ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Parts 403 and 420

Iron and Steel Manufacturing Point Source Category; Effluent Limitation Guidelines, Pretreatment Standards; and New Source Performance Standards; and General Pretreatment Regulations

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed regulation.

SUMMARY: EPA proposes modifications to the regulation which limits effluent discharges to waters of the United States and the introduction of pollutants into publicly owned treatment works from facilities engaged in manufacturing iron and steel. EPA agreed to propose these modifications in a settlement agreement which resolved the various lawsuits brought against EPA by the steel industry and the Natural Resources Defense Council, Inc., challenging the final iron and steel industry regulation promulgated by EPA on May 27, 1982, 47 FR 23258.

The proposed modifications include: (1) An amendment to the "water bubble" rule (an alternate effluent limitations policy, under which dischargers with multiple outfalls may discharge greater amounts of pollutants from outfalls where treatment costs are high in exchange for an equivalent decrease from outfalls at the same plant where abatement is less expensive); (2) certain modifications of the effluent limitations for "best practicable control technology currently available: (BPT); "best available technology economically achievable" (BAT); "best conventional pollutant control technology" (BCT); and, "new source performance standards" (NSPS) for direct discharges; and (3) certain modifications to the pretreatment standards for new and existing indirect discharges (PSNS and PSES). In addition, EPA agreed to propose additional preamble language regarding the steel industry regulation. The Agency is also proposing an amendment to the General Pretreatment Regulations (40 CFR Part 403) which permits reclassification of non-contact cooling water flows contaminated with significant quantities of pollutants from "dilute" to "unregulated" for purposes of the combined waste stream formula contained in 40 CFR 403.6(e).

DATES: Comments on this proposal must be submitted on or before November 14, 1983.

ADDRESSES: Send comments to: Mr. Ernst P. Hall, Effluent Guidelines

Division (WH-552), Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20460, Attention EGD Docket Clerk, Proposed Iron and Steel Rules (WH-552).

The supporting information and all comments on this proposal will be available for inspection and copying at the EPA Public Information Reference Unit, Room 2922 (EPA Library). The EPA information regulation provides that a reasonable fee may be charged for copying.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Gary Amendola, Senior Iron and

Mr. Gary Amendola, Senior Iron and Steel Industry Specialist, at (216) 835–5200.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

- I. Legal Authority
- II. Background
 - A. Prior Regulation
 - B. Challenges to the Prior Regulation
 - C. Settlement Agreement
- III. Proposed Modifications to the Iron and Steel Manufacturing Point Source Category Regulation
 - A. Alternative Effluent Limitations (Water Bubble)
 - B. Removal Credits for Phenols (4AAP)
 - C. Subparts B and C—Sintering and Ironmaking Subcategories
 - D. 301(g) Water Quality Variance for Ammonia-N and Phenols (4AAP)
 - E. Blast Furnace Flow Related Issue
 - F. Subpart I Acid Pickling Subcategory, Sulfuric and Hydrochloric, Acid Pickling Segments
 - G. Subpart G Cold Forming Subcategory, Cold Worked Pipe and Tube Segments
- H. Hot Coating Subcategory
- IV. Proposed Amendments to the Preamble to the Regulation
 - A. Pretreatment issues B. Central Treatment
- V. Proposed Modification to the General Pretreatment Regulation, § 403.6(c)
- VI. Environmental Impact of the Proposed Modifications to the Steel Industry Regulation
- VII. Solicitation of Comments
- VIII. Executive Order 12291
- IX. Regulatory Flexibility Analysis
- X. OMB Review
- XI. List of Subjects:
 - A. 40 CFR Part 403
 - B. 40 CFR Part 420

I. Legal Authority

The regulation described in this notice is proposed under authority of sections 301, 304, 306, 307, and 501 of the Clean Water Act (the Federal Water Pollution Control Act Amendments of 1972, 33 W.S.C. 1251 et seq., as amended by the Clean Water Act of 1977, Pub. L. 92–517).

II. Background

A. Prior Regulation

On January 7, 1981, EPA proposed a regulation to establish Best Practicable Control Technology Currently Available

(BTP), Best Available Technology Economically Achieveable (BAT), and **Best Conventional Pollutant Control** Technology (BCT) effluent limitations and New Source Performance Standards (NSPS), Pretreatment Standards for Existing Sources (PSES), and Pretreatment Standards for New Sources (PSNS) for the iron and steel manufacturing point source category PSES, and PSNS for the iron and steel manufacturing point source category (steel industry), 46 FR 1858. EPA published the final steel industry regulation on May 27, 1982, 47 FR 23258. The preamble to the final steel industry regulation describes the history of the rulemaking action.

B. Challenges to the Prior Regulation

After publication of the steel industry regulation, certain members of the steel industry, the American Iron and Steel Institute, and the Natural Resources Defense Council, Inc., filed petitions to review the regulation. These challenges were consolidated into one lawsuit by the Third Circuit Court of Appeals. (National Steel Corp. v. EPA, No. 82–3225 and Consolidated Cases).

C. Settlement Ageement

(1) Agreement to Modifications and Changes. On February 24, 1983, the parties in the consolidated lawsuits entered into a comprehensive settlement agreement which resolved all issues related to the steel industry regulation raised by the petitioners. As a result of that settlement agreement, the United States Court of Appeals issued an order on March 9, 1982 which staved briefing in the lawsuits. In the settlement agreement, EPA agreed to publish a notice of proposed rulemaking and to solicit comments regarding certain modifications to the final steel industry regulation. In addition, EPA agreed to publish proposed additions to the preamble to the regulation. If, after EPA has taken final action under the settlement agreement, each individual provision of the final steel industry regulation and each addition to the preamble is substantially the same as, and does not alter the meaning of, language set forth in the settlement ageement, the petitioners will dismiss the various lawsuits challenging the final steel industry regulation

EPA also agreed to take final action on a proposed amendment to the general pretreatment regulations (40 CFR Part 403) which would allow reclassification of non-contact cooling waters containinated with significant quantities pollutants from "dilute" to "unregulated" for purposes of the

combined waste stream formula to 40 CFR 403.6(e).

(2) Stay of Certain Effluent Limitations. As part of the Settlement Agreement, the parties jointly requested the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit in National Steel Corp. v EPA, to stay the effectiveness of certain sections of 40 CFR Part 420 pending final action by EPA on each respective modification or additon. Copies of the settlement agreement were promptly sent to EPA Regional Offices and State NPDES permit-issuing authorities after it was executed. On March 9, 1983, the Court entered an order staying those sections of the regulation promulgated on May 27, 1982 which EPA is proposing to amend.

All limitations and standards contained in the final steel industry regulation published in May 27, 1982 which are not specifically listed in the attached proposed regulation are not stayed by the order entered by the court. EPA is not proposing to delete or modify any of those limitations and standards in this notice.

III. Proposed Modifications to the Iron and Steel Manufacturing Point Source Category Regulation

The following are the changes to the steel industry regulation EPA is proposing:

A. Section 420.03 Alternative Effluent Limitations (Water Bubble)

The proposed amendments to the water bubble rule for the iron and steel manufacturing point source category regulation provide that the alternative effluent limitations established under the water bubble must result in a decrease in the discharge of traded pollutants from the amount allowed by the generally applicable limitations. The water bubble rule established by the final regulation published on May 27, 1982, provided that there could be no increase in the discharge of pollutants beyond that allowed by the generally applicable limitations. The preamble amendments presented in Section V of this notice describes the proposed revisions to the water bubble rule.

In the settlement agreement, the Agency agreed to propose to amend the preamble to the regulation as follows:

As part of the settlement, EPA is proposing to amend its bubble rule for the steel industry. As originally promulgated, the rule provided that a discharger could qualify for alternative effluent limitations as long as its discharge from a combination of outfalls met certain requirements (water quality standards) and restrictions and would not exceed the total mass of each pollutant otherwise allowed under the regulation.

Under the revised rule being proposed today, a discharger would have to meet the same requirements and restrictions, but would qualify for alternative effluent limitations only if it achieves a net reduction from the total mass of each traded pollutant.

The amended regulation provides that the permit-issuing authority must determine an 'appropriate net reduction amount" in each case. In making that determination, it is intended that the permit writer will examine historical discharge levels and seek to achieve those reductions that are attainable at a facility through good engineering practices, improved operations and supervision of existing treatment systems or other feasible modifications, e.g., non-process flow segregation or chemical addition, if they can be achieved without requiring significant additional expenditures. It is intended that in reviewing opportunities for appropriate reductions, the permit writer will require only those measures which result in non-trivial (substantial) effluent reductions and which will not require significant additional expenditures.

The minimum net reduction in all cases for each pollutant traded is to be the amount specified in the regulation. The amount is expressed in terms of percentages of the amount by which a discharger proposes to exceed the otherwise applicable effluent limitations established in this regulation. The Agency proposes approximately 15 percent for Total Suspended Solids (TSS) and Oil and Grease (O&G) and approximately 10 percent for all other traded pollutants.

In the simplest case, for example, a discharger might propose to exceed the allowable limitation for TSS on Outfall A by 100 pounds and then make up the amount on Outfall B by reducing its allowable discharge by 100 pounds. The net reduction provision would require that, at a minimum, the allowable discharge from Outfall B (or any other outfall which the discharger has included in the bubble trade) be reduced by approximately 115 pounds. In making a determination of the "appropriate net reduction amount," the permit writer will require further, non-trivial (substantial) reductions only if he determines that they can be achieved without significant additional expenditures.

This amendment results from settlement of litigation among several parties with significantly divergent views of the water bubble rule. This provision does not represent the Agency view on whether it is either a legally required condition of a bubble rule under the Clean Water Act or any other environmental statute or required as a matter of policy, nor shall it be taken as an indicator of what the Agency may or may not require in any other regulations establishing effluent limitations guidelines under the Clean Water Act.

In reaching this accord, the parties do not imply any changes in their positions. In the interest of avoiding protracted litigation and of expediting the installation of pollution controls for this industry, the parties have reached an overall settlement of many issues that they view as beneficial. In that context, the parties have agreed to resolve their differences with this settlement.

B. Section 420.06 Removal Credits for Phenols (4AAP)

EPA proposes to add § 420.06 which specifies that pretreatment removal credits for phenols (4AAP) may be granted when phenols (4AAP) is used as an indicator or surrogate pollutant. Under the general pretreatment regulations, a categorical pretreatment standard may be revised to reflect removal of indicator or surrogate pollutants if the standard specifies that such revisions are permissible (40 CFR 403.7(a)). The final regulation published on May 27, 1982, did not specify that removal credits would be granted for phenols (4AAP). The Agency believes that the biological treatment systems employed at publicly owned treatment works will, in large measure, remove those pollutants for which phenols (4AAP) is used as an indicator pollutant to the same degree as they remove phenols (4AAP). Accordingly, EPA proposes to revise the steel industry regulations to provide that removal credits may be granted for phenols (4AAP).

In the settlement agreement, the Agency agreed to propose to amend the preamble to the regulation as follows:

Removal allowances pursuant to 40 CFR 403.7(a)(1) may be granted for phenols (4AAP) limited in 40 CFR Part 420 when used as an indicator or surrogate pollutant. Of course, when phenols (4AAP) are not used as an indicator or surrogate pollutant, removal allowances may also be granted.

C. Subparts B and C—Sintering and Ironmaking Subcategories

The proposed BAT, NSPS, PSES, and PSNS ironmaking and sintering limitations and standards for lead and zinc are slightly higher than those contained in the final steel industry regulation published on May 27, 1982. After promulgating the final regulation, EPA learned that the final limitations for ironmaking operations (blast furnaces) were based in part upon data obtained at a plant with treatment operations more extensive than the EPA model treatment system. Therefore, these data may not be an appropriate basis for the limitations and standards. The limitations and standards proposed today are based upon data obtained from steelmaking operations using the applicable BAT model treatment system. The model treatment systems used to develop the limitations and standards for steelmaking operations are the same as those considered for sintering and ironmaking operations. Because wastewaters from steelmaking operations are similar in character and treatability to wastewaters from

sintering and ironmaking operations with respect to toxic metal pollutants, the Agency believes that it is appropriate to rely upon that data in proposing modified lead and zinc limitations and standards for sintering and ironmaking operation. Volume I of Development Document (EPA 440/1–82/024, May 1982, pages 13, 18, 19, 27, 31, 34, 35, 40, 41, 46, 51, 55, 59, 63, 64, 66–68, and 409–427) contains the relevant data relating to steelmaking operations.

EPA is proposing modifications to the BAT limitations and PSES for total cyanide and to establish a new subdivision for existing indirect blast furnace dischargers which would contain standards which are the same as the generally applicable PSES except that the proposed ammonia-N and phenol standards are less stringent. These standards are only applicable to the two existing iron blast furnace operations which discharge their wastewater into POTWs. These operations are located in Chicago, Illinois and discharge their wastewater into the Metropolitan Sanitary District system. Compliance with the proposed cyanide BAT limitations and PSES could be accomplished through the use of wastewater treatment technologies other than the model BAT and PSES alkaline chlorination technology. The proposed changes would, accordingly, give the industry added flexibility. EPA is not, however, proposing any changes to the BAT limitations and pretreatment standards (except as noted above for existing indirect dischargers) for ammonia-N and phenols (4AAP) contained in the final regulation.

D. Section 301 (g) Water Quality Variance for Ammonia-N and Phenols (4AAP)

The availability of variances from the BAT limitations for non-toxic nonconventional pollutants as allowed under Section 301(g) of the Clean Water Act can significantly affect the cost of compliance for a discharger. Section 301(g) variances can, however, only be granted in cases where the granting of the variance will not interfere with attainment of existing water quality standards. Certain parties to the Settlement Agreement have sought a clarification regarding the availability of Section 301(g) variances for steel industry dischargers. In the Settlement Agreement, the Agency agreed to propose to amend the preamble to the final regulation as follows:

The BPT referred to in section 301(g) of the Clean Water Act is either (a) the requirement applicable to the facility as a result of the BPT limitation contained in the steel industry regulation, or (b) the requirement applicable

to a facility as a result of the BPT limitation contained in the steel industry regulation which is or may be modified after February 24, 1983 by a fundamentally different factors ("FDF") variance, (40 CFR 125.31), or the net/ gross provisions of the NPDES permit regulations (40 CFR 122.63(h). Section 301(g) variances may be granted for ammonia-N discharges from blast furnaces and from sinter plants when sinter plant wastewaters are treated with blast furnace wastewaters. Section 301(g) variances may also be granted for phenols (4AAP) discharges from blast furnaces and from sinter plants when sinter plant wastewaters are treated with blast furnace waters if the applicant discharging phenols performs appropriate analyses (e.g., GC or GC/MS) of the effluent which demonstrate that the effluent does not contain significant amounts of toxic pollutants. Of course, no variance may be granted pursuant to section 301(g) unless the demonstration called for by that section has been made.

E. Blast Furnace Flow-Rated Safety Issue

In the settlement agreement, the Agency agreed to propose to amend the preamble to the regulation as follows:

It has been brought to the Agency's attention that one facility contends that it may encounter a safety problem related to the maintenance of gas seal pressures resulting from efforts to reduce its blast furnace flows to those contemplated by the EPA model. Such a safety related flow problem may result in difficulty in meeting blast furnace mass limitations at the facility. Safety related issues were not raised prior to promulgation of the effluent limitations guidelines and were, therefore, not considered by the Agency in the rulemaking. The Agency has not received any information that this may be a problem at any other facility. If it appears that there is a safety problem at that particular site related to flow reduction (or the total cost of compliance with the BAT requirements, including the cost of remedying the safety problem issubstantially greater than the EPA model treatment system cost estimate) then either or both of those circumstances may be an appropriate basis for a FDF variance for that facility. Any application for such a variance shall be in accordance with and satisfy the requirements of 40 CFR Part 125 Subpart D.

F. Subpart I—Acid Pickling Subcategory, Sulfuric and Hydrochloric Acid Pickling Segments

In accordance with the settlement agreement, the proposed BPT and BAT limitations and NSPS, PSES, and PSNS for zinc are slightly higher than those contained in the final regulation.

G. Subpart J—Cold Forming Subcategory, Cold Worked Pipe and Tube Segments

The final regulation limited all cold worked pipe and tube operations to zero discharge at each level of treatment

(BPT, BAT, NSPS, PSES, PSNS, and BCT). The model treatment system relied upon by the Agency as the basis for the final limitations and standards includes the recycle of the oil or water solution and, when appropriate, contract hauling of a small oil solution blowdown. The proposed regulation would permit nominal discharges of the spent oil or water solution (rather than contract hauling), and also specifies that appropriate limitations and standards for process wastewaters which are not regulated by the prior regulation are to be developed on a case-by-case basis. The proposed effluent limitations and standards for cold worked pipe and tube operations are based upon the cold rolling model treatment systems and a model flow rate of 5 gallons per ton.

H. Subpart L—Hot Coating Subcategory

The proposed regulation contains modified effluent limitations and standards for zinc. These limitations and standards are based upon the same effluent concentration as are the proposed zinc limitations and standards for acid pickling operations (0.20 mg/l). The proposed regulation contains a provision requiring that hot coating treatment facilities presently achieving zinc discharge levels more stringent than the proposed limitations and standards continue to do so. The proposed regulation also provides that the proposed limitations may be used as a basis for determining alternative limitations under 40 CFR 420.03 (water bubble rule) even for those facilities presently achieving discharge levels more stringent than the proposed limitations and standards.

IV. Proposed Amendments to the Preamble to the Regulation

A. Pretreatment Issues

(1) Flow Monitoring for Combined Wastestream Formula. In the settlement agreement, the Agency agreed to propose to amend the preamble to the regulation as follows:

Under § 403.12(b)(4) of the General Pretreatment Regulations, a facility must monitor the flow of regulated process streams and other streams "as necessary" to allow use of the Combined Wastestream Formula. A facility must monitor the flows of its regulated streams. However, a facility can avoid monitoring its other streams (unregulated and dilute) under this section by agreeing to meet a mass limitation at least as stringent as the one which would be calculated under the Combined Wastestream Formula if these other streams were taken into consideration. An integrated iron and steel facility combining regulated process streams with either unregulated or dilute streams, or both, can avoid monitoring the

flows of those streams if it agrees to meet the mass limit calculated solely through use of the limits applicable to the regulated streams. Such a limit would be as stringent as any which could possibly be derived under the formula if either the unregulated or dilute streams, or both, were taken into consideration. If, however, the facility desires to take into account potential pollutants contained in these unregulated or dilute streams, monitoring of these streams will be required to enable calculation of the alternative limit under the formula.

It should be noted that it is an entirely different matter where concentration-based rather than mass-based limits are involved. A facility cannot, for example, avoid monitoring unregulated or dilute streams by agreeing to meet the concentration limit applicable to its regulated streams. This is because application of the formula could result in a more stringent concentration-based limit if the unregulated or dilute streams were taken into consideration.

(2) Monitoring Data for Temporarily Closed Plants. In the settlement agreement, the Agency agreed to propose to amend the preamble to the regulation as follows:

The pretreatment regulations should be construed to establish that temporarily closed plants are required to submit a baseline monitoring report if recommencement of discharge is expected, but need not include the monitoring information unless the plant wants to submit historical data and this is acceptable to the Control Authority. Monitoring data should be submitted within a reasonable time after reopening the plant. For those plants that are operating at a reduced rate of production, a complete baseline monitoring report is required. The report should include monitoring data based upon the present average rate of production. If the plant calculates its limits through use of the Combined Wastestream Formula, it will be necessary to inform the Control Authority of any significant change in the values used to calculate this limit. See 40 CFR 403.6(e) (1982).

(3) Flow Estimates for Combined Wastestream Formula. In the settlement agreement, the Agency agreed to propose to amend the preamble to the regulation as follows:

Flows from integrated facilities can be estimated when it is difficult or nearly impossible to monitor the flows to achieve an actual reading. 40 CFR 403.12(b)(4) (1982) lists the flow measurement requirements, and states in part that "the Control Authority may allow for verifiable estimates of these flows [regulated streams and other streams necessary to allow use of the Combined Wastestream Formula] where justified by cost of feasibility considerations."

(4) Mass-Based and Concentration-Based Pretreatment Standards. In the settlement agreement, the Agency agreed to propose to amend the preamble to the regulation as follows: If an integrated plant is required to comply with a categorical pretreatment standard expressed only in the mass-based limits and with another categorical pretreatment standard expressed only in concentration-based limits, a mass-based limit should be applied to the combined flow. To accomplish this under the formula, the concentration limit may be converted to a mass limit by multiplying the concentration limit by the average or other appropriate flow of the regulated stream to which that limit applies.

B. Central Treatment

In the settlement agreement, the Agency agreed to propose to amend the preamble to the regulation as follows:

Industry petitioners believe that they are entitled to obtain a FDF variance under 40 CFR Part 125 Subpart D for an individual process (a) where the removal costs are wholly out of proportion to the removal costs considered during development of the national limits, or (b) where other factors solely related to that individual process would result in a non-water quality environmental impact (including energy requirements) fundamentally more adverse than the impact considered during development of the national limits, even though EPA may have considered such costs or such other factors in making its determination pursuant to 40 CFR 420.01(b). EPA does not concede that petitioners' contention is a correct interpretation of applicable law, but does not agree that the discussion in the preamble (47 FR 23267 (Column 3) (May 27, 1982)) was not intended to preclude this contention.

V. Proposed Modification to the General Pretreatment Regulations, § 403.6(c)

In the combined wastestream formula, the term "dilution stream" is defined to include boiler blowdown and noncontact cooling water streams, among others. However, in certain circumstances (e.g., where recycled cooling water is treated with algaecides) non-contact cooling water or boiler blowdown could contain significant concentrations of regulated pollutants. The Agency today proposes to refine the meaning of dilution stream to address this situation. Where non-contact cooling water or a boiler blowdown stream contains a significant amount of a pollutant, and an industrial user combines this wastewater with its regulated process wastestream(s) prior to treatment, resulting in a substantial reduction of that particular pollutant, the Control Authority will be authorized to exercise its discretion to classify this stream as either a dilution or an unregulated stream. The term "Control Authority" refers either to the POTW if it has an approved pretreatment program, or to the Approval Authority (EPA or the NPDES State) if the POTW has no approved program.

Before the Control Authority can exercise its discretion to classify such a stream, the industrial user must provide engineering, production, and sampling and analysis information sufficient to allow a determination by the Control Authority on how the stream should be classified.

VI. Environmental Impact of the Proposed Modifications to the Steel Industry Regulation

EPA's estimates of the industry-wide direct discharges of toxic metals and total cyanide under the final steel industry regulation and the regulation as modified by this proposal are presented below. Volume I of the Development Document contains a compilation of estimated industry-wide discharges on a subcategory specific basis. The estimated discharges of other pollutants limited by the final steel industry regulation would be the same under the regulation as modified by this proposal. These estimates do not take into account the proposed change in the water bubble rule which would result in a decrease in the amount of pollutants discharged at those facilities using the rule.

[Discharge in tons/year]

1	Untreated wastewaters	BPT	BAT	
	Prior Regulations			
Toxic Metals	121,900 17,000	462 431	273 96	
Proposed Re	gulation			
Toxic Metals	121,900 17,000	468 431	280 100	

VII. Solicitation of Comments

EPA invites public participation in this rulemaking and requests comments on the proposals discussed or set out in this notice. The Agency asks that any deficiencies in the record of this proposal be pointed to with specificity and that suggested revisions or corrections be supported by data.

VIII. Executive Order 12291

Under Executive Order 12291, EPA must judge whether a regulation is "major" and therefore subject to the requirement of a Regulatory Impact Analysis. The Agency previously prepared such an analysis regarding the May 27, 1982 final steel industry regulation. This proposed regulation is not major because it does not fall within the criteria for major regulations established in Executive Order 12291.

IX. Regulatory Flexibility Analysis

Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601 et seq., EPA must prepare a Regulatory Flexibility Analysis for all proposed regulations that have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities. In the preamble to the May 27, 1982 final steel industry regulation, the Agency concluded that there would not be a significant impact on any segment of the regulated population, large or small. For that reason, the Agency determined that a formal regulatory flexibility analysis was not required. That conclusion is equally applicable to this regulation. The Agency is not, therefore, preparing a formal analysis for this regulation.

X. OMB Review

This regulation was submitted to the Office of Management and Budget for review as required by Executive Order 12291. Any comments from OMB to EPA and any EPA response to those comments are available for public inspection at Room M2404, U.S. EPA, 401 M Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20460 from 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. Monday through Friday, excluding Federal holidays.

XI. List of Subjects

40 CFR Part 403

Confidential business information, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Waste treatment and disposal, Water pollution control.

40 CFR Part 420

Iron, Steel, Water pollution control, Wastewater treatment and disposal.

Dated: September 27, 1983.

William D. Ruckelshaus.

Administrator.

For the reasons set out in the preamble, EPA is proposing to amend 40 CFR Part 420 as follows:

PART 420—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for Part 420 reads as follows:

Authority: Sections 301; 304 (b), (c), (e), and (g); 306 (b) and (c); 307; 308 and 501, Clean Water Act (the Federal Water Pollution Control Act Amendments of 1972, as amended by the Clean Water Act of 1977) (the "Act"); 33 U.S.C. 1311; 1314 (b), (c), (e), and (g); 1316 (b) and (c); 1317; 1318; and 1361; 86 Stat. 816, Pub. L. 92–500; 91 Stat. 1567; Pub. L. 95–217.

2. By revising § 420.03 to read as follows:

- § 420.03 Alternative effluent limitations representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of best practicable control technology currently available, best available technology, and best conventional technology.
- (a) Except as provided in paragraphs (b)(1) through (b)(3) of this section, any existing point source subject to this part may qualify for alternative effluent limitations to those specified in Part 420, Subparts A though L for a number of its processes representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of best practicable control technology currently available, best available, technology economically, achievable, and best conventional technology. The alternative effluent limitations for each pollutant are determined for a combination of outfalls by totaling the mass limitations of each pollutant allowed under Subparts A though L and subtracting from each total an appropriate net reduction amount. The permit authority shall determine an appropriate net reduction amount for each pollutant traded based upon consideration of additional available control measures which would result in non-trival (substantial) effluent reductions and which can be achieved without requiring significant additional expenditures at any outfall(s) by which the discharges from any waste steam(s) in the combination for which the discharge is projected to be better than required by this regulation.
- (b) In the case of Total Suspended Solids (TSS) and Oil and Grease (O&G), the minimum net reduction amount shall be approximately 15 percent of the amount(s) by which any waste stream(s) in the combination will exceed otherwise allowable effluent limitations. For all other traded pollutants, the minimum net reduction exceeds otherwise allowable effluent limitations. For all other traded pollutants, the minimum net reduction amount shall be approximately 10 percent of the amount(s) by which the discharges from any waste stream(s) in the combination will exceed otherwise allowable effluent limitations for each pollutant under this regulation. -
- (1) A discharger cannot qualify for alternative effluent limitations if the application of such alternative effluent limitations would result in violation of any applicable State water quality standards.
- (2) Each outfall from which process wastewaters are discharged must have specific, fixed effluent limitations for each pollutant limited by the applicable Subparts A though L.
 - (3) Subcategory-Specific restrictions.

- (i) There shall be no alternate effluent limitations for cokemaking process wastewaters.
- (ii) There shall be no alternative effluent limitations for cold forming process wastewaters.
- 3. By adding a new § 420.06 to read as follows:

§ 420.06 Removal Credits for Phenois (4AAP).

Removal allowances pursuant to 40 CFR 403.7(a)(1) may be granted for phenols (4AAP) limited in 40 CFR Part 420 when used as an indicator or surrogate pollutant.

- 4. The table in § 420.23 is amended by revising the entires for cyanide, lead, and zinc as follows:
- § 420.23 Effluent limitations representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best available technology economically achievable (BAT).

SUBPART B

	BAT effluen	t limitations
Pollutant or pollutant property	Maximum for any 1 day	Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days
	Kg/kkg (pounds per 1,00 lb) of product	
_		
Cyanide 1		
Cyanide¹	ib) of p	product

5. The table in § 420.24 is amended by revising the entries for lead and zinc as follows:

§ 420.24 New source performance standards (NSPS).

SUBPART B

	New Source Performance Standards		
Pollutant or pollutant property	Maximum for any 1 day	Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days	
	Kg/kkg (pounds per 1,000		
	lb) of p	roduct	
	Ib) of p	roduct	

6. The table in § 420.25 is amended by revising the entries for cyanide, lead. and zinc as follows:

§ 420.25 Pretreatment standards for existing sources (PSES).

SUBPART B

	Pretreatment standards for existing sources		
Pollutant or pollutant property	Maximum for any 1 day	Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days	
	Kg/kkg (pour lb) of p		
• • •			
Cyanide 1			
Cyanide 1	lb) of p	product	

7. The table in § 420.26 is amended by revising the entries for lead and zinc as follows:

§ 420.26 Pretreatment standards for new sources (PSNS).

SUBPART B

	Pretreatment standards for new sources		
Pollutant or pollutant property	Maximum for any 1 day	Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days	
		nds per 1,000 product	
	•	•	
Lead	. 0.000451 . 0.000676	0.000150 0.000225	

8. By adding a new paragraph (c) to § 420.31 to read as follows:

§ 420.31 Special Definitions.

- (c) The term "existing indirect dischargers" means only those two iron blast furnace operations with discharges to publicly owned treatment works prior to May 27, 1982.
- 9. The table in paragraph (a) of § 420.33 is amended by revising the entries for cyanide, lead, and zinc as follows:
- § 420.33 Effluent limitations representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best available technology economically achievable (BAT).
 - (a) * * *

SUBPART C

	BAT effluen	t limitations		
Pollutant or pollutant property	Maximum, for any 1 day	for any 1 for 30		
	Kg/kkg (pounds per 1,000 lb) of product			
	lb) of p	roduct		
	lb) of p	roduct		
Cyanide	0.000175	0.000876		
Cyanide	•	•		

10. The table in paragraph (a) of § 420.34 is amended by revising the entries for lead and zinc as follows:

§ 420.34 New source performance standards (NSPS).

(a) * * *

SUBPART C

•		New source performance standards		
Pollutant or	Pollutant or pollutant property		Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days	
	,		nds per 1,000 product	
•		•	•	
Lead		. 0.000263	0.0000876	
Zinc			0.000131	
* * *		•		

11. The table in paragraph (a) of § 420.35 is amended by revising the entries for cyanide, lead, and zinc as follows:

§ 420.35 Pretreatment standards for existing sources (PSES).

(a) * * *

SUBPART C

Pretreatment standards for

existing sources

Pollutant or pollutant property	Maximum for any 1 day	daily values for 30 consecutive days	
		nds per 1,000 product	
• . •. •	•	•	
Cyanide	. 0.00175	0.000876	
Lead	0.000263	0.0000876	
Zinc:	0.000394	0.000131	
	 		

- 12. By adding a new paragraph (c) to § 420.35 as follows:
 - (c) Existing Indirect Dischargers.

SUBPART C

	Pretreatment standards for existing sources		
Pollutant or pollutant property	Maximum for any 1 day	Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days	
	Kg/kkg (pounds per 1,000		
Ammonia-N			
	lb) of p	roduct	
	(lb) of p	0.0175	
Cyanide	0.0350 0.00175	0.0175 0.000876	

13. The table in paragraph (a) of § 420.36 is amended by revising the entries for lead and zinc as follows:

§ 420.36 Pretreatment standards for new sources (PSNS).

(a) * * *

SUBPART C >

	Pretreatment standards for new sources		
Pollutant or pollutant property	Maximum for any 1 day	Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days	
	Kg/kkg (pour	nds per 1,000	
		nds per 1,000	
Lead		nds per 1,000	

- 14. Section 420.92 is amended by revising the entry for zinc in the tables in paragraphs (a)(1) through (a)(5) and (b)(1) through (b)(5) as follows:
- § 420.92 Effluent limitations representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best practicable control technology currently available (BPT).
 - (a) * * *
- (1) * * *

SUBPART I

			nt limitations
Pollutant or pollutant property		Maximum for any 1 day	Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Kg/kkg (pou lb) of	nds per 1,000 product
Zinc	•	0.000701	0.000234

(2) * * *

SUBPA	ART I		(2) * * *			§ 420.93 Effluent limit		
** ***	BPT effluen	t limitations	Subp	ART I		the degree of effluent of the by the application of the	ie best avai	lable
Pollutant or pollutant property	Maximum for any 1 day	Average of daily values for 30		BPT effluer	t limitations	technology economica	Ily achievat	ole (BAT).
	Kg/kkg (pour		Pollutant or pollutant property	Maximum for any 1 day	Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days	(a) * * * (1) * * *		
Zinc	. 0.000225	0.0000751	<u> </u>	Kg/kkg (pour	nds per 1,000	SUBPA	ART I	
				•	•	1. A time common proper proper realize a department of the common section of the common	BAT effluen	t limitations
(3) * * * Subpa	ART I		Zinc	0.000701	0.000234	Pollutant or pollutant property	Maximum for any 1 day	Average of daily values for 30 consecutive
	BPT effluen	t limitations	(3) * * *					days
Pollutant or pollutant property	Maximum for any 1 day	Avarage of daily values for 30	Subp	ART I			Kg/kkg (poun	
		consecutive days		BPT effluer	t limitations	Zinc	0.000701	0.000234
	Kg/kkg (pour lb) of p		Pollutant or pollutant property	Maximum for any 1 day	Average of daily values for 30 consecutive			``
Zinc	. 0.000451	0.0000150		Kg/kkg (pour		(2) * * *		
(4) * * *				lb) of p	oroduct .	SUBPA	ART I	
SUBP	ART I	_	Zinc	0.00255	0.000851		BAT effluen	t limitations
1	BPT effluen	at limitations				Pollutant or pollutant property	Maximum for any 1 day	Average of daily values for 30 consecutive
Pollutant or pollutant property	Maximum for any 1 day	Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days	(4) * * * Subpa	ART I			Kg/kkg (poun	days ds per 1,000
		nd per 1,000 product	-	BPT effluer	t limitations Average of	Zinc	1b) of p	0.000751
Zinc	. 0.00125	0.000417	Pollutant or pollutant property	Maximum for any 1 day	daily values for 30 consecutive days			
(5) * * * SUBPA	ART I			Kg/	day	(3) * * * Subp	NPT I .	
		t limitations	Zinc	0.0491	0.0164			
Pollutant or pollutant property	Maximum for any 1 day	Average of daily values for 30 consecutive	(5) * * *			Pollutant or pollutant property	Maximum for any 1 day	Average of daily values for 30 consecutive
		days	Subp	ART I				days
		• •		BPT effluer			Kg/kkg (pour lb) of p	
Zinc	. 0.0491	0.0164	Pollutant or pollutant property	Maximum for any 1 day	Average of daily values for 30 consecutive	Zinc	0.000451	0.000150
(b) * -* * (1) * * *	1				days			
Suber	ART I			ko/	day	(4) * * *		
	BPT effluen	it limitations		•	•	SUBPA	ART I	
Pollutant or pollutant property		Average of daily values	Zinc	0.327	0.109		BAT effluen	t limitations
- Postalin property	Maximum for any 1 day	for 30 consecutive days			•	Pollutant or pollutant property	Maximum for any 1 day	Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days
		nds per 1,000 product	15. Section 420.93 i	s amended	 l by		Kg/kkg (pour	nds per 1,000
Zinc	. 0.00123	0.000409	revising the entry for in paragraphs (a)(1) t (b)(1) through (b)(5) a	zinc in the hrough (a)	e tables	• . • •	•	0.000417

RT I							
		SUBPA	RT I		SUBPA	RT I	•
BAT efficient	limitations		BAT effluent	limitations		New source p	erformance ards
Maximum for any 1 day	Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days	Pollutant or pollutant property	Maximum for any 1 day	Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days	Pollutant or pollutant property	Maximum for any 1 day	Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days
Kg/d	lay	_	Kg/d	day		Ka/kka (noun)	
0,0491	0.0164	Zinc	0.0491	0.0164		ib) of pr	
•		* * * * *			Zinc	0.000100	0.0000334
		(5) * * *	ny l				
I		, SUBPA			(4) * * *	V13	
			BAT effluen		SUBPA	RT I	
BAT effluent		Pollutant or pollutant property	Maximum for any 1 day	daily values for 30		New source	performance dards
Maximum for any 1 day	daily values for 30 consecutive days			days	Pollutant or pollutant property	Maximum for any 1	Average of daily values for 30
Kg/kkg (poun	ds per 1,000		•	•		day	consecutive days
		Zinc	0.327	0.109		Kg/kkg (pour	
0.00123	0.000409	* * * *	*	•		10, 01 }	*
		revising the entry for	zinc in the	tables	Zinc	0.000175	0.0000584
RT I	-	(b)(1) through (b)(4) a	s follows:	(o) and	, (5) * * *	•	
BAT effluen	t limitations		performan	ce ·		 NDT I	
Maximum for any 1 day	daily values for 30 consecutive	* * * *	*			New source	performance dards
	ds per 1,000	(1) * * *	ART√I		Pollutant or pollutant property	Maximum for any 1 day	Average of daily values for 30 consecutive
0.000701	0.000234		New source Stan	Performance dards		Ka	days /day
		Pollutant or pollutant property		Average of			•
			Maximum for any 1 day	for 30 consecutive	Zinc	0.0491	0.0164
			44-411-4				
BAT effluen		` ,			(b) * * *	•	
Maximum for any 1 day	daily values for 30 consecutive days	Zinc	. 0.000125	0.0000417	(-)	ART I	
		(2) * * *			,	New source stan	performance ndards
0.00255	0.000851	SUBP			Pollutant or pollutant property	Maximum for any 1 day	Average of daily values for 30 consecutive
			New source stan	dards			days
		Pollutant or pollutant property	Maximum for any 1 day	daily values for 30	•		unds per 1,000 product
				days	Zinc	0.000150	0.000050
				nds per 1,000 · product	• •	•	
	Maximum for any 1 day RT BAT effluent Maximum for any 1 day Kg/kkg (pound b) of processor and the second processor	Maximum for any 1 day Kg/day No.0491 O.0491 O.0164 RT I BAT effluent limitations Maximum for any 1 day Kg/kkg (pounds per 1,000 lb) of product O.00123 O.000409 RT I BAT effluent limitations Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days Kg/kkg (pounds per 1,000 lb) of product O.00123 O.000409 RT I BAT effluent limitations Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days Kg/kkg (pounds per 1,000 lb) of product O.000701 O.000234 RT I BAT effluent limitations Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days Kg/kkg (pounds per 1,000 lb) of product O.000701 O.000234 Kg/kkg (pounds per 1,000 lb) of product O.000701 RT I BAT effluent limitations Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days Kg/kkg (pounds per 1,000 lb) of product	Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days Consecutive days	Maximum for any 1 day of consecutive days Kg/kkg (pounds per 1,000 lb) of product BAT effluent limitations Average of dally values of consecutive days Kg/kkg (pounds per 1,000 lb) of product BAT effluent limitations BAT effluent limitations Average of dally values of consecutive days Kg/kkg (pounds per 1,000 lb) of product BAT effluent limitations Kg/kkg (pounds per 1,000 lb) of product BAT effluent limitations Average of dally values of consecutive days Fig. 1 BAT effluent limitations Average of dally values of consecutive days Kg/kkg (pounds per 1,000 lb) of product BAT effluent limitations Average of dally values for 30 consecutive days Kg/kkg (pounds per 1,000 lb) of product D.000701 0.000234 RT I BAT effluent limitations Average of dally values for 30 consecutive days Kg/kkg (pounds per 1,000 lb) of product D.000701 0.000234 RT I BAT effluent limitations Average of dally values for 30 consecutive days Kg/kkg (pounds per 1,000 lb) of product SUBPART I New sources stan Average of dally values for 30 consecutive days Xg/kkg (pounds per 1,000 lb) of product SUBPART I Pollutant or pollutant property Maximum for any 1 day New sources stan New sources stan New sources stan Pollutant or pollutant property Maximum for any 1 day New sources stan New sources stan New sources stan New sources stan	Maximum for any 1 day consecutive days Kg/day Ryday Ryd	Average of Maximum for Gally values any 1 day of the consecutive days and 1 d	Advantage of large of

SUBPAR	RT I	(2) * * *		Subp	ART I
0	New source performance standards	SUBPA	RTI.		Pretreatment standards for existing sources
Pollutant or pollutant property	Maximum daily values for any t day consecutive days	Poliutant or poliutant property	Pretreatment standards for existing sources Average of daily values	Pollutant or pollutant property	Maximum for any 1 day Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days
	Kg/kkg (pound per 1,000 lb) of product		any 1 day for 30 consecutive days		Kg/kkg (pounds per 1,000 lb) of product
inc	0.000100 0.0000334		Kg/kkg (pounds per 1,000 lb) of product	Zinc	0.00123 0.000409
(3) * * *		Zinc		(2) * * *	•
SUBPAR	at I	(3) * * *		SUBPA	ART I
· r r e-dru answer avenue a vivi g vivi ju vivi vivi	New source performance standards	Subp	ART I		Pretreatment standards for existing sources
Pollutant or pollutant property	Maximum daily values for any 1 consecutive		Pretreatment standards for existing sources Average of	Pollutant or pollutant property	Average of daily values for 30 consecutive
₁	days Kg/kkg (pound per 1,000	Pollutant or pollutant property	Maximum for any 1 day daily values for 30 consecutive days		days Kg/kkg (pounds per 1,000
inc	0.000275 0.0000918		Kg/kkg (pounds per 1,000 lb) of product	Zinc	ib) of product • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
(4) * * *		Zinc	0.000451 0.000150	(3) • • •	·
Subpar	ता । र	(4) * * *	•	Subp	ART I
	New source performances	. Subpa	ART I	•	Pretreatment standards for existing sources
Pollutant or pollutant property	Maximum for any 1 for 30 consecutive days	Pollutant or pollutant property	Pretreatment standards for existing sources Average of daily values	Pollutant or pollutant property	Maximum for any 1 day Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days
	Kg/day		Maximum for any 1 day for 30 consecutive days		Kg/kkg (pounds per 1,000 ob) of product
inc	0.0491 0.0164		Kg/kkg (pounds per 1,000 lb) of product	Zinc	. 0.00255 0.00085
* * *	*	Zinc	. 0.00125 0.000417	(4) * * *	
17. Section 420.95 is evising the entry for	zinc in the tables	(5) * * *		(±) Subp	ART I
n paragraphs (a)(1) th b)(1) through (b)(5) as	rough (a)(5) and s follows:	SUBPA	ART I	•	Pretreatment standards for existing sources
420.95 Pretreatment existing sources (PSES)		Collistant or collistant areas	Pretreatment standards for existing sources Average of	Pollutant or pollutant property	Maximum for any 1 day Average of daily values for 30 consecutive
(a) * * * (1) * * *		Pollutant or pollutant property	Maximum for any 1 day daily values for 30 consecutive days		days . Kg/day
SUBPA	RT I		Kg/day	Zinc	0.0491 0.0016
	Pretreatment standards for existing sources	Zinc	. 0.0491 0.0164		•
Pollutant or pollutant property	Maximum for any 1 day Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days	* * *	*	(5) * * *	·
	Kg/kkg (pounds per 1,000 lb) of product	(b) * * * * (1) * * *			
Zinc	0.000701 0.000234				

SUBPART I			(4) * * *		
	Pretreatment existing		Subp	ART I	
Pollutant or pollutant property		Average of daily values		Pretreatment new so	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Maximum for any 1 day	for 30 consecutive days	Pollutant or pollutant property	Maximum for any 1 day	Average of daily values for 30 consecutive
	. Кg/	day			days
Zine	0.0327	0.109		Kg/kkg (poun	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			Zinc	0.000175	•. 0.0000584
18. Section 420.96 is revising the entry for in paragraphs (a)(1) the (b)(1) through (b)(4) a	zinc in the hrough (a)(tables	(5) * * * , SUBPA	ART I	
420.96 Pretreatment	t standards	for new		Pretreatment new so	
(a) * * *	*	,	Pollutant or pollutant property	Maximum for any 1 day	Average of daily values for 30 consecutive
(1) " " " SUBPA	IRT I			Kg/	days day
	Pretreatment		Zinc	. 0.0491	. 0.0164
Pollutant or pollutant property	Maximum for any 1 day	Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days	(b) * * * (1) * * *	. 0.0401	. 0.010
	Kg/kkg (pour	nds per 1,000	Subp	ART İ	
	•	•			standards for ources
(2) * * *	0.000125	0.0000417	Pollutant or pollutant property	Maximum for any 1 day 1	Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days
SUBPA	ART I	•			nds per 1,000 product
•	Pretreatment new si		t to a	•	0.000050
Pollutant or pollutant property	Maximum for any 1 day	Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days	(2) * * *	. 0.000150	0.000050
		nds per 1,000	SUBP	ART I	
	(b) of p	product			standards for ources
Zinc	. 0.0000751	0.0000250	Pollutant or pollutant property	Maximum for any 1 day	Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days
SUBP	ART I				nds per 1,000
`		standards for ources	8 • •		product
Pollutant or pollutant property	Maximum for any 1 day	Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days	(3) * * *	0.000100	0.000033
	Kg/kkg (pou	nds per 1,000			

0.0000334

0.000100

SUBPART I

•	Pretreatment standards for new sources			
Pollutant or pollutant property	Maximum for any 1 day	Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days		
	Kg/kkg (pounds per 1,000 lb) of product			
Zinc	. 0.000275	0.0000918		

(4) * * *

	Pretreatment standards for new sources			
Pollutant or pollutant property	Maximum for any 1 day	Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days		
	Kg/	day		
	•	•		
Zinc	. 0.0491	0.0164		

SUBPART I

19. To redesignate the existing text of \$ 420.100 as paragraph (a) and to add a new paragraph (b) as follows:

§ 420.100 Applicability; description of the cold forming subcategory.

* *

(b) The limitations and standards set out below for cold worked pipe and tube operations shall be applicable only where cold worked pipe and tube wastewaters are discharged at steel plant sites. No limitations are applicable or allowable where these wastewaters are hauled off-site for disposal or are otherwise not discharged at steel plant sites. The limitations and standards set out below for cold worked pipe and tube operations shall be applicable only to the blowdown of soluble oil or water. solutions used in cold worked pipe and tube forming operations. Limitations for other wastewater sources from these operations must be established on a site-specific basis.

20. By revising §420.102(b) (1) and (2) as follows:

§420.102 Effluent limitations representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best practicable control technology currently available (BPT).

- (b) Cold worked pipe and tube.
- (1) Using water:

SUBPART J BPT effluent limitations Average of daily values for 30 Pollutant or pollutant property Maximum for any 1 day days Kg/kkg (pounds per 1,000 lb) of product 0.00125 0.000626 O8G. 0.000522 0.000209 Chromium ! 0.0000509 0.0000084 0.0000031 Lead 0.0000094 0.0000188 Nickel 1 0.0000063 Zinc 0.0000021

(2) Using oil solutions:

SUBPART J

	BPT effluent limitations		
Pollutant or pollutant property	Maximum for any 1 day	Average of daily-values for 30 consecutive days	

Kg/kkg (pounds per 1,000 (b) of product

TSS	0.00125	0.000626
O&G	0.000522	0.000209
Chromium 1	0 0000209	0.0000084
Lead	0.0000094	0.0000031
Nickel 1	0.0000188	0.0000063
Zinc	0.0000063	0.0000021
Naphthalene	0.0000021	
Tetrachloroethylene	0.0000031	
pH	2	2

¹ The limitations for chromium and nickel shall be applica-ble in lieu of those for lead and zinc when cold forming wastewaters are treated with descaling or combination acid

21. By revising § 420.103(b) (1) and (2)

§ 420.103 Effluent limitations representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best available technology economically achievable (BPT).

- (b) Cold worked pipe and tube.
- (1) Using water:

SUBPART J

	BAT effluent limitations		
Pollutant or pollutant property	Maximum for any 1 day	Average of daily values for 30 consective days	
	Kg/kkg (pounds per 1,04 lb) of product		
Chromium '	0.0000209 0.0000094 0.0000188 0.000063	0.0000084 0.0000031 0.0000063 0.0000021	

¹ The limitations for chromium and nickel shall be applicable in lieu of those for lead and zinc when cold forming wastewaters are treated with descaling or combination acid pickling wastewaters.

(2) Using oil solutions:

SUBPART J

	BAT effluent limitations		
Pollutant or pollutant property	Maximum for any 1 day	Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days	

Kg/kkg (pounds per 1,000 lb) of product

		,
Chromium '	0.0000209	0.0000084
Lead	0.0000094	0.0000031
Nickel ¹	0.0000188	0.0000063
Zinc	0.0000063	0.0000021
Naphthalene	0.0000021	
Tetrachloroethylene	0.0000031	
		l

¹ The limitations for chromium and nickel shall be applica-ble in lieu of those for lead and zinc when cold forming wastewaters are treated with descaling or combination acid

22. By revising § 420.104(b) (1) and (2) as follows:

§ 420.104 New source performance standards (NSPS).

- (b) Cold worked pipe and tube mills.
- (1) Using water:

SUBPART J

,	New source performance standards		
Pollutant or pollutant property	Maximum for any 1 day	Average of daily values for 30 consecutive	
	. `	days	

Kg/kkg (pounds per 1,000 lb) of product

TSS. O&G. Chromium ¹ Lead. Nickel ¹ Zinc.	0.000522 0.0000209 0.0000094 0.0000188	0.000626 0.000209 0.0000084 0.0000031 0.0000063
Zinc	0.0000063	0.0000021

¹ The limitations for chromium and nickel shall be applicable in lieu of those for lead and zinc when cold forming wastewaters are cotreated with descaling or combination acid pickling wastewaters.

(2) Using oil solutions:

SUBPART J

	New source performance standards	
Pollutant or pollutant property	Maximum for any 1 day	Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days
	Ka/kka (nour	do nos 1 000

lb) of product

TSS	0.00125	0.000626
O&G	0.000522	0.000209
Chromium ¹	0.0000209	0.0000084
Lead	0.0000094	0.0000031
Nickel ¹	0.0000188	0.0000063
Zinc	0.0000063	0.0000021
Naphthalene	0.0000021	
Tetrachloroethylene	0.0000031	
рН	(₅)	(2)

¹ The limitations for chromium and nickel shall be applicable in lieu of those for lead and zinc when cold forming wastewaters are cotreated with descaling or combination acid pickling wastewaters.

² Within the range of 6.0 to 9.0.

23. By revising § 420.105(b) (1) and (2) to read as follows:

§ 420.105 Pretreatment standards for existing sources (PSES).

- (b) Cold worked pipe and tube mills.
- (1) Using water:

SUBPART J

	Pretreatment standards for existing sources	
Pollutant or pollutant property	Maximum for any 1 day	Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days

Kg/kkg (pounds per 1,000 (b) of product

Chromium 1		0.0000084
Nickel 1 Zinc	0.0000188	- 0.0000063

¹ The limitations for chromium and nicke! shall be applicable in lieu of those for lead and zinc when cold forming wastewaters are treated with descaling or combination acid pickling wastewaters.

(2) Using oil solutions:

SUBPART J

	Pretreatment existing	
Pollutant or pollulant property	Maximum for any 1 day	Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days

Kg/kkg (pounds per 1,000 lb) of product

Lead 0.1 Nickel 1 0.1 Zinc 0.1 Naphthalene 0.1	0000094 0000188 0000063 0000021	0.0000084 0.0000031 0.0000063 0.0000021
--	--	--

¹ The limitations for chromium and nickel shall be applicable in lieu of those for lead and zinc when cold forming wastewaters are treated with descaling or combination acid pickling wastewaters.

24. By revising § 420.106(b)(1) and (2) to read as follows:

§ 420.106 Pretreatment standards for new sources (PSNS).

- (b) Cold worked pipe and tube mills.
- (1) Using water:

SUBPART J

Pollutant or pollutant property	Pretreatment standards for new sources		
	Maximum for any 1 day	Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days	
	Kg/kkg (pour lb) of p		
Chromium 1	0.0000209 0.0000094	0.0000084 0.0000031	

^{0.0000188} 0.0000063 0.0000021 0.0000063 ¹ The limitations for chromium and nickel shall be applicable in lieu of those for lead and zinc when cold forming wastewaters are treated with descaling or combination acid pickling wastewaters.

(2) Using oil solutions:

¹ The limitations for chromium and nickel shall be applicable in lieu of those for lead and zinc when cold forming wastewaters are treated with descaling or combination acid pickling wastewaters.

2 Within the range of 6.0 to 9.0.

pickling wastewaters.

² Within the range of 6.0 to 9.0.

SUBPART J Pretreatment standards for new sources Average of daily values for 30 Pollutant or pollutant property Maximum for any 1 day nsecutive days Kg/kkg (pounds per 1,000 lb) of product 0.0000084 Chromum ! 0.0000209 0.0000031 0.0000094 Lead. Nickel 0.0000188 0.0000063 0.0000021 0.0000063 Zinc. 0.0000021 Tetrachloroethylene 0.0000031

25. By revising § 420.107(b) (1) and (2) to read as follows:

§ 420.107 Effluent limitations representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best conventional technology (BCT).

- (b) Cold worked pipe and tube.
- (1) Using water.

SUBPART J

BCT effluent limitations

Pollutant or pollutant property	Maximum for any 1 day	Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days	
	Kg/kkg (pounds per 1,00 lb) of product		
TSS O&G PH	0.00125 (1)0.000522	0.000626 (¹)0.000209	

Within the range of 6.0 to 9.0. (2) Using oil solutions.

SUBPART J

	BCT effluent limitations		
Pollutant or pollutant property	Maximum for any 1 day	Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days	
	Kg/kkg (pounds per 1, lb) of product		
TSS O&G PH	0.00125 (')0.000522	0.000626 (1)0.000209	
Within the range of 6.0 to 9	.0.	<u></u>	

26. By redesignating the existing text of § 420.120 as paragraph (a) and adding

a new paragraph (b) as follows:

§ 420.120 Applicability; description of the hot coating subcategory.

(b) The BPT and BAT limitations for zinc set out below are not applicable to hot coating operations with wastewater treatment facilities achieving, during periods of normal production, zinc discharge levels more stringent than those BPT and BAT limitations. For such operations, the BPT and BAT limitations for zinc shall be determined on a caseby-case basis based upon the existing performance of the wastewater treatment facility. The permitting authority shall evaluate representative effluent data from the wastewater treatment facility during periods of normal production in establishing the case-by-case BPT and BAT limitations. The BPT and BAT limitations specified in 40 CFR 420.122 and 420.123 may be used as the basis for calculating total mass limitations for zinc pursuant to 40 CFR 420.03.

27. Section 420.122 is amended by revising the entry for zinc in the tables in paragraphs (a)(1), (b)(1), and (c) as follows:

§ 420.122 Effluent limitations representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best practicable control technology currently available

(a) *

(1)

SUBPART L

	BPT effluer	BPT effluent limitations		
Pollutant or pollutant property	Maximum for any 1 day	Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days		
		nds per 1,000 product		
	•	*		
Zinc	. 0.00150	0.000500		
(b) * * *	,			
(1) * * *	,			
SUBPA	RT L			

,	
τL	
BPT effluer	nt limitations
Maximum for any 1 day	Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days
	nds per 1,000 product
•	*
0.00601	0.00200
	Maximum for any 1 day Kg/kkg (pour lb) of p

(c) * * *

SUBPART L

				BPT effluent limitations		
Pollutant or pollutant property		Maximum for any 1 day	daily fo con:	erage of y values or 30 secutive days		
	· K				j∕day	
					•	
Zinc	,			0.327		0:109
	•	<u> </u>	•	•	•	

28. Section 420.123 is amended by revising the entry for zinc in the tables in paragraphs (a)(1), (b)(1), and (c) as follows:

§ 420.123 Effluent limitations representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best available technology economically achievable (BAT).

(a) * * *

(1) *

SUBPART L

	BAT effluent limitations			
Pollutant or pollutant property	Maximum for any 1 day	Average of daily values for 30 consecutive		
		days		
	Kg/kkg (pou	days nds per 1,000 product		
	Kg/kkg (pou	nds per 1,000		

(b) *

(1) *

SUBPART L

Pollutant or pollutant property			BAT effluent limitations		
			Maximum for any 1 day	Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days	
				nds per 1,000 product	
1			•	•	
Zinc			0.00601	0.00200	

SUBPART L

	•		BAT effluent limitations			
Pollul	ant or po	llutant prop	perty	Maximum for any 1 day	dai	erage of ly values for 30 isecutive days
				Kg/	day	
•	•		•	•		
inc				0.0491		0.0164
			•	. `		

¹ The limitations for chromium and nickel shall be applicable in lieu of those for lead and zinc when cold forming wastewaters are treated with descaling or combination acid

46956	rederal Register / V
revising the	n 420.124 is amended by entry for zinc in the table in (a)(1), (b)(1), and (c) as
§ 420.124 N standards (N	ew source performance SPS).
* * * * (a) * * * (1) * * *	* *
	SUBPART L
-	New source performance standards

Average of daily values for 30 Pollutant or pollutant property consecutive Kg/kkg (pounds per 1,000 - lb) of product 0.000376 0.000125 (b) * * *

(1)	*	*	*	•
				SUBPART L

	New source performance standards		
Pollutant or pollutant property	Maximum for any 1 day consecutive days		
	Kg/kkg (pounds per 1,000 lb) of product		
Zinc	0.00150 0.000500		

SUBPART L

(c) * * *

	New source performance standards		
Pollutant or pollutant property	Maximum for any 1 day	dail f con	erage of y values or 30 secutive days
	· Kg	/day	
Zinc	0.0491	•,	0.0164

30. Section 420.125 is amended by revising the entry for zinc in the tables in paragraphs (a)(1), (b)(1), and (c) as follows:

§ 42	20.125	Pret	reatm	ent st	andard	s for
exi	sting s	ource	s (PSI	ES).		

(a)	*	*	*	
(1)		*	*	

SUBPART L

		Pretreatment standards for existing sources		
Pollutant or	pollutant property	Maximum for any 1 day	Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days	
			nds per 1,000 product	
		•		
•			•	
• Zinc		. 0.00150	0.000500	

(b)	*	*	*	
(1)	*	*	*	

SUBPART L

	Pretreatment standards for existing sources		
'Pollutant or pollutant property	Maximum for any 1 day	Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days	
•		nds per 1,000 Product	
	0.00601	0.00200	

SUBPART L

	Pretreatment standards for existing sources			
Pollutant or pollutant property	Maximum for any 1 day	dail f con	Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days	
	Kg/day			
Zinc	. 0.0491	•	0.0164	

31. Section 420.126 is amended by revising the entry for zinc in the tables in paragraphs (a)(1), (b)(1) and (c) as follows:

§ 420,126 Pretreatment standards for new sources (PSNS).

SUBPART L

Pretreatment standards for

•	new sources		
Pollutant or pollutant property	Maximum for any 1 day	Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days	
,	Kg/kkg (pounds per 1,00 lb) of product		
Zinc	0.000376	0.000125	
(b) * * * (1) * * *			

SUBPART L

	Pretreatment standards for new sources		
Pollutant or pollutant property	Maximum for any 1 day	Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days	
	Kg/kkg (pounds per 1,000 lb) of product		
Zinc	0.00150	0.000500	
(c) * * *			
SURPA	ar I		

Protreatment standards for

•				new sources			
Pollutant or pollutant property .		Maximum for any 1 day	Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days				
				Kg/day			
		•	•	. •	•		
Zinc	•	•	•	0.0491		0 .0164	

PART 403-[AMENDED]

EPA proposes to amend 40 CFR Part 403 as follows:

1. The authority citation for Part 403 reads as follows:

Authority: Sections 301; 304(b), (c), (e), and (g); 306(b) and (c); 307; 308 and 501, Clean Water Act (the Federal Water Pollution Control Act Amendments of 1972, as amended by the Clean Water Act of 1977) (the "Act"); 33 U.S.C. 1311; 1314(b), (c), (e), and (g); 1316(b) and (c); 1317; 1318; and 1361; 86 Stat. 816, Pub. L. 92-500; 91 Stat. 1567; Pub. L. 95-217.

2. Section 403.6 (e)(1) (i) and (ii) are amended by revising the definition for F_D. As revised, the definition for F_D in both paragraphs reads as follows:

§ 403.6 National Pretreatment Standards: -Categorical Standards.

F_D = the average daily flow (at least a 30day average) from (a) boiler blowdown

streams and non-contact cooling streams; provided, however, that where such streams contain a significant amount of a pollutant, and the combination of such streams, prior to treatment, with an Industrial Users regulated process wastestream(s) will result in a substantial reduction of that pollutant, the Control Authority, upon application of the Industrial User, may exercise its discretion to determine whether such stream(s) should be classified as dilute or unregulated. In its application to the Control Authority, the Industrial User must provide engineering, production, sampling and analysis and such

other information so that the Control Authority can make its determination, or (b) sanitary wastestreams (where such streams are not regulated by a categorical Pretreatment Standard) or (c) from any process wastestreams which were or could have been entirely exempted from categorical Pretreatment Standards pursuant to paragaph 8 of the NRDC v. Costle Consent Decree (12 ERC 1833) for one or more of the following reasons (see Appendix D):

(1) the pollutants of concern are not detectable in the effluent from the Industrial User (paragraph (8)(a)(iii));

(2) the pollutants of concern are present only in trace amounts and are neither causing nor likely to cause toxic effects (paragraph [8][a][iii];

(3) the pollutants of concern are present in amounts too small to be effectively reduced by technologies known to the Administrator (paragraph (8)(a)(iii); or

(4) the wastestream contains only pollutants which are compatible with the POTW (paragraph (8)(b)(i)).

[FR Doc. 83-27781 Filed 10-13-83: 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-M