

ATTACHMENT A
GREAT LAKES LEGACY ACT
SCORING SHEET

Project #:
Project Title:

Score the project for each evaluation criterion listed below, with higher scores representing a more favorable rating. Provide narrative rationale (4-5 sentences) for total score in the space provided.

1. Measurable environmental results/risk reduction is expected upon project completion, potential for delisting Areas of Concern, soundness of approach, reasonableness of costs, and probability of success. (0=Low, 35=High)
Score _____

2. Project identified in Remedial Action Plan (RAP). (0=Low, 5=High)
Score _____

3. Project will use an innovative approach, technology, or technique that may provide equivalent environmental benefits at a reduced cost or greater environmental benefit. (0=Low, 5=High) Score _____

4. Probability (based on best professional judgment) that remediation will occur not later than 1 year after the date of the receipt of funds for the project. (0=Low, 5=High) Score _____

5. The non-Federal sponsor will exceed the minimum non-Federal cost-share requirements for its respective project category (exceeds category target by 10% = 4 points, 20% = 8 points, 30% = 12 points, and greater than 40% = 15 points;

EPA will interpolate between these values if percentages differ from the above numbers). Score _____

6. Project category (Category 1 = 35 points, Category 2 = 25 points, Category 3 = 15 points, and Category 4 = 5 points). Points will be apportioned for multiple-category projects.
Score _____

TOTAL SCORE _____

Provide Narrative Discussion
