## Facility Alteration or Construction Project National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Review Form United States Environmental Protection Agency

				Washington,	DC 20460	
I. Ger	neral	Info	rmation			
Title of Project						Project Number
RTP N	Main C	am	ous Laboratory Renovations			
Project Officer's Name				Project Officer'	s Title	Phone Number
Jody K	Kane	_		Real Estate S	pecialist	202-564-3766
Project	Locat	ion (	street address/city/state/ZIP code)		Conducted on EPA Facil	ity? XES NO (if yes, provide name of facility)
109 T.V	N. Ale	xanc	ler Drive, Research Triangle Par	k, NC 27711	RTP Main Campus Labo	ratory
Project	Desc	riptio	n (attach pages as needed)			
Renov	ation	s to	existing laboratory facilities in	main building	wings A, B, D, and E.	
			e Official for NEPA Review			
of AEAN	AB; oth	erwis		ividual who authoriz	zes/funds and manages the p	AMB), the Responsible Official is the Chief roject administratively at the Division,
Name				Title		Phone Number
Willian	n Ridg	ge		Chief, AEAME	3	202-564-2165
III. EP	A Co	nta	ct for Environmental Review	v on this Proje	ct (If different from Res	ponsible Official)
Name				Title		Phone Number
Rob Le	ee			Program Anal	yst	202-564-2162
IV. Ca	itego	rica	Exclusion (CX)			and the second
rev che of S IV.A. identifie to both o	iew pla ecked, i Section CX E d (dete of the function ne cate	nned answ IV, o ligib rmine	If this box is checked, skip the remer the following questions in Sections btain the Responsible Official's Sign <b>ility</b> (Check YES or NO) If the a ed by completing Section IV.B. of this ng questions is <b>NO</b> , it is recommend al exclusions identified under 40 CFF	ainder of Section IV. s IV.A through IV.C ature in Section V. nswer to <u>either</u> of the form), then the ac led the preparer ref R § 6.204(a)(2).	V and complete Section V.B, <i>I</i> to document the proposed action of the following questions is <b>YES</b> tion is eligible for a CX pursuaterence the attached guideline	re, an EA or EIS is the appropriate level of EA or EIS Determination. If this box is <u>not</u> ation is eligible for a CX. After completion and no Extraordinary Circumstances are and to 40 CFR § 6.204(a)(1). If the answer is to determine whether the action falls into ninor rehabilitation, restoration, renovation,
			or revitalization of existing facilities; construction of new minor ancillary §6.204(a)(1)(i)).	functional replacer	ment of equipment; acquisition	n and installation of equipment; or
		b.	capacity or rehabilitation (including collection network and treatment sy systems, including combined sewer same property as existing facilities? or ground water; will likely result in provide capacity to serve a populati regional growth plan or strategy; or primarily for the purposes of future	bined sewer overflo functional replacen restem; the system to roverflow systems) P This category doe the substantial incru- ion 30% greater that directly or indirectly development. (See	ow systems) that involve mino nent) of the existing system are collect, treat, store and distri- or construction of new minor s not include actions that: invi- ease in the volume or the load an the existing population; are y involve or relate to upgrading a 40 CFR § 6.204(a)(1)(ii)).	r upgrading, or minor expansion of system nd system components (such as the sewer ibute drinking water; and stormwater ancillary facilities adjacent to or on the olve new or relocated discharges to surface ling of pollutant to the receiving water; will not supported by the state or other g or extending infrastructure systems
			nary Circumstances Evalua			
			an Environmental Professional shou			is unsure of the answer to any of the
		a.	Is the action known or expected to leither individually or cumulatively or		nificant environmental impact	s on the quality of the human environment
	$\boxtimes$	b.	Is the action known or expected to I	have disproportiona		health or environmental effects on any cognized Indian tribal communities?
	$\boxtimes$	C.			the set the story of the second successful the second second second second second second second second second s	ndangered species or their critical habitat?
		d.	Is the action known or expected to a	significantly affect r rcheological, or cult	national natural landmarks or a	any property with naturally significant mited to, property listed on or eligible for
	$\boxtimes$	e.				ural resource areas such as wetlands, rier islands, wild and scenic rivers, and

YES	NO					
		f.	Is the action known or expected to cause	significant adverse a	air quality effects?	
		g.	Is the action known or expected to have a agricultural, recreational, residential) or g residential areas or may not be consisten use plans or federal land management pl	rowth and distribution at with state or local g	n of population, including	altering the character of existing
	$\boxtimes$	h.	Is the action known or expected to cause action?		ntroversy about potential	environmental impacts of the proposed
	$\boxtimes$	i.	Is the action known or expected to be ass interagency agreement for a project that			
	$\boxtimes$	j.	Is the action known or expected to conflic environmental resource-protection, or lan			ederally-recognized Indian tribe
in Secti	on IV.B	., the	nary Circumstances Statement n no Extraordinary Circumstances are pre- esponse was indicated for one or more Ex	sent pursuant to 40 C	CFR § 6.204(b) and one of	of the following statements should be
	pı pr	irsuai opos	best of my knowledge and with a strong lent to 40 CFR §§ 6.204(a)(1) and 6.204(b). ted action site resulting in a CX and/or inf ted at the proposed action site.	This statement is ba	sed on either past exper	ience with similar actions at the
$\boxtimes$	b. A	stater	nent and supporting documentation is atta pursuant to 40 CFR §§ 6.204(a)(1) and 6.2		no extraordinary circums	stances exist or apply to the proposed
V. NE	PAR	evie	w Determination and Responsi	ble Official Sign	ature	
						completion of this form indicates that a
CX doe Official be retai	s apply must si ned or Categ	, the ign ur consu oric the s	A must be completed to satisfy EPA's dot Responsible Official must sign under Secti ider Section V.B. below and indicate wheth ulted to determine the appropriate level of i <b>al Exclusion Determination</b> As the ubstantive environmental review requirem the required extraordinary circumstances	ion V.A. If completion her an EA or EIS is re NEPA review for the Responsible Officia ents under EPA regu	n of this form indicates the equired. It is recommence action under Section V.E al, I have determined tha	hat a CX does <u>not</u> apply, the Responsib led that an Environmental Professional 3. t this action <b>is eligible for a Categoric</b>
CX doe Official be retai Exclusicomplet	s apply must si ned or Categ ion per ted pro	y, the ign ur consu oric the s viding	Responsible Official must sign under Section of the Section V.B. below and indicate wheth alted to determine the appropriate level of a al Exclusion Determination As the ubstantive environmental review requirem	ion V.A. If completion her an EA or EIS is re NEPA review for the Responsible Officia ents under EPA regu	n of this form indicates the equired. It is recommence action under Section V.E al, I have determined tha	hat a CX does <u>not</u> apply, the Responsib led that an Environmental Professional 3. t this action <b>is eligible for a Categoric</b>
CX doe Official be retai V.A. O Exclusi complet Sign V.B. I	s apply must si ned or Categ ion per ted pro- ted pro- ature of EA or	r, the ign ur consu of the s viding of Re EIS	Responsible Official must sign under Section V.B. below and indicate wheth lated to determine the appropriate level of al Exclusion Determination As the ubstantive environmental review requirem the required extraordinary circumstances	ion V.A. If completion her an EA or EIS is re NEPA review for the re Responsible Officia ents under EPA regu statement.	n of this form indicates the action under Section V.E al, I have determined tha llations at 40 CFR § 6.20	at a CX does <u>not</u> apply, the Responsibled that an Environmental Professional 3. t this action <b>is eligible for a Categoric</b> 4. Section IV.C. of this form has been <u>11-22-</u> Date
CX doe Official be retai V.A. ( Exclusi complet Sign V.B. 1	s apply must sined or Categ ion per ted prov ature of EA or Mental As the	or, the ign ur consu the s viding of Re EIS review e Res	Responsible Official must sign under Section V.B. below and indicate wheth lated to determine the appropriate level of l al Exclusion Determination As the ubstantive environmental review requirem the required extraordinary circumstances ponsible Official Determination (Check the appropriate	ion V.A. If completion her an EA or EIS is re NEPA review for the e Responsible Official ents under EPA regu statement.	AEAMB tion is not eligible for a C esssment (EA) should b	at a CX does <u>not</u> apply, the Responsibled that an Environmental Professional 3. t this action is eligible for a Categoric 4. Section IV.C. of this form has been $\underbrace{11-22-[]}_{Date}$ CX based on the substantive
CX doe Official be retai V.A. ( Exclusi complet Sign V.B. 1	s apply must sined or Categ ion per ted prov ature of A or Mental As the the su As the	of Resubstar	Responsible Official must sign under Section V.B. below and indicate wheth lated to determine the appropriate level of al Exclusion Determination As the ubstantive environmental review requirem the required extraordinary circumstances Determination (Check the appropriate w requirements under EPA regulations at 4 ponsible Official, I have determined that an intive environmental review requirements un ponsible Official, I have determined that an intive environmental review requirements un ponsible Official, I have determined that an	ion V.A. If completion her an EA or EIS is re NEPA review for the re Responsible Official ents under EPA regu statement.	AEAMB this not eligible for a C effore: sessment (EA) should b s at 40 CFR § 6.20 the fore: sessment (EA) should b s at 40 CFR § 6.205. pact Statement (EIS) sh	at a CX does <u>not</u> apply, the Responsibled that an Environmental Professional B. t this action is eligible for a Categoric 4. Section IV.C. of this form has been $\frac{11-22-11}{Date}$ CX based on the substantive the prepared for this project pursuant to rould be prepared for this project
CX doe Official be retai V.A. ( Exclusi complet Sign V.B. 1	s apply must sined or Categ ion per ted prov ature of A or Mental As the the su As the	of Resubstar	Responsible Official must sign under Section V.B. below and indicate wheth lited to determine the appropriate level of al Exclusion Determination As the ubstantive environmental review requirem the required extraordinary circumstances sponsible Official Determination (Check the appropriate w requirements under EPA regulations at 4 ponsible Official, I have determined that an intive environmental review requirements under the requirements under EPA regulations at 4	ion V.A. If completion her an EA or EIS is re NEPA review for the re Responsible Official ents under EPA regu statement.	AEAMB this not eligible for a C effore: sessment (EA) should b s at 40 CFR § 6.20 the fore: sessment (EA) should b s at 40 CFR § 6.205. pact Statement (EIS) sh	at a CX does <u>not</u> apply, the Responsibled that an Environmental Professional t this action is eligible for a Categoric 4. Section IV.C. of this form has been <u>11-22-11</u> Date CX based on the substantive the prepared for this project pursuant to rould be prepared for this project
CX doe Official be retai Exclusic complet Sign V.B. I environr	s apply must sined or Categ ion per ted prov ature of <b>EA or</b> mental As the pursu	r, the ign ur consult into a second into a seco	Responsible Official must sign under Section V.B. below and indicate wheth lated to determine the appropriate level of al Exclusion Determination As the ubstantive environmental review requirem the required extraordinary circumstances Determination (Check the appropriate w requirements under EPA regulations at 4 ponsible Official, I have determined that an intive environmental review requirements un ponsible Official, I have determined that an intive environmental review requirements un ponsible Official, I have determined that an	ion V.A. If completion her an EA or EIS is re NEPA review for the re Responsible Official ents under EPA regu statement.	AEAMB this not eligible for a C effore: sessment (EA) should b s at 40 CFR § 6.20 the fore: sessment (EA) should b s at 40 CFR § 6.205. pact Statement (EIS) sh	at a CX does <u>not</u> apply, the Responsibled that an Environmental Professional t this action is eligible for a Categoric 4. Section IV.C. of this form has been <u>11-22-11</u> Date CX based on the substantive the prepared for this project pursuant to rould be prepared for this project

## National Environmental Policy Act Categorical Exclusion – Extraordinary Circumstances Statement RTP Main Campus Laboratory Renovations at U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Research Triangle Park, North Carolina October 18, 2011

The National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) ensures that environmental impacts and associated public concerns are considered in making decisions on federal actions. The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) proposes to renovate laboratory rooms and spaces in main building wings A, B, D, and E at the Research Triangle Park (RTP) research campus.

The EPA campus located in RTP, a suburban research park located in Durham, North Carolina. This is EPA's largest campus, housing over 2,000 employees from many disciplines across the EPA. The main campus currently covers nearly 1.5 million square feet adjacent to a man-made water feature. The main campus facility was constructed in 1995. A NEPA Environmental Assessment (EA) was completed for the construction of the main campus (this EA is henceforth referred to as the *RTP Campus EA*).

The proposed RTP Main Campus Laboratory Renovations (henceforth referred to as the "proposed action") are to occur in all floors (1<sup>st</sup> through 5<sup>th</sup>) of Wings A, B, D, and E over three phases. All modifications in the proposed action are to internal space; no external space will be affected. The proposed action contains upgrades to mechanical, plumbing, electrical, and architectural systems; some demolition of existing infrastructure will occur to accommodate the proposed upgrades. Typical mechanical activities include the removal and replacement of fume hoods, the removal of existing supply volume boxes and exhaust volume boxes, the installation of new variable air volume (VAV) supply air terminal box and controls, and the installation of new ductwork. Typical plumbing activities include the installation of new fixtures and the reworking of water and gas piping. Typical electrical activities include the replacement and installation of receptacles and electrical connections. Typical architectural activities include the replacement activities include the removal activities include the replacement and installation of receptacles and electrical connections. Typical architectural activities include the replacement and installation of doors and laboratory casework.

## **Extraordinary Circumstances Statement**

The following satisfies the extraordinary circumstances statement requirement of Section IV.C.b. of the attached *Facility Alteration or Construction Project NEPA Review Form* for the project titled "RTP Main Campus Laboratory Renovations," pursuant to 40 CFR §§6.204(a)(1) and 6.204(b). No extraordinary circumstances exist or apply to the proposed action because of the following:

 The proposed action will not change the existing building footprint, as all proposed renovations are within the existing building envelope. There will be no significant adverse air quality effects. The proposed action, which includes mechanical upgrades to existing heating, ventilation, or air conditioning (HVAC) systems, will not significantly

Extraordinary Circumstances Statement for RTP Main Campus Laboratory Renovations

change the load of the Central Utility Plant (CUP), and will not significantly affect the air emissions of the campus. The design of the original facility HVAC system carefully considered the placement of air intakes and exhausts with respect to the potential of entrainment of contaminants from laboratories, animal care areas, and the CUP (*RTP Campus EA* Section 5.1). Mitigation measures to reduce potential air impacts related to construction activities will be implemented as conditions necessitate.

- 2. The proposed action will not directly or indirectly affect cultural resources such as historic buildings or buildings eligible for historic listing.
- The proposed action will not significantly affect environmentally important natural resource areas, wetlands, floodplains, or endangered or threatened species. Measures will be taken to reduce stormwater, soil and sediment, and noise impacts resulting from the construction activities.
- 4. The proposed action will not cause significant public controversy and is cost effective.
- 5. The proposed action will not significantly impact the human environment.

## **Documents Reviewed**

The following documents were reviewed and assisted in this NEPA determination:

- 1. EPA Research & Administration Facility, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina, Environmental Assessment, dated November 1994, prepared by Jason M. Cortell and Associates Inc., Waltham, MA.
- 2. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Bridging Documents for Laboratory Space Allocation Plan, US EPA RTP Facility, 65% Review Submission, dated July 12, 2011, prepared by SSOE, Durham, NC.