| | | | | e. Estimated | Cost (in Thousands) |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------------|
| | | c. Project Owner's Name & Address | d. Completion | | Work For Which |
| | b. Nature of Firm's | and Project Manager's Name & | Date (actual | Entire | Firm Was/Is |
| a. Project Name & Location | Responsibility | Phone Number | or estimated) | Project | Responsible |
| 10-01 Disposal of Ammonia | Design and provide a pilot production | Letterkenny Army Depot | Fall 2015 | | |
| Perchlorate Containing Rockets | plant for rocket disposal | Mike Tambroni (ECC) | | | |

774-244-7103

EDE is tasked to design and build a confined burn facility for the disposal of all tactical rocket motors that contain ammonium perchlorate propellant. This project will provide for the disposal of 8,000 MLRS rocket motors per year along with 2,000 other service motors per year. The project consists of all facilities and equipment necessary to process the rocket motors. This will include segmenting size reduction, the confined burn chamber, and pollution control equipment. All site civil work for this project is also included. Full scale demonstration testing was performed at China Lake, California, as part of this project. The first task was to perform an evaluation of the thermal treatment methods of incineration, confined burn, and contained burn. The results of this study selected a hybrid system between contained burning and confined burning. Smaller rockets such as the MLRS are to be fired nozzle on directly into a firing chamber that will contain the heat and exhausts. After cooling the exhausts are passed through a pollution control system. Larger rocket motors are segmented, the segments ignited, and the exhausts similarly contained.

| | T | | | Total | Cont (in Theorem 1s) |
|---|--|---|--|-------------------|--|
| a. Project Name & Location | b. Nature of Firm's Responsibility | c. Project Owner's Name & Address and Project Manager's Name & Phone Number | d. Completion Date (actual or estimated) | Entire Project | Cost (in Thousands) Work For Which Firm Was/Is Responsible |
| 14-08 DIHMES Inert Checkout Hawthorne Army Depot | Turn-Key Facility | Hawthorne Army Depot Christopher DiLorenzo 918.420.8962 | Fall 2015 | 110,000 | \frac{14390131232}{17} |
| EDE performed this project for P | M Demil under contract with Indian | n Head. | | | |
| EDE has developed and installed Inductive Heating Meltout (DIHM | a system to recover explosive from MES). | obsolete mortars using inductive | heating known a | s Demilitariz | zation by |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| a. Project Name & Location | b. Nature of Firm's Responsibility | c. Project Owner's Name & Address and Project Manager's Name & Phone Number | d. Completion Date (actual or estimated) | e. Estimate Entire Project | d Cost (in Thousands Work For Which Firm Was/Is Responsible |
|--|--|---|--|------------------------------|---|
| 13-02 ARC | Installation of Flashing Furnace | ARC | | | • |
| EDE had designed, built, and install purchased the equipment from Delp | led a furnace for processing airbag energeti bhi. EDE assisted ARC with the installation | c materials for Delphi. When Delphi went on and upgrades needed to have the facility on | out of the airbag ma | nufacturing b | usiness, ARC |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| a. Project Name & Location B. Nature of Firm's Responsibility Responsibility Provide Contained Burn Facility South, Dakota EDE was contracted to provide a turnkey Contained Burn Facility to process off-spec energetic materials, and explosive contaminated waste products. This facility inhelded the feed systems, burn chambers, containment vessel, pollution control system, and controls. EDE also designed the open burning system including pads and pans for open burning of materials that could not be processed through the Contained Burn System. EDE assisted a confidential client in obtaining all environmental permits and approvals required for the construction of the facility. The facility was tested and demonstrated to comply with air emissions restrictions, and feed rate of materials. | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|---|----------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|
| c. Project Owner's Name & Address and Project Manager's Name & Date (actual Entire Firm Was/Is Responsibility Project Manager's Name & Or estimated) 13-01 Provide Contained Burn Facility South, Dakota EDE was contracted to provide a turnkey Contained Burn Facility to process off-spec energetic materials, and explosive contaminated waste products. This facility included the feed systems, burn chambers, containment vessel, pollution control system, and controls. EDE also designed the open burning system including pads and pans for open burning of materials that could not be processed through the Contained Burn System. EDE assisted a confidential client in obtaining all environmental permits and approvals required for the | | | | | | |
| a. Project Name & Location Responsibility Provide Contained Burn Facility South, Dakota EDE was contracted to provide a turnkey Contained Burn Facility to process off-spec energetic materials, and explosive contaminated waste products. This facility included the feed systems, burn chambers, containment vessel, pollution control system, and controls. EDE also designed the open burning system including pads and pans for open burning of materials that could not be processed through the Contained Burn System. EDE assisted a confidential client in obtaining all environmental permits and approvals required for the | | | | | e. Estimated C | |
| a. Project Name & Location Responsibility Phone Number or estimated) Project Responsible 13-01 Provide Contained Burn Facility South, Dakota EDE was contracted to provide a turnkey Contained Burn Facility to process off-spec energetic materials, and explosive contaminated waste products. This facility included the feed systems, burn chambers, containment vessel, pollution control system, and controls. EDE also designed the open burning system including pads and pans for open burning of materials that could not be processed through the Contained Burn System. EDE assisted a confidential client in obtaining all environmental permits and approvals required for the | | | | | | |
| 13-01 Provide Contained Burn Facility South, Dakota EDE was contracted to provide a turnkey Contained Burn Facility to process off-spec energetic materials, and explosive contaminated waste products. This facility included the feed systems, burn chambers, containment vessel, pollution control system, and controls. EDE also designed the open burning system including pads and pans for open burning of materials that could not be processed through the Contained Burn System. EDE assisted a confidential client in obtaining all environmental permits and approvals required for the | D. S. A. D. L. G. T. L. A. S. | | | | | |
| South, Dakota EDE was contracted to provide a turnkey Contained Burn Facility to process off-spec energetic materials, and explosive contaminated waste products. This facility included the feed systems, burn chambers, containment vessel, pollution control system, and controls. EDE also designed the open burning system including pads and pans for open burning of materials that could not be processed through the Contained Burn System. EDE assisted a confidential client in obtaining all environmental permits and approvals required for the | | | Phone Number | or estimated) | Project | Responsible |
| EDE was contracted to provide a turnkey Contained Burn Facility to process off-spec energetic materials, and explosive contaminated waste products. This facility included the feed systems, burn chambers, containment vessel, pollution control system, and controls. EDE also designed the open burning system including pads and pans for open burning of materials that could not be processed through the Contained Burn System. EDE assisted a confidential client in obtaining all environmental permits and approvals required for the | | Frovide Contained Burn Facility | | | | |
| feed systems, burn chambers, containment vessel, pollution control system, and controls. EDE also designed the open burning system including pads and pans for open burning of materials that could not be processed through the Contained Burn System. EDE assisted a confidential client in obtaining all environmental permits and approvals required for the | Bouti, Dakou | | | | | |
| feed systems, burn chambers, containment vessel, pollution control system, and controls. EDE also designed the open burning system including pads and pans for open burning of materials that could not be processed through the Contained Burn System. EDE assisted a confidential client in obtaining all environmental permits and approvals required for the | | | | | | |
| feed systems, burn chambers, containment vessel, pollution control system, and controls. EDE also designed the open burning system including pads and pans for open burning of materials that could not be processed through the Contained Burn System. EDE assisted a confidential client in obtaining all environmental permits and approvals required for the | EDE was contracted to provide a turnba | y Contained Durn Facility to process off s | neo energatio motorials, and avalogive co | ntaminated waste ne | aduata This for | vility included the |
| materials that could not be processed through the Contained Burn System. EDE assisted a confidential client in obtaining all environmental permits and approvals required for the | | | | | | |
| | materials that could not be processed the | rough the Contained Burn System. EDE as | sisted a confidential client in obtaining | all environmental pe | rmits and appro | vals required for the |
| | | | | | | 1 |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| | | | | e. Estimated | Cost (in Thousands) |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------------|
| | | c. Project Owner's Name & Address | d. Completion | | Work For Which |
| | b. Nature of Firm's | and Project Manager's Name & | Date (actual | Entire | Firm Was/Is |
| a. Project Name & Location | Responsibility | Phone Number | or estimated) | Project | Responsible |
| Magnesium Recovery Prototype Type | System and DemVal of equipment | Crane Naval Warfare Center | 07/2012 | | |
| Plant for Demilitarization of | | Crane, Indiana | | | |
| Illumination Flares | | | | | |

EDE had designed and installed equipment for the demilitarization of military flares by using high pressure water washout to recover magnesium and sodium nitrate for re-sale. For this project EDE performed a systemization of all of the equipment including upgrades and repairs to make the system operate correctly. EDE then performs DemVal testing on 60 mm, 81 mm, 4.2, and LU-2 flares. The polishing columns have been able to achieve 96% magnesium purity for recovery on items that have spherical magnesium and laminate binder.

| | | | | e. Estimated | Cost (in Thousands) |
|---|--|---|----------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|
| | b. Nature of Firm's | c. Project Owner's Name & Address and Project Manager's Name & | d. Completion Date (actual | Entire | Work For Which Firm Was/Is |
| a. Project Name & Location | Responsibility | Phone Number | or estimated) | Project | Responsible |
| 12-02 Explosive Watse Incinerator | Design and install a Turnkey Explosive | Belgium Ministry of Defense | 07/2013 | 110,000 | Temponolo |
| Belgium | Waste Incinerator | Major Eddy Descendre | | | |
| | | +32 (0)2 701 32 27 | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| EDE 414 D-1-1 | EARRICON & | Of D. f | | | 6.1 |
| | , FABRICOM, to provide Belgium Ministr ICOM is providing the infrastructure and I | | | | |
| Pollution Abatement System to meet Eu | | EDE is providing an or the equipment. | illis iliciuues ule exj | piosive waste i | nemerator and |
| I official fibration by seem to most Ed | ropour regulations. | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| | | | | e. Estimated (| Cost (in Thousands) |
|--|---------------------|-----------------------|---------------|----------------|---------------------|
| | | | d. Completion | | Work For Which |
| | b. Nature of Firm's | c. Project Manager | Date (actual | Entire | Firm Was/Is |
| a. Project Name & Location | Responsibility | | or estimated) | Project | Responsible |
| 12-01 DIHMES Mortar Demil Plant Hawthorne, Nevada | Turn-key Facility | Christopher DiLorenzo | 03/2013 | | |

El Dorado Engineering Inc. (EDE) received a contract from Indian Head NSWC to provide services on the DIHMES plant located at Hawthorne, Nevada, to prepare the equipment for operations. This plan recovers explosive to be reused from obsolete mortars.

Specific tasks include:

- Test Plan & Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) Test Plan Development
- SOP Development
- Testing

- Optimization
- Operational DemonstrationFinal Technical Report
- Transition Plan

| | | | | e. Estimated (| Cost (in Thousands) |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|----------------|---------------------|
| | | c. Project Owner's Name & Address | d. Completion | | Work For Which |
| | b. Nature of Firm's | and Project Manager's Name & | Date (actual | Entire | Firm Was/Is |
| a. Project Name & Location | Responsibility | Phone Number | or estimated) | Project | Responsible |
| 10-02 Upgrade to MARID | Refurbish Flashing Furnace and add | MARID | 07/2010 | | |
| Transportable Flashing Furnace | trailer mounted pollution control | Dennis Ridpath | | | |
| | | 918-420-6099 | | | |
| | |)10- 12 0-00)) | | | |

MARID had obtained a used transportable flashing furnace manufactured by EDE from Anniston Army Depot to be used in onsite cleanup of explosive wastes. This unit had been used in the cleanup of Talon, a large project where fuze components remained from an uncompleted contract. EDE was tasked to refurbish the Transportable Flashing Furnace and to also add a trailer mounted air pollution control system so that where required the flashing furnace could be operated without emitting harmful materials from the exhaust.

| | | | ı | T = 1 | |
|---------------------------------------|---|---|----------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|
| | | | | e. Estimated | Cost (in Thousands) |
| | 1 N. A. CET | c. Project Owner's Name & Address | d. Completion | F-4: | Work For Which |
| a. Project Name & Location | b. Nature of Firm's Responsibility | and Project Manager's Name & Phone Number | Date (actual or estimated) | Entire Project | Firm Was/Is Responsible |
| 08-06 Defence Construction Canada | Demilitarization Planning Study | Defence Construction Canada. | 02/2009 | Project | Responsible |
| Demil Facility Project Study | Deminarization Flamming Study | Louis Lemay | 02/2009 | | |
| Denni Facility Froject Study | | 141 Laurier Avenue West, Suite 301, Ottawa, | | | |
| | | Ontario K1P 5J3 | | | |
| | | Phone: (613) 949-6925 | | | |
| EDE reviewed contents of the Canadian | stockpile and demilitarization project obje | ectives and prepared a report that recomm | nended a comprehen | sive demilitariz | ation approach. All |
| | ineration to more complex disassembly and | | | | |
| | nificant workload immediately, with later p | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

STANDARD FORM 255 PAGE 9

| | | | | e. Estimated C | Cost (in Thousands) |
|--|--|--|---|--|---------------------------------------|
| | | c. Project Owner's Name & Address | d. Completion | | Work For Which |
| | b. Nature of Firm's | and Project Manager's Name & | Date (actual | Entire | Firm Was/Is |
| a. Project Name & Location | Responsibility | Phone Number | or estimated) | Project | Responsible |
| 09-03 EPA RCRA Clean Closure | Professional Engineer Certification of | U.S. Army | 02/09 | | |
| Certification | Closure | CAMDS | | | |
| | | Tooele, Utah | | | |
| | | | | | |
| the pilot chemical agent munitions dispedemilitarization. As part of the closure | on the professional engineering clean closure osal facility. It was operated for a number of the facility, it is necessary to follow the ecomplished as per the plan, and assure that professional engineer. | of years processing chemical munitions a RCRA (hazardous waste) closure regulat | and developing new tions. EDE was requ | approaches for our course to the course of t | chemical munitions he closure plan |

| | | | | e. Estimated (| Cost (in Thousands) |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|----------------|---------------------|
| | | c. Project Owner's Name & Address | d. Completion | | Work For Which |
| | b. Nature of Firm's | and Project Manager's Name & | Date (actual | Entire | Firm Was/Is |
| a. Project Name & Location | Responsibility | Phone Number | or estimated) | Project | Responsible |
| 09-02 Explosive Waste Incinerator | Turn-key Explosive Waste Incinerator | NATO (NAMSA) | 12/2009 | 160 | 1976 |
| Ukraine | | Luxembourg | | | |
| | | CLT LAURENT | | | |
| | | 352 3063 5988 | | | |
| | | | | | |

| EDE was contracted by NAMSA to design, build, and install an Explosive Waste Incinerator (EWI) for demilitarization and destroying conventional munitions in Donetsk, Ukraine. The project included total responsibility to prepare the design, procure and fabricate all equipment, ship the equipment, install the equipment, and train the operators. The EWI is used to dispose of munitions at a very high feed rate with complete pollution control and absolute safety. EDE was awarded this contract based on a competitive bid o international companies to NAMSA. EDE effectively uses in-country personnel to assist with the program. This was regarded by NAMSA as an excellent benefit to the country of Ukraine providing employment and training for local Ukrainians on a project of this nature. |
|--|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |

STANDARD FORM 255 PAGE 9

| - | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|-----------------------------------|---------------|----------------|---------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | e. Estimated C | Cost (in Thousands) | | | | |
| | | c. Project Owner's Name & Address | d. Completion | | Work For Which | | | | |
| | b. Nature of Firm's | and Project Manager's Name & | Date (actual | Entire | Firm Was/Is | | | | |
| a. Project Name & Location | Responsibility | Phone Number | or estimated) | Project | Responsible | | | | |
| 08-07 Review of HWAD Nevada | Consulting regarding explosive waste | Hawthorne Army Depot | 12/08 | | | | | | |
| Incinerator Operations | incineration operations and | Hawthorne, Nevada | | | | | | | |
| | environmental permitting | Ron Going, 775-945-7244 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| and information required to be reported a findings of this review was that caustic was the cau | EDE was contracted by Day & Zimmermann to review the explosive waste incinerator operations at Hawthorne, Nevada. EDE was requested to review the environmental permit and information required to be reported to the regulatory authorities. EDE was required to review present operations and provide comment on improvements. One of the largest findings of this review was that caustic was being used to scrub HCl even when no HCl was present. This caustic would end up in the waste drum collection barrels and have to be disposed of as a hazardous waste. This was creating an exorbitant extra cost to the operation. Other items reviewed were the recirculation system, kiln sections without flights, | | | | | | | | |

STANDARD FORM 255 PAGE 9

| | | | | e. Estimated | l Cost (in Thousands) |
|--------------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|---------------|--------------|-----------------------|
| | | c. Project Owner's Name & Address | d. Completion | | Work For Which |
| | b. Nature of Firm's | and Project Manager's Name & | Date (actual | Entire | Firm Was/Is |
| a. Project Name & Location | Responsibility | Phone Number | or estimated) | Project | Responsible |
| 08-05 Flashing Furnace for Anniston, | Design, fabrication, and installation of | Crane Naval Weapons Center | 06/08 | | |
| Alabama, and Talon, West Virginia | a transportable flashing furnace and | Phil Keith | | | |
| | training of operators | 812-854-6157 | | | |

El Dorado Engineering, Inc. (EDE) had previously provided a Transportable Flashing Furnace (economy model) to Anniston Army Depot to flash explosive contaminated materials from their rocket recycling facility. EDE was contracted to provide a new larger flashing furnace for this location. EDE designed, fabricated, and installed a new larger flashing furnace capable of flashing up to 5,000 lbs. per hour of explosive contaminated materials. EDE trained the Anniston operators in the use of this equipment.

The smaller economy model was refurbished and sent to West Virginia to be used in the cleanup and restoration of the old Talon site. The furnace was used to process a wide variety of live items including fuzes, detonators, etc. EDE was also tasked to provide assistance with explosive chemistry, combustion, analyses and anticipated air emissions to be used to secure the environmental permits for the operation. EDE also designed and provided strongboxes for the operation at Talon, installed the furnace and trained the operators at Talon. EDE also provided the environmental analyses for use in permitting. This operation at Talon was very successful as the Mobile Ammunition Renovation Inspection Demilitarization (MARID) team was able to process an estimated 15,000 to 20,000 pounds of live ammunition between June and August working two 10-hour shifts per day.

A task to perform contained burn testing of MLRS motor was later added to this contract. This technology was successfully demonstrated by EDE at Arnold Air Force Base to provide complete and safety combustion of the MLRS motors and capture the effluent so it could be cleaned prior to exhaust. The project was on time and on budget.

SOW Reference Primary 3.8 Secondary 3.7

| | | | | e. Estimated | Cost (in Thousands) |
|---|--|--|----------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|
| | b. Nature of Firm's | c. Project Owner's Name & Address | d. Completion | Entire | Work For Which Firm Was/Is |
| a. Project Name & Location | Responsibility | and Project Manager's Name & Phone Number | Date (actual or estimated) | Project | Responsible |
| 08-04 Flashing Furnace | Refurbish flashing furnace, install, and | Hill Air Force Base | May 2008 | 81 | 81 |
| Hill Air Force Base | train operators | Dennis Weder 801-775-6921 | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | ously provided a transportable flashing fur | | | | |
| Base to process range scrap. EDE refur | bished the flashing furnace, installed the flace ounds of live and potentially live items up | ashing furnace at Hill Air Force Base's T | est Range and train | ed the operators | s. Hill Air Force |
| | ssful in that a large quantity of items were | | | and snipped it t | back to Egun Air |
| respective to project was very successive | | P | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| | | | 20 | | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|----------------|---------------------|
| | | | | e. Estimated (| Cost (in Thousands) |
| | | c. Project Owner's Name & Address | d. Completion | | Work For Which |
| | b. Nature of Firm's | and Project Manager's Name & | Date (actual | Entire | Firm Was/Is |
| a. Project Name & Location | Responsibility | Phone Number | or estimated) | Project | Responsible |
| 08-03 Explosive Dieletric Meltout | Turn-key Automated Facility | U.S. Army | 06/2013 | | |
| System | | Brent Ochs/Paritosh Dave | | | |
| Hawthorne, Nevada | | 812-854-3851/973 366-3200 | | | |

EDE was tasked to provide an explosive dielectric meltout system known as DIHMES. This project is divided into two phases. DIHMES I was to design the system and demonstrate the technology at Crane, Indiana. DIHMES II was to provide a turn-key facility complete with all equipment and material handling systems so that the entire process operates remotely. The process is to recover explosive slugs from obsolete mortars which can be sold directly to the mining industry. The technology consists of a waterjet cutting system that cuts off the fuzes of various size mortars. The mortars are then placed upside down in a dielectric meltout station. When heat is applied, the explosive slug falls in to a collection container much like running hot water over a frozen orange juice can. The system includes a robot to transfer the materials to the waterjet cutting station and a dielectric meltout station. It also has specific equipment to handle the fuzes and any reject mortars. The operation is contained within a reinforced concrete bay with all operations conducted remotely and automatically. The explosive is received in a pure form compared to other technologies and is directly ready for resale.

| | | D : 10 12 2 | 1.0 | e. Estimated | Cost (in Thousands) |
|--|--|---|--|------------------------------------|--|
| | b. Nature of Firm's | c. Project Owner's Name & Address and Project Manager's Name & | d. Completion Date (actual | Entire | Work For Which Firm Was/Is |
| a. Project Name & Location | Responsibility | Phone Number | or estimated) | Project | Responsible |
| 08-02 Provide Thermal Treatment | Design, Fabricate, and Install Thermal | Delphi | 09/08 | , , | , <u>F</u> |
| System for Air Bag Manufacturing Wastes | Treatment System | Matamoras, MX Timothy R. Brennaman (937) 356-2754 | | | |
| treatment system. This system is require | oag inflators, produces energetic waste mated to handle all of their various waste streatide on-site training and produce document | ms and provide pollution control that me | nnage. EDE was tas eets Mexico's standa | sked to provide irds. Besides d | a turn-key thermal lesign, fabrication, |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| | | | | e. Estimated | Cost (in Thousands) |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------------|
| | | c. Project Owner's Name & Address | d. Completion | | Work For Which |
| | b. Nature of Firm's | and Project Manager's Name & | Date (actual | Entire | Firm Was/Is |
| a. Project Name & Location | Responsibility | Phone Number | or estimated) | Project | Responsible |
| 08-01 Design and Fabrication of | Design, Fabrication, Startup and | Kolon | 11/07 | | |
| Waste Incinerator | Training | Yeong Dong-gun, Korea | | | |
| | | S.B. Park | | | |

El Dorado Engineering was contracted by Kolon to provide a waste incinerator thermal treatment system for explosive and energetic materials. EDE evaluated the Korean workload and proposed an Explosive Waste Incinerator that included lead removal and recovery of two separate energetic material feed systems. EDE designed, fabricated and shipped the equipment to South Korea. EDE provided installation project managers responsible for both mechanical and electrical/controls installation. EDE also performed startup and training. All work was done on schedule and to the client's full satisfaction.

Primary 3.7

| | | | | e. Estimated (| Cost (in Thousands) |
|--|---|---|------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| | | c. Project Owner's Name & Address | d. Completion | | Work For Which |
| | b. Nature of Firm's | and Project Manager's Name & | Date (actual | Entire | Firm Was/Is |
| a. Project Name & Location | Responsibility | Phone Number | or estimated) | Project | Responsible |
| 06-02 Explosive Waste Incinerator | Turn-Key Explosive Waste Incinerator | QinetiQ | 09/06 | | <u> </u> |
| Shoeburyness, United Kingdom | 1 | Keith Blair | | | |
| 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | | 01252 393927 | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| El Dorado Engineering, Inc. (EDE) was | responsible to design and build an Explosi | ve Waste Incinerator to process a wide v | ariety of explosive i | items. The cont | ract required EDE to |
| meet the very strict EU environmental re | egulations regarding incineration emissions | The work involved design, fabrication | , shipping, installati | on, testing, and | training. EDE |
| provided an Explosive Waste Incinerator | r with feed systems, lead recovery system, | and an air pollution control system inclu | ding an afterburner, | gas cooler, and | baghouse. |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| | | | | e. Estimated Cost (in Thousands) | |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------|----------------------------------|----------------|
| | | c. Project Owner's Name & Address | d. Completion | | Work For Which |
| | b. Nature of Firm's | and Project Manager's Name & | Date (actual | Entire | Firm Was/Is |
| a. Project Name & Location | Responsibility | Phone Number | or estimated) | Project | Responsible |
| 06-01 Magnesium Recovery Pilot | Design and Construction of a Facility | Crane Naval Surface Warfare Center | 06/2012 | | |
| Plant | for Magnesium Recovery | Crane, Indiana | | | |
| Crane Naval Surface Warfare Center | | Sara Poehlein, 812-854-3190 | | | |

TPL of Albuquerque, New Mexico, performed an SBIR project at a bench scale level showing the feasibility of recovering magnesium from obsolete illuminating flares for reuse by the Navy in new production applications. EDE was awarded a series of contracts to provide all necessary services to design, procure and fabricate, and install a pilot plant to recover magnesium from obsolete flares. The recovered magnesium must meet the specifications of new material so that it could be used in the Navy's current production. This pilot plant includes a waterjet system to washout materials from obsolete flares. The byproducts are then separated from the magnesium by a series of equipment processes and the magnesium is cleaned, classified, dried, and packaged for reuse. The plant has a state-of-the-art controls system and includes all equipment for preparing and manipulating the flares for washout through all downstream processes to high-grade magnesium recovery. This project was divided into three phases. The first phase was to provide the design of the entire plant and all of the equipment. Phase II was fabrication, procurement, and factory testing. Phase III installation and checkout at Crane was completed in 2012. The benefits of this project include a safe and environmentally clean process to dispose of obsolete flares and at the same time recover a highly valuable magnesium material for recycle and reuse.

| | | | | e. Estimated Cost (in Thousand | |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|--------------------------------|----------------|
| | | c. Project Owner's Name & Address | d. Completion | | Work For Which |
| | b. Nature of Firm's | and Project Manager's Name & | Date (actual | Entire | Firm Was/Is |
| a. Project Name & Location | Responsibility | Phone Number | or estimated) | Project | Responsible |
| 05-01 Transportable Flashing | Design and Manufacture Transportable | Anniston Munitions Center | 12/05 | | |
| Furnace | Flashing Furnace | Anniston, Alabama | | | |
| Anniston, Alabama | | Phil Keith, 812-854-6157 | | | |
| | | Ellen McDaniel, 812-854-5315 | | | |

EDE was asked to design, manufacture, and deliver a Transportable Flashing Furnace (TFF) to the Anniston Munitions Center. The client desired a smaller sized version from EDE's full sized TFF. EDE therefore designed an economy model. This TFF will allow Anniston to flash and decontaminate explosive contaminated metals generated by the missile recycling facility and the detonation chamber. These metals will then be able to be sold directly as scrap metal. EDE assisted Anniston with the environmental permitting and safety siting of this equipment. On-site training and installation assistance was included in the contract.

SOW Primary 3.8 Secondary 3.7

| | | 1 | | d Cost (in Thousands) | |
|-------------------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|--|
| a. Project Name & Location | b. Nature of Firm's Responsibility | c. Project Owner's Name | d. Completion Date (actual or estimated) | Entire Project | Work For Which Firm Was/Is Responsible |
| EOD Solutions, Inc. Bosnia | Demilitarization Planning Study | EOD Solutions, Inc. | 12/2004 | 15 | 15 |
| Explosive Waste Incinerator and dis | ian stockpile and prepared a report that recosassembly and resource recovery were consit waiting for all funding solutions to be in p | dered. Because of the age of the muni | zation approach. All viab tions, EDE recommende | ole demil proce d a phased app | esses including an oroach to allow demil |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| | | | | e. Estimated (| Cost (in Thousands) |
|-------------------------------------|--|---|--------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| | | c. Project Owner's Name & Address | d. Completion | | Work For Which |
| | b. Nature of Firm's | and Project Manager's Name & | Date (actual | Entire | Firm Was/Is |
| a. Project Name & Location | Responsibility | Phone Number | or estimated) | Project | Responsible |
| 04-04 Explosive Waste Incineration | Build and install an Explosive Waste | NAMSA | March 2005 | | |
| Facility | Incinerator | Luxembourg | | | |
| | | P. Courtney-Green, 352-3063 6449 | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| EDE was contracted by NAMSA to desi | ign, build, and install an Explosive Waste I | ncinerator (EWI) for demilitarization and | disposal of conven | itional munitions | s in Elbasan, |

EDE was contracted by NAMSA to design, build, and install an Explosive Waste Incinerator (EWI) for demilitarization and disposal of conventional munitions in Elbasan, Albania. The project included total responsibility to prepare the design, procure and fabricate all equipment, ship the equipment, install the equipment, and train the operators. The EWI is used to dispose of munitions at a very high feed rate with complete pollution control and absolute safety. EDE was awarded this contract based on a competitive bid of international companies to NAMSA. EDE had the most experience of any company bidding in providing ammunition demilitarization equipment of this nature. EDE was able to exceed NAMSA's requirements and still provide the lowest overall bid for the project. EDE effectively used in-country personnel to assist with the program. This was regarded by NAMSA as the "showcase" humanitarian project as the plant completed the ammunition disposal contract ahead of schedule.

| | | | | _ | |
|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------------|
| | | | | e. Estimated | Cost (in Thousands) |
| | | c. Project Owner's Name & Address | d. Completion | | Work For Which |
| | b. Nature of Firm's | and Project Manager's Name & | Date (actual | Entire | Firm Was/Is |
| a. Project Name & Location | Responsibility | Phone Number | or estimated) | Project | Responsible |
| 04-03 Rocket Shear Machine | Develop equipment for cleaning | Ralph M. Parsons | 12/08 | 1,500,000 | 500 |
| Developmental Testing | chemical agents from M55 rockets | Pasadena, CA | | | |
| Salt Lake City, Utah | | John Ursillo, 626-440-2628 | | | |

A team of companies including Ralph M. Parsons received a contract to design, install, and operate the demilitarization plant to treat and dispose of all chemical munitions stored at Blue Grass Arsenal, Kentucky. This process is unique in that it will not use incineration as the primary treatment technology. EDE was tasked to develop equipment and methodology to successfully punch the M55 rocket cavity containing chemical agents and drain the agent from the rocket cavity. The stored rockets are known to have agents that have gelled or crystallized. As part of this demonstration program EDE used simulated gelled agent and simulated crystals. EDE developed a plastic warhead for viewing the high-pressure washout nozzle configuration to successfully determine design pressures, flows and nozzle orientation to completely clean the rocket cavities of all agents. Based on the development tests using the plastic warhead, simulated aluminum rocket warheads contained in fiberglass shipping and firing tubes were punched and washed out again using simulated agents. The demonstration project was very successful with over 98% of the agent being removed, which exceeded the design requirements. Since this project deals with weapons of mass destruction, the tests were performed with exceedingly high levels of quality control and safety analyses.

Primary 3.7

| | | | | e. Estimated | Cost (in Thousand |
|--|---|---|--|--------------------------------------|---|
| | | c. Project Owner's Name & Address | d. Completion | | Work For Whi |
| | b. Nature of Firm's | and Project Manager's Name & | Date (actual | Entire | Firm Was/Is |
| Project Name & Location | Responsibility | Phone Number | or estimated) | Project | Responsible |
| -02 Decontamination of Air Force Test Range Metal Debris Using a Transportable Flashing Furnace Eglin Air Force Base | Demonstration Test Program | U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Scott Millhouse, 256-895-1607 | 12/04 | 580 | 580 |
| nges. A Transportable Flashing Furna explosives and propellants before bein lin Air Force Base which were proces | ce (TFF) is a trailer mounted furnace ng sold off-site as scrap metal. EDE's sed in an EDE designed and manufac | nonstrate a technology developed by EDE for capable of processing up to 5,000 lbs. per how a demonstration program utilized actual municitured TFF. The results of this demonstration ce of materials at active military test ranges. | ir of test range debration fragments and t | is to assure tha arget debris fro | t the metals are fre om the test range a |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| | | | e. Estimated | Cost (in Thousands) | |
|--|--|--|----------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|
| | 1 N. to CE' | c. Project Owner's Name & Address | d. Completion | T | Work For Which |
| a. Project Name & Location | b. Nature of Firm's Responsibility | and Project Manager's Name & Phone Number | Date (actual or estimated) | Entire Project | Firm Was/Is Responsible |
| 04-01 Contained Burn Construction | Contained Burn Construction | Fhone Number | 03-2004 | Project | Responsible |
| 04-01 Contained Barn Construction | Contained Dam Constitution | | 05-2001 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| El Dorado Engineering Inc. (EDE) was | contracted to design, fabricate, and install | a contained burn thermal treatment syste | m for processing e | neroetic waste i | materials The |
| Contained Burn Unit includes air polluti | ion control equipment to meet the Pennsylv | ania environmental permitting requirem | ents. | nor Berie waste i | Indications. The |
| Pomenta and Poment | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| | | | | e. Estimated (| Cost (in Thousands) |
|--|--|--|---|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|
| | b. Nature of Firm's | c. Project Owner's Name & Address | d. Completion | Entire | Work For Which |
| a. Project Name & Location | Responsibility | and Project Manager's Name & Phone Number | Date (actual or estimated) | Project | Firm Was/Is Responsible |
| 03-06 RCRA Inspection | RCRA Inspection | Eglin Air Force Base | 06/2003 | Project | Responsible |
| Eglin Air Force Base | KCKA hispection | Egini Ali Polec Base | 00/2003 | | |
| Zg.m r.m. r orot Z z z | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| El Dorado Engineering, Inc. (EDE) was treating small arms ammunition under th | contracted by Eglin Air Force Base to perform to existing Eglin Subpart X RCRA Permit. | form a RCRA inspection of the burn kett The inspection found that the burn kettl | les used in the Trans les were in proper w | sportable Flashi orking order. | ng Furnace for |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| | | | | e. Estimated | Cost (in Thousands) |
|----------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------------|
| | | c. Project Owner's Name & Address | d. Completion | | Work For Which |
| | b. Nature of Firm's | and Project Manager's Name & | Date (actual | Entire | Firm Was/Is |
| a. Project Name & Location | Responsibility | Phone Number | or estimated) | Project | Responsible |
| 03-01 Rocket Dismantling | Design, build, and test | Eco Logic | 12/04 | 1,500 | 1,300 |
| Machine Component Tests | Equipment | Canada | | | |
| | | Doug Gray, 519-856-9591 ext. 214 | | | |

As part of the non-incineration demilitarization plan for chemical munitions stored at Blue Grass Army Depot, a new M55 rocket dismantling machine needed to be designed for two of the three proposed processes. EDE was tasked to design and test various components that would be required by the dismantling machine. This included:

- Washout of the explosive filled burster using a high pressure washout system
- A low pressure washout system to washout gelled agent from the rocket warhead
- A system to punch and drain the agent and accommodate warhead washout
- A system to extract anti-resonator rods from the rocket propellant
- Develop equipment using sophisticated tube cutters to cut through the fiberglass outer container and the rocket metal parts without leaving an indentation or burr in the metal parts to enable extraction of the rocket motor grain

EDE designed and fabricated each of the equipment components as described and built simulated munitions. EDE utilized the simulated munitions to successfully test each of the components that were developed and write a comprehensive report of the program. All work was completed on schedule despite major changes to the criteria during the project.

| | | | | e. Estimated | Cost (in Thousands) |
|--|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|------------------------|
| | | c. Project Owner's Name & Address | d. Completion | | Work For Which |
| | b. Nature of Firm's | and Project Manager's Name & | Date (actual | Entire | Firm Was/Is |
| a. Project Name & Location | Responsibility | Phone Number | or estimated) | Project | Responsible |
| 02-07 AET Pollution Control | Design, Fabricate and Provide | AET | 11/2002 | 103 | 103 |
| Iowa Army Ammunition Plant | Pollution Control System | Dana Point, CA | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | gned a contained burn system for AET for | | | | |
| | ed by AET. EDE was contracted to design | | to meet the environ | mental requiren | nents of the facility. |
| This system consisted of a cyclone, bagi | house, fan, duct work, and associated contr | rols. | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| | 1 | | | | |
|--|--|---|----------------------------|-----------------|--|
| | b. Nature of Firm's | c. Project Owner's Name & Address and Project Manager's Name & | d. Completion Date (actual | Entire | Cost (in Thousands) Work For Which Firm Was/Is |
| a. Project Name & Location 02-05 ECC Site Safety Submission | Responsibility | Phone Number | or estimated) 09/2002 | Project 55 | Responsible 55 |
| Kansas Army Ammunition Plant | Explosive Safety Review | Environmental Chemical Corp. Bloomfield, NJ Prashant Khanna | 09/2002 | 55 | 55 |
| El Dorado Engineering, Inc. (EDE) was | tasked to perform an explosive safety revi | ew and site safety submission for cleanur | o and remediation or | nerations at Ka | nsas Armv |
| Ammunition Plant being performed by | Environmental Chemical Corp. Site Safety | Plan submission was reviewed and appr | oved by the U.S. A | rmy Corps of E | ngineers, the Army |
| Field Safety Office, and the Department | of Defense Explosive Safety Board. | ** | • | | |
| 1900. US | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| | | | | e. Estimated | Cost (in Thousands) |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------------|
| | | c. Project Owner's Name & Address | d. Completion | | Work For Which |
| | b. Nature of Firm's | and Project Manager's Name & | Date (actual | Entire | Firm Was/Is |
| a. Project Name & Location | Responsibility | Phone Number | or estimated) | Project | Responsible |
| 02-02 Total Solution Design | Equipment system and process | Eco Logic | 01/02 | 10,000 | 1,000 |
| Blue Grass Army Depot | Design | Canada | | | |
| | | B Morse 281-376-2190 | | | |

U.S. Army PMACWA determined that there were three viable technologies for accomplishing the demilitarization of the chemical munitions stored at Blue Grass Army Depot using non-incineration technologies. The contract was awarded to each one of the technology providers to provide a total solution design based on their technology. Eco Logic had proposed the technology utilizing chemical neutralization of the agent followed by supercritical water oxidation of waste liquids, and thermal/hydrogen reduction system for processing combustible materials and in treating contaminated metal parts. EDE was contracted to perform all engineering to develop all of the equipment required for disassembly of the munitions to accommodate the various chemical process technologies. EDE also developed and tested a system to grind rocket propellant underwater to accommodate downstream processes. EDE designed all material handling equipment to move energetic materials, slurries, metal parts and chemical agent throughout the entire plant. EDE provided mass and material balances, P&ID diagrams, and equipment layout drawings for the entire process. EDE provided preliminary designs for all of the equipment required to perform the munition disassembly. EDE provided the safety and hazard analysis for the entire plant design. EDE also performed cost estimating for design build construction and operations of this equipment. The entire project was estimated at 1-½ billion dollars.

| | | | No. 100 | e. Estimate | d Cost (in Thousands) |
|---|---|---|--|---|--|
| | | c. Project Owner's Name & Address | d. Completion | l | Work For Which |
| D 1 (2) | b. Nature of Firm's | and Project Manager's Name & | Date (actual | Entire | Firm Was/Is |
| a. Project Name & Location | Responsibility | Phone Number | or estimated) | Project | Responsible |
| 02-01 Thermal Processor Unit for Kaho'olawe, Hawaii | Design, fabricate, and install transportable thermal processor | Parsons UXB Tom McCabe, 808-471-4303 | May 2002 | | |
| saio olawe, Hawaii | a an sportable attential processor | Tom Mecauc, dod-11-1505 | | | |
| s part of the cleanup and restoration of the solution of the contaminated much cransportable Flashing Furnace (TFF) | of the Island of Kaho'olawe. Kaho'olawe mition parts and target debris. Parsons/o allowed all of the metal parts and target | stall a transportable thermal processing unit is e was used as a Naval Test Range for a numi UXB was contracted by the Navy to restore debris to be safely treated so that it could be nd processed over 2 tons of material per hou | ber of years had an the island to deliver sold as scrap meta | estimated 10 r it back to Hav l as it was rem | million pounds of waii. EDE's noved from the island. |
| naterial. | soricated, instanted and commissioned, at | nd processed over 2 tons of material per nou | and complete proc | essma over o | minion pounds of |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| | | | | e. Estimated Cost (in Thousan | |
|---------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|---------------|-------------------------------|----------------|
| | | c. Project Owner's Name & Address | d. Completion | | Work For Which |
| | b. Nature of Firm's | and Project Manager's Name & | Date (actual | Entire | Firm Was/Is |
| a. Project Name & Location | Responsibility | Phone Number | or estimated) | Project | Responsible |
| 01-09 Contained Burning Test of | Demonstrate that contained burning is a | Crane Naval Weapons Center | 02/09 | 200 | 200 |
| MLRS Rocket Motor | viable technology for demilitarization | Crane, IN | | | |
| Arnold Air Force Base, TN | of MLRS rocket motors | Phil Keith, 812-854-6157 | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

El Dorado Engineering, Inc. (EDE) proposed that contained burning would be an effective and efficient way to demilitarize MLRS rocket motors. It was postulated that although it is known that incomplete products of combustion exist at the rocket motor nozzle exit that the combustion could go to completion with entrained air. Firing the rocket motor in a containment vessel would allow capture of all motor exhausts that could then be scrubbed in a relatively small, highly efficient pollution control system. EDE demonstrated this concept by firing an MLRS rocket motor at Arnold Engineering Development Center in a contained rocket test chamber. Data was collected for designing a containment chamber specific for the MLRS rocket motor.

| | | | | e. Estimated Cost (in | |
|--------------------------------------|--|---|--|-----------------------|--|
| a. Project Name & Location | b. Nature of Firm's Responsibility | c. Project Owner's Name & Address and Project Manager's Name & Phone Number | d. Completion Date (actual or estimated) | Entire Project | Work For Which Firm Was/Is Responsible |
| 01-08 Taiwan Retorts | Fabrication and Installation of Retorts | Arsenal 203 | 12/2001 | | |
| Taiwan | | Taiwan | | | |
| | | | | | |
| El Dorado Engineering, Inc. (EDE) | was tasked to fabricate, ship, and install replace | cement retorts for the Explosive Waste In | cinerator at Arsenal | 203, Taiwan. | These retorts are |
| specially designed for processing ex | xplosives and munitions. EDE installed the ret | orts on an existing furnace. | | • | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| | | | | e. Estimated C | Cost (in Thousands) |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|----------------|---------------------|
| | | c. Project Owner's Name & Address | d. Completion | | Work For Which |
| | b. Nature of Firm's | and Project Manager's Name & | Date (actual | Entire | Firm Was/Is |
| a. Project Name & Location | Responsibility | Phone Number | or estimated) | Project | Responsible |
| 01-07 Development of New Improved | Development of PTRD | SAIC | 12/2001 | 130 | 130 |
| PTRDs | | Abingdon, MD | | | |
| Abingdon, MD | | Dave Peterson, 443-402-9343 | | | |
| | | | | | |

El Dorado Engineering, Inc. (EDE) was contracted by SAIC to design, develop, fabricate, and test a new improved Pressure Test Relief Device (PTRD). El Dorado Engineering developed an improved Pressure Test & Relief Device (PTRD) to protect operators when removing replacement plugs in chemical agent storage ton containers. The pressure chamber allows operators to introduce pressure into the ton container preventing any pressurized chemical agent from spraying out during plug removal. EDE fabricated and delivered to Pine Bluff Arsenal 8 PTRDs to be used in their chemical agent operations at that facility. The PTRD development was very successful and EDE's design became the new standard for use in the SETH munition program and wherever ton containers are stored.

| | | | | 1 | |
|---|--|---|----------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| | | a Denicat Oromania Nama C. Addina | d Come1-4: | e. Estimated Cost (in Thousand | |
| | b. Nature of Firm's | c. Project Owner's Name & Address and Project Manager's Name & | d. Completion Date (actual | Entire | Work For Which Firm Was/Is |
| a. Project Name & Location | Responsibility | Phone Number | or estimated) | Project | Responsible |
| 01-06 Tooele Army Depot | Incinerator and equipment plant | Tooele Army Depot | 01/2001 | <u> </u> | • |
| Deactivation Furnace Support | Engineering | Tooele, Utah | | | |
| Tooele, Utah | | R. Snyder, 435-833-2181 | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Provide plant engineering services to inc | clude redesign of input feed conveyor, eva | luation of ceramic filter baghouse operati | on and incinerator of | apability for fu | ze processing. |
| This project includes the development a | nd design of equipment and procedures to | disassemble fire sin preparation of rotar | v kiln processing N | Vrench bits ha | nd saws and |
| Ammunition Peculiar Equipment (APE) | | disassemble fuze sin preparation of fotal | y kim processing. V | WIEHEH KILS, DA | iiu saws aiiu |
| | , | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| | T | | Ī | a Estimated | Cost (in Thousands) |
|--|---|---|--|-----------------------------------|--|
| a. Project Name & Location | b. Nature of Firm's Responsibility | c. Project Owner's Name & Address and Project Manager's Name & Phone Number | d. Completion Date (actual or estimated) | Entire Project | Work For Which Firm Was/Is Responsible |
| 01-04 Eglin Burn Kettles Eglin Air Force Base, FL | Design, fabricate, and furnish a Transportable Burn Kettle System and heat source for processing munitions | Eglin Air Force Base, FL D. Freeman | 07-2001 | Tiojou | responsible |
| transportable burn kettle system with an control system, fuel and flame safety sys | burn kettles at Eglin Air Force Base used external heat source. EDE furnished a tra- stem for heating specifically designed burn s system is permitted as part of the existing | iler mounted transportable burn kettle pro kettles to contain munitions that are the | ocessor complete wirmally treated. Mur | ith electrical genitions were rec | enerator, remote covered from the test |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| | | | | e. Estimated Cost (in Thousands) | |
|----------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|----------------------------------|----------------|
| | | c. Project Owner's Name & Address | d. Completion | | Work For Which |
| | b. Nature of Firm's | and Project Manager's Name & | Date (actual | Entire | Firm Was/Is |
| a. Project Name & Location | Responsibility | Phone Number | or estimated) | Project | Responsible |
| 01-03 Chemical Munitions | Engineering | Ralph M. Parsons | 12/2008 | 660,000 | 2000 |
| Demilitarization | | Russia | | | |
| Russia | | thomas.cc@rcwdp.ru | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| El Dorado Engineering is part of the Ralph M. Parsons team that was awarded the major contract to accomplish the demilitarization of chemical weapons in Russia. Parsons contracted with Russian companies to accomplish major portions of the work. EDE was tasked to assist with technology transfer from the U.S. experience. | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| El Dorado Engineering was tasked to provide an evaluation of all of the equipment design and procedures associated with handling the chemical munitions, drilling and draining the agent, technical studies, and all other work associated with bringing this project from a concept design up through a proven completely tested system for chemical munitions. This contract has required several trips per year to on-site Russian facilities since the project inception. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

STANDARD FORM 255 PAGE 9

| Policy Name of Landing | b. Nature of Firm's | c. Project Owner's Name & Address and Project Manager's Name & | d. Completion Date (actual | Entire | Cost (in Thousands) Work For Which Firm Was/Is |
|---|--|---|--|------------------------------------|--|
| a. Project Name & Location | Responsibility | Phone Number | or estimated) | Project | Responsible |
| 01-02 Engineering Support Tasks | Engineering Task Orders | EG&G TOCDF Facility Tooele Army Depot T. Park, 435-882-8450 | 12/2001 | 200 | 200 |
| EG&G operates the chemical ammunities and sustain their operations. Task order HVAC evaluations in a toxic environment. | on disposal facility at Tooele Army Depot. is include pollution control equipment, test ent. | . They have contracted with El Dorado E ting, design, and upgrade; evaluation of e | ingineering to perfor quipment and mach | m various task inery for improv | orders to improve wed operations, and |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| | | | | 1 | |
|--|--|---|--|-----------------|--|
| a. Project Name & Location | b. Nature of Firm's Responsibility | c. Project Owner's Name & Address and Project Manager's Name & Phone Number | d. Completion Date (actual or estimated) | Entire Project | Cost (in Thousands) Work For Which Firm Was/Is Responsible |
| 00-22 EOD Solutions, Inc. | Demilitarization Planning Study | EOD Solutions, Inc. | 05/2000 | 10 | 10 |
| Triana, Albania | | Tirana, Albania | | | |
| EDE reviewed contents of the Albanian incineration to more complex disassemb | stockpile and prepared a report that recomily and resource recovery were considered. | mended a comprehensive demilitarizatio | n approach. All via | ble demil proce | esses from simple |
| begin as soon as possible, without waiting | ng for all funding solutions to be in place. | because of the age of the munitions, Er | DE recommended a | phased approac | n to anow domin to |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| | | | | e. Estimated Cost (in Thousar | |
|---|---|---|------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------|
| | | c. Project Owner's Name & Address | d. Completion | | Work For Which |
| | b. Nature of Firm's | and Project Manager's Name & | Date (actual | Entire | Firm Was/Is |
| a. Project Name & Location | Responsibility | Phone Number | or estimated) | Project | Responsible |
| 00-19 Charted Ammunition | Demilitarization Study | Chartered Ammunition Industries | 12/2000 | 15 | 15 |
| Industries | Dominiarization Study | Singapore | 12/2000 | 13 | 15 |
| Singapore | | Singapore | | | |
| Singapore | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Chartered Ammunition Industries of Sin | gapore retained EDE to examine their exis | ting demilitarization canabilities, compa | re it with anticipated | l workload, and | nrenare a |
| conceptual plan for equipment, process, | and facility unorades | 8, | 1 | , | LI |
| conceptati plan for equipment, process, | and facility applicates. | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| | | | | e. Estimated (| mated Cost (in Thousands) | |
|--|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|---------------------------|--|
| | | c. Project Owner's Name & Address | d. Completion | | Work For Which | |
| | b. Nature of Firm's | and Project Manager's Name & | Date (actual | Entire | Firm Was/Is | |
| a. Project Name & Location | Responsibility | Phone Number | or estimated) | Project | Responsible | |
| 00-16 ICI Fuze Kit Design | Munitions Demilitarization | ICI | 03/2000 | | | |
| Joplin, MO | Equipment | Joplin, MO | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | APE 1002M1 defuzing machine to remove | ve the end plug on MK 344, Mod 0 & 1, | fuzes. This operation | n allows the ¼ j | pound tetryl booster | |
| to be removed and the fuzes to be proces | ssed. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| | | | | e. Estimated | Cost (in Thousands) |
|--|---|--|----------------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| | | c. Project Owner's Name & Address | d. Completion | | Work For Which |
| | b. Nature of Firm's | and Project Manager's Name & | Date (actual | Entire | Firm Was/Is |
| a. Project Name & Location | Responsibility | Phone Number | or estimated) | Project | Responsible |
| 00-14 Hawthorne Day and | Design | Day & Zimmermann | 12/2000 | | |
| Zimmermann Conveyors | | Hawthorne, Nevada | | | |
| Hawthorne, Nevada | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Day & Zimmermann operates a flashing | furnace to thermally treat explosive conta | minated metal parts. Containers are cycl | ed through the furna | ce. In the load | ing/charging area of |
| | nveyor system that was originally designed | | | | |
| warrant all of the unnecessary conveyors | s, transfer equipment, limit switches, etc. t | hat was originally built for this accumula | | | |
| direct conveyor system using existing ed | quipment that will circumvent unnecessary | conveyors and other equipment. | | | - |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| | | and the second s | a | e. Estimated Cost (in Thousands) | | |
|--|--|--|----------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|--|
| | | c. Project Owner's Name & Address | d. Completion | | Work For Which | |
| | b. Nature of Firm's | and Project Manager's Name & | Date (actual | Entire | Firm Was/Is | |
| a. Project Name & Location | Responsibility | Phone Number | or estimated) | Project | Responsible | |
| 00-13 Bechtel Nevada Tactical | Tactical Missile Study | Bechtel Nevada | 09/2000 | | | |
| Missile Study | | Las Vegas, Nevada | | | | |
| Las Vegas, Nevada | | Dennis Jeffrey, 702-295-2192 | | | | |
| through a pollution control system. It w | evada with a contained burn system for proas designed to process one missile motor esides the Shillelagh were also candidates for the system of the system o | every 7-1/2 minutes. EDE evaluated the U | system contained the | e exhaust and p ical missiles cu | rocessed the exhaust | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| | | | r | | | |
|---|---|--|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| a. Project Name & Location | b. Nature of Firm's Responsibility | c. Project Owner's Name & Address and Project Manager's Name & Phone Number | d. Completion Date (actual or estimated) | Entire Project | Work For Which Firm Was/Is Responsible | |
| 00-12 Day & Zimmermann Hot Gas Chamber Hawthorne, Nevada | Feasibility Study | Day & Zimmermann Hawthorne, Nevada | 09/2000 | | | |
| EDE evaluated the feasibility of convercomplete air pollution control. The stude slated for disposal. | ting the existing hot gas decontamination of the confirmed that the existing chamber con | chamber at Hawthorne to a contained burn ald be modified to handle a considerable p | ning facility for pro- portion for the taction | cessing tactical cal rocket moto | l rocket motors with ors that are currently | |
| - | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| | | Ī | | e. Estimated | Cost (in Thousands) |
|---|--|---|-----------------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| | | c. Project Owner's Name & Address | d. Completion | 0, =0,==0,0 | Work For Which |
| | b. Nature of Firm's | and Project Manager's Name & | Date (actual | Entire | Firm Was/Is |
| a. Project Name & Location | Responsibility | Phone Number | or estimated) | Project | Responsible |
| 00-10 Ensign-Bickford Contained | Perform a Feasibility Study for | Ensign Bickford | 08-00 | | |
| Burn | Applying Contained Burn | Simsbury, CT | | | |
| Simsbury, CT | Technology | 467 | | | |
| | | | | | |
| EDE was tasked to evaluate the energeti | c wastes that Ensign Bickford is currently | treating by open burning. Ensign Bickfo | rd is one of the lead | ing commercial | explosive |
| manufacturing companies in the U.S. E | DE was to evaluate using a technology dev | eloped by EDE known as contained burn | ning to process Ensig | gn Bickford's v | vaste material in a |
| more environmentally acceptable practic | ce than open burning. | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| | | | | e. Estimated Cost (in Thousands) | | |
|--|--|---|-----------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------|--|
| | | c. Project Owner's Name & Address | d. Completion | | Work For Which | |
| | b. Nature of Firm's | and Project Manager's Name & | Date (actual | Entire | Firm Was/Is | |
| a. Project Name & Location | Responsibility | Phone Number | or estimated) | Project | Responsible | |
| 00-08 ACWA Program for Pueblo, | Design Services | U.S. Army/Eco Logic | 12/2000 | 200 | 200 | |
| CO and Blue Grass, KY | | B. Morse, 281-376-2190 | | | 0-0000 | |
| Section and Company Constitution and Section and Company and Company and Company | | Total Total Material Annual Particular Section of Americans Section Sec | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | hnologies to incineration for processing che | | | | | |
| | l by Eco Logic to develop the processes req | | | | | |
| technologies. Work consists of developi | ing equipment for processing M55 rocket m | notors by extracting and size reducing th | e propellant grain, d | raining the agen | t and shearing the | |
| explosive components for hydrolysis trea | atment. EDE also developed processes for | projectiles of various sizes and 4.2-inch | mortars. Another re | sponsibility was | s to develop the | |
| | ne materials into the munitions treatment ar | ea of the facility and subsequently movi | ng the various proce | ss streams into t | he downstream | |
| treatment technologies. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| | | | | e. Estimated (| Cost (in Thousands) |
|--------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|----------------|---------------------|
| | | c. Project Owner's Name & Address | d. Completion | | Work For Which |
| | b. Nature of Firm's | and Project Manager's Name & | Date (actual | Entire | Firm Was/Is |
| a. Project Name & Location | Responsibility | Phone Number | or estimated) | Project | Responsible |
| 00-07 Modified Baseline System | Design Services | SAIC | 10/2000 | 75 | 75 |
| For Chemical Munitions | | Jack Quinlan, 443-402-9338 | | | |

The U.S. Army investigated alternatives for chemical munitions treatment and disposal at Pueblo Army Depot. One alternative was patterned after the existing design used at Johnston Island and Tooele Army Depot. The major difference would be that agent would not be drained from the munitions and incinerated separately, but would be incinerated in the metal parts furnace. EDE's responsibility was to evaluate various methods to access the agent in the various projectiles currently stored at Pueblo that would allow processing these munitions through the metal parts furnace. EDE down selected three alternatives that were identified for further testing. These alternatives were evaluated by a series of tests. The ultimate best choice was crushing the fuze adapter. Although modified incineration was not selected for Pueblo, this technology was utilized in subsequent Ralph M. Parsons designs for projectile demilitarization using alternative technologies at both Pueblo and Blue Grass Army Plants.

Pueblo Army Depot

| | | | | e Estimated | d Cost (in Thousan |
|---|---|---|--|-------------------|--|
| . Project Name & Location | b. Nature of Firm's Responsibility | c. Project Owner's Name & Address and Project Manager's Name & Phone Number | d. Completion Date (actual or estimated) | Entire Project | Work For Whi Firm Was/Is Responsible |
| 0-06 Donovan Detonation Chamber Blue Grass Army Depot, KY | Instrumentation and testing | DeMil International Huntsville, AL K. House, 256-536-6885 | 10/2000 | 310 | 310 |
| DF was tasked by DeMil Internati | ional to provide instrumentation to measu | re peak pressure, static pressure, temperature, | and material strain | for a detonation | n chamber that was |
| signed to contain up to 45 pounds | | performed emissions sampling to characterize | | | |
| ernative for open burning and ope | en detonation for high production contain | ica disposat of obsolete maintions. | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| | | | | e. Estimated C | Cost (in Thousands) |
|--|---|---|-----------------------|------------------|---------------------|
| | | c. Project Owner's Name & Address | d. Completion | | Work For Which |
| | b. Nature of Firm's | and Project Manager's Name & | Date (actual | Entire | Firm Was/Is |
| a. Project Name & Location | Responsibility | Phone Number | or estimated) | Project | Responsible |
| 00-05 Flashing Furnace | Design and build flashing furnaces | MKM | 05/00 | | |
| Ravenna, Ohio | | Stafford, TX | | | |
| | | K. Irahi, 281-277-5100 | | | |
| | | | | | |
| NUZNA L | - TOG f 4 1/-1/ f/ 1/1/1 | | | !4! T | .1 |
| I | Y IOC for the remediation of various building | _ | | | <u> </u> |
| buildings there are metal parts potentiall | y contaminated with explosives. EDE desi | igned and provided a trailer mounted flas | sning turnace that wo | buid destroy any | residual |

MKM has a contract with the U.S. Army IOC for the remediation of various buildings and facilities that were used to process explosives and munitions. In the cleanup of these buildings there are metal parts potentially contaminated with explosives. EDE designed and provided a trailer mounted flashing furnace that would destroy any residual contamination so that the material could be sold as scrap metal. Because the furnaces are below de minimis air emission requirements, EDE and MKM were able to gain concurrence from EPA and Ohio EPA that no permits would be required for this furnace. EDE's role includes design, fabrication, startup and training of the flashing furnace for processing these materials.

| | | | | e. Estimated | Cost (in Thousand |
|--|---|---|--|-------------------|--|
| Project Name & Location | b. Nature of Firm's Responsibility | c. Project Owner's Name & Address and Project Manager's Name & Phone Number | d. Completion Date (actual or estimated) | Entire Project | Work For White Firm Was/Is Responsible |
| 0-02 Cryofracture Munitions Feed ostem ocAlester, Oklahoma | Design and fabricate equipment | U.S. Army/General Atomics McAlester, Oklahoma J. Follin, 858-455-4405 | 07/2000 | 2,000 | 250 |
| ntains depleted uranium. EDE was t | tasked to design and build the feed syste | to feeding them in an explosive waste inciner m that place the cryofractured munitions con in the cryofracturing process and remove the | nponents in the exp | losive waste inc | |
| is used to design an an naturing sy | seem to concer dust and particulate from | i dio diyontada ing process and romove die | particulate prior to c | nsona go. | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| | | | | e. Estimated C | Cost (in Thousands) |
|---|---|---|--------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|
| | | c. Project Owner's Name & Address | d. Completion | essens Same | Work For Which |
| | b. Nature of Firm's | and Project Manager's Name & | Date (actual | Entire | Firm Was/Is |
| a. Project Name & Location | Responsibility | Phone Number | or estimated) | Project | Responsible |
| 00-01 Contained Burn Facility | Turnkey, design, and install | AET | 07/2001 | | |
| Iowa Army Ammunition Plant | | Dana Point, California R. Gregg, 949-240-6452 | | | |
| | | R. Glegg, 949-240-0432 | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| EDE was contracted to design fabricate | and install a milet contained brown & siliters | | matachula (DED) | | J |
| track and dumpage. The contained burn t | and install a pilot contained burn facility if facility utilizes a novel approach as an alter | ror treating properiant, explosives, and properties to open burning and open determined | rotecniic (PEP) wa | sie maieriais and | a contaminated |
| | is waste incinerator. The materials are ign | | | | |
| | he design work included upgrading an exi | | | | |
| required for operations. | | | | | 0 .4 (.4) |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 1 | | | | | |

Project Name: Utah Governor's Committee on Oversight at Dugway

Date: 1994 - 1996

Client: State of Utah

<u>Area of Expertise Demonstrated</u>: Demonstrated experience in chemical warfare materials and hazardous waste management and pollution avoidance.

Project Description: Mr. Ralph Hayes, President of EDE, was appointed by Governor Leavitt to serve on a committee that would provide oversight of activities at DPG and report on them to the State. At that time there was much concern by the public that activities at DPG might be endangering residents of the State of Utah by exposing them to risks of hazardous chemicals and hazardous biological materials. This task force was periodically briefed by the DPG commander and Civilian Executive Officer on all programs currently going on at DPG and programs that were planned in the future. The committee would review these plans, tour the DPG facilities, and investigate the safety procedures to assure that the public safety was being properly looked after. The committee was able to allay public fears as we found that proper procedures were in place to protect the public. On a few occasions the committee was able to offer constructive advice that DPG implemented to improve safety.

| 76 | | | | | |
|---|---|--|----------------------|------------------|---------------------|
| | | | | | |
| | | | | e. Estimated | Cost (in Thousands) |
| | | c. Project Owner's Name & Address | d. Completion | | Work For Which |
| | b. Nature of Firm's | and Project Manager's Name & | Date (actual | Entire | Firm Was/Is |
| a. Project Name & Location | Responsibility | Phone Number | or estimated) | Project | Responsible |
| Chemical Warfare Materials Cleanup | Plan and oversight of excavation of | Defense Depot Ogden | 1990 | 50 | 50 |
| | chemical warfare materials | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| ID kits contaminated with chemical war | fare agent had been buried at Defense Dep | ot Ogden. EDE provided planning, exca | vation, and oversigh | nt of digging up | these materials and |
| identifying those materials containing cl | nemical agent. EDE personnel were require | ed to wear full rubber level A protection. | All chemical agen | t materials were | turned over to the |
| Army's technical escort unit. | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

Project Name: Hazardous Materials and Wastes Survey Studies and Engineering

Date: 1986

Client: Dugway Proving Ground

Area of Expertise Demonstrated: RCRA permitting and RCRA permit compliance activities.

Project Description: EDE was contracted by Dugway Proving Ground (DPG) to review their status as a small quantity hazardous waste generator and determine that they were in compliance with RCRA. At that time, DPG mistakenly understood that they were a small quantity generator and exempt from most of the requirements of RCRA. EDE conducted a review of all the hazardous materials purchased by DPG and studied the use and disposition of these materials. It became obvious very quickly that DPG was not a small generator and that in fact DPG needed to organize and become compliant with RCRA. EDE found some 67 RCRA and CERCLA sites on DPG. EDE prepared all of the initial RCRA documents and plans for DPG including the training plans. EDE also assisted DPG in organizing an environmental group that would report directly to the base commander. EDE trained all of the supervisors and the entire DPG workforce on the provisions of RCRA. This was over 1,000 personnel. EDE suggested ways to modify many of the current operations so that they would not be hazardous waste operations. EDE developed guidance and policy for DPG to properly identify, handle, store, treat hazardous wastes and for both on and offsite recycling programs. EDE also established methods to perform waste collection and waste handling that would allow the less than 90 day storage rule to be in effect and avoid classifying many of the DPG locations as hazardous waste storage. EDE prepared and helped DPG implement a waste minimization plan. EDE also prepared RCRA closure plans at 10 specific DPG sites, many of these were related to military chemical agent operations. The contract began as a simple effort for about \$20,000, however, DPG was very pleased with EDE's work and expanded the scope to \$150,000. The extra effort included support DPG needed in recognizing the operations at DPG that were subject to RCRA and organizing DPG so that DPG might properly manage these operations.

| | | | | e. Estimated | Cost (in Thousands) |
|--|---|---|----------------------|------------------|--------------------------|
| | 1 37 / 677 | c. Project Owner's Name & Address | d. Completion | | Work For Which |
| | b. Nature of Firm's | and Project Manager's Name & | Date (actual | Entire | Firm Was/Is |
| a. Project Name & Location | Responsibility | Phone Number | or estimated) | Project | Responsible |
| Chemical Munitions Demil Training | Oversight of Machines and Mechanical | Edgewood Arsenal | 1985 | 5,000 | 200 |
| Facility | Engineering | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| EDE provided oversight of setting up a | training facility at Edgewood Arsenal that | duplicated the actual machines and equip | ment that were used | l at the chemica | ıl agent disposal sites. |
| | ion, and mechanical engineering for the ins | stallation of all of the machines that were | installed for the ch | emical agent de | mil facility. EDE |
| provided two years of onsite supervision | n during this project. | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| a. Project Name & Location 190. Open Burn Pit Closure E. Camden, Arkansas | since the 1980's. The pits were | c. Project Owner's Name & Address and Project Manager's Name & Phone Number Marconi Aerospace E. Camden, Arkansas L. Mahon, 870-574-1712 x 156 ted the closure plan for 4 open burn pite "clean closed" by excavating 630 ton ysis confirmed that clean closure stand to natural surroundings. | s of soil and as | h residue. | Work for which firm was/is responsible 100 |
|--|---|--|------------------|-------------------------|---|
| 190. Open Burn Pit Closure E. Camden, Arkansas | EDE completed and implement since the 1980's. The pits were Verification sampling and analy | L. Mahon, 870-574-1712 x 156 ted the closure plan for 4 open burn pite e "clean closed" by excavating 630 ton ysis confirmed that clean closure stand | s that treated P | EP wastes h residue. | |
| | since the 1980's. The pits were Verification sampling and analy | e "clean closed" by excavating 630 ton ysis confirmed that clean closure stand | s of soil and as | h residue. | |
| | | | | | |

| | | | d. Completion | e. Estimated Co | st (in thousands |
|--|---|---|---|---|--|
| a. Project Name & Location | b. Nature of Firm's Responsibility | c. Project Owner's Name & Address and Project Manager's Name & Phone Number | Date (actual or estimated) | Entire Project | Work for which firm was/is responsible |
| 188. Air Monitoring Program E. Camden, Arkansas | Treatment Facility. This facility burn pans. The Air Monitoring performed modeling of each bu sampling crews were deployed were deployed in the plume are | Marconi Aerospace E. Camden, Arkansas L. Mahon, 870-574-1712 x 156 ted the Ambient Air Monitoring Plan for y treats 400 pounds/day of PEP related Program consisted of 10 separate bur urn utilizing our in-house developed money and to take real time samples during each ea where maximum contaminate deposioni's RCRA Subpart X permit for therm | wastes by opens over a 2 we odel called PC test burn. Five sition was antic | en burning in ek period. EDE AD. Seven e of these crews sipated. This | |

| | | | d. Completion | e. Estimated C | ost (in thousands |
|-----------------------------------|---|---|--|-------------------|---|
| a. Project Name & Location | b. Nature of Firm's Responsibility | c. Project Owner's Name & Address and Project Manager's Name & Phone Number | Date (actual or estimated) | Entire Project | Work for whic firm was/is responsible |
| 11. RCRA Trial Burns ansas AAP | Assist with operation of hazardous waste incinerator Provide engineering support to waste incinerator. This support incinerator waste incinerator. This support incineration with the trial burn | Day & Zimmerman Kansas AAP John Gilpin (316) 421-7478 a assist Day & Zimmerman with the open trincludes start-up of the incinerator, a acceptance testing. | 12/97 eration of their l nd assisting Da | nazardous ay & | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| | | | d. Completion e | . Estimated Co | ost (in thousands |
|--|--|--|---|--|--|
| a. Project Name & Location | b. Nature of Firm's Responsibility | c. Project Owner's Name & Address and Project Manager's Name & Phone Number | Date (actual or estimated) | Entire Project | Work for whice firm was/is responsible |
| 132. RCRA Part B Permitting Camden, Arkansas | propellant wastes by open burn authorities. EDE provided a corporation, air reperformed a site assessment a investigated heavy metals and The State of Arkansas accepted the first Subpart X permits to be authorities during this effort. EDE recommended cleanup ta with the regulatory authorities. EDE developed the methodolo Management Units, and developed to final grade and conducts and quarterly Technical Review Corplant personnel, and the public | Tracor Camden, Arkansas J. Bullock, 501-574-1712 design and permit a system for the dispining that would be acceptable to the Statement of the design including burn pans and modeling, risk assessment, and ground and closure plan for the existing burn pill trace explosive quantities including AF and approved the application, and isterior is a second of the U.S. EDE provided all control of the second of the second of these facility and reseeding to natural grasses. The second of the current status of Tracor. These me cof the first RCRA Subpart X permits in the second of the first RCRA Subpart X permits in the second of the first RCRA Subpart X permits in the second of the first RCRA Subpart X permits in the second of the | ate of Arkansas d pads, soil sam water monitoring ts. The evaluation in soils and ground a permit, no coordination with the strong and negoties from excave EDE performs ning. EDE also neetings informonmental effort. | regulatory pling, RCRA g. EDE ion oundwater. naking it one of regulatory diated these r 9 Solid Wast ation of semi-annual conducts | ee |

| Project Name & Leasting Annual Firm's Personal billion Phone Number & (actual or stigated) Project Manager's Name & (act | ork for which m was/is sponsible |
|--|--|
| in support of the commercial hazardous waste incinerator Clive, Utah Laidlaw owns and operates a commercial hazardous waste incinerator in the Utah west desert. EDE was selected to provide design and engineering support for modifications and upgrades to improve the incineration facility operations. EDE's work is issued as various specific tasks. Tasks include such things as modifications to pollution control equipment and renovation of the waste heat boiler. EDE conceives of the required equipment, provides design drawings for various | |
| | |

| b. Nature of Firm's Responsibility | c. Project Owner's Name & Address and Project Manager's Name & Phone Number | d. Completion | e. Estimated C | ost (in thousands |
|---|--|---|--|--|
| | | Date (actual or estimated) | Entire Project | Work for which firm was/is responsible |
| Design of Process Equipment | Global Environmental Solution Magna, Utah K. Farnsworth, 801-251-6262 | 12/96 | 10,000 | 1,000 |
| tritonal explosive from these be the design of all processes equal highest resale value material. | ombs is being reclaimed for resale valu sipment required to handle the molten of Complex engineering and explosive sa | e. EDE is assi explosive, and | sting GES with process it for | |
| | Design of Process Equipment Global Environmental Solution tritonal explosive from these be the design of all processes equipment highest resale value material. | b. Nature of Firm's Responsibility Design of Process Equipment Global Environmental Solution Magna, Utah K. Farnsworth, 801-251-6262 Global Environmental Solutions (GES) has a contract for the demilital tritonal explosive from these bombs is being reclaimed for resale value the design of all processes equipment required to handle the molten of | b. Nature of Firm's Responsibility Design of Process Equipment Global Environmental Solution Magna, Utah K. Farnsworth, 801-251-6262 Global Environmental Solutions (GES) has a contract for the demilitarization of M11 tritonal explosive from these bombs is being reclaimed for resale value. EDE is assist the design of all processes equipment required to handle the molten explosive, and highest resale value material. Complex engineering and explosive safety analysis is | b. Nature of Firm's Responsibility Design of Process Equipment Global Environmental Solution Magna, Utah K. Farnsworth, 801-251-6262 Global Environmental Solutions (GES) has a contract for the demilitarization of M117 bombs. The tritonal explosive from these bombs is being reclaimed for resale value. EDE is assisting GES with the design of all processes equipment required to handle the molten explosive, and process it for highest resale value material. Complex engineering and explosive safety analysis is required for |

| C. Project Owner's Name & Address Date (actual or laction was firm was/is | a. Project Name & Location | b. Nature of Firm's Responsibility | and Project Manager's Name & | d. Completion | e. Estimated C | ost (in thousands |
|---|--|---|---|------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|
| hazardous waste incinerator S. Berry, 619-632-5638 EDE is contracted by NTS to provide the design and environmental permitting for a hazardous waste incinerator to be located in Camden, Arkansas. This incinerator will be used to process obsolete lithium batteries for a Navy contract, and then be converted to a commercial PEP waste incinerator. EDE's responsibility includes providing the design, RCRA Part B permit application, | | | | Date (actual or | Entire | Work for which |
| waste incinerator to be located in Camden, Arkansas. This incinerator will be used to process obsolete lithium batteries for a Navy contract, and then be converted to a commercial PEP waste incinerator. EDE's responsibility includes providing the design, RCRA Part B permit application, | 59. NTS Incinerator Design and Permitting Camden, Arkansas | hazardous waste | Camden, AR | 06/96 | 150 | 150 |
| | | waste incinerator to be located obsolete lithium batteries for a incinerator. EDE's responsibil | in Camden, Arkansas. This incinerate Navy contract, and then be converted | r will be used to a commerci | to process al PEP waste | |

| a. Project Name & Location | b. Nature of Firm's Responsibility | c. Project Owner's Name & Address and Project Manager's Name & Phone Number | d. Completion Date (actual or estimated) | e. Estimated C | ost (in thousands |
|--|--|---|--|--|---|
| | | | | Entire Project | Work for whic firm was/is responsible |
| 160. Incinerator Training Bluff Arsenal, Arkansas | Incinerator training | U.S. Army Pine Bluff Arsenal, Arkansas | 12/96 | 70 | 70 |
| | incineration facilities located at incinerator, a carbottom incine program covers each of these control systems. EDE is also p operation of these incinerators | vo 4-week training programs on the opt Pine Bluff, Arkansas. These incinerate rator, a fluidized bed incinerator, and a incinerators, including all ancillary equivoroviding training on the environmental. As part of this training program, EDE uals, and training certifications. Traini | ors include a in afterburner. ipment and the regulations the prepared trains | otary kiln The training e pollution nat govern the ning plans, | ne e |
| | | | | STANDARD FORM | |

| a. Project Name & Location | b. Nature of Firm's Responsibility | and Project Manager's Name & | d. Completion | e. Estimated Co | ost (in thousands |
|---|--|--|--|-------------------|--|
| | | | Date (actual or estimated) | Entire Project | Work for which firm was/is responsible |
| 161. CWP Upgrade Mason & Hanger owa Army Ammunition Plant | Ammunition Plant. This incine responsibility is to design and computer control systems for the computer control systems. | Mason & Hanger Iowa Army Ammunition Plant J. Leeper, 319-753-7824 Improvements to the carbottom incineral rator is used to process PEP contaministall an afterburner, and to upgrade a the incinerator operation. EDE also is the would provide continuous emissions make incinerator operation. | ated wastes. nd replace the o design and p | EDE's e entire | 400 |

| a. Project Name & Location | b. Nature of Firm's Responsibility | and Project Manager's Name & | d. Completion | e. Estimated Co | ost (in thousands |
|--|--|--|----------------------------------|-------------------|--|
| | | | Date (actual or estimated) | Entire Project | Work for whice firm was/is responsible |
| 164. Air Pollution Control System Design and RCRA Subpart X Permit Madisonville, Kentucky | Air Pollution Control Design and Subpart X Permitting | Donovan Demolition Danvers, Illinois J. Donovan, 309-963-4425 | 06/96 | 1,000 | 400 |
| | This facility utilizes contained of pollution control system for the | acting a demilitarization facility for obsorbed detonation chambers. EDE's responsible ese chambers, and to prepare the RCR modeling and risk assessments. | oility was to de | sign the air | |
| | | | | STANDARD FORM | |

| a. Project Name & Location | b. Nature of Firm's Responsibility | c. Project Owner's Name & Address and Project Manager's Name & Phone Number | d. Completion | e. Estimated C | ost (in thousands |
|--|---|--|------------------------------------|-------------------|--|
| | | | Date (actual or estimated) | Entire Project | Work for which firm was/is responsible |
| 34. Explosive Waste cineration adisonville, Kentucky | Ensign-Bickford's energetic was development, permitting, fabric | Ensign-Bickford Co. Kentucky J. Coderre, 203-843-2630 provide an incinerator system capable astes. This included analysis of the wacation, construction, installation, and standling and toxic gas scrubbing and pol | ste stream, des artup of the sy | sign | 1,500 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| a. Project Name & Location | b. Nature of Firm's Responsibility | c. Project Owner's Name & Address and Project Manager's Name & Phone Number | d. Completion | e. Estimated Co | st (in thousands |
|---|--|---|---|---|---|
| | | | Date (actual or estimated) | Entire Project | Work for whic firm was/is responsible |
| 143. Remediation of Explosive Contaminated Soils Childersburg AAP | the project to excavate and inc from the former Alabama Army Engineering's responsibility to | Hazard Analysis ysis | rom ditches, a abama. It was k included pre | nd building sites El Dorado paring formal | 200 |

| 47. Remediation of Sites at Savanna Army Depot and Childersburg AAP | b. Nature of Firm's Responsibility Site Investigation, Excavate & Incinerate Contaminated Sites - Weston Services Inc. was contacted to provide | c. Project Owner's Name & Address and Project Manager's Name & Phone Number Weston Services 1 Weston Way West Chester, PA 19380 J. Irey, 215-430-7318 | d. Completion Pate (actual or estimated) | Entire Project | Work for whic firm was/is responsible |
|---|--|--|---|--|---|
| Savanna Army Depot and | - Weston Services Inc. was con | 1 Weston Way West Chester, PA 19380 J. Irey, 215-430-7318 | 12/95 | 30,000 | 700 |
| | EDE was contracted to provide | | | | |
| | methodology for the unique recoprovided UXO sweeps, and oth project. All plans received DO - EDE developed the methodol concentrations to make the soin methodology for removing und explosive charges to cut the pithrough the use of a subcontral Weston Services. - EDE designed and installed protected operators from poter pipelines and blending of soils. - For this project, EDE provide. - Preliminary Hazard Analysis. - Operating and Support Hazard Subsystem Hazard Analysis. - System Hazard Analysis. - EDE provided on-site explosition. The project offered unique challed the support offered unique challed the support requiring personner. | ard Analysis | hazards with the hazard exists do hazard exists. This reparting exists and hazard explosive and hazard exists of explosive and hazard exists of the reparting exists. | ower the ower the equired using alled. EDE, plosives for es. Shields e contaminated materials. | 1 |

| | b. Nature of Firm's Responsibility | | d. Completion | e. Estimated Co | st (in thousands |
|---|--|---|--|--|---|
| a. Project Name & Location | | c. Project Owner's Name & Address and Project Manager's Name & Phone Number | Date (actual or estimated) | Entire Project | Work for whic firm was/is responsible |
| 037. Engineer Services Tooele Army Depot, Utah | Engineering Services for Support of Chem Demilitarization | Huntsville Corps of Engineers Robbie Bailey, 205-955-1472 | 12/94 | 3,000 | 3,000 |
| | CAMDS. Work includes machinazardous waste disposal, pol Sample delivery orders included Design of a robotics system for requirements for robots at CAM EDE was tasked to do a major below ground tanks at CAMDS sampling, leak testing, corrosing of this single task order were \$-EDE was tasked to provide a distribution system EDE performed submittals for RD&D permit. EDE performed - EDE developed a design to eand design of charcoal filter under the CAMDS site toxic ventilation. | study, design, and specification for pro- r RCRA Subpart J and responses to no l a design of the feed systems for incine liminate fugitive emissions from the ha nits for toxic ventilation. specifications for a projectile nose close d to fit in around existing equipment in a port and a technical data package to up | n control, compass. perature insulation-proof electrice transent of all above and | uter analyses, ated duct work. cal porter containe ground and facilities, soil ineering costs aporizers, and cies for RCRA incinerators system. erating bay. | r. |

| a. Project Name & Location | b. Nature of Firm's Responsibility | c. Project Owner's Name & Address and Project Manager's Name & Phone Number | d. Completion | e. Estimated Co | st (in thousands |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | Date (actual or estimated) | Entire Project | Work for which firm was/is responsible |
| 23. Energetic Material reatment Mesa, Arizona | of energetic wastes and exhau design and develop vessels to and temperatures resulting fro parameters for the full scale sy | TRW Mesa, Arizona M. Gardner, 602-396-1238 It, construct, and install a system for const the products to a pollution control sy contain the ignition of propellants and methics ignition. A prototype unit was devicem. EDE also participated in the preem includes building lighting and power | stem. This re be resistant to signed and te paration of a | quires EDE to overpressures sted to obtain | |

| h Nation of Finals Davis and Hillian | c. Project Owner's Name & Address | d. Completion Date | | The second secon |
|--|---|---|---|--|
| b. Nature of Firm's Responsibility | c. Project Owner's Name & Address and Project Manager's Name & Phone Number | (actual or estimated) | Entire Project | Work for whic firm was/is responsible |
| and propellants consisting of m systems. EDE was responsible hardware to complete the syste continuously circulated through | nultiple tanks, pumps, instrumentation, e for providing the controls and control em. The slurry injection system require h a closed loop system with the injectio | circulation, an panels, along es that the slur n systems tap | d injection with all ry be ped from the | 1,000 |
| | of a Slurry Feed System This project required EDE to do and propellants consisting of n systems. EDE was responsible hardware to complete the system continuously circulated through circulating lines. The system was system of the system of | of a Slurry Feed System J. Follin (619) 455-4405 This project required EDE to design and provide a complex slurry feed and propellants consisting of multiple tanks, pumps, instrumentation, systems. EDE was responsible for providing the controls and control hardware to complete the system. The slurry injection system require continuously circulated through a closed loop system with the injectio circulating lines. The system was delivered to GA Lubben, Germany, | of a Slurry Feed System J. Follin (619) 455-4405 This project required EDE to design and provide a complex slurry feed system for w and propellants consisting of multiple tanks, pumps, instrumentation, circulation, an systems. EDE was responsible for providing the controls and control panels, along hardware to complete the system. The slurry injection system requires that the slur continuously circulated through a closed loop system with the injection systems tap circulating lines. The system was delivered to GA Lubben, Germany, for use in the | of a Slurry Feed System J. Follin (619) 455-4405 This project required EDE to design and provide a complex slurry feed system for waste explosives and propellants consisting of multiple tanks, pumps, instrumentation, circulation, and injection systems. EDE was responsible for providing the controls and control panels, along with all hardware to complete the system. The slurry injection system requires that the slurry be continuously circulated through a closed loop system with the injection systems tapped from the circulating lines. The system was delivered to GA Lubben, Germany, for use in the demilitarization |

| a. Project Name & Location | b. Nature of Firm's Responsibility | c. Project Owner's Name & Address and Project Manager's Name & Phone Number | d. Completion Date (actual or estimated) | e. Estimated Cost (in thousand | | |
|--|--|--|---|--|---|--|
| | | | | Entire Project | Work for whic firm was/is responsible | |
| 039. Design of a Pilot Plant or Separation & Recovery of Plastic Bonded Explosives | Design of a Pilot Plant | Naval Weapons Support Center Crane, Indiana | 12/93 | 2400 | 2400 | |
| | plastic bonded explosives. The construction of a small pilot plate analysis is required for each of demonstrate feasibility. | ot plant to use solvents to extract explois project includes laboratory testing, beant and the design of a first of a kind fulperation. EDE will design and constructions and fabricated an automated main mix it with solvents. | ench scale tes I size pilot plai It and operate | sting, design and nt. A hazards the pilot plant to | | |
| | | | | | | |

| | b. Nature of Firm's Responsibility | and Project Manager's Name & | d. Completion e. | Estimated Cos | st (in thousands |
|--|---|------------------------------|--|---|---|
| a. Project Name & Location | | | Date (actual or estimated) | Entire Project | Work for whic firm was/is responsible |
| 15. Remediation of Lagoons at Savanna Army Depot | EDE was contracted to provided prepared site safety plans, SO methodology for the unique recognition project. All plans received DO - EDE developed the methodo concentrations to make the soin methodology for removing und explosive charges to cut the pithrough the use of a subcontral Weston Services. - EDE designed and installed protected operators from poter pipelines and blending of soils. - For this project, EDE provide. - Preliminary Hazard Analy. - Subsystem Hazard Analy. - System Hazard Analysis. - EDE provided on-site explos. - The project offered unique of the lagoons requiring personner. | azard Analysis | aspects of this per design of proces hazards with the hazard exists dutions in soils to lead not been installed in the regarding expect model backhoes to including: | project. EDE edures and is project. EDE iring the ower the ed the quired using alled. EDE, plosives for es. Shields e contaminated materials. | |

| a. Project Name & Location | b. Nature of Firm's Responsibility | c. Project Owner's Name & Address and Project Manager's Name & Phone Number | d. Completion | e. Estimated Cost (in thousand | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| | | | Date (actual or estimated) | Entire Project | Work for which firm was/is responsible | |
| 130. Munitions Disposal Facility, Rotary Kiln System Dresden, Germany | all accompanying feed system and munitions. The project incomaterials, installation, supervisivere: Deactivation Furnace Retorts Duct Work Feed and Discharge Convey Positive Feed System Control Panels Instrumentation Gas Piping Electrical Motors and Wiring Design data was provided for panalysis documents, operation | | e disposal of v cation, and sh ajor elements in Final design dr control plan w | vaste explosive ipment of all n the system awings, design as provided. | s | |

| | b. Nature of Firm's Responsibility | c. Project Owner's Name & Address and Project Manager's Name & Phone Number | d. Completion e | . Estimated Co | st (in thousands | |
|--|--|---|--|--|---|--|
| a. Project Name & Location | | | Date (actual or estimated) | Entire Project | Work for whic firm was/is responsible | |
| 133. RCRA Part B Subpart X Permitting Camden, Arkansas | explosives and propellant was Arkansas regulatory authorities EDE also provided soil sampling and groundwater monitoring. EDE was also tasked to develop EDE prepared sampling plans analyses of results. EDE provided all coordination with results and provided all coordination with results. | op the plans to close and decontaminate for soil, surface water, and groundwate ided all sampling and analyses for explor four burn pits and cost estimates for coassessed over 10 potential CERCLA regulatory authorities during these efforblems in that ammonium perchlorate has | ptable to the Steluding burn pair modeling, risk e the old facilities of for old facilities osives, trace to closure. EDE peand RCRA sites to. | ate of ate of ate of as and pads. assessments, es. es and provided xic metals, erformed ans. EDE | | |

| a. Project Name & Location | b. Nature of Firm's Responsibility | c. Project Owner's Name & Address and Project Manager's Name & Phone Number | d Completion | e. Estimated Cost (in thousand | |
|--|--|--|--|-------------------------------------|--|
| | | | Date (actual or estimated) | Entire Project | Work for which firm was/is responsible |
| 129. Environmental Support for Navy Large Rocket Motor Disposal, Arlington, VA | Planning Compliance With Enviornmental Regulations | Vitro Corp. 2121 Crystal Drive Arlington, VA 22202 B. Koutris, 703-418-8057 | 10/92 | 40 | 40 |
| | environmental regulations in re develop a detailed ten year pro requirements that the facility w | DE was tasked to assist the Navy in pla egard to the disposal of large rocket mo ogram schedule, and to delineate all of rould be required to meet. EDE was fur based upon those requirements and a facilities. | otors. EDE was the environmenther tasked to | s tasked to ntal perform site | |
| | | | | 07440400 F00H | 255 PAGE 9 (REV. 11- |

| a. Project Name & Location | b. Nature of Firm's Responsibility | c. Project Owner's Name & Address and Project Manager's Name & | d. Completion | e. Estimated Co | st (in thousands |
|--|---|---|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|
| | | | Date (actual or estimated) | Entire Project | Work for which firm was/is responsible |
| 103. Environmental Permitting & Development of Procedures For Disposal of Expl. Wastes | Thermal Treatment of Explosive Wastes | Rockwell Canoga Park, CA B. Kephart, 818-773-5314 | 08/91 | 200 | 200 |
| | Transportation regulations for design, develop, and fabricate | ree related projects. The first was to ex off-site shipment of explosive waste pro a thermal treatment unit that would coll. The third task was to provide air mode reatment of explosive wastes. | ducts. The s | econd was to ses and provide | |
| | | | | STANDARD FORM | |

| a. Project Name & Location | b. Nature of Firm's Responsibility | c. Project Owner's Name & Address and Project Manager's Name & Phone Number | d. Completion e | e. Estimated Cost (in thousand | |
|--|--|--|--|---|--|
| | | | Date (actual or estimated) | Entire Project | Work for which firm was/is responsible |
| 1,13. RCRA Permitting and Air Modeling of Open Burning Grounds | Air Modeling | Thiokol Brigham City, Utah | 11/91 | 66.5 | 66.5 |
| | This includes development of s materials, modeling the combu conditions. Subpart X support included the description of the site, all conta site's air conditions included eair based on the modeling resu | ort including air modeling for Thiokol's sizing and combustion scenarios of operation and downwind dispersion under vertechnical description of the open burnainment devices, and the operating paraxisting air conditions and the impact of olds. Also included was the evaluation of the dispersion of the open burnaities are conditionally and the impact of olds. Also included was the evaluation of the open burnaities are conditionally and the impact of the groundwater and surficient impact to the groundwater and groundwater a | en burning of 1.1 various meteoro ling operation in ameters. Description of the control of the c | and 1.3 ological ocluding ription of the | |
| | | | | STANDARD FORM | |

| a. Project Name & Location | b. Nature of Firm's Responsibility | and Project Manager's Name & | d. Completion | e. Estimated C | ost (in thousands |
|--|------------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|-------------------|--|
| | | | Date (actual or estimated) | Entire Project | Work for which firm was/is responsible |
| 125. Modeling of Surface Deflagrations Involving Air Bag Propellants | Model Downwind Hazards | TRW Safety Systems Mesa, Arizona | 06/91 | 15 | 15 |
| | deflagration involving air bag p | o model the downwind hazards in the upropellants using the PCAD computer nand day time scenarios to determine exion levels. | nodel. Modelii | ng was | |
| | | | | | 1 255 PAGE 9 (REV. 11-9 |

| a. Project Name & Location | b. Nature of Firm's Responsibility | | a. completion | | |
|--|---|--|--|---|--|
| | b. Nature of Firm's Responsibility | c. Project Owner's Name & Address and Project Manager's Name & Phone Number | Date (actual or estimated) | Entire Project | Work for which firm was/is responsible |
| 26. Modeling of Anticipated ctivities for Consolidating hillips Lab. at Kirtland | Air Emissions | Kirtland Air Force Base Kirtland Air Force Base, NM | 08/91 | 5 | 5 |
| | conditions. Based on these as EDE predicted peak and time of aluminum oxide. Distances to two inadvertent accidents during used by the Air Force to complete for further risk analysis and high required on a rush turn-around week period. EDE, therefore, | in size from 15 to 30,000 pounds. EDI is sumptions and combustion information weighted average maximum downwind these peak concentrations were also ping the firing resulting in a detonation. Fare to existing environmental standard phlight any immediate problems, if obsit basis for a study being accomplished was called and contracted to provide the performed follow-up verbal reporting volt this effort. | n available in the concentrations or ovided. EDE a These modeling s to determine received. This projude a committee the services the services the services and concentrations. | EDE library, for HCl and lso evaluated results were equirements ect was during a one ame week as | |

| a. Project Name & Location | b. Nature of Firm's Responsibility | c. Project Owner's Name & Address and Project Manager's Name & Phone Number | d. Completion | e. Estimated Co | st (in thousands |
|--|--|---|----------------------------------|-------------------|---|
| | | | Date (actual or estimated) | Entire Project | Work for whic firm was/is responsible |
| 106. Air Emissions Support Studies and Testing Thiokol, Utah | Air Emissions Testing | NASA/Sverdrup Stennis Space Center | 12/90 | 45 | 45 |
| | support of NASA environmenta from burning 1.3 million pound | testing and modeling for the shuttle boo al studies. This work also included a st is of propellant in two minutes. Investig the dispersion of these tall plumes. | udy of the tall | plume resulting | |
| | | | | | |

| a. Project Name & Location | b. Nature of Firm's Responsibility | c. Project Owner's Name & Address and Project Manager's Name & Phone Number | d. Completion | e. Estimated Cost (in thousa | |
|---|--|---|---|---|--|
| | | | Date (actual or estimated) | Entire Project | Work for which firm was/is responsible |
| 12. RCRA Part B Permit Applications for Incinerators Huntsville, AL | applications for 7 hazardous w below. These incinerators are requirements. EDE has visited | - Indiana k epot - Kentucky | Army installa th the latest F I the require s | 200 rt B Permit tions listed ICRA ite specific | 50 |

| a. Project Name & Location | and | | d. Completion | e. Estimated Co | ost (in thousands |
|---|--|---|---|---|---|
| | | c. Project Owner's Name & Address and Project Manager's Name & Phone Number | Date (actual or estimated) | Entire Project | Work for whic firm was/is responsible |
| 17. Explosive Solvation/ Surry Skid Hawthorne, Nevada | Design and Fabrication of Transportable Skid | Weston Services West Chester, PA 19380 J. Irey, 215-430-7318 | 10/90 | 150 | 150 |
| | explosives as fuels. The concurrence wastes. EDE designed and fall these solutions with fuel oil. The concurrence was a supplemental to the concur | This system was used to test the nove ept offers a positive energy recovery for pricated two tanks for dissolving the ex- his system was complete with all necest vation, blending, and pumping to a fired varte, etc. | r the disposal plosive, and o sary controls | of explosive ne for blending and safety | |

| a. Project Name & Location | b. Nature of Firm's Responsibility | and Project Manager's Name & | d. Completion | e. Estimated Co | st (in thousands |
|--|---|---|---|---|--|
| | | | Date (actual or estimated) | Entire Project | Work for whice firm was/is responsible |
| 023. Model of Open Burning of Explosives | predict products of combustion ordnance and explosives. The iterations at Hercules Bacchus EDE performed emissions test agreement was found between introduce a tracer gas in the plant. | ing on 9 open burns and 7 static firings the model and actual emissions tests. | ion for open build measurements of rocket mot | orning of interest and model ors. Excellent ped a method to | 294 |

| a. Project Name & Location | b. Nature of Firm's Responsibility | c. Project Owner's Name & Address and Project Manager's Name & Phone Number | | . Estimated C | ost (in thousands |
|-----------------------------|---|---|--|---|--|
| | | | Date (actual or estimated) | Entire Project | Work for which firm was/is responsible |
| 033. Prepare Part B Permits | Anniston Army Depot Aberdeen Proving Ground Lexington Blue Grass Army II Pine Bluff Arsenal Umatilla Depot Activity Newport Army Ammunition P Pueblo Depot Activity Tooele Army Depot Preparation of the project incluplans. The work also includes work is specific to Chemical Addisposal sites or regional and rof the entire process for existing demilitarization including partic This project provides an in-depagent demil. | Plant Ided hazardous waste incinerators, pe a Research and Development permit a gent Munition Demil Operation. Studie national disposal sites. Tasks require and all future planned testing for checular emphasis on incinerators, materioth knowledge base line of the state-of | application prepa s included optio in-depth process emical munitions al flow, and was -the-art and futu | aration. The ns for separat s descriptions te generation. re chemical | е |

| a. Project Name & Location | | No. 200 Section From Section 1 | d. Completion | e. Estimated Co | ost (in thousands |
|--|---|---|-------------------------------------|-------------------|--|
| | b. Nature of Firm's Responsibility | c. Project Owner's Name & Address and Project Manager's Name & Phone Number | Date (actual or estimated) | Entire Project | Work for which firm was/is responsible |
| 99. Carbottom Incinerator or Military Wastes ine Bluff Arsenal | Design of a Carbottom Incinerator | Pine Bluff Arsenal Pine Bluff, Arkansas | 12/89 | 200 | 200 |
| | military wastes at Pine Bluff. T exceeded all of the requiremen | carbottom incinerator that was specific he carbottom incinerator was fabricate its of Pine Bluff Arsenal. The incineration as the incineration of combustible was | ed, installed, ar or was capable | nd met or | |
| | | | | | 255 PAGE 9 (REV. 11- |

| a. Project Name & Location | b. Nature of Firm's Responsibility | | d. Completion e. | Estimated C | ost (in thousands |
|--|--|--|----------------------------------|-------------------|--|
| | | c. Project Owner's Name & Address and Project Manager's Name & Phone Number | Date (actual or estimated) | Entire Project | Work for which firm was/is responsible |
| 093. DuPont Incinerator Pompton Lakes, NJ | Design of a Hazardous Waste Incinerator | DuPont Pompton Lakes, NJ | 01/88 | 1,200 | 1,200 |
| | | ncinerator to dispose of hazardous was it DuPont Pompton Lakes works. Desi ontrol equipment. | | | |
| | | | | STANDARD FORM | |

| | a. Project Name & Location | | | d. Completion | e. Estimated Cost (in thousands | | |
|--|--|--|---|--------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|--|
| The project consisted of turn-key design and construction of a carbottom incinerator for the disposal of wastes with potential contamination of explosives and/or military chemicals such as tear gas, phosphorus, etc. The engineering was performed by El Dorado Engineering Inc., and the | | | c. Project Owner's Name & Address and Project Manager's Name & Phone Number | Date (actual or | Entire | Work for which firm was/is | |
| of wastes with potential contamination of explosives and/or military chemicals such as tear gas, phosphorus, etc. The engineering was performed by El Dorado Engineering Inc., and the | 085. Design and Construction of Carbottom Incinerator Pine Bluff Arsenal | Design & Construction of Carbottom Incinerator | Pine Bluff Arsenal Pine Bluff, Arkansas | 12/88 | 185 | 185 | |
| | | of wastes with potential contant phosphorus, etc. The enginee | nination of explosives and/or military cl ring was performed by El Dorado Engir | nemicals such | as tear gas, | | |

| a. Project Name & Location | a | | d. Completion | e. Estimated Cost (in thousands | | |
|--|--|--|--|---|--|--|
| | | c. Project Owner's Name & Address and Project Manager's Name & Phone Number | Date (actual or estimated) | Entire Project | Work for which firm was/is responsible | |
| 004. Design of an Explosive Contaminated Waste Incineration Facility | Architecutre and Engineering Design Services | Mason & Hanger Pantex Plant, E. Highway 60 Amarillo, Texas Archie Ruggles, 806-381-3338 | 12/88 | 1,000 | 1,000 | |
| | management of an explosive we the site, paving and drainage, the process equipment design contaminated materials. This pollution control system, and the explosive contaminated metal settling in liquid lines and for put the project consisted of three the phase I - Preliminary Design Phase II - Final Design Phase III - Construction management of the site, paving and inspection the site, paving and drainage, the site of the site | phases: agement including verification of install of contractor installed facility structure (A environmental (Part B) and air perm | d bringing off-s rer. nerator for exp uid feed system narging system quired to keep ation contractors and equipment | ite utilities to losive n, the air is used to flash explosive from r conformance ent. | | |
| | | | | STANDARD FORM | 255 PAGE 9 (REV. 11 | |

| a. Project Name & Location | b. Nature of Firm's Responsibility | | d. Completion | e. Estimated Co | st (in thousands |
|-------------------------------|---|--|--|---|--|
| | | c. Project Owner's Name & Address and Project Manager's Name & Phone Number | Date (actual or estimated) | Entire Project | Work for which firm was/is responsible |
| 05. Part B Permit dercules | Part B Permits | Hercules Magna, Utah | 07/87 | 340 | 150 |
| | Bacchus in Magna, Utah. The surface impoundments, seven contaminated waste burning. In processes and closure plans for this project included the devel waste operations and explosive analysis from burning pads, growaste explosives. The project was expanded to in impoundments. EDE provided EDE also did a study and preliming shipment of RDX and HMX. Also included was an evaluation waste water treatment. EDE is preparing policy and growaste water treatment. EDE is preparing policy and growaste water treatment. | copment of closure procedures for nitro e burning grounds, surface water run o oundwater migration, and in situ treatment a formal literature search for this task minary design for the treatment of wasten of NG manufacturing waste waters a sidance documents for all PEP (propells at Hercules Bacchus Works. This income | ing grounds, he areas, and explication areas, and explored in sumport of analysis, nent and biode intoptions to element options to element options to element options associated as ample of Hercules. Also in the control of the contr | azardous waste plosive tment s, hazardous residue gradation of liminate surface ciated with the concepts for es, ling and | |

| a. Project Name & Location | b. Nature of Firm's Responsibility | | d Completion | e. Estimated Cost (in thousands | | |
|--|--|--|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|
| | | c. Project Owner's Name & Address and Project Manager's Name & Phone Number | Date (actual or estimated) | Entire Project | Work for which firm was/is responsible | |
| 083. Incineration Feasibility Study | Incineration Feasibility Study | Aerojet Sacramento, CA | 05/87 | 200 | 200 | |
| | candidate incineration technology processing Aerojet wastes. Prepare test plans and conductions are conducted to the conduction of the co | cineration technology and incineration ogy for the Aerojet waste streams. Prost testing. Design the incineration facilities equipment. Perform construction managements. | vide technical | feasibility for | | |
| | | | | OTANDARD FORM | 255 PAGE 9 (REV. 11- | |

| a. Project Name & Location | b. Nature of Firm's Responsibility | | d. Completion | e. Estimated Cost (in thousands | |
|-------------------------------|--|--|---|--|--|
| | | c. Project Owner's Name & Address and Project Manager's Name & Phone Number | Date (actual or estimated) | Entire Project | Work for which firm was/is responsible |
| D81. Incineration Feasibility | wastes produced by Honeywel incinerators, rotary kilns, wet a design parameters and layout, systems, and environmental arburning that also included comproducts of combustion. EDE prepared a feasibility study | Honeywell LAP Facility Joliet Army Ammunition Plant Joliet, IL J. Johnson, 612-936-3107 dy and concept design for the incinerati I at Joliet AAP. EDE investigated intra iir oxidation, and multiple hearth furnace feed system analyses, barricade analy- nalyses and cost analyses. A similar si- puter modeling and prediction of off-site dy and developed a concept to pretreat a deactivation furnace. This includes a nearing operation. | red furnaces, forces. Study incluives, air pollution to the dispersion of 30MM projecti | luidized bed udes furnace on control med for open open burning | 75 |

| a. Project Name & Location | b. Nature of Firm's Responsibility | | | e. Estimated C | ost (in thousands |
|---|--|---|----------------------------------|-------------------|--|
| | | c. Project Owner's Name & Address and Project Manager's Name & Phone Number | Date (actual or estimated) | Entire Project | Work for which firm was/is responsible |
| 02. Provide Feed Chutes and Ducting for BZ Disposal Fac. Pine Bluff, AR | into a deactivation furnace. Pr agent leakage. Fabrication of | | eliminate the | possibility of | 175 |

| a. Project Name & Location | b. Nature of Firm's Responsibility | c. Project Owner's Name & Address and Project Manager's Name & | d. Completion | e. Estimated Cost (in thousand | |
|------------------------------------|---|--|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| | | | Date (actual or estimated) | Entire Project | Work for whice firm was/is responsible |
| 031. Design of Blast Chamber Cells | This project was initiated beca operator breathing air and office | Crane Naval Weapons Center Crane, Indiana D. Scales, 812-854-3505 Indiana The ventilation system for conducting explored to the conducting explored to the conducting explored to the conducting explored to the chamber and all instruments. | test cell conta | minating | 55 or |
| | testing down to .1 torr. The facility consisted of two se area, and instrumentation area | parate test cells and chambers, a vacult. r collection and disposal of the hazard | um equipmen | t room, work | |

| a. Project Name & Location | | | d Completion | e. Estimated Co | ost (in thousands |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| | b. Nature of Firm's Responsibility | c. Project Owner's Name & Address and Project Manager's Name & Phone Number | Date (actual or estimated) | Entire Project | Work for whice firm was/is responsible |
| 003. Explosive & Explosive Contaminated Waste Processors | 8 years, included providing, insturnaces in some facilities instand explosive contaminated was project included projects in the Also included was a white phosand phosphoric acid manufact manufacturing plant. This syst saleable product was manufacted was manufacted by the context of the cont | Processor ystem | system for 123 explosive wast lled, tested, an acilities, 16 loc a 1236 deactive and novel scru technique for F s. Facilities are AD D y D AD AD | 6 deactivation e incinerators d started. The ations in all. vation furnace bbing acid 2205 wherein a | 4,084 |

| a. Project Name & Location | | c. Project Owner's Name & Address and Project Manager's Name & Phone Number | d. Completion | e. Estimated Co | st (in thousands |
|---|---|---|----------------------------------|-------------------|--|
| | b. Nature of Firm's Responsibility | | Date (actual or estimated) | Entire Project | Work for which firm was/is responsible |
| 028. Hazardous Waste Permits Huntsville COE | Develop Part B Permits | Huntsville COE Huntsville, AL | 02/84 | 340 | 81.5 |
| | As a subcontractor to CH2M Hill, develop Part B permits for Army hazardous waste disposal facilities in the State of Texas. Includes explosives waste incinerator, trial burn plan and field testing, and sampling and monitoring. As a subcontractor to CH2M Hill, develop permits for Army hazardous waste disposal facilities in the State of Virginia. Radford Army Ammunition Plant, operated by Hercules, has a wide variety of hazardous waste operations including the slurry explosive waste incinerator. As a subcontractor to Gutierrez, Smouse, and Wilmut & Associates, develop Part B permits for Army explosive waste incinerator facilities in the State of Louisiana. EDE prepared the Trial Burn Exemption Request which was the first one the Corps of Engineers has ever approved. The Louisiana Army Ammunition Plant is operated by Thiokol. EDE developed the closure plan for each of the explosive waste incineration facilities for each of these sites. | | | | |
| | | | | STANDARD FORM 2 | |

| a. Project Name & Location | b. Nature of Firm's Responsibility | c. Project Owner's Name & Address and Project Manager's Name & Phone Number | d. Completion Date (actual or estimated) | e. Estimated C | ost (in thousands |
|--|--|---|---|-------------------|--|
| | | | | Entire Project | Work for which firm was/is responsible |
| 024. Solvent Extraction of Navy PBX Explosives | Testing on Solvation of PBX Explosives | Crane Naval Weapons Center | 01/83 | 20 | 20 |
| | | D. Burch, 812-854-3505 | | | |
| | explosives of bench scale solv | olvents and solvent mixtures and labor | atory modeline | g with actual | |
| | | | | | |

| a. Project Name & Location | b. Nature of Firm's Responsibility | c. Project Owner's Name & Address and Project Manager's Name & Phone Number | d. Completion Date (actual or estimated) | e. Estimated Cost (in thousands | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | Entire Project | Work for which firm was/is responsible |
| 008. Ordnance Disposal Facility Indian Head, MD | engineering analysis of Ordnan existing storage and treatment Ordnance Station. Work include existing facilities and recomment that facility. Facilities evaluate incinerators, including all air postudy included identifying alter | U.S. Navy Naval Facilities Engr. Cmd. Washington, D.C. G. Bergen, 314-421-1476 r to Booker Associates of St. Louis, MC nce Hazardous Waste Disposal Facilitie facility for hazardous materials and wa les evaluation of existing facilities, iden endation for new facilities to handle 100 ed included contaminated waste proces collution control systems and feed prepar | es. Engineeringstes at Indian tification of me of hazardon sors and exploration. | ng analyses of Head Naval odification to us materials at osive waste | 85 |
| | The study also included a deta impact and potential contaminate Performance - Project complete an outstanding rating by Navy. Results - Evaluation of existing | iled analyses of open burning regarding ation. ted with funding. All deliverables in con | formance to s | chedule. Given | |