## INDEX

~		area of elevated activity	2-3, 4, 27, 28,
see Type I decision error		·	30; 5-35 to 39;
ß			6-42 to 45;
see Type II decision error			8-22, 23, 27
01h material	3 5	demonstrating compliance	2-27
	5-5	determining data points	5-35
A <sub>min</sub>	D 00	flagging	5-44
area of elevated activity	D-23	investigation level	5-44 to 46
action level	2-14, 27; 4-34,	final status survey design	2-29, 32;
	35; 7-3; D-6, 8,		5-46 to 52
	9, 15, 16	area factor	2-27: 5-36 to 39:
activity	2-3; 3-11		8-16. 22. 24
activity concentration	4-1, 6	arithmetic mean	o 10, <b>22</b> , <b>2</b> .
distribution	2-29, 30;		
	6-33, 34	see mean	
ratios	4-4, 5	arithmetic standard devia	lion
gross activity	4-8	see standard deviation	
units of activity	2-14; 4-1	background (radiation)	
see elevated activity		activity	5-10, 11
air	3-19:5-10 14	decommissioning	4-13
	18: 6-11 13	detection sensitivity	6-37, 39 to 49
	55 to 60: 7-13	ground water	5-13
	$16 \ 27$ App M	indistinguishable from	2-39
	25, 55, 52, 9, 21	samples	5-10, 11; 7-2, 5
ALAKA	2-3; 3-32; 8-21,	statistical tests	2-26; 4-9; 5-28
	27; C-8 to 10	see background reference ar	ea
alpha ( $\alpha$ ) radiation	4-6.7:7-15	background reference are	a 2-6 28.4-13 to
-P-in (w) i uniution		Dackground reference area	$a = 20, 20, \pm 1510$
analysis	7-22	background reference area	16; 7-5; 8-3 to
analysis detection sensitivity	7-22	background reference area	16; 7-5; 8-3 to 11, 17 to 21; A-5
analysis detection sensitivity direct measurement	7-22 6-32 to 37	background radiation	16; 7-5; 8-3 to 11, 17 to 21; A-5 4-13
analysis detection sensitivity direct measurement scanning	7-22 6-32 to 37 2-14; 5-48;	background radiation data points	16; 7-5; 8-3 to 11, 17 to 21; A-5 4-13 5-25 to 31
analysis detection sensitivity direct measurement scanning	7-22 6-32 to 37 2-14; 5-48; 6-47 to 49	background radiation data points P,	16; 7-5; 8-3 to 11, 17 to 21; A-5 4-13 5-25 to 31 5-27
analysis detection sensitivity direct measurement scanning detectors	7-22 6-32 to 37 2-14; 5-48; 6-47 to 49 6-15 to 17, 20	background radiation data points P <sub>r</sub> relative shift	16; 7-5; 8-3 to 11, 17 to 21; A-5 4-13 5-25 to 31 5-27
analysis detection sensitivity direct measurement scanning detectors attenuation	7-22 6-32 to 37 2-14; 5-48; 6-47 to 49 6-15 to 17, 20 4-23, 25	background radiation data points P <sub>r</sub> relative shift WRS test	16; 7-5; 8-3 to 11, 17 to 21; A-5 4-13 5-25 to 31 5-27 5-26
analysis detection sensitivity direct measurement scanning detectors attenuation measurement	7-22 6-32 to 37 2-14; 5-48; 6-47 to 49 6-15 to 17, 20 4-23, 25 5-12, 13;	background radiation data points P <sub>r</sub> relative shift WRS test survey	16; 7-5; 8-3 to 16; 7-5; 8-3 to 11, 17 to 21; A-5 4-13 5-25 to 31 5-27 5-26 5-1, 2, 10
analysis detection sensitivity direct measurement scanning detectors attenuation measurement	7-22 6-32 to 37 2-14; 5-48; 6-47 to 49 6-15 to 17, 20 4-23, 25 5-12, 13; 6-13, 14	background reference area background radiation data points P <sub>r</sub> relative shift WRS test survey Becauerel (Ba)	16; 7-5; 8-3 to 16; 7-5; 8-3 to 11, 17 to 21; A-5 4-13 5-25 to 31 5-27 5-26 5-1, 2, 10
analysis detection sensitivity direct measurement scanning detectors attenuation measurement radon	7-22 6-32 to 37 2-14; 5-48; 6-47 to 49 6-15 to 17, 20 4-23, 25 5-12, 13; 6-13, 14 6-55 to 59	background radiation data points Pr relative shift WRS test survey Becquerel (Bq) see conversion table	16; 7-5; 8-3 to 16; 7-5; 8-3 to 11, 17 to 21; A-5 4-13 5-25 to 31 5-27 5-26 5-1, 2, 10
analysis detection sensitivity direct measurement scanning detectors attenuation measurement radon alternative hypothesis	7-22 6-32 to 37 2-14; 5-48; 6-47 to 49 6-15 to 17, 20 4-23, 25 5-12, 13; 6-13, 14 6-55 to 59 2-39; 5-25;	background reference area background radiation data points Pr relative shift WRS test survey Becquerel (Bq) see conversion table bata (B) radiation	16; 7-5; 8-3 to 16; 7-5; 8-3 to 11, 17 to 21; A-5 4-13 5-25 to 31 5-27 5-26 5-1, 2, 10
analysis detection sensitivity direct measurement scanning detectors attenuation measurement radon alternative hypothesis	7-22 6-32 to 37 2-14; 5-48; 6-47 to 49 6-15 to 17, 20 4-23, 25 5-12, 13; 6-13, 14 6-55 to 59 2-39; 5-25; 8-11, 17	background reference area background radiation data points P <sub>r</sub> relative shift WRS test survey Becquerel (Bq) see conversion table beta (β) radiation	16; 7-5; 8-3 to 16; 7-5; 8-3 to 11, 17 to 21; A-5 4-13 5-25 to 31 5-27 5-26 5-1, 2, 10 4-6 7, 21, 22
analysis detection sensitivity direct measurement scanning detectors attenuation measurement radon alternative hypothesis area	7-22 6-32 to 37 2-14; 5-48; 6-47 to 49 6-15 to 17, 20 4-23, 25 5-12, 13; 6-13, 14 6-55 to 59 2-39; 5-25; 8-11, 17	background reference area background radiation data points P <sub>r</sub> relative shift WRS test survey <b>Becquerel (Bq)</b> see conversion table <b>beta (β) radiation</b> analysis detection constituity	16; 7-5; 8-3 to         11, 17 to 21; A-5         4-13         5-25 to 31         5-27         5-26         5-1, 2, 10
analysis detection sensitivity direct measurement scanning detectors attenuation measurement radon alternative hypothesis area evaluation & HSA	7-22 6-32 to 37 2-14; 5-48; 6-47 to 49 6-15 to 17, 20 4-23, 25 5-12, 13; 6-13, 14 6-55 to 59 2-39; 5-25; 8-11, 17 3-11	background reference area background radiation data points Pr relative shift WRS test survey Becquerel (Bq) see conversion table beta (β) radiation analysis detection sensitivity	<ul> <li>2 0, 20, 4 15 to</li> <li>16; 7-5; 8-3 to</li> <li>11, 17 to 21; A-5</li> <li>4-13</li> <li>5-25 to 31</li> <li>5-27</li> <li>5-26</li> <li>5-1, 2, 10</li> <li>4-6</li> <li>7-21, 22</li> <li>6 22 to 27</li> </ul>
analysis detection sensitivity direct measurement scanning detectors attenuation measurement radon alternative hypothesis area evaluation & HSA classification	7-22 6-32 to 37 2-14; 5-48; 6-47 to 49 6-15 to 17, 20 4-23, 25 5-12, 13; 6-13, 14 6-55 to 59 2-39; 5-25; 8-11, 17 3-11 2-4, 5, 17, 28;	background reference area background radiation data points Pr relative shift WRS test survey Becquerel (Bq) see conversion table beta (β) radiation analysis detection sensitivity direct measurement	<ul> <li>2 0, 20, 4 15 to</li> <li>16; 7-5; 8-3 to</li> <li>11, 17 to 21; A-5</li> <li>4-13</li> <li>5-25 to 31</li> <li>5-27</li> <li>5-26</li> <li>5-1, 2, 10</li> <li>4-6</li> <li>7-21, 22</li> <li>6-32 to 37</li> <li>2 14, 5 48.</li> </ul>
analysis detection sensitivity direct measurement scanning detectors attenuation measurement radon <b>alternative hypothesis</b> <b>area</b> evaluation & HSA classification	7-22 6-32 to 37 2-14; 5-48; 6-47 to 49 6-15 to 17, 20 4-23, 25 5-12, 13; 6-13, 14 6-55 to 59 2-39; 5-25; 8-11, 17 3-11 2-4, 5, 17, 28; 4-11	background reference area background radiation data points $P_r$ relative shift WRS test survey <b>Becquerel (Bq)</b> <i>see conversion table</i> <b>beta (<math>\beta</math>) radiation</b> analysis detection sensitivity direct measurement scanning	4       2       6, 26, 415 to         16; 7-5; 8-3 to       11, 17 to 21; A-5         4-13       5-25 to 31         5-25 to 31       5-27         5-26       5-1, 2, 10         4-6       7-21, 22         6-32 to 37       2-14; 5-48;         6-32 to 47
analysis detection sensitivity direct measurement scanning detectors attenuation measurement radon alternative hypothesis area evaluation & HSA classification contaminated	7-22 6-32 to 37 2-14; 5-48; 6-47 to 49 6-15 to 17, 20 4-23, 25 5-12, 13; 6-13, 14 6-55 to 59 2-39; 5-25; 8-11, 17 3-11 2-4, 5, 17, 28; 4-11 2-3	background reference area background radiation data points $P_r$ relative shift WRS test survey <b>Becquerel (Bq)</b> <i>see conversion table</i> <b>beta (<math>\beta</math>) radiation</b> analysis detection sensitivity direct measurement scanning	<ul> <li>2 0, 20, 4 15 to</li> <li>16; 7-5; 8-3 to</li> <li>11, 17 to 21; A-5</li> <li>4-13</li> <li>5-25 to 31</li> <li>5-27</li> <li>5-26</li> <li>5-1, 2, 10</li> <li>4-6</li> <li>7-21, 22</li> <li>6-32 to 37</li> <li>2-14; 5-48;</li> <li>6-37 to 47</li> <li>6-15 to 17 21</li> </ul>
analysis detection sensitivity direct measurement scanning detectors attenuation measurement radon alternative hypothesis area evaluation & HSA classification contaminated land	7-22 6-32 to 37 2-14; 5-48; 6-47 to 49 6-15 to 17, 20 4-23, 25 5-12, 13; 6-13, 14 6-55 to 59 2-39; 5-25; 8-11, 17 3-11 2-4, 5, 17, 28; 4-11 2-3 4-26	background reference area background radiation data points $P_r$ relative shift WRS test survey <b>Becquerel (Bq)</b> see conversion table <b>beta (<math>\beta</math>) radiation</b> analysis detection sensitivity direct measurement scanning detectors	16; 7-5; 8-3 to         16; 7-5; 8-3 to         11, 17 to 21; A-5         4-13         5-25 to 31         5-26         5-1, 2, 10         4-6         7-21, 22         6-32 to 37         2-14; 5-48;         6-37 to 47         6-15 to 17, 21         4-20; 4-20
analysis detection sensitivity direct measurement scanning detectors attenuation measurement radon alternative hypothesis area evaluation & HSA classification contaminated land reference coordinate system	7-22 6-32 to 37 2-14; 5-48; 6-47 to 49 6-15 to 17, 20 4-23, 25 5-12, 13; 6-13, 14 6-55 to 59 2-39; 5-25; 8-11, 17 3-11 2-4, 5, 17, 28; 4-11 2-3 4-26 4-27	background reference area background radiation data points $P_r$ relative shift WRS test survey <b>Becquerel (Bq)</b> <i>see conversion table</i> <b>beta (<math>\beta</math>) radiation</b> analysis detection sensitivity direct measurement scanning detectors attenuation	<ul> <li>2 0, 20, 4 15 to</li> <li>16; 7-5; 8-3 to</li> <li>11, 17 to 21; A-5</li> <li>4-13</li> <li>5-25 to 31</li> <li>5-27</li> <li>5-26</li> <li>5-1, 2, 10</li> <li>4-6</li> <li>7-21, 22</li> <li>6-32 to 37</li> <li>2-14; 5-48;</li> <li>6-37 to 47</li> <li>6-15 to 17, 21</li> <li>4-23, 25</li> <li>5 12 12</li> </ul>
analysis detection sensitivity direct measurement scanning detectors attenuation measurement radon alternative hypothesis area evaluation & HSA classification contaminated land reference coordinate system scanning	7-22 6-32 to 37 2-14; 5-48; 6-47 to 49 6-15 to 17, 20 4-23, 25 5-12, 13; 6-13, 14 6-55 to 59 2-39; 5-25; 8-11, 17 3-11 2-4, 5, 17, 28; 4-11 2-3 4-26 4-27 2-31; 5-46 to 48	background reference area background radiation data points $P_r$ relative shift WRS test survey <b>Becquerel (Bq)</b> <i>see conversion table</i> <b>beta (<math>\beta</math>) radiation</b> analysis detection sensitivity direct measurement scanning detectors attenuation measurement redor	16; 7-5; 8-3 to         11, 17 to 21; A-5         4-13         5-25 to 31         5-26         5-1, 2, 10         4-6         7-21, 22         6-32 to 37         2-14; 5-48;         6-37 to 47         6-15 to 17, 21         4-23, 25         5-12, 13         6-52 for 52
analysis detection sensitivity direct measurement scanning detectors attenuation measurement radon alternative hypothesis area evaluation & HSA classification contaminated land reference coordinate system scanning site	7-22 6-32 to 37 2-14; 5-48; 6-47 to 49 6-15 to 17, 20 4-23, 25 5-12, 13; 6-13, 14 6-55 to 59 2-39; 5-25; 8-11, 17 3-11 2-4, 5, 17, 28; 4-11 2-3 4-26 4-27 2-31; 5-46 to 48 4-17	background reference area background radiation data points $P_r$ relative shift WRS test survey <b>Becquerel (Bq)</b> <i>see conversion table</i> <b>beta (<math>\beta</math>) radiation</b> analysis detection sensitivity direct measurement scanning detectors attenuation measurement radon	4       2       6, 26, 415 to         16; 7-5; 8-3 to       11, 17 to 21; A-5         4-13       5-25 to 31         5-25 to 31       5-27         5-26       5-1, 2, 10         4-6       7-21, 22         6-32 to 37       2-14; 5-48;         6-37 to 47       6-15 to 17, 21         4-23, 25       5-12, 13         6-55, 58, 59       2
analysis detection sensitivity direct measurement scanning detectors attenuation measurement radon alternative hypothesis area evaluation & HSA classification contaminated land reference coordinate system scanning site site diagram	7-22 6-32 to 37 2-14; 5-48; 6-47 to 49 6-15 to 17, 20 4-23, 25 5-12, 13; 6-13, 14 6-55 to 59 2-39; 5-25; 8-11, 17 3-11 2-4, 5, 17, 28; 4-11 2-3 4-26 4-27 2-31; 5-46 to 48 4-17 3-21	background reference area background radiation data points $P_r$ relative shift WRS test survey <b>Becquerel (Bq)</b> <i>see conversion table</i> <b>beta (<math>\beta</math>) radiation</b> analysis detection sensitivity direct measurement scanning detectors attenuation measurement radon <b>bias</b>	4       2       0, 20, 4       15       16;         16;       7-5;       8-3       to       11, 17       to 21;       A-5         4-13       5-25       to 31       5-27       5-26       5-1, 2, 10         5-26       5-1, 2, 10       4-6       7-21, 22       6-32 to 37       2-14; 5-48;       6-37 to 47       6-15 to 17, 21         4-23, 25       5-12, 13       6-55, 58, 59       2-11; 4-32 to 38       38
analysis detection sensitivity direct measurement scanning detectors attenuation measurement radon alternative hypothesis area evaluation & HSA classification contaminated land reference coordinate system scanning site site diagram structures	7-22 6-32 to 37 2-14; 5-48; 6-47 to 49 6-15 to 17, 20 4-23, 25 5-12, 13; 6-13, 14 6-55 to 59 2-39; 5-25; 8-11, 17 3-11 2-4, 5, 17, 28; 4-11 2-3 4-26 4-27 2-31; 5-46 to 48 4-17 3-21 4-23, 25	background radiation data points $P_r$ relative shift WRS test survey <b>Becquerel (Bq)</b> see conversion table <b>beta (<math>\beta</math>) radiation</b> analysis detection sensitivity direct measurement scanning detectors attenuation measurement radon <b>bias</b> field measurements	<ul> <li>2 0, 20, 4 15 to</li> <li>16; 7-5; 8-3 to</li> <li>11, 17 to 21; A-5</li> <li>4-13</li> <li>5-25 to 31</li> <li>5-27</li> <li>5-26</li> <li>5-1, 2, 10</li> <li>4-6</li> <li>7-21, 22</li> <li>6-32 to 37</li> <li>2-14; 5-48;</li> <li>6-37 to 47</li> <li>6-15 to 17, 21</li> <li>4-23, 25</li> <li>5-12, 13</li> <li>6-55, 58, 59</li> <li>2-11; 4-32 to 38</li> <li>6-4 to 6</li> </ul>

MARSSIM, Revision 1

biased sample measureme	nt	comparability	2-11; 6-6; 7-6,
see judgement measurement			12; N-12 to 15
byproduct material	C-15, 16	completeness	2-11; 6-6, 7; 7-6,
byproducts	3-5	-	7; N-14 to 16
calibration	4-17; 6-20 to 28;	computer code	
	7-4, 13; 9-5, 6	DEFT	D-20, 21
<b>CEDE</b> (committed effective	ve dose	ELIPGRID	D-23
equivalent)	2.2	RESRAD	5-36
CEDCI A	2-2	<b>RESRAD-BUILD</b>	5-36
CERCLA	2-22, 39; 3-1, 2;	conceptual site model	3-21, 22; 4-21;
compared to MADSSIM	J-1, /	•	5-8, 47; 7-11, 13,
Chain of Crasta de	Арр. г		15; A-10
Chain of Custody	5-3, 17;	confidence interval	6-53 to 55
• • • •	7-23 to 25; 9-8	alternate null hypothesis	2-36
characterization survey	2-15, 16, 22, 23;	confirmatory survey	
	3-24; 4-21;	survey design	5-21
1 11.	5-/ to 1/; A-1/	see final status survey	•
checklist	5-16, 17	contamination	1-1 2 3 6
DCGLS	4-4	characterization survey	5-7 to 15
checklist(s)		classification	2-4 5 28.3-3.
see survey checklist		clussification	4-11
Class 1 area	2-5; 4-11; 5-48;	DCGLs	2-2. 3: 4-3
	8-24, 25	decommissioning criteria	5-25
investigation level	5-45	field measurements	6-5, 6
scanning	2-32; 5-46	final status survey	5-25 to 52
Class 2 area	2-5; 4-12; 5-49;	HSA	2-22
	8-24	historical data	3-7, 10
investigation level	5-45	reconnaissance	3-9
scanning	2-32; 5-47	identifying	3-11
Class 3 area	2-5; 4-12; 5-49	in soil	3-13, 14
investigation level	5-45	in water	3-15, 17
scanning	2-33; 5-48	in structures	3-20
classification	2-4, 10, 17, 28;	in air	3-19
	3-1, 12, 22; 4-11;	remedial action	2-23; 5-18, 19
	5-46 to 51; 7-7;	sampling	7-11 to 16;
	8-1, 2, 15, 16, 22,		App. M
	24, 27; A-5; N-16	surrogate measurements	4-4
areas	2-5	see dred of elevated dcitvity	
HSA/scoping	2-23	see impacieu area	4 22 27
see Class 1, 2, and 5 area	1 1 4 5 10 10	control chart	4-33, 37;
cleanup	1-1, 4; 5-18, 19	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0-3, 7, 8
regulations	1-3	corrective action	2-23; 6-28; 7-11;
release criterion	2-2	hing	9-8, 9 N 10
cleanup standard	2-2	Dias	IN-1U N 15
cleanup (survey) unit		comparability	IN-10 N 16
see survey unit		precision	IN-10 N Q
coefficient of variation	5-26	representativeness	N 13
		representativeness	14-15

criterion		Data Quality Objectives (I	DQOs)
alternate hypothesis	2-39		1-3, 4; 2-7, 9;
compliance	2-25		4-4, 19; 5-2, 8,
DCGLs	4-3		21, 52; 6-2;
FSS	2-24		7-1, 2; 8-1, 2;
measurement	6-1		9-2, 7, 8; App.D
QC	4-32 to 38	DQO Process	2-10; App. D
release criterion	1-1 to 3; 3-24	iterations (figure)	D-3
statistical tests	2-22, 34	state problem	D-4
null hypothesis	2-9	identify decision	D-5
critical level (L <sub>a</sub> )	6-32 to 37	inputs	D-5, 6
critical value	8-12 13 15 18	study boundaries	D-6 to 8
critical value	$21 \cdot \Delta_{-1} 8 \cdot$	develop decision rule	D-8 to 13
	$D_{-16}$ 17	decision errors	D-13 to 28
ouria (Ci)	D-10, 17	optimize design	D-28, 29
		HSA	3-2
see conversion table		Planning	2-9
data		preliminary review (DQA)	E-1
conversion	6-28 to 31	measurement uncertainty	6-50
data interpretation checklist	8-27	QAPP	9-2, 3
distribution	8-4, 5	data quality indicators	2-11; 6-3, 7; 7-2,
number of points needed	2-10		7; 9-9; N-6 to 18
EMC	5-35 to 39	Derived Concentration Gu	ideline Level
Sign test	5-31 to 35		
WRS test	5-25 to 31	(DCGL)	2-2, 11, 55;
preliminary review (DQA)	E-3		4-3 10 11; 0-1, 2,
review	N-5		7, 19, 52, 50;
skewness	8-5		1-2, 1, 9; 8-2, 0, 11, 22, 26, 0, 5
spatial dependency	8-4	DCCI	11, 22, 20, 9-3
see mean, median, standard d	leviation	DCGL	2-5; A-2; D-9
see posting plot			2-3
see ranked data		nsa moos ootivity	3-1, 12 4 9
see stem and leaf display			4-0
Data Life Cycle	2-6 to 12; 4-35;	sampling	1-2, 1, 9
	5-46; 9-2, 3, 5	surveys	5-1
figure	2-7	decay	
steps:		see radioactive decay	
1. planning	2-8; App. D	decision error	D-13 to 17,
2. implementation	2-11		20 to 22, 26 to
3. assessment	2-11; App. E		29; N-17
4. decision making	2-7	error chart	D-27
table	2-16	false positive	D-14, 21, 26
		see Type I error	
Data Quality Assessment (	DQA)	false negative	D-15, 20
	1-4; 2-6; 5-46;	see Type II error	
	8-1, 2; 9-2, 5;	feasibility trials	
	App. E	DEFT	D-20, 21
assessment phase	2-8, 11; App. E	specifying limits	D-15
historical data	3-7	table	D-15

decision maker	2-6; 4-14; 5-46; 6 27: 7 2 18: 9 8	direct measurement	2-4; 4-17;
alternate methods	0-27, 7-2, 10, 9-0 2-32	background	6-7 35
estimating uncertainty	2-32	description	6-10 to 13
DOOs	3-2.6-2	detectors	$6-15$ to $22^{\circ}$
decision rule	1 2: 8 24	detectors	Ann H
one sample case	D 11	instruments	4-16. 6-15 to 28
power chart (avample)	D-11 D 25	methods	4-17
two sample case	D-23 D 12	OC	4-32 to 38
decision statement	D-12	radon	6-55 to 60
	8-24; D-2, 5, 6	replicates	6-3
decommissioning	1-1; 2-3; 3-1	sensitivity	6-31 to 49
Characterization Survey	2-23; 5-7, 8	survevs	5-45 to 51
criteria	4-1	distribution coefficient (K	) 3-19
documentation	5-52	documentation	N 2 to 4
simplified procedure	App. B		N-2 to 4
site identification	2-16	dose equivalent (dose)	1-1, 3; 2-1, 2
site investigation	4-1	DCGL	2-3; 5-36 to 38
delta (ð)	5-26 to 35;	release criterion	2-2
	8-12 to 15, 19,	effective probe area	6-29, 37
	23; A-11, 19;	elevated area	
	D-10, 13, 16, 17,	see area of elevated activity	
	20, 21	elevated measurement	
delta (Δ)	2-9, 10, 31	see area of elevated activity	
see relative shift		Elevated Measurement Co	mnarison
detection limit		(FMC)	2 2 27 22
see minimum detectable con	centration	(ENIC)	2-3, 27, 32, 8 5 0 17 18
detector(s)	Chap. 6: 9-6:		3-3, 5, 17, 10, 21 to 23
	App. H	DCCI	21 10 23
alpha	r r	number of data points	2-3, 27 5-35 to 39
field survey	6-15 to 18, 20;	example	5-39· A-16
	H-5 to 10	see area of elevated activity	<i>J-J)</i> , A-10
laboratory	7-20, 22;	ovnosuro pathway modal	2 2 15 27.
2	H-38 to 42	exposure pathway model	2-2, 13, 27;
beta			5-58, 44, 8-9, 25
field survey	6-15 to 18, 21;	exposure rate	4-20; 5-9 to 11,
	H-11 to 14	<i></i>	17, 51
laboratory	7-20, 21;	field sampling plan	2-6; 9-3
-	H-43 to 45	field survey equipment	H-5 to 37
calibration	6-20 to 28	final status survey	2-4, 24, 32; 3-24;
in situ spectrometry	6-11, 12	•	5-21 to 55; 8-1,
gamma			6, 10, 23 to 25;
field survey	6-15 to 18, 22;		9-5
	H-15 to 24	checklist	5-53 to 55
laboratory	7-20, 21;	classification	2-28; 4-11
	H-46 to 48	compliance	2-25
low energy	H-31 to 33	DCGL	4-3
radon	6-57; H-25 to 30	example	App. A
sensitivity	6-31 to 49	figure	2-21
X-ray	H-31 to 33		

MARSSIM, Revision 1

## final status survey (continued)

health and safety	4-38
integrated design	2-32
investigation process	2-16
planning	2-9; 5-21 to 55
sampling	7-7 to 16;
	App. M
survey units	4-14
fluence rate	6-11, 12, 44
frequency plot	8-4, 5
gamma $(\gamma)$ radiation	
analysis	7-21
detection sensitivity	6-31
direct measurement	6-32 to 37
scanning	6-37 to 47
detectors	6-15 to 18, 22;
	7-20, 21; H-15 to
	24, 46 to 48
measurement	4-16
radon	6-55, 57, 60
scanning	6-14
spectrometry	4-16
surface measurement	6-11, 12
graded approach	1-5; 2-4, 5, 8;
	3-1; 6-8; 8-1;
	9-2, 3, 5
graphical data review	8-4; E-3
see frequency plot	
see posting plot	
see stem and leaf display	
gray region	2-9, 31; 5-25 to
	27, 32, 33; 6-7;
	7-7, 8 to 12, 14,
	19; D-16, 17,
	20 to 22, 26, 28
example	A-7, 11
see decision error	
see lower bound (LBGR)	
grid	2-31; 4-27 to 31;
-	5-3, 16, 40 to 43;
	7-7
example	A-7, 13, 14, 15
positioning systems	6-61, 62
random start example	5-40, 41; A-14
reference coordinate system	2-23; 4-27;
	6-61, 66
example(s)	4-28, 29, 30

grid (continued)	
sample/scan	2-32; 5-40
spacing	5-42
triangular grid	5-40 to 43
figure	5-43
half-life $(t_{1/2})$	1-5: 4-6: 6-55:
	A-1: B-1
histogram	
saa fraquanan plot	
see frequency pion	
See siem and leaf display	
Historical Site Assessment	$(\mathbf{HSA})$
	1-3, 4; 2-16, 22;
	Chap. $3; 5-1, 16, 20, 6, 14, 7, 12$
	39; 6-14; 7-12;
1.4	8-9; A-1
data sources	App. G
figure	2-18
information sources	App. G
survey planning	4-11
hot measurement	
see area of elevated activity	
hot spot	
see area of elevated activity	
hypothesis	2-26; 8-8, 12, 18
alternative hypothesis	2-39; D-14, 15
null hypothesis	2-9, 26; 8-11, 15,
	17, 23; D-14, 15
statistical testing	1-3; 2-13, 26
approach explained	2-26
Sign test	2-28; 8-11
WRS test	2-28; 8-17
impacted area	2-4
classification	4-11
DQO	3-2
HSA	2-23; Chap. 3
non-impacted	2-4
Scoping Survey	2-23
site diagram	3-23
survey design	2-25
see residual radioactivity	
indistinguishable from back	kground
5	2-39; D-19
infiltration rate	3-14, 16, 18
inventory	3 8. 1 76
111 y CHUUL Y	5-0, +-20

investigation level	2-2, 32; 4-1;	minimum detectable concentration	
_	5-18, 44 to 46;	(MDC)	2-10, 34; 4-16,
	6-14, 15;		17, 34, 35;
	8-9, 17, 21		5-36, 37, 48;
example (table)	5-45		6-31 to 49;
scanning	6-3		8-15, 18, 22;
survey strategy	5-46		9-7 to 9
see release criterion		direct measurement	6-32 to 37
see action level		elevated activity	5-39
judgment measurement	2-22, 23, 30, 33;	reporting	2-13
	5-2, 3, 44, 48,	scan	6-37 to 49
	51, 55	minimum detectable count	rate
karst terrain	3-19	(MDCR)	6-40 to 45
laboratory equipment	4-16; H-38 to 48	missing or unusable data	5-29, 31, 33, 35
less-than data	2-13	model(s)	
license	2-16; 3-4, 5, 7, 8;	conceptual site model	3-3, 22; 5-8, 47
	7-11	defining study boundaries	D-6, 7
license termination		exposure pathway	1-4; 2-2, 15, 27;
see decommissioning			6-10, 28
lower bound of the grav re	gion (LBGR)	area factor (example)	5-36
	2-9. 31: 5-25 to	determining DCGLs	4-3, 6
	27. 31 to 33: 6-7:	N (number of data points)	2-10; 5-25 to 39;
	7-7; 8-12, 13, 15,		8-12, 13, 15, 18
	19; D-17, 20,	QC measurements	4-32 to 38
	21, 28; N-18	Sign test	5-31 to 35
example	A-11	example	5-33, 35; B-2
see gray region		table	5-34
m (number of data points	in the reference	<b>ce</b> WRS test 5-25 to	
area)	5-29 39 42.	example	5-29, 31;
	8-18, 21		A-11; B-2
mean	$2_{-}27$ 28. $1_{-}33$ .	table	5-30
incan	2-27, 20, <del>4</del> -33, 5 49 50: 8 2 3	n (number of data points i	n survey unit)
	5 + 9, 50, 6 - 2, 5, 5 to 7 12 13 15		5-29, 38, 42;
	D-9		8-18, 21
of data (example)	8-3	NARM	3-4
measurement techniques	1-2 4.2-4.3-7.	naturally occurring radion	uclides
measurement teeningues	4-16 17·		1-4; 3-3; 6-5; 7-5
	7-20 to 22	non-impacted area	2-4
median	2_28.5_27 32	background (reference area)	4-13
moulan	25.8-235to7	classification	2-28; 4-11
	$12, 0^{-2}, 5, 5, 0, 7,$ 12, 13, 15, D_0	DQO	3-2
	$12, 13, 13, D^{-j}$	HSA	2-17;
			3-10 to 12

2-31

nonparametric test	2-26; 4-10, 11;	quality a
	5-25; 8-6, 7, 22,	
	24, 25	review
alternate methods	2-34 to 38	docum
one-sample test	2-28; 5-31;	Quality A
_	8-11 to 16; D-10	
two-sample test	2-28; 5-25;	
	8-17 to 21; D-10	
see Sign test		quality c
see Wilcoxon Rank Sum test		field n
see Wilcoxon Signed Rank te	est	laborat
normal (gaussian) distribu	ution	numbe
	2-28; 5-45;	anality s
	6-54, 55; 8-6; I-1	Quantilo
one-sample test	2-28; 5-25,	Quantine
	31 to 35	
see Sign test		Quantile
outlier	9_7	
D	5 77 70.177 70	R
	5-27, 28; 1-27, 28	R <sub>A</sub>
performance evaluation	4-35, 37; 6-4, 9;	radiation
	7-4, 10	list by
physical probe area	6-29, 30, 38, 48	radiation
posting plot	2-27; 8-4, 8, 13	data lit
power (1-B)	2-31, 34: 4-26:	
F = == (= F)	5-27, 29, 33, 54:	scopin
	6-15, 17; 8-2, 3,	scopiii
	5. 6. 8. 12. 15.	romodi
	23. 27: D-15.	Temed
	17 to 19, 25, 26	final
Sign test	I-25, 26	nlanni
WRS test	I-27 to 29	plainin
chart	D-25	nrocas
power curve	I-26, 29	radioacti
example	A-7, 9, 11, 12	rauloacu
nrecision	2-11. 4-32 to 38.	belf lid
Precision	9-9. N-6 to 8	nan-m
global positioning system	6-61 62	
OC measurements	4-35 37 6-3 4	scall lv
QC mousurements	7-3.4	Survey
nrohe area	6 20 21 24 20	radioacti
probe area	0-20, 21, 24, 29, 30, 36, 37, 38	see res
	30, 30, 57, 58,	radiologi
quality	$\tau_{J}, \tau_{U}$	see rad
quality	2-6, 8, 9	radionuc
assessment data	2-11	compli
data quality needs	2-8 2 10	see un
HSA data	5-10 2-22	
professional judgment	3-22	

quality assurance (QA)	2-6; 4-32; 8-1, 2,
	4, 7; 9-1 to 4
review of HSA	3-25
document comparison tables	App. K
Quality Assurance Project	Plan (QAPP)
	2-6; 4-31, 32;
	5-5, 54, 55; 7-9;
	9-2, 3, 6
quality control (QC)	2-6; 8-2; 9-1, 5, 7
field measurement control	6-3 to 8
laboratory control	7-2 to 7
number of measurements	4-32 to 38
quality system	9-1 to 4
Quantile plot	8-4, 7, 8, 13;
	I-18 to 21
Quantile-Quantile plot	A-16, 17;
	I-22 to 24
R	5-29, 31, 33, 35
R <sub>A</sub>	D-23
radiation program manager	rs
list by region	App. L
radiation survey	1-1, 4;4-4, 21
data life cycle	2-16
HSA	2-22; 3-1, 8
scoping survey	2-22; 5-1 to 6
characterization survey	2-23; 5-7 to 17
remedial action support survey	1
	2-23; 5-18 to 20
final status survey	2-24; 5-21 to 55
planning	2-8 to 11;
	Chap. 4; Chap. 5
process	2-14, 17 to 21
radioactive decay	3-12; 7-18, 20
decay chain	4-6, 7
half-life	4-5
radon	6-55, 58, 59
scan MDC	6-44 to 46
survey design	5-5, 8, 16
radioactivity	
see residual radioactivity	
radiological survey	
see radiation survey	
radionuclide	2-2, 5
compliance/dose	2-25
see unity rule	

radon	3-20; 5-14;	remediation	1-1, 3, 4; 8-9, 11
	6-55 to 60	see remedial action support survey	
random uncertainty	2-14; 6-50 to 52	removable activity	5-17, 52;
ranked data	I-22		6-20, 21
interpolated ranks	I-23	see surface contamination	
RCRA	2-22 23 39.3-1.	removal	2-5; 5-2
Rom	5-1 7	criteria	2-23; App. F
compared to MARSSIM	App. F	of structures/equipment	4-24 to 26
reference coordinate syste	m	Superfund	App. F
see arid	/111	HSA	3-1
regulations & requiremen	te Ann C	scoping survey	5-2
	$C_{15}$ to 20	replicate	4-35, 37
DOE	C-13 to 20	sample	7-3
FPA	C-4 to 12	measurement	6-3
NRC	C-12  to  15		
States	C-20 21	representativeness	2-11, 24; 4-34;
relative shift $(\Lambda/\sigma)$	5 26 to 35 40	-	6-6; 7-3;
$Telative shift (\Delta/0)$	J-20 10 35, 40, A2: 8 12 to 15		N-12, 13
	$42, 8-12 to 13, 19 D_17 20$	reproducibility	4-27: 6-61
calculate	5-26 5-32	residual radioactivity	2-3 26.3-24.
example	5-29 5-33	residual radioactivity	2-3, 20, 3-21, 4-1-24
exumple	A-11 19	analytical procedures	7-17 to 23
DOO process	2-9, 10, 31	characterization surveys	1 1 10 20
number of data points	5-28.33	land areas	5-11
P <sub>r</sub>	5-27	structures	5-10
Sign p	5-32	final status survey	
tables		land areas	5-40, 50, 51
N (Sign test)	5-34	structures	5-44, 48 to 50
N/2 (WRS test)	5-30	remedial action design	5-18
P <sub>r</sub>	5-28	see surface contamination	
Sign p	5-32	restricted use	1-1; 5-7
release criterion	1-1, 2, 5; 2-2	see unrestricted release	
alternate null hypothesis	2-39	robust	2-35, 37; 8-6
compliance	2-25	8	5-45, 49: 8-2
DCGLs	4-3	Š+	8 12 to 16
final status survey	2-24	see test statistic	0-12 10 10
null hypothesis	2-9, 26	somplo(s)	2.4
statistical tests	2-25	sample(s)	2-4
survey planning	5-1	background	2-33 A 13
rem (radiation equivalent	man)	blanks	4-15 7_5
see conversion table		Chain of Custody	7-23 to 25
remedial action support s	urvey	characterization	7-23 to 23
	2-15, 23; 5-18 to	land	5-11
	20; 6-12; 8-25	structures	5-10
checklist	5-20	confirmation/verification	2-25
figure	2-20	criteria	4-19, 21
table	2-16	DCGLs	4-4

MARSSIM, Revision 1

August 2000

sample(s) (continued)	
documentation	5-52
final status survey	
locations	5-40 to 44
number of data points	5-25 to 39
matrix spikes	7-4
packing/transport	7-25 to 28
preservation of	7-16, 17
QC	4-32 to 38
remedial action	5-19
sampling	2-4
scoping	5-2, 3
soil	7-11 to 14
surrogate	4-4
water & sediments	5-12, 13
Sampling and Analysis Pla	<b>n</b> 2-6; 9-3
scanning	2-4; 4-17
alpha	6-14
alpha scanning sensitivity	
equations - derivations	App. J
beta	6-15
demonstrating compliance	2-31
detectors	6-15 to 18, 20 to
	22, 57; App. H
elevated activity	2-29
gamma	6-14
MDCs	6-37 to 49
pattern (example)	A-6
sensitivity	6-37 to 49
survey techniques	4-17; 6-13 to 15
scanning surveys	
scoping	5-3, 6
characterization	
land areas	5-11
structures	5-10
remedial action	5-19
final status	
Class 1 areas	2-32; 5-46
Class 2 areas	2-32; 5-47
Class 3 areas	2-33; 5-48
scoping survey	2-15, 22; 5-1 to 6
area classification	4-11
checklist	5-5, 6
figure	2-19
HSA & planning	3-1, 2
table	2-16
sealed source	
final status survey example	App. B

sigma (σ)	
see standard deviation	
Sievert (Sv)	
see conversion table	
Sign test	2-3, 27, 28; 5-25;
-	8-11 to 16
applying test	8-12
example(s)	8-12, 14
hypothesis	8-11
number of data points	5-31 to 35
example	5-33, 35
power	I-25, 26
Sign p	5-32
site(s)	Chap. 1
clearing for access	4-24
decommissioning	4-1
definition	2-3
historical assessment	Chap. 3
identification	2-16; 3-4
investigation process	2-14
site preparation	4-22
site reconnaissance	3-9
identify contamination	3-13
site model	3-22
smear (swipe)	
see removable activity	
soil	3-13 to 15
analysis	7-17 to 23
background	4-13
sampling	7-11 to 14
surveys	5-33, 9 to 11, 19,
	33, 47, 50, 51
survey coverage	2-32; 5-47
source term	4-21
split	
regulatory verification	2-25
sample	4-35; 7-3, 14
standard deviation	2-9 31.4-16.
	5-26, 29, 31, 32,
	45, 49: 8-2, 10,
	12 to 15. 19. 23:
	A-11, 19; N-17
standard operating procedu	ire (SOP)
	6-3. 51:
	7-9, 19, 25
	- , - , ==

rvey example App. B

statistical tests	2-25; 4-11; 5-25;	survey	
	Chap. 8; App. I	approach	Chap. 1
alternate methods	2-34 to 38	DCGLs	4-3
documenting	8-25, 26	decommissioning criteria	4-1
interpreting results	8-21 to 25	DQOs	2-9 to 11
selecting a test	8-6, 7; E-4	field measurements	Chap. 6
summary (table)	8-9	instruments/technique	4-16; App. H
verify assumptions	8-7, 8; E-4	overview	Chap. 2
stem & leaf display	8-5, 7; I-17, 18	planning	2-8 to 11;
structures	3-20		Chap. 5
access	4-25	QAPP	2-6
HSA site plots	3-8	sampling/preparation	Chap. 7, App. M
measurements	4-20	simplified procedure	App. B
reference coordinate system	4-27 to 31	site investigation process	2-14
surface activity	5-10	statistical tests	2-25; Chap. 8;
surveys	5-7 to 10, 46, 47		App. I
survey coverage	5-47	survey considerations	Chap. 4
survey example	App. A	using MARSSIM	1-6; Roadmap
survey unit	2-4: 4-14, 15	see characterization	5-7 to 16
WRS test (example)	,,	see final status	5-20 to 53
Class 1	8-21, App. A	see HSA	Chapter 3
Class 2	8-19	see remedial action	5-17 to 19
Student's t test	2_35_37	see scoping	5-1 to 6
subsurface seil (some la)	2-33, 37	see Data Life Cycle	
subsurface son (sample)	1-9; 4-24	see survey unit	
characterization survey	5-9, 5, 11	survey checklist	
HSA	3-11, 13, 14	characterization	5-16, 17
sampling	7-16; App. M	final status	5-53 to 55
surface contamination	1-3, 4	remedial action	5-20
detectors		scoping	5-5, 6
alpha	6-20	statistical tests	8-27
beta	6-21	survey plan	1-5; 2-6; 5-54;
gamma	6-22		7-8, 18
direct measurements	6-10 to 13	alternate designs	2-33 to 40
identification	3-12	design	Chap. 4; Chap. 5
in situ spectrometry	6-11, 12	DQOs	2-9; 3-3
land areas	4-24	optimizing survey	2-30
scanning	6-13 to 15	survey unit	2-4: 4-14: 7-5:
soil	3-14		9-6. 8: N-16
structures	4-23; 5-10	area	4-15
surface activity DCGLs	4-4	characterization	5-9 to 5-11
surrogates/DCGLs	4-4	characterize/DOOs	2-9
surface soil	1-3, 1-4; 3-13	classification	2-28: 4-11, 12
background	4-13	classify/flowchart	2-17
sampling	7-9, 12 to 14, 16,	elevated activity	2-27
	17, 21; App. M	HSA	3-1, 2, 4
surrogate measurements	4-4 to 7; 5-12;	identifying	4-14
	6-14; 9-7	investigation level	5-44 to 46
		statistics & final status survey	5-21 to 55
		uniform contamination	2-28

surveyor(s)	4-22, 31; 6-24,	unc
-	37, 38, 40 to 48	
selecting	6-8, 9	
systematic uncertainty	6-50 to 52	
systematic grid	2-31, 32; 5-46;	
v o	6-7, 12; 8-19, 22	1
test statistic	8-12, 13, 15;	
	D-16 to 19	1
example $(S+)$	8-12 to 16	
example (W., W.)	8-18	1
see critical level		
total effective dose equival	ent (TEDE)	•
······································	2-2	uni
triangular sampling grid	5-35 36	
sumband sumband brid	42 to 44: 8-4, 13.	
	16.19	unr
see systematic grid	10, 17	vali
two-sample test	2-28: 5-25 to 31:	
two sumple test	D-10	veri
alternate methods	2-37.38	
nonparametric test	4-9 to 11	
see Wilcoxon Ranked Sign te	st	$W_r$
Type I decision error	5-25 to 35: 6-33.	,
- <b>JF</b>	34: 8-8, 10, 13 to	W <sub>s</sub>
	15, 18, 19, 21;	- -
	9-8, 9; D-14 to	Wil
	17, 21, 26, 28	
DQOs	2-9, 10, 31	
examples	8-10; A-7, 11,	:
	18; B-2	
Type II decision error	5-25 to 35; 6-33,	
•	34; 8-8, 10, 12 to	;
	15, 19; 9-8, 9;	
	D-14 to 18, 20,	
	21, 26, 28	1
DQOs	2-9, 10, 31	1
examples	8-10; A-7, 11;	
	B-2	wor
uncertainty	1-2; 2-25; 5-11,	
	14, 26, 29, 33,	
	35, 45, 46;	
	6-49 to 55; 7-3,	
	4, 8, 21; 8-17, 18;	
	9-7, 9	

uncertainty (continued)	
confidence intervals	6-53 to 55
decision making	2-7
DCGL	2-33
estimating	2-11
measurement	6-49 to 55
MDC	4-17
propagation	6-52, 53
QC	4-32 to 38
reporting	2-14
statistical counting	6-52
systematic/random	6-50 to 52
unity rule (mixture rule)	2-27; 4-8; 5-38;
•	8-21, 23
adjusting DCGLs	4-8 to 4-10
unrestricted release	3-22
validation	2-8, 11: 7-9: 9-2.
	5. 7. 8: App. N
verification	2-15 25: 5-21:
, crinication	6-32·7-9·8-8·
	9-2 4 to 7
W	9 2, 1 to 7
r	0-10
	0.10
vv <sub>s</sub>	8-18
see test statistic	<b>C</b> ) 4 4
Wilcoxon Rank Sum (WR	S) test
	2-28; 5-25 to 31;
	8-17 to 21
adjusted data	8-20
example	8-19, 21;
	A-10, 11, 18, 19
applying the test	8-18
Class 1 example	8-21
Class 2 example	8-19 1.27 to 20
power spreadsheat formulas	1-27 10 29 I 20
spreadsheet formulas	1-30
	. <b>.</b> .
working level	0-56