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The Nation’s aquatic resources are among its most valuable assets. Although environmental protection programs in the United States have improved water quality during the past 35 years, many challenges remain. Significant strides have been made in reducing the impacts of discrete pollutant sources, but some aquatic ecosystems remain impaired, due in part to complex pollution problems caused by nonpoint source (NPS) pollution. Of special concern are the problems in our streams, lakes, estuaries, aquifers, and other water bodies caused by runoff that is inadequately controlled or treated. These problems include changes in flow, increased sedimentation, higher water temperature, lower dissolved oxygen, degradation of aquatic habitat structure, loss of fish and other aquatic populations, and decreased water quality due to increased levels of nutrients, metals, hydrocarbons, bacteria, and other constituents.

What is Hydromodification?

USEPA (1993) defines hydromodification as the “alteration of the hydrologic characteristics of coastal and non-coastal waters, which in turn could cause degradation of water resources.” Examples of hydromodification in streams include dredging, straightening, and, in some cases, complete stream relocation. Other examples include construction in or along streams, construction and operation of dams and impoundments, channelization in streams, dredging, and land reclamation activities. Hydromodification can also include activities in streams that are being done to maintain the stream’s integrity such as removing snags. Some indirect forms of hydromodification, such as erosion along streambanks or shorelines, are caused by the introduction or maintenance of structures in or adjacent to a waterbody and other activities, including many upland activities, that change the natural physical properties of the waterbody.

EPA has grouped hydromodification activities into three categories: (1) channelization and channel modification, (2) dams, and (3) streambank and shoreline erosion. The following definitions are offered to clarify the hydromodification activities associated with these three categories:

Channelization and channel modification include activities such as straightening, widening, deepening, and clearing channels of debris and sediment. Categories of channelization and channel modification projects include flood control and drainage, navigation, sediment control, infrastructure protection, mining, channel and bank instability, habitat improvement/enhancement, recreation, and flow control for water supply (Watson et al., 1999). Channelization activities can play a critical role in NPS pollution by increasing the timing and delivery of pollutants, including sediment, that enter the water. Channelization can also be a cause of higher flows during storm events, which potentially increases the risk of flooding.

1 For more information on NPS pollution, go to EPA’s website at http://www.epa.gov/owow/nps.
2 A tree or branch embedded in a lake or stream bed and constituting a hazard to navigation; a standing dead tree.
Dams\(^3\) are artificial barriers on waterbodies that impound or divert water and are built for a variety of purposes, including flood control, power generation, irrigation, navigation, and to create ponds, lakes, and reservoirs for uses such as livestock watering, municipal water supply, fish farming, and recreation. While these types of dams are constructed to provide benefits to society, they can contribute to NPS pollution. For example dams can alter flows, which ultimately can cause impacts to water quality (changes to temperature or dissolved gases) and biological/habitat (disruption of spawning or altering of plant and benthic communities) above and below the dam.

Streambank and shoreline erosion are the wearing away of material in the area landward of the bank along non-tidal streams and rivers. Streambank erosion occurs when the force of flowing water in a river or stream exceeds the ability of soil and vegetation to hold the banks in place. Eroded material is carried downstream and redeposited in the channel bottom or in point bars located along bends in the waterway. Shoreline erosion occurs in large open waterbodies, such as the Great Lakes or coastal bays and estuaries, when waves and currents sort coarser sands and gravels from eroded bank materials and move them in both directions along the shore away from the area undergoing erosion. While the underlying forces causing the erosion may be different for streambank and shoreline erosion, the results (erosion and its impacts) are usually similar. It is also important to note that streambank and shoreline erosion are natural processes and that natural background levels of erosion also exist. However, human activities along or adjacent to streambanks or shorelines may increase erosion and other nonpoint sources of pollution.

Why is NPS Guidance on Hydromodification Important?

Hydromodification is one of the leading sources of impairment in our nation’s waters. According to the *National Water Quality Inventory: 2000 Report to Congress* (USEPA, 2002a), there are almost 3.7 million miles of rivers and streams\(^4\) in the United States. Approximately 280,000 miles of assessed rivers and streams in the United States are impaired for one or more designated uses, which include aquatic life support, fish consumption, primary and contact recreation, drinking water supply, and agriculture. Many of the pollutants causing impairment are delivered to surface and ground waters from diffuse sources, such as agricultural runoff, urban runoff, hydrologic modification, and atmospheric deposition of contaminants. The leading causes of

---

\(^3\) Dams are defined according to Title 33 of the Code of Federal Regulations, section 222.6(h) (2003) as all artificial barriers together with appurtenant works which impound or divert water and which (1) are 25-feet or more in height or (2) have an impounding capacity of 50 acre-feet or more. Barriers that are six-feet or less in height, regardless of storage capacity or barriers that have a storage capacity at maximum water storage elevation of fifteen acre-feet or less regardless of height are not included. Federal regulations define dams for the purpose of ensuring public safety. For example, 33 CFR 222.6 states objectives, assigns responsibilities, and prescribes procedures for implementation of a National Program for Inspection of Non-Federal Dams. Most states use this or a very similar definition, which creates a category of dams that requires some form of inspection to ensure that they are structurally sound. Dams smaller than those defined above, such as those used to create farm ponds, are authorized under the NRCS program.  

\(^4\) Approximately 700,000 miles (19%) of the total 3.7 million miles of rivers and streams in the United States were assessed for the *National Water Quality Inventory: 2000 Report to Congress* (USEPA, 2002a).
beneficial use impairment (partially or not supporting one or more uses) are nutrients, sediment, pathogens (bacteria), metals, pesticides, oxygen-depleting materials, and habitat alterations (USEPA, 2002a).

The National Water Quality Inventory: 2000 Report to Congress (USEPA, 2002a) identified hydrologic modifications (i.e., hydromodification) as a leading source of water quality impairment in assessed surface waters. Of the 11 pollution source categories listed in the report, hydromodification was ranked as the second leading source of impairment in assessed rivers, second in assessed lakes, and sixth in assessed estuaries (Table 1.1). Three major types of hydromodification activities—channelization and channel modification, dams, and streambank and shoreline erosion—change a waterbody’s physical structure as well as its natural functions.

Many hydromodification activities are necessary because of human activities. For example, hardening of streambanks to correct headcutting and streambank erosion is often necessary because of changes in landuse that increase impervious surfaces. While hydromodification activities are intended to provide some form of benefit (e.g., levees for reducing flooding, electricity from hydroelectric dams, or bulkheads to reduce shoreline erosion and protect valuable property), there may be unintended consequences resulting from the activity. To illustrate, levees may provide local flood reduction by keeping storm flows from spreading onto flood plains. However, these same levees may alter riparian wetland habitat that once relied on seasonal flooding.

Table 1.1 Leading Sources of Water Quality Impairment Related to Human Activities for Rivers, Lakes, and Estuaries (USEPA, 2002a)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sources</th>
<th>Rivers and Streams</th>
<th>Lakes, Ponds, and Reservoirs</th>
<th>Estuaries</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture (48%)</td>
<td>Agriculture (41%)</td>
<td>Municipal Point Sources (37%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hydrologic Modification (20%)</strong></td>
<td><strong>Hydrologic Modification (18%)</strong></td>
<td>Urban Runoff/Storm Sewers (32%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Habitat Modification (14%)</td>
<td>Urban Runoff/Storm Sewers (18%)</td>
<td>Industrial Discharges (26%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban Runoff /Storm Sewers (13%)</td>
<td>Nonpoint Sources (14%)</td>
<td>Atmospheric Deposition (23%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forestry (10%)</td>
<td>Atmospheric Deposition (13%)</td>
<td>Agriculture (18%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Municipal Point Sources (10%)</td>
<td>Municipal Point Sources (12%)</td>
<td><strong>Hydrologic Modification (14%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resource Extraction (10%)</td>
<td>Land Disposal (10%)</td>
<td>Resource Extraction (12%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

*a Excluding unknown, natural, and “other” sources.
b Values in parentheses represent the approximate percentage of surveyed river miles, lake acres, or estuary square miles that are classified as impaired due to the associated sources.
c Hydrologic modifications include flow regulation and modification, dredging, and construction of dams. These activities may alter a lake’s habitat in such a way that it becomes less suitable for aquatic life (USEPA, 2002a).
d Habitat modifications result from human activities, such as flow regulation, logging, and land-clearing practices. Habitat modifications—changes such as the removal of riparian (stream bank) vegetation—can make a river or stream less suitable for the organisms inhabiting it (USEPA, 2002a).
Purpose and Scope of the Guidance

National summaries, such as those shown in Table 1.1, are useful in providing an overview of the magnitude of problems associated with hydromodification. Solutions, however, are usually applied at the local level. For example, in Maryland, the Shore Erosion Task Force, after investigating shore erosion in the state, published recommendations to be implemented under a Comprehensive Shore Erosion Control Plan. To initiate statewide planning, the Maryland Department of Natural Resources established partnerships with two coastal counties that were significantly affected by shoreline erosion. These state-local partnerships enable the state to better identify and correct shoreline erosion problems throughout Maryland (MDNR, 2001).

State and local elected officials and agencies, landowners, developers, environmental and conservation groups, and others play a crucial role in working together for protecting, maintaining, and restoring water resources that are impacted by hydromodification activities. These local efforts, in aggregate, form the basis for changing the status of hydromodification as a national problem.

This guidance document provides background information about NPS pollution and offers a variety of solutions for reducing NPS pollution resulting from hydromodification activities. The background information provided in Chapter 2 includes a discussion of sources of NPS pollution associated with hydromodification and how the generated pollutants enter the Nation’s waters. Chapter 3 (Channelization and Channel Modification), Chapter 4 (Dams), and Chapter 5 (Streambank and Shoreline Erosion) present technical information about how certain types of NPS pollution can be reduced or eliminated.

Since hydromodification is not associated with localized impacts and solutions, Chapter 6 provides a discussion on the broad concept of assessing and addressing water quality problems on a watershed level. Chapter 7 provides detailed information for practices that can be used to implement the management measures presented in this guidance. Chapter 8 provides a discussion of available models and assessment approaches that could be used to determine the effects of hydromodification activities. Chapter 9 summarizes additional dam removal information, including permitting requirements, process, and techniques for dam removal. The primary goal of this guidance document is to provide technical assistance to states, territories, tribes, local governments, and the public for managing hydromodification and reducing associated NPS pollution.

Document Organization

This document is divided into the following chapters:

- Chapter 1: Introduction
- Chapter 2: Background
- Chapter 3: Channelization and Channel Modification
- Chapter 4: Dams
- Chapter 5: Streambank and Shoreline Erosion
Activities to Control NPS Pollution

**Historical Perspective**
During the first 15 years of the national program to abate and control water pollution (1972–1987), EPA and the states focused most of their water pollution control activities on traditional point sources, which are stationary locations or fixed facilities from which pollutants are discharged; any single identifiable source of pollution (e.g., a pipe, ditch). EPA and the states have regulated these point sources through the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit program established by section 402 of the Clean Water Act (CWA). The NPDES program functions as the primary regulatory tool for assuring that state water quality standards are met. NPDES permits, issued by an authorized state or EPA, contain discharge limits designed to meet water quality standards and national technology-based effluent regulations.

In 1987, in view of the progress achieved in controlling point sources and the growing national awareness of the increasingly dominant influence of NPS pollution on water quality, Congress amended the CWA to focus greater national efforts on nonpoint sources.

**Federal Programs and Funding**
The CWA establishes several reporting, funding, and regulatory programs that address pollutants carried in runoff that is not subject to confinement or treatment. These programs relate to watershed management and nonpoint source control. Readers are encouraged to use the information contained in this guidance to develop nonpoint source management programs/plans that comprehensively address the following EPA programs:

- **Section 319 Grant Program.** Under section 319 of the CWA, EPA awards funds to states and eligible tribes to implement NPS management programs. These funds can be used for projects that address nonpoint source related sources of pollution, including hydromodification.

- **Clean Water State Revolving Fund.** The Clean Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF) program is an innovative method of financing environmental projects. Under the

---

5 For more information on the NPDES program, refer to EPA’s NPDES website at [http://cfpub.epa.gov/npdes](http://cfpub.epa.gov/npdes).
6 More information about the section 319 program is provided at [http://www.epa.gov/owow/nps/cwact.html](http://www.epa.gov/owow/nps/cwact.html).
program, EPA provides grants or “seed money” to all 50 states plus Puerto Rico to
capitalize state loan funds. The states, in turn, make loans to communities, individuals,
and others for high-priority water quality activities. As money is paid back into the
revolving fund, new loans are made to other recipients. When funded with a loan from
this program, a project typically costs much less than it would if funded through the bond
market. Many states offer low or no interest rate loans to small and disadvantaged
communities. In recent years, state programs have begun to devote an increasing volume
of loans to nonpoint source, estuary management, and other water-quality projects.
Eligible NPS projects include almost any activity that a state has identified in its nonpoint
source management plan. Such activities include projects to control runoff from
agricultural land; conservation tillage and other projects to address soil erosion;
development of streambank buffer zones; and wetlands protection and restoration.7

- **Total Maximum Daily Loads.** Under section 303(d) of the CWA, states are required to
  compile a list of impaired waters that fail to meet any of their applicable water quality
  standards. This list, called a 303(d) list, is submitted to Congress every 2 years, and states
  are required to develop a Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) for each pollutant causing
  impairment for waterbodies on the list.8

- **Water Quality Certification.** Section 401 of the CWA requires that any applicant for a
  federal license or permit to conduct any activity that “may result in any discharge” into
  navigable waters must obtain a certification from the state or tribe in which the discharge
  originates that the discharge will comply with various provisions of the CWA, including
  sections 301 and 303. The federal license or permit may not be issued unless the state or
  tribe has granted or waived certification. The certification shall include conditions, e.g.,
  “effluent limitations or other limitations” necessary to assure that the permit will comply
  with the state’s or tribe’s water quality standards or other appropriate requirements of
  state or tribal law. Such conditions must be included in the federal license or permit.

- **National Estuary Program.** Under the National Estuary Program, states work together to
  evaluate water quality problems and their sources, collect and compile water quality data,
  and integrate management efforts to improve conditions in estuaries. To date, 28 estuaries
  have been accepted into the program. Estuary programs can be an excellent source of
  water quality data and can provide information on management practices.9

- **Safe Drinking Water Act.** Many areas, especially urban fringe areas, need to maintain or
  improve the quality of surface and ground waters that are used as drinking water sources.
  This act requires states to develop Source Water Assessment Reports and implement
  Source Water Protection Programs. Low- or no-interest loans are available under the
  Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (SRF) Program.10

---

7 Additional information about CWSRF is available at [http://www.epa.gov/OWM/cwfinance/cwsrf/index.htm](http://www.epa.gov/OWM/cwfinance/cwsrf/index.htm).
8 More information on the TMDL program and 303(d) lists is provided at [http://www.epa.gov/owow/tmdl](http://www.epa.gov/owow/tmdl).
9 More information on the National Estuary Program is provided at [http://www.epa.gov/nep](http://www.epa.gov/nep).
10 More information about the Safe Drinking Water Act and Source Water Protection Programs can be found at
    [http://www.epa.gov/safewater/sdwa/index.html](http://www.epa.gov/safewater/sdwa/index.html) and
    [http://www.epa.gov/safewater/protect.html](http://www.epa.gov/safewater/protect.html).
• *Wildlife Habitat Incentives Program (WHIP)*. WHIP\(^{11}\) is a voluntary program authorized by the Farm Security and Rural Investment Act of 2002 (Farm Bill)\(^{12}\) that enables landowners to apply for technical and financial assistance to improve wildlife habitat. The program is administered by the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS), which works with private landowners and operators, conservation districts, and federal, state, and tribal agencies to improve terrestrial and aquatic habitats. NRCS and participants work together to create a wildlife habitat development plan that includes a cost-share agreement. Continued assistance after habitat development includes monitoring, review of management guidelines, and technical advice. WHIP funds may also be used for dam removal. Additional information is available from an NRCS WHIP fact sheet.\(^{13}\)

Two excellent resources for learning more about the CWA and the many programs established under it are *The Clean Water Act: An Owner’s Manual* (Killam, 2005) and *The Clean Water Act Desk Reference* (WEF, 1997).

**Introduction to Management Measures**

Management measures may be implemented as part of state, tribal, or local programs to control nonpoint source pollution for a variety of purposes, including protection of water resources, aquatic wildlife habitat, and land downstream from increased pollution and flood risks. They can be used to guide in the development of a runoff management program. Management measures establish performance expectations and, in many cases, specify actions that can be taken to prevent or minimize nonpoint source pollution from hydromodification activities. Management measures might control the delivery of NPS pollutants to receiving water resources by:

- Minimizing pollutants available (source reduction)
- Retarding the transport and/or delivery of pollutants, either by reducing water transported, and thus the amount of the pollutant transported, or through deposition of the pollutant
- Remediating or intercepting the pollutant before or after it is delivered to the water resource through chemical or biological transformation

Management measures are generally designed to control a particular type of pollutant from specific activities and land uses. The intent of the six management measures in this guidance document is to provide information for addressing and considering the NPS pollution potential associated with hydromodification activities. Implementation of management measures can minimize and control hydromodification NPS pollution through erosion and sediment control, chemical and pollutant control, management of instream and riparian habitat restoration, and protection of surface water quality.

---


Activities associated with these management measures may be regulated by federal, state, or local law (e.g., section 404 of the Clean Water Act). These measures do not supersede such requirements. Sometimes regulatory authorities may appear to conflict, as is sometimes the case of the CWA and water use and distribution. CWA sections 101(g) and 510 specifically allow for resolution of the conflict by placing water use and its distribution under the authority of the states, thus protecting any state agreements on “water rights.” Users of this NPS guidance should recognize that the applicability of the guidance provided in this document will remain subject to state statutes, interstate compacts, and international treaties. As such, this guidance does not recommend or require any management measures or practices that hinder a state’s ability to exercise existing water rights, which provide water for municipal, industrial, and agricultural needs. For further information regarding specific state policies on water rights and regulations of water use, contact the appropriate state water agency. Contact information is generally provided on state government Web sites.

This document also lists and describes management practices for each management measure. Management practices are specific actions taken to achieve, or aid in the achievement of, a management measure. A more familiar term might be best management practice (BMP). The word “best” has been dropped for the purposes of this guidance (as it was in the Coastal Management Measures Guidance (USEPA, 1993)) because the adjective is too subjective. The “best” practice in one area or situation might be entirely inappropriate in another area or situation. The practices listed in this document have been found by EPA to be representative of the types of practices that can be applied successfully to achieve the management measures. EPA recognizes that there is often site-specific, regional, and national variability in the selection of appropriate practices, as well as in the design constraints and pollution control effectiveness of practices. The practices presented for each management measure are not all-inclusive. States or local agencies and communities might wish to apply other technically and environmentally sound practices to achieve the goals of the management measures.

**Channelization and Channel Modification (Chapter 3)**

Channelization can cause a variety of instream flow changes and may result in the faster delivery of pollutants to downstream areas. Channel modification might result in a combination of harmful effects (higher flows or increased risk of downstream flooding) and beneficial effects (local flood control or enhanced flushing in a stream channel). The management measures for channelization and channel modification are intended to protect waterbodies by ensuring proper planning before a proposed project is implemented. Planning and evaluation can help to identify and prevent local and downstream problems before a project is started. An added benefit of planning and evaluation is to correct or prevent detrimental changes to the instream and riparian habitat associated with the project. Implementation of the management measures can also ensure that operation and maintenance programs for existing projects improve physical and chemical characteristics of surface waters and restore or maintain instream and riparian habitat when possible.
Management Measure 1: Physical and Chemical Characteristics of Surface Water:
Ensure that the planning process for new hydromodification projects addresses changes to physical and chemical characteristics of surface waters that may occur as a result of the proposed work. For existing projects, ensure that operation and maintenance programs use any opportunities available to improve the physical and chemical characteristics of surface waters.

Management Measure 2: Instream and Riparian Habitat Restoration: Correct or prevent detrimental changes to instream and riparian habitat from the impacts of channelization and channel modification projects, both proposed and existing.

Dams (Chapter 4)
Because of their instream locations, any construction activities associated with dams have the potential to introduce sediment and other pollutants into adjacent waterbodies. Construction activities, chemical spills during dams operation or maintenance, and changes in the quantity and quality of water held and released by a dam may alter the nature of the waterbody. The management measures for dams are intended to be applied to the construction of new dams, as well as any construction activities associated with the maintenance of existing dams. They can also be applied to dam operations that result in the loss of desirable surface water quality, and instream and riparian habitat.

Management Measure 3: Erosion and Sediment Control: Prevent sediment from entering surface waters during the construction or maintenance of dams.

Management Measure 4: Chemical and Pollutant Control: Prevent downstream contamination from pollutants associated with dam construction and operation and maintenance activities.

Management Measure 5: Protection of Surface Water Quality and Instream and Riparian Habitat: Protect the quality of surface waters and aquatic habitat in reservoirs and in the downstream portions of rivers and streams that are influenced by the quality of water contained in the releases (tailwaters) from reservoir impoundments.

Streambank and Shoreline Erosion (Chapter 5)
NPS pollution might result from the rapid increase in erosion of streambanks caused by increased flow rates associated with urbanization in a watershed. Not only is the land adjacent to these eroding streambanks unnaturally carried away, but these eroded soils are carried downstream and deposited in often undesirable locations. Shorelines erode more severely as the result of poorly planned and implemented shoreline protection projects located nearby. Habitats can be buried and wetlands can be filled. As runoff upstream increases, more erosion results on downstream streambanks. The streambank and shoreline erosion management measure promotes the necessary actions required to correct streambank and shoreline erosion where it must be controlled. Because erosion is a natural process, this management measure is not intended to be applied to all erosion occurring on streambanks and shorelines.
Management Measure 6: Eroding Streambanks and Shorelines: Protect streambanks and shorelines from erosion and promote institutional measures that establish minimum setback requirements or measures that allow a buffer zone to reduce concentrated flows and promote infiltration of surface water runoff in areas adjacent to the shoreline.

Channelization and channel modification and dams represent forms of hydromodification that are direct results of human activities—someone performs a construction activity directly in or along a stream, river, or shoreline. For example, a town constructs concrete lined channels along a stream passing through the city limits to reduce stream meandering and prevent flooding. Another example is the construction (many years ago) of a dam in a stream for hydropower at a grist mill. Streambank and shoreline erosion are forms of hydromodification that result from direct and indirect human activities. For example, a streambank is eroding at a much faster rate because of recent development activities on shore that result in increased runoff, which is causing increased bank erosion. Another example is a concrete seawall that is protecting property at one location, but causing increased erosion on adjacent properties.

This distinction between forms of hydromodification and impacts from hydromodification is important when contrasting the relationship between Chapter 3 (Channelization and Channel Modification) and Chapter 5 (Streambank and Shoreline Erosion). Many of the operation and maintenance solutions presented in Chapter 3 are also practices that can be used to stabilize streambanks and shorelines as presented in Chapter 5. For example, a stream channel that has been hardened with vertical concrete walls to prevent local flooding and limit the stream to its existing channel (to protect property built along the stream channel), may benefit from operation and maintenance practices that use opportunities to replace the concrete walls with an appropriate vegetative or combined vegetative and non-vegetative structures along the streambank when possible. These same practices may be applicable to stabilize downstream streambanks that are eroding and creating a nonpoint source pollution problem because of the upstream development and hardened streambanks.