_9			
_10	③ Clay with trace silt (95/5) Gray, (LOY2, 51.1), high plasticity, no stain or odor, sat color banding & mottling		
_11			
_12			
_13			
_14			
_15			

(based on in-situ depths, feet)

Interpreted Summary Log (1)	Acquisition Data (2)	Blows/Ft. (3)
_1		
_2		
_3		
_4		
_5		
_6		
_7		
_8		
_9		
_10		
_11		
_12		
_13		
_14		
_15		

1 The summary log is an interpretation based on samples, blow counts, acquisition data, and interpolation. The recovery efficiency is a rough indication of the general confidence of the summary log. Variation between what is shown and actual conditions should be expected
2. 11/9 (83%) Penetration Depth, ft/Corresponding Sample Recovery, ft. (Corresponding Total Recovery Efficiency, percent)
3. Blows/ft. are the number of hammer strikes required to drive the core tube 1 foot.

FIELD SAMPLING PLAN Expected Mudline Elev Expected Thickness of Recent Sediment

Tube Segment No.	In Situ Depth	Ln.	Actual Recovery Total % R	Core Tube Depth	Length	Segment % R	Chain-of-Custody of Core Tube Sections Transfer Tube Sections from Field to Lab
A							Relinquished By:
B							Received By:
C							Date.
D							Time:
							Comments.

LAB: SAMPLES SELECTED FOR TESTING

SAMPLE Number	SAMPLE Test	Sample Depth Tube	Sample Depth In-Situ
983540 16	0-2		

COMMENTS

95-1128 FRM

REACH B
SLIP 2 (MOUTH)

CORE DESCRIPTION

WESTON

Project Name: DUWAMISH RIVER SI
Project Location: SEATTLE WA
Project No.: 4000-019-038-5100
Core Method: GRAVITY CORER (4")

Field Log by: S Fernandez Lab Log by:

		Field	Lab
Tide Level (MLLW)	Date	9/21/98	
Depth to Mud	S. Time	1450	
Mud Line Elev.	E. Time	1453	

Core Number	DR101
Drive Length, ft.	5', 3"
Recover Length, ft.	3' 11" (47")
Recovery Efficiency	75%

DESCRIPTION OF CORE TUBES & TESTS (based on core tube lengths, feet)

Tube No. Ln. ft.	FIELD End Description	Spl No. & Test	LABORATORY Sample Description
_1	Silty CLAY, Black black (2.5/1.5B) no stain or odor, saturated, wood fragments.		
_2			
_3			
_4	TD - 3'11"		
_5			
_6			
_7			
_8			
_9			
_10			
_11			
_12			
_13			
_14			
_15			

(based on in-situ depths, feet)

Interpreted Summary Log (1)	Acquisition Data (2)	Blows/Ft. (3)
_1		
_2		
_3		
_4		
_5		
_6		
_7		
_8		
_9		
_10		
_11		
_12		
_13		
_14		
_15		

1. The summary log is an interpretation based on samples, blow counts, acquisition data, and interpolation. The recovery efficiency is a rough indication of the general confidence of the summary log. Variation between what is shown and actual conditions should be expected.
2. 11/9 (83%) Penetration Depth, ft./Corresponding Sample Recovery ft. (Corresponding Total Recovery Efficiency, percent)
3. Blows/ft. are the number of hammer strikes required to drive the core tube 1 foot.

FIELD SAMPLING PLAN Expected Mudline Elev Expected Thickness of Recent Sediment.

Tube Segment No.	In Situ Depth	Ln.	Actual Recovery Total % R	Core Tube Depth	Length	Segment % R	Chain-of-Custody of Core Tube Sections Transfer Tube Sections from Field to Lab
A							Relinquished By:
B							Received By:
C							Date:
D							Time:
							Comments

LAB: SAMPLES SELECTED FOR TESTING

SAMPLE Number	Test	Sample Depth Tube	In-Situ
9839 4006	0-2		
9839 4007	2-3', 11"		

COMMENTS

95-1128.FRM

REACH B
SLIP 3 (MOUTH)

CORE DESCRIPTION

WESTON

Project Name: DUWAMISH RIVER SI
Project No.: 4000-019-038-5100
Project Location: SEATTLE, WA
Core Method: GRAVITY CORER (4")

Field Log by: S Fernández Lab Log by:

		Field	Lab
Tide Level (MLLW)	Date	9/21/98	
Depth to Mud	S. Time	1414	
Mud Line Elev.	E. Time	1415	

Core Number	DR106 (Replacement for DR)
Drive Length, ft.	5'3"
Recover Length, ft.	3'4"
Recovery Efficiency	64%

DESCRIPTION OF CORE TUBES & TESTS (based on core tube lengths, feet)

Tube No. Ln. ft.	FIELD End Description	Spl No. & Test	LABORATORY Sample Description
_1	Silty Clay, Bmish black (2.5/1, 5B), no odor or stain saturated, nothing near surface wood & shell fragments towards bottom		
_2			
_3			
_4	TD 3'4"		
_5			
_6			
_7			
_8			
_9			
_10			
_11			
_12			
_13			
_14			
_15			

(based on in-situ depths, feet)

Interpreted Summary Log (1)	Acquisition Data (2)	Blows/FL (3)
_1		
_2		
_3		
_4		
_5		
_6		
_7		
_8		
_9		
_10		
_11		
_12		
_13		
_14		
_15		

1. The summary log is an interpretation based on samples, blow counts, acquisition data, and interpolation. The recovery efficiency is a rough indication of the general confidence of the summary log. Variation between what is shown and actual conditions should be expected
2. 11/9 (83%): Penetration Depth, ft./Corresponding Sample Recovery, ft. (Corresponding Total Recovery Efficiency percent)
3. Blows/ft. are the number of hammer strikes required to drive the core tube 1 foot.

FIELD SAMPLING PLAN

Expected Mudline Elev: Expected Thickness of Recent Sediment:

Tube Segment No.	In Situ Depth	Ln.	Actual Recovery Total % R	Core Tube Depth	Length	Segment % R	Chain-of-Custody of Core Tube Sections Transfer Tube Sections from Field to Lab
A							Relinquished By:
B							Received By:
C							Date:
D							Time:
							Comments:

LAB: SAMPLES SELECTED FOR TESTING

SAMPLE Number	Test	Sample Depth Tube	In-Situ
98394004	0-2		
98394005	2-3'4"		

COMMENTS

95-1128.FRM

REACH B
FOX AVE CSO

CORE DESCRIPTION

WESTON

Project Name: DUWAMISH RIVER SI
Project No.: 4000-019-038-5100
Project Location: SEATTLE, WA
Core Method GRAVITY CORERS (4")

Field Log by: S Fernández Lab Log by:

		Field	Lab
Tide Level (MLLW)	Date	9/21/98	
Depth to Mud	S. Time	1330	
Mud Line Elev.	E. Time	1332	

Core Number	DR112
Drive Length, ft.	5', 3"
Recover Length, ft.	4', 4"
Recovery Efficiency	84%

DESCRIPTION OF CORE TUBES & TESTS (based on core tube lengths, feet)

Tube No. Ln, ft.	FIELD End Description	Spl No. & Test	LABORATORY Sample Description
1	Clayey SILT, Blush black (25/15) no odor or sharp, saturated, some mottling (60/40)		
2			
3			
4	— mottling		
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			

(based on in-situ depths, feet)

Interpreted Summary Log (1)	Acquisition Data (2)	Blows/Ft. (3)
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		

1 The summary log is an interpretation based on samples, blow counts, acquisition data, and interpolation. The recovery efficiency is a rough indication of the general confidence of the summary log. Variation between what is shown and actual conditions should be expected
2. 11/9 (83%). Penetration Depth, ft./Corresponding Sample Recovery, ft. (Corresponding Total Recovery Efficiency percent)
3. Blows/ft. are the number of hammer strikes required to drive the core tube 1 foot.

FIELD SAMPLING PLAN Expected Mudline Elev: Expected Thickness of Recent Sediment.

Tube Segment			Actual Recovery				Chain-of-Custody of Core Tube Sections Transfer Tube Sections from Field to Lab
No	In Situ Depth	Ln.	Total % R	Core Tube Depth	Length	Segment % R	
A							Relinquished By:
B							Received By:
C							Date:
D							Time:
							Comments:

LAB: SAMPLES SELECTED FOR TESTING

SAMPLE		Sample Depth	
Number	Test	Tube	In-Situ
98394002	0-2'		
98394003	2-4'		

COMMENTS

95-1128 FRM

REACH B
LONESTAR (WEST)

CORE DESCRIPTION

WESTON

Project Name: DUWAMISH RIVER SI
Project No.: 4000-019-038-5100
Project Location: SEATTLE, WA
Core Method: GRAVITY CORER (4")

Field Log by:	Lab Log by:	Field	Lab
Tide Level (MLLW)	Date		
Depth to Mud	S. Time		
Mud Line Elev.	E. Time		

Core Number	DR123
Drive Length, ft.	
Recover Length, ft.	
Recovery Efficiency	

DESCRIPTION OF CORE TUBES & TESTS (based on core tube lengths, feet)

Tube No. Ln. ft.	FIELD End Description	Spl No. & Test	LABORATORY Sample Description
_1			
_2			
_3			
_4			
_5			
_6			
_7			
_8			
_9			
_10			
_11			
_12			
_13			
_14			
_15			

(based on in-situ depths, feet)

Interpreted Summary Log (1)	Acquisition Data (2)	Blows/Ft. (3)
_1		
_2		
_3		
_4		
_5		
_6		
_7		
_8		
_9		
_10		
_11		
_12		
_13		
_14		
_15		

BOAT CANNOT ACCESS SAMPLING LOCATION BEHIND PIERS — DROPPED

1. The summary log is an interpretation based on samples, blow counts, acquisition data, and interpolation. The recovery efficiency is a rough indication of the general confidence of the summary log. Variation between what is shown and actual conditions should be expected
2. 11/9 (83%) Penetration Depth, ft./Corresponding Sample Recovery, ft. (Corresponding Total Recovery Efficiency, percent)
3. Blows/ft. are the number of hammer strikes required to drive the core tube 1 foot.

FIELD SAMPLING PLAN Expected Mudline Elev: Expected Thickness of Recent Sediment:

Tube Segment No.	In Situ Depth	Ln.	Actual Recovery Total % R	Core Tube Depth	Length	Segment % R	Chain-of-Custody of Core Tube Sections Transfer Tube Sections from Field to Lab
A							Relinquished By:
B							Received By:
C							Date:
D							Time:
							Comments:

LAB: SAMPLES SELECTED FOR TESTING

SAMPLE Number	SAMPLE Test	Sample Depth Tube	Sample Depth In-Situ

COMMENTS

95-1128 FRM

1055

REACH B
TROTSKY SLOUGH

CORE DESCRIPTION

WESTON

Project Name: Duwamish River, SI
Project Location: Seattle, WA
Project No.: 04000-019-038-5100
Core Method: Gravity Corer

(Replaced DR 13)

Field Log by: S Fernández	Lab Log by:	Field	Lab
Tide Level (MLLW)	Date	9/23/96	
Depth to Mud	S. Time	1045	
Mud Line Elev.	E. Time	1048	

Core Number	DR 137
Drive Length, ft.	5', 3"
Recover Length, ft.	4', 3" (51")
Recovery Efficiency	

DESCRIPTION OF CORE TUBES & TESTS (based on core tube lengths, feet)

Tube No. Ln. ft.	FIELD End Description	Spl No. & Test	LABORATORY Sample Description
1	5' SAND ① Silty Clay, Bluish black, low plasticity no stain or odor, mottling (75/25)		
2			
3			
4	TD 4', 3"		
5			
6	① SAND with, silt, clay & trace gravel, gravel 2-3 mm diameter in layer ≈ 5" from tie (subrounded), sand is fine grained		
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			

(based on in-situ depths, feet)

Interpreted Summary Log (1)	Acquisition Data (2)	Blows/Ft. (3)
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		

1 The summary log is an interpretation based on samples, blow counts, acquisition data, and interpolation. The recovery efficiency is a rough indication of the general confidence of the summary log. Variation between what is shown and actual conditions should be expected.
2. 11/9 (83%) Penetration Depth, ft./Corresponding Sample Recovery, ft. (Corresponding Total Recovery Efficiency, percent)
3. Blows/ft. are the number of hammer strikes required to drive the core tube 1 foot.

FIELD SAMPLING PLAN Expected Mudline Elev Expected Thickness of Recent Sediment.

Tube Segment No.	In Situ Depth	Ln.	Actual Recovery Total % R	Core Tube Depth	Length	Segment % R	Chain-of-Custody of Core Tube Sections Transfer Tube Sections from Field to Lab
A							Relinquished By:
B							Received By:
C							Date:
D							Time:
							Comments:

LAB: SAMPLES SELECTED FOR TESTING

SAMPLE Number	Test	Sample Depth Tube	In-Situ
98394027	0-2		
98394028	2-4		
98394029	0-2 DUP	see other 109	
98394030	2-4 DUP		

COMMENTS

95-1128 FRM

*Duplicate

CORE DESCRIPTION

WESTON

Project Name: Duwamish River SI
Project Location: Seattle WA
Project No.: 04020 - 019 - 038 - 5100
Core Method: Gravity Corer

Field Log by: S Fernandez Lab Log by:

		Field	Lab
Tide Level (MLLW)	Date	9/23/98	
Depth to Mud	S. Time	1055	
Mud Line Elev.	E. Time	1055	

Core Number	DR 137 - Duplicate
Drive Length, ft.	5', 3"
Recover Length, ft.	4', 7" 55"
Recovery Efficiency	

DESCRIPTION OF CORE TUBES & TESTS (based on core tube lengths, feet)

Tube No. Ln, ft.	FIELD End Description	Spl No. & Test	LABORATORY Sample Description
1	Silty CLAY, Bluish black, moist, no stain or odor, wood fragments, low plasticity		
2			
3			
4			
5	TD=4' 7"		
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			

(based on in-situ depths, feet)

Interpreted Summary Log (1)	Acquisition Data (2)	Blows/Ft. (3)
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		

1. The summary log is an interpretation based on samples, blow counts, acquisition data, and interpolation. The recovery efficiency is a rough indication of the general confidence of the summary log. Variation between what is shown and actual conditions should be expected
2. 11/9 (83%): Penetration Depth, ft./Corresponding Sample Recovery ft. (Corresponding Total Recovery Efficiency, percent)
3. Blows/ft. are the number of hammer strikes required to drive the core tube 1 foot.

FIELD SAMPLING PLAN Expected Mudline Elev: Expected Thickness of Recent Sediment.

Tube Segment			Actual Recovery				Chain-of-Custody of Core Tube Sections Transfer Tube Sections from Field to Lab
No.	In Situ Depth	Ln.	Total % R	Core Tube Depth	Length	Segment % R	
A							Relinquished By:
B							Received By:
C							Date:
D							Time:
							Comments:

LAB: SAMPLES SELECTED FOR TESTING

SAMPLE		Sample Depth	
Number	Test	Tube	In-Situ
98394029	0-2		
98394030	2-4		

COMMENTS

95-1128 FRM

REACH B
PORT TIIS

CORE DESCRIPTION

WESTON

Project Name: DUWAMISH RIVER SI
Project No.: 4000-019-038-5100
Project Location: SEATTLE, WA
Core Method: GRAVITY CORER (4")

Field Log by: Lab Log by:

		Field	Lab
Tide Level (MLLW)	Date		
Depth to Mud	S. Time		
Mud Line Elev.	E. Time		

Core Number	DR154
Drive Length, ft.	
Recover Length, ft.	no recovery
Recovery Efficiency	NA

DESCRIPTION OF CORE TUBES & TESTS (based on core tube lengths, feet)

Tube No. Ln. ft.	FIELD End Description	Spl No. & Test	LABORATORY Sample Description
_1			
_2			
_3			
_4			
_5			
_6			
_7			
_8			
_9			
_10			
_11			
_12			
_13			
_14			
_15			

COULD NOT COLLECT - NOR COULD ACCEPTABLE CORE BE OBTAINED D NEARBY DR131

(based on in-situ depths, feet)

Interpreted Summary Log (1)	Acquisition Data (2)	Blows/Ft. (3)
_1		
_2		
_3		
_4		
_5		
_6		
_7		
_8		
_9		
_10		
_11		
_12		
_13		
_14		
_15		

1. The summary log is an interpretation based on samples, blow counts, acquisition data, and interpolation. The recovery efficiency is a rough indication of the general confidence of the summary log. Variation between what is shown and actual conditions should be expected.
2. 11/9 (83%). Penetration Depth, ft./Corresponding Sample Recovery ft. (Corresponding Total Recovery Efficiency percent)
3. Blows/ft. are the number of hammer strikes required to drive the core tube 1 foot.

FIELD SAMPLING PLAN

Expected Mudline Elev:

Expected Thickness of Recent Sediment:

Tube Segment No.	In Situ Depth	Ln.	Actual Recovery Total % R	Core Tube Depth	Length	Segment % R
A						
B						
C						
D						

Chain-of-Custody of Core Tube Sections
Transfer Tube Sections from Field to Lab

Relinquished By:
Received By:
Date:
Time:
Comments:

LAB: SAMPLES SELECTED FOR TESTING

SAMPLE Number	SAMPLE Test	Sample Depth Tube	Sample Depth In-Situ

COMMENTS

95-1128 FRM

REPAIR B
ALASKA BARGELINE

CORE DESCRIPTION

WESTON

Project Name: Duwamish River SI
Project Location: Seattle, WA
Project No.: 04000-019-038-5100-00
Core Method: Gravity Corer (4")

Field Log by: S Fernández Lab Log by:

		Field	Lab
Tide Level (MLLW)	Date	9/23/98	
Depth to Mud	S. Time	1230	
Mud Line Elev.	E. Time	1233	

Core Number	DR 171
Drive Length, ft.	5' 3"
Recover Length, ft.	4', 7" 55"
Recovery Efficiency	87 %

DESCRIPTION OF CORE TUBES & TESTS (based on core tube lengths, feet)

Tube No. Ln. ft.	FIELD End Description	Spl No. & Test	LABORATORY Sample Description
_1	Silty Clay, Bluish black, low plasticity, sat, no stain or odor, mottling on upper 6", wood fragments		
_2			
_3			
_4			
_5	TD 4', 7"		
_6			
_7			
_8			
_9			
_10			
_11			
_12			
_13			
_14			
_15			

(based on in-situ depths, feet)

Interpreted Summary Log (1)	Acquisition Data (2)	Blows/Ft. (3)
_1		
_2		
_3		
_4		
_5		
_6		
_7		
_8		
_9		
_10		
_11		
_12		
_13		
_14		
_15		

1. The summary log is an interpretation based on samples, blow counts, acquisition data, and interpolation. The recovery efficiency is a rough indication of the general confidence of the summary log. Variation between what is shown and actual conditions should be expected
2. 11/9 (83%) Penetration Depth, ft./Corresponding Sample Recovery, ft. (Corresponding Total Recovery Efficiency, percent)
3. Blows/ft. are the number of hammer strikes required to drive the core tube 1 foot.

FIELD SAMPLING PLAN

Expected Mudline Elev: Expected Thickness of Recent Sediment

Tube Segment No.	In Situ Depth	Ln.	Actual Recovery Total % R	Core Tube Depth	Length	Segment % R	Chain-of-Custody of Core Tube Sections Transfer Tube Sections from Field to Lab
A							Relinquished By:
B							Received By:
C							Date:
D							Time:
							Comments:

LAB: SAMPLES SELECTED FOR TESTING

SAMPLE Number	SAMPLE Test	Sample Depth Tube	Sample Depth In-Situ
9839 4033	0-2		
9839 4034	2-4		

COMMENTS

95-1128 FRM

REACH C
SLIP 4 (MOUTH)

CORE DESCRIPTION

WESTON

Project Name: DUWAMISH RIVER SI
Project No.: 4000-019-038-5100
Project Location: SEATTLE WA
Core Method: GRAVITY CORER (4")

Field Log by: S Fernández Lab Log by:

		Field	Lab
Tide Level (MLLW)	Date	9/22/98	
Depth to Mud	S. Time		
Mud Line Elev.	E. Time		

Core Number	DR183 179 Sub
Drive Length, ft.	Abandoned
Recover Length, ft.	
Recovery Efficiency	

DESCRIPTION OF CORE TUBES & TESTS (based on core tube lengths, feet)

Tube No. Ln. ft.	FIELD End Description	Spl No. & Test	LABORATORY Sample Description
_1			
_2			
_3			
_4			
_5			
_6			
_7			
_8			
_9			
_10			
_11			
_12			
_13			
_14			
_15			

COULD NOT OBTAIN DR183, NOR POTENTIAL REPLACEMENTS DR181 or DR179

(based on in-situ depths, feet)

Interpreted Summary Log (1)	Acquisition Data (2)	Blows/FL (3)
_1		
_2		
_3		
_4		
_5		
_6		
_7		
_8		
_9		
_10		
_11		
_12		
_13		
_14		
_15		

1. The summary log is an interpretation based on samples, blow counts, acquisition data, and interpolation. The recovery efficiency is a rough indication of the general confidence of the summary log. Variation between what is shown and actual conditions should be expected
2. 11/9 (83%) Penetration Depth, ft./Corresponding Sample Recovery ft. (Corresponding Total Recovery Efficiency, percent)
3. Blows/ft. are the number of hammer strikes required to drive the core tube 1 foot.

FIELD SAMPLING PLAN Expected Mudline Elev Expected Thickness of Recent Sediment.

Tube Segment No.	In Situ Depth	Ln.	Actual Recovery Total % R	Core Tube Depth	Length	Segment % R	Chain-of-Custody of Core Tube Sections Transfer Tube Sections from Field to Lab
A							Relinquished By:
B							Received By:
C							Date:
D							Time:
							Comments:

LAB: SAMPLES SELECTED FOR TESTING

SAMPLE		Sample Depth	
Number	Test	Tube	In-Situ

COMMENTS

95-1128 FRM

REACH C
SOUTH PARK MARIN

CORE DESCRIPTION

WESTON

Project Name: DUWAMISH RIVER SI
Project No.: 4000-019-038-5100
Project Location: SEATTLE, WA
Core Method: GRAVITY CORER (4")

Field Log by: S Fernandez Lab Log by:

		Field	Lab
Tide Level (MLLW)	Date	9/22/98	
Depth to Mud	S. Time	1335	
Mud Line Elev.	E. Time	1338	

Core Number	DR206
Drive Length, ft.	5', 3"
Recover Length, ft.	4', 3"
Recovery Efficiency	81 %

DESCRIPTION OF CORE TUBES & TESTS (based on core tube lengths, feet)

Tube No. Ln. ft.	FIELD End Description	Spl No. & Test	LABORATORY Sample Description
_1	Silty Clay, black, mild to low plasticity, no odor or strain, some sand stringers (fine grained), coal fragment.		
_2			
_3			
_4			
_5	TD 4', 3"		
_6			
_7			
_8			
_9			
_10			
_11			
_12			
_13			
_14			
_15			

(based on in-situ depths, feet)

Interpreted Summary Log (1)	Acquisition Data (2)	Blows/Ft. (3)
_1		
_2		
_3		
_4		
_5		
_6		
_7		
_8		
_9		
_10		
_11		
_12		
_13		
_14		
_15		

1. The summary log is an interpretation based on samples, blow counts, acquisition data, and interpolation. The recovery efficiency is a rough indication of the general confidence of the summary log. Variation between what is shown and actual conditions should be expected
2. 11/9 (83%) Penetration Depth, ft./Corresponding Sample Recovery, ft. (Corresponding Total Recovery Efficiency, percent)
3. Blows/ft. are the number of hammer strikes required to drive the core tube 1 foot.

FIELD SAMPLING PLAN

Expected Mudline Elev:

Expected Thickness of Recent Sediment:

Tube Segment			Actual Recovery				Chain-of-Custody of Core Tube Sections Transfer Tube Sections from Field to Lab
No.	In Situ Depth	Ln.	Total % R	Core Tube Depth	Length	Segment % R	
A							Relinquished By:
B							Received By:
C							Date:
D							Time:
							Comments:

LAB: SAMPLES SELECTED FOR TESTING

SAMPLE		Sample Depth	
Number	Test	Tube	In-Situ
98394021	0-2		
98394022	2-4		

COMMENTS

95-1128 FRM

REACH C
ISAACSON CSO

CORE DESCRIPTION

WESTON

Project Name: Duwamish River SI
Project No.: 04000-019-038-5100
Project Location: Seattle, WA
Core Method: Gravity Core (4")

Field Log by: S Fernández Lab Log by:

		Field	Lab
Tide Level (MLLW)	Date	9/23/95	
Depth to Mud	S. Time	1140	
Mud Line Elev.	E. Time	1143	

Core Number	DR 220 (Replaced DR187)
Drive Length, ft.	5', 3"
Recover Length, ft.	4', 6" 54"
Recovery Efficiency	86%

DESCRIPTION OF CORE TUBES & TESTS (based on core tube lengths, feet)

Tube No. Ln. ft.	FIELD End Description	Spl No. & Test	LABORATORY Sample Description
1	Silty Clay, bluish black, sat, no strain or odor, low plasticity, mottling on top 6" wood frags.		
2			
3			
4			
5	TD - 4', 6"		
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			

(based on in-situ depths, feet)

Interpreted Summary Log (1)	Acquisition Data (2)	Blows/Ft. (3)
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		

1. The summary log is an interpretation based on samples, blow counts, acquisition data, and interpolation. The recovery efficiency is a rough indication of the general confidence of the summary log. Variation between what is shown and actual conditions should be expected.
2. 11/9 (83%): Penetration Depth, ft./Corresponding Sample Recovery, ft. (Corresponding Total Recovery Efficiency percent)
3. Blows/ft. are the number of hammer strikes required to drive the core tube 1 foot.

FIELD SAMPLING PLAN Expected Mudline Elev: Expected Thickness of Recent Sediment:

Tube Segment			Actual Recovery				Chain-of-Custody of Core Tube Sections Transfer Tube Sections from Field to Lab
No.	In Situ Depth	Ln.	Total % R	Core Tube Depth	Length	Segment % R	
A							Relinquished By:
B							Received By:
C							Date:
D							Time:
							Comments:

LAB: SAMPLES SELECTED FOR TESTING

SAMPLE		Sample Depth	
Number	Test	Tube	In-Situ
98394031	0-2		
98394032	2-4		

COMMENTS

95-1128 FRM

REACH C
BOAT RAMP (?)

CORE DESCRIPTION

WESTON

Project Name: DUWAMISH RIVER SI
Project Location: SEATTLE, WA
Project No.: 4000-019-038-5100
Core Method: GRAVITY CORER (4")

Field Log by: S Fernandez Lab Log by:

		Field	Lab
Tide Level (MLLW)	Date	9/21/98	
Depth to Mud	S. Time	1210	
Mud Line Elev.	E. Time	1215	

Core Number	DR224
Drive Length, ft.	5', 3"
Recover Length, ft.	3', 4"
Recovery Efficiency	65%

DESCRIPTION OF CORE TUBES & TESTS (based on core tube lengths, feet)

Tube No. Ln. ft.	FIELD End Description	Spl No. & Test	LABORATORY Sample Description
_1	Clayey SILT, bluish black (2.5/1 5B) no odor or stains saturated, some sand nothing. 60/40		
_2			
_3			
_4			
_5			
_6			
_7			
_8			
_9			
_10			
_11			
_12			
_13			
_14			
_15			

(based on in-situ depths, feet)

Interpreted Summary Log (1)	Acquisition Data (2)	Blows/Ft. (3)
_1		
_2		
_3		
_4		
_5		
_6		
_7		
_8		
_9		
_10		
_11		
_12		
_13		
_14		
_15		

1. The summary log is an interpretation based on samples, blow counts, acquisition data, and interpolation. The recovery efficiency is a rough indication of the general confidence of the summary log. Variation between what is shown and actual conditions should be expected
2. 11/3 (83%): Penetration Depth, ft./Corresponding Sample Recovery, ft. (Corresponding Total Recovery Efficiency, percent)
3. Blows/ft. are the number of hammer strikes required to drive the core tube 1 foot.

FIELD SAMPLING PLAN Expected Mudline Elev: Expected Thickness of Recent Sediment:

Tube Segment No.	In Situ Depth	Ln.	Actual Recovery Total % R	Core Tube Depth	Length	Segment % R	Chain-of-Custody of Core Tube Sections Transfer Tube Sections from Field to Lab
A							Relinquished By:
B							Received By:
C							Date:
D							Time:
							Comments:

LAB: SAMPLES SELECTED FOR TESTING

SAMPLE Number	SAMPLE Test	Sample Depth Tube	Sample Depth In-Situ
S8394000	0-2		
S8394001	2-3', 4"		

COMMENTS

95-1128.FRM

REACH D
MOUTH SLIP 6

CORE DESCRIPTION

WESTON

Project Name: DUWAMISH RIVER SI
Project No.: 4000-019-038-5100
Project Location: SEATTLE, WA
Core Method GRAVITY CORER (4")

Field Log by: S. Fernandez Lab Log by:

		Field	Lab
Tide Level (MLLW)	Date	9/22/98	
Depth to Mud	S. Time	1234	
Mud Line Elev.	E. Time	1237	

Core Number	DR246
Drive Length, ft.	5', 3"
Recover Length, ft.	4', 8" 56"
Recovery Efficiency	89%

DESCRIPTION OF CORE TUBES & TESTS (based on core tube lengths, feet)

Tube No. Ln, ft.	FIELD End Description	Spl No. & Test	LABORATORY Sample Description
1	Silty Clay, Bluish black, red plastic saturated, no stain or odor, bioturbation. (80/20)		
2			
3			
4			
5	TD = 4', 8"		
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			

(based on in-situ depths, feet)

Interpreted Summary Log (1)	Acquisition Data (2)	Blows/Ft. (3)
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		

1. The summary log is an interpretation based on samples, blow counts, acquisition data, and interpolation. The recovery efficiency is a rough indication of the general confidence of the summary log. Variation between what is shown and actual conditions should be expected.
2. 11/9 (83%): Penetration Depth, ft./Corresponding Sample Recovery, ft. (Corresponding Total Recovery Efficiency percent)
3. Blows/ft. are the number of hammer strikes required to drive the core tube 1 foot.

FIELD SAMPLING PLAN Expected Mudline Elev: Expected Thickness of Recent Sediment:

Tube Segment No.	In Situ Depth	Ln.	Actual Recovery Total % R	Core Tube Depth	Length	Segment % R	Chain-of-Custody of Core Tube Sections Transfer Tube Sections from Field to Lab
A							Relinquished By:
B							Received By:
C							Date:
D							Time:
							Comments:

LAB: SAMPLES SELECTED FOR TESTING

SAMPLE Number	Test	Sample Depth Tube	In-Situ
98394017	0-2		
98394018	2-4		

COMMENTS

95-1128 FRM

REACH D
TURNING BASIN

CORE DESCRIPTION

WESTON
MANAGERS DESIGNERS/CONSULTANTS

Project Name: DUWAMISH RIVER SI
Project No.: 4000-019-038-5100
Project Location: SEATTLE, WA
Core Method: GRAVITY CORER (4")

Field Log by: S. Fernández Lab Log by:

		Field	Lab
Tide Level (MLLW)	Date	9/23/98	
Depth to Mud	S. Time	0953	
Mud Line Elev.	E. Time	0956	

Core Number	~~DR29T~~ 29Z ~~subsh~~ 26G
Drive Length, ft.	5', 3"
Recover Length, ft.	4', 7" 55"
Recovery Efficiency	87%

DESCRIPTION OF CORE TUBES & TESTS (based on core tube lengths, feet)

Tube No. Ln, ft.	FIELD End Description	Spl No. & Test	LABORATORY Sample Description
1	Silty Clay with some sand, bluish black, no stain or odor, sand in stringers		
2			
3	Sand with SILT & Clay, Bluish black, fine grained, no stain or odor, soft, subangular (60, 25, 25)		
4			
5	TD - 4', 7"		
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			

(based on in-situ depths, feet)

Interpreted Summary Log (1)	Acquisition Data (2)	Blows/Ft. (3)
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		

1. The summary log is an interpretation based on samples, blow counts, acquisition data, and interpolation. The recovery efficiency is a rough indication of the general confidence of the summary log. Variation between what is shown and actual conditions should be expected
2. 11/9 (83%): Penetration Depth, ft./Corresponding Sample Recovery, ft. (Corresponding Total Recovery Efficiency, percent)
3. Blows/ft. are the number of hammer strikes required to drive the core tube 1 foot.

FIELD SAMPLING PLAN Expected Mudline Elev: Expected Thickness of Recent Sediment:

Tube Segment			Actual Recovery				Chain-of-Custody of Core Tube Sections Transfer Tube Sections from Field to Lab
No.	In Situ Depth	Ln.	Total % R	Core Tube Depth	Length	Segment % R	
A							Relinquished By:
B							Received By:
C							Date:
D							Time:
							Comments:

LAB: SAMPLES SELECTED FOR TESTING

SAMPLE		Sample Depth	
Number	Test	Tube	In-Situ
98394025	0-2		
98394026	2-4		

COMMENTS

95-1128 FRM

REACH D
DUWAMISH YACHT CLUB

CORE DESCRIPTION

WESTON

Project Name: DUWAMISH RIVER SI
Project No.: 4000-019-038-5100
Project Location: SEATTLE, WA
Core Method: GRAVITY CORER (4")

Field Log by: S. Fernandez Lab Log by:

		Field	Lab
Tide Level (MLLW)	Date	9/22/98	
Depth to Mud	S. Time	1306	
Mud Line Elev.	E. Time	1309	

Core Number	DR284
Drive Length, ft.	5', 3"
Recover Length, ft.	4', 8" 56"
Recovery Efficiency	89%

DESCRIPTION OF CORE TUBES & TESTS (based on core tube lengths, feet)

Tube No. Ln, ft.	FIELD End Description	Spl No. & Test	LABORATORY Sample Description
1	Clayey SILT with sand Bluish black, med plasticity, sand fine grained in stringers, saturated no stain or odor		
2			
3			
4			
5	TD - 4', 8"		
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			

(based on in-situ depths, feet)

Interpreted Summary Log (1)	Acquisition Data (2)	Blows/Ft. (3)
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		

1. The summary log is an interpretation based on samples, blow counts, acquisition data, and interpolation. The recovery efficiency is a rough indication of the general confidence of the summary log. Variation between what is shown and actual conditions should be expected
2. 11/9 (83%): Penetration Depth, ft./Corresponding Sample Recovery, ft. (Corresponding Total Recovery Efficiency, percent)
3. Blows/ft. are the number of hammer strikes required to drive the core tube 1 foot.

FIELD SAMPLING PLAN Expected Mudline Elev: Expected Thickness of Recent Sediment.

Tube Segment No.	In Situ Depth	Ln.	Actual Recovery Total % R	Core Tube Depth	Length	Segment % R	Chain-of-Custody of Core Tube Sections Transfer Tube Sections from Field to Lab
A							Relinquished By.
B							Received By:
C							Date:
D							Time:
							Comments:

LAB: SAMPLES SELECTED FOR TESTING

SAMPLE Number	Test	Sample Depth Tube	In-Situ
983940 19	0-2		
983940 20	2-4		

COMMENTS

95-1128.FRM