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## Disclaimer

The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) through its Office of Research and Development funded and managed the research described here. It has been peer reviewed by the EPA and approved for publication. Mention of trade names or commercial products does not constitute endorsement or recommendation by the EPA for use.

ProUCL software was developed by Lockheed Martin under a contract with the EPA and is made available through the EPA Technical Support Center in Las Vegas, Nevada.

Use of any portion of ProUCL that does not comply with the ProUCL User Guide is not recommended.

ProUCL contains embedded licensed software. Any modification of the ProUCL source code may violate the embedded licensed software agreements and is expressly forbidden.

ProUCL software provided by the EPA was scanned with McAfee VirusScan v4.5.1 SP1 and is certified free of viruses.

With respect to ProUCL distributed software and documentation, neither the EPA nor any of their employees, assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed. Furthermore, software and documentation are supplied "as-is" without guarantee or warranty, expressed or implied, including without limitation, any warranty of merchantability or fitness for a specific purpose.

## Executive Summary

Exposure assessment and cleanup decisions in support of U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) projects are often made based upon the mean concentrations of the contaminants of potential concern. A $95 \%$ upper confidence limit ( $U C L$ ) of the unknown population arithmetic mean $(A M)$, $\mu_{1}$, is often used to:

- Estimate the exposure point concentration (EPC) term,
- Determine the attainment of cleanup standards,
- Estimate background level mean contaminant concentrations, or
- Compare the soil concentrations with site specific soil screening levels.

It is important to compute a reliable, conservative, and stable $95 \% U C L$ of the population mean using the available data. The $95 \% U C L$ should approximately provide the $95 \%$ coverage for the unknown population mean, $\mu_{1}$.

The EPA has issued guidance for calculating the $U C L$ of the unknown population mean for hazardous waste sites, and ProUCL software has been developed to compute an appropriate $95 \%$ $U C L$ of the unknown population mean. All $U C L$ computation methods contained in the EPA guidance documents are available in ProUCL, Version 3.0. Additionally, ProUCL, Version 3.0 can also compute a $95 \% U C L$ of the mean based upon the gamma distribution, which is better suited to model positively skewed environmental data sets. ProUCL tests for normality, lognormality, and a gamma distribution of the data set, and computes a conservative and stable $95 \% U C L$ of the unknown population mean, $\mu_{1}$. It should be emphasized that the computation of an appropriate $95 \% U C L$ is based upon the assumption that the data set under study consists of observations only from a single population.

Several parametric and distribution-free non-parametric methods are included in ProUCL. The $U C L$ computation methods in ProUCL cover a wide range of skewed data distributions arising from the various environmental applications. For lognormally distributed data sets, the use of Land's H-statistic many times yields unrealistically large and impractical UCL values. This occurrence is prevalent when the sample size is small and standard deviation of the logtransformed data is large. Gamma distribution has been incorporated in ProUCL to model these types of positively skewed data sets. Singh, Singh, and Iaci (2002b) observed that a $U C L$ of the mean based upon a gamma distribution results in reliable and stable values of practical merit. It is always desirable to test if an environmental data set follows a gamma distribution. For data sets (of all sizes) which follow a gamma distribution, the EPC term should be computed using an adjusted gamma $U C L$ (when $0.1 \leq \mathrm{k}<0.5$ ) of the mean or an approximate gamma $U C L$ (when k $\geq 0.5$ ) of the mean. These $U C L s$ approximately provide the specified $95 \%$ coverage to the population mean, $\mu_{1}$ of a gamma distribution. For values of $\mathrm{k}<0.1$, a $95 \% U C L$ may be obtained using the bootstrap-t method or Hall's bootstrap method when the sample size is small ( $\mathrm{n}<15$ ), and for larger samples, a $U C L$ of the mean should be computed using the adjusted or approximate gamma $U C L$.

## Introduction

The computation of a ( $1-\alpha$ ) $100 \%$ upper confidence limit $(U C L)$ of the population mean depends upon the data distribution. Typically, environmental data are positively skewed, and a default lognormal distribution (EPA, 1992) is often used to model such data distributions. The Hstatistic based Land's (Land 1971, 1975) H-UCL of the mean is used in these applications. Hardin and Gilbert (1993), Singh, Singh, and Engelhardt (1997,1999), Schultz and Griffin,1999, Singh et al. (2002a), and Singh, Singh, and Iaci (2002b) pointed out several problems associated with the use of the lognormal distribution and the $H-U C L$ of the population $A M$. In practice, for lognormal data sets with high standard deviation $(s d), \sigma$, of the natural log-transformed data (e.g., $\sigma$ exceeding 2.0), the $H-U C L$ can become unacceptably large, exceeding the $95 \%$ and $99 \%$ data quantiles, and even the maximum observed concentration, by orders of magnitude (Singh, Singh, and Engelhardt, 1997). This is especially true for skewed data sets of smaller sizes (e.g., $\mathrm{n}<50$ ).
The $H-U C L$ is also very sensitive to a few low or high values. For example, the addition of a sample with below detection limit measurement can cause the $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{UCL}$ to increase by a large amount (Singh, Singh, and Iaci, 2002b). Realizing that use of the H-statistic can result in unreasonably large $U C L$, it is recommended (EPA, 1992) to use the maximum observed value as an estimate of the $U C L$ (EPC term) in cases where the $H-U C L$ exceeds the maximum observed value. Recently, Singh, Singh and Iaci (2002b), and Singh and Singh (2003) studied the computation of the UCLs based upon a gamma distribution and several non-parametric bootstrap methods. Those methods have also been incorporated in ProUCL Version 3.0. ProUCL Version 3.0 contains fifteen $U C L$ computation methods; five are parametric and ten are nonparametric. The non-parametric methods do not depend upon any of the data distributions.

Both lognormal and gamma distributions can be used to model positively skewed data sets. It should be noted that it is difficult to distinguish between a lognormal and a gamma distribution, especially when the sample size is small (e.g., n $<50$ ). Singh, Singh, and Iaci (2002b) observed that the $U C L$ based upon a gamma distribution results in reliable and stable values of practical merit. It is therefore always desirable to test if an environmental data set follows a gamma distribution. For data sets (of all sizes) which follow a gamma distribution, the EPC term should be computed using an adjusted gamma $U C L$ (when $0.1 \leq \mathrm{k}<0.5$ ) of the mean or an approximate gamma $U C L$ (when $\mathrm{k} \geq 0.5$ ) of the mean as these $U C L s$ approximately provide the specified $95 \%$ coverage to the population mean, $\mu_{1}=k \theta$ of a gamma distribution. For values of $\mathrm{k}<0.1$, a $95 \%$ UCL may be obtained using bootstrap-t method or Hall's bootstrap method when the sample size is small ( $\mathrm{n}<15$ ), and for larger samples a $U C L$ of the mean should be computed using the adjusted or approximate gamma $U C L$. For this application, k is the shape parameter of a gamma distribution. It should be noted that both bootstrap-t and Hall's bootstrap methods sometimes result in erratic, inflated, and unstable $U C L$ values especially in the presence of outliers. Therefore, these two methods should be used with caution. The user should examine the various $U C L$ results and determine if the $U C L s$ based upon the bootstrap-t and Hall's
bootstrap methods represent reasonable and reliable $U C L$ values of practical merit. If the results based upon these two methods are much higher than the rest of methods (except for the UCLs based upon lognormal distribution), then this could be an indication of erratic $U C L$ values. In case these two bootstrap methods yield erratic and inflated $U C L s$, the $U C L$ of the mean should be computed using the adjusted or the approximate gamma $U C L$ computation method for highly skewed gamma distributed data sets of small sizes.

ProUCL tests for normality, lognormality, and gamma distribution of a data set, and computes a conservative and stable $95 \% U C L$ of the population mean, $\mu_{1}$. It should be emphasized that throughout this User Guide, and in the ProUCL software, it is assumed that one is dealing with a single population. If multiple populations (e.g., background and site data mixed together) are present, it is recommended to separate them out (e.g., using other statistical population partitioning techniques), and respective appropriate $95 \%$ UCLs should be computed for each of the identified populations. Also, outliers if any should be identified and thoroughly investigated. Outliers when present distort all statistics (mean, UCLs etc.) of interest. Decisions about their exclusion (or inclusion) in the data set used to compute the EPC term should be made by all parties involved (e.g., EPA, local agencies, potentially responsible party etc.). The critical values of Anderson-Darling test statistic and Kolmogorov-Smirnov test statistic to test for gamma distribution were generated using Monte Carlo simulation experiments. These critical values are tabulated in Appendix B for various values of the level of significance. Singh, Singh, and Engelhardt (1997,1999), Singh, Singh, and Iaci (2002b), and Singh and Singh (2003) studied several parametric and non-parametric $U C L$ computation methods which have been included in ProUCL. Most of the mathematical algorithms and formulas used in the development of ProUCL to compute the various statistics are summarized in Appendix A. For details, the user is referred to Singh, Singh, and Iaci (2002b), and Singh and Singh (2003). ProUCL computes the various summary statistics for raw, as well as log-transformed data. ProUCL defines logtransform $(\log )$ as the natural logarithm (ln) to the base e. ProUCL also computes the maximum likelihood estimates (MLEs) and the minimum variance unbiased estimates (MVUEs) of various unknown population parameters of normal, lognormal, and gamma distributions. This, of course, depends upon the underlying data distribution. Based upon the data distribution, ProUCL computes the (1- $\alpha$ ) $100 \%$ UCLs of the unknown population mean, $\mu_{1}$ using five parametric and ten non-parametric methods.

The five parametric $U C L$ computation methods include:

1. Student's-t $U C L$,
2. approximate gamma $U C L$ using chi-square approximation,
3. adjusted gamma $U C L$ (adjusted for level significance),
4. Land's $H-U C L$, and
5. Chebyshev inequality based $U C L$ (using MVUEs of parameters of a lognormal distribution).

The ten non-parametric methods included in ProUCL are:

1. the central limit theorem ( $C L T$ ) based $U C L$,
2. modified-t statistic (adjusted for skewness) bases $U C L$,
3. adjusted-CLT (adjusted for skewness) based $U C L$,
4. Chebyshev inequality based $U C L$ (using sample mean and sample standard deviation),
5. Jackknife method based $U C L$,
6. $U C L$ based upon standard bootstrap,
7. $U C L$ based upon percentile bootstrap,
8. $U C L$ based upon bias - corrected accelerated (BCA) bootstrap,
9. $U C L$ based upon bootstrap-t, and
10. $U C L$ based upon Hall's bootstrap.

An extensive comparison of these methods has been performed by Singh and Singh (2003) using Monte Carlo simulation experiments. It is well known that the Jackknife method (with sample mean as an estimator) and Student's-t method yield identical $U C L$ values. However, a typical user may be unaware of this fact. It has been suggested that a $95 \% U C L$ based upon the Jackknife method may provide adequate coverage to the population mean of skewed distributions, which of course is not true (just like a $U C L$ based upon the Student's-t statistic). For the benefit of all ProUCL users, it was decided to retain the Jackknife $U C L$ computation method in ProUCL.

The standard bootstrap and the percentile bootstrap $U C L$ computation methods do not perform well (do not provide adequate coverage to population mean) for skewed data sets. For skewed distributions, the bootstrap-t and Hall's bootstrap (meant to adjust for skewness) methods do perform better (in terms of coverage for the population mean) than the various other bootstrap methods. However, it has been noted (e.g., see Singh, Singh, and Iaci (2002b), Singh and Singh (2003)) that these two bootstrap methods sometimes yield erratic and inflated $U C L$ values (orders of magnitude higher than the other $U C L s$ ). This is especially true when outliers may be present in a data set. Therefore, whenever applicable (e.g., based upon the findings of Singh and Singh (2003)), ProUCL provides a caution statement regarding the use of these two bootstrap methods. ProUCL software provides warning messages whenever the use of these methods is recommended. However, for the sake of completeness, all of the parametric as well as nonparametric methods have been included in ProUCL.

The use of some other methods (e.g., bias-corrected accelerated bootstrap method) that were not included in ProUCL Version 2.1 was suggested by some practitioners due to opinions that these omitted methods may perform better than the various other methods already incorporated in ProUCL. In order to satisfy all users, ProUCL Version 3.0 has several additional UCL computation methods which were not included in ProUCL, Version 2.1.

This User Guide contains software installation instructions and brief descriptions for each window in the ProUCL software menu. A short glossary of terms used in this document and in the ProUCL program is also provided.

Three appendices listed as follows provide additional information and details of the various methods and references used in the development of ProUCL Version 3.0.

- Appendix A is a discussion of the methods incorporated into ProUCL for calculating the exposure point concentration term using the various methods and distributions. Appendix A represents a stand-alone technical writeup of the various methods incorporated in ProUCL and is provided for review by statistically advanced users. There is duplication between some of the information provided in the main body of the User Guide and Appendix A. This duplication is intentional since Appendix A is designed to be a stand-alone technical discussion of the methods incorporated into ProUCL.
- Appendix B contains the tables of the critical values of the Anderson-Darling Test statistic and Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test statistic for gamma distribution for various levels of significance.
- Appendix C has the graphs from Singh and Singh (2003) showing coverage comparisons (achieved confidence coefficient) for the various $U C L$ computation methods for normal, gamma, and lognormal distributions as incorporated in ProUCL software package.


## Should the Maximum Observed Concentration be Used as an Estimate of the EPC Term?

Singh and Singh (2003) also included the Max Test (using the maximum observed value as an estimate of the EPC term) in their simulation studies. In the past (e.g., EPA 1992 RAGS Document), the use of the maximum observed value has been recommended as a default value to estimate the EPC term when a $95 \% U C L$ (e.g., the $H-U C L$ ) exceeded the maximum value. However, (e.g., EPA 1992), only two $95 \%$ UCL computation methods, namely: the Student's- t UCL and Land's H-UCL were used to estimate the EPC term. Today, ProUCL, Version 3.0 can compute a $95 \% U C L$ of the mean using several methods based upon normal, gamma, lognormal, and non-parametric distributions. Thus, ProUCL, Version 3.0 has about fifteen $95 \% U C L$ computation methods, at least one of which (depending upon skewness and data distribution) can be used to compute an appropriate estimate of the EPC term. Furthermore, since the EPC term represents the average exposure contracted by an individual over an exposure area (EA) during a long period of time, therefore, the EPC term should be estimated by using an average value (such as an appropriate $95 \% U C L$ of the mean) and not by the maximum observed concentration. With the availability of the $U C L$ computation methods, the developers of ProUCL Version 3.0 do not consider it necessary to use the maximum observed value as an estimate of the EPC term. Singh and Singh (2003) also noted that for skewed data sets of small sizes (e.g., $\mathrm{n}<10-20$ ), the Max Test does not provide the specified $95 \%$ coverage to the population mean, and for larger data sets, it overestimates the EPC term. This can also viewed in the graphs presented in Appendix
C. Also, for the skewed distributions (gamma, lognormal) considered, the maximum value is not a sufficient statistic for the unknown population mean. The use of the maximum value as an estimate of the EPC term ignores most (except for the maximum value) of the information contained in a data set. It is, therefore not desirable to use the maximum observed value as estimate of the EPC term representing average exposure to an individual over an EA.

It should also be noted that for highly skewed data sets, the sample mean may exceed the upper $90 \%, 95 \%$, etc. percentiles, and consequently, a $95 \% U C L$ of the mean can exceed the maximum observed value of a data set. This is especially true when one is dealing with highly skewed lognormally distributed data sets of small sizes. For such highly skewed data sets which can not be modeled by a gamma distribution, a $95 \% U C L$ of the mean should be computed using an appropriate non-parametric method. These observations are summarized in Tables 1-3 of this User Guide.

Alternatively, for such highly skewed data sets, other measures of central tendency such as the median (or some higher order quantile such as $70 \%$ etc.) and its upper confidence limit may be considered. The EPA and all other interested agencies and parties need to come to an agreement on the use of median and its $U C L$ to estimate the EPC term. However, the use of the sample median and/or its $U C L$ as estimates of the EPC term needs further research and investigation.

## It is recommended that the maximum observed value NOT be used as an estimate of the

EPC term. For the sake of interested users, the ProUCL displays a warning message when the recommended $95 \% U C L$ (e.g., Hall's bootstrap $U C L$ etc.) of the mean exceeds the observed maximum concentration. For such cases (when a $95 \% U C L$ does exceed the maximum observed value), if applicable, an alternative $95 \% U C L$ computation method is recommended by ProUCL.

## Handling of Non-Detects

ProUCL does not handle left-censored data sets with non-detects, which are inevitable in many environmental applications. All parametric as well as non-parametric recommendations (as summarized in Tables 1-3) to compute the mean, standard deviation, $95 \%$ UCLs and all other statistics computed by ProUCL are based upon full data sets without censoring. It should be noted that for mild to moderate number of non-detects (e.g., $<15 \%$ ), one may use the commonly used $1 / 2$ detection limit ( $1 / 2 \mathrm{DL}$ ) proxy method to compute the various statistics. However, the proxy methods should be used cautiously, especially when one is dealing with lognormally distributed data sets. For lognormally distributed data sets of small sizes, even a single value -small (e.g., obtained after replacing the non-detects by $1 / 2 \mathrm{DL}$ ) or large (e.g., an outlier) can have a drastic influence (can yield an unrealistically large $95 \% U C L$ ) on the value of the associated Land's $95 \%$ UCL. The issue of estimating the mean, standard deviation, and an appropriate $95 \%$ $U C L$ of the mean based upon left-censored data sets with varying degrees of censoring (e.g., $15 \%-50 \%, 50 \%-75 \%$, greater than $75 \%$ etc.) is currently under investigation.

## Installation Instructions

- Caution: If you have previous versions of the ProUCL which were installed, you should remove or rename the directory in which that version is currently located.
- Download the file SETUP.EXE from the EPA website and save to a temporary location.
$\bullet$-Run the SETUP.EXE program. This will create a ProUCL directory and two folders; USER GUIDE and the DATA (sample data).
- DTo run the program, use Windows Explorer to locate the ProUCL application file and double click on it, or use the RUN command from the start menu to locate and run ProUCL.exe.
- To uninstall the program, use Windows Explorer to locate and delete the ProUCL folder.


## Minimum Hardware Requirements

- Intel Pentium 200MHz
- 12 MB of hard drive space
- $\square 48$ MB of memory (RAM)
- [CD-ROM drive
- WWindows 98 or newer. ProUCL was thoroughly tested on NT-4, Windows 2000, and Windows XP operating systems. Limited testing has been conducted on Windows ME.


## A. ProUCL Menu Structure

ProUCL contains a pull-down menu structure, similar to a typical Windows program.
The screen below appears when the program is executed.


The following menu options appear on the screen

1. File
2. View
3. Help

The options available with these menu items are described on the following pages.

## 1. File

Click on the File menu item to reveal these drop-down menu options.


The following File drop-down menu options are available:

- New option: creates new spreadsheet.
- DOpen option: browses the disk for a file. The browse program will start in the working directory if a directory has been set.
- WWorking directory option: select and set a working directory.

Note: A file from the directory must be selected before setting the directory. All subsequent files are read from and saved in the chosen working directory.

- ПPrint Setup option: sets printer options. For example, one can choose the landscape format.
- ПClick on a previously used file to re-open that file.
- DExit option: exits ProUCL.


## 2. View

Click on the View menu item to reveal these drop-down options.


The following View drop-down menu options are available:

- Toolbar: the Toolbar is that row of symbols immediately below the menu items. Clicking on this option toggles the display. This is useful if the user wants to view more data on the screen.
- पStatus Bar: the Status Bar is the wide bar at the bottom of the screen which displays helpful information. Clicking on this option toggles the display. This is useful if the user wants to view more data on the screen.


## 3. Help

Click on the Help menu item to reveal these drop-down options.


The following Help drop-down menu options are available:

- DHelp Topics: help topics have not been developed for Version 3.0.
- $\square$ About ProUCL: displays the software version number.


## B. ProUCL Components

The following menu structure of ProUCL appears after opening or creating a data file.


The following menu items are available.

1. File
2. Edit
3. View
4. Options
5. Summary Statistics
6. Histogram
7. Goodness-of-Fit Tests
8. UCLs
9. Window
10. Help

The options available with these menu items are described on the following pages.

## 1. File

Click on the File menu item to reveal these drop-down options.


The following File drop-down menu options are available:

- \New option: opens a blank spreadsheet screen.
- DOpen option: browses the disk and selects a file which is then opened in spreadsheet format. The browse program will start in the working directory if a working directory has been set.

Recognized input format options:

| Excel | *.xls |
| :--- | :--- |
| Text | *.txt (tab delimited) |
| Lotus | *.wk? |
| Lotus | *.123 |
| Default | *.* will be read in Excel format. |

- $\square$ Close option: closes the active window.
- $\square$ Save As option: allows the user to save the active window. This option follows the Windows standard and saves the active window to a file in Excel 95 (or higher) format. All modified/edited data files, and output screens generated by the software, can be saved in Excel 95 (or higher) format.
- DWorking directory option: selects and sets a working directory for all I/O operations. All subsequent files are read from and saved in the working directory. You must select a file before you set the working directory.
- DPrint option: sends the active window to the printer.
- DPrint Preview option: displays a preview of the output on the screen.
- DPrint Setup option: follows Windows standard. The user can choose the landscape format under this option.
- DPreviously opened files: click on a previously used file to re-open that file.
- DExit option: exits ProUCL.

NOTE: All subsequent screens and examples in this User Guide use the spreadsheets given by track.xls and Cdelv1.xls to illustrate the various goodness-of-fit test procedures and the UCL computation methods as incorporated in the software ProUCL, Version 3.0.

## 1a. Input File Format

- Data in each column must end with a non-zero value. The last non-zero entry in each column is considered as the end of that column's data. If your data column ends with a zero value, that last zero value will be ignored. This may require you to move observations around if your column ends with zero values.
- DThe program can read tab delimited Text (ASCII), Excel, and Lotus files.
- Columns in a Text (ASCII) file should be separated by one tab. Spaces between columns are not allowed in this format.
- All input data files should have column labels in the first row and numerical data without text (e.g., non-numeric characters and blank values) for those variables in the remaining rows.
- DThe data file can have multiple variables (columns) with unequal number of observations.
- Non-numeric text may only appear in the header row (first row) of each column. All other non-numeric data (blank, other characters, and strings) appearing elsewhere in the data file are treated as zero entries. The user should make sure that his data set does not contain such non-numeric values.
- A large value, such as $1 \mathrm{E} 31\left(1 \times 10^{31}\right)$, can be used for missing (alpha numeric text or blank values) data. All entries with this value are ignored from the computations.
- Note that all other zero data (in the beginning or middle of a data column) are treated as valid zero values.
- $\square$ ProUCL does not handle the left-censored data sets with non-detects which are inevitable in environmental applications. All parametric as well as non-parametric recommendations made by ProUCL are based upon full data sets without censoring. The issue of estimating the mean, standard deviation, and a $95 \%$ UCL of the mean based upon left-censored data sets with varying degrees of censoring is currently under investigation. For mild to moderate number of non-detects (e.g., $<15 \%$ ), one may use the commonly used $1 / 2$ detection limit (DL) proxy method. However, the proxy methods should be used cautiously, especially when one is dealing with lognormally distributed data sets. For lognormally distributed data sets of small sizes, a single value, whether small (e.g., obtained after replacing the non-detect by $1 / 2 \mathrm{DL}$ ) or large (e.g., an outlier), can have a drastic influence (can yield an unrealistically large $95 \% \mathrm{UCL}$ ) on the value of the associated Land's $95 \%$ UCL.


## 1b. Result of Opening an Input Data File

- DThe data screen follows the standard Windows design. It can be resized, or portions of data can be viewed using scroll bars.
- Note that scroll bars appear when the window is activated and the title bar is highlighted.



## 2. Edit

Click on the Edit menu item to reveal the following drop-down options.


The following Edit drop-down menu options are available:

- DErase option: used to remove the highlighted portion of the data. Note that the erased data is not written to any buffer and cannot be recovered. Therefore, when data is erased, it is gone.
- ПCopy option: similar to a standard Windows Edit option, such as in Excel. It performs typical edit functions of identifying highlighted data (similar to a buffer).
- DPaste option: similar to a standard Windows Edit option, such as in Excel. It performs typical edit functions of pasting data identified (highlighted) to the current spreadsheet cell.
- DThere is no Cut option available in ProUCL because there is no actual buffer available in the commercial software(s) used in the development of ProUCL software.


## 3. View

Click on the View menu item to reveal these drop-down options.


The following View drop-down menu options are available:

- DToolbar: the Toolbar is that row of symbols immediately below the menu items. Clicking on this option toggles the display. This is useful if the user wants to view more data on the screen.
- DStatus Bar: the Status Bar is the wide bar at the bottom of the screen which displays helpful information. Clicking on this option toggles the display. This is useful if the user wants to view more data on the screen.


## 4. Options

Click on the Options menu item to reveal these drop-down options.


Currently, Set Data is the only drop-down menu option available.

- $\square$ Set Data option: resets the active portion of the data window. The program examines the active spreadsheet and selects default values representing the first row of data (row 2), the last row which contains data (dependent upon actual data), the leftmost column (typically column 1) where data and text occur, and the rightmost column (dependent upon actual data) where data and text occur.

NOTE: Caution should be exercised when varying from the default values. If values other than the default values are used, calculation errors may result. Therefore, it is recommended to avoid the use of the Set Data option.

- DThe user can pre-process the data outside of the ProUCL software by using a separate spreadsheet program, such as Excel. Pre-processing the data outside of ProUCL will eliminate the need to use the Set Data option.


## 4a. The Data Location Screen

The following Data location screen appears when Set Data option is executed.

Please specify the location of data

| Top row | 2 | Leftmost column | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bottom row | 153 | Rightmost column | 9 |
|  | OK |  |  |

- It is recommended to use the default settings for the data screen. This means that all of the data will be processed.
- Caution: Highlighting a portion of the spreadsheet before invoking the Set Data option may sometimes cause unpredicted results.
- Caution: Blank cells in the top data row may confuse the automatic sizing algorithm. The user can avoid this problem by re-setting the Rightmost column value using this option.
- TThe first row in the spreadsheet contains the alphanumeric text (column headings), not data.
- The default Top row of data is row 2. This value can be changed to process a subset of the data in the spreadsheet.
- DThe default Bottom row is the last row in the spreadsheet which contains nonzero data. This value can be changed to process a subset of the data in the spreadsheet.
- The selected data must correspond to the same columns as the text in the first row. The Leftmost column value (column number) cannot be changed by the user.
- DThe Rightmost column number can be changed by the user. Note that you must have a column of data for the selected Rightmost column.


## 5. Summary Statistics

- This option computes general summary statistics for all variables in the data file.
- DTwo Choices are available:

Raw data (the default option)
Log-transformed data (Natural logarithm)

- \In ProUCL, Log-transformation means natural logarithm (ln).
- When computing summary statistics for raw data, a message will be displayed for each variable that contains non-numeric values.
- TThe Summary Statistics option computes log-transformed data only if all of the data values for the selected variable are positive real numbers. A message will be displayed if nonnumeric characters, zero, or negative values are found in the column corresponding to the selected variable.


## 5a. Summary Statistics Menu

Click on the Summary Statistics menu item to reveal the following drop-down option.

| 55. Proucl Version 3.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square \square$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| File Edit View Options Summary Statistics |  |  |  | Histogram | Goodness-of-Fit Tests |  | UCLs Window | w Help |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9] C:WroUCL Dataktrack.xls |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square \square$ |
|  | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H |
| 1 | Al | As | Cr | Co | Fe | Mn | Se St | Sl Z |
| 2 | 12600 | 6.8 | 22.4 | 18.1 | 39800 | 501 | 0.315 | 0.055 |
| 3 | 14000 | 7 | 32 | 19.5 | 45100 | 574 | 1 | 0.115 |

When the user clicks on the
Compute option button, the window on the right appears.

Summary $\underline{x}$

$\square$
Compute $\square$

- Select your data choice, and click on the Compute button to continue or on the Cancel button to cancel the summary operations.
- DThe results screen follows the standard Windows design. It can be edited, widened, printed, resized, or scrolled.
- DThe resulting Summary Statistics screen can be saved as an Excel file. Right double click on the screen for additional save options.


## 5b. Results Obtained Using the Summary Statistics Option



On the results screen, the following summary statistics are displayed for each variable in the data file:
$\checkmark$ NumObs $=$ Number of Observations
$\checkmark$ Minimum $=$ Minimum value
$\checkmark$ Maximum $=$ Maximum value
$\checkmark$ Mean $=$ Average value
$\checkmark$ Median $=$ Median value
$\checkmark \mathrm{Sd}=$ Standard Deviation
$\checkmark \mathrm{CV}=$ Coefficient of Variation
$\checkmark$ Skewness $=$ Skewness statistic
$\checkmark$ Variance $=$ Variance statistic

These summary statistics are described in detail in Appendix A.

## 5c. Printing Summary Statistics

- TThe summary statistics results and all other results can be printed by clicking the Print option under the menu item File. It is recommended that these statistics be printed in landscape format which is available under the Print Setup option.


## 6. Histogram

- TThis option produces a histogram for the selected variable in the data file.
- For data sets with more than one variable, the user should select a variable first. The histogram is computed and displayed for each selected variable, one variable at a time.
- By default, the program selects the first variable.
- The user specifies if the data should be transformed.
- The default choice is to display the histogram for raw data.
- DTwo Choices are available:
- Raw data (the default option)
- Log-transformed data (natural logarithm, ln)
- The user can select the number of bins for the histogram.
- The default number of bins is 15 .
- Note that in order to display and capture the best histogram window, the user may want to maximize the window before printing.


## 6a. Histogram Screen

- Click on the Histogram menu item and then click on the Draw Histogram option.

| Fft. ProUCL Version 3.0 |  |  |  | $\square \square$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| File Edit View Options Summary Statistics |  |  |  | Histogram Goodness-of-Fit Tests |  |  | UCLs Window Help |  |
|  |  |  |  | Draw Histogram |  |  |  |  |
| C:YProUCL Dataktrack. Ol (s |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square \square$ |
|  | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H |
| 1 | A | As | Cr | Co | Fe | Mn | Se | Sl |
| 2 | 12600 | 6.8 | 22.4 | 18.1 | 39800 | 501 | 0.315 | 0.055 |
| 3 | 14000 | 7 | 32 | 19.5 | 45100 | 574 | 1 | 0.115 |



- DSelect Raw data or Log-transformed data.
$-\square$ You can change the number of bins to be used in the histogram.
- DSelect a variable and then hit the display key to view the histogram for the selected variable.


## 6b. Results of Histogram Option



- DThe Histogram window shown above has been resized for display and reflects the use of default values displayed in Section 6a (Histogram Screen).
- YYou may close the window by using normal windows operations or click on the Close window button at the bottom left corner of the screen.
- DThe histogram can be printed or copied by clicking on the right button on the mouse.
- Caution: A right click of the mouse will have options other than print and save. These options may function but are NOT recommended due to the program disruption that may occur. Use these other options only at your own risk!


## 7. Goodness-of-Fit Tests

- पSeveral goodness-of-fit tests are available in ProUCL which are described in Appendix A.
- DThroughout this User Guide, and in ProUCL, it is assumed that the user is dealing with a single population. If multiple populations are present, it is recommended to separate them out (using other statistical techniques). Appropriate tests and statistics (e.g., Goodness-of-fit tests, $95 \% U C L s$ ) should be computed separately for each of the identified populations. Also, outliers if any should be identified and thoroughly investigated. The presence of outliers distort all statistics including the UCLs. Decisions about their inclusion (or exclusion) from the data set to be used to compute the $U C L s$ should be made by all parties involved.
- DFor data sets with more than one variable, the user should select a variable first. The data distribution is tested using an appropriate goodness-of-fit test and the associated results are displayed for the selected variable, one variable at a time.
- By default, the program selects the first variable.
- TThis option tests for normal, gamma, or lognormal distribution of the selected variable.
- TThe user specifies the distribution (normal, gamma, or lognormal) to be tested.
- TThe user specifies the level of significance. Three choices are available for the level of significance: $0.01,0.05$, or 0.1 .
- The default choice for level of significance is 0.05 .
- DProUCL displays a Quantile-Quantile (Q-Q) plot for the selected variable (or the logtransformed variable). A Q-Q plot can be generated for each of the three distributions.
- DThe linear pattern displayed by the Q-Q plot suggests approximate goodness-of-fit for the selected distribution.
- The program computes the intercept, slope, and the correlation coefficient for the linear pattern displayed by the Q-Q plot. A high value of the correlation coefficient (e.g., >0.95) is an indication of approximate goodness-of-fit for that distribution. Note that these statistics are displayed on the Q-Q plot.
- ПOn this graph, observations that are well separated from the bulk (central part) of the data typically are potential outliers needing further investigation.
- DSignificant and obvious jumps in a Q-Q plot (for any distribution) are indication of the presence of more than one population which should be partitioned out before estimating an EPC Term. It is strongly recommended that both graphical and formal goodness-of fit tests should be used on the same data set to determine the distribution of the data set under study.
- In addition to the graphical normal and lognormal Q-Q plot, two more powerful methods are also available to test the normality or lognormality of the data set:
- Lilliefors Test: a test typically used for samples of larger size ( $>50$ ). When the sample size is greater than 50, the program defaults to the Lilliefors test. However, note that the Lilliefors test is available for samples of all sizes. There is no applicable upper limit for sample size for the Lilliefors test.
- Shapiro and Wilk W-Test: a test used for samples of smaller size ( $<50$ ). W-Test is available only for samples of size 50 or less.
- It should be noted that sometimes, these two tests may lead to different conclusions. Therefore, the user should exercise caution interpreting the results.
- In addition to the graphical gamma Q-Q plot, two more powerful Empirical Distribution Function (EDF) procedures are also available to test the gamma distribution of the data set. These are the Anderson-Darling Test and the Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test.
- It should be noted that these two tests may also lead to different conclusions. Therefore, the user should exercise caution interpreting the results.
- These two tests may be used for samples of size in the range 4-2500. Also, for these two tests, the value of $k$ ( $k$ hat) should lie in the interval [0.01,100.0]. Consult Appendix A for detailed description of k. Extrapolation beyond these sample sizes and values of k is not recommended.
- $\square$ ProUCL computes the relevant test statistic and the associated critical value, and prints them on the associated Q-Q plot. On this Q-Q plot, the program informs the user if the data are gamma, normally, or lognormally distributed. It highly recommended not to skip the use of graphical Q-Q plot to determine the data distribution as a Q-Q plot also provides the useful information about the presence of multiple populations and/or outliers.
- The Q-Q plot can be printed or copied by clicking on the right button on the mouse.
- Note: In order to capture the entire graph window, the user should maximize the window before printing.


## 7a．Goodness－of－Fit Tests Screen

－Click on the Goodness－of－Fit Tests menu item and a drop－down menu list will appear as shown in the screen below：

| 59．ProUCL Version 3.0 －［C：MProUCL Wataktrack．xls］ |  |  |  |  | $\square \square$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ［0］File Edit View Options Summary Statistics Histogram |  |  |  |  | Goodness－of－Fit Tests UCLs Wi |  |  | Window Help－ a |  |
|  | 云品鸮 |  | Q |  |  | Normality |  |  |  |
|  | A | B | C | D |  | orm Gamma Te |  | H | 1 |
| 1 | Al | As | Cr | Co | Fe | Tश1I | J | SI | Zn |
| 2 | 12600 | 6.8 | 22.4 | 18.1 | 39800 | 501 | 0.315 | 0.055 | 46.3 |
| 3 | 14000 | 7 | 32 | 19.5 | 45100 | 574 | 1 | 0.115 | 45.4 |
| 4 | 14900 | 5.1 | 22.7 | 17.6 | 37600 | 368 | 0.17 | 0.055 | 61.2 |
| 5 | 14100 | 6.15 | 24.55 | 20.6 | 40450 | 671 | 0.488 | 0.123 | 48.3 |
| 6 | 9510 | 5.3 | 17 | 17.3 | 26500 | 1120 | 0.4 | 0.05 | 37.5 |
| 7 | 9110 | 4.2 | 24.8 | 14.7 | 38600 | 759 | 0.5 | 0.12 | 36.5 |
| 8 | 13900 | 6.9 | 17.4 | 21.2 | 42700 | 727 | 0.34 | ， | 68.7 |
| 9 | 21300 | 7 | 28.2 | 14 | 41000 | 409 | 1.1 | 0.125 | 55 |
| 10 | 9110 | 4.4 | 21 | 10.7 | 26700 | 434 | 0.45 | 0.06 | 42.6 |
| 11 | 14600 | 5.2 | 13.1 | 10.4 | 31300 | 586 | 0.8 | 0.11 | 54.3 |

－TTo test your variable for normality，click on Perform Normality Test from the drop－down menu list．
－To test your variable for lognormality，click on Perform Lognormality Test from the drop－ down menu list．
－To test your variable for gamma distribution，click on Perform Gamma Test from the drop－ down menu list．

## 7b. Result of Selecting Perform Normality Test Option

The following window will appear:


- Select a variable.
- DSelect a Level of Significance.
- ПClick on either Lilliefors Test or Shapiro-Wilk Test.


## 7c. Resulting Q-Q Plot Display to Perform Normality Test



- DThe Q-Q plot window shown above has been resized for display.
- TTwo different Q-Q plot windows are produced for each Normality test request. The first graph plots the raw data along the vertical axis, and the second plot (as shown above) uses the standardized data along the vertical axis. These two Q-Q plots convey the same information about the data distribution and potential outliers, and therefore they also look very similar, but they do represent two separate (not duplicate) plots. It is the user's preference to pick one of these two Q-Q plots to assess approximate normality of the data set under study.
- Right click on a graph to print or save that graph.
- Caution: A right click of the mouse will have options other than print and save. These options may function but are NOT recommended due to the program disruption that may occur. Use these other options only at your own risk!


## 7d. Result of Selecting Perform Lognormality Test Option

The following window will appear:


- Level of Significance
$\bigcirc 0.01$
© 0.05

C 0.10

Shapiro - Wilks Test

- Select a variable.
- Select a Level of Significance.
- ПClick on either Lilliefors Test or Shapiro-Wilk Test.


## 7e. Resulting Lognormal Q-Q Plot Display to Perform Lognormality Test



- DThe Q-Q plot window shown above has been resized for display.
- DTwo different Q-Q plot windows are produced for each Lognormality test request. The first plot uses the log-transformed data along the vertical axis, and the second plot (shown above) uses the standardized data. As mentioned before, these two plots provide the same information about the data distribution and potential outliers, but they do represent two separate (not duplicate) plots. The user can pick any of these two Q-Q plots to assess approximate lognormality of the data set under study.
- Right click on a graph to print or save that graph.
- Caution: As before, a right click of the mouse will have options other than print and save. These options may function but are NOT recommended due to the program disruption that may occur. Use these other options only at your own risk!


## 7f. Result of Selecting Perform Gamma Test Option

The following window will appear:

| Gamma Test |
| :---: |



- पSelect a variable.
- Select a Level of Significance.
- Click on either the Anderson - Darling Test or Kolmogorov - Smirnov Test.


## 7g. Resulting Gamma Q-Q Plot Display to Perform Gamma Test



- DThe Q-Q plot window shown above has been resized for display.
- DOnly one Q-Q plot window is produced for each Gamma test request: the display using the original raw data (as shown above).
- $\square$ Right click on the graph to print or save the graph.
- Caution: A right click of the mouse will have options other than print and save. These options may function but are NOT recommended due to the program disruption that may occur. Use these other options only at your own risk!


## 8. UCLs

- TThis option computes the UCLs for the selected variable.
- DThe program can compute UCLs using all available methods. For details regarding the various distributions and methods, refer to Appendix A.
- TThe user specifies the confidence level; a number in the interval [0.5, 1$), 0.5$ inclusive. The default choice is 0.95 .
- DThe program computes several non-parametric UCLs using the Central Limit Theorem, Chebyshev inequality, Jackknife, and the various Bootstrap methods.
- For the bootstrap method, the user can specify the number of bootstrap runs. The default choice for the number of bootstrap runs is 2000 .
- TThe user is responsible for selecting an appropriate choice for the data distribution: normal, gamma, lognormal, or non-parametric. The user determines the data distribution using the Goodness-of-Fit Test option prior to using the UCLs option. The UCLs option will also inform the user if the data are normal, gamma, lognormal, or non-parametric. The program computes relevant statistics depending on the user selection.
- DFor data sets which are not normal, one should try the gamma UCLs next. The program will offer you advice if you chose the wrong UCLs option.
- DFor data sets which are neither normal nor gamma, you should try the lognormal UCLs next. The program will offer you advice if you chose the wrong UCLs option.
- Data sets that are not normal, gamma, or lognormal are classified as non-parametric data sets. The user should use non-parametric UCLs option for such data sets. The program will offer you advice if you chose the wrong UCLs option.
- DFor lognormal data sets, ProUCL can compute only a $90 \%$ or a $95 \%$ Land's statistic based HUCL of the mean. For all other methods, ProUCL can compute a UCL for any confidence coefficient in the interval $[0.5,1.0), 0.5$ inclusive.
- IIf you have selected a proper distribution, ProUCL will provide a recommended UCL computation method for the 0.95 confidence coefficient. Even though ProUCL can compute UCLs for confidence coefficients in the interval $[0.5,1.0$ ), recommendations are provided only for $95 \%$ UCL computation methods as the EPC term is estimated by a $95 \%$ UCL of the mean.
- DProUCL can compute the H-UCL for sample sizes up to 1000 using the critical values as given by Land (1975).
- DFor lognormal data sets, ProUCL also computes the Maximum Likelihood Estimates (MLEs) of the population percentiles, and the minimum variance unbiased estimates (MVUEs) of the population mean, median, standard deviation, and the standard error (SE) of the mean. Note that for lognormally distributed background data sets, these MLEs of the population percentiles (e.g., $95 \%$ percentile) can be used as estimates of the background level threshold values.
- DThe detailed theory and formulas used to compute these gamma and lognormal statistics are given by Land (1971, 1975), Gilbert (1987), Singh, Singh, and Engelhardt (1997, 1999), Singh et al. (2002a), Singh et al. (2002b), and Singh and Singh (2003).
- FFormulas, methods, and cited references used in the development of ProUCL are summarized in Appendix A.


## 8a. UCLs Computation Screen

Click on the UCLs menu item and the drop down menu shown below will appear.

| Fft ProUCL Version 3.0 - [C:WrouCL Dataltrack.xls] |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square \square$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [0] File Edit View Options Summary Statistics Histogram Goodness-of-Fit Tests |  |  |  |  |  |  | UCLs Window Help - ${ }^{\text {a }} \times$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Compute UCLs Fixed Excel Format |  |  |
|  | A | B | C | D | E | F |  |  | 1 |
| 1 | Al | As | Cr | Co | Fe | Mn | Se | Sl | Zn |
| 2 | 12600 | 6.8 | 22.4 | 18.1 | 39800 | 501 | 0.315 | 0.055 | 46.3 |
| 3 | 14000 | 7 | 32 | 19.5 | 45100 | 574 | 1 | 0.115 | 45.4 |
| 4 | 14900 | 5.1 | 22.7 | 17.6 | 37600 | 368 | 0.17 | 0.055 | 61.2 |
| 5 | 14100 | 6.15 | 24.55 | 20.6 | 40450 | 671 | 0.488 | 0.123 | 48.3 |
| 6 | 9510 | 5.3 | 17 | 17.3 | 26500 | 1120 | 0.4 | 0.05 | 37.5 |
| 7 | 9110 | 4.2 | 24.8 | 14.7 | 38600 | 759 | 0.5 | 0.12 | 36.5 |
| 8 | 13900 | 6.9 | 17.4 | 21.2 | 42700 | 727 | 0.34 | 1 | 68.7 |
| 9 | 21300 | 7 | 28.2 | 14 | 41000 | 409 | 1.1 | 0.125 | 55 |
| 10 | 9110 | 4.4 | 21 | 10.7 | 26700 | 434 | 0.45 | 0.06 | 42.6 |
| 11 | 14600 | 5.2 | 13.1 | 10.4 | 31300 | 586 | 0.8 | 0.11 | 54.3 |
| 12 | 5270 | 26.2 | 85.8 | 24.5 | 13600 | 1060 | 100 | 35.7 | 95.3 |
| 13 | 14900 | 2.7 | 18.6 | 9.6 | 31500 | 950 | 0.265 | 0.12 | 53.7 |
| 14 | 14600 | 7.1 | 46.2 | 24.6 | 46200 | 1280 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 68.1 |
| 15 | 10400 | 5.15 | 16.25 | 18.45 | 29100 | 527.5 | 0.41 | 0.125 | 38.45 |
| 10 | Data¹7 | r | $\cdots$ |  | 2700 | 1010 | $0 \sim$ | 75 | -05 |

- The Compute UCLs option is intended for general use. It displays results in a format suitable for review by all users. The output results can be printed or saved for subsequent use. Saved results can be imported into other documents and reports.
- The Fixed Excel Format option produces a results screen that can be exported to another program written for production purposes. Therefore, UCL results are stored in specific cells and no attempt has been made to accommodate human review. These fixed format results are not formatted to be printed.


## 8b. Results After Clicking on Compute UCLs Drop-Down Menu Item

| Upper Confidence Limits |  | x |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Select Variables | - Select UCL Type |  |
| A | $\bigcirc$ Normal |  |
| Co | $\bigcirc$ Gamma |  |
| $\mathrm{Mn}_{\mathrm{Se}}$ | $\bigcirc$ Lognormal |  |
| Zn | $\bigcirc$ Non-Parametric |  |
| Confidence Coefficent [0.5, 1.0) | Number of Bootstrap Runs |  |
| 0.95 | 2000 |  |
| Compute UCLs | Cancel |  |

- Note that the UCLs are computed for one variable at a time. The user selects a variable from the variable list.
- DThe user may change the Confidence Coefficient (default is 0.95 ). The range allowed is between 0.5 and 1.0, 0.5 inclusive.
- DThe user may adjust the number of bootstrap runs (default is 2,000 ).
- TThe user selects one of the options: Normal, Gamma, Lognormal, Non-parametric, or All option. The All option is the default choice. The All option automatically determines the data distribution without checking for outliers and/or the presence of multiple populations.. It is highly recommended to verify the data distribution (for outliers and multiple populations) using an appropriate $Q-Q$ plot under the Goodness-of-Fit Tests option.
- DThe All option computes and displays the UCLs using all parametric and non-parametric methods available in ProUCL. Finally, the user clicks on the Compute UCLs button.


## 8c. Display After Selecting the Normal UCLs Option



- This data does not follow the normal distribution for the selected variable.
- The program notes that the data follow an approximate gamma distribution and suggests in blue that the user should try Gamma UCLs.
- This output spreadsheet is easily saved by using the Save As option under the File menu.
- Double right click on the UCL output spreadsheet to view a screen with more options to save, print, or write this output sheet to a file.


## 8d. Display After Selecting the Gamma UCLs Option



- DSave this output spreadsheet by using the Save As option under the File menu.
- DDouble right click on the UCL output spreadsheet to view a screen with more options to save, print, or write this output sheet to a file.


## 8e. Display After Selecting the Lognormal UCLs Option



- DUse the Print or Save As option under File menu or double right click on the UCL output spreadsheet to view a screen with more options to save, print, or write this output sheet to a file.


## 8f. Display After Selecting the Non-Parametric UCLs Option



- DThe program notes that the data follow an approximate gamma distribution, and suggests in blue that the user should try Gamma UCLs.
- DSave this output spreadsheet by using the Save As option under the File menu.
- Double right click on the UCL output spreadsheet to view a screen with more options to save, print, or write this output sheet to a file.


## 8g. Display After Selecting the All UCLs Option



For explanations of the methods and statistics used, refer to Appendix A.

- Use the Print or Save As option under File menu or double right click on the UCL output spreadsheet to view a screen with more options to save, print, or write this output to a file.


## 8h. Result After Clicking on Fixed Excel Format Drop-Down Menu Item



- Note that the UCLs are computed for one variable at a time. The user selects a variable from the variable list.
- DFor this Fixed Format option, the 0.95 Confidence Coefficient is used in all UCL computations.
- DThe user may adjust the number of bootstrap runs (default is 2,000 ).
- ПClick on the Compute UCLs button to display the results.
- DThis option will display all statistics computed by ProUCL for each of the three parametric distributions and also for all non-parametric methods including the five bootstrap methods.


## 8i. Results After Clicking the Fixed Excel Format Compute UCLs Button



- Note that the output is not sized to fit a printed page.
- TThis option can be omitted by all users who are not planning to import the ProUCL calculation results into some other software to automate the calculations of exposure point concentration terms. That is, all users who are not planning to use ProUCL as a production tool to produce UCLs for several variables and data files may skip the use of this option.
- DOn Fixed Format output spreadsheet, each row contains a single item description or calculated statistic.
- TThree primary columns contain information:
- Column A is a description of the various results and statistics.
- Column E contains all appropriate calculated results.
- Column G contains additional descriptive information as needed.
- Note that information from the primary columns (e.g., A, E, and G) may overflow into the columns to the right.
- DFor column E:
- N/A means that the calculation for the associated statistic is not available.
- N/R means that the calculations for the associated statistic may not be reliable.
- Row 15 displays YES if there are too few observations to calculate appropriate UCL statistics and displays NO if enough observations are available to compute all relevant statistics and UCLs.
- Row 35 displays AD GAMMA (if data are gamma distributed using A-D test) or NOT AD GAMMA (if data are not gamma distributed using A-D test) using the Anderson-Darling Gamma Test for 0.05 level of significance.
- Similarly, Row 38 displays KS GAMMA or NOT KS GAMMA using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov Gamma Test for 0.05 level of significance.
- As mentioned before, it should be noted that these two goodness-of-fit tests may lead to different conclusion (as is the case with other goodness-of-fit tests) about the data distribution. In that case, ProUCL leads to the conclusion that the data follow an approximate gamma distribution.
- Row 39 displays NOT GAMMA, APPROX GAMMA, or GAMMA depending on the results of the two Gamma goodness-of-fit tests.
- Row 52 displays LOGNORMAL or NOT LOGNORMAL depending on the result of the appropriate lognormality test for 0.05 level of significance.
- Row 86 displays YES if user inspection is recommended and displays NO if no potential problems requiring manual inspection needed with the selected variable.
- Row 87 displays NORMAL, GAMMA, LOGNORMAL, or NON-PARAMETRIC as the distribution used in determining $95 \%$ UCL computation recommendations.
- Row 88 displays a recommended UCL value to use as an estimate of the EPC term.
- Row 89 displays a second recommended UCL (e.g., use of either Hall's bootstrap or bootstrap-t method may be recommended on the same data set). These cells will be blank if only one UCL is recommended for the selected variable.
- Row 90 displays a third recommended UCL. These cells will be blank if only one or two UCLs are recommended for the selected variable.
- Row 91 displays YES if the recommended $95 \%$ UCL exceeds the maximum value in the data set.
- Row 92 displays PLEASE CHECK if the recommended bootstrap UCLs are subject to erratic or inflated values due to possible presence of outliers. Otherwise, row 92 displays NONE.
- Row 93 displays IN CASE if the recommended bootstrap UCL has an inflated value due to the presence of outliers. Otherwise, row 93 displays NONE.
- DFor column G:
- Row 88 displays the name of the recommended $95 \%$ UCL.
- Row 89 displays the name of the second recommended 95\% UCL. These cells will be blank if only one UCL is recommended for the selected variable.
- Row 90 displays the name of the third recommended $95 \%$ UCL. These cells will be left blank if only one UCL is recommended for the selected variable.
- Row 93 displays the name of the alternative UCL to utilize if the recommended bootstrap (e.g., bootstrap-t or Hall's bootstrap) $95 \%$ UCL has an inflated value due to presence of potential outliers.


## 9. Window

Click on the Window menu to reveal these drop-down options.


The following Window drop-down menu options are available:

- $\square$ New Window option: opens a blank spreadsheet window.
- Cascade option: arranges windows in a cascade format. This is similar to a typical Windows program option.
- Tile option: resizes each window and then displays all open windows. This is similar to a typical Windows program option.
- Arrange Icons: similar to a typical Windows program option.
- DThe drop-down options include a list of all open windows with a check mark in front of the active window. Click on any of the windows listed to make that window active.


## 10. Help

Click on the Help menu item to reveal these drop-down options.


The following Help drop-down menu options are available:

- Help Topics option: ProUCL version 3.0 does not have an online help program.
- About ProUCL: displays the software version number.


## Run Time Notes

- ПCell size can be changed. The user can change the size of a cell by moving the mouse to the top row (the gray shaded row with a letter), then moving the mouse to the right side until the cursor changes to an arrow symbol $(\leftrightarrow)$, depress the left mouse button.
- DThis can be used to reveal additional precision or hidden text.



## Rules to Remember When Editing or Creating a New Data File



- Text may appear in the first row only. This row has column headers (variable names) for your data.
- All alphanumeric text (including blanks, strings) appearing elsewhere (other than first row) will be treated as zero data.
- Missing data (alphanumeric text, blanks) can be set to a large value such as $1 \times 10^{31}$. All entries with this value will be ignored from the computations.
- The last data entry for each column must be non-zero. The program determines the number of observations by working backwards up the data until a non-zero value is encountered. Data in each column must end with a non-zero entry as shown above otherwise that zero value will be ignored. All intermediate zero entries are treated as valid data.
- It is recommended to use the default settings of the Data location screen when working with your data sets.


## C. Recommendations to Compute a 95\% UCL of the Population Mean (The Exposure Point Concentration Term)

This section describes the recommendations on the computation of a $95 \% U C L$ of the unknown population arithmetic mean, $\mu_{1}$, of a contaminant data distribution. These recommendations are based upon the findings of Singh, Singh, and Engelhardt (1997, 1999); Singh et al. ( 2002a); Singh, Singh, and Iaci (2002b); and Singh and Singh (2003). These recommendations are applicable to full data sets without censoring and non-detect observations.

Recommendations have been summarized for:

1) normally distributed data sets,
2) gamma distributed data sets,
3) lognormally distributed data sets, and
4) data sets which are non-parametric and do not follow any of the above mentioned three distributions included in ProUCL.

A detailed description of the recommendations can be found in Section 5 of Appendix A. Also, a list of all cited references is given in Appendix A.

For skewed parametric as well as non-parametric data sets, there is no simple solution to compute a $95 \% U C L$ of the population mean, $\mu_{1}$. Contrary to the general conjecture, Singh et al. (2002a), Singh, Singh, and Iaci (2002b), and Singh and Singh (2003) noted that the UCLs based upon the skewness adjusted methods, such as the Johnson's modified-t and Chen's adjusted-CLT do not provide the specified coverage (e.g., $95 \%$ ) to the population mean even for mildly to moderately skewed (e.g., $\hat{\sigma}$, the $s d$ of log-transformed data in interval [0.5, 1.0)) data sets for samples of size as large as 100 . The coverage of the population mean by these skewnessadjusted $U C L s$ becomes poorer (much smaller than the specified coverage of 0.95 ) for highly skewed data sets, where the skewness levels are defined in Section 3.2.2 of Appendix A as a function of $\sigma$ or $\hat{\sigma}$ (standard deviation of log-transformed data).

It should be noted that even though, the simulation results for highly skewed data sets of small sizes suggest that the bootstrap-t and Hall's bootstrap methods do approximately provide the adequate coverage to the population mean, sometimes in practice these two bootstrap methods yield erratic inflated values (orders of magnitude higher than the other $U C L$ values) when dealing with individual highly skewed data sets of small sizes. This is especially true when potential outliers may be present in the data set. Therefore, ProUCL Version 3.0 provides warning messages whenever the recommendations are made regarding the use the bootstrap-t method or Hall's bootstrap method.

## D. Recommendations to Compute a 95\% UCL of the Population Mean, $\mu_{1}$ Using Symmetric and Positively Skewed Data Sets

Graphs from Singh and Singh (2003) showing coverage comparisons (e.g., attainment of the specified confidence coefficient) for normal, gamma, and lognormal distributions for the various methods considered are given in Appendix C. The user may want to consult those graphs for a better understanding of the recommendations summarized in this section.

## 1. Normally or Approximately Normally Distributed Data Sets

- For normally distributed data sets, a $U C L$ based upon the Student's-t statistic as given by equation (32) of Appendix A provides the optimal $U C L$ of the population mean. Therefore, for normally distributed data sets, one should always use a $95 \%$ UCL based upon the Student's-t statistic.
- The $95 \%$ UCL of the mean given by equation (32) based upon Student's-t statistic may also be used when the $s d, s_{y}$ of the log-transformed data is less than 0.5 , or when the data set approximately follows a normal distribution. A data set is approximately normal when the normal Q-Q plot displays a linear pattern (without outliers and significant jumps) and the resulting correlation coefficient is quite high (e.g., 0.95 or higher).
- Student's-t $U C L$ may also be used when the data set is symmetric (but possibly not normally distributed). A measure of symmetry (or skewness) is $\hat{k}_{3}$, which is given by equation (43) of Appendix A. As a rule of thumb, a value of $\hat{k}_{3}$ close to zero (e.g., $\left|\hat{k}_{3}\right|<0.2-0.3$ ) suggests approximate symmetry. The approximate symmetry of a data distribution can also be judged by evaluating the histogram of the data set.


## 2. Gamma Distributed Skewed Data Sets

In practice, many skewed data sets can be modeled both by a lognormal distribution and a gamma distribution, especially when the sample size is smaller than 100. Land's H -statistic based, $95 \% \mathrm{H}$-UCL of the mean based upon a lognormal model often results in an unjustifiably large and impractical $95 \% U C L$ value. In such cases, a gamma model, $\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{k}, \theta)$, may be used to compute a reliable $95 \% U C L$ of the unknown population mean, $\mu_{1}$.

- Many skewed data sets follow a lognormal as well as a gamma distribution. It should be noted that the population means based upon the two models can differ significantly. The lognormal model, based upon a highly skewed (e.g., $\hat{\sigma} \geq 2.5$ ) data set, will have an unjustifiably large and impractical population mean, $\mu_{1}$, and its associated $U C L$. The gamma distribution is better suited to model positively skewed environmental data sets.

One should always first check if a given skewed data set follows a gamma distribution. If a data set does follow a gamma distribution or an approximate gamma distribution, one should compute a $95 \%$ UCL based upon a gamma distribution. Use of highly skewed (e.g., $\hat{\sigma} \geq 2.5$ 3.0) lognormal distributions should be avoided. For such highly skewed lognormally distributed data sets that can not be modeled by a gamma or an approximate gamma distribution, non-parametric $U C L$ computation methods based upon the Chebyshev inequality may be used. ProUCL prints out at least one recommended $U C L$ associated with each data set.

- The five bootstrap methods do not perform better than the two gamma $U C L$ computation methods. It is noted that the performances (in terms of coverage probabilities) of bootstrap-t and Hall's bootstrap methods are very similar. Out of the five bootstrap methods, bootstrap-t and Hall's bootstrap methods perform the best (with coverage probabilities for the population mean closer to the nominal level of 0.95 ). This is especially true when skewness is quite high (e.g., $\hat{k}<0.1$ ) and sample size is small (e.g., $\mathrm{n}<10-15$ ). This is illustrated in the graphs given in Appendix C. As mentioned before, whenever the use of Hall's $U C L$ or bootstrap-t $U C L$ is recommended, an informative warning message about their use is also printed.
- Also, contrary to the conjecture, the bootstrap BCA method does not perform better than the Hall's method or the bootstrap-t method. The coverage for the population mean, $\mu_{1}$ provided by the BCA method is much lower than the specified $95 \%$ coverage. This is especially true when the skewness is high (e.g., $\hat{k}<1$ ) and sample size is small (Singh and Singh (2003)).
- From the results presented in Singh, Singh, and Iaci (2002b) and in Singh and Singh (2003), it is concluded that for data sets which follow a gamma distribution, a $95 \% U C L$ of the mean should be computed using the adjusted gamma $U C L$ when the shape parameter, $k$, is:
$0.1 \leq k<0.5$, and for values of $k \geq 0.5$, a $95 \% U C L$ can be computed using an approximate gamma $U C L$ of the mean, $\mu_{1}$.
- For highly skewed gamma distributed data sets with $k<0.1$, bootstrap-t $U C L$ or Hall's bootstrap (Singh and Singh (2003)) may be used when the sample size is small (e.g., $\mathrm{n}<15$ ) and adjusted gamma $U C L$ should be used when sample size starts approaching and exceeding 15. The small sample size requirement increases as skewness increases (that is as $k$ decreases, n is required to increase).
- It should be pointed out that the bootstrap-t and Hall's bootstrap methods should be used with caution as some times these methods yield erratic, unreasonably inflated, and unstable $U C L$ values, especially in the presence of outliers. In case Hall's bootstrap and bootstrap-t methods yield inflated and erratic $U C L$ results, the $95 \% U C L$ of the mean should be computed based upon adjusted gamma $U C L$.

These recommendations for the use of gamma distribution are summarized in Table 1.

Table 1
Summary Table for the Computation of a $95 \% \boldsymbol{U C L}$ of the Unknown Mean, $\mu_{1}$ of a Gamma Distribution

| $\hat{k}$ | Sample Size, $\boldsymbol{n}$ | Recommendation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\hat{k} \geq 0.5$ | For all n | Approximate Gamma 95\%UCL |
| $0.1 \leq \hat{k}<0.5$ | For all n | Adjusted Gamma 95\% UCL |
| $\hat{k}<0.1$ | $\mathrm{n}<15$ | $95 \% U C L$ Based Upon Bootstrap-t or Hall's <br> Bootstrap Method * |
| $\mathrm{n} \geq 15$ | Adjusted Gamma 95\% UCL if available, <br> otherwise use Approximate Gamma 95\% UCL |  |

* If bootstrap-t or Hall's bootstrap methods yield erratic, inflated, and unstable $U C L$ values (which often happens when outliers are present), the $U C L$ of the mean should be computed using adjusted gamma $U C L$.


## 3. Lognormally Distributed Skewed Data Sets

For lognormally distributed data sets, $\mathrm{LN}\left(\mu, \sigma^{2}\right)$, the H -statistic based $U C L$ provides the specified 0.95 coverage for the population mean for all values of $\sigma$. However, the H -statistic often results in unjustifiably large $U C L$ values which do not occur in practice. This is especially true when skewness is high (e.g., $\sigma>2.0$ ). The use of a lognormal model unjustifiably accommodates large and impractical values of the mean concentration and its $U C L s$. The problem associated with the use of a lognormal distribution is that the population mean, $\mu_{1}$ of a lognormal model becomes impractically large for larger values of $\sigma$, which in turn results in inflated $H-U C L$ of the population mean, $\mu_{1}$. Since the population mean of a lognormal model becomes too large, none of the other methods except for the inflated $H-U C L$ provides the specified $95 \%$ coverage for that inflated population mean, $\mu_{1}$. This is especially true when the sample size is small and skewness is high. For extremely skewed data sets (with $\sigma>2.5-3.0$ ) of sizes (e.g., $<70-100$ ), the use of a lognormal distribution based $H-U C L$ should be avoided (e.g., see Singh et al. (2002a), Singh and Singh (2003)). Therefore, alternative $U C L$ computation methods such as the use of a gamma distribution, or the use of a $U C L$ based upon non-parametric bootstrap methods or Chebyshev inequality based methods, are desirable. All skewed data sets should first be tested for a gamma distribution. For lognormally distributed data sets (that can not be modeled by a gamma distribution), the method as summarized in Table 2 on the following page, may be used to compute a $95 \% U C L$ of the mean. The details can be found in Appendix A.

ProUCL can compute an $H-U C L$ for samples of sizes up to 1000 . For highly skewed lognormally distributed data sets of smaller sizes, some alternative methods to compute a $95 \%$ $U C L$ of the population mean, $\mu_{1}$, are summarized in Table 2. Since skewness (as defined in Section 3.2.2, Appendix A) is a function of $\sigma$ (or $\hat{\sigma}$ ), the recommendations for the computation of the $U C L$ of the population mean are also summarized in Table 2 for various values of the $M L E, \hat{\sigma}$ of $\sigma$ and the sample size, n . Here $\hat{\sigma}$ is an MLE of $\sigma$, and is given by the $S d$ of logtransformed data given by equation (2) of Appendix A. Note that Table 2 is only applicable to the computation of a $95 \% U C L$ of the population mean based upon lognormally distributed data sets without non-detect observations.

Table 2
Summary Table for the Computation of a 95\% UCL
of the Unknown Mean, $\mu_{1}$ of a Lognormal Population

| $\hat{\sigma}$ | Sample Size, $n$ | Recommendation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\hat{\sigma}<0.5$ | For all n | Student's-t, modified-t, or $H-U C L$ |
| $0.5 \leq \hat{\sigma}<1.0$ | For all n | $H-U C L$ |
| $1.0 \leq \hat{\mathbf{\sigma}}<1.5$ | $\mathrm{n}<25$ | 95\% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL |
|  | $\mathrm{n} \geq 25$ | H-UCL |
| $1.5 \leq \hat{\sigma}<2.0$ | $\mathrm{n}<20$ | 99\% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL |
|  | $20 \leq \mathrm{n}<50$ | 95\% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL |
|  | $\mathrm{n} \geq 50$ | H-UCL |
| $2.0 \leq \hat{\sigma}<2.5$ | $\mathrm{n}<20$ | 99\% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL |
|  | $20 \leq \mathrm{n}<50$ | 97.5\% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL |
|  | $50 \leq \mathrm{n}<70$ | 95\% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL |
|  | $\mathrm{n} \geq 70$ | H-UCL |
| $2.5 \leq \hat{\sigma}<3.0$ | $\mathrm{n}<30$ | Larger of (99\% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL, 99\% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd)) |
|  | $30 \leq \mathrm{n}<70$ | 97.5\% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL |
|  | $70 \leq \mathrm{n}<100$ | 95\% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL |
|  | $\mathrm{n} \geq 100$ | H-UCL |
| $3.0 \leq \hat{\sigma} \leq 3.5$ | $\mathrm{n}<15$ | Hall's bootstrap method * |
|  | $15 \leq \mathrm{n}<50$ | Larger of (99\% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL, 99\% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd)) |
|  | $50 \leq \mathrm{n}<100$ | 97.5\% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL |
|  | $100 \leq \mathrm{n}<150$ | 95\% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL |
|  | $\mathrm{n} \geq 150$ | H-UCL |
| $\hat{\sigma}>3.5$ | For all n | Use non-parametric methods * |

* If Hall's bootstrap method yields an erratic unrealistically large $U C L$ value, then the $U C L$ of the mean may be computed based upon the Chebyshev inequality.


## 4. Data Sets Without a Discernable Skewed Distribution - Non-parametric Skewed Data Sets

The use of gamma and lognormal distributions as discussed here will cover a wide range of skewed data distributions. For skewed data sets which are neither gamma nor lognormal, one can use a non-parametric Chebyshev $U C L$ or Hall's bootstrap $U C L$ (for small data sets) of the mean to estimate the EPC term.

- For skewed non-parametric data sets with negative and zero values, use a $95 \%$ Chebyshev (Mean, $S d$ ) UCL of the mean, $\mu_{1}$ to estimate the EPC term.
- For all other non-parametric data sets with only positive values, the following method may be used to estimate the EPC term:
- For mildly skewed data sets with $\hat{\sigma} \leq 0.5$, one can use the Student's-t statistic or modified-t statistic to compute a $95 \% U C L$ of the mean, $\mu_{1}$.
- For non-parametric moderately skewed data sets (e.g., $\sigma$ or its estimate, $\hat{\sigma}$ in the interval $(0.5,1])$, one may use a $95 \%$ Chebyshev (Mean, $S d$ ) UCL of the population mean, $\mu_{1}$.
- For non-parametric moderately to highly skewed data sets (e.g., $\hat{\sigma}$ in the interval (1.0, 2.0]), one may use a $99 \%$ Chebyshev (Mean, Sd) UCL or $97.5 \%$ Chebyshev (Mean, Sd) $U C L$ of the population mean, $\mu_{1}$, to obtain an estimate of the EPC term.
- For highly skewed to extremely highly skewed data sets with $\hat{\sigma}$ in the interval (2.0, 3.0], one may use Hall's $U C L$ or $99 \%$ Chebyshev (Mean, $S d$ ) $U C L$ to compute the EPC term.
- Extremely skewed non-parametric data sets with $\sigma$ exceeding 3.0 are badly behaved and UCLs based upon such data sets often provide poor coverage to the population mean. For such highly skewed data distributions, none of the methods considered provide the specified $95 \%$ coverage for the population mean, $\mu_{1}$. The coverages provided by the various methods decrease as $\sigma$ increases. For such highly skewed data sets of sizes (e.g., $<30$ ), a $95 \%$ UCL can be computed based upon Hall's bootstrap method or bootstrap-t method. Hall's bootstrap method provides the highest coverage (but less than 0.95 ) when the sample size is small. It is noted that the coverage for the population mean provided by Hall's method (and bootstrap-t method) does not increase much as the sample size, n increases. However, as the sample size increases, coverage provided by $99 \%$ Chebyshev (Mean, $S d$ ) UCL method increases. Therefore, for larger samples, a $U C L$ should be computed based upon $99 \%$ Chebyshev (Mean, $S d$ ) method. This large sample size requirement increases as $\hat{\sigma}$ increases (e.g., n increases as $S d$ increases). These recommendations are summarized in Table 3 given in the following.

Note: As mentioned before, the Hall's bootstrap method (and also bootstrap-t method) sometimes yields erratic and unstable $U C L$ values, especially when the outliers are present. If Hall's bootstrap $U C L$ represents an erratic and unstable value, a $U C L$ of the population mean may be computed using the $99 \%$ Chebyshev (Mean, Sd) method.

Table 3
Summary Table for the Computation of a $\mathbf{9 5 \%} \boldsymbol{U C L}$ of the Unknown Mean, $\mu_{1}$ of a Skewed Non-parametric Distribution with all Positive Values, Where $\hat{\sigma}$ is the Sd of Log-transformed Data

| $\hat{\sigma}$ | Sample Size, $n$ | Recommendation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\hat{\sigma} \leq 0.5$ | For all n | $95 \%$ UCL based upon Student's-t statistic or Modified-t statistic |
| $0.5<\hat{\sigma} \leq 1.0$ | For all n | 95\% Chebyshev (Mean, Sd) UCL |
| $1.0<\hat{\sigma} \leq 2.0$ | $\mathrm{n}<50$ | 99\% Chebyshev (Mean, Sd) UCL |
|  | $\mathrm{n} \geq 50$ | 97.5\% Chebyshev (Mean, Sd) UCL |
| $2.0<\hat{\sigma} \leq 3.0$ | $\mathrm{n}<10$ | Hall's Bootstrap UCL * |
|  | $\mathrm{n} \geq 10$ | 99\% Chebyshev (Mean, Sd) UCL |
| $3.0<\hat{\sigma} \leq 3.5$ | $\mathrm{n}<30$ | Hall's Bootstrap UCL * |
|  | $\mathrm{n} \geq 30$ | 99\% Chebyshev (Mean, Sd) UCL |
| $\hat{\sigma}>3.5$ | $\mathrm{n}<100$ | Hall's Bootstrap UCL * |
|  | $\mathrm{n} \geq 100$ | 99\% Chebyshev (Mean, Sd) UCL |

* If the Hall's bootstrap method yields an erratic and unstable $U C L$ value (e.g., this tends to happen when outliers are present), the EPC term may be computed using the $99 \%$ Chebyshev (Mean, Sd) UCL.


## E. Should the Maximum Observed Concentration be Used as an Estimate of the EPC Term?

Singh and Singh (2003) also included the Max Test (using the maximum observed value as an estimate of the EPC term) in their simulation study. Previous (e.g., EPA 1992 RAGS Document) use of the maximum observed value has been recommended as a default value to estimate the EPC term when a $95 \% U C L$ (e.g., the $H-U C L$ ) exceeded the maximum value. Only two $95 \%$ $U C L$ computation methods, namely: the Student's- $t U C L$ and Land's $H-U C L$ were used previously to estimate the EPC term (e.g., EPA 1992). ProUCL can compute a $95 \% U C L$ of mean using several methods based upon normal, Gamma, lognormal, and non-parametric distributions. Thus, ProUCL has about fifteen (15) $95 \%$ UCL computation methods, at least one of which (depending upon skewness and data distribution) can be used to compute an appropriate estimate of the EPC term. Furthermore, since the EPC term represents the average exposure contracted by an individual over an exposure area (EA) during a long period of time; therefore, the EPC term should be estimated by using an average value (such as an appropriate $95 \% U C L$ of the mean) and not by the maximum observed concentration. With the availability of so many $U C L$ computation methods, the developers of ProUCL, Version 3.0 do not feel any need to use the maximum observed value as an estimate of the EPC term. Singh and Singh (2003) also noted that for skewed data sets of small sizes (e.g., <10-20), the Max Test does not provide the specified $95 \%$ coverage to the population mean, and for larger data sets, it overestimates the EPC term which may require unnecessary further remediation. This can also be viewed in the graphs presented in Appendix C. Also, for the distributions considered, the maximum value is not a sufficient statistic for the unknown population mean. The use of the maximum value as an estimate of the EPC term ignores most (except for the maximum value) of the information contained in a data set. It is, therefore not desirable to use the maximum observed value as an estimate of the EPC term representing average exposure by an individual over an EA. It is recommended that the maximum observed value NOT be used as an estimate of the EPC term. However, for the sake of interested users, ProUCL displays a warning message when the recommended $95 \% U C L$ (e.g., Hall's bootstrap $U C L$ etc.) of the mean exceeds the observed maximum concentration. For such cases (when a $95 \%$ UCL does exceed the maximum observed value), if applicable, an alternative $U C L$ computation method is recommended by ProUCL.

It should also be noted that for highly skewed data sets, the sample mean indeed can even exceed the upper $90 \%$, $95 \%$ etc. percentiles, and consequently, a $95 \% U C L$ of mean can exceed the maximum observed value of a data set. This is especially true when one is dealing with lognormally distributed data sets of small sizes. For such highly skewed data sets which can not be modeled by a gamma distribution, a $95 \% ~ U C L$ of the mean should be computed using an appropriate non-parametric method. These recommendations are summarized in Tables 1 through 3 of this User Guide.

Alternatively, for such highly skewed data sets, other measures of central tendency such as the median (or some higher order quantile such as $70 \%$ etc.) and its upper confidence limit may be considered. The EPA, all other interested agencies and parties need to come to an agreement on the use of median and its UCL to estimate the EPC term. However, the use of the sample median and/or its $U C L$ as estimates of the EPC term needs further research and investigation.

## F. Left-Censored Data Sets with Non-detects

ProUCL does not handle the left-censored data sets with non-detects, which are inevitable in many environmental studies. All parametric as well as non-parametric recommendations to compute the mean, standard deviation, and a $95 \% U C L$ of the mean made by ProUCL software are based upon full data sets without censoring. For mild to moderate number of non-detects (e.g., $<15 \%$ ), one may compute these statistics based upon the commonly used rule of thumb of using $1 / 2$ detection limit (DL) proxy method. However, the proxy methods should be used cautiously, especially when one is dealing with lognormally distributed data sets. For lognormally distributed data sets of small sizes, even a single value -- small (e.g., obtained after replacing the non-detect by $1 / 2 \mathrm{DL}$ ) or large (e.g., an outlier) can have a drastic influence (can yield an unrealistically large $95 \% \mathrm{UCL}$ ) on the value of the associated Land's $95 \% U C L$. The issue of estimating the mean, standard deviation, and a $95 \% U C L$ of the mean based upon leftcensored data sets of varying degrees (e.g., $<15 \%, 15 \%-50 \%, 50 \%-75 \%$, or greater than $75 \%$ etc.) of censoring is currently under investigation.

## Glossary

This glossary defines selected words in this User Guide to describe impractically large $U C L$ values of the unknown population mean, $\mu_{1}$. In practice, the $U C L s$ based upon Land's H-statistic ( $H-U C L$ ), and some bootstrap methods such as the bootstrap-t and Hall's bootstrap methods (especially when outliers are present) can become impractically large. The $U C L s$ based upon these methods often become larger than the $U C L s$ based upon all other methods by several orders of magnitude. Such large $U C L$ values are not achievable as they do not occur in practice. Words like unstable and unrealistic have been used to describe such impractically large $U C L$ values.
$\boldsymbol{U C L}:$ Upper Confidence Limit of the unknown population mean.
Coverage $=$ Coverage Probability: The coverage probability (e.g., $=0.95$ ) of a $U C L$ of the population mean represents the confidence coefficient associated with the $U C L$.

Optimum: An interval is optimum if it possesses optimal properties as defined in the statistical literature. This may mean that it is the shortest interval providing the specified coverage (e.g., 0.95 ) to the population mean. For example, for normally distributed data sets, the $U C L$ of the population mean based upon Student's $t$ distribution is optimum.

Stable $\boldsymbol{U C L}$ : The $U C L$ of a population mean is a stable $U C L$ if it represents a number of practical merit, which also has some physical meaning. That is, a stable $U C L$ represents a realistic number (e.g., contaminant concentration) that can occur in practice. Also, a stable $U C L$ provides the specified (at least approximately, as much as possible, as close as possible to the specified value) coverage (e.g., $\sim 0.95$ ) to the population mean.

Reliable $\boldsymbol{U C L}$ : This is similar to a stable $U C L$.

Unstable $\boldsymbol{U C L}=$ Unreliable $\boldsymbol{U C L}=$ Unrealistic $\boldsymbol{U C L}$ : The $U C L$ of a population mean is unstable, unrealistic, or unreliable if it is orders of magnitude higher than the various other $U C L s$ of population mean. It represents an impractically large value that cannot be achieved in practice. For example, the use of Land's H statistic often results in impractically large inflated $U C L$ value. Some other $U C L s$ such as the bootstrap-t $U C L$ and Hall's $U C L$, can be inflated by outliers resulting in an impractically large and unstable value. All such impractically large $U C L$ values are called unstable, unrealistic, unreliable, or inflated $U C L s$ in this User Guide.
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# METHODS FOR COMPUTING THE EPC TERM ((1- $\alpha$ ) 100\%UCL) AS INCORPORATED IN ProUCL VERSION 3.0 SOFTWARE 

## 1. Introduction

Exposure assessment and cleanup decisions in support of U.S. EPA projects are often made based upon the mean concentrations of the contaminants of potential concern. A $95 \%$ upper confidence limit ( $U C L$ ) of the unknown population arithmetic mean $(A M), \mu_{1}$, is often used to: estimate the exposure point concentration (EPC) term (EPA, 1992, EPA, 2002), determine the attainment of cleanup standards (EPA, 1989 and EPA, 1991), estimate background level contaminant concentrations, or compare the soil concentrations with site specific soil screening levels (EPA, 1996). It is, therefore, important to compute a reliable, conservative, and stable $95 \% U C L$ of the population mean using the available data. The $95 \%$ UCL should approximately provide the $95 \%$ coverage for the unknown population mean, $\mu_{1}$. EPA (2002) has developed a guidance document for calculating upper confidence limits for hazardous waste sites. All of the $U C L$ computation methods as described in the EPA (2002) guidance document are available in ProUCL, Version 3.0. Additionally, ProUCL, Version 3.0 can also compute a $95 \%$ UCL of the mean based upon the gamma distribution which is better suited to model positively skewed environmental data sets.

Computation of a $(1-\alpha) 100 \% U C L$ of the population mean depends upon the data distribution. Typically, environmental data are positively skewed, and a default lognormal distribution (EPA, 1992) is often used to model such data distributions. The H-statistic based Land's (Land 1971, 1975) H-UCL of the mean is used in these applications. Hardin and Gilbert (1993), Singh, Singh, and Engelhardt (1997,1999), Schultz and Griffin,1999, Singh et al. (2002a), and Singh, Singh, and Iaci (2002b) pointed out several problems associated with the
use of the lognormal distribution and the $H-U C L$ of the population $A M$. In practice, for lognormal data sets with high standard deviation $(S d), \sigma$ of the natural log-transformed data (e.g., $\sigma$ exceeding 2.0 ), the $H-U C L$ can become unacceptably large, exceeding the $95 \%$ and $99 \%$ data quantiles, and even the maximum observed concentration, by orders of magnitude (Singh, Singh, and Engelhardt, 1997). This is especially true for skewed data sets of sizes smaller than $\mathrm{n}<50-70$.

The $H-U C L$ is also very sensitive to a few low or high values. For example, the addition of a sample with below detection limit measurement can cause the $H-U C L$ to increase by a large amount (Singh, Singh, and Iaci, (2002b)). Realizing that the use of H-statistic can result in unreasonably large $U C L$, it has been recommended (EPA, 1992) to use the maximum observed value as an estimate of the $U C L$ (EPC term) in cases where the $H$ - $U C L$ exceeds the maximum observed value. Recently, Singh, Singh and Iaci (2002b), and Singh and Singh (2003) studied the computation of the $U C L s$ based upon a gamma distribution and several non-parametric bootstrap methods. Those methods have also been incorporated in ProUCL, Version 3.0. There are fifteen $U C L$ computation methods available in ProUCL; five are parametric and ten are nonparametric. The non-parametric methods do not depend upon any of the data distributions. Graphs from Singh and Singh (2003) showing coverage comparisons for normal, gamma, and lognormal distributions for the various methods are given in Appendix C.

Both lognormal and gamma distributions can be used to model positively skewed data sets. It should be noted that it is hard to distinguish between a lognormal and a gamma distribution, especially when the sample size is small such as $\mathrm{n}<50-70$. In practice many skewed data sets follow a lognormal as well as a gamma distribution. Singh, Singh, and Iaci (2002b) observed that the $U C L$ based upon a gamma distribution results in reliable and stable values of practical merit. It is therefore, always desirable to test if an environmental data set follows a gamma distribution. For data sets (of all sizes) which follow a gamma distribution, EPC should be
computed using an adjusted gamma $U C L$ (when $0.1 \leq \mathrm{k}<0.5$ ) of the mean or an approximate gamma $U C L$ (when $\mathrm{k} \geq 0.5$ ) of the mean as these $U C L s$ approximately provide the specified $95 \%$ coverage to the population mean, $\mu_{1}=k \theta$ of a gamma distribution. For values of $\mathrm{k}<0.1$, a $95 \%$ UCL may be obtained using bootstrap-t method or Hall's bootstrap method when the sample size, n is less than 15 , and for larger samples, a $U C L$ of the mean should be computed using the adjusted or approximate gamma $U C L$. Here, k is the shape parameter of a gamma distribution as described in Section 2.2. It should be pointed out that both bootstrap-t and Hall's bootstrap methods sometimes result in erratic, inflated, and unstable $U C L$ values especially in the presence of outliers. Therefore, these two methods should be used with caution. The user should examine the various $U C L$ results and determine if the $U C L s$ based upon the bootstrap-t and Hall's bootstrap methods represent reasonable and reliable $U C L$ values of practical merit. If the results based upon these two methods are much higher than the rest of methods (except for the $U C L s$ based upon lognormal distribution), then this could be an indication of erratic $U C L$ values. ProUCL prints out a warning message whenever the use of these two bootstrap methods is recommended. In case these two bootstrap methods yield erratic and inflated $U C L s$, the $U C L$ of the mean should be computed using the adjusted or the approximate gamma $U C L$ computation method.

ProUCL has been developed to test for normality, lognormality, and a gamma distribution of a data set, and to compute a conservative and stable $95 \% U C L$ of the population mean, $\mu_{1}$. The critical values of Anderson-Darling test statistic and Kolmogorov-Smirnov test statistic to test for gamma distribution were generated using Monte Carlo simulation experiments. These critical values are tabulated in Appendix B for various levels of significance. Singh, Singh, and Engelhardt (1997,1999), Singh, Singh, and Iaci (2002b), and Singh and Singh (2003) studied several parametric and non-parametric $U C L$ computation methods which have been included in ProUCL. Most of the mathematical algorithms and formulae used in ProUCL to compute the various statistics are summarized in this Appendix A. For details, the user is referred to Singh,

Singh, and Iaci (2002b), and Singh and Singh (2003). Some graphs from Singh and Singh (2003) showing coverage comparisons for normal, gamma, and lognormal distributions for the various methods are given in Appendix C. ProUCL computes the various summary statistics for raw, as well as log-transformed data. In this User Guide and in ProUCL, log-transform (log) stands for the natural logarithm (ln) to the base e. ProUCL also computes the maximum likelihood estimates (MLEs) and the minimum variance unbiased estimates (MVUEs) of various unknown population parameters of normal, lognormal, and gamma distributions. This, of course, depends upon the underlying data distribution. Based upon the data distribution, ProUCL computes the (1- $\alpha$ ) $100 \%$ UCLs of the unknown population mean, $\mu_{1}$ using five (5) parametric and ten (10) non-parametric methods.

The five parametric $U C L$ computation methods include:

1) Student's- t $U C L$,
2) approximate gamma $U C L$,
3) adjusted gamma $U C L$,
4) Land's $H-U C L$, and
5) Chebyshev inequality based $U C L$ (using $M V U E$ of parameters of a lognormal distribution).

The ten non-parametric methods included in ProUCL are:

1) the central limit theorem ( $C L T$ ) based $U C L$,
2) modified-t statistic (adjusted for skewness),
3) adjusted-CLT (adjusted for skewness),
4) Chebyshev inequality based $U C L$ (using sample mean and sample standard deviation),
5) Jackknife $U C L$,
6) standard bootstrap,
7) percentile bootstrap,
8) bias - corrected accelerated (BCA) bootstrap,
9) bootstrap-t, and
10) Hall's bootstrap.

An extensive comparison of these methods have been performed by Singh and Singh (2003) using Monte Carlo simulation experiments. It is well known that the Jackknife method (with sample mean as an estimator) and Student's-t method yield identical $U C L$ values. It is also well known that the standard bootstrap method and the percentile bootstrap method do not perform well (do not provide adequate coverage) for skewed data sets. However, for the sake of completeness all of the parametric as well as non-parametric methods have been included in ProUCL. Also, it has been noted that the omission of a method (e.g., bias-corrected accelerated bootstrap method) triggers the curiosity of some of the users as they start thinking that the omitted method may perform better than the various other methods already incorporated in ProUCL. In order to satisfy all users, ProUCL Version 3.0 has additional $U C L$ computation methods which were not included in ProUCL Version 2.1.

### 1.1 Non-detects and Missing Data

ProUCL does not handle non-detects. All parametric as well as non-parametric recommendations to compute the mean, standard deviation, and a $95 \% U C L$ of the mean made by ProUCL software are based upon full data sets without censoring. The program can be modified to incorporate methods which can be used to compute appropriate estimates of the population mean and standard deviation, and a $U C L$ of the mean for left-censored data sets with non-detects. For now, for data sets with mild to moderate number of non-detects (e.g., $<15 \%$ ), one may replace non-detects by half of the detection limit (as often done in practice) and use ProUCL on the resulting data set to compute an appropriate $95 \% U C L$ of the mean, $\mu_{1}$. However, the proxy methods such as replacing non-detects by $1 / 2$ of the detection limit (DL) should be used cautiously, especially when one is dealing with lognormally distributed data sets. For
lognormally distributed data sets of small sizes, even a single value -- small (e.g., obtained after replacing the non-detect by $1 / 2 \mathrm{DL}$ ) or large (e.g., an outlier) can have a drastic influence (can yield an unrealistically large $95 \% U C L$ ) on the value of the associated Land's $95 \% U C L$. The issue of estimating the mean, standard deviation, and a $95 \% U C L$ of the mean based upon leftcensored data sets of varying degrees of censoring (e.g., $<15 \%, 15 \%-50 \%, 50 \%-75 \%$, and greater than $75 \%$ ) is currently under investigation.

However, it should be noted that ProUCL can handle missing data. Missing data value can be entered as a very large value in scientific notation, such as 1.0 E 31 . All entries with this value will be treated as missing data.

## 2. Procedures to Test for Data Distribution

Let $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$ be a random sample (e.g., representing lead concentrations) from the underlying population (e.g, remediated part of a site) with unknown mean, $\mu_{1}$, and variance, $\sigma_{l}{ }^{2}$. Let $\mu$ and $\sigma$ represent the population mean and the population standard deviation ( $S d$ ) of the logtransformed (natural $\log$ to the base e) data. Let $\bar{y}$ and $s_{y}(=\hat{\sigma})$ be the sample mean and sample $S d$, respectively, of the log-transformed data, $y_{i}=\log \left(x_{i}\right) ; i=1,2, \ldots, n$. Specifically, let

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{y}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i},  \tag{1}\\
& \hat{\sigma}=s_{y}^{2}=\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}_{)}^{2} .\right. \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, let $\bar{x}$ and $s_{x}$ be the sample mean and $S d$ of the raw data, $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$, obtained by replacing $y$ by $x$ in equations (1) and (2), respectively. In this User Guide, irrespective of the underlying distribution, $\mu_{1}$, and $\sigma_{I}{ }^{2}$ represent the mean and variance of the random variable X
(in original units), whereas $\mu_{1}$ and $\sigma^{2}$ represent the mean and variance of its logarithm, given by $\mathrm{Y}=\log _{\mathrm{e}}(\mathrm{X})=$ natural logarithm.

Three data distributions have been considered. These include the normal and lognormal distributions, and the gamma distribution. Shapiro - Wilk $(\mathrm{n} \leq 50)$ and Lilliefors $(\mathrm{n}>50)$ test statistics are used to test for normality or lognormality of a data set. The empirical distribution function (EDF) based methods: the Kolmogorov-Smirnov (K-S) test and the Anderson-Darling (A-D) test are used to test for a gamma distribution. Extensive critical values for these two test statistics have been obtained via Monte Carlo simulation experiments. For interested users, these critical values are given in Appendix B for various levels of significance. In addition to these formal tests, the informal histogram and quantile-quantile ( $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q}$ ) plot are also available to test data distributions. A brief description of these tests follows.

### 2.1 Test Normality and Lognormality of a Data Set

ProUCL tests the normality or lognormality of the data set using the three different methods described below. The program tests normality or lognormality at three different levels of significance, namely, $0.01,0.05$, and 0.1 . The details of these methods can be found in the cited references.

### 2.1.1 Normal Quantile-Quantile (Q-Q) Plot

This is a simple informal graphical method to test for an approximate normality or lognormality of a data distribution (Hoaglin, Mosteller, and Tukey (1983), Singh (1993)). A linear pattern displayed by the bulk of the data suggests approximate normality or lognormality (performed on log-transformed data) of the data distribution. For example, a high value (e.g., 0.95 or greater) of the correlation coefficient of the linear pattern may suggest approximate
normality (or lognormality) of the data set under study. However, it should be noted that on this graphical display, observations well separated (sticking out) from the linear pattern displayed by the bulk data represent the outlying observations. Also, apparent jumps and breaks in the Q-Q plot suggest the presence of multiple populations. The correlation coefficient of such a Q-Q plot can still be high, which does not necessarily imply that the data follow a normal (or lognormal) distribution. Therefore, the informal graphical Q-Q plot test should always be accompanied by other more powerful tests, such as the Shapiro-Wilk test or the Lilliefors test. The goodness-offit test of a data set should be judged based upon the formal more powerful tests. The normal QQ plot may be used as an aid to identify outliers and/or to identify multiple populations. ProUCL performs the graphical Q-Q plot test on raw data as well as on standardized data. All relevant statistics such as the correlation coefficient are also displayed on the Q-Q plot.

### 2.1. 2 Shapiro-Wilk W Test

This is a powerful test and is often used to test the normality or lognormality of the data set under study (Gilbert, 1987). ProUCL performs this test for samples of size 50 or smaller. Based upon the selected level of significance and the computed test statistic, ProUCL also informs the user if the data are normally (or lognormally) distributed. This information should be used to obtain an appropriate $U C L$ of the mean. The program prints the relevant statistics on the $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q}$ plot of the data (or the standardized data). For convenience, the normality, lognormality, or gamma distribution test results at 0.05 level of significance are also displayed on the UCL Exceltype output summary sheets.

### 2.1.3 Lilliefors Test

This test is useful for data sets of larger size (Dudewicz and Misra, 1988). ProUCL performs this test for samples of sizes up to 1000. Based upon the selected level of significance and the
computed test statistic, ProUCL informs the user if the data are normally (or lognormally) distributed. The user should use this information to obtain an appropriate $U C L$ of the mean. The program prints the relevant statistics on the Q-Q plot of data (or standardized data). For convenience, the normality, lognormality, or gamma distribution test results at 0.05 level of significance are also displayed on the $U C L$ output summary sheets. It should be pointed out that sometimes, in practice, these two goodness-of-fit tests can lead to different conclusions.

### 2.2 Gamma Distribution

Singh, Singh, and Iaci (2002b) studied gamma distribution to model positively skewed environmental data sets and to compute a $U C L$ of the mean based upon a gamma distribution. They studied several $U C L$ computation methods using Monte Carlo simulation experiments. A continuous random variable, $X$ (e.g., concentration of a contaminant), is said to follow a gamma distribution, $\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{k}, \theta)$ with parameters $\mathrm{k}>0$ (shape parameter) and $\theta>0$ (scale parameter), if its probability density function is given by the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x, k, \theta)=\frac{1}{\theta^{k} \Gamma(k)} x^{k-1} e^{-x / \theta} ; \quad x>0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and zero otherwise. The parameter $k$ is the shape parameter, and $\theta$ is the scale parameter. Many positively skewed data sets follow a lognormal as well as a gamma distribution. Gamma distribution can be used to model positively skewed environmental data sets. It is observed that the use of a gamma distribution results in reliable and stable $95 \% U C L$ values. It is therefore, desirable to test if an environmental data set follows a gamma distribution. If a skewed data set does follow a gamma model, then a $95 \% U C L$ of the population mean should be computed using a gamma distribution. For details of the two gamma goodness-of-fit tests, maximum likelihood estimation of gamma parameters, and the computation of a $95 \% U C L$ of the mean based upon a gamma distribution, refer to D'Agostino and Stephens (1986), and Singh, Singh, and Iaci
(2002b). These methods are briefly described as follows.

For data sets which follow a gamma distribution, the adjusted $95 \% U C L$ of the mean based upon a gamma distribution is optimal and approximately provides the specified $95 \%$ coverage to population mean, $\mu_{1}=k \theta$ (Singh, Singh, and Iaci (2002b)). Moreover, this adjusted gamma UCL yields reasonable numbers of practical merit. The two test statistics used for testing for a gamma distribution are based upon the empirical distribution function (EDF). The two EDF tests included in ProUCL are the Kolmogorov-Smirnov (K-S) test and Anderson - Darling (A-D) test which are described in D'Agostino and Stephens (1986) and Stephens (1970). The graphical Q-Q plot for gamma distribution has also been included in ProUCL. The critical values for the two EDF tests are not easily available, especially when the shape parameter, k is small $(\mathrm{k}<1)$. Therefore, the associated critical values have been obtained via extensive Monte Carlo simulation experiments. These critical values for the two test statistics are given in Appendix B. The $1 \%, 5 \%$, and $10 \%$ critical values of these two test statistics have been incorporated in ProUCL, Version 3.0. A brief description of the three goodness-of-fit tests for gamma distribution is given as follows. It should be noted that the goodness-of-fit tests for gamma distribution depend upon the MLEs of gamma parameters, k and $\theta$ which should be computed first before performing the goodness-of-fit tests.

### 2.2.1 Quantile - Quantile (Q-Q) Plot for a Gamma Distribution

Let $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$ be a random sample from the gamma distribution, $\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{k}, \theta)$. Let $x_{(1)} \leq x_{(2)} \leq \ldots \leq x_{(n)}$ represent the ordered sample. Let $\hat{k}$ and $\hat{\theta}$ represent the maximum likelihood estimates (MLEs) of k and $\theta$, respectively. For details of the computation of MLEs of k and $\theta$, refer to Singh, Singh, and Iaci (2002b). Estimation of gamma parameters is also briefly described later in this User Guide. The Q-Q plot for gamma distribution is obtained by plotting the scatter plot of pairs $\left(x_{0 i}, x_{(i)}\right) ; i:=1,2, \ldots, n$. The quantiles, $x_{0 i}$ are given by the
equation $x_{0 i}=z_{0 i} \hat{\theta} / 2 ; i:=1,2, \ldots, n$, where the quantiles $z_{0 i}$ (already ordered) are obtained by using the inverse chi-square distribution and are given as follows.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{z_{0 i}} f\left(\mathrm{X}_{2 \hat{k}}^{2}\right) d \mathrm{X}_{2 \hat{k}}^{2}=(i-1 / 2) / n ; i:=1,2, \ldots, n \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In (4), $X_{2 \hat{k}}^{2}$ represents a chi-square random variable with $2 \hat{k}$ degrees of freedom (d.f.). The program, PPCHI2 (Algorithm AS91) as given in Best and Roberts (1975), Applied Statistics (1975, Vol. 24, No. 3) has been used to compute the inverse chi-square percentage points, $z_{0 i}$ as given by the above equation given by (4). This is an informal graphical test to test for a gamma distribution. This informal test should always be accompanied by the formal Anderson-Darling test or Kolmogorov- Smirnov test. A linear pattern displayed by the scatter plot of bulk of the data may suggest approximate gamma distribution. For example, a high value (e.g., 0.95 or greater) of the correlation coefficient of the linear pattern may suggest approximate gamma distribution of the data set under study. However, on this Q-Q plot points well separated from the bulk of data may represent outliers. Also, apparent breaks and jumps in the gamma Q-Q plot suggest the presence of multiple populations. The correlation coefficient of such a Q-Q plot can still be high which does not necessarily imply that the data follow a gamma distribution. Therefore, the graphical Q-Q plot test should always be accompanied by the other more powerful formal EDF tests, such as the Anderson-Darling test or the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. The final conclusion about the data distribution should be based upon the formal goodness-of-fit tests. The Q-Q plot may be used to identify outliers and/or presence of multiple populations. All relevant statistics including the $M L E$ of k are also displayed on the gamma $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q}$ plot.

### 2.2.2 Empirical Distribution Function (EDF) Based Goodness-of -Fit Tests

Next, the two formal EDF test statistics used to test for a gamma distribution are described briefly. Let $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{x})$ be the cumulative distribution function (CDF) of the gamma random variable

X . Let $\mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{X})$, then Z represents a uniform $\mathrm{U}(0,1)$ random variable. For each $x_{i}$, compute $z_{i}$ using the incomplete gamma function given by the equation $\quad z_{i}=F\left(x_{i}\right) ; i:=1,2, \ldots, n$. The algorithm as given in Numerical Recipes book (Press et al., 1990) has been used to compute the incomplete gamma function. Arrange the resulting, $z_{i}$ in ascending order as $z_{(1)} \leq z_{(2)} \leq \ldots \leq z_{(n)}$. Let $\bar{z}=\sum z_{i} / n$ be the mean of the $z_{i} ; i:=1,2, \ldots, n$. Compute the following two test statistics.

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{+}=\max _{i}\left\{1 / n-z_{(i)}\right\} \text { and } D^{-}=\max _{i}\left\{z_{(i)}-(i-1) / n\right\} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Kolmogorov - Smirnov test statistic is given by $D=\max \left(D^{+}, D^{-}\right)$.

Anderson Darling test statistic is given by the following equation.

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{2}=-n-(1 / n) \sum_{1}^{n}\left\{(2 i-1)\left[\log z_{(i)}+\log \left(1-z_{(n+1-i)}\right)\right]\right\} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The critical values for these two statistics D and $\mathrm{A}^{2}$ are not readily available. For the AndersonDarling test, only asymptotic critical values are available in the statistical literature (D'Agostino and Stephens (1986)). Some raw critical values for K-S test are given in Schneider (1978), and Schneider and Clickner (1976). For these two tests, ExpertFit (2001) software and Law and Kelton (2000) use generic critical values for all completely specified distributions as given in D'Agostino and Stephens (1986). It is observed that the conclusions derived using these generic critical values for completely specified distributions and the simulated critical values for gamma distribution with unknown parameters can be different. Therefore, to test for a gamma distribution, it is preferred and advised to use the critical values of these test statistics specifically obtained for gamma distributions with unknown parameters.

In practice, the distributions are not completely specified and exact critical values for these two test statistics are needed. It should be noted that the distributions of the K-S test statistic, D and A-D test statistic, $\mathrm{A}^{2}$ do not depend upon the scale parameter, $\theta$, therefore, the scale parameter, $\theta$ has been set equal to 1 in all of the simulation experiments. The critical values for these two statistics have been obtained via extensive Monte Carlo simulation experiments for several small and large values of the shape parameter, k and with $\theta=1$. These critical are included in Appendix B. In order to generate the critical values, random samples from gamma distributions were generated using the algorithm as given in Whittaker (1974). It is observed that the critical values thus obtained are in close agreement with all available published critical values. The generated critical values for the two test statistics have been incorporated in ProUCL for three levels of significance, $0.1,0.05$, and 0.01 . For each of the two tests, if the test statistic exceeds the corresponding critical value, then the hypothesis that the data follow a gamma distribution is rejected. ProUCL computes these test statistics and prints them on the gamma $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q}$ plot and also on the $U C L$ summary output sheets generated by ProUCL. The estimation of the parameters of the three distributions as incorporated in ProUCL is discussed next. It should be pointed out that sometimes, in practice, these two goodness-of-fit tests can lead to different conclusions.

## 3. Estimation of Parameters of the Three Distributions Included in ProUCL

Through out this User Guide, $\mu_{1}$ and $\sigma_{1}{ }^{2}$ are the mean and variance of the random variable X , and $\mu$ and $\sigma^{2}$ are the mean and variance of the random variable $\mathrm{Y}=\log (\mathrm{X})$. Also, $\hat{\sigma}$ represents the standard deviation of the log-transformed data. It should be noted that for both lognormal and gamma distributions, the associated random variable can take only positive values. This is typical of environmental data sets to consist of only positive values.

### 3.1 Normal Distribution

Let $X$ be a continuous random variable (e.g., concentration of COPC), which follows a normal distribution, $\mathrm{N}\left(\mu_{1}, \sigma_{1}{ }^{2}\right)$ with mean, $\mu_{1}$, and variance, $\sigma_{1}{ }^{2}$. The probability density function of a normal distribution is given by the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(x ; \mu, \sigma_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{\sigma_{1} \sqrt{2 \pi}} \exp \left(-\left(x-\mu_{1}\right)^{2} / 2 \sigma_{1}^{2}\right) ;-\infty<x<\infty \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

For normally distributed data sets, it is well known (Hogg and Craig, 1978) that the minimum variance unbiased estimates (MVUEs) of mean, $\mu_{1}$, and variance, $\sigma_{1}^{2}$ are respectively given by the sample mean, $\bar{x}$ and sample variance, $s_{x}^{2}$. It is also well known that for normally distributed data sets, a $U C L$ of the unknown mean, $\mu_{1}$ based upon Student's-t distribution is optimal. It is observed via Monte Carlo simulation experiments (Singh and Singh (2003) Draft EPA Report) that for normally distributed data sets, the modified-t $U C L$ and $U C L$ based upon bootstrap-t method also provide the exact $95 \%$ coverage to the population mean. For normally distributed data sets, the $U C L s$ based upon these three methods are very similar.

### 3.2 Lognormal Distribution

If $Y=\log (X)$ is normally distributed with the mean $\mu$ and variance $\sigma^{2}, X$ is said to be lognormally distributed with parameters $\mu$ and $\sigma^{2}$ and is denoted by $\mathrm{LN}\left(\mu, \sigma^{2}\right)$. It should be noted that $\mu$ and $\sigma^{2}$ are not the mean and variance of the lognormal random variable, $X$, but they are the mean and variance of the log-transformed random variable $Y$, whereas $\mu_{1}$, and $\sigma_{1}{ }^{2}$ represent the mean and variance of X. Some parameters of interest of a two-parameter lognormal distribution, $\mathrm{LN}\left(\mu, \sigma^{2}\right)$, are given as follows:

Mean $\quad=\mu_{1}=\exp \left(\mu+0.5 \sigma^{2}\right)$

Median $\quad=M=\exp (\mu)$

Variance $\quad=\sigma_{1}^{2}=\exp \left(2 \mu+\sigma^{2}\right)\left(\exp \left(\sigma^{2}\right)-1\right)$

Coefficient of Variation $\quad=C V=\sigma_{1} / \mu_{1}=\sqrt{\left(\exp \left(\sigma^{2}\right)-1\right)}$

Skewness $=(C V)^{3}+3(C V)$

### 3.2.1 MLEs of the Parameters of a Lognormal Distribution

For lognormal distributions, note that $\bar{y}$ and $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{y}}(=\hat{\sigma})$ are the maximum likelihood estimators (MLEs) of $\mu$ and $\sigma$, respectively. The $M L E$ of any function of the parameters $\mu$ and $\sigma^{2}$ is obtained by simply substituting these MLEs in place of the parameters (Hogg and Craig, 1978). Therefore, replacing $\mu$ and $\sigma$ by their MLEs in equations (8) through (12) will result in the MLEs (but biased) of the respective parameters of the lognormal distribution. The program ProUCL computes all of these MLEs for lognormally distributed data sets. These MLEs are also printed on the Excel-type output spread sheets generated by ProUCL.

### 3.2.2 Relationship Between Skewness and Standard Deviation, $\sigma$

Note that for a lognormal distribution, the $C V$ (given by equation (11) above) and the skewness (given by equation (12)) depend only on $\sigma$. Therefore, in this User Guide and also in ProUCL, the standard deviation, $\sigma$ ( $S d$ of log-transformed variable, Y), or its $M L E, s_{y}(=\hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}})$ has been used as a measure of skewness of lognormal and also of other skewed data sets with positive values. The larger is the Sd , the larger are the $C V$ and the skewness. For example, for a lognormal distribution: with $\sigma=0.5$, the skewness $=1.75$; with $\sigma=1.0$, the skewness $=6.185$; with $\sigma=1.5$, the skewness $=33.468$; and with $\sigma=2.0$, the skewness $=414.36$. Thus, the skewness of a lognormal distribution becomes unreasonably large as $\sigma$ starts approaching and
exceeding 2.0. Note that for gamma distribution, skewness is a function of the gamma parameter, k. As k decreases, skewness increases.

It is observed (Singh, Singh, Engelhardt (1997), and Singh et al. (2002a)) that for smaller sample sizes (such as smaller than 50), and for values of $\sigma$ approaching 2.0 (and skewness approaching 414), the use of the H -statistic based $U C L$ results in impractical and unacceptably large values. For simplicity, the various levels of skewness of a positive data set as used in ProUCL and in this User Guide are summarized as follows:

Skewness as a Function of $\sigma\left(\right.$ or its $\left.M L E, s_{y}=\hat{\sigma}\right)$, $\operatorname{Sd}$ of $\log (\mathbf{X})$

| Standard Deviation | Skewness |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\sigma<0.5$ | Symmetric to mild skewness |
| $0.5 \leq \sigma<1.0$ | Mild Skewness to Moderate Skewness |
| $1.0 \leq \sigma<1.5$ | Moderate Skewness to High Skewness |
| $1.5 \leq \sigma<2.0$ | High skewness |
| $2.0 \leq \sigma<3.0$ | Extremely high skewness |
| $\sigma \geq 3.0$ | Provides poor coverage |

These values of $\sigma$ (or its estimate, $S d$ of log-transformed data) are used to define skewness levels of lognormal and skewed non-parametric data distributions as used in Tables A2 and A3.

### 3.2.3 MLEs of the Quantiles of a Lognormal Distribution

For highly skewed (e.g., $\sigma$ exceeding 1.5), lognormally distributed populations, the population mean, $\mu_{1}$,often exceeds the higher quantiles (e.g., $80 \%, 90 \%, 95 \%$ ) of the distribution. Therefore, the computation of these quantiles is also of interest. This is especially true when one may want to use the MLEs of the higher order quantiles (e.g., $95 \%, 97.5 \%$ etc.) as
an estimate of the EPC term. The formulae to compute these quantiles are briefly described here.

The $p$ th quantile (or $100 p$ th percentile), $x_{p}$, of the distribution of a random variable, $X$, is defined by the probability statement, $P\left(X \leq x_{p}\right)=p$. If $z_{p}$ is the $p$ th quantile of the standard normal random variable, $Z$, with $P\left(Z \leq z_{p}\right)=p$, then the $p$ th quantile of a lognormal distribution is given by $x_{p}=\exp \left(\mu+z_{p} \sigma\right)$. Thus the $M L E$ of the $p$ th quantile is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{x}_{p}=\exp \left(\hat{\mu}+z_{p} \hat{\sigma}\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

For example, on the average, $95 \%$ of the observations from a lognormal $\mathrm{LN}\left(\mu, \sigma^{2}\right)$ distribution would lie below $\exp (\mu+1.65 \sigma)$. The 0.5 th quantile of the standard normal distribution is $z_{0.5}=$ 0 , and the 0.5 th quantile (or median) of a lognormal distribution is $\mathrm{M}=\exp (\mu)$, which is obviously smaller than the mean, $\mu_{1}$, as given by equation (8). Also note that the mean, $\mu_{1}$, is greater than $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{p}}$ if and only if $\sigma>2 z_{p}$. For example, when $p=0.80, z_{p}=0.845, \mu_{1}$ exceeds $\mathrm{x}_{0.80}$, the $80^{\text {th }}$ percentile if and only if $\sigma>1.69$, and, similarly, the mean, $\mu_{1}$, will exceed the $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile if and only if $\sigma>3.29$. ProUCL computes the MLEs of the $50 \%$ (median), $90 \%$, $95 \%$, and $99 \%$ percentiles of lognormally distributed data sets. For lognormally distributed background data sets, a $95 \%$ or $99 \%$ percentile may be used as an estimate of the background threshold value, that is background level contaminant concentration.

### 3.2.4 MVUEs of Parameters of a Lognormal Distribution

Even though the sample $A M, \bar{x}$, is an unbiased estimator of the population $A M, \mu_{1}$, it does not have the minimum variance (MV). The MV unbiased estimates (MVUEs) of $\mu_{1}$ and $\sigma_{1}^{2}$ of a lognormal distribution are given as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{\mu_{1}}=\exp (\bar{y}) g_{n}\left(s_{y}^{2} / 2\right),  \tag{14}\\
& \hat{\sigma}_{1}^{2}=\exp (2 \bar{y})\left[g_{n}\left(2 s_{y}^{2}\right)-g_{n}\left((n-2) s_{y}^{2} /(n-1)\right)\right] \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

where the series expansion of the function $g_{n}(\mu)$ is given in Bradu and Mundlak (1970), and Aitchison and Brown (1976). Tabulations of this function are also provided by Gilbert (1987). Bradu and Mundlak (1970) give the MVUE of the variance of the estimate $\hat{\mu}_{1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\sigma}^{2}\left(\hat{\mu_{1}}\right)=\exp (2 \bar{y})\left[\left(g_{n}\left(s_{y}^{2} / 2\right)\right)^{2}-g_{n}\left((n-2) s_{y}^{2} /(n-1)\right)\right] \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The square root of the variance given by equation (16) is called the standard error ( $S E$ ) of the estimate, $\hat{\mu}_{1}$, given by equation (14). Similarly, a MVUE of the median of a lognormal distribution is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{M}=\exp (\bar{y}) g_{n}\left(-s_{y}^{2} /(2(n-1))\right) . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

For lognormally distributed data set, ProUCL also computes these MVUEs given by equations (14) through (17).

### 3.3 Estimation of the Parameters of a Gamma Distribution

Next, we consider the estimation of parameters of a gamma distribution. Since the estimation of gamma parameters is typically not included in standard statistical text books, this has been described in some detail in this User Guide. The population mean and variance of a gamma distribution, $\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{k}, \theta)$, are functions of both parameters, $k$ and $\theta$. In order to estimate the mean, one has to obtain estimates of $k$ and $\theta$. The computation of the maximum likelihood estimate (MLE) of $k$ is quite complex and requires the computation of Digamma and Trigamma
functions. Several authors (Choi and Wette, 1969, Bowman and Shenton, 1988, Johnson, Kotz, and Balakrishnan, 1994) have studied the estimation of shape and scale parameters of a gamma distribution. The maximum likelihood estimation method to estimate shape and scale parameters of a gamma distribution is described below.

Let $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$ be a random sample (e.g., representing contaminant concentrations) of size n from a gamma distribution, $\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{k}, \theta)$, with unknown shape and scale parameters $k$ and $\theta$, respectively. The log likelihood function (obtained using equation (3)) is given as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log L\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n} ; k, \theta\right)=-n k \log (\theta)-n \log \Gamma(k)+(k-1) \sum \log x_{i}-\frac{1}{\theta} \sum x_{i} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

To find the MLEs of $k$ and $\theta$, we differentiate the $\log$ likelihood function as given in (18) with respect to k and $\theta$, and set the derivatives to zero. This results in the following two equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \log (\hat{\theta})+\frac{\Gamma^{\prime}(\hat{k})}{\Gamma(\hat{k})}=\frac{1}{n} \sum \log \left(x_{i}\right), \text { and }  \tag{19}\\
& \hat{k} \hat{\theta}=\frac{1}{n} \sum x_{i}=\bar{x} \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

Solving equation (20) for $\hat{\theta}$ and substituting the result in equation (19), we get the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\Gamma^{\prime}(\hat{k})}{\Gamma(\hat{k})}-\log (\hat{k})=\frac{1}{n} \sum \log \left(x_{i}\right)-\log \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum x_{i}\right) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

There does not exist a closed form solution of equation (21). This equation needs to be solved numerically for $\hat{k}$, which requires the use of Digamma and Trigamma functions. This is quite easy to do using a personal computer. An estimate of $k$ can be computed iteratively by using the Newton-Raphson (Faires and Burden, 1993) method leading to the following iterative equation:
$\hat{k}_{l}=\hat{k}_{l-1}-\frac{\log \left(\hat{k}_{l-1}\right)-\Psi\left(\hat{k}_{l-1}\right)-M}{1 / \hat{k}_{l-1}-\Psi^{\prime}\left(\hat{k}_{l-1}\right)}$

The iterative process stops when $\hat{k}$ starts to converge. In practice, convergence is typically achieved in fewer than 10 iterations. In equation (22)
$M=\log (\bar{x})-\frac{1}{n} \sum \log \left(x_{i}\right)$, and
$\Psi(k)=\frac{d}{d k}(\log \Gamma(k))$, and $\Psi^{\prime}(k)=\frac{d}{d k}(\Psi(k))$
where $\Psi(k)$ is the Digamma function, and $\Psi^{\prime}(k)$ is the Trigamma function. In order to obtain the MLEs of $k$ and $\theta$, one needs to compute the Digamma and Trigamma functions. Good approximate values for these two functions (Choi and Wette, 1969) can be obtained using the following approximations. For $k \geq 8$, these functions are approximated by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi(k) \approx \log (k)-\left\{1+\left[1-\left(1 / 10-1 /\left(21 k^{2}\right)\right) / k^{2}\right] /(6 k)\right\} /(2 k) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi^{\prime}(k) \approx\left\{1+\left\{1+\left[1-\left(1 / 5-1 /\left(7 k^{2}\right)\right) / k^{2}\right] /(3 k)\right\} /(2 k)\right\} / k \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $k<8$, one can use the following recurrence relation to compute these functions:
$\Psi(k)=\Psi(k+1)-1 / k$,
and $\Psi^{\prime}(k)=\Psi^{\prime}(k+1)+1 / k^{2}$

In ProUCL, equations (23) - (26) have been used to estimate $k$. The iterative process requires an initial estimate of $k$. A good starting value for $k$ in this iterative process is given by $k_{0}=1 /(2 M)$. Thom (1968) suggested the following approximation as an estimate of $k$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{k} \approx \frac{1}{4 M}\left(1+\sqrt{1+\frac{4}{3} M}\right) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Bowman and Shenton (1988) suggested using $\hat{k}$ as given by (27) to be a starting value of $k$ for an iterative procedure, calculating $\hat{k}_{l}$ at the $I^{\text {th }}$ iteration from the following formula:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{k}_{l}=\frac{\hat{k}_{l-1}\left\{\log \left(\hat{k}_{l-1}\right)-\Psi\left(\hat{k}_{l-1}\right)\right\}}{M} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Both equations (22) and (28) have been used to compute the MLE of $k$. It is observed that the estimate, $\hat{k}$ based upon Newton-Raphson method as given by equation (22) is in close agreement with that obtained using equation (28) with Thom's approximation as an initial estimate. Choi and Wette (1969) further concluded that the MLE of $\mathrm{k}, \hat{k}$, is biased high. A bias-corrected (Johnson, Kotz, and Balakrishnan, 1994) estimate of $k$ is given by:
$\hat{k}^{*}=(n-3) \hat{k} / n+2 /(3 n)$

In (29), $\hat{k}$ is the $M L E$ of $k$ obtained using either (22) or (28). Substitution of equation (29) in equation (20) yields an estimate of the scale parameter, $\theta$ given as follows:
$\hat{\theta}^{*}=\bar{x} / \hat{k}^{*}$

ProUCL computes simple $M L E$ of k and $\theta$, and also bias- corrected estimates of k and $\theta$. The bias-corrected estimate of k as given by (29) has been used in the computation of the UCLs (as given by equations (34) and (35)) of the mean of a gamma distribution.

## 4. Methods for Computing a $\boldsymbol{U C L}$ of the Unknown Population Mean

ProUCL computes a (1- $\alpha$ ) $100 \% U C L$ of the population mean, $\mu_{1}$ using the following five parametric and ten non-parametric methods. Five of the ten non-parametric methods are based upon the bootstrap method. Modified-t and adjusted central limit theorem adjust for skewness for skewed data sets. However, it is noted that (Singh, Singh, and Iaci (2002b) and Singh and Singh (2003)) this adjustment is not adequate enough for moderately skewed to highly skewed data sets. Some graphs from Singh and Singh (2003) showing coverage comparisons for normal, gamma, and lognormal distributions for the various methods are given in Appendix C. The methods as included in ProUCL are listed as follows.

## Parametric Methods

1. Student's-t statistic - assumes normality or approximate normality
2. Approximate Gamma $U C L$ - assumes gamma distribution of the data set
3. Adjusted Gamma $U C L$ - assumes gamma distribution of the data set
4. Land's H-Statistic - assumes lognormality
5. Chebyshev Theorem using the $M V U E$ of the parameters of a lognormal distribution (denoted by Chebyshev (MVUE)) - assumes lognormality

## Non-parametric Methods

1. Modified- t statistic - modified for skewed distributions
2. Central Limit Theorem (CLT) - to be used for large samples
3. Adjusted Central Limit Theorem (Adjusted-CLT) - adjusted for skewed distributions and to be used for large samples
4. Chebyshev Theorem using the sample arithmetic mean and Sd (denoted by Chebyshev (Mean, $S d$ ))
5. Jackknife method - yields the same result as Student's-t statistic for the $U C L$ of the population mean
6. Standard bootstrap
7. Percentile bootstrap
8. Bias-corrected accelerated (BCA) bootstrap
9. Bootstrap-t
10. Hall's bootstrap

Even though it is well known that some of the non-parametric methods (e.g., CLT method, UCL based upon Jackknife method (same as Student's-t $U C L$ ), standard bootstrap and percentile bootstrap methods) do not perform well to provide the adequate coverage to the population mean of skewed distributions, these methods have been included in ProUCL to satisfy the curiosity of all users.

ProUCL can compute a ( $1-\alpha$ ) $100 \%$ UCL (except for the $H-U C L$ and adjusted gamma UCL) of the mean for any confidence coefficient ( $1-\alpha$ ) value lying in the interval $[0.5,1.0$ ). For the computation of the $H-U C L$, only two confidence levels, namely, 0.90 and 0.95 are supported by ProUCL. For adjusted gamma $U C L$, three confidence levels namely, $0.90,0.95$, and 0.99 are supported by ProUCL. An approximate gamma $U C L$ can be computed for any level of significance in the interval $[0.5,1)$. Based upon the sample size, $n$, skewness, and the data distribution, the program also makes recommendations on how to obtain an appropriate $95 \%$ $U C L$ of the unknown population mean, $\mu_{1}$. These recommendations are summarized in the Recommendations and Summary Section 5 of this appendix. The various algorithms and methods used to compute a (1- $\alpha$ ) $100 \%$ UCL of the mean as incorporated in ProUCL are described in section 4.1.

## $4.1(1-\alpha) \mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ UCL of the Mean Based Upon Student's-t Statistic

The widely used well-known Student's-t statistic is given by,

$$
\begin{equation*}
t=\frac{\wedge}{s / \mu_{1} \sqrt{n}} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{x}$ and $s_{x}$ are, respectively, the sample mean and sample standard deviation obtained using the raw data. If the data are a random sample from a normal population with mean, $\mu_{1}$, and standard deviation, $\sigma_{1}$, then the distribution of this statistic is the familiar Student's-t distribution with ( $n-1$ ) degrees of freedom $(d f)$. Let $t_{\alpha, n-1}$ be the upper $\alpha^{t h}$ quantile of the Student's-t distribution with $(n-1) d f$.

A $(1-\alpha) 100 \% U C L$ of the population mean, $\mu_{1}$, is given by,

$$
\begin{equation*}
U C L=\bar{x}+t_{\alpha, n-1} s_{x} / \sqrt{n} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a normally (when the skewness is about $\sim 0$ ) distributed population, equation (32) provides the best (optimal) way of computing a $U C L$ of the mean. Equation (32) may also be used to compute a $U C L$ of the mean based upon very mildly skewed (e.g., |skewness $\mid<0.5$ ) data sets, where skewness is given by equation (43). It should be pointed out that even for mildly to moderately skewed data sets (e.g., when $\sigma, S d$ of log-transformed data starts approaching and exceeding 0.5 ), the $U C L$ given by (32) may not provide the desired coverage (e.g., $=0.95$ ) to the population mean. This is especially true when the sample size is smaller than 20-25 (Singh et al. (2002a), and Singh and Singh (2003)). The situation gets worse (coverage much smaller than 0.95 ) for higher values of the $S d, \sigma$, or its $M L E, \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{y}}$.

### 4.2 Computation of $\boldsymbol{U C L}$ of the Mean of a Gamma, $\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{k}, \theta)$ Distribution

In statistical literature, even though methods exist to compute a $U C L$ of the mean of a gamma distribution (Grice and Bain, 1980, Wong, 1993), those methods have not become popular due to their computational complexity. Those approximate and adjusted methods depend upon the Chi-square distribution and an estimate of the shape parameter, k. As seen above, computation of an MLE of $k$ is quite involved, and this works as a deterrent to the use of a gamma distribution-based $U C L$ of the mean. However, the computation of a gamma $U C L$ currently should not be a problem due to easy availability of personal computers.

Given a random sample, $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$ of size n from a gamma, $\mathrm{G}(k, \theta)$ distribution, it can be shown that $2 n \bar{X} / \theta$ follows a Chi-square distribution, $\chi_{2 n k}^{2}$, with 2 nk degrees of freedom (df). When the shape parameter, $k$, is known, a uniformly most powerful test of size $\alpha$ of the null hypothesis, $\mathrm{H}_{0}: \mu_{1} \geq \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}$, against the alternative hypothesis, $\mathrm{H}_{1}: \mu_{1}<\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}$, is to reject $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ if $\bar{X} / C_{s}<\chi_{2 n k}^{2}(\alpha) / 2 n k$. The corresponding $(1-\alpha) 100 \%$ uniformly most accurate $U C L$ for the mean, $\mu_{1}$, is then given by the probability statement.
$P\left(2 n k \bar{x} / \chi_{2 n k}^{2}(\alpha) \geq \mu_{1}\right)=1-\alpha$
where $\chi_{\nu}^{2}(\alpha)$ denotes the $\alpha$ cumulative percentage point of the Chi-square distribution (e.g., $\alpha$ is the area in the left tail). That is, if $Y$ follows $\chi_{v}^{2}$, then $P\left(Y \leq \chi_{v}^{2}(\alpha)\right)=\alpha$. In practice, $k$ is not known and needs to be estimated from data. A reasonable method is to replace k by its bias -corrected estimate, $\hat{k}^{*}$, as given by equation (29). This results in the following approximate (1- $\alpha) 100 \% U C L$ of the mean, $\mu_{1}$.

Approximate $-U C L=2 n \hat{k}^{*} \bar{x} / \chi_{2 n \hat{k}^{*}}^{2}(\alpha)$

It should be pointed out that the $U C L$ given by equation (34) is an approximate $U C L$ and there is no guarantee that the confidence level of $(1-\alpha)$ will be achieved by this $U C L$. However, it does provide a way of computing a $U C L$ of the mean of a gamma distribution. Simulation studies conducted in Singh, Singh, and Iaci (2002b) and in Singh and Singh (2003) suggest that an approximate gamma $U C L$ thus obtained provides the specified coverage $(95 \%)$ as the shape parameter, k approaches 0.5 . Thus when $\mathrm{k} \geq 0.5$, one can always use the approximate $U C L$ given by (34). This approximation is good even for smaller (e.g., $n=5$ ) sample sizes as shown in Singh, Singh, and Iaci (2002b), and in Singh and Singh (2003).

Grice and Bain (1980) computed an adjusted probability level, $\beta$ (adjusted level of significance), which can be used in (34) to achieve the specified confidence level of (1- $\alpha$ ). For $\alpha=0.05$ (confidence coefficient of 0.95 ), $\alpha=0.1$, and $\alpha=0.01$, these probability levels are given below in Table 1 for some values of the sample size $n$. One can use interpolation to obtain an adjusted $\beta$ for values of $n$ not covered in the table. The adjusted (1- $\alpha$ ) $100 \% U C L$ of the gamma mean, $\mu_{1}=\mathrm{k} \theta$ is given by the following equation.

Adjusted $-U C L=2 n \hat{k}^{*} \bar{x} / \chi_{2 n \hat{k}^{*}}^{2}(\beta)$,
where $\beta$ is given in Table 1 for $\alpha=0.05,0.1$, and 0.01 . Note that as the sample size, n , becomes large, the adjusted probability level, $\beta$, approaches the specified level of significance, $\alpha$. Except for the computation of the $M L E$ of $k$, equations (34) and (35) provide simple Chi-square-distribution-based $U C L s$ of the mean of a gamma distribution. It should also be noted that the $U C L s$ as given by (34) and (35) only depend upon the estimate of the shape parameter, $k$, and are independent of the scale parameter, $\theta$, and its ML estimate. Consequently, as expected, it is observed that coverage probabilities for the mean associated with these $U C L s$ do not depend upon the values of the scale parameter, $\theta$. It should also be noted that gamma $U C L s$ do not depend upon the standard deviation of data which gets distorted by the presence of outliers.

Thus, outliers will have reduced influence on the computation of the gamma distribution based $U C L s$ of the mean, $\mu_{1}$.

Table 1. Adjusted Level of Significance, $\beta$

| n | $\alpha=0.05$ <br> probability level, $\beta$ | $\alpha=0.1$ <br> probability level, $\beta$ | $\alpha=0.01$ <br> probability level, $\beta$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 0.0086 | 0.0432 | 0.0000 |
| 10 | 0.0267 | 0.0724 | 0.0015 |
| 20 | 0.0380 | 0.0866 | 0.0046 |
| 40 | 0.0440 | 0.0934 | 0.0070 |
| -- | 0.0500 | 0.1000 | 0.0100 |

## 4.3 (1- $\alpha$ ) $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ UCL of the Mean Based Upon H-Statistic (H-UCL)

The one-sided $(1-\alpha) 100 \% U C L$ for the mean, $\mu_{1}$, of a lognormal distribution as derived by Land $(1971,1975)$ is given as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
U C L=\exp \left(\bar{y}+0.5 s_{y}^{2}+s_{y} H_{1-\alpha} / \sqrt{(n-1)}\right) \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Tables of H-statistic critical values can be found in Land (1975) and also in Gilbert (1987). Theoretically, when the population is lognormal, Land (1971) showed that the $U C L$ given by equation (36) possesses optimal properties and is the uniformly most accurate unbiased confidence limit. However, it is noticed that in practice, the H -statistic based results can be quite disappointing and misleading especially when the data set consists of outliers, or is a mixture from two or more distributions (Singh, Singh, and Engelhardt, 1997, 1999), Singh, Singh, and Iaci (2002b)). Even a minor increase in the Sd, $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{y}}$, drastically inflates the MVUE of
$\mu_{1}$ and the associated $H$-UCL. The presence of low as well as high data values increases the $S d$, $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{y}}$, which in turn inflates the $H-U C L$. Furthermore, it is observed (Singh, Singh, Engelhardt, and Nocerino (2002a)) that for samples of sizes smaller than 15-25, and for values of $\sigma$ approaching 1.0 and higher (for moderately skewed to highly skewed data sets), the use of H -statistic based $U C L$ results in impractical and unacceptably large $U C L$ values.

In practice many data sets follow a lognormal as well as gamma model. However, the population mean based upon a lognormal model can be significantly greater (often unrealistically large) than the population mean based upon a gamma model. In order to provide the specified $95 \%$ coverage for an inflated mean based upon a lognormal model, the resulting $U C L$ based upon H-statistic also yield impractical $U C L$ values. Use of a gamma model results in practical estimates (e.g., $U C L$ ) of the population mean. Therefore, for positively skewed data sets, it is recommended to test for a gamma model first. If data follow a gamma distribution, then the $U C L$ of the mean should be computed using a gamma distribution. The gamma distribution is better suited to model positively skewed environmental data sets.

## 4.4 (1- $\alpha$ ) 100\% UCL of the Mean Based Upon Modified-t Statistic for Asymmetrical Populations

Chen (1995), Johnson (1978), Kleijnen, Kloppenburg, and Meeuwsen (1986), and Sutton (1993) suggested the use of the modified-t statistic for testing the mean of a positively skewed distribution (including the lognormal distribution). The $(1-\alpha) 100 \% U C L$ of the mean thus obtained is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
U C L=\bar{x}+\hat{\mu}_{3} /\left(6 s_{x}^{2} n\right)+t_{\alpha, n-1} s_{x} / \sqrt{ } \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{\mu}_{3}$, an unbiased moment estimate (Kleijnen, Kloppenburg, and Meeuwsen, 1986) of the
third central moment, is given as follows,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mu}_{3}=n \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{3} /[(n-1)(n-2)] . \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

It should be pointed out that this modification for a skewed distribution does not perform well even for mildly to moderately skewed data sets (e.g., when $\sigma$ starts approaching and exceeding 0.75 ). Specifically, it is observed that the $U C L$ given by equation (37) may not provide the desired coverage of the population mean, $\mu_{1}$, when $\sigma$ starts approaching and exceeding 0.75 (Singh, Singh, and Iaci (2002b)). This is especially true when the sample size is smaller than 20-25. This small sample size requirement increases as $\sigma$ increases. For example, when $\sigma$ starts approaching and exceeding 1.5 , the $U C L$ given by equation (37) does not provide the specified coverage (e.g., $95 \%$ ), even for samples as large as 100 . Since this method does not require any distributional assumptions, it is a non-parametric method.

## $4.5(1-\alpha) 100 \%$ UCL of the Mean Based Upon the Central Limit Theorem

The Central Limit Theorem (CLT) states that the asymptotic distribution, as $n$ approaches infinity, of the sample mean, $\bar{x}_{n}$ is normally distributed with mean, $\mu_{1}$, and variance, $\sigma_{1}^{2} / n$. More precisely, the sequence of random variables given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{n}=\frac{w_{n} r_{1}}{\pi / \cdot \sqrt{n}} \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

has a standard normal limiting distribution. In practice, for large sample sizes, $n$, the sample mean, $\bar{x}$, has an approximate normal distribution irrespective of the underlying distribution function. Since the CLT method requires no distributional assumptions, this is a non-parametric method.

As noted by Hogg and Craig (1978), if $\sigma_{1}$ is replaced by the sample standard deviation, $s_{x}$, the normal approximation for large $n$ is still valid. This leads to the following approximate large sample non-parametric (1- $\alpha) 100 \% U C L$ of the mean,

$$
\begin{equation*}
U C L=\bar{x}+z_{\alpha} s_{x} / \sqrt{n} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

An often cited rule of thumb for a sample size associated with the CLT method is $n \geq 30$. However, this may not be adequate enough if the population is skewed, specifically when, $\sigma$ ( Sd of log-transformed variable) starts exceeding 0.5 (Singh, Singh, Iaci 2002b). In practice for skewed data sets, even a sample as large as 100 is not large enough to provide adequate coverage to the mean of skewed populations (even for mildly skewed populations). A refinement of the CLT approach, which makes an adjustment for skewness as discussed by Chen (1995), is given as follows.

## 4.6 (1- $\alpha$ ) $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ UCL of the Mean Based Upon the Adjusted Central Limit Theorem <br> (Adjusted -CLT)

The "adjusted-CLT" $U C L$ is obtained if the standard normal quantile, $z_{\alpha}$ in the upper limit of equation (40) is replaced by (Chen, 1995)

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{\alpha, a d j}=z_{\alpha}+\frac{\hat{\kappa}_{3}}{6 \sqrt{n}}\left(1+2 z_{\alpha}^{2} .\right. \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the adjusted $(1-\alpha) 100 \% U C L$ for the mean, $\mu_{1}$, is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
U C L=\bar{x}+\left[z_{\alpha}+\hat{k}_{3}\left(1+2 z_{\alpha}^{2}\right) /(6 \sqrt{n})\right] s_{x} / \sqrt{n} . \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\hat{k}_{3}$, the coefficient of skewness (raw data) is given by

Skewness (raw data) $\hat{k}_{3}=\hat{\mu}_{3} / s_{x}^{3}$
where $\hat{\mu}_{3}$, an unbiased estimate of the third moment, is given by equation (38). This is another large sample approximation for the $U C L$ of the mean of skewed distributions. This is a nonparametric method as it does not depend upon any of the distributional assumptions.

As with the modified-t $U C L$, it is observed that this adjusted-CLT UCL does not provide adequate coverage to the population mean when the population is skewed, specifically when $\sigma$ starts approaching and exceeding 0.75 (Singh, Singh, and Iaci (2002b), Singh and Singh (2003)). This is especially true when the sample size is smaller than 20-25. This small sample size requirement increases as $\sigma$ increases. For example, when $\sigma$ starts approaching and exceeding 1.5 , the $U C L$ given by equation (42) does not provide the specified coverage (e.g., $95 \%$ ), even for samples as large as 100 . Also, it is noted that the $U C L$ as given by (42) does not provide adequate coverage to the mean of a gamma distribution, especially when $\mathrm{k} \leq 1.0$ and sample size is small. Some graphs from Singh and Singh (2003) showing coverage comparisons for normal, gamma, and lognormal distributions for the various methods are given in Appendix C.

Thus, the UCLs based upon these skewness adjusted methods, such as the Johnson's modified-t and Chen's adjusted-CLT do not provide the specified coverage to the population mean for mildly to moderately skewed (e.g., $\sigma$ in $(0.5,1.0)$ ) data sets, even for samples as large as 100 (Singh, Singh, and Iaci (2002b)). The coverage of the population mean provided by these $U C L s$ becomes worse (much smaller than the specified coverage) for highly skewed data sets.

## 4.7 (1- $\alpha$ ) $100 \%$ UCL of the Mean Based Upon the Chebyshev Theorem (Using the Sample Mean and Sample Sd)

The Chebyshev inequality can be used to obtain a reasonably conservative but stable estimate of the $U C L$ of the mean, $\mu_{1}$. The two-sided Chebyshev theorem (Hogg and Craig, 1978) states that given a random variable, $X$, with finite mean and standard deviation, $\mu_{1}$ and $\sigma_{1}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}_{( }-k \sigma_{1} \leq X-\mu_{1} \leq k \sigma_{1)} \geq 1-1 / k^{2} . \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

This result can be applied on the sample mean, $\bar{x}$ (with mean, $\mu_{1}$ and variance, $\sigma_{1}^{2} / n$ ) to obtain a conservative $U C L$ for the population mean, $\mu_{1}$. For example, if the right side of equation (44) is equated to 0.95 , then $k=4.47$, and $U C L=\bar{x}+4.47 \sigma_{1} / \sqrt{n} \quad$ is a conservative $95 \%$ upper confidence limit for the population mean, $\mu_{1}$. Of course, this would require the user to know the value of $\sigma_{1}$. The obvious modification would be to replace $\sigma_{1}$ with the sample standard deviation, $s_{x}$, but since this is estimated from data, the result is no longer guaranteed to be conservative. In general, the following equation can be used to obtain a (1- $\alpha$ ) $100 \% U C L$ of the population mean, $\mu_{1}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
U C L=\bar{x}+\sqrt{(1 / \alpha)} S_{x} / \sqrt{n} \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

A slight refinement of equation (45) is given (suggested by S. Ferson) as follows,

$$
\begin{equation*}
U C L=\bar{x}+\sqrt{((1 / \alpha)-1)} S_{x} / \sqrt{n} \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

ProUCL computes the Chebyshev (1- $\alpha$ ) $100 \%$ UCL of the population mean using equation (46). This $U C L$ is denoted by Chebyshev (Mean, Sd) on the output sheets generated by ProUCL. Since this Chebyshev method requires no distributional assumptions about the data set under study, this is a non-parametric method. This $U C L$ may be used as an estimate of the upper confidence limit of the population mean, $\mu_{1}$ when data are not normal, lognormal, or gamma distributed especially when $\mathrm{Sd}, \sigma$ (or its estimate, $s_{y}$ ) starts approaching and exceeding
1.5. Recommendations on its use to a compute an estimate of the EPC term are summarized in Section 5.

## 4.8 (1- $\alpha$ ) $100 \%$ UCL of the Mean of a Lognormal Population Based Upon the Chebyshev Theorem (Using the MVUE of the Mean and its Standard Error)

ProUCL uses equation (44) on the MVUEs of the lognormal mean and $S d$ to compute a $U C L$ (denoted by (1- $\alpha) 100 \%$ Chebyshev (MVUE) ) of the population mean of a lognormal population. In general, if $\mu_{1}$ is an unknown mean, $\hat{\mu}_{1}$ is an estimate, and $\hat{\sigma}\left(\hat{\mu}_{1}\right)$ is an estimate of the standard error of $\hat{\mu}_{1}$, then the following equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
U C L=\hat{\mu}_{1}+((1 / \alpha)-1)^{1 / 2} \quad \hat{\sigma}\left(\hat{\mu}_{1}\right) \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

will give an approximate (1- $\alpha$ ) $100 \% U C L$ for $\mu_{1}$, which should tend to be conservative, but this is not assured. For example, for a lognormally distributed data set, a $95 \%$ (with $\alpha=0.05$ ) Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL of the mean can be obtained using the following equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
U C L=\hat{\mu}_{1}+(4.359) \quad \hat{\sigma}\left(\hat{\mu}_{1}\right) \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, $\hat{\mu}_{1}$ and $\hat{\sigma}\left(\hat{\mu}_{1}\right)$ are given by equations (14) and (16), respectively. Thus, for lognormally distributed data sets, ProUCL also uses equation (48) to compute a (1- $\alpha$ ) $100 \%$ Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL of the mean. It should be noted that for lognormally distributed data sets, some recommendations to compute a $95 \% U C L$ of the population mean are summarized in Table A2 of the Recommendations and Summary Section 5.0. It should however be pointed out that goodness-of-fit test for a gamma distribution should be performed first. If data follow a gamma distribution (irrespective of the lognormality of the data set), then the $U C L$ of mean, $\mu_{1}$ should be computed using a gamma distribution as described in Section 4.2.

From Monte-Carlo results discussed in Singh, Singh, and Iaci (2002b) and in Singh and Singh (2003), it is observed that for highly skewed gamma distributed data sets (with $\mathrm{k}<0.5$ ), the coverage provided by the Chebyshev $95 \%$ UCL (given by (46)) is smaller than the specified coverage of 0.95 . This is especially true when the sample size is smaller than 10-20. As expected, for larger samples sizes, the coverage provided by the $95 \%$ Chebyshev $U C L$ is at least 95\%. For larger samples, the Chebyshev $95 \%$ UCL will result in a higher (but stable) $U C L$ of the mean of positively skewed gamma distributions.

It is observed (Singh and Singh (2003)) that for moderately skewed to highly skewed lognormally distributed data sets (e.g., with $\sigma$ exceeding 1), $95 \%$ Chebyshev MVUE UCL does not provide the specified coverage to the population mean. This is true when the sample size is less than 10-50. Some graphs from Singh and Singh (2003) showing coverage comparisons for normal, gamma, and lognormal distributions for the various methods are given in Appendix C. For highly skewed (e.g., $\sigma>2$ ), lognormal data sets of sizes, n less than $50-70$, the $H-U C L$ results in unstable (impractical values which are orders of magnitude higher than other UCLs) unjustifiably large $U C L$ values (Singh et al., (2002a)). For such highly skewed lognormally distributed data sets of sizes less than $50-70$, one may want to use $97.5 \%$ or $99 \%$ Chebyshev MVUE UCL of the mean as an estimate of the EPC term (Singh and Singh (2003)). These recommendations are summarized in Table A2.

It should also be noted that for skewed data sets, the coverage provided by a $95 \% U C L$ based upon Chebyshev inequality is higher than those based upon the percentile bootstrap method or the BCA bootstrap method. Thus for skewed data sets, the Chebyshev inequality based $95 \%$ $U C L$ of the mean (samples of all sizes from both lognormal and gamma distributions) performs better than the $95 \%$ UCL based upon the BCA bootstrap method. Also, when data are lognormally distributed, the coverage provided by Chebyshev MVUE UCL (Singh and Singh (2003)) is better than the one based upon Hall's bootstrap or bootstrap-t method. This is
especially true when the sample size starts exceeding 10-15. However, for highly skewed data sets of sizes less than 10-15, it is noted that Hall's bootstrap method provides slightly better coverage than the Chebyshev MVUE UCL method. Just as for the gamma distribution, it is observed that for lognormally distributed data sets, the coverage provided by Hall's and bootstrap-t methods do not increase much with the sample size.

## 4.9 (1- $\alpha$ ) $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ UCL of the Mean Using the Jackknife and Bootstrap Methods

Bootstrap and jackknife methods as discussed by Efron (1982) are non-parametric statistical resampling techniques which can be used to reduce the bias of point estimates and construct approximate confidence intervals for parameters, such as the population mean. These two methods require no assumptions regarding the statistical distribution (e.g., normal, lognormal, or gamma) of the underlying population, and can be applied to a variety of situations no matter how complicated. There exists in the literature of statistics an extensive array of different bootstrap methods for constructing confidence intervals for the population mean, $\mu_{1}$. In the ProUCL, Version 3.0 software package, five bootstrap methods have been incorporated:

1) the standard bootstrap method,
2) bootstrap-t method (Efron, 1982, Hall, 1988),
3) Hall's bootstrap method (Hall, 1992, Manly, 1997),
4) simple bootstrap percentile method (Manly, 1997), and
5) bias-corrected accelerated (BCA) percentile bootstrap method (Efron and Tibshirani, 1993, Many, 1997).

Let $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$ be a random sample of size $n$ from a population with an unknown parameter, $\theta$ (e.g., $\theta=\mu_{I}$ ), and let $\hat{\theta}$ be an estimate of $\theta$, which is a function of all $n$ observations. For example, the parameter, $\theta$, could be the population mean, and a reasonable choice for the
estimate, $\hat{\theta}$, might be the sample mean, $\bar{x}$. Another choice for $\hat{\theta}$ is the $M V U E$ of the mean of a lognormal population, especially when dealing with lognormal data sets.

### 4.9.1 ( $1-\alpha$ ) $100 \%$ UCL of the Mean Based Upon the Jackknife Method

In the jackknife approach, $n$ estimates of $\theta$ are computed by deleting one observation at a time (Dudewicz and Misra (1988)). Specifically, for each index, $I$, denote by $\hat{\theta}_{(I)}$, the estimate of $\theta$ (computed similarly as $\hat{\theta}$ ) when the $i$ th observation is omitted from the original sample of size $n$, and let the arithmetic mean of these estimates be given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\theta}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{\theta}_{i(i)} . \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

A quantity known as the $i$ th "pseudo-value" is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{i}=n \hat{\theta}-(n-1) \hat{\theta}_{(i)} . \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

The jackknife estimator of $\theta$ is given by the following equation.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.J_{( } \hat{\theta}\right)=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} J_{i}=n \hat{\theta}-(n-1) \tilde{\theta} . \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the original estimate $\hat{\theta}$ is biased, then under certain conditions, part of the bias is removed by the jackknife method, and an estimate of the standard error of the jackknife estimate, $J(\hat{\theta})$, is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\sigma}_{J(\theta)}=\sqrt{\frac{1}{n(n-1)} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(J_{i}-J_{( } \hat{\theta}_{)}\right)^{2}} \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, consider the t-type statistic given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
t=\frac{J_{( }(\boldsymbol{\theta})-\boldsymbol{\theta}}{\hat{\sigma}_{\ldots,}} . \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

The t-type statistic given by (53) has an approximate Student's-t distribution with $n-1$ degrees of freedom, which can be used to derive the following approximate $(1-\alpha) 100 \% U C L$ for $\theta$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
U C L=J_{( } \hat{\theta}_{)}+t_{\alpha, n-1} \hat{\sigma}_{J(\hat{\theta})} . \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the sample size, $n$, is large, then the upper $\alpha^{t h} t$-quantile in equation (54) can be replaced with the corresponding upper $\alpha$ th standard normal quantile, $z_{\alpha}$. Observe, also, that when $\hat{\theta}$ is the sample mean, $\bar{x}$, then the jackknife estimate is also the sample mean, $J(\bar{x})=\bar{x}$, and the estimate of the standard error given by equation (52) simplifies to $S_{x} / n^{1 / 2}$, and the $U C L$ in equation (54) reduces to the familiar $t$ - statistic based $U C L$ given by equation (32). ProUCL uses the jackknife estimate as the sample mean leading to $J(\bar{x})=\bar{x}$, which in turn translates equation (54) to the $U C L$ given by equation (32). This method has been included in ProUCL to satisfy the curiosity of those users who do not recognize that this jackknife method (with sample mean as the estimator) yields a $U C L$ of the population mean identical to the $U C L$ based upon the Student's-t statistic as given by equation (32).

### 4.9.2 (1- $\alpha$ ) 100\% UCL of the Mean Based Upon Standard Bootstrap Method

In bootstrap resampling methods, repeated samples of size $n$ are drawn with replacement from a given set of observations. The process is repeated a large number of times (e.g., 2000 times), and each time an estimate, $\hat{\theta}_{I}$, of $\theta$ is computed. The estimates thus obtained are used to compute an estimate of the standard error of $\hat{\theta}$. A description of the bootstrap method, illustrated by application to the population mean, $\mu_{1}$, and the sample mean, $\bar{x}$, is given as follows.

Step 1. Let $\left(x_{i 1}, x_{i 2}, \ldots, x_{i n}\right)$ represent the $\mathrm{i}^{\text {th }}$ sample of size $n$ with replacement from the original data set $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$. Then compute the sample mean and denote it by $\bar{x}_{\mathrm{i}}$.

Step 2. Perform Step 1 independently $N$ times (e.g., 1000-2000), each time calculating a new estimate. Denote those estimates by $\bar{x}_{1}, \bar{x}_{2}, \ldots ., \bar{x}_{N}$. The bootstrap estimate of the population mean is the arithmetic mean, $\bar{x}_{B}$, of the $N$ estimates $\bar{x}_{i}: i=1,2, \ldots, N$. The bootstrap estimate of the standard error of the estimate, $\bar{x}$, is given by,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\sigma}_{B}=\sqrt{\frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\bar{x}_{i}-\bar{x}_{B)}{ }^{2}\right.} \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

If some parameter, $\theta$ (say, the population median), other than the mean is of concern with an associated estimate (e.g., the sample median), then the same steps described above could be applied with the parameter and its estimate used in place of $\mu_{1}$ and $\bar{x}$. Specifically, the estimate, $\hat{\theta}_{I}$, would be computed, instead of $\bar{x}_{i}$, for each of the $N$ bootstrap samples. The general bootstrap estimate, denoted by $\bar{\theta}_{B}$, is the arithmetic mean of the $N$ estimates. The difference, $\bar{\theta}_{B}-\hat{\theta}$, provides an estimate of the bias of the estimate, $\hat{\theta}$, and an estimate of the standard error of $\hat{\theta}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\sigma}_{B}=\sqrt{\frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i-1}^{N}\left(\hat{\theta}_{i}-\bar{\theta}_{B}\right)^{2} .} \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $(1-\alpha) 100 \%$ standard bootstrap $U C L$ for $\theta$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
U C L=\hat{\theta}+z_{\alpha} \hat{\sigma}_{B} \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

ProUCL computes the standard bootstrap $U C L$ by using the population $A M$ and sample $A M$, respectively given by $\mu_{1}$ and $\bar{x}$. It is observed that the $U C L$ obtained using the standard bootstrap method is quite similar to the $U C L$ obtained using the Student's-t statistic as given by
equation (32), and, as such, does not adequately adjust for skewness. For skewed data sets, the coverage provided by standard bootstrap $U C L$ is much lower than the specified coverage.

Note: For lognormally distributed data sets, one may want to use the jackknife and the standard bootstrap methods on the MVUE of the population mean, $\mu_{1}$, given by equation (14). However, the performance of these methods have not been studied. Also, these methods have not been included in ProUCL.

### 4.9.3 (1- $\alpha$ ) $100 \%$ UCL of the Mean Based Upon Simple Percentile Bootstrap Method

Bootstrap resampling of the original data set is used to generate the bootstrap distribution of the unknown population mean (Manly, 1997). In this method, $\bar{x}_{i}$, the sample mean is computed from the $i^{\text {th }}$ resampling $(\mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{~N})$ of the original data. These $\bar{x}_{i}, \mathrm{i}:=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{~N}$ are arranged in ascending order as $\bar{x}_{(1)} \leq \bar{x}_{(2)} \leq \ldots \leq \bar{x}_{(N)}$. The $(1-\alpha) 100 \% U C L$ of the population mean, $\mu_{1}$ is given by the value, that exceeds the $(1-\alpha) 100 \%$ of the generated mean values. The $95 \% U C L$ of the mean is the $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile of the generated means and is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
95 \% \text { Percentile }-U C L=95^{\text {th }} \% \bar{x}_{i} ; i=1,2, \ldots, N \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

For example, when $\mathrm{N}=1000$, a simple bootstrap $95 \%$ percentile- $U C L$ is given by the $950^{\text {th }}$ ordered mean value given by $\bar{x}_{(950)}$.

Singh and Singh (2003) observed that for skewed data sets, the coverage provided by this simple percentile bootstrap method is much lower than the coverage provided by the bootstrap-t and Hall's bootstrap methods. It is observed that for skewed (lognormal and gamma) data sets, the BCA bootstrap method performs slightly better than the simple percentile method. Some graphs from Singh and Singh (2003) showing coverage comparisons for normal, gamma, and
lognormal distributions for the various methods are provided in Appendix C.

### 4.9.4 (1- $\alpha$ ) $100 \%$ UCL of the Mean Based Upon Bias - Corrected Accelerated (BCA) Percentile Bootstrap Method

The BCA bootstrap method is also a percentile bootstrap method which adjusts for bias in the estimate (Efron and Tibshirani, 1993, Manly, 1997). The performance of this method for skewed distributions (e.g., lognormal and gamma) is not well studied. It was conjectured that the BCA method would perform better than the various other methods. Singh and Singh (2003) investigated and compare its performance (in terms of coverage probabilities) with parametric methods and other bootstrap methods. For skewed data sets, this method does represent a slight improvement (in terms of coverage probability) over the simple percentile method. However, this improvement is not adequate enough and yields $U C L s$ with coverage probability much lower than the specified coverage of 0.95 . The BCA upper confidence limit of intended ( $1-\alpha$ ) $100 \%$ coverage is given by the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
B C A-U C L=\bar{x}^{\left(\alpha_{2}\right)}, \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{x}^{\left(\alpha_{2}\right)}$ is the $\alpha_{2} 100^{\text {th }}$ percentile of the distribution of the $\bar{x}_{i} ; i=1,2, \ldots, N$. For example, when $\mathrm{N}=2000, \bar{x}^{\left(\alpha_{2}\right)}=\left(\alpha_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right)^{\text {th }}$ ordered statistic of $\bar{x}_{i} ; i=1,2, \ldots, N$ given by $\bar{x}_{\left(\alpha_{2} N\right)}$. Here $\alpha_{2}$ is given by the following probability statement.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{2}=\Phi\left(\hat{z}_{0}+\frac{\hat{z}_{0}+z^{(1-\alpha)}}{1-\hat{a}\left(\hat{z}_{0}+z^{(1-\alpha)}\right)}\right) \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $\Phi($.$) is the standard normal cumulative distribution function and \mathrm{z}^{(1-\alpha)}$ is the $100 *(1-\alpha)^{\text {th }}$ percentile of a standard normal distribution. For example, $\mathrm{z}^{(0.95)}=1.645$, and $\Phi(1.645)=0.95$.

Also in equation (60), $\hat{z}_{0}$ (bias correction) and $\hat{a}$ (acceleration factor) are given as follows.
$\hat{z}_{0}=\Phi^{-1}\left(\frac{\#\left(\bar{x}_{i}<\bar{x}\right)}{N}\right)$
where $\Phi^{-1}($.$) is the inverse function of a standard normal cumulative distribution function, e.g.,$ $\Phi^{-1}(0.95)=1.645 . \hat{a}$ is the acceleration factor and is given by the following equation.
$\hat{a}=\frac{\sum\left(\bar{x}-\bar{x}_{-i}\right)^{3}}{6\left[\sum\left(\bar{x}-\bar{x}_{-i}\right)^{2}\right]^{1.5}}$
where summation in (62) is being carried from $\mathrm{i}=1$ to $\mathrm{I}=\mathrm{n}$, the sample size. $\bar{x}$ is the sample mean based upon all $n$ observations, and $\bar{x}_{-i}$ is the mean of (n-1) observations without the $\mathrm{i}^{\text {th }}$ observation, $\mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{n}$.

Singh and Singh (2003) observed that for skewed data sets (e.g., gamma and lognormal), the coverage provided by this BCA percentile method is much lower than the coverage provided by the bootstrap-t and Hall's bootstrap methods. This is especially true when the sample size is small. The BCA method does provide an improvement over the simple percentile method and the standard bootstrap method. However, bootstrap-t and Hall's bootstrap methods perform better (in terms of coverage probabilities) than the BCA method. For skewed data sets, the BCA method also performs better than the modified-t $U C L$. For gamma distributions, the coverage provided by BCA $95 \%$ UCL approaches 0.95 as the sample size increases. For lognormal distributions, the coverage provided by the BCA $95 \% U C L$ is much lower than the specified coverage of 0.95 .

### 4.9.5 (1- $\alpha$ ) $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%} \boldsymbol{U} C L$ of the Mean Based Upon Bootstrap-t Method

Another variation of the bootstrap method, called the "bootstrap-t" by Efron (1982), is a non-
parametric method which uses the bootstrap methodology to estimate quantiles of the pivotal quantity, t statistic, given by equation (31). Rather than using the quantiles of the familiar Student's-t statistic, Hall (1988) proposed to compute estimates of the quantiles of the statistic given by equation (31) directly from the data.

Specifically, in Steps 1 and 2 described above in Section 4.9.2, if $\bar{x}$ is the sample mean computed from the original data, and $\bar{x}_{i}$ and $s_{x, I}$ are the sample mean and sample standard deviation computed from the $i$ th resampling of the original data, the $N$ quantities $t_{i}=(\sqrt{n})\left(\bar{x}_{i}-\bar{x}\right) / s_{x, i}$ are computed and sorted, yielding ordered quantities, $t_{(1)} \leq t_{(2)} \leq \cdots \leq t_{(N)}$. The estimate of the lower $\alpha^{\text {th }}$ quantile of the pivotal quantity in equation (31) is $t_{\alpha, B}=t_{(\alpha N)}$. For example, if $N=1000$ bootstrap samples are generated, then the 50 th ordered value, $t_{(50)}$, would be the bootstrap estimate of the lower 0.05th quantile of the pivotal quantity in equation (31). Then a (1- $\alpha) 100 \% U C L$ of the population mean based upon the bootstrap-t method is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
U C L=\bar{x}-t_{(\alpha N)} s_{x} / \sqrt{n} . \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note the '-‘ sign in equation (63). ProUCL computes the Bootstrap-t $U C L$ based upon the quantiles obtained using the sample mean, $\bar{x}$. It is observed that the $U C L$ based upon the bootstrap-t method is more conservative than the other UCLs obtained using the Student's- t , modified -t, adjusted -CLT, and the standard bootstrap methods. This is specially true for skewed data sets. This method seems to adjust for skewness to some extent.

It is observed that for skewed data sets (e.g., gamma, lognormal), the $95 \%$ UCL based upon bootstrap-t method performs better than the $95 \%$ UCLs based upon the simple percentile and the BCA percentile methods (Singh and Singh (2003)). For highly skewed ( $k<0.1$ or $\sigma>2.5-3.0$ ) data sets of small sizes (e.g., $\mathrm{n}<10$ ) the bootstrap-t method performs better than other (adjusted gamma $U C L$, or Chebyshev inequality $U C L) U C L$ computation methods. It is noted that for
gamma distribution, the performances (coverages provided by the respective UCLs) of bootstrap$t$ and Hall's bootstrap methods are very similar. It is also noted that for larger samples, these two methods (bootstrap-t and Hall's bootstrap) approximately provide the specified $95 \%$ coverage to the mean, $\mathrm{k} \theta$, of the gamma distribution. For gamma distributed data sets, the coverage provided by a bootstrap-t (and Hall's bootstrap UCL) $95 \% U C L$ approaches $95 \%$ as sample size increases for all values of k considered $(\mathrm{k}=0.05-5.0)$ in Singh and Singh (2003). However, it is noted that the coverage provided by these two bootstrap methods is slightly lower than 0.95 for samples of smaller sizes.

For lognormally distributed data sets, the coverage provided by bootstrap-t $95 \% U C L$ is a little bit lower than the coverage provided by the $95 \%$ UCL based upon Hall's bootstrap method. However, it should be noted that for lognormally distributed data sets, for samples of all sizes, the coverage provided by these two methods (bootstrap-t and Hall's bootstrap) is significantly lower than the specified 0.95 coverage. This is especially true for moderately skewed to highly skewed (e.g., $\sigma>1.0$ ) lognormally distributed data sets. This can be seen from the graphs presented in Appendix C.

It should be pointed out that the bootstrap-t and Hall's bootstrap methods sometimes result in unstable, erratic, and unreasonably inflated $U C L$ values especially in the presence of outliers (Efron and Tibshirani, 1993). Therefore, these two methods should be used with caution. In case these two methods result in erratic and inflated $U C L$ values, then an appropriate Chebyshev inequality based $U C L$ may be used to estimate the EPC term for non-parametric skewed data sets.

### 4.9.6 (1- $\alpha \mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ UCL of the Mean Based Upon Hall's Bootstrap Method

Hall (1992) proposed a bootstrap method which adjusts for bias as well as skewness. This
method has been included in UCL guidance document (EPA 2002). For highly skewed data sets (e.g., $\mathrm{LN}(5,4)$ ), it performs slightly better (higher coverage) than the bootstrap-t method. In this method, $\bar{x}_{i}, s_{x, I,}$ and $\hat{k}_{3 i}$, the sample mean, sample standard deviation, and sample skewness are computed from the $i$ th resampling $(\mathrm{I}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{~N})$ of the original data. Let $\bar{x}$ be the sample mean, $s_{x}$ be the sample standard deviation, and $\hat{k}_{3}$ be the sample skewness (as given by equation (43)) computed from the original data. The quantities $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{i}}$ and $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{i}}$ given as follows are computed for each of the N bootstrap samples, where

$$
W_{i}=\left(\bar{x}_{i}-\bar{x}\right) / s_{x i}, \text { and } \quad Q_{i}\left(W_{i}\right)=W_{i}+\hat{k}_{3 i} W_{i}^{2} / 3+\hat{k}_{3 i}^{2} W_{i}^{3} / 27+\hat{k}_{3 i} /(6 n)
$$

The quantities $Q_{i}\left(W_{i}\right)$ given above are arranged in ascending order. For a specified (1- $\alpha$ ) confidence coefficient, compute the $(\alpha \mathrm{N})^{\text {th }}$ ordered value, $q_{\alpha}$ of quantities $Q_{i}\left(W_{i}\right)$. Next, compute $W\left(q_{\alpha}\right)$ using the inverse function, which is given as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
W\left(q_{\alpha}\right)=3\left(\left(1+\hat{k}_{3}\left(q_{\alpha}-\hat{k}_{3} /(6 n)\right)\right)^{1 / 3}-1\right) / \hat{k}_{3} \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

In equation (64), $\hat{k}_{3}$ is computed using equation (43). Finally, the (1- $\alpha$ ) $100 \% U C L$ of the population mean based upon Hall's bootstrap method (Manly, 1997) is given as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
U C L=\bar{x}-W\left(q_{\alpha}\right)^{*} s_{x} . \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

For gamma distribution, Singh and Singh (2003) observed that the coverage probabilities provided by the $95 \%$ UCLs based upon bootstrap-t and Hall's bootstrap methods are in close agreement. For larger samples these two methods approximately provide the specified $95 \%$ coverage to the population mean, $\mathrm{k} \theta$ of a gamma distribution. For smaller sample sizes (from gamma distribution), the coverage provided by these two methods is slightly lower than the specified level of 0.95 . For both lognormal and gamma distributions, these two methods
(bootstrap-t and Hall's bootstrap) perform better than the other bootstrap methods, namely, the standard bootstrap method, simple percentile, and bootstrap BCA percentile methods. This can be seen from graphs presented in Appendix C.

Just like the gamma distribution, for lognormally distributed data sets, it is noted that Hall's $U C L$ and bootstrap-t $U C L$ provide similar coverages. However, for highly skewed lognormal data sets, the coverages based upon Hall's method and bootstrap-t method are significantly lower than the specified 0.95 coverage (Singh and Singh (2003)). This is true even in samples of larger sizes(e.g., $\mathrm{n}=100$ ). For lognormal data sets, the coverages provided by Hall's bootstrap and bootstrap-t methods do not increase much with the sample size, n. For highly skewed (e.g., $\hat{\sigma}>2.0$ ) data sets of small sizes (e.g., $\mathrm{n}<15$ ), Hall's bootstrap method (and also bootstrap-t method) performs better than Chebyshev $U C L$, and for larger samples, Chebyshev $U C L$ performs better than Hall's bootstrap method. Similar to the bootstrap-t method, it should be noted that Hall's bootstrap method sometimes results in unstable, inflated, and erratic values especially in the presence of outliers (Efron and Tibshirani, 1993). Therefore, these two methods should be used with caution. If outliers are present in a data set, then a $95 \% U C L$ of the mean should be computed using alternative $U C L$ computation methods.

## 5. Recommendations and Summary

This section describes the recommendations and summary on the computation of a $95 \% U C L$ of the unknown population arithmetic mean, $\mu_{1}$, of a contaminant data distribution without censoring. These recommendations are based upon the findings of Singh, Singh, and Engelhardt (1997, 1999); Singh et al. (2002a); Singh, Singh, and Iaci (2002b); and Singh and Singh (2003). Recommendations have been summarized for: 1) normally distributed data sets, 2) gamma distributed data sets, 3) lognormally distributed data sets, and 4) data sets which are non-parametric and do not follow any of the three distributions included in ProUCL.

For skewed parametric as well as non-parametric data sets, there is no simple solution to compute a $95 \% U C L$ of the population mean, $\mu_{1}$. Singh et al. (2002a), Singh, Singh, and Iaci (2002b), and Singh and Singh (2003) noted that the UCLs based upon the skewness adjusted methods, such as the Johnson's modified-t and Chen's adjusted-CLT do not provide the specified coverage (e.g., $95 \%$ ) to the population mean even for mildly to moderately skewed (e.g., $\hat{\sigma}$ in interval $[0.5,1.0)$ ) data sets for samples of size as large as 100 . The coverage of the population mean by these skewness-adjusted $U C L s$ gets poorer (much smaller than the specified coverage of 0.95 ) for highly skewed data sets, where the skewness levels are defined in Section 3.2.2 as a function of $\sigma$ or $\hat{\sigma}$ (standard deviation of log-transformed data).

### 5.1 Recommendations to Compute a 95\% UCL of the Unknown Population Mean, $\mu_{I}$ Using Symmetric and Positively Skewed Data Sets

Some graphs from Singh and Singh (2003) showing coverage comparisons for normal, gamma, and lognormal distributions for the various methods considered are given in Appendix C. The user may want to consult those graphs for a better understanding of the summary and recommendations made in this section.

### 5.1.1 Normally or Approximately Normally Distributed Data sets

As expected, for a normal distribution, $\mathrm{N}\left(\mu_{1}, \sigma_{1}{ }^{2}\right)$, Student's-t statistic, modified- t statistic, and bootstrap-t $95 \% U C L$ computation methods result in $U C L s$ which provide coverage probabilities close to the nominal level, 0.95 . Contrary to the general conjecture, the bootstrap, BCA method does not perform better than the other bootstrap methods (e.g., bootstrap-t). Actually, for normally distributed data sets, the coverages for the population mean, $\mu_{1}$ provided by the $U C L$ s based upon the BCA method and Hall's bootstrap method are lower than the specified $95 \%$ coverage. This is especially true when the sample size, $n$ is less than 30 . For
details refer to Singh and Singh (2003).

- For normally distributed data sets, a $U C L$ based upon the Student's-t statistic as given by equation (32) provides the optimal $U C L$ of the population mean. Therefore, for normally distributed data sets, one should always use a $95 \% U C L$ based upon the Student's-t statistic.
- The $95 \% U C L$ of the mean given by equation (32) based upon Student's-t statistic may also be used when the $S d, s_{y}$ of the log-transformed data is less than 0.5 , or when the data set approximately follows a normal distribution. A data set is approximately normal when the normal Q-Q plot displays a linear pattern (without outliers and jumps) and the resulting correlation coefficient is high (e.g., 0.95 or higher).
- Student's-t $U C L$ may also be used when the data set is symmetric (but possibly not normally distributed). A measure of symmetry (or skewness) is $\hat{k}_{3}$ which is given by equation (43). A value of $\hat{k}_{3}$ close to zero (e.g., if absolute value of skewness is roughly less than 0.2 or 0.3 ) suggests approximate symmetry. The approximate symmetry of a data distribution can also be judged by looking at the histogram of the data set.


### 5.1.2 Gamma Distributed Skewed Data Sets

In practice, many skewed data sets can be modeled both by a lognormal distribution and a gamma distribution especially when the sample size is smaller than 70-100. As well known, the $95 \% \mathrm{H}$-UCL of the mean based upon a lognormal model often results in unjustifiably large and impractical $95 \%$ UCL value. In such cases, a gamma model, $\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{k}, \theta)$ may be used to compute a reliable $95 \% U C L$ of the unknown population mean, $\mu_{1}$.

- Many skewed data sets follow a lognormal as well as a gamma distribution. It should be
noted that the population means based upon the two models can differ significantly. Lognormal model based upon a highly skewed (e.g., $\hat{\sigma} \geq 2.5$ )data set will have an unjustifiably large and impractical population mean, $\mu_{1}$ and its associated $U C L$. The gamma distribution is better suited to model positively skewed environmental data sets.

One should always first check if a given skewed data set follows a gamma distribution. If a data set does follow a gamma distribution or an approximate gamma distribution, one should compute a $95 \%$ UCL based upon a gamma distribution. Use of highly skewed (e.g., $\hat{\sigma} \geq 2.5$ 3.0) lognormal distributions should be avoided. For such highly skewed lognormally distributed data sets which can not be modeled by a gamma or an approximate gamma distribution, non-parametric $U C L$ computation methods based upon the Chebyshev inequality may be used.

- The five bootstrap methods do not perform better than the two gamma $U C L$ computation methods. It is noted that the performances (in terms of coverage probabilities) of bootstrap-t and Hall's bootstrap methods are very similar. Out of the five bootstrap methods, bootstrap-t and Hall's bootstrap methods perform the best (with coverage probabilities for the population mean closer to the nominal level of 0.95 ). This is especially true when skewness is quite high (e.g., $\hat{k}<0.1$ ) and sample size is small (e.g., $\mathrm{n}<10-15$ ). This can be seen from graphs given in Appendix C.
- The bootstrap BCA method does not perform better than the Hall's method or the bootstrap-t method. The coverage for the population mean, $\mu_{1}$ provided by the BCA method is much lower than the specified $95 \%$ coverage. This is especially true when the skewness is high (e.g., $\hat{k}<1$ ) and sample size is small (Singh and Singh (2003)).
- From the results presented in Singh, Singh, and Iaci (2002b) and in Singh and Singh (2003),
it is concluded that for data sets which follow a gamma distribution, a $95 \% U C L$ of the mean should be computed using the adjusted gamma $U C L$ when the shape parameter, k is: $0.1 \leq \mathrm{k}$ $<0.5$, and for values of $\mathrm{k} \geq 0.5$, a $95 \% U C L$ can be computed using an approximate gamma $U C L$ of the mean, $\mu_{1}$.
- For highly skewed gamma distributed data sets with $\mathrm{k}<0.1$, bootstrap-t $U C L$ or Hall's bootstrap (Singh and Singh (2003)) may be used when the sample size is smaller than 15 , and the adjusted gamma $U C L$ should be used when sample size starts approaching and exceeding 15. The small sample size requirement increases as skewness increases (that is as k decreases, the required sample size, $n$ increases).
- The bootstrap-t and Hall's bootstrap methods should be used with caution as some times these methods yield erratic, unreasonably inflated, and unstable $U C L$ values especially in the presence of outliers. In case Hall's bootstrap and bootstrap-t methods yield inflated and erratic $U C L$ results, the $95 \% U C L$ of the mean should be computed based upon the adjusted gamma $95 \%$ UCL. ProUCL prints out a warning message associated with the recommended use of the $U C L s$ based upon the bootstrap-t method or Hall's bootstrap method.

These recommendations for the use of gamma distribution are summarized in Table A1.

Table A1.
Summary Table for the Computation of a $\mathbf{9 5 \%} \boldsymbol{U C L}$ of the Unknown Mean, $\mu_{1}$ of a Gamma Distribution

| $\hat{k}$ | Sample Size, $\boldsymbol{n}$ | Recommendation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\hat{k} \geq 0.5$ | For all n | Approximate Gamma $95 \% U C L$ |
| $0.1 \leq \hat{k}<0.5$ | For all n | Adjusted Gamma $95 \% U C L$ |


| $\hat{k}<0.1$ | $\mathrm{n}<15$ | $95 \%$ UCL Based Upon Bootstrap-t <br> or Hall's Bootstrap Method * |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\hat{k}<0.1$ | $\mathrm{n} \geq 15$ | Adjusted Gamma 95\% UCL if available, <br> otherwise use Approximate Gamma 95\% UCL |

* In case bootstrap-t or Hall's bootstrap methods yield erratic, inflated, and unstable $U C L$ values, the $U C L$ of the mean should be computed using adjusted gamma $U C L$.


### 5.1.3 Lognormally Distributed Skewed Data Sets

For lognormally, $\mathrm{LN}\left(\mu, \sigma^{2}\right)$ distributed data sets, the H-statistic based $U C L$ does provide the specified 0.95 coverage for the population mean for all values of $\sigma$. However, the H -statistic often results in unjustifiably large $U C L$ values which do not occur in practice. This is especially true when skewness is high (e.g., $\sigma>2.0$ ). The use of a lognormal model unjustifiably accommodates large and impractical values of the mean concentration and its UCLs. The problem associated with the use of a lognormal distribution is that the population mean, $\mu_{1}$, of a lognormal model becomes impractically large for larger values of $\sigma$ which in turn results in inflated $H-U C L$ of the population mean, $\mu_{1}$. Since the population mean of a lognormal model becomes too large, none of the other methods except for $H-U C L$ provides the specified $95 \%$ coverage for that inflated population mean, $\mu_{1}$. This is especially true when the sample size is small and skewness is high. For extremely highly skewed data sets (with $\sigma>2.5-3.0$ ) of smaller sizes (e.g., $<70-100$ ), the use of a lognormal distribution based $H-U C L$ should be avoided (e.g., see Singh et al. (2002a), Singh and Singh (2003)). Therefore, alternative $U C L$ computation methods such as the use of a gamma distribution or use of a $U C L$ based upon non-parametric bootstrap methods or Chebyshev inequality based methods are desirable.

As expected for skewed (e.g., with $\sigma$ (or $\hat{\sigma}$ ) $\geq 0.5$ ) lognormally distributed data sets, the Student's-t $U C L$, modified-t $U C L$, adjusted -CLT $U C L$, standard bootstrap method all fail to
provide the specified 0.95 coverage for the unknown population mean for samples of all sizes. Just like the gamma distribution, the performances (in terms of coverage probabilities) of bootstrap-t and Hall's bootstrap methods are very similar (Singh and Singh (2003)). However, it is noted that the coverage provided by Hall's bootstrap (and also by bootstrap-t) is much lower than the specified $95 \%$ coverage for the population mean, $\mu_{1}$, for samples of all sizes of varying skewness. Moreover, the coverages provided by Hall's bootstrap or bootstrap-t method do not increase much with the sample size.

Also the coverage provided by the BCA method is much lower than the coverage provided by Hall's method or bootstrap-t method. Thus the BCA bootstrap method can not be recommended to compute a $95 \% U C L$ of the mean of a lognormal population. For highly skewed data sets of small sizes (e.g., $<15$ ) with $\sigma$ exceeding 2.5-3.0, even the Chebyshev inequality based $U C L$ s fail to provide the specified 0.95 coverage for the population. However, as the sample size increases, the coverages provided by the chebyshev inequality based UCLs also increase. For such highly skewed data sets ( $\hat{\sigma}>2.5$ ) of sizes less than 10-15, Hall's bootstrap or bootstrap-t methods provide larger coverage than the coverage provided by the $99 \%$ Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL. Therefore, for highly skewed lognormally distributed data sets of small sizes, one may use Hall's method to compute an estimate of the EPC term. The small sample size requirement increases with $\sigma$. Graphs from Singh and Singh (2003) showing coverage comparisons for normal, gamma, and lognormal distributions for the various methods are given in Appendix C.

It should be noted that even a small increase in the $S d, \sigma$, increases skewness considerably. For example, for a lognormal distribution, when $\sigma=2.5$, skewness $\sim 11825.1$; and when $\sigma=3$, skewness $\sim 729555$. In practice, the occurrence of such highly skewed data sets (e.g., $\sigma \geq 3$ ) is not very common. Nevertheless, these highly skewed data sets can arise occasionally and, therefore, require separate attention. Singh et al. (2002a) observed that when the $S d, \sigma$, starts
approaching 2.5 (that is, for lognormal data, when $C V>22.74$ and skewness $>11825.1$ ), even a $99 \%$ Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL fails to provide the desired $95 \%$ coverage for the population mean, $\mu_{1}$. This is especially true when the sample size, n is smaller than 30 . For such extremely skewed data sets, the larger of the two UCLs: the $99 \%$ Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL and the non-parametric $99 \%$ Chebyshev (Mean, $S d$ ) UCL, may be used as an estimate of the EPC.

It is also noted that, as the sample size increases, the $H-U C L$ starts behaving in a stable manner. Therefore, depending upon the $S d$, $\sigma$ (actually its MLE $\hat{\sigma}$ ), for lognormally distributed data sets, one can use the $H-U C L$ for samples of larger sizes such as greater than 70-100. This large sample size requirement increases as the $\mathrm{Sd}, \hat{\sigma}$, increases, as can be seen in Table A2. ProUCL can compute an $H-U C L$ for samples of sizes up to 1000 . For lognormally distributed data sets of smaller sizes, some alternative methods to compute a $95 \% U C L$ of the population mean, $\mu_{1}$ are summarized in Table A2.

Furthermore, it is noted that for larger sample sizes (e.g., $\mathrm{n}>150$ ), the $H-U C L$ becomes even smaller than the Student's-t $U C L$ and various other $U C L s$. It should be pointed out that the large sample behavior of $H-U C L$ has not been investigated rigorously. For confirmation purposes (that is H -UCL does provide the $95 \%$ coverage for larger samples also), it is desirable to conduct such a study for samples of larger sizes.

Since skewness (as defined in Section 3.2.2) is a function of $\sigma$ (or $\hat{\sigma}$ ), the recommendations for the computation of the $U C L$ of the population mean are also summarized in Table A2 for various values of the MLE $\hat{\sigma}$ of $\sigma$ and the sample size, n. Here $\hat{\sigma}$ is an MLE of $\sigma$, and is given by the $S d$ of log-transformed data given by equation (2). Note that Table A2 is applicable to the computation of a $95 \% U C L$ of the population mean based upon lognormally distributed data sets without non-detect observations. A method to compute a $95 \%$ UCL of the mean of a lognormal distribution is summarized as follows:

- Skewed data sets should be first tested for a gamma distribution. For lognormally distributed data sets (which can not be modeled by a gamma distribution), the method as summarized in Table A2 may be used to compute a $95 \% U C L$ of the mean.
- Specifically, for highly skewed (e.g., $1.5<\sigma \leq 2.5$ ) data sets of small sizes (e.g., $\mathrm{n} \leq 50-70$ ), the EPC term may be estimated by using a $97.5 \%$ or $99 \%$ MVUE Chebyshev $U C L$ of the population mean. For larger samples (e.g., $\mathrm{n}>70$ ), $H-U C L$ may be used to estimate the EPC.
- For extremely highly skewed (e.g., $\sigma>2.5$ ) lognormally distributed data sets, the population mean becomes unrealistically large. Therefore, the use of $H-U C L$ should be avoided especially when the sample size is less than 100 . For such highly skewed data sets, Hall's bootstrap $U C L$ may be used when the sample size is less than 10-15 (Singh and Singh (2003)). The small sample size requirement increases with $\hat{\sigma}$. For example, $\mathrm{n}=10$ is considered small when $\hat{\sigma}=3.0$, and $\mathrm{n}=15$ is considered small when $\hat{\sigma}=3.5$.
- Hall's bootstrap methods should be used with caution as some times it yields erratic, inflated, and unstable $U C L$ values, especially in the presence of outliers. For these highly skewed data sets of size, $n$ (e.g., less than 10-15), in case Hall's bootstrap method yields an erratic and inflated $U C L$ value, the $99 \%$ Chebyshev MVUE UCL may be used to estimate the EPC term. ProUCL displays a warning message associated with the recommended use of Hall's bootstrap method.

Table A2. Summary Table for the Computation of a
$\mathbf{9 5 \%} \boldsymbol{U C L}$ of the Unknown Mean, $\mu_{i}$ of a Lognormal Population

| $\hat{\sigma}$ | Sample Size, $n$ | Recommendation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\hat{\sigma}<0.5$ | For all n | Student's-t, modified-t, or $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{UCL}$ |
| $0.5 \leq \hat{\sigma}<1.0$ | For all n | H-UCL |
| $1.0 \leq \hat{\sigma}<1.5$ | $\mathrm{n}<25$ | 95\% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL |
|  | $\mathrm{n} \geq 25$ | H-UCL |
| $1.5 \leq \hat{\sigma}<2.0$ | $\mathrm{n}<20$ | 99\% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL |
|  | $20 \leq \mathrm{n}<50$ | 95\% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL |
|  | $\mathrm{n} \geq 50$ | $H-U C L$ |
| $1.5 \leq \hat{\sigma}<2.0$ | $\mathrm{n}<20$ | 99\% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL |
|  | $20 \leq \mathrm{n}<50$ | 97.5\% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL |
|  | $50 \leq \mathrm{n}<70$ | 95\% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL |
|  | $\mathrm{n} \geq 70$ | H-UCL |
| $2.5 \leq \hat{\sigma}<3.0$ | $\mathrm{n}<30$ | Larger of (99\% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL or 99\% Chebyshev (Mean, Sd)) |
|  | $30 \leq \mathrm{n}<70$ | 97.5\% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL |
|  | $70 \leq \mathrm{n}<100$ | 95\% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL |
|  | $\mathrm{n} \geq 100$ | $H-U C L$ |
| $3.0 \leq \hat{\mathbf{\sigma}} \leq 3.5$ | $\mathrm{n}<15$ | Hall's bootstrap method * |
|  | $15 \leq \mathrm{n}<50$ | Larger of (99\% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL, 99\% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd)) |
|  | $50 \leq \mathrm{n}<100$ | 97.5\% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL |
|  | $100 \leq \mathrm{n}<150$ | 95\% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL |
|  | $\mathrm{n} \geq 150$ | $H-U C L$ |
| $\hat{\sigma}>3.5$ | For all n | Use non-parametric methods * |

* In case Hall's bootstrap method yields an erratic unrealistically large UCL value, then the UCL of the mean may be computed based upon the Chebyshev inequality.


### 5.1.4 Data Sets Without a Discernable Skewed Distribution - Non-parametric Skewed Data Sets

The use of gamma and lognormal distributions as discussed here will cover a wide range of skewed data distributions. For skewed data sets which are neither gamma nor lognormal, one can use a non-parametric Chebyshev $U C L$ or Hall's bootstrap $U C L$ (for small samples) of the mean to estimate the EPC term.

- For skewed non-parametric data sets with negative and zero values, use a $95 \%$ Chebyshev (Mean, $S d$ ) $U C L$ for the population mean, $\mu_{1}$.

For all other non-parametric data sets with only positive values, the following method may be used to estimate the EPC term.

- For mildly skewed data sets with $\hat{\sigma} \leq 0.5$, one can use Student's-t statistic or modified-t statistic to compute a $95 \% U C L$ of mean, $\mu_{1}$.
- For non-parametric moderately skewed data sets (e.g., $\sigma$ or its estimate, $\hat{\sigma}$ in the interval $(0.5,1])$, one may use a $95 \%$ Chebyshev (Mean, Sd) UCL of the population mean, $\mu_{1}$.
- For non-parametric moderately to highly skewed data sets (e.g., $\hat{\sigma}$ in the interval (1.0, 2.0]), one may use a $99 \%$ Chebyshev (Mean, Sd) UCL or $97.5 \%$ Chebyshev (Mean, Sd) UCL of the population mean, $\mu_{1}$, to obtain an estimate of the EPC term.
- For highly skewed to extremely highly skewed data sets with $\hat{\sigma}$ in the interval (2.0, 3.0], one may use Hall's $U C L$ or $99 \%$ Chebyshev (Mean, $S d$ ) $U C L$ to compute the EPC term.
- Extremely skewed non-parametric data sets with $\sigma$ exceeding 3.0, provide poor coverage. For such highly skewed data distributions, none of the methods considered provide the specified $95 \%$ coverage for the population mean, $\mu_{1}$. The coverages provided by the various methods decrease as $\sigma$ increases. For such data sets of sizes less than 30 , a $95 \% U C L$ can be computed based upon Hall's bootstrap method or bootstrap-t method. Hall's bootstrap method provides highest coverage (but less than 0.95) when the sample size is small. It is noted that the coverage for the population mean provided by Hall's method (and bootstrap-t method) does not increase much as the sample size, n increases. However, as the sample size increases, coverage provided by $99 \%$ Chebyshev (Mean, Sd) UCL method also increases. Therefore, for larger samples, a $U C L$ should be computed based upon $99 \%$ Chebyshev (Mean, $S d$ ) method. This large sample size requirement increases as $\hat{\sigma}$ increases. These recommendations are summarized in Table A3.

Table A3.
Summary Table for the Computation of a $95 \% \boldsymbol{U C L}$ of the Unknown Mean, $\mu_{1}$ of a Skewed Non-parametric Distribution with all Positive Values, Where $\hat{\sigma}$ is the Sd of Log-transformed Data

| $\hat{\sigma}$ | Sample Size, $n$ | Recommendation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\hat{\sigma} \leq 0.5$ | For all n | $95 \%$ UCL based on Student's-t or Modified-t statistic |
| $0.5<\hat{\sigma} \leq 1.0$ | For all n | $95 \%$ Chebyshev (Mean, Sd) UCL |
|  | $\mathrm{n}<50$ | $99 \%$ Chebyshev (Mean, Sd) UCL |
|  | $\mathrm{n} \geq 50$ | $97.5 \%$ Chebyshev (Mean, Sd) UCL |
| $2.0<\hat{\sigma} \leq 3.0$ | $\mathrm{n}<10$ | Hall's Bootstrap UCL* |
|  | $\mathrm{n} \geq 10$ | 99\% Chebyshev (Mean, Sd) UCL |
| $3.0<\hat{\sigma} \leq 3.5$ | $\mathrm{n}<30$ | Hall's Bootstrap UCL * |
|  | $\mathrm{n} \geq 30$ | $99 \%$ Chebyshev (Mean, Sd) UCL |
| $\hat{\sigma}>3.5$ | $\mathrm{n}<100$ | Hall's Bootstrap UCL * |
|  | $\mathrm{n} \geq 100$ | $99 \%$ Chebyshev (Mean, Sd) UCL |

[^0]
### 5.2 Summary of the Procedure to Compute a 95\% UCL of the Unknown Population Mean, $\mu_{1}$ Based Upon Data Sets Without Non-detect Observations

- The first step in computing a $95 \% U C L$ of a population arithmetic mean, $\mu_{1}$ is to perform goodness-of-fit tests to test for normality, lognormality, or gamma distribution of the data set under study. ProUCL has three methods to test for normality or lognormality: the informal graphical test based upon a Q-Q plot, the Lilliefors test, and the Shapiro-Wilk W test. ProUCL also has three methods to test for a gamma distribution: the informal graphical Q-Q plot based upon gamma quantiles, the Kolmogorov-Smirnov (K-S) EDF test, and the

Anderson-Darling (A-D) EDF test.

- ProUCL generates a quantile-quantile (Q-Q) plot to graphically test the normality, lognormality, or gamma distribution of the data. There is no substitute for graphical displays of a data set. On this graph, a linear pattern (e.g., with high correlation such as 0.95 or higher) displayed by bulk of data suggests approximate normality, lognormality, or gamma distribution. On this graph, points well-separated from the majority of data may be potential outliers requiring special attention. Also, any visible jumps and breaks of significant magnitudes on a Q-Q plot suggest that more than one population may be present. In that case, each of the populations should be considered separately. That is a separate EPC term should be computed for each of the populations. It is, therefore, recommended to always use the graphical Q-Q plot as it provides useful information about the presence of multiple populations (e.g., site and background data mixed together) and/or outliers. Both graphical Q-Q plot and formal goodness-of-fit tests should be used on the same data set.
- A single test statistic such as the Shapiro-Wilk test (or the A-D test etc.) may lead to the incorrect conclusion that the data are normally (or gamma) distributed even when there are more than one population present. Only a graphical display such as an appropriate Q-Q can provide this information. Obviously, when multiple populations are present, those should be separated out and the EPC terms (the $U C L s$ ) should be computed separately for each of those populations. Therefore, it is strongly recommended not to skip the Goodness-of-Fit Tests

Option in ProUCL. Since the computation of an appropriate $U C L$ depends upon data distribution, it is advisable that the user should take his time (instead of blindly using a numerical value of a test statistic in an effort to automate the distribution selection process) to determine the data distribution. Both graphical (e.g., Q-Q plots) and analytical procedures (Shapiro-Wilk test, K-S test etc.) should be used on the same data set to determine the most appropriate distribution of the data set under study.

- After performing the Goodness-of-Fit test, ProUCL informs the user about the data distribution: normal, lognormal, gamma distribution, or non-parametric.
- For a normally distributed (or approximately normally distributed) data set, the user is advised to use Student's-t distribution based $U C L$ of the mean. Student's-t distribution (or modified-t statistic) may also be used to compute the EPC term when the data set is symmetric (e.g., $\left|\hat{k}_{3}\right|$ is smaller than $0.2-0.3$ ) or mildly skewed, that is when $\sigma$ or $\hat{\sigma}$ is less than 0.5 .
- For gamma distributed (or approximately gamma distributed) data sets, the user is advised to: use the approximate gamma $U C L$ for $\hat{k} \geq 0.5$; use the adjusted gamma $U C L$ for $0.1 \leq \hat{k}<$ 0.5; use bootstrap-t method (or Hall's method) when $\hat{k}<0.1$ and the sample size, $\mathrm{n}<15$; and use the adjusted gamma $U C L$ (if available) for $\hat{k}<0.1$ and sample size, $\mathrm{n} \geq 15$. If the adjusted gamma $U C L$ is not available then use the approximate gamma $U C L$ as an estimate of the EPC term. In case bootstrap-t method or Hall's bootstrap method yields an erratic inflated $U C L$ (e.g., when outliers are present) result, the $U C L$ should be computed using the adjusted gamma $U C L$ (if available) or the approximate gamma $U C L$. Some graphs from Singh and Singh (2003) showing coverage comparisons for normal, gamma, and lognormal distributions for the various methods considered are given in Appendix C.
- For lognormal data sets, ProUCL recommends (as summarized in Table A2, Section 5.1.3) a method to estimate the EPC term based upon the sample size and standard deviation of the log-transformed data, $\hat{o}$. ProUCL can compute a $H-U C L$ of the mean for samples of size up to 1000 .
- Non-parametric $U C L$ computation methods such as the modified-t, $C L T$ method, adjustedCLT method, bootstrap and jackknife methods are also included in ProUCL. However, it is
noted that non-parametric $U C L s$ based upon most of these methods do not provide adequate coverage to the population mean for moderately skewed to highly skewed data sets (e.g., see Singh et al. (2002a), and Singh and Singh (2003)).
- For data sets which are not normally, lognormally, or gamma distributed, a non-parametric $U C L$ of the mean based upon the Chebyshev inequality is preferred. The Chebyshev (Mean, $S d) U C L$ does not depend upon any distributional assumptions and can be used for moderately to highly skewed data sets which do not follow any of the three data distributions incorporated in ProUCL.
- It should be noted that for extremely skewed data sets (e.g., with $\hat{\sigma}$ exceeding 3.0), even a Chebyshev inequality based $99 \% U C L$ of the mean fails to provide the desired coverage (e.g., 0.95) of the population mean. A method to compute the EPC term for non-parametric distributions is summarized in Table A3 of Section 5.1.4. It should be pointed out that in case Hall's bootstrap method appears to yield erratic and inflated results (typically happens when outliers are present), the $99 \%$ Chebyshev $U C L$ may be used as an estimate of the EPC term.


### 5.3 Should the Maximum Observed Concentration be Used as an Estimate of the EPC Term?

- Singh and Singh (2003) also included the Max Test (using the maximum observed value as an estimate of the EPC term) in their simulation study. Previous (e.g., EPA 1992 RAGS Document) use of the maximum observed value has been recommended as a default value to estimate the EPC term when a $95 \% U C L$ (e.g., the $H-U C L$ ) exceeded the maximum value. However, in past (e.g., EPA 1992), only two $95 \%$ UCL computation methods, namely: the Student's- t $U C L$ and Land's $H-U C L$ were used to estimate the EPC term. ProUCL, Version
3.0 can compute a $95 \%$ UCL of mean using several methods based upon normal, Gamma, lognormal, and non-parametric distributions. Thus, ProUCL, Version 3.0 has about fifteen (15) $95 \%$ UCL computation methods, one of which (depending upon skewness and data distribution) can be used to compute an appropriate estimate of the EPC term. Furthermore, since the EPC term represents the average exposure contracted by an individual over an exposure area (EA) during a long period of time, therefore, the EPC term should be estimated by using an average value (such as an appropriate $95 \% U C L$ of the mean) and not by the maximum observed concentration.
- With the availability of so many $U C L$ computation methods ( 15 of them), the developers of ProUCL Version 3.0 do not feel any need to use the maximum observed value as an estimate of the EPC term. Singh and Singh (2003) also noted that for skewed data sets of small sizes (e.g., <10-20), the Max Test does not provide the specified $95 \%$ coverage to the population mean, and for larger data sets, it overestimates the EPC term. This can also viewed in the graphs presented in Appendix C. Also, for the distributions considered, the maximum value is not a sufficient statistic for the unknown population mean. The use of the maximum value as an estimate of the EPC term ignores most (except for the maximum value) of the information contained in a data set. It is not desirable to use the maximum observed value as estimate of the EPC term representing average exposure over an EA.
- It should also be noted that for highly skewed data sets, the sample mean indeed can even exceed the upper $90 \%$, $95 \%$ etc. percentiles, and consequently, a $95 \% U C L$ of mean can exceed the maximum observed value of a data set. This is especially true when one is dealing with lognormally distributed data sets of small sizes. As mentioned before, for such highly skewed data sets which can not be modeled by a gamma distribution, a $95 \% U C L$ of the mean should be computed using an appropriate non-parametric method. These observations are summarized in Tables A1-A3 of this Appendix A.
- Alternatively, for such highly skewed data sets, other measures of central tendency such as the median (or some other upper percentile such as $70 \%$ percentile) and its upper confidence limit may be considered. The EPA and all other interested agencies and parties need to come to an agreement upon the use of the median and its $U C L$ to estimate the EPC term for a contaminant of concern at a polluted site. It should be mentioned that the use of the sample median and/or its UCL as estimates of the EPC term needs further research and investigation.
- It is recommended that the maximum observed value NOT be used as an estimate of the EPC term. For the sake of interested users, ProUCL displays a warning message when the recommended 95\% UCL (e.g., Hall's bootstrap UCL etc.) of the mean exceeds the observed maximum concentration. For such cases (when a $95 \% U C L$ does exceed the maximum observed value), if applicable, an alternative $95 \% U C L$ computation method is recommended by ProUCL.
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## APPENDIX B

## CRITICAL VALUES

## OF

## ANDERSON-DARLING TEST STATISTIC

AND<br>KOLMOGOROV-SMIRNOV TEST STATISTIC<br>FOR

GAMMA DISTRIBUTION

WITH UNKNOWN PARAMETERS

## Critical Values for Anderson Darling Test - Significance Level of 0.20



# Critical Values for Kolmogorov Smirnov Test - Significance Level of 0.20 

| 0.010 | 0.025 | 0.050 | 0.100 | 0.200 | 0.300 | 0.500 | 0.750 | 1.000 | 1.500 | 2.000 | 3.000 | 4.000 | 5.000 | 10.000 | 20.000 | 50.000 | 100.000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.3745 | 0.3681 | 0.3610 | 0.3538 | 0.3419 | 0.3360 | 0.3314 | 0.3293 | 0.3285 | 0.3275 | 0.3270 | 0.3266 | 0.3263 | 0.3263 | 0.3258 | 0.3258 | 0.3257 | 0.3256 |
| 0.3495 | 0.3407 | 0.3315 | 0.3276 | 0.3228 | 0.3179 | 0.3128 | 0.3093 | 0.3074 | 0.3055 | 0.304 | 0.303 | 0.3029 | 0.3026 | 0.3019 | 0.3015 | 0.3014 | 0.3014 |
| 0.3350 | 0.3220 | 0.3102 | 0.3048 | 0.2990 | 0.2942 | 0.2889 | 0.2856 | 0.2839 | 0.2822 | 0.2812 | 0.2804 | 0.2800 | 0.2795 | 0.2792 | 0.2788 | 0.2788 | 0. |
| 0.3207 | 0.3062 | 0.2918 | 0.2848 | 0.2792 | 74 | 0.269 | 66 | 0.2649 | 31 | 0.2620 | 0.2613 | 60 | 0.2606 | 2601 | 2598 | 2597 | 96 |
| 0.3105 | 0.2932 | 0.2759 | 0.2683 | 0.2641 | 0.2598 | 0.254 | 0.2516 | 0.2498 | 2480 | 247 | 0.2462 | 0.2458 | 0.2456 | . 2449 | 0.2446 | 0.2444 | 0.2444 |
| 0.3014 | 0.2831 | 0.2641 | 0.2553 | 0.2510 | 0.2468 | 0.2419 | 0.2389 | 0.2372 | 0.2354 | 0.2346 | 0.2336 | 0.2332 | 0.2327 | 0.2323 | 0.2321 | 0.2319 | 0.2319 |
| 0.2937 | 0.2738 | 0.2533 | 0.2436 | 0.2394 | 0.2352 | 0.2307 | 0.227 | 0.2262 | 0.2244 | 0.2236 | 0.2228 | 0.2223 | 0.2220 | 0.2214 | 0.2211 | 0.2211 | 0.2209 |
| 0.2869 | 0.2660 | 0.2440 | 0.2333 | 0.2296 | 0.225 | 0.220 | 218 | 0.216 | 0.214 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 2126 | 0.2124 | 0.2120 | 0.2117 | 0.2115 | 0.2115 |
| 0.2811 | 0.2592 | 0.2355 | 0.2243 | 0.2206 | 0.2168 | 0.2123 | 0.2097 | 0.2082 | 0.2064 | 0.2057 | 0.2048 | 0.2042 | 0.2040 | 0.2036 | 0.2035 | 0.2032 | 0.2033 |
| 0.2757 | 0.2531 | 0.2285 | 0.2162 | 0.2127 | 0.2091 | 0.204 | 0.2022 | 0.2006 | 0.1991 | 0.1981 | 0.1973 | 0.1970 | 0.1967 | 0.1961 | 0.1960 | 0.1959 | 0.1958 |
| 0.2710 | 0.2478 | 0.2220 | 0.2091 | 0.2056 | 0.202 | 0.1980 | 0.195 | 0.194 | 0.1922 | 0.191 | 0.190 | 0.1903 | 0.1900 | 0.1895 | 0.1893 | 0.1891 | 0.1891 |
| 0.2665 | 0.242 | 0.2159 | 0.2026 | 0.1993 | 0.195 | 0.191 | 0.189 | 0.18 | 0.1862 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.1842 | 0.1840 | 0.1834 | 0.1832 | 0.1832 | 0.1831 |
| 0.2625 | 0.2383 | 0.2107 | 0.1966 | 0.1933 | 0.1900 | 0.1862 | 0.1836 | 0.1822 | 0.1807 | 0.1800 | 0.1792 | 0.1787 | 0.1785 | 0.1782 | 0.1779 | 0.1777 | 0.1777 |
| 0.2587 | 0.2341 | 0.2059 | 0.1912 | 0.1881 | 0.185 | 0.181 | 85 | 0.1772 | 0.1756 | 0.17 | 0.174 | 0.1738 | 0.1736 | 0.1731 | 0.1729 | 0.1727 | 0.1727 |
| 0.2553 | 0.230 | 0.201 | 0.18 | 0.1831 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.171 | 0.17 | 0.169 | 0.1692 | 0.1690 | 0.1684 | 0.1683 | 0.1681 | 0.1681 |
| 0.2519 | 0.226 | 0.197 | 0.1816 | 0.1786 | 0.175 | 0.171 | 0.169 | 0.1681 | 0.1668 | 0.165 | 0.1653 | 0.1649 | 0.1646 | 0.1643 | 0.1641 | 0.1640 | 0.1639 |
| 0.2489 | 0.2236 | 0.1935 | 0.17 | 0.1743 | 0.171 | 0.16 | 0.1654 | 0.16 | 0.1628 | 0.162 | 0.1613 | 0.1609 | 0.1608 | 0.1603 | 0.1601 | 0.1600 | 0.1600 |
| 0.2463 | 0.2205 | 0.1899 | 0.173 | 0.1704 | 0.167 | 0.163 | 0.161 | 0.16 | 0.1590 | 0.158 | 0.15 | 0.157 | 0.1571 | 0.1568 | 0.1565 | 0.1564 | 0.1564 |
| 0.2437 | 0.217 | 0.186 | 0.169 | 0.16 | 0.163 | 0.160 | 0.158 | 0 | 0.155 | 0.155 | 0.15 | 0.1539 | 0.1537 | 0.153 | 0.1531 | 0.1530 | 0.1529 |
| 0.2412 | 0.2151 | 0.183 | 0.166 | 0.163 | 0.160 | 0.15 | 0.154 | 0.153 | 0.1524 | 0.151 | 0.1509 | 0.1507 | 0.1505 | 0.1502 | 0.1498 | 0.1498 | 0.1498 |
| 0.2389 | 0.2124 | 0.1808 | 0.162 | 0.160 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.151 | 0.150 | 0.149 | 0.148 | 0.148 | 0.1477 | 0.1475 | 0.1470 | 0.1469 | 0.1468 | 0.1467 |
| 0.2366 | 0.2101 | 0.178 | 0.159 | 0.1570 | 0.154 | 0.151 | 0.148 | 0.14 | 0.146 | 0.145 | 0.145 | 0.144 | 0.1446 | 0.1443 | 0.1441 | 0.1440 | 0.1439 |
| 0.2346 | 0.208 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0. | 0. | 0.148 | 0. | 0. | 0. | 0.14 | 0.142 | 0.142 | 0.1419 | 0.141 | 0.1414 | 0.1413 | 0.1412 |
| 0.2325 | 0.2058 | 0.173 | 0.154 | 0.151 | 0. | 0.14 | 0.143 | 0.14 | 0. | 0.14 | 0.1400 | 0.1395 | 0.1394 | 0.1390 | 0.1389 | 0.1388 | 0.1388 |
| 0.2308 | 0.2038 | 0.171 | 0.151 | 0.1488 | 0.146 | 0.143 | 0.141 | 0.13 | 0.138 | 0.138 | 0.13 | 0.1373 | 0.1371 | 0.1367 | 0.1366 | 0.1365 | 0.1364 |
| 0.2289 | 0.2018 | 0.168 | 0.149 | 0.146 | 0.143 | 0.140 | 0.138 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.134 | 0.134 | 0.1342 | 0.1341 | 0.1341 |
| 0.2272 | 0.200 | 0.16 | 0.1 | 0. | 0. | 0. | 0 | 0 | 0. | 0.1337 | 13 | 132 | 0.132 | 132 | 132 | 132 | 320 |
| 0.2197 | 0.192 | 0.158 | 0.136 | 0. | 0. | 0.128 | 0.126 | 0.125 | 0.1248 | 0.12 | 0.123 | 0.123 | 0.1231 | 0.122 | 0.1228 | 0.1226 | 0.1226 |
| 0.2136 | 0.1857 | 0.1509 | 0.1282 | 0.1255 | 0.123 | 0.120 | 0.119 | 0.118 | 0.117 | 0.116 | 0.1160 | 0.1156 | 0.1155 | 0.1152 | 0.1151 | 0.1150 | 0.1150 |
| 0.2084 | 0.1803 | 0.1449 | 0.1214 | 0.1185 | 0.1166 | 0.114 | 0.112 | 0.111 | 0.110 | 0.1101 | 0.1096 | 0.1093 | 0.1091 | 0.108 | 0.1087 | 0.1087 | 0.1086 |
| 0.2040 | 0.175 | 0.140 | 0.115 | 0.1128 | 0.110 | 0.108 | 0.10 | 0. | 0.105 | 0.10 | 0.104 | 0.1039 | 0.103 | 0.103 | 0.1033 | 0.1032 | 33 |
| 0.1970 | 0.1682 | 0.1319 | 0.1060 | 0.1032 | 0.101 | 0.099 | 0.097 | 0.0971 | 0.0962 | 0.0958 | 0.0954 | 0.0951 | 0.0950 | 0.0948 | 0.0946 | 0.0945 | 0.0945 |
| 0.1915 | 0.1623 | 0.1257 | 0.0987 | 0.0958 | 0.0942 | 0.0921 | 0.0908 | 0.0901 | 0.0893 | 0.0889 | 0.0885 | 0.0883 | 0.0882 | 0.0879 | 0.0878 | 0.0877 | 0.0877 |
| 0.1870 | 0.1576 | 0.1207 | 0.0927 | 0.0898 | 0.0882 | 0.086 | 0.0851 | 0.0844 | 0.0837 | 0.0833 | 0.0829 | 0.0827 | 0.0826 | 0.0824 | 0.0822 | 0.0822 | 0.0822 |
| 0.1832 | 0.1538 | 0.116 | 0.087 | 0.0847 | 0.083 | 0.081 | 0.080 | 0.07 | 0.0790 | 0.078 | 0.0783 | 0.078 | 0.0780 | 0.0778 | 0.0777 | 0.0776 | 0.0776 |
| 0.1801 | 0.1504 | 0.1131 | 0.0835 | 0.0805 | 0.0792 | 0.077 | 0.0763 | 0.0758 | 0.0751 | 0.0748 | 0.0744 | 0.0741 | 0.0741 | 0.0739 | 0.0738 | 0.0737 | 0.0737 |
| 0.1630 | 0.1325 | 0.0940 | 0.0611 | 0.0573 | 0.0563 | 0.0551 | 0.0544 | 0.0539 | 0.0534 | 0.0532 | 0.0529 | 0.0528 | 0.0527 | 0.0526 | 0.0525 | 0.0525 | 0.0525 |
| 0.1554 | 0.1247 | 0.0857 | 0.0513 | 0.0469 | 0.0461 | 0.0451 | 0.0445 | 0.0442 | 0.0438 | 0.0435 | 0.0433 | 0.0433 | 0.0432 | 0.0431 | 0.0430 | 0.0430 | 0.0430 |
| 0.1510 | 0.1200 | 0.0807 | 0.0455 | 0.0407 | 0.0400 | 0.0392 | 0.0386 | 0.0383 | 0.0379 | 0.0378 | 0.0376 | 0.0375 | 0.0375 | 0.0374 | 0.0373 | 0.0373 | 0.0373 |
| 0.1480 | 0.1169 | 0.0773 | 0.0416 | 0.0364 | 0.0358 | 0.0351 | 0.0346 | 0.0343 | 0.0340 | 0.0338 | 0.0337 | 0.0336 | 0.0336 | 0.0335 | 0.0334 | 0.0334 | 0.0334 |
| 0.1407 | 0.1093 | 0.0692 | 0.0323 | 0.0258 | 0.0254 | 0.0249 | 0.0245 | 0.0243 | 0.0241 | 0.0240 | 0.0239 | 0.0238 | 0.0238 | 0.0237 | 0.0237 | 0.0237 | 0.0237 |
| 0.1344 | 0.1027 | 0.0621 | 0.0242 | 0.0164 | 0.0161 | 0.0158 | 0.0156 | 0.0154 | 0.0153 | 0.0152 | 0.0151 | 0.0151 | 0.0151 | 0.0151 | 0.0150 | 0.0150 | 0.0150 |

## Critical Values for Anderson Darling Test - Significance Level of 0.15

| 0.010 | 0.025 | 0.050 | 0.100 | 0.200 | 0.300 | 0.500 | 0.750 | 1.000 | 1.500 | 2.000 | 3.000 | 4.000 | 5.000 | 10.000 | 20.000 | 50.000 | 0.000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.6495 | 0.6354 | 0.6212 | 0.5995 | 0.5626 | 0.5456 | 0.5321 | 0.5268 | 0.5252 | 0.5226 | 0.5217 | 0.5206 | 0.5203 | 0.5208 | 0.5202 | 0.5197 | 0.5193 | 0.519 |
| 0.6893 | 0.6597 | 0.6317 | 0.6137 | 0.5836 | 0.5649 | 0.5505 | 0.5436 | 0.5404 | 0.5377 | 0.5357 | 0.5352 | 0.5339 | 0.5341 | 0.5328 | 0.5321 | 0.5319 | 0.5 |
| 0.7453 | 0.6944 | 0.6484 | 0.6262 | 0.5967 | 0.5765 | 0.5591 | 0.5509 | 0.547 | 0.5441 | 5419 | 0.5406 | 5401 | 0.5394 | 0.5391 | 0.5382 | 0.5380 | 0.5382 |
| 0.8015 | 0.7290 | 0.6625 | 0.6337 | 0.6049 | 0.5838 | 0.5667 | 0.5581 | 0.5530 | 0.549 | 0.5460 | 0.5460 | 0.5441 | 0.5443 | 0.5436 | 0.5427 | 0.5422 | 0.5 |
| 0.8594 | 0.7632 | 0.6757 | 0.6393 | 0.6124 | 0.5912 | 0.5711 | 0.5622 | 0.557 | 0.5532 | 0.5504 | 0.5490 | 0.547 | 0.5480 | 0.5460 | 0.5456 | 0.5450 | 0. |
| 0.9189 | 0.8002 | 0.6896 | 0.6442 | 0.6176 | 0.5950 | 0.5754 | 0.5658 | 0.5608 | 0.5561 | 0.5542 | 0.5517 | 0.5505 | 0.5493 | 0.5478 | 0.5480 | 0.5473 | 0.548 |
| 0.9786 | 0.8354 | 0.7026 | 0.6475 | 0.6222 | 0.599 | 0.5786 | 0.5673 | 0.5629 | 0.5578 | 0.5559 | 0.5538 | 0.5524 | 0.5517 | 0.5498 | 0.5492 | 0.5486 | 0.5489 |
| 1.0392 | 0.8719 | 0.7163 | 0.6508 | 0.6266 | 0.6025 | 0.5813 | 0.5709 | 0.5644 | 0.5597 | 0.5574 | 0.5544 | 0.5530 | 0.5525 | 0.5515 | 0.5511 | 0.5505 | 0.5498 |
| 1.0998 | 0.9079 | 0.7288 | 0.6534 | 0.6290 | 0.6050 | 0.5826 | 0.5726 | 0.5673 | 0.5605 | 0.5587 | 0.5568 | 0.5545 | 0.5531 | 0.5523 | 0.5527 | 0.5515 | 0.5520 |
| 1.1601 | 0.9445 | 0.7437 | 0.6556 | 0.6309 | 0.607 | 0.5850 | 0.5742 | 0.5674 | 0.5631 | 0.5590 | 0.5564 | 0.5559 | 0.5548 | 0.5534 | 0.5531 | 0.5529 | 0.5526 |
| 1.2198 | 0.9815 | 0.7543 | 0.6579 | 0.6332 | 0.6084 | 0.5870 | 0.5746 | 0.5693 | 0.56 | 0.5602 | 0.5580 | 0.5565 | 0.5558 | 0.5535 | 0.5533 | 0.5521 | 0.5526 |
| 1.2789 | 1.0165 | 0.7658 | 0.6600 | 0.6354 | 0.6105 | 0.5870 | 0.5759 | 0.5699 | 0.5637 | 0.5609 | 0.5586 | 0.5567 | 0.5562 | 0.5547 | 0.5540 | 0.5540 | 0.5534 |
| 1.3374 | 1.0527 | 0.7796 | 0.6618 | 0.63 | 0.6118 | 0.5892 | 0.5762 | 0.570 | 0.56 | 0.5625 | 0.5584 | 0.5571 | 0.5573 | 0.5557 | 0.5545 | 0.5535 | 539 |
| 1.3967 | 1.0875 | 0.7922 | 0.6631 | 0.638 | 0.614 | 0.589 | 0.5770 | 0.5712 | 0.565 | 0.5621 | 0.5592 | 0.557 | 0.5576 | 0.5554 | 0.5550 | 0.5540 | 0.5542 |
| 1.4533 | 1.1240 | 0.8037 | 0.665 | 0.639 | 0.614 | 0.590 | 0.5773 | 0.571 | 0.5657 | 0.5635 | 0.5599 | 0.5588 | 0.5578 | 0.5555 | 0.5554 | 0.5547 | 0.5549 |
| 1.5098 | 1.1576 | 0.8169 | 0.6665 | 0.640 | 0.6155 | 0.5919 | 0.5783 | 0.572 | 0.5660 | 0.5626 | 0.5605 | 0.5584 | 0.5573 | 0.5567 | 0.5562 | 0.5555 | 0.5546 |
| 1.5661 | 1.1928 | 0.8279 | 0.668 | 0.641 | 0.6161 | 0.592 | 0.57 | 0.5732 | 0.56 | 0.563 | 0.560 | 0.5588 | 0.5585 | 0.5570 | 0.5559 | 0.5556 | 0.5557 |
| 1.6235 | 1.2257 | 0.8396 | 0.6691 | 0.642 | 0.616 | 0.593 | 0.580 | 0.5728 | 0.5668 | 0.564 | 0.561 | 0.5594 | 0.5584 | 0.5573 | 0.5570 | 0.5560 | 0.5560 |
| 1.6779 | 1.2584 | 0.851 | 0.670 | 0.643 | 0.61 | 0.593 | 0.580 | 0.5735 | 0.5669 | 0.564 | 0.5614 | 0.5598 | 0.5594 | 0.5577 | 0.5561 | 0.5565 | 0. |
| 1.7323 | 1.2970 | 0.8644 | 0.671 | 0.64 | 0.618 | 0.593 | 0.580 | 0.5731 | 0.56 | 0.5637 | 0.5611 | 0.5608 | 0.5592 | 0.5575 | 0.5562 | 0.5561 | 0.5560 |
| 1.7885 | 1.3279 | 0.8745 | 0.672 | 0.644 | 0.619 | 0.594 | 0.580 | 0.5739 | 0.5683 | 0.5643 | 0.5618 | 0.5596 | 0.5590 | 0.5582 | 0.5569 | 0.5562 | 0.5565 |
| 1.8422 | 1.3607 | 0.8871 | 0.6737 | 0.645 | 0.619 | 0.594 | 0.581 | 0.5751 | 0.56 | 0.565 | 0.5619 | 0.5605 | 0.5595 | 0.5581 | 0.5568 | 0.5565 | 0.556 |
| 1.8963 | 1.3958 | 0.8982 | 0.674 | 0.64 | 0.619 | 0.595 | 0.581 | 0.574 | 0.56 | 0.564 | 0.5614 | 0.5598 | 0.5596 | 0.5575 | 0.5568 | 0.5567 | 0.5567 |
| 1.9503 | 1.4261 | 0.9129 | 0.676 | 0.645 | 0.620 | 0.59 | 0.581 | 0.575 | 0.568 | 0.5656 | 0.5623 | 0.5602 | 0.5591 | 0.5575 | 0.5574 | 0.5564 | 0.5568 |
| 2.0036 | 1.4603 | 0.9224 | 0.6766 | 0.646 | 0.621 | 0.5955 | 0.581 | 0.575 | 0.5681 | 0.5663 | 0.5623 | 0.5613 | 0.5601 | 0.5579 | 0.5576 | 0.5570 | 0.5563 |
| 2.0588 | 1.4943 | 0.9338 | 0.6782 | 0.645 | 0.621 | 0.596 | 0.581 | 0.575 | 0.569 | 0.565 | 0.5629 | 0.560 | 0.559 | 0.5575 | 0.5569 | 0.5566 | 0.556 |
| 2.1110 | 1.5255 | 0.9463 | 0.680 | 0.646 | 0.621 | 0.595 | 0.582 | 0.575 | 0.568 | 0.566 | 0.5629 | 0.559 | 0.559 | 0.5584 | 0.557 | 0.5566 | 0.5574 |
| 2.3678 | 1.683 | 1.003 | 0.68 | 0 | 0.62 | 0.5974 | 0.5835 | 0.5764 | 0.5696 | 5667 | 56 | 56 | . 56 | 0.5591 | 0.558 | 0.55 | 0.5576 |
| 2.6243 | 1.8376 | 1.0582 | 0.68 | 0.649 | 0.623 | 0.597 | 0.583 | 0.5773 | 0.5701 | 0.5662 | 0.5636 | 0.5612 | 0.5608 | 0.5593 | 0.5579 | 0.5575 | 0.5580 |
| 2.8741 | 1.9901 | 1.1118 | 0.6917 | 0.6505 | 0.6253 | 0.597 | 0.5839 | 0.5768 | 0.5706 | 0.5669 | 0.5637 | 0.5621 | 0.5612 | 0.5599 | 0.5586 | 0.5585 | 0.558 |
| 3.1177 | 2.1386 | 1.1654 | 0.6950 | 0.6527 | 0.6258 | 0.597 | 0.5860 | 0.5778 | 0.5710 | 0.5676 | 0.5641 | 0.5619 | 0.5616 | 0.5599 | 0.5588 | 0.5588 | 0.558 |
| 3.5997 | 2.4304 | 1.2695 | 0.7029 | 0.6530 | 0.626 | 0.599 | 0.5851 | 0.5780 | 0.5709 | 0.5673 | 0.5645 | 0.5618 | 0.5616 | 0.5605 | 0.5589 | 0.5589 | 0.5 |
| 4.0720 | 2.7155 | 1.3751 | 0.7081 | 0.6538 | 0.6281 | 0.5996 | 0.5850 | 0.5781 | 0.5716 | 0.5684 | 0.5643 | 0.5629 | 0.5615 | 0.5600 | 0.5592 | 0.5593 | 0.5588 |
| 4.5375 | 2.9941 | 1.4768 | 0.7162 | 0.6539 | 0.6273 | 0.6005 | 0.5858 | 0.5786 | 0.5726 | 0.5690 | 0.5641 | 0.5637 | 0.5616 | 0.5602 | 0.5589 | 0.5588 | 0.5589 |
| 4.9957 | 3.2729 | 1.5758 | 0.7212 | 0.6536 | 0.6283 | 0.6001 | 0.5863 | 0.5789 | 0.5724 | 0.5691 | 0.5651 | 0.5631 | 0.5618 | 0.5602 | 0.5590 | 0.5594 | 0.559 |
| 5.4567 | 3.5445 | 1.6772 | 0.7269 | 0.6549 | 0.6299 | 0.600 | 0.586 | 0.5793 | 0.5723 | 0.5693 | 0.5651 | 0.5628 | 0.5622 | 0.5608 | 0.5595 | 0.5590 | 0. |
| 9.8591 | 6.1657 | 2.6088 | 0.7864 | 0.6568 | 0.6291 | 0.6020 | 0.5870 | 0.5796 | 0.5720 | 0.5690 | 0.5656 | 0.5634 | 0.5622 | 0.5600 | 0.5598 | 0.5591 | 0.559 |
| 14.1248 | 8.6896 | 3.4931 | 0.8459 | 0.6577 | 0.6301 | 0.6019 | 0.5873 | 0.5795 | 0.5729 | 0.5685 | 0.5646 | 0.5637 | 0.5632 | 0.5616 | 0.5593 | 0.5599 | 0.5602 |
| 18.3207 | 11.1508 | 4.3546 | 0.9029 | 0.6562 | 0.6306 | 0.6017 | 0.5880 | 0.5798 | 0.5725 | 0.5684 | 0.5657 | 0.5638 | 0.5636 | 0.5615 | 0.5601 | 0.5596 | 0.5602 |
| 22.4788 | 13.5882 | 5.1945 | 0.9597 | 0.6575 | 0.6301 | 0.6021 | 0.5878 | 0.5804 | 0.5729 | 0.5698 | 0.5660 | 0.5642 | 0.5632 | 0.5611 | 0.5601 | 0.5600 | 0.5602 |
| 42.8884 | 25.5062 | 9.2649 | 1.2387 | 0.6576 | 0.6303 | 0.6032 | 0.5874 | 0.5798 | 0.5726 | 0.5696 | 0.5652 | 0.5642 | 0.5631 | 0.5607 | 0.5604 | 0.5597 | 0.5603 |
| 02.850 | 60.3279 | . 9754 | . 0188 | . 6594 | . 6314 | 0. 6028 | 0.5884 | 0.5806 | 0. 5726 | 0.5697 | 0.5658 | 0.5674 | 0.564 | 0.5613 | 0.559 | 0.5593 | . 5 |

## Critical Values for Kolmogorov Smirnov Test - Significance Level of $\mathbf{0 . 1 5}$

| 0.010 | 0.025 | 0.050 | 0.100 | 0.200 | 0.300 | 0.500 | 0.750 | 1.000 | 1.500 | 2.000 | 3.000 | 4.000 | 5.000 | 10.000 | 20.000 | 50.000 | . 000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.3901 | 0.3832 | 0.3761 | 0.3698 | 0.3599 | 0.3533 | 0.3462 | 0.3417 | 0.3401 | 0.3385 | 0.3379 | 0.3373 | 0.3369 | 0.3369 | 0.3364 | 0.3363 | 0.3362 | 0.336 |
| 0.3646 | 0.3559 | 0.3475 | 0.3445 | 0.3389 | 0.3336 | 0.3279 | 0.3240 | 0.3220 | 0.3200 | 0.3188 | 0.318 | 0.3172 | 0.3171 | 0.3163 | 0.3159 | 0.3158 | 0.3 |
| 0.3507 | 0.3378 | 0.3254 | 0.3199 | 0.3133 | 0.3078 | 0.3018 | 0.2983 | 0.2964 | 0.2948 | 0.2936 | 0.292 | 0.292 | 0.2917 | 0.2914 | 0.2910 | 0.2909 | 0.2909 |
| 0.3358 | 0.3203 | 0.3055 | 0.2988 | 0.2934 | 0.2884 | 0.2828 | 0.279 | 0.277 | 0.2753 | 0.2742 | 0.2733 | 0.2728 | 0.2726 | 0.2719 | 0.2716 | 0.2715 | 0.2713 |
| 0.3254 | 0.3078 | 0.2899 | 0.2825 | 0.2781 | 0.2732 | 0.2673 | 0.2639 | 0.2620 | 0.2599 | 0.2588 | 0.257 | . 257 | 2571 | 0.2564 | 0.2560 | 0.2557 | 0.2558 |
| 0.3158 | 0.2969 | 0.2773 | 0.2686 | 0.2639 | 0.2592 | 0.2539 | 0.2504 | 0.2486 | 0.246 | 0.2458 | 0.244 | 0.2442 | 0.2436 | 0.2432 | 0.2429 | 0.2428 | 0.2428 |
| 0.3077 | 0.2873 | 0.2659 | 0.2561 | 0.2518 | 0.2472 | 0.2421 | 2386 | 237 | 0.235 | 0.234 | 0.23 | 0.2329 | 2325 | 0.2318 | 0.2315 | 0.2314 | 0.2313 |
| 0.3006 | 0.2792 | 0.2564 | 0.2453 | 0.2415 | 0.2371 | 0.2320 | 0.2290 | 0.2270 | 0.225 | 0.2244 | 0.2234 | 0.2227 | 0.2225 | 0.2220 | 0.2218 | 0.2215 | 0.2215 |
| 0.2944 | 0.2721 | 0.2475 | 0.2360 | 0.2322 | 0.2280 | 0.2229 | 0.2201 | 0.2183 | 0.216 | 0.2155 | 0.214 | 0.2140 | 0.2137 | 0.2131 | 0.2132 | 0.2128 | 0.2129 |
| 0.2887 | 0.2657 | 0.2402 | 0.2276 | 0.2239 | 0.2198 | 0.2151 | 0.2121 | 0.2104 | 0.208 | 0.207 | 0.206 | 0.2064 | 0.2061 | 0.2054 | 0.2052 | 0.2052 | 0.2050 |
| 0.2835 | 0.2600 | 0.2333 | 0.2200 | 0.216 | 0.212 | 0.208 | 0.205 | 0.203 | 0.201 | 0.2006 | 0.19 | 0.1994 | 0.1991 | 0.1985 | 0.1983 | 0.1981 | 0.1980 |
| 0.2787 | 0.2547 | 0.2270 | 0.2132 | 0.2097 | 0.2058 | 0.2013 | 0.198 | 0.1969 | 0.195 | 0.1943 | 0.193 | 0.1930 | 0.1928 | 0.1922 | 0.1919 | 0.1919 | 0.1918 |
| 0.2745 | 0.2501 | 0.2216 | 0.2070 | 0.2035 | 0.1998 | 0.195 | 0.1926 | 0.191 | 0.189 | 0.1887 | 0.1 | 0.187 | 0.1871 | 0.1867 | 0.1863 | 0.1861 | 0.1861 |
| 0.2704 | 0.245 | 0.216 | 0.2012 | 0.198 | 0.194 | 0.190 | 0.18 | 0.185 | 0.184 | 0.183 | 0.182 | 0.1821 | 0.1820 | 0.1814 | 0.1811 | 0.1809 | 0.1809 |
| 0.2667 | 0.2416 | 0.2118 | 0.196 | 0.192 | 0.1892 | 0.1850 | 0.1824 | 0.1809 | 0.179 | 0.1786 | 0.17 | 0.1774 | 0.1771 | 0.1764 | 0.1763 | 0.1762 | 0.1762 |
| 0.2632 | 0.2376 | 0.207 | 0.191 | 0.1880 | 0.1844 | 0.180 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.174 | 0.1739 | 0.173 | 0.1728 | 0.1725 | 0.1721 | 0.1719 | 0.1718 | 0.1717 |
| 0.2599 | 0.2344 | 0.203 | 0.186 | 0.183 | 0.180 | 0.1 | 0.17 | 0.172 | 0.170 | 0.169 | 0.16 | 0.168 | 0.1685 | 0.1680 | 0.1678 | 0.1678 | 0.1677 |
| 0.2570 | 0.2309 | 0.1998 | 0.182 | 0.179 | 0.175 | 0.172 | 0.169 | 0.168 | 0.166 | 0.166 | 0.165 | 0.164 | 0.1646 | 0.1643 | 0.1640 | 0.1638 | 0.1639 |
| 0.2542 | 0.227 | 0.196 | 0.178 | 0.175 | 0.172 | 0.1685 | 0.166 | 0.164 | 0.163 | 0.1625 | 0.16 | 0.161 | 0.161 | 0.160 | 0.1604 | 0.160 | 0.1601 |
| 0.2516 | 0.2253 | 0.1933 | 0.174 | 0.171 | 0.168 | 0.165 | 0.162 | 0.161 | 0.159 | 0.159 | 0.158 | 0.1580 | 0.1577 | 0.1574 | 0.1570 | 0.1569 | 0.1569 |
| 0.2491 | 0.2225 | 0.190 | 0.171 | 0.168 | 0.165 | 0.161 | 0.159 | 0.158 | 0.156 | 0.155 | 0.155 | 0.1548 | 0.1545 | 0.1541 | 0.1539 | 0.1538 | 0.1537 |
| 0.2466 | 0.2200 | 0.187 | 0.168 | 0.165 | 0.162 | 0.158 | 0.156 | 0.155 | 0.15 | 0.152 | 0.152 | 0.1518 | 0.1516 | 0.1512 | 0.1510 | 0.1509 | 0.1509 |
| 0.2445 | 0.217 | 0.184 | 0.165 | 0.162 | 0.159 | 0. | 0.153 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.150 | 0.14 | 0.149 | 0.1487 | 0.1484 | 0.1482 | 0.1480 | 0.1480 |
| 0.2423 | 0.2152 | 0.182 | 0.162 | 0.159 | 0.156 | 0.152 | 0.150 | 0.14 | 0.148 | 0.1474 | 0.14 | 0.146 | 0.1462 | 0.1457 | 0.1455 | 0.1454 | 0.1454 |
| 0.2404 | 0.2132 | 0.1798 | 0.159 | 0.156 | 0.1538 | 0.150 | 0. | 0.146 | 0.145 | 0.144 | 0.144 | 0.1439 | 0.1436 | 0.1432 | 0.1431 | 0.1430 | 0.1429 |
| 0.2383 | 0.2111 | 0.177 | 0.157 | 0.153 | 0.151 | 0.14 | 0.145 | 0.144 | 0.143 | 0.142 | 0.141 | 0.141 | 0.141 | 0.1407 | 0.1407 | 0.1406 | 0.1405 |
| 0.2365 | 0.209 | 0.175 | 0.15 | 0.151 | 0.148 | 0.145 | 0.14 | 0.142 | 0.140 | 0.140 | 0.13 | 0.139 | 0.138 | 0.138 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.1383 |
| 0.2284 | 0.200 | 0.166 | 0.14 | 0 | 0 | 0.1352 | 0.1332 | 0.1321 | 13 | 0.1303 | 0.1295 | 12 | 1291 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.1 | 84 |
| 0.2219 | 0.1936 | 0.158 | 0.135 | 0.1321 | 0.1296 | 0.1268 | 0.124 | 0.124 | 0.122 | 0.1221 | 0.1216 | 0.1212 | 0.1211 | 0.1208 | 0.1206 | 0.1205 | 0.1205 |
| 0.2163 | 0.1879 | 0.1521 | 0.1278 | 0.1248 | 0.1226 | 0.119 | 0.1180 | 0.117 | 0.115 | 0.115 | 0.114 | 0.1146 | 0.1144 | 0.1141 | 0.1139 | 0.1139 | 0.1138 |
| 0.2115 | 0.1829 | 0.1469 | 0.1216 | 0.1187 | 0.1165 | 0.1138 | 0.1123 | 0.111 | 0.110 | 0.1098 | 0.109 | 0.1089 | 0.1088 | 0.1085 | 0.1083 | 0.1082 | 0.1082 |
| 0.2039 | 0.1749 | 0.138 | 0.111 | 0.108 | 0.106 | 0.104 | 0.102 | 0.101 | 0.100 | 0.100 | 0.10 | 0.099 | 0.0996 | 0.0993 | 0.0991 | 0.0990 | 0.0990 |
| 0.1978 | 0.1686 | 0.1317 | 0.1039 | 0.1008 | 0.0991 | 0.0967 | 0.0953 | 0.0945 | 0.0937 | 0.0932 | 0.0927 | 0.0925 | 0.0924 | 0.0922 | 0.0920 | 0.0919 | 0.0919 |
| 0.1930 | 0.1635 | 0.1263 | 0.0977 | 0.0945 | 0.0928 | 0.0906 | 0.0893 | 0.0886 | 0.0878 | 0.0874 | 0.0869 | 0.0867 | 0.0865 | 0.0863 | 0.0862 | 0.0862 | 0.0861 |
| 0.1889 | 0.1593 | 0.1219 | 0.0924 | 0.0891 | 0.0876 | 0.0856 | 0.0844 | 0.0836 | 0.0829 | 0.0825 | 0.0821 | 0.0818 | 0.0817 | 0.0815 | 0.0814 | 0.0813 | 0.0813 |
| 0.1855 | 0.1557 | 0.1182 | 0.0880 | 0.084 | 0.0832 | 0.0813 | 0.0801 | 0.079 | 0.0788 | 0.078 | 0.078 | 0.0777 | 0.0776 | 0.0774 | 0.0773 | 0.0773 | 0.0772 |
| 0.1669 | 0.1364 | 0.0977 | 0.0643 | 0.0603 | 0.0592 | 0.0579 | 0.0571 | 0.0565 | 0.0560 | 0.0558 | 0.0555 | 0.0553 | 0.0552 | 0.0551 | 0.0550 | 0.0550 | 0.0550 |
| 0.1587 | 0.1279 | 0.0887 | 0.0540 | 0.0494 | 0.0485 | 0.0474 | 0.0467 | 0.0463 | 0.0459 | 0.0456 | 0.0454 | 0.0453 | 0.0452 | 0.0451 | 0.0450 | 0.0450 | 0.0450 |
| 0.1538 | 0.1228 | 0.0834 | 0.0479 | 0.0428 | 0.0421 | 0.0411 | 0.0405 | 0.0402 | 0.0398 | 0.0396 | 0.0394 | 0.0393 | 0.0393 | 0.0392 | 0.0391 | 0.0391 | 0.0391 |
| 0.1506 | 0.1194 | 0.0797 | 0.0438 | 0.0384 | 0.0376 | 0.0368 | 0.0363 | 0.0360 | 0.0357 | 0.0355 | 0.0353 | 0.0352 | 0.0352 | 0.0350 | 0.0350 | 0.0350 | 0.0350 |
| 0.1425 | 0.1111 | 0.0709 | 0.0338 | 0.0272 | 0.0267 | 0.0261 | 0.0257 | 0.0255 | 0.0253 | 0.0252 | 0.0250 | 0.0250 | 0.0249 | 0.0249 | 0.0248 | 0.0248 | 0.0248 |
| 0.1356 | . 1039 | . 0632 | . 0252 | . 0173 | 0.0169 | 0.0165 | . 0163 | . 0162 | 0. 0160 | 0.0159 | 0.0159 | 0.0159 | 0.0158 | 0.0158 | 0.0157 | 0.0157 | 01 |

## Critical Values for Anderson Darling Test - Significance Level of $\mathbf{0 . 1 0}$

| 0. | 0.025 | 0.050 | 0.100 | 0.200 | 0.300 | 0.500 | 0.750 | 1.000 | 1.500 | 2.000 | 3.000 | 4.000 | 5.000 | 10.000 | 20.000 | 50.000 | 100.000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.7088 | 0.6976 | 0.6855 | 0.6661 | 0.6259 | 0.6057 | 0.5899 | 0.5829 | 0.5809 | 0.5777 | 0.5764 | 0.5748 | 0.5747 | 0.5750 | 0.5744 | 0.5738 | 0.5733 | 0.5733 |
| 0.7611 | 0.7307 | 0.7050 | 0.6915 | 0.6540 | 0.6303 | 0.6122 | 0.6035 | 0.5988 | 0.5957 | 0.593 | 0.5926 | 0.5907 | 0.5913 | 0.5895 | 0.5891 | 0.5883 | 0.5882 |
| 0.8243 | 0.7721 | 0.7260 | 0.7074 | 19 | 0.6466 | 0.62 | 0.6138 | 0.6099 | 0.6056 | 0.6031 | 0.6009 | 0.6001 | 0.5995 | 0.5987 | 0.5977 | 0.5979 | 0.5978 |
| 0.8907 | 0.8132 | 0.7424 | 0.7162 | 0.6838 | 0.6573 | 0.6353 | 0.6245 | 80 | 38 | 0.6092 | 0.6085 | 0.6065 | 6 | 7 | 6041 | 045 | 0.6041 |
| 0.9573 | 0.8541 | 0.7599 | 0.7250 | 0.6944 | 0.6670 | 0.6415 | 0.630 | 0.6236 | 0.6185 | 6155 | 133 | . 6120 | 0.6120 | 6099 | 0.6091 | 0.6081 | 0.6087 |
| 1.0261 | 0.8963 | 0.7772 | 0.7312 | 0.7009 | 0.6724 | 0.6478 | 0.6346 | 0.6289 | 0.6227 | 0.6198 | 0.6174 | 0.6158 | 0.6140 | 0.6125 | 0.6125 | 0.6118 | 0.612 |
| 1.0941 | 0.9378 | 0.7920 | 0.7359 | 0.7070 | 0.6776 | 0.6516 | 0.6375 | 0.6315 | 0.6252 | 0.6229 | 0.6199 | 0.6186 | 0.6176 | 0.6157 | 0.6148 | 0.6138 | 0.6138 |
| 1.1631 | 0.9805 | 0.8091 | 0.7407 | 0.7121 | 0.6823 | 0 | 0.6420 | 0.6339 | 0.6275 | 0.6250 | 621 | 0.61 | 6189 | 0.6178 | 0.6170 | 0.6164 | 56 |
| 1.2308 | 1.0222 | 0.8239 | 0.7436 | 0.7156 | 0.6855 | 0.657 | 0.644 | 0.6378 | 0.6291 | 0.626 | 0.6244 | 0.6214 | 0.6198 | 0.6187 | 0.6196 | 0.6178 | 0.6183 |
| 1.2985 | 1.0649 | 0.8408 | 0.7479 | 0.7175 | 0.6887 | 0.6603 | 0.6466 | 0.6376 | 0.6330 | 0.6276 | 0.6237 | 0.6230 | 0.6222 | 0.6208 | 0.6197 | 0.6195 | 0.6194 |
| 1.3637 | 1.1060 | 0.854 | 0.7493 | 0.7210 | 0.6903 | 0.6627 | 0.64 | 0.6399 | 0.6326 | 0.6291 | 0.6264 | 0.6243 | 0.6237 | 0.6212 | 0.6203 | 0.6189 | 0.6197 |
| 1.4305 | 1.1465 | 0.867 | 0.7521 | 0.7238 | 0.692 | 0.662 | 0.649 | 0.641 | 0.6342 | 0.629 | 0. | 0.6242 | 0.6237 | 0.6222 | 0.6215 | 0.6210 | 0.6204 |
| 1.4956 | 1.1879 | 0.8847 | 0.7551 | 0.7246 | 0.6943 | 0.6655 | 0.6493 | 0.6424 | 0.6343 | 0.6319 | 0.6272 | 0.6259 | 0.6255 | 0.6236 | 0.6220 | 0.6209 | 0.6218 |
| 1.5594 | 1.2263 | 0.8989 | 0.757 | 0.7272 | 0.6971 | 0.666 | 0.65 | 0.6438 | 0.6362 | 0.6318 | 0.6281 | 0.6265 | 0.6264 | 0.6234 | 0.6226 | 0.6217 | 0.6217 |
| 1.6219 | 1.2670 | 0.913 | 0.75 | 0.7286 | 0.69 | 0.66 | 0.65 | 0.64 | 0.636 | 0.63 | 0.6292 | 0.62 | 0.6264 | 0.6234 | 0.6237 | 0.6229 | 0.6229 |
| 1.6831 | 1.3041 | 0.9283 | 0.7613 | 0.7302 | 0.6989 | 0.669 | 0.651 | 0.645 | 0.637 | 0.633 | 0.6300 | 0.6271 | 0.6259 | 0.6251 | 0.6244 | 0.6239 | 0.6230 |
| 1.7445 | 1.3440 | 0.940 | 0.7629 | 0.7316 | 0.7004 | 0.6688 | 0.652 | 0.6451 | 0.637 | 0.6333 | 0.6299 | 0.6282 | 0.6274 | 0.6258 | 0.6244 | 0.6244 | 0.6243 |
| 1.8079 | 1.3798 | 0.9536 | 0.764 | 0.7323 | 0.6994 | 0. | 0.65 | 0.6458 | 0.637 | 0.6341 | 0.6308 | 0.6288 | 0.6278 | 0.6264 | 0.6257 | 0.6243 | 0.6240 |
| 1.8649 | 1.417 | 0.967 | 0.766 | 0.733 | 0.7020 | 0.670 | 0.655 | 0.646 | 0.637 | 0.635 | 0.631 | 0.6295 | 0.6284 | 0.626 | 0.6249 | 0.6254 | 0.6243 |
| 1.9250 | 1.4589 | 0.982 | 0.767 | 0.734 | 0.7032 | 0.671 | 0.655 | 0.645 | 0.6388 | 0. | 0.6307 | 0.6306 | 0.6287 | 0.6269 | 0.6249 | 0.6247 | 0.6244 |
| 1.9846 | 1.4938 | 0.9951 | 0.7700 | 0.7356 | 0.7039 | 0.6 | 0.654 | 0.646 | 0.639 | 0.634 | 0.6318 | 0.6298 | 0.6281 | 0.6271 | 0.6256 | 0.6254 | 0.6254 |
| 2.0426 | 1.5281 | 1.009 | 0.7703 | 0.7364 | 0.7044 | 0.672 | 0.656 | 0.648 | 0.639 | 0.635 | 0.632 | 0.629 | 0.6294 | 0.6274 | 0.6257 | 0.6251 | 0.6248 |
| 2.1022 | 1.568 | 1.021 | 0. | 0.735 | 0. | 0. | 0 | 0.64 | 0.63 | 0 | 0.631 | 0.62 | 0.6291 | 0.6268 | 0.6265 | 0.626 | 0.6256 |
| 2.1572 | 1.6005 | 1.037 | 0.773 | 0.737 | 0. | 0. | 0.656 | 0.648 | 0.640 | 0.636 | 0.632 | 0.6297 | 0.6288 | 0.6271 | 0.6268 | 0.6260 | 0.6262 |
| 2.2173 | 1.6381 | 1.0486 | 0.774 | 0.7372 | 0.7061 | 0.674 | 0.656 | 0.648 | 0.640 | 0.637 | 0.6330 | 0.631 | 0.6299 | 0.6272 | 0.6274 | 0.6261 | 0.6255 |
| 2.2750 | 1.6749 | 1.062 | 0.775 | 0.736 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0. | . 64 | 0.64 | 0.637 | 0.63 | 0.631 | 0.629 | 0.627 | 0.6262 | 0.6260 | 55 |
| 2.3305 | 1.708 | 1.07 | 0.778 | 0.73 | 0. | 0. | 0. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.6334 | 0.6307 | 628 | 627 | 6269 | 626 | 7 |
| 2.6059 | 1.8806 | 1.141 | 0.783 | 0.739 | 0. | 0. | 0.658 | 650 | 0.642 | 0.638 | 0.63 | 0.6332 | 0.6306 | 0.6293 | 0.6280 | 0.6270 | 0.6273 |
| 2.8792 | 2.0456 | 1.2022 | 0.7872 | 0.7421 | 0.7090 | 0.676 | 0.659 | 0.651 | 0.642 | 0.637 | 0.634 | 0.6320 | 0.6309 | 0.6287 | 0.6277 | 0.6276 | 0.6279 |
| 3.1396 | 2.2085 | 1.2601 | 0.792 | 0.7430 | 0.7115 | 0.6768 | 0.660 | 0.650 | 0.643 | 0.638 | 0.6342 | 0.6321 | 0.6319 | 0.6304 | 0.6281 | 0.6289 | 0.6286 |
| 3.3978 | 2.3668 | 1.320 | 0.796 | 0.745 | 0.7124 | 0.67 | 0.662 | 0.651 | 0.642 | 0.639 | 0.635 | 0.6326 | 0.632 | 0.630 | 0.6286 | 0.6285 | 7 |
| 3.9028 | 2.6768 | 1.4351 | 0.8062 | 0.7470 | 0.7129 | 0.6785 | 0.660 | 0.6518 | 0.644 | 0.6395 | 0.6360 | 0.6333 | 0.6324 | 0.6313 | 0.6290 | 0.6291 | 0.6292 |
| 4.3942 | 2.9790 | 1.5495 | 0.8114 | 0.7472 | 0.7152 | 0.6789 | 0.6615 | 0.6531 | 0.6454 | 0.6408 | 0.6357 | 0.6339 | 0.6327 | 0.6302 | 0.6296 | 0.6295 | 0.6293 |
| 4.8817 | 3.2693 | 1.6602 | 0.8202 | 0.7471 | 0.7141 | 0.6801 | 0.6625 | 0.6535 | 0.6458 | 0.6412 | 0.6362 | 0.6352 | 0.6326 | 0.6312 | 0.6299 | 0.6291 | 0.6288 |
| 5.3579 | 3.5630 | 1.7658 | 0.8266 | 0.7481 | 0.7155 | 0.6801 | 0.663 | 0.653 | 0.645 | 0.641 | 0.6366 | 0.633 | 0.6337 | 0.6310 | 0.6289 | 0.6303 | 0.6298 |
| 5.8377 | 3.8476 | 1.8740 | 0.8334 | 0.7487 | 0.7170 | 0.6807 | 0.663 | 0.6538 | 0.645 | 0.6422 | 0.6370 | 0.6341 | 0.6335 | 0.6315 | 0.6300 | 0.6296 | 0.6291 |
| 10.3750 | 6.5699 | 2.8606 | 0.9021 | 0.7513 | 0.7163 | 0.6820 | 0.6641 | 0.6545 | 0.6458 | 0.6418 | 0.6380 | 0.6351 | 0.6339 | 0.6311 | 0.6305 | 0.6302 | 0.6307 |
| 14.7424 | 9.1683 | 3.7864 | 0.9692 | 0.7517 | 0.7178 | 0.6822 | 0.6641 | 0.6549 | 0.6466 | 0.6411 | 0.6363 | 0.6359 | 0.6341 | 0.6334 | 0.6305 | 0.6302 | 0.6311 |
| 19.0253 | 11.6939 | 4.6787 | 1.0324 | 0.7510 | 0.7180 | 0.6826 | 0.6649 | 0.6554 | 0.6460 | 0.641 | 0.6372 | 0.6358 | 0.6349 | 0.6329 | 0.6312 | 0.6306 | 0.6313 |
| 23.2588 | 14.1892 | 5.5513 | 1.0949 | 0.7520 | 0.7179 | 0.6825 | 0.6644 | 0.6554 | 0.6465 | 0.6426 | 0.6382 | 0.6356 | 0.6349 | 0.6320 | 0.6308 | 0.6305 | 0.6315 |
| 43.9612 | 26.3237 | 9.7366 | 1.3989 | 0.7522 | 0.7183 | 0.6844 | 0.6645 | 0.6549 | 0.6460 | 0.6429 | 0.6373 | 0.6363 | 0.6348 | 0.6321 | 0.6310 | 0.6300 | 0.6311 |
| 104.511 | 61.5654 | 21.6770 | 2.2303 | 0.7537 | 0.7193 | 0.6835 | 0.6645 | 0.6563 | 0.6462 | 0.6424 | 0.6375 | 0.6403 | 0.6357 | 0.6322 | 0.6302 | 0.6307 | 0.631 |

## Critical Values for Kolmogorov Smirnov Test - Significance Level of $\mathbf{0 . 1 0}$

| 0.010 | 0.025 | 0.050 | 0.100 | 0.200 | 0.300 | 0.500 | 0.750 | 1.000 | 1.500 | 2.000 | 3.000 | 4.000 | 5.000 | 10.000 | 20.000 | 50.000 | 00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.4102 | 0.4026 | 0.3956 | 0.3925 | 0.3872 | 0.3817 | 0.3746 | 0.3699 | 0.3677 | 0.3647 | 0.3633 | 0.3622 | 0.3615 | 0.3616 | 0.3605 | 0.3601 | 0.3599 | 0.3596 |
| 0.3856 | 0.3761 | 0.3680 | 0.3655 | 0.3586 | 0.3524 | 0.3459 | 0.3416 | 0.3395 | 0.3373 | 0.3361 | 0.3351 | 0.3344 | 0.3343 | 0.3334 | 0.3331 | 0.3330 | 0.3328 |
| 0.3694 | 0.3570 | 0.3448 | 0.3393 | 0.3324 | 3261 | 3192 | 0.315 | 0.3130 | 0.3110 | 0.3096 | . 30 | 0.3080 | 0.3075 | 0.3073 | 0.3068 | 0.3066 | 0.3066 |
| 0.3558 | 0.3394 | 0.3234 | 0.3175 | 0.3128 | 0.3071 | 0.3006 | 0.2968 | 0.2941 | 0.2919 | 2903 | 2893 | 0.2886 | 0.2885 | 0.2877 | 0.2872 | 0.2871 | 870 |
| 0.3441 | 0.3265 | 0.3083 | 0.3010 | 0.2959 | 0.2903 | 0.2837 | 0.2799 | 0.2776 | 0.2754 | 0.2741 | 0.2731 | 0.2725 | 0.2722 | 0.2714 | 0.2710 | 0.2707 | 0.2709 |
| 0.3343 | 0.3148 | 0.2947 | 0.2856 | 0.2808 | 0.2754 | 0.2695 | 0.2656 | 0.2635 | 0.2612 | 0.2602 | 0.2590 | 0.2585 | 0.2578 | 0.2574 | 0.2571 | 0.2569 | 0.2570 |
| 0.3255 | 0.3048 | 0.2824 | 0.2726 | 0.2682 | 0.2630 | 0.257 | 0.253 | 0.2514 | 0.2492 | 0.2483 | 0.2471 | 0.24 | 0.2463 | 0.2454 | 0.2451 | 0.2448 | 0.2448 |
| 0.3182 | 0.2962 | 0.2726 | 0.2613 | 0.2574 | 0.2523 | 0.2465 | 0.2430 | 0.2408 | 0.2386 | 0.2378 | 0.2365 | 0.2360 | 0.2356 | 0.2351 | 0.2348 | 0.2346 | 0.2345 |
| 0.3113 | 0.2887 | 0.2633 | 0.2515 | 0.2473 | 0.2425 | 0.2368 | 0.2337 | 0.2316 | 0.2293 | 0.2284 | 0.2274 | 0.2267 | 0.2263 | 0.2256 | 0.2257 | 0.2255 | 0.2254 |
| 0.3051 | 0.2817 | 0.2554 | 0.2425 | 0.2385 | 0.2340 | 0.2287 | 0.2253 | 0.2232 | 0.2214 | 0.2202 | 0.2191 | 0.2187 | 0.2183 | 0.2177 | 0.2174 | 0.2173 | 0.2172 |
| 0.2995 | 0.2758 | 0.2482 | 0.2344 | 0.2306 | 0.226 | 0.221 | 0.217 | 0.2158 | 0.213 | 0.2127 | 0.2119 | 0.2113 | 0.2111 | 0.2104 | 0.2101 | 0.2098 | 0.2096 |
| 0.2943 | 0.2702 | 0.2414 | 0.2271 | 0.2235 | 0.219 | 0.213 | 0.211 | 0.2091 | 0.2070 | 0.2061 | 0.2052 | 0.2046 | 0.2043 | 0.2037 | 0.2034 | 0.2034 | 0.2032 |
| 0.2898 | 0.2651 | 0.2358 | 0.2207 | 0.2168 | 0.2127 | 0.20 | 0.2047 | 0.2028 | 0.2009 | 0.2001 | 0.199 | 0.1985 | 0.1983 | 0.1978 | 0.1974 | 0.1972 | 0.1973 |
| 0.2854 | 0.2602 | 0.2304 | 0.2145 | 0.2110 | 0.20 | 0.202 | 0.199 | 0.197 | 0.1954 | 0.194 | 0.1935 | 0.1930 | 0.1928 | 0.1921 | 0.1919 | 0.1917 | 0.1917 |
| 0.2814 | 0.2559 | 0.2253 | 0.2091 | 0.2054 | 0.201 | 0.1967 | 0.193 | 0.1920 | 0.1903 | 0.1894 | 0.1884 | 0.1880 | 0.1876 | 0.1870 | 0.1868 | 0.1867 | 0.1867 |
| 0.2776 | 0.2518 | 0.2210 | 0.2038 | 0.2004 | 0.196 | 0.1920 | 0.1888 | 0.1873 | 0.1854 | 0.184 | 0.1838 | 0.1832 | 0.1828 | 0.1824 | 0.1822 | 0.1820 | 0.1820 |
| 0.2740 | 0.2480 | 0.2166 | 0.1991 | 0.195 | 0.191 | 0.18 | 0.1844 | 0.1828 | 0.1812 | 0.180 | 0.17 | 0.1788 | 0.1786 | 0.1781 | 0.1779 | 0.1778 | 0.1777 |
| 0.2708 | 0.2445 | 0.2125 | 0.1945 | 0.1912 | 0.187 | 0.1832 | 0.180 | 0.178 | 0.1770 | 0.17 | 0.175 | 0.174 | 0.1745 | 0.1741 | 0.1738 | 0.1737 | 0.1736 |
| 0.2677 | 0.2412 | 0.209 | 0.190 | 0.1872 | 0.183 | 0.179 | 0.176 | 0.174 | 0.1730 | 0.1724 | 0.1715 | 0.1710 | 0.1708 | 0.1701 | 0.1700 | 0.1699 | 0.1698 |
| 0.2648 | 0.2383 | 0.2056 | 0.1865 | 0.1834 | 0.179 | 0.17 | 0.172 | 0.171 | 0.169 | 0.168 | 1678 | 0.16 | 0.1673 | 0.1668 | 0.1663 | 0.1663 | 0.1662 |
| 0.2620 | 0.2354 | 0.2023 | 0.1829 | 0.179 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.169 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.165 | 0.16 | 0.164 | 0.1639 | 0.1633 | 0.1631 | 0.1630 | 0.1629 |
| 0.2595 | 0.2325 | 0.1993 | 0.179 | 0.1762 | 0.172 | 0.168 | 0.166 | 0.16 | 0.1630 | 0.162 | 0.161 | 0.16 | 0.1608 | 0.1603 | 0.1601 | 0.1599 | 0.1599 |
| 0.2570 | 0.2299 | 0.196 | 0.176 | 0. | 0. | 0.1657 | 0.1630 | 0.1616 | 0.1 | 0.1592 | . 15 | 0.15 | 0.1576 | 0.1573 | 0.1570 | 0.1569 | 0.1569 |
| 0.2547 | 0.227 | 0.194 | 0.173 | 0.169 | 0.1667 | 0.16 | 0.160 | 0.158 | 0.157 | 0.15 | 0.155 | 0.15 | 0.1549 | 0.1544 | 0.1543 | 0.1541 | 0.1541 |
| 0.2526 | 0.2253 | 0.1912 | 0.1701 | 0.1669 | 0.163 | 0.15 | 0.157 | 0.156 | 0.154 | 0.153 | 0.152 | 0.152 | 0.1523 | 0.1518 | 0.1517 | 0.1515 | 0.1515 |
| 0.2503 | 0.2230 | 0.1888 | 0.167 | 0.164 | 0.161 | 0.15 | 0.154 | 0.153 | 0.151 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.1497 | 0.149 | 0.1491 | 0.1490 | 0.1489 |
| 0.2484 | 0.2208 | 0.186 | 0.164 | 0.161 | 0. | 0. | 0. | 0 | 0.1 | 0.14 | 14 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.146 | 0.1467 | 0.1466 | 66 |
| 0.2395 | 0.2115 | 0.176 | 0.153 | 0.1500 | 0.1 | 0.14 | 0.141 | 0.140 | 0.1389 | 0.1383 | 0.137 | 0.13 | 0.1368 | 0.1365 | 0.1363 | 0.1361 | 0.1361 |
| 0.2324 | 0.2039 | 0.1684 | 0.1441 | 0.1407 | 0.1381 | 0.134 | 0.132 | 0.1316 | 0.1302 | 0.1295 | 0.1290 | 0.1286 | 0.1284 | 0.1280 | 0.1278 | 0.1277 | 0.1278 |
| 0.2262 | 0.1976 | 0.1614 | 0.1363 | 0.1331 | 0.1306 | 0.1273 | 0.125 | 0.1243 | 0.1230 | 0.1224 | 0.1218 | 0.1215 | 0.1213 | 0.1210 | 0.1208 | 0.1207 | 0.1206 |
| 0.2210 | 0.1922 | 0.1558 | 0.1297 | 0.1265 | 0.1241 | 0.1210 | 0.119 | 0.1182 | 0.1170 | 0.116 | 0.1158 | 0.1155 | 0.1153 | 0.1150 | 0.1147 | 0.1147 | 0.1147 |
| 0.2126 | 0.1835 | 0.1466 | 0.1191 | 0.1159 | 0.1136 | 0.1109 | 0.1091 | 0.1082 | 0.1071 | 0.1066 | 0.1061 | 0.1057 | 0.1056 | 0.1053 | 0.1051 | 0.1049 | 0.1050 |
| 0.2060 | 0.1766 | 0.1394 | 0.1108 | 0.1075 | 0.1056 | 0.1028 | 0.1013 | 0.1004 | 0.0994 | 0.0989 | 0.0983 | 0.0981 | 0.0979 | 0.0977 | 0.0975 | 0.0974 | 0.0974 |
| 0.2007 | 0.1710 | 0.1336 | 0.1042 | 0.1008 | 0.0988 | 0.0964 | 0.0949 | 0.0941 | 0.0932 | 0.0927 | 0.0922 | 0.0920 | 0.0918 | 0.0916 | 0.0913 | 0.0913 | 0.0913 |
| 0.1962 | 0.1665 | 0.1288 | 0.0985 | 0.0950 | 0.0933 | 0.0910 | 0.0896 | 0.0888 | 0.0880 | 0.0876 | 0.0870 | 0.0868 | 0.0866 | 0.0864 | 0.0863 | 0.0862 | 0.0861 |
| 0.1925 | 0.1625 | 0.1248 | 0.0938 | 0.0902 | 0.0886 | 0.0865 | 0.0851 | 0.0844 | 0.0835 | 0.0831 | 0.0826 | 0.0824 | 0.0823 | 0.0820 | 0.0819 | 0.0819 | 0.0819 |
| 0.1719 | 0.1413 | 0.1024 | 0.0686 | 0.0643 | 0.0631 | 0.0616 | 0.0606 | 0.0600 | 0.0594 | 0.0592 | 0.0588 | 0.0587 | 0.0586 | 0.0583 | 0.0583 | 0.0583 | 0.0583 |
| 0.1629 | 0.1320 | 0.0926 | 0.0576 | 0.0526 | 0.0516 | 0.0504 | 0.0496 | 0.0492 | 0.0487 | 0.0484 | 0.0482 | 0.0481 | 0.0480 | 0.0478 | 0.0477 | 0.0477 | 0.0477 |
| 0.1575 | 0.1263 | 0.0868 | 0.0510 | 0.0456 | 0.0448 | 0.0437 | 0.0430 | 0.0426 | 0.0422 | 0.0420 | 0.0418 | 0.0417 | 0.0416 | 0.0415 | 0.0414 | 0.0414 | 0.0414 |
| 0.1538 | 0.1226 | 0.0828 | 0.0466 | 0.0409 | 0.0401 | 0.0391 | 0.0385 | 0.0382 | 0.0378 | 0.0376 | 0.0374 | 0.0373 | 0.0373 | 0.0372 | 0.0371 | 0.0371 | 0.0371 |
| 0.1449 | 0.1134 | 0.0731 | 0.0359 | 0.0290 | 0.0284 | 0.0278 | 0.0273 | 0.0271 | 0.0268 | 0.0267 | 0.0265 | 0.0265 | 0.0264 | 0.0263 | 0.0263 | 0.0263 | 0.0263 |
| 0.1371 | 0.1054 | 0.0647 | 0.0266 | 0.0184 | 0.0180 | 0.0176 | 0.0173 | 0.0172 | 0.0170 | 0.0169 | 0.0168 | 0.0168 | 0.0168 | 0.0167 | 0.0167 | 0.0167 | 0.0167 |

## Critical Values for Anderson Darling Test - Significance Level of 0.05

| 0.010 | 0.025 | 0.050 | 0.100 | 0.200 | 0.300 | 0.500 | 0.750 | 1.000 | 1.500 | 2.000 | 3.000 | 4.000 | 5.000 | 10.000 | 20.000 | 50.000 | 0.000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.7933 | 0.7883 | 0.7863 | 0.7785 | 0.7325 | 0.7041 | 0.6809 | 0.6703 | 0.6666 | 0.6624 | 0.6605 | 0.6594 | 0.6589 | 0.6590 | 0.6571 | 0.6571 | 0.6559 | 0.6565 |
| 0.8730 | 0.8462 | 0.8304 | 0.8264 | 0.7753 | 0.7392 | 0.7110 | 0.6983 | 0.6913 | 0.6864 | 0.6845 | 0.6826 | 0.6812 | 0.6807 | 0.6789 | 0.6787 | 0.6783 | 781 |
| 0.9490 | 0.8965 | 0.8535 | 0.8446 | 0.8025 | 0.7667 | 0.7359 | 0.7210 | 0.7151 | 0.7078 | 7042 | 0.7013 | 0.7000 | 0.6980 | 0.6983 | 0.6971 | 0.6969 | 0.6962 |
| 1.0305 | 0.9476 | 0.8762 | 0.8598 | 0.8211 | 0.7841 | 0.7515 | 0.7361 | 0.7275 | 0.7212 | . 7149 | 0.7122 | 709 | 0.7099 | 7085 | 0.7067 | 0.7077 | 077 |
| 1.1136 | 1.0006 | 0.8986 | 0.8720 | 0.8359 | 0.7973 | 0.7624 | 0.7451 | 0.7355 | 0.7284 | 0.7240 | 0.7215 | 0.7186 | 0.7191 | 0.7150 | 0.7162 | 0.7148 | 0.7147 |
| 1.1971 | 1.0535 | 0.9227 | 0.8810 | 0.8451 | 0.8073 | 0.7707 | 0.7515 | 0.7435 | 0.7344 | 0.7298 | 0.7268 | 7250 | 0.7228 | 0.7218 | 0.7210 | 0.7205 | 7200 |
| 1.2792 | 1.1063 | 0.9420 | 0.8881 | 0.8539 | 0.8136 | 0.7770 | 0.7570 | 0.7483 | 0.7392 | 0.7356 | 0.7322 | 0.7294 | 0.7295 | 0.7251 | 0.7246 | 0.7244 | 0.7238 |
| 1.3623 | 1.1586 | 0.9637 | 0.8950 | 0.8601 | 0.8201 | 0.7811 | 0.7625 | 0.7516 | 0.7422 | 0.7389 | 0.7335 | 0.7326 | 0.7314 | 0.7294 | 0.7287 | 0.7284 | 0.7257 |
| 1.4414 | 1.2089 | 0.9834 | 0.8989 | 0.865 | 0.8239 | 0.7849 | 0.765 | 0.7567 | 0.7455 | 0.7415 | 0.7390 | 0.7358 | 0.7320 | 0.7303 | 0.7319 | 0.7296 | 0.7312 |
| 1.5200 | 1.2605 | 1.003 | 0.904 | 0.8682 | 0.8298 | 0.7900 | 0.770 | 0.757 | 0.7503 | 0.7431 | 0.7392 | 0.7372 | 0.7359 | 0.7337 | 0.7333 | 0.7325 | 0.7323 |
| 1.5958 | 1.3106 | 1.0234 | 0.907 | 0.873 | 0.8320 | 0.7933 | 0.7706 | 0.7600 | 0.7507 | 0.7459 | 0.7425 | 0.7401 | 0.7380 | 0.7345 | 0.7340 | 0.7330 | 0.7334 |
| 1.6732 | 1.3605 | 1.0405 | 0.9113 | 0.8768 | 0.8355 | 0.7930 | 0.7751 | 0.7630 | 0.7538 | 0.7468 | 0.7445 | 0.7400 | 0.7386 | 0.7374 | 0.7347 | 0.7345 | 0.7341 |
| 1.7482 | 1.4088 | 1.061 | 0.916 | 0.878 | 0.8378 | 0.796 | 0.774 | 0.7633 | 0.7547 | 0.750 | 0.7443 | 0.7419 | 0.7413 | 0.7390 | 0.7365 | 0.7354 | 0.7360 |
| 1.8194 | 1.4552 | 1.0796 | 0.9205 | 0.882 | 0.8418 | 0.79 | 0.776 | 0.7660 | 0.7557 | 0.7494 | 0.7454 | 0.7428 | 0.7416 | 0.7388 | 0.7378 | 0.7367 | 0.7362 |
| 1.8905 | 1.4995 | 1.0965 | 0.9229 | 0.8842 | 0.8421 | 0.800 | 0.7780 | 0.766 | 0.7562 | 0.7526 | 7458 | 0.7426 | 0.7430 | 0.7392 | 0.7395 | 0.7383 | 0.7372 |
| 1.9614 | 1.5452 | 1.116 | 0.925 | 0.887 | 0.8428 | 0.8028 | 0.7788 | 0.769 | 0.7569 | 0.7518 | 0.748 | 0.745 | 0.7424 | 0.741 | 0.7403 | 0.7404 | 0.7379 |
| 2.0284 | 1.5917 | 1.132 | 0.928 | 0.88 | 0.84 | 0. | 0.77 | 0.76 | 0.7578 | 0.752 | 0.747 | 0.7455 | 0.7452 | 0.7418 | 0.7407 | 0.7394 | 0.7405 |
| 2.0984 | 1.6336 | 1.1480 | 0.9288 | 0.8903 | 0.8458 | 0.805 | 0.7828 | 0.7696 | 0.7582 | 0.7538 | 0.7494 | 0.7473 | 0.7453 | 0.7426 | 0.7425 | 0.7412 | 0.7395 |
| 2.1639 | 1.6751 | 1.1669 | 0.933 | 0.8918 | 0.84 | 0.80 | 0.7830 | 0.7708 | 0.75 | . 75 | 0.749 | 0.7466 | 0.7464 | 0.7436 | 0.7403 | 0.7429 | 0.7406 |
| 2.2329 | 1.7214 | 1.183 | 0.933 | 0.893 | 0.848 | 0.805 | 0.782 | 0.7693 | 0.7601 | 0.75 | 0.7503 | 0.749 | 0.74 | 0.744 | 0.7419 | 0.7414 | 0.7404 |
| 2.2974 | 1.7630 | 1.2009 | 0.93 | 0.893 | 0.851 | 0.80 | 0.782 | 0.771 | 0.7615 | . 7551 | 0.751 | 0.748 | 0.7462 | 0.744 | 0.7423 | 0.7421 | 0.7418 |
| 2.3601 | 1.8028 | 1.2161 | 0.939 | 0.895 | 0.8518 | 0.8069 | 0.783 | 0.7731 | 0.7615 | 0.7565 | 0.7513 | 0.748 | 0.7470 | 0.7448 | 0.7432 | 0.7423 | 0.7418 |
| 2.4252 | 1.8483 | 1.2315 | 0.939 | 0.8936 | 0.8516 | 0.808 | 0.784 | 0.773 | 0.7616 | 0.757 | 0.750 | 0.7478 | 0.7463 | 0.7441 | 0.7438 | 0.7428 | 0.7422 |
| 2.4909 | 1.8820 | 1.253 | 0.943 | 0.895 | 0.853 | 0. | 0.783 | 0.773 | 0.763 | 0.756 | 0.751 | 0.749 | 0.74 | 0.7436 | 0.74 | 0.7433 | 7439 |
| 2.5562 | 1.9280 | 1.263 | 0.943 | 0.897 | 0.854 | 0.810 | 0.784 | 0.7738 | 0.7627 | 0.7580 | 0.753 | 0.749 | 0.7485 | 0.7453 | 0.7446 | 0.7431 | 7431 |
| 2.6160 | 1.9685 | 1.280 | 0.94 | 0.89 | 0.85 | 0.811 | 0.783 | 0.774 | 0.762 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.750 | 0.747 | 0.7457 | 0.7442 | 0.7439 | 0.7423 |
| 2.6778 | 2.0063 | 1.2983 | 0.948 | 0.897 | 0.853 | 0.809 | 0.787 | 0.775 | 0.763 | 0.758 | 0.752 | 0.749 | 0.746 | 0.7455 | 0.7443 | 0.7441 | 0.7451 |
| 2.9819 | 2.1959 | 1.373 | 0.954 | 0.899 | 0.85 | 0.812 | 0.78 | 0.77 | 0.76 | 0.759 | 0.75 | 0.752 | 0.749 | 0.7483 | 0.7467 | 0.7447 | 9 |
| 3.2742 | 2.3805 | 1.443 | 0.962 | 0.902 | 0.85 | 0.813 | 0.789 | 0.778 | 0.765 | 0.759 | 0.754 | 0.752 | 0.7515 | 0.7480 | 0.7467 | 0.7458 | 68 |
| 3.5595 | 2.5587 | 1.5106 | 0.969 | 0.9054 | 0.8619 | 0.8134 | 0.7897 | 0.7769 | 0.7679 | 0.7605 | 0.755 | 0.7529 | 0.7532 | 0.7484 | 0.7482 | 0.7473 | 0.7471 |
| 3.8334 | 2.7329 | 1.5791 | 0.9737 | 0.9074 | 0.8624 | 0.8143 | 0.7934 | 0.7800 | 0.7672 | 0.762 | 0.756 | 0.7535 | 0.7537 | 0.7496 | 0.7482 | 0.7476 | 0.7481 |
| 4.3789 | 3.0659 | 1.7118 | 0.984 | 0.9099 | 0.863 | 0.816 | 0.792 | 0.7791 | 0.7689 | 0.762 | 0.758 | 0.7536 | 0.7533 | 0.7512 | 0.7489 | 0.7476 | 0.7484 |
| 4.9012 | 3.3923 | 1.8398 | 0.9917 | 0.9096 | 0.8657 | 0.8167 | 0.7926 | 0.7805 | 0.7689 | 0.7634 | 0.7575 | 0.7557 | 0.7542 | 0.7507 | 0.7492 | 0.7486 | 0.7490 |
| 5.4154 | 3.7091 | 1.9620 | 1.0021 | 0.9104 | 0.8649 | 0.8189 | 0.7931 | 0.7820 | 0.7703 | 0.7631 | 0.7589 | 0.7563 | 0.7545 | 0.7505 | 0.7508 | 0.7484 | 0.7488 |
| 5.9167 | 4.0188 | 2.0787 | 1.0111 | 0.9113 | 0.8679 | 0.8184 | 0.7936 | 0.7828 | 0.7715 | 0.7651 | 0.7592 | 0.7554 | 0.7548 | 0.7521 | 0.7495 | 0.7513 | 0.7502 |
| 6.4255 | 4.3222 | 2.1954 | 1.0194 | 0.9123 | 0.8676 | 0.8184 | 0.7950 | 0.7830 | 0.7698 | 0.7650 | 0.7585 | 0.7564 | 0.7543 | 0.7522 | 0.7495 | 0.7504 | 0.7500 |
| 11.1598 | 7.1943 | 3.2677 | 1.1031 | 0.9142 | 0.8692 | 0.8209 | 0.7962 | 0.7839 | 0.7713 | 0.7664 | 0.7604 | 0.7564 | 0.7561 | 0.7512 | 0.7513 | 0.7503 | 0.7506 |
| 15.6877 | 9.9089 | 4.2544 | 1.1798 | 0.9166 | 0.8707 | 0.8217 | 0.7969 | 0.7840 | 0.7720 | 0.7659 | 0.7594 | 0.7588 | 0.7568 | 0.7552 | 0.7509 | 0.7516 | 0.7523 |
| 20.0982 | 12.5299 | 5.1940 | 1.2564 | 0.9170 | 0.8713 | 0.8230 | 0.7977 | 0.7846 | 0.7728 | 0.7660 | 0.7602 | 0.7586 | 0.7572 | 0.7542 | 0.7515 | 0.7523 | 0.7511 |
| 24.4270 | 15.1069 | 6.1097 | 1.3280 | 0.9178 | 0.8716 | 0.8223 | 0.7971 | 0.7851 | 0.7721 | 0.7671 | 0.7615 | 0.7590 | 0.7563 | 0.7534 | 0.7522 | 0.7515 | 0.7530 |
| 45.5811 | 27.5755 | 10.4679 | 1.6707 | 0.9188 | 0.8707 | 0.8244 | 0.7966 | 0.7846 | 0.7713 | 0.7679 | 0.7603 | 0.7597 | 0.7573 | 0.7527 | 0.7519 | 0.7497 | 0.7520 |
| 107.018 | 63.4597 | 22.7439 | 2.5739 | . 9200 | 0.8732 | 0.8223 | 0.7969 | 0.7860 | 0.7722 | 0.7666 | 0.7603 | 0.7641 | 0.7572 | 0.7527 | 0.7513 | 0.7522 | 0.752 |

## Critical Values for Kolmogorov Smirnov Test - Significance Level of $\mathbf{0 . 0 5}$

| 0.010 | 0.025 | 0.050 | 0.100 | 0.200 | 0.300 | 0.500 | 0.750 | 1.000 | 1.500 | 2.000 | 3.000 | 4.000 | 5.000 | 10.000 | 20.000 | 0.000 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.4371 | 0.4323 | 0.4289 | 0.4296 | 0.4244 | 0.4181 | 0.4103 | 0.4050 | 0.4024 | 0.3995 | 0.3979 | 0.3966 | 0.3962 | 0.3959 | 0.3949 | 0.3942 | 0.3938 | 0.3940 |
| 0.4191 | 0.4093 | 0.4009 | 0.3982 | 0.3885 | 0.3799 | 0.3716 | 0.3667 | 0.3644 | 0.3617 | 0.3605 | 0.3594 | 0.3584 | 0.3583 | 0.3576 | 0.3572 | 0.3569 | 0.3568 |
| 0.3971 | 0.3844 | 0.3726 | 0.3688 | 0.3637 | 0.3568 | 0.3486 | 0.3434 | 0.3408 | 0.3375 | 0.3358 | 0.3346 | 0.3335 | 0.3328 | 0.3325 | 0.3317 | 0.3318 | 0.3315 |
| 0.3849 | 0.3688 | 0.3528 | 0.3478 | 0.3419 | 0.3351 | 0.3272 | 0.322 | 0.3196 | 0.3170 | 0.3151 | 0.3137 | 0.3129 | 0.3130 | 0.3119 | 0.3115 | 0.3114 | 0.3113 |
| 0.3724 | 0.3541 | 0.3356 | 0.3287 | 0.3233 | 0.3170 | 0.309 | 0.304 | 0.3015 | 2989 | 0.2975 | 0.29 | 0.2953 | 0.2952 | 0.2943 | 0.2939 | 0.2934 | 0.2936 |
| 0.3617 | 0.3418 | 0.3208 | 0.3123 | 0.3075 | 0.3015 | 0.2941 | 0.2893 | 0.2869 | 0.2840 | 0.2825 | 0.2813 | 0.2804 | 0.2798 | 0.2793 | 0.2788 | 0.2787 | 0.2788 |
| 0.3523 | 0.3314 | 0.3081 | 0.2984 | 0.2941 | 0.2878 | 0.2808 | 0.2760 | 0.2737 | 0.2711 | 0.2698 | 0.2685 | 0.2678 | 0.2674 | 0.2666 | 0.2662 | 0.2659 | 0.2658 |
| 0.3440 | 0.3221 | 0.2976 | 0.2862 | 0.2819 | 0.2759 | 0.2691 | 0.2649 | 0.2624 | 0.259 | 0.2585 | 0.257 | 0.2565 | 0.2560 | 0.2554 | 0.2550 | 0.2546 | 0.2544 |
| 0.3368 | 0.313 | 0.287 | 0.275 | 0.271 | 0.2653 | 0.258 | 0.254 | 0.252 | 0.249 | 0.2485 | 0.24 | 0.2464 | 0.2459 | 0.2454 | 0.2452 | 0.2450 | 0.2450 |
| 0.3297 | 0.3064 | 0.2789 | 0.2659 | 0.2613 | 0.2563 | 0.2498 | 0.2459 | 0.2430 | 0.2409 | 0.2394 | 0.2382 | 0.2377 | 0.2374 | 0.2367 | 0.2361 | 0.2362 | 0.2360 |
| 0.3234 | 0.2996 | 0.2711 | 0.2568 | 0.2530 | 0.2478 | 0.2416 | 0.23 | 0.2351 | 0.2327 | 0.2316 | 0.230 | 0.2298 | 0.2293 | 0.2287 | 0.2283 | 0.2280 | 0.2279 |
| 0.3178 | 0.293 | 0.2638 | 0.248 | 0.2451 | 0.2400 | 0.233 | 0.2302 | 0.22 | 0.225 | 0.224 | 0.223 | 0.2226 | 0.2221 | 0.2215 | 0.2212 | 0.2210 | 0.2209 |
| 0.3127 | 0.2878 | 0.257 | 0.241 | 0.237 | 0.2329 | 0.227 | 0.223 | 0.221 | 0.218 | 0.2179 | 0.21 | 0.2161 | 0.2158 | 0.2152 | 0.2146 | 0.2144 | 0.2144 |
| 0.3078 | 0.2826 | 0.2519 | 0.2352 | 0.2315 | 0.2268 | 0.2209 | 0.217 | 0.215 | 0.2129 | 0.2117 | 0.2106 | 0.2100 | 0.2097 | 0.2089 | 0.2087 | 0.2085 | 0.2084 |
| 0.3033 | 0.277 | 0.246 | 0.2292 | 0.2253 | 0.2208 | 0.2151 | 0.211 | 0.209 | 0.2072 | 0.2063 | 0.2050 | 0.2046 | 0.2041 | 0.2034 | 0.2033 | 0.2031 | 0.2031 |
| 0.2990 | 0.2731 | 0.241 | 0.223 | 0.2198 | 0.2151 | 0.210 | 0.206 | 0.204 | 0.2021 | 0.2008 | 0.200 | 0.199 | 0.1990 | 0.1986 | 0.1981 | 0.1981 | 0.1979 |
| 0.2949 | 0.269 | 0.2366 | 0.218 | 0.214 | 0.2101 | 0. | 0.20 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.1961 | 0.195 | 0.1946 | 0.1945 | 0.1938 | 0.1935 | 0.1934 | 0.1934 |
| 0.2915 | 0.2649 | 0.2323 | 0.2132 | 0.209 | 0.205 | 0.200 | 0. | 0.194 | 0.192 | 0.1918 | 0.1909 | 1903 | 0.1900 | 0.1894 | 0.1892 | 0.1889 | 0.1889 |
| 0.2879 | 0.2612 | 0.2283 | 0.2090 | 0.205 | 0.2011 | 0 | 0.19 | 0.190 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.1862 | 0.1859 | 0.1853 | 0.1849 | 0.1851 | 0.1848 |
| 0.2847 | 0.2580 | 0.224 | 0.204 | 0.201 | 0.196 | 0.191 | 0.188 | 0.18 | 0.184 | 0.1838 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.181 | 0.1809 | 0.1809 |
| 0.2813 | 0.2546 | 0.221 | 0.200 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.188 | 0.1849 | 0.1830 | 18 | 0.180 | 0 | 0.178 | 0.1783 | 0.1777 | 0.1775 | 0.1774 | 0.1772 |
| 0.2786 | 0.251 | 0.217 | 0.196 | 0.19 | 0.189 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.175 | 0.1749 | 0.1745 | 0.1742 | 0.1739 | 0.1739 |
| 0.2759 | 0.2486 | 0.214 | 0.193 | 0.189 | 0.1858 | 0.181 | 0.178 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.173 | 0.172 | 0.17 | 0.1716 | 0.1712 | 0.1708 | 0.1707 | 0.1707 |
| 0.2732 | 0.245 | 0.211 | 0.189 | 0.186 | 0.182 | 0. | 0. | 0.17 | 0 | 170 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 77 |
| 0.2709 | 0.243 | 0.208 | 0.18 | 0. | 0. | 0 | 0.1719 | 17 | 0.1684 | 0 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.1652 | 0.1649 | 0.1648 |
| 0.2683 | 0.2409 | 0.206 | 0.183 | 0.180 | 0.176 | 0.17 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.165 | 0.164 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.1630 | 0.1625 | 0.1623 | 0.1622 | 0.1620 |
| 0.2663 | 0.2386 | 0.203 | 0.180 | 0.17 | 0.173 | 0.169 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.162 | 0.162 | 0.161 | 0.160 | 0.1603 | 0.1600 | 0.1597 | 0.159 | 0.1596 |
| 0.2561 | 0.2281 | 0.192 | 0.168 | 0.164 | 0.1 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0. | 15 | 0.15 | 0.149 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.148 | 0.1482 | 0.1481 |
| 0.2482 | 0.219 | 0.183 | 0. | 0.15 | 0. | 0. | 0. | 0. | 0. | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.139 | 0.1390 | 1390 |
| 0.2412 | 0.2124 | 0.1759 | 0.1496 | 0.1461 | 0.1432 | 0.1393 | 0.1370 | 0.1356 | 0.1342 | 0.1334 | 0.1327 | 0.1323 | 0.1322 | 0.1317 | 0.1315 | 0.1314 | 0.1313 |
| 0.2353 | 0.2063 | 0.1695 | 0.1425 | 0.1389 | 0.1361 | 0.1324 | 0.1304 | 0.1289 | 0.1275 | 0.1269 | 0.1262 | 0.1257 | 0.1256 | 0.1252 | 0.1249 | 0.1249 | 0.1249 |
| 0.2258 | 0.1963 | 0.1592 | 0.1308 | 0.1272 | 0.1245 | 0.1214 | 0.1192 | 0.1180 | 0.1168 | 0.1161 | 0.1156 | 0.1151 | 0.1150 | 0.1147 | 0.1144 | 0.1143 | 0.1143 |
| 0.2183 | 0.1886 | 0.1513 | 0.1216 | 0.1179 | 0.1157 | 0.1126 | 0.110 | 0.109 | 0.1084 | 0.1078 | 0.1071 | 0.1069 | 0.1067 | 0.1064 | 0.1061 | 0.1061 | 0.1061 |
| 0.2122 | 0.1823 | 0.1447 | 0.1143 | 0.1105 | 0.1083 | 0.1055 | 0.1037 | 0.1027 | 0.1016 | 0.1011 | 0.1005 | 0.1002 | 0.0999 | 0.0997 | 0.0995 | 0.0993 | 0.0994 |
| 0.2071 | 0.1771 | 0.1392 | 0.1082 | 0.1044 | 0.1023 | 0.0996 | 0.097 | 0.0970 | 0.0959 | 0.0954 | 0.0949 | 0.0945 | 0.0944 | 0.0941 | 0.0939 | 0.0939 | 0.0938 |
| 0.2029 | 0.1727 | 0.1347 | 0.1031 | 0.0991 | 0.0971 | 0.0946 | 0.0929 | 0.0921 | 0.0911 | 0.0906 | 0.0901 | 0.0898 | 0.0896 | 0.0894 | 0.0892 | 0.0892 | 0.0892 |
| 0.1794 | 0.1487 | 0.1096 | 0.0753 | 0.0705 | 0.0691 | 0.0673 | 0.066 | 0.065 | 0.064 | 0.064 | 0.0641 | 0.0639 | 0.0638 | 0.0635 | 0.0635 | 0.0635 | 0.0634 |
| 0.1691 | 0.1380 | 0.0985 | 0.0631 | 0.0577 | 0.0566 | 0.0551 | 0.0542 | 0.0537 | 0.0531 | 0.0528 | 0.0524 | 0.0523 | 0.0522 | 0.0521 | 0.0520 | 0.0519 | 0.0520 |
| 0.1629 | 0.1316 | 0.0919 | 0.0559 | 0.0501 | 0.0491 | 0.0478 | 0.0470 | 0.0465 | 0.0460 | 0.0457 | 0.0455 | 0.0454 | 0.0453 | 0.0452 | 0.0451 | 0.0451 | 0.0451 |
| 0.1587 | 0.1274 | 0.0874 | 0.0510 | 0.0448 | 0.0439 | 0.0428 | 0.0421 | 0.0417 | 0.0412 | 0.0410 | 0.0408 | 0.0406 | 0.0406 | 0.0404 | 0.0404 | 0.0403 | 0.0404 |
| 0.1484 | 0.1168 | 0.0764 | 0.0390 | 0.0318 | 0.0311 | 0.0303 | 0.0298 | 0.0295 | 0.0292 | 0.0291 | 0.0289 | 0.0288 | 0.0288 | 0.0286 | 0.0286 | 0.0286 | 0.0286 |
| . 1394 | 107 | . 066 | 0286 | 0202 | 0197 | 0192 | 018 | 018 | . 018 | . 018 | . 018 | . 01 | . 01 | . 018 | . 018 | . 01 | 01 |

# Critical Values for Anderson Darling Test - Significance Level of $\mathbf{0 . 0 2 5}$ 

| 0.010 | 0.025 | 0.050 | 0.100 | 0.200 | 0.300 | 0.500 | 0.750 | 1.000 | 1.500 | 2.000 | 3.000 | 4.000 | 5.000 | 10.000 | 20.000 | 50.000 | 00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.8615 | 0.8677 | 0.8863 | 0.9039 | 0.8378 | 0.7984 | 0.7665 | 0.7511 | 0.7460 | 0.7399 | 0.7369 | 0.7355 | 7346 | 7346 | 0.7325 | 7317 | 7305 | 0.7311 |
| 0.9689 | 0.9509 | 0.9503 | 9609 | 0.898 | 0.84 | 0.8119 | 0.7925 | 0.7854 | 0.7759 | 0.7730 | 0.7718 | 0.7693 | 0.7693 | 0.7678 | 0.7662 | 59 | 0.7643 |
| 1.0630 | 1.0130 | 0.976 | 0.986 | . 93 | . 88 | 0.84 | 0.8 | 0.8160 | 0.8059 | . 02 | 99 | 0.7961 | 0.7948 | 0.7 | 0.7932 | . 79 | 0.7918 |
| 1.1594 | 1.0729 | 1.007 | 1.006 | 0.962 | 0.911 | 0.86 | 0.84 | 0.834 | 0.825 | 0.81 | 81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.808 | . 80 | 0.80 | 0.8070 |
| 1.2574 | 1.1392 | 1.0345 | 1.021 | 981 | 929 | 0.8842 | 0.8577 | 0.8457 | 0.8353 | 0.8304 | 0.8278 | 82 | 82 | 0.81 | 81 | 0.8182 | 0.8185 |
| 1.3550 | 1.2024 | 1.0646 | 1.0334 | 0.994 | 0.945 | 0.893 | 0.868 | 0.856 | 0.844 | 0.839 | 0.836 | 0.8325 | 0.8298 | 0.8294 | 0.8278 | 0.8270 | 0.8273 |
| 1.4495 | 1.2659 | 1.0902 | 1.0433 | 1.006 | 0.953 | 0.902 | 0.8758 | 0.8651 | 0.8524 | 0.8468 | 0.8419 | 838 | 0.839 | 0.8344 | 0.8330 | 0.8324 | 0.8317 |
| 1.5453 | 1.3293 | 1.1162 | 1.052 | 1.013 | 0.959 | 0.908 | . 88 | 870 | . 85 | 851 | 844 | 84 | 0.8416 | 0.8400 | 0.8385 | 0.8389 | 0.8349 |
| 1.6379 | 1.3875 | 1.1414 | 1.056 | 1.0220 | 964 | 0.91 | 0.890 | 0.8752 | 0.861 | . 855 | 0.85 | 0.8475 | 0.8447 | 0.8405 | 0.8420 | 0.8406 | 30 |
| 1.7256 | 1.4490 | 1.1649 | 1.065 | 1.023 | 0.9720 | 0.92 | . 894 | 0.8772 | 66 | 0.8592 | 0.8539 | 0.8501 | 0.848 | 0.8 | 0.8445 | 0.84 | 33 |
| 1.8117 | 1.5045 | 1.189 | 1.069 | 1.03 | 0. | 0.92 | 0. | 0.8811 | 0. | . 863 | 85 | 0.85 | 0.851 | 0.847 | 0.8463 | 0.8456 | 0.8454 |
| 1.8988 | 1.5637 | 1.2102 | 1.073 | 1.034 | 0.981 | 0.923 | 0.898 | 0.88 | 870 | . 864 | 8601 | 85 | 0.85 | 0.84 | 0.8478 | 0.8481 | 81 |
| 1.9814 | 1.6174 | 1.2393 | 1. | 1. | 0.9840 | 31 | 0.9015 | 0.8852 | 0.8745 | 0.8678 | 0.8610 | 0.8572 | 85 | 0.85 | 0.8506 | 0.8489 | 07 |
| 2.0598 | 1.6722 | 1.26 | 1. | 1. | 0. | . 93 | 0.9020 | 0.8879 | 0.8750 | 0.8685 | 0.8619 | 0.8596 | 0.85 | 0.853 | 0.8520 | 0.850 | 03 |
| 2.1409 | 1.7231 | 1.2808 | 1.09 | 1.043 | 0.990 | 0.93 | 0. | 88 | 0. | 0.8701 | 86 | 85 | 0.85 | 0.855 | 0.8555 | 0.8532 | 19 |
| 2.2162 | 1.7764 | 1.3033 | 1.092 | 1.048 | 0.99 | 0.93 | 0 | . 89 | 0.8776 | 0.8713 | . 866 | 86 | 858 | 0.856 | 0.8552 | 0.8555 | 34 |
| 2.2915 | 1.8258 | 1.324 | 1.0 | 1.04 | 0.99 | 0.93 | 0. | 0.8917 | 0. | 0. | 0.86 | 86 | 0.86 | 0.858 | 0.8561 | 0.8538 | 59 |
| 2.3715 | 1.8738 | 1.339 | 1. | 1. | 0. | 0.941 | 0.9105 | 0.8939 | 0.8793 | 0.8727 | 868 | 0.8644 | 86 | 0.85 | 0.8591 | . 85 | 2 |
| 2.4415 | 1.9185 | 1.3632 | 1.103 | 1.055 | 0.996 | 0.939 | 0.910 | 0.894 | 0.879 | 76 | 0.866 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.861 | 0.8572 | 0.8609 | 68 |
| 2.5164 | 1.9742 | 1.3839 | 1.102 | 1.05 | 999 | 0.941 | 0.910 | 0.8927 | 881 | 0.8757 | 0.8706 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.862 | 0.8566 | 0.8586 | 69 |
| 2.5831 | 2.0197 | 1.40 | 1. | 1. | 1.001 | 0.941 | 0. | 0.8978 | 0.88 | 0.8761 | 0. | 0.8658 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.859 | 0.856 | 0.8577 |
| 2.6565 | 2.0644 | 1.4219 | 1. | 1. | 1.002 | 0.943 | 0.913 | 0.8991 | 0.883 | 0.876 | 0.8705 | 86 | 86 | 0.86 | 0.8602 | 0.858 | 0.8579 |
| 2.7258 | 2.1088 | 1.4384 | 1.113 | 1.056 | 1.001 | 0.946 | 0.914 | 0.899 | 0.883 | 0.876 | 0.870 | 86 | 0.86 | 0.861 | 0.8617 | 0.8609 | . 8594 |
| 2.7952 | 2.1511 | 1.4646 | 1.115 | 1.0600 | 1 | 0. | 0.915 | 0.8986 | 0.886 | 0.8779 | 871 | 0.86 | 0.864 | 0.860 | 0.8612 | 0.8603 | 0.8599 |
| 2.8692 | 2.1998 | 1.4766 | 1. | 1.062 | 1.004 | 0.948 | 0.915 | 999 | 0.885 | 0.879 | 0.873 | 868 | 0.867 | 0.864 | 0.8627 | 0.8613 | 0.8602 |
| 2.9301 | 2.2438 | 1.4940 | 1.123 | 1.060 | 1.007 | 0.950 | 0.914 | 0.900 | 0.885 | 0.878 | 87 | . 86 | 0.86 | 0.863 | 0.8625 | 0.8609 | 0.858 |
| 3.0015 | 2.2866 | 1.5156 | 1.124 | 1. | 1.00 | 0. | 0. | 02 | 0.8860 | 79 | 872 | 0.8688 | 86 | 0.86 | 0.862 | 0.86 | 0.8624 |
| 3.3266 | 2.4946 | 1. | 1. | 1. | 1 | 0.9494 | 0.9187 | 0.9017 | 0.8905 | 0.8828 | 0.8730 | 0.8718 | 0.8686 | 0.8671 | 86 | 0.8611 | 0.8643 |
| 3.6396 | 2.6943 | 1.6803 | 1.14 | 1.06 | 1.010 | 0.951 | 0.919 | 0. | 0.88 | 0.881 | 87 | 0.873 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.865 | 0.863 | 0.8665 |
| 3.9425 | 2.8877 | 1.7545 | 1.14 | 1.071 | 1.015 | 0.950 | 0.921 | 0.904 | 0.891 | 0.883 | 0.8760 | 0.873 | 0.87 | 0.868 | 0.8689 | 0.8664 | 0.8653 |
| 4.2378 | 3.0745 | 1.8299 | 1.156 | 1.075 | 1.015 | 0.954 | 0.925 | 0.908 | 0.891 | 0.886 | 0.878 | 0.875 | 0.87 | 0.869 | 0.8668 | 0.8677 | 7 |
| 4.8100 | 3.4320 | 1.9809 | 1.169 | 1.07 | 1.015 | 0.954 | 0.924 | 0.906 | 0.893 | 0.887 | 0.880 | 0.876 | 0.874 | 0.871 | 0.869 | 0.8685 | 0 |
| 5.3566 | 3.7754 | 2.1204 | 1.1781 | 1.076 | 1.020 | 0.957 | 0.925 | 0.9083 | 0.893 | 0.888 | 0.8801 | 0.8774 | 0.876 | 0.8704 | 0.8702 | 0.8686 | 0.8694 |
| 5.9031 | 4.1163 | 2.2521 | 1.1918 | 1.0787 | 1.0207 | 0.9602 | 0.9257 | 0.9116 | 0.8949 | 0.8880 | 0.8805 | 0.8771 | 0.8753 | 0.8713 | 0.8702 | 0.8689 | 0.8687 |
| 6.4293 | 4.4397 | 2.3772 | 1.2011 | 1.0823 | 1.0220 | 0.9579 | 0.9271 | 0.9135 | 0.8981 | 0.889 | 0.8815 | 0.8766 | 0.8763 | 0.8731 | 0.8688 | 0.8728 | 0.8708 |
| 6.9592 | 4.7648 | 2.5055 | 1.2102 | 1.0801 | 1.0215 | 0.9593 | 0.9267 | 0.9118 | 0.8948 | 0.8885 | 0.8808 | 0.8782 | 0.8778 | 0.8727 | 0.8702 | 0.8719 | 0.8705 |
| 11.8779 | 7.7654 | 3.6516 | 1.3093 | 1.0827 | 1.0257 | 0.9641 | 0.9305 | 0.9142 | 0.8985 | 0.8923 | 0.8845 | 0.8789 | 0.8789 | 0.8719 | 0.8727 | 0.8714 | 0.8716 |
| 16.5240 | 10.5806 | 4.6843 | 1.3997 | 1.0856 | 1.0260 | 0.9631 | 0.9310 | 0.9155 | 0.8988 | 0.8914 | 0.8824 | 0.8824 | 0.8801 | 0.8772 | 0.8727 | 0.8721 | 0.8732 |
| 21.0493 | 13.2831 | 5.6639 | 1.4844 | 1.0867 | 1.0286 | 0.9643 | 0.9331 | 0.9148 | 0.8989 | 0.8918 | 0.8843 | 0.8815 | 0.8801 | 0.8761 | 0.8729 | 0.8733 | 0.872 |
| 25.4819 | 15.9306 | 6.6237 | 1.5670 | 1.0880 | 1.0277 | 0.9645 | 0.9305 | 0.9155 | 0.8992 | 0.8921 | 0.8843 | 0.8820 | 0.8790 | 0.8774 | 0.8738 | 0.8721 | 0.8751 |
| 47.0169 | 28.6841 | 11.1336 | 1.9413 | 1.0900 | 1.0281 | 0.9665 | 0.9295 | 0.9142 | 0.8976 | 0.8946 | 0.8845 | 0.8834 | 0.8791 | 0.8744 | 0.8742 | 0.8703 | 0.8719 |
| 9.21 | 129 | 23.6988 | 9078 | . 0895 | 030 | . 964 | . 9295 | . 917 | 899 | 893 | . 885 | . 88 | . 88 | 8755 | 8720 | . 87 |  |

## Critical Values for Kolmogorov Smirnov Test - Significance Level of 0.025

| 0.010 | 0.025 | 0.050 | 0.100 | 0.200 | 0.300 | 0.500 | 0.750 | 1.000 | 1.500 | 2.000 | 3.000 | 4.000 | 5.000 | 10.000 | 20.000 | 50.000 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.4542 | 0.4526 | 0.4535 | 0.4577 | 0.4505 | 0.4430 | 0.4343 | 0.4287 | 0.4258 | 0.4229 | 0.4211 | 0.4198 | 0.4192 | 0.4190 | 0.4176 | 0.4174 | 0.4167 | 0.4169 |
| 0.4429 | 0.4346 | 0.4277 | 0.4258 | 0.4171 | 0.4075 | 0.3974 | 0.3908 | 0.3877 | 0.3838 | 0.3823 | 0.3810 | 0.3798 | 0.3796 | 0.3786 | 0.3783 | 0.3779 | 0.3777 |
| 0.4240 | 0.4103 | 0.3981 | 0.3971 | 0.3912 | 0.3830 | 0.3737 | 0.3681 | 0.3651 | 0.3612 | 0.3596 | 0.3579 | 0.357 | 0.3563 | 0.3556 | 0.3550 | 0.3550 | 0.3549 |
| 0.4086 | 0.3933 | 0.3784 | 0.3743 | 0.3680 | 0.3600 | 0.3509 | 0.345 | 0.341 | 0.3389 | 0.336 | 0.3348 | 0.3339 | 0.3342 | 0.3329 | 0.332 | 0.3323 | 0.3324 |
| 0.3968 | 0.3786 | 0.3595 | 0.3537 | 0.3484 | 0.341 | 0.3324 | 0.326 | 0.3233 | 0.3204 | 0.318 | 0.31 | 0.3161 | 0.3160 | 0.3146 | 0.3144 | 0.3138 | 0.3140 |
| 0.3852 | 0.3655 | 0.3447 | 0.3366 | 0.3315 | 0.3251 | 0.3160 | 0.3108 | 0.3080 | 0.3044 | 0.3027 | 0.3014 | 0.3006 | 0.2998 | 0.2993 | 0.2986 | 0.2986 | 0.2985 |
| 0.3752 | 0.3547 | 0.3310 | 0.3217 | 0.3172 | 0.3103 | 0.3020 | 0.2964 | 0.2941 | 0.2910 | 0.2893 | 0.2878 | 0.2868 | 0.2866 | 0.2854 | 0.2852 | 0.2848 | 0.2848 |
| 0.3665 | 0.3448 | 0.3199 | 0.3088 | 0.3043 | 0.2977 | 0.2897 | 0.284 | 0.2819 | 0.2787 | 0.2773 | 0.2755 | 0.2749 | 0.2744 | 0.2737 | 0.2733 | 0.2730 | 0.2726 |
| 0.3588 | 0.3358 | 0.3090 | 0.2972 | 0.2926 | 0.2861 | 0.2785 | 0.274 | 0.271 | 0.2678 | 0.2666 | 0.2655 | 0.2642 | 0.2637 | 0.2630 | 0.2629 | 0.2626 | 0.2626 |
| 0.3513 | 0.3278 | 0.2999 | 0.2871 | 0.2820 | 0.2763 | 0.2692 | 0.2645 | 0.2613 | 0.2588 | 0.2570 | 0.2558 | 0.2550 | 0.2547 | 0.2537 | 0.2532 | 0.2532 | 0.2530 |
| 0.3441 | 0.3205 | 0.2915 | 0.2773 | 0.2732 | 0.2674 | 0.2604 | 0.2553 | 0.2528 | 0.2500 | 0.2488 | 0.24 | 0.2466 | 0.2460 | 0.2452 | 0.2448 | 0.2445 | 0.2445 |
| 0.3383 | 0.3138 | 0.2839 | 0.2686 | 0.264 | 0.2591 | 0.2519 | 0.2478 | 0.245 | 0.242 | 0.240 | 0.239 | 0.2389 | 0.2383 | 0.2375 | 0.2372 | 0.2370 | 0.2371 |
| 0.3324 | 0.3077 | 0.277 | 0.2614 | 0.2567 | 0.251 | 0.2448 | 0.240 | 0.237 | 0.2351 | 0.234 | 0.2327 | 0.2319 | 0.2317 | 0.2309 | 0.2303 | 0.2302 | 0.2301 |
| 0.3272 | 0.3022 | 0.2712 | 0.2539 | 0.2502 | 0.2449 | 0.2381 | 0.2339 | 0.2312 | 0.2289 | 0.2275 | 0.2261 | 0.2256 | 0.2251 | 0.2242 | 0.2238 | 0.2238 | 0.2236 |
| 0.3227 | 0.2968 | 0.2653 | 0.2475 | 0.2435 | 0.2384 | 0.2319 | 0.2278 | 0.2253 | 0.2228 | 0.2217 | 0.2203 | 0.2196 | 0.2191 | 0.2182 | 0.2183 | 0.2178 | 0.2179 |
| 0.3180 | 0.2919 | 0.2599 | 0.241 | 0.237 | 0.2325 | 0.2265 | 0.2220 | 0.2198 | 0.217 | 0.21 | 0.214 | 0.2142 | 0.213 | 0.2132 | 0.2128 | 0.2126 | 0.2125 |
| 0.3135 | 0.2875 | 0.2548 | 0.2360 | 0.2318 | 0.2270 | 0.220 | 0.216 | 0.214 | 0.2123 | 0.21 | 0.20 | 0.2090 | 0.2088 | 0.2081 | 0.2077 | 0.2076 | 0.2077 |
| 0.3096 | 0.2829 | 0.2500 | 0.2303 | 0.2266 | 0.2219 | 0.216 | 0.212 | 0.2099 | 0.2072 | 0.206 | 0.2053 | 0.2044 | 0.2042 | 0.2033 | 0.2031 | 0.2027 | 0.2028 |
| 0.3055 | 0.2789 | 0.245 | 0.2260 | 0.2221 | 0.217 | 0.211 | 0.207 | 0.205 | 0.202 | 0.202 | 0.2008 | 0.1998 | 0.1997 | 0.1989 | 0.1986 | 0.1987 | 0.1984 |
| 0.3022 | 0.2754 | 0.241 | 0.221 | 0.217 | 0.212 | 0.206 | 0.203 | 0.200 | 0.198 | 0.1 | 0.196 | 0.1960 | 0.1956 | 0.194 | 0.194 | 0.1942 | 0.1942 |
| 0.2984 | 0.2718 | 0.237 | 0.216 | 0.213 | 0.208 | 0.202 | 0.199 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.192 | 0.1919 | 0.1916 | 0.1909 | 0.1906 | 0.1904 | 0.1904 |
| 0.2954 | 0.2684 | 0.2345 | 0.2128 | 0.209 | 0.2046 | 0.1988 | 0.195 | 0.1932 | 0.1910 | 0.190 | 0.1890 | 0.1883 | 0.1880 | 0.1874 | 0.1871 | 0.1867 | 0.1867 |
| 0.2927 | 0.2654 | 0.2308 | 0.2089 | 0.205 | 0.200 | 0.195 | 0.191 | 0.190 | 0.18 | 0.186 | 0.1853 | 0.18 | 0.184 | 0.1839 | 0.1835 | 0.1834 | 0.1834 |
| 0.2895 | 0.2622 | 0.227 | 0.205 | 0.201 | 0.19 | 0.191 | 0.188 | 0.186 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.1802 | 1801 |
| 0.2870 | 0.2593 | 0.224 | 0.201 | 0. | 0.194 | 0.188 | 0.1851 | 0.1832 | 0.18 | 0.1803 | 0.17 | 0.178 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.1775 | 0.1772 | 0.1769 |
| 0.2841 | 0.2566 | 0.2218 | 0.198 | 0.194 | 0.190 | 0.185 | 0.182 | 0.180 | 0.178 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.175 | 0.1752 | 0.1746 | 0.1742 | 0.1742 | 0.1741 |
| 0.2819 | 0.2540 | 0.2191 | 0.1955 | 0.191 | 0.187 | 0.182 | 0.179 | 0.177 | 0.175 | 0.174 | 0.173 | 0.172 | 0.1722 | 0.1719 | 0.1715 | 0.1714 | 0.1714 |
| 0.2708 | 0.2426 | 0.207 | 0.1819 | 0.177 | 0.174 | 0.169 | 0.166 | 0.164 | 0.162 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.160 | 0.159 | 0.1594 | 0.1592 | 0.1591 |
| 0.2618 | 0.233 | 0.196 | 0.171 | 0. | 0. | 0.15 | 0. | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0. | 0.15 | 15 | 0.150 | 0.149 | 0.1495 | 0.1493 | 95 |
| 0.2543 | 0.2254 | 0.1887 | 0.1619 | 0.1579 | 0.1548 | 0.1503 | 0.1476 | 0.1459 | 0.1444 | 0.1435 | 0.1426 | 0.1421 | 0.1420 | 0.1416 | 0.1413 | 0.1412 | 0.1411 |
| 0.2479 | 0.2187 | 0.1818 | 0.1542 | 0.1502 | 0.1470 | 0.1428 | 0.1405 | 0.1388 | 0.1372 | 0.136 | 0.1357 | 0.1352 | 0.1350 | 0.1346 | 0.1343 | 0.1341 | 0.1343 |
| 0.2373 | 0.2078 | 0.1706 | 0.1414 | 0.1374 | 0.1345 | 0.1309 | 0.1286 | 0.1270 | 0.125 | 0.1249 | 0.1242 | 0.1237 | 0.1236 | 0.1232 | 0.1229 | 0.1228 | 0.1229 |
| 0.2290 | 0.1992 | 0.1618 | 0.1316 | 0.1276 | 0.1250 | 0.121 | 0.1193 | 0.1178 | 0.1167 | 0.1159 | 0.1152 | 0.1148 | 0.1147 | 0.1143 | 0.1141 | 0.1140 | 0.1140 |
| 0.2223 | 0.1924 | 0.1546 | 0.1237 | 0.1195 | 0.1170 | 0.1138 | 0.1118 | 0.1107 | 0.1093 | 0.1087 | 0.1080 | 0.1076 | 0.1074 | 0.1071 | 0.1069 | 0.1068 | 0.1068 |
| 0.2165 | 0.1866 | 0.1486 | 0.1170 | 0.1129 | 0.1105 | 0.1075 | 0.1055 | 0.1045 | 0.1033 | 0.1027 | 0.1020 | 0.1015 | 0.1015 | 0.1011 | 0.1009 | 0.1009 | 0.1008 |
| 0.2120 | 0.1817 | 0.1436 | 0.1114 | 0.1072 | 0.1048 | 0.1021 | 0.1002 | 0.0992 | 0.0980 | 0.0974 | 0.0968 | 0.0966 | 0.0964 | 0.0960 | 0.0959 | 0.0958 | 0.0958 |
| 0.1860 | 0.1552 | 0.1159 | 0.0814 | 0.0762 | 0.0747 | 0.0726 | 0.0714 | 0.0705 | 0.0698 | 0.0694 | 0.0689 | 0.0687 | 0.0686 | 0.0682 | 0.0682 | 0.0682 | 0.0682 |
| 0.1745 | 0.1434 | 0.1037 | 0.0682 | 0.0624 | 0.0611 | 0.0595 | 0.0584 | 0.0578 | 0.0571 | 0.0567 | 0.0564 | 0.0563 | 0.0561 | 0.0560 | 0.0558 | 0.0557 | 0.0558 |
| 0.1676 | 0.1363 | 0.0964 | 0.0603 | 0.0541 | 0.0531 | 0.0515 | 0.0507 | 0.0501 | 0.0495 | 0.0492 | 0.0489 | 0.0488 | 0.0487 | 0.0486 | 0.0485 | 0.0484 | 0.0484 |
| 0.1630 | 0.1316 | 0.0915 | 0.0550 | 0.0485 | 0.0474 | 0.0462 | 0.0453 | 0.0449 | 0.0444 | 0.0441 | 0.0438 | 0.0437 | 0.0436 | 0.0434 | 0.0433 | 0.0433 | 0.0434 |
| 0.1514 | 0.1198 | 0.0792 | 0.0419 | 0.0343 | 0.0336 | 0.0327 | 0.0321 | 0.0318 | 0.0314 | 0.0313 | 0.0310 | 0.0310 | 0.0309 | 0.0308 | 0.0308 | 0.0307 | 0.0307 |
| . 1413 | . 1095 | . 0686 | 0304 | . 0218 | . 0213 | . 0207 | . 0203 | . 0202 | . 019 | . 019 | . 019 | . 019 | . 019 | 0.019 | . 01 | . 01 | 01 |

## Critical Values for Anderson Darling Test - Significance Level of 0.01

| n \k | 0.010 | . 025 | 0.050 | 0.100 | 200 | 0.300 | 0.500 | 0.750 | 00 | 1.500 | 2.000 | 00 | 4.000 | 5.000 | 10.000 | 20.000 | 50.000 | 100.000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 0.9603 | 1.0073 | 1.0852 | 1.1154 | 0.9876 | 0.9047 | 0.8544 | 0.8345 | 0.8262 | 0.8197 | 0.8164 | 0.8153 | 0.8135 | 0.8137 | 0.8112 | 0.8106 | 0.8099 | 0.8097 |
| 5 | 0754 | 1.0772 | 1.1053 | 1.1446 | 1.0682 | 1.0000 | 0.9453 | 0.916 | 0.905 | 0.8930 | 0.8897 | 0.8878 | 8822 | 0.8831 | 0.8817 | 8791 | 8791 | 0.8767 |
| 6 | 1.1951 | 1.1556 | 1.1420 | 1.1831 | 1.1214 | 1.053 | . 9896 | 0.9580 | 0.94 | 0.9314 | 0.9275 | 0.9211 | 0.917 | 0.9182 | 0.9159 | 0.9113 | 0.9119 | 0.9153 |
| 7 | 1.3145 | 1.2298 | 1.1755 | 1.2066 | 1.1562 | 1.0841 | 1.0186 | 0.992 | 0.978 | 0.963 | 0.951 | 0.946 | 0.941 | 0.9438 | 0.9383 | 0.9354 | 0.9377 | 0.9 |
| 8 | 1.4299 | 1.3111 | 1.2089 | 1.2256 | 1.1807 | 1.108 | 1.0439 | 1.008 | 0.9905 | 0.9787 | 0.969 | 0.9646 | 0.9602 | 0.9612 | 0.9553 | 0.9558 | 0.9527 | 0.9550 |
| 9 | 1.5478 | 1.3855 | 1.2502 | 1.2415 | 1.195 | 1.129 | 0584 | 1.023 | 1.0075 | 9908 | 9844 | 9778 | 9739 | 0.9674 | 0.9685 | 0.9685 | 0.9669 | 9655 |
| 10 | 1.6581 | 1.4643 | 1.2809 | 1.2490 | 1.213 | 1.142 | 1.070 | 1.0339 | 1.018 | 1.001 | 0.994 | 0.9873 | 0.9829 | 0.9812 | 0.9769 | 0.9755 | 0.9732 | 0.9721 |
| 11 | 1.7667 | 1.5444 | 1.3164 | 1.2615 | 1.2233 | 1.1525 | 1.0789 | 1.0445 | 1.027 | 1.0098 | 1.0031 | 0.9895 | 0.9878 | 0.9864 | 0.9840 | 0.9827 | 0.9812 | 0.9762 |
| 12 | 1.8736 | 1.6117 | 1.3464 | 1.2700 | 1.2310 | 1.15 | 1.087 | 1.0515 | 1.032 | 014 | 1.006 | 1.0013 | 0.9955 | 0.9940 | 0.9883 | 0.9894 | 0.9860 | 0.9879 |
| 13 | 1.9804 | 1.6847 | 1.3763 | 1.2796 | 1.2370 | 1.16 | 1.0983 | 1.060 | 1.035 | 233 | 1.011 | 1.0065 | 9 | 0.9984 | 0.9939 | 0.9917 | 0.9891 | 9902 |
| 14 | 2.0727 | 1.7510 | 1.405 | 1.291 | 1.245 | 1.175 | 1.101 | 1.060 | . 040 | 1.02 | 1.015 | 1.008 | 1.0054 | 1.0010 | 0.9959 | 0.9939 | 0.9918 | 0.9932 |
| 15 | 2.1736 | 1.8182 | 1.4338 | 1.289 | 1.24 | 1.18 | 1.099 | 1.065 | . 048 | 1.028 | 1.018 | 1.0119 | 006 | 1.0024 | 0.9990 | 0.9967 | 0.9986 | 0.9984 |
| 16 | 2.2603 | 1.8791 | 1.4693 | 1.3039 | 1.2534 | 1.182 | 1.1117 | 1.0683 | 1.0470 | 1.031 | 1.0186 | 1.0171 | 1.0104 | 1.0070 | 1.0043 | 0.9996 | 0.9986 | 1.0004 |
| 17 | 2.3532 | 1.9419 | 1.4945 | 1.30 | 1.260 | 1.19 | 1.11 | 1.071 | . 05 | 1.03 | 1.023 | 1.015 | 1.0109 | 1.0078 | 1.0045 | 1.0032 | 1.0005 | 1.0001 |
| 18 | 2.4406 | 2.004 | 1.5210 | 1.31 | 1. | 1. | 11 | 1.072 | 1.0536 | 1. | 1.027 | 1.014 | 1.015 | 1.01 | 1.0061 | 1.0050 | 1.0033 | 057 |
| 19 | 2.5322 | 2.0686 | 1.5482 | 1.318 | 1.267 | 1.190 | 115 | 1.076 | 1.059 | 1.03 | 1.0259 | 1.0216 | 0180 | 1.0130 | 1.0096 | 1.0064 | 1.0080 | 1.0063 |
| 20 | 2.6114 | 2.1235 | 1.5704 | 1.3288 | 1.268 | 1.196 | 11 | 1 | 05 | . 041 | 1.031 | 0181 | 1.0193 | 1.0163 | 1.0121 | 1.0049 | 1.0091 | 1.0113 |
| 21 | 2.7041 | 2.172 | 1.595 | 1.323 | 1.26 | 1.19 | . 12 | 1.081 | 1.0572 | 1.0410 | 1.031 | 1.02 | 1.0200 | 1.01 | 1.0127 | 1.0130 | 1.0081 | 1.0100 |
| 22 | 2.7796 | 2.2262 | 1.619 | 1.3388 | 1.279 | 1.200 | 1.119 | 1.082 | 1.062 | 1.04 | 1.035 | 1.024 | 1.019 | 1.0227 | 1.0141 | 1.0111 | 1.0125 | 1.0107 |
| 23 | 2.8617 | 2.2902 | 1.646 | 1.33 | 1.280 | 1.200 | 124 | 1.083 | 1.0589 | . 4 | 1.034 | 1.028 | 1.0243 | 1.01 | 1.0196 | 1.0117 | 1.0127 | 1.0100 |
| 24 | 2.9336 | 2.3402 | 1.6668 | 1.3413 | 1.276 | 1.20 | 1.125 | 1.085 | 1.0648 | 1 | 1.034 | 1.0291 | 1.0194 | 1.0200 | 1.0155 | 1.0122 | 1.0127 | 1.0132 |
| 25 | 3.0189 | 2.3833 | 1.6899 | 1.342 | 1.280 | 1.207 | 12 | 1.086 | 1.0643 | 1.0451 | 1.03 | 029 | 025 | 1.02 | 1.01 | 1.0140 | 1.0127 | 01 |
| 26 | 3.1002 | 2.4393 | 1.7106 | 1.344 | 1.277 | 1.205 | 1.1302 | 1.088 | . 06 | 1. | 1.036 | 1.030 | 1.0248 | 1.01 | 1.0152 | 1.0168 | 1.0158 | 1.0129 |
| 27 | 3.1672 | 2.4875 | 1.736 | 1.348 | 1.2853 | 1.210 | 1.129 | 1.087 | 66 | 1.04 | 1.0393 | 1.0310 | 1.025 | 1.0214 | 1.0160 | 1.0169 | 1.0125 | 1.0153 |
| 28 | 3.2487 | 2.5418 | 1.752 | 1. | 1.283 | 1.2107 | 13 | 1.088 | 1.06 | 1.05 | 1.040 | 1.034 | 1.026 | 1.025 | 1.020 | 1.0208 | 1.0194 | 1.0149 |
| 29 | 3.3187 | 2.5865 | 1.778 | 1.35 | 1.285 | 1.21 | 13 | 1. | 1.0670 | 1. | 1.0 | 1.0336 | 1. | 1.02 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0171 | 1.0171 |
| 30 | 3.3926 | 2.6336 | 1.8001 | 1.365 | 1.2861 | 1.212 | 1.132 | 1.090 | 1.072 | 1.050 | 1.043 | 1.033 | 1.026 | 1.0227 | 1.023 | 1.0192 | 1.0179 | 1.0183 |
| 35 | 3.7444 | 2.8654 | 1.9035 | 1. | 1.28 | 1.21 | 1.13 | 1.095 | 1.0715 | 5 | 045 | 0302 | 1.0290 | 1.0267 | 1.0246 | 1.0211 | 1.0180 | 1.0199 |
| 40 | 4.085 | 3.0880 | 1.988 | 1.381 | 1.29 | 1.21 | 1.1 | 1. | 1.0760 | 1. | 1.04 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 02 | 1.02 | 1.0229 | 1.0221 | 0241 |
| 45 | 4.4084 | 3.2993 | 2.0772 | 1.388 | 1.297 | 1.2210 | 1.1413 | 1.099 | 1.0744 | 0590 | 04 | 1.036 | 034 | . 036 | 1.030 | 1.0263 | 1.0245 | 1.0212 |
| 50 | 4.7335 | 3.4996 | 2.1620 | 1.406 | 1.3038 | 1.2232 | 1.141 | 1.101 | 1.078 | 1.059 | 1.052 | 1.0403 | 1.038 | 1.033 | 1.0295 | 1.0277 | 1.0248 | 1.0252 |
| 60 | 5.3343 | 3.8894 | 2.3298 | 1.4187 | 1.307 | 1.2229 | 1.1435 | 1.1034 | 1.079 | 1.061 | 1.0540 | 1.042 | 1.0381 | 1.0323 | 1.0317 | 1.0291 | 1.0302 | 1.0244 |
| 70 | 5.9151 | 4.2582 | 2.4835 | 1.4298 | 1.307 | 1.229 | 1.144 | 1.104 | 78 | 1.060 | 1.054 | 1.043 | 1.038 | 1.037 | 1.0311 | 1.0311 | 1.0280 | 1.0276 |
| 80 | 6.5032 | 4.6205 | 2.6216 | 1.4453 | 1.3016 | 1.2303 | 1.1499 | 1.1044 | 1.0849 | 1.0641 | 1.0550 | 1.0452 | 1.0372 | 1.0360 | 1.0328 | 1.0316 | 1.0294 | 1.0287 |
| 90 | 7.0504 | 4.9602 | 2.7593 | 1.4575 | 1.3124 | 1.2311 | 1.1486 | 1.1077 | 1.0861 | 1.0660 | 1.0558 | 1.0455 | 1.0374 | 1.0381 | 1.0345 | 1.0311 | 1.0326 | 1.0310 |
| 100 | 7.6095 | 5.3024 | 2.8954 | 1.4713 | 1.3083 | 1.2288 | 1.1492 | 1.1072 | 1.0851 | 1.0648 | 1.0542 | 1.0464 | 1.0417 | 1.0421 | 1.0353 | 1.0330 | 1.0325 | 1.0316 |
| 200 | 12.7383 | 8.4639 | 4.1296 | 1.5840 | 1.3097 | 1.2364 | 1.1565 | 1.1103 | 1.0885 | 1.0668 | 1.0587 | 1.0507 | 1.0450 | 1.0412 | 1.0314 | 1.0322 | 1.0329 | 1.0323 |
| 300 | 17.5414 | 11.3900 | 5.2242 | 1.6967 | 1.3138 | 1.2409 | 1.1535 | 1.1113 | 1.0898 | 1.0679 | 1.0582 | 1.0489 | 1.0468 | 1.0431 | 1.0376 | 1.0328 | 1.0313 | 1.0352 |
| 400 | 22.1764 | 14.1813 | 6.2523 | 1.7932 | 1.3205 | 1.2404 | 1.1579 | 1.1151 | 1.0928 | 1.0677 | 1.0573 | 1.0480 | 1.0424 | 1.0433 | 1.0390 | 1.0350 | 1.0336 | 1.0329 |
| 500 | 26.7379 | 16.9148 | 7.2528 | 1.8846 | 1.3188 | 1.2395 | 1.1552 | 1.1139 | 1.0886 | 1.0695 | 1.0570 | 1.0498 | 1.0484 | 1.0466 | 1.0402 | 1.0339 | 1.0337 | 1.0382 |
| 1000 | 48.7347 | 30.0039 | 11.9358 | 2.2962 | 1.3248 | 1.2438 | 1.1570 | 1.1103 | 1.0923 | 1.0676 | 1.0601 | 1.0480 | 1.0496 | 1.0430 | 1.0347 | 1.0358 | 1.0309 | 1.0329 |
| 2500 | 111.798 | 67.1014 | 24.8571 | 3.3313 | 1.3247 | 1.2420 | 1.1559 | 1.1102 | 1.0900 | 1.0683 | 1.0606 | 1.0495 | 1.0552 | 1.0476 | 1.0351 | 1.0345 | 1.0383 | 1.0364 |

## Critical Values for Kolmogorov Smirnov Test - Significance Level of 0.01

| 0.3685 | 0.3447 | 0.3160 | 0.3019 | 0.2976 | 0.2910 | 0.2833 | 0.2768 | 0.2741 | 0.2709 | 0.2694 | 0.2674 | 0.2670 | 0.2662 | 0.2651 | 0.2645 | 0.2643 | 0.2646 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 0.3622 | 0.3379 | 0.3076 | 0.2921 | 0.2884 | 0.2820 | 0.2736 | 0.2689 | 0.2657 | 0.2626 | 0.2606 | 0.2596 | 0.2585 | 0.2577 | 0.2572 | 0.2566 | 0.2563 | 0.2564 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 0.3556 | 0.3310 | 0.3009 | 0.2845 | 0.2798 | 0.2738 | 0.2663 | 0.2609 | 0.2578 | 0.2547 | 0.2535 | 0.2520 | 0.2510 | 0.2507 | 0.2499 | 0.2491 | 0.2486 | 0.2489 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 0.3502 | 0.3250 | 0.2939 | 0.2767 | 0.2725 | 0.2669 | 0.2592 | 0.2538 | 0.2508 | 0.2480 | 0.2463 | 0.2448 | 0.2442 | 0.2436 | 0.2428 | 0.2424 | 0.2422 | 0.2419 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 0.3448 | 0.3192 | 0.2879 | 0.2696 | 0.2655 | 0.2597 | 0.2524 | 0.2472 | 0.2445 | 0.2415 | 0.2403 | 0.2383 | 0.2376 | 0.2374 | 0.2363 | 0.2362 | 0.2359 | 0.2357 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 0.3399 | 0.3139 | 0.2819 | 0.2632 | 0.2592 | 0.2534 | 0.2461 | 0.2410 | 0.2383 | 0.2353 | 0.2337 | 0.2325 | 0.2322 | 0.2315 | 0.2307 | 0.2302 | 0.2301 | 0.2299 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllll}0.3350 & 0.3093 & 0.2764 & 0.2572 & 0.2529 & 0.2475 & 0.2403 & 0.2356 & 0.2328 & 0.2301 & 0.2285 & 0.2267 & 0.2265 & 0.2262 & 0.2254 & 0.2247 & 0.2248 & 0.2247\end{array}$


| 0.3308 | 0.3041 | 0.2709 | 0.2510 | 0.2474 | 0.2416 | 0.2352 | 0.2303 | 0.2277 | 0.2248 | 0.2235 | 0.2223 | 0.2214 | 0.2211 | 0.2204 | 0.2199 | 0.2195 | 0.2195 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 0.3265 | 0.2998 | 0.2666 | 0.2460 | 0.2423 | 0.2363 | 0.2297 | 0.2256 | 0.2229 | 0.2198 | 0.2190 | 0.2175 | 0.2163 | 0.2161 | 0.2156 | 0.2150 | 0.2152 | 0.2148 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 0.3226 | 0.2960 | 0.2621 | 0.2411 | 0.2372 | 0.2313 | 0.2250 | 0.2208 | 0.2180 | 0.2155 | 0.2145 | 0.2130 | 0.2124 | 0.2117 | 0.2112 | 0.2105 | 0.2103 | 0.2103 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 0.3183 | 0.2923 | 0.2580 | 0.2365 | 0.2323 | 0.2271 | 0.2208 | 0.2161 | 0.2140 | 0.2114 | 0.2098 | 0.2087 | 0.2077 | 0.2076 | 0.2067 | 0.2065 | 0.2062 | 0.2064 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 0.3153 | 0.2880 | 0.2540 | 0.2317 | 0.2284 | 0.2229 | 0.2164 | 0.2121 | 0.2099 | 0.2073 | 0.2059 | 0.2047 | 0.2039 | 0.2035 | 0.2031 | 0.2027 | 0.2025 | 0.2023 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 0.3120 | 0.2848 | 0.2501 | 0.2279 | 0.2235 | 0.2188 | 0.2126 | 0.2085 | 0.2061 | 0.2033 | 0.2022 | 0.2009 | 0.2002 | 0.1997 | 0.1990 | 0.1988 | 0.1987 | 0.1986 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllll}0.3087 & 0.2813 & 0.2471 & 0.2241 & 0.2199 & 0.2150 & 0.2088 & 0.2048 & 0.2022 & 0.1997 & 0.1986 & 0.1972 & 0.1967 & 0.1964 & 0.1955 & 0.1952 & 0.1952 & 0.1950\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}0.3058 & 0.2783 & 0.2434 & 0.2203 & 0.2158 & 0.2115 & 0.2055 & 0.2012 & 0.1989 & 0.1966 & 0.1955 & 0.1941 & 0.1934 & 0.1930 & 0.1924 & 0.1925 & 0.1921 & 0.1917\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllll}0.3027 & 0.2749 & 0.2404 & 0.2166 & 0.2125 & 0.2082 & 0.2021 & 0.1976 & 0.1955 & 0.1931 & 0.1923 & 0.1909 & 0.1904 & 0.1899 & 0.1892 & 0.1887 & 0.1889 & 0.1886\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllll}0.3000 & 0.2723 & 0.2374 & 0.2132 & 0.2092 & 0.2047 & 0.1987 & 0.1946 & 0.1926 & 0.1902 & 0.1890 & 0.1878 & 0.1870 & 0.1865 & 0.1862 & 0.1860 & 0.1854 & 0.1856\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllllll}0.2878 & 0.2597 & 0.2242 & 0.1984 & 0.1941 & 0.1901 & 0.1847 & 0.1812 & 0.1788 & 0.1769 & 0.1757 & 0.1742 & 0.1741 & 0.1737 & 0.1730 & 0.1728 & 0.1724 & 0.1724\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}0.2780 & 0.2495 & 0.2128 & 0.1865 & 0.1822 & 0.1782 & 0.1733 & 0.1699 & 0.1682 & 0.1661 & 0.1649 & 0.1638 & 0.1635 & 0.1628 & 0.1622 & 0.1620 & 0.1620 & 0.1620\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllll}0.2695 & 0.2408 & 0.2041 & 0.1765 & 0.1721 & 0.1688 & 0.1637 & 0.1605 & 0.1584 & 0.1570 & 0.1559 & 0.1547 & 0.1542 & 0.1541 & 0.1536 & 0.1533 & 0.1531 & 0.1529\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllllll}0.2626 & 0.2332 & 0.1964 & 0.1683 & 0.1641 & 0.1604 & 0.1557 & 0.1528 & 0.1511 & 0.1490 & 0.1483 & 0.1471 & 0.1470 & 0.1463 & 0.1460 & 0.1456 & 0.1455 & 0.1455\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllll}0.2509 & 0.2213 & 0.1840 & 0.1544 & 0.1501 & 0.1466 & 0.1425 & 0.1399 & 0.1380 & 0.1364 & 0.1357 & 0.1349 & 0.1343 & 0.1340 & 0.1336 & 0.1333 & 0.1333 & 0.1331\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}0.2416 & 0.2118 & 0.1743 & 0.1435 & 0.1395 & 0.1362 & 0.1322 & 0.1298 & 0.1281 & 0.1268 & 0.1259 & 0.1250 & 0.1248 & 0.1244 & 0.1240 & 0.1238 & 0.1236 & 0.1235\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllll}0.2343 & 0.2043 & 0.1662 & 0.1350 & 0.1303 & 0.1276 & 0.1240 & 0.1216 & 0.1203 & 0.1189 & 0.1180 & 0.1172 & 0.1167 & 0.1166 & 0.1162 & 0.1161 & 0.1157 & 0.1158\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllll}0.2277 & 0.1978 & 0.1594 & 0.1278 & 0.1232 & 0.1207 & 0.1170 & 0.1148 & 0.1135 & 0.1122 & 0.1114 & 0.1107 & 0.1102 & 0.1101 & 0.1098 & 0.1094 & 0.1096 & 0.1093\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllll}0.2228 & 0.1923 & 0.1541 & 0.1216 & 0.1169 & 0.1143 & 0.1112 & 0.1090 & 0.1078 & 0.1065 & 0.1058 & 0.1052 & 0.1049 & 0.1046 & 0.1043 & 0.1041 & 0.1038 & 0.1039\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllll}0.1938 & 0.1628 & 0.1235 & 0.0888 & 0.0831 & 0.0815 & 0.0791 & 0.0776 & 0.0767 & 0.0758 & 0.0753 & 0.0748 & 0.0746 & 0.0744 & 0.0741 & 0.0740 & 0.0739 & 0.0739\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllll}0.1808 & 0.1496 & 0.1101 & 0.0742 & 0.0680 & 0.0667 & 0.0648 & 0.0635 & 0.0628 & 0.0621 & 0.0616 & 0.0612 & 0.0611 & 0.0609 & 0.0607 & 0.0606 & 0.0604 & 0.0606\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllll}0.1731 & 0.1418 & 0.1019 & 0.0657 & 0.0591 & 0.0579 & 0.0562 & 0.0551 & 0.0545 & 0.0537 & 0.0534 & 0.0531 & 0.0529 & 0.0528 & 0.0526 & 0.0526 & 0.0525 & 0.0525\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllll}0.1680 & 0.1365 & 0.0963 & 0.0598 & 0.0529 & 0.0517 & 0.0503 & 0.0493 & 0.0487 & 0.0482 & 0.0478 & 0.0476 & 0.0474 & 0.0473 & 0.0471 & 0.0470 & 0.0470 & 0.0471\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll}0.1549 & 0.1234 & 0.0827 & 0.0452 & 0.0375 & 0.0367 & 0.0356 & 0.0349 & 0.0345 & 0.0341 & 0.0340 & 0.0337 & 0.0336 & 0.0336 & 0.0333 & 0.0333 & 0.0333 & 0.0333\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllll}0.1436 & 0.1118 & 0.0708 & 0.0325 & 0.0238 & 0.0233 & 0.0226 & 0.0221 & 0.0219 & 0.0216 & 0.0215 & 0.0213 & 0.0213 & 0.0213 & 0.0211 & 0.0211 & 0.0211 & 0.0211\end{array}$

## APPENDIX C
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Figure 1. Graphs of Coverage Probabilities by $95 \%$ UCLs of the Mean of $N(\mu=50, \sigma=20)$


Figure 2. Graphs of Coverage Probabilities by $95 \%$ UCLs of Mean of $\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{k}=0.05, \theta=50)$


Figure 3. Graphs of Coverage Probabilities by $95 \%$ UCLs of the Mean of $G(k=0.10, \theta=50)$


Figure 4. Graphs of Coverage Probabilities by $95 \%$ UCLs of Mean of $\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{k}=0.15, \theta=50)$


Figure 5. Graphs of Coverage Probabilities by $95 \%$ UCLs of the Mean of $\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{k}=0.20, \theta=50)$


Figure 6. Graphs of Coverage Probabilities by $95 \%$ UCLs of Mean of $\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{k}=0.50, \theta=50)$


Figure 7. Graphs of Coverage Probabilities by $95 \%$ UCLs of the Mean of $G(k=1.00, \theta=50)$


Figure 8. Graphs of Coverage Probabilities by $95 \%$ UCLs of the Mean of $G(k=2.00, \theta=50)$


Figure 9. Graphs of Coverage Probabilities by $95 \%$ UCLs of the Mean of $\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{k}=5.00, \theta=50)$


Figure 10. Graphs of Coverage Probabilities by UCLs of the Mean of $\operatorname{LN}(\mu=5, \sigma=0.5)$


Figure 11. Graphs of Coverage Probabilities by UCLs of the Mean of $\operatorname{LN}(\mu=5, \sigma=1.0)$


Figure 12. Graphs of Coverage Probabilities by UCLs of the Mean of $\operatorname{LN}(\mu=5, \sigma=1.5)$


Figure 13. Graphs of Coverage Probabilities by UCLs of the Mean of $\operatorname{LN}(\mu=5, \sigma=2.0)$


Figure 14. Graphs of Coverage Probabilities by UCLs of the Mean of $\operatorname{LN}(\mu=5, \sigma=2.5)$


Figure 15. Graphs of Coverage Probabilities by UCLs of the Mean of $\operatorname{LN}(\mu=5, \sigma=3.0)$



[^0]:    * If Hall's bootstrap method yields an erratic and unstable UCL value (e.g., happens when outliers are present), a $U C L$ of the population mean may be computed based upon the $99 \%$ Chebyshev (Mean, Sd) method.

