DOCUMENTATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATOR DETERMINATION

Interim Final 2/5/99

RCRA Corrective Action

Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA750)

Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control

гаси.	ny mame.	Safety-Kieen Systems, Inc.		
Facility Address:		1140-1142 Greenhill Road, West Chester, PA 19380		
Facility EPA ID #: PAD00 1. Has all available relevar groundwater media, sub		PAD000738849		
		ant/significant information on known and reasonably suspected releases to the abject to RCRA Corrective Action (e.g., from Solid Waste Management Units [SWMU], and Areas of Concern [AOC]), been considered in this EI determination?		
		X If yes – check here and continue with #2 below.		
		If no – re-evaluate existing data, or		
		If data are not available skip to #6 and enter "IN" (more information needed) status code.		

BACKGROUND

Definition of Environmental Indicators (for the RCRA Corrective Action)

Environmental Indicators (EI) are measures being used by the RCRA Corrective Action program to go beyond programmatic activity measures (e.g., reports received and approved, etc.) to track changes in the quality of the environment. The two EI developed to-date indicate the quality of the environment in relation to current human exposures to contamination and the migration of contaminated groundwater. An EI for nonhuman (ecological) receptors is intended to be developed in the future.

Definition of "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control" EI

A positive "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control" EI determination ("YE" status code) indicates that the migration of "contaminated" groundwater has stabilized, and that monitoring will be conducted to confirm that contaminated groundwater remains within the original "area of contaminated groundwater" (for all groundwater "contamination" subject to RCRA corrective action at or from the identified facility (i.e., sitewide)).

Relationship of EI to Final Remedies

While Final remedies remain the long-term objective of the RCRA Corrective Action program the EI are near-term objectives which are currently being used as Program measures for the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993, GPRA). The "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control" EI pertains ONLY to the physical migration (i.e., further spread) of contaminated ground water and contaminants within groundwater (e.g., non aqueous phase liquids or NAPLs). Achieving this EI does not substitute for achieving other stabilization or final remedy requirements and expectations associated with sources of contamination and the need to restore, wherever practicable, contaminated groundwater to be suitable for its designated current and future uses.

Duration / Applicability of EI Determinations

EI Determinations status codes should remain in RCRIS national database ONLY as long as they remain true (i.e., RCRIS status codes must be changed when the regulatory authorities become aware of contrary information).

Page 2

2.	Is groundwater known or reasonably suspected to be "contaminated" above appropriately protective "levels" (i.e., applicable promulgated standards, as well as other appropriate standards, guidelines, guidance, or criteria) from releases subject to RCRA Corrective Action, anywhere at, or from, thefacility?		
		If yes - continue after identifying key contaminants, citing appropriate "levels," and referencing supporting documentation.	
	<u> X</u>	If no - skip to #8 and enter "YE" status code, after citing appropriate "levels," and referencing supporting documentation to demonstrate that groundwater is not "contaminated."	
		If unknown - skip to #8 and enter "IN" status code.	

Rationale and Reference(s):

Safety-Kleen Systems, Inc. (Safety-Kleen or facility) owns and operates a 2.5-acre treatment, storage, and disposal (TSD) facility (USEPA ID No. PAD000739948) located at 1140-1142 Greenhill Road West Chester, West Goshen Township, Chester County, Pennsylvania,19380 (Facility).

Small quantity spills and releases have occurred in the SWMUs and other general Facility locations uring routine operations and have been documented in a Spills Release Inventory. The majority of these spills and releases have been limited to SWMU concrete containment areas or paved areas. All of these small quantity spills and releases have been cleaned up with vacuum trucks and/or absorbents.

However, based on the Facility's Spill/Release Inventory Database, there were five incides where small spills to the asphalt pavement driveway migrated to soils from releases from human or mechanical error Product/waste involved in the releases included 105 Solvent, waste oil and mineral spirits, and ranged from 0.5 to 20 gallons. The Facility immediately contacted their emergency contractor to handle the mediation of each incident. All contaminated rock and soil was excavated and disposed.

There are no other records of releases at the Facility nor any known hydrogeological investigations conducted at the Facility that allow EPA to know whether or not groundwater has been impacted from releases subject to RCRA Corrective Action However, all available relevant/significant informationhas been considered in this EI determinationand groundwater is not reasonably suspected to be contaminated from releases subject to RCRA Corrective Action

Reference:

Environmental Indicator Inspection Report forSafety-Kleen Systems, Inc., 1140-1142 Greenhill Road West Chester, Pennsylvania, 19380 prepared by Michael Baker Jr., Inc., May 2012.

¹ "Contamination" and "contaminated" describes media containing contaminants (in any form, NAPL and/or dissolved, vapors, or solids, that are subject to RCRA) in concentrations in excess of appropriate "levels" (appropriate for the protection of the groundwater resource and its beneficial uses).

3.	as the migration of contaminated groundwater stabilized (such that contaminated groundwater is spected to remain within "existing area of contaminated groundwater" as defined by the monitoring cations designated at the time of this determination)?			
	If yes - continue, after presenting or referencing the physical evidence (e.g., groundwater sampling/measurement/migration barrier data) and rationale why contaminated groundwater is expected to remain within the (horizontal or vertical) dimensions of the "existing area of groundwater contamination".			
	If no (contaminated groundwater is observed or expected to migrate beyond the designated location defining the "existing area of groundwater contamination") - skip to #8 and enter "NO" status code after providing an explanation.			
	If unknown - skip to #8 and enter "IN" status code.			
Ration	ale and Reference(s):			
4.	Does "contaminated" groundwater discharge into surface water bodies?			
	If yes - continue after identifying potentially affected surface water bodies.			
	If no - skip to #7 (and enter a "YE" status code in #8, if #7 = yes) after providing an explanation and/or referencing documentation supporting that groundwater "contamination" does not enter surface water bodies.			
	If unknown - skip to #8 and enter "IN" status code.			
Rationa	ale and Reference(s):			

² "existing area of contaminated groundwater" is an area (with horizontal and vertical dimensions) that has been verifiably demonstrated to contain all relevant groundwater contamination for this determination, and is defined by designated (monitoring) locations proximate to the outer perimeter of "contamination" that can and will be sampled/tested in the future to physically verify that all "contaminated" groundwater remains within this area, and that the further migration of "contaminated" groundwater is not occurring. Reasonable allowances in the proximity of the monitoring locations are permissible to incorporate formal remedy decisions (i.e., including public participation) allowing a limited area for natural attenuation.

5.	Is the discharge of "contaminated" groundwater into surface water likely to be "insignificant" (i.e., the maximum concentration of each contaminant discharging into surface water is less than 10 times their appropriate groundwater "level," and there are no other conditions (e.g., the nature, and number, of discharging contaminants, or environmental setting), which significantly increase the potential for unacceptable impacts to surface water, sediments, or eco-systems at these concentrations)?
	If yes - skip to #7 (and enter "YE" status code in #8 if #7 = yes), after documenting: 1) the maximum known or reasonably suspected concentration of key contaminants discharged above their groundwater "level," the value of the appropriate "level(s)," and if there is evidence that the concentrations are increasing; and 2) provide a statement of professional judgement/explanation (or reference documentation) supporting that the discharge of groundwater contaminants into the surface water is not anticipated to have unacceptable impacts to the receiving surface water, sediments, or eco-system.
	If no - (the discharge of "contaminated" groundwater into surface water is potentially significant)- continue after documenting: 1) the maximum known or reasonably suspected concentration of each contaminant discharged above its groundwater "level," the value of the appropriate "level(s)," and if there is evidence that the concentrations are increasing; and 2) for any contaminants discharging into surface water in concentrations ³ greater than 100 times their appropriate groundwater "levels," the estimated total amount (mass in kg/yr) of each of these contaminants that are being discharged (loaded) into the surface water body (at the time of the determination), and identify if there is evidence that the amount of discharging contaminants is increasing.
	If unknown - enter "IN" status code in #8.

Rationale and Reference(s):

³ As measured in groundwater prior to entry to the groundwater-surface water/sediment interaction (e.g., hyporheic) zone.

6.	Can the discharge of "contaminated" groundwater into surface water be shown to be 'currently acceptable" (i.e., not cause impacts to surface water, sediments or eco-systems that should not be allowed to continue until a final remedy decision can be made and implemented)?		
	If yes - continue after either: 1) identifying the Final Remedy decision incorporating these conditions, or other site-specific criteria (developed for the protection of the site's surface water, sediments, and eco-systems), and referencing supporting documentation demonstrating that these criteria are not exceeded by the discharging groundwater; OR 2) providing or referencing an interim-assessment, appropriate to the potential for impact, that shows the discharge of groundwater contaminants into the surface water is (in the opinion of a trained specialists, including ecologist) adequately protective of receiving surface water, sediments, and eco-systems, until such time when a full assessment and final remedy decision can be made. Factors which should be considered in the interim-assessment (where appropriate to help identify the impact associated with discharging groundwater) include: surface water body size, flow, use/classification/habitats and contaminant loading limits, other sources of surface water/sediment contamination, surface water and sediment sample results and comparisons to available and appropriate surface water and sediment "levels," as well as any other factors, such as effects on ecological receptors (e.g., via bio-assays/benthic surveys or site-specific ecological Risk Assessments), that the overseeing regulatory agency would deem appropriate for making the EI determination.		
	If no - (the discharge of "contaminated" groundwater can not be shown to be "currently acceptable") - skip to #8 and enter "NO" status code, after documenting the currently unacceptable impacts to the surface water body, sediments, and/or eco-systems.		
	If unknown - skip to 8 and enter "IN" status code.		
Ration	nale and Reference(s):		

F

Note, because areas of inflowing groundwater can be critical habitats (e.g., nurseries or thermal refugia) for many species, appropriate specialist (e.g., ecologist) should be included in management decisions that could eliminate these areas by significantly altering or reversing groundwater flow pathways near surface water bodies.

⁵ The understanding of the impacts of contaminated groundwater discharges into surface water bodies is a rapidly developing field and reviewers are encouraged to look to the latest guidance for the appropriate methods and scale of demonstration to be reasonably certain that discharges are not causing currently unacceptable impacts to the surface waters, sediments or eco-systems.

7.	Will groundwater monitoring / measurement data (and surface water/sediment/ecological data, as necessary) be collected in the future to verify that contaminated groundwater has remained within the horizontal (or vertical, as necessary) dimensions of the "existing area of contaminated groundwater?"		
	If yes - continue after providing or citing documentation for planned activities or future sampling/measurement events. Specifically identify the well/measurement locations which will be tested in the future to verify the expectation (identified in #3) that groundwater contamination will not be migrating horizontally (or vertically, as necessary) beyond the "existing area of groundwater contamination."		
	If no - enter "NO" status code in #8.		
	If unknown - enter "IN" status code in #8.		
Ration	nale and Reference(s):		

EI (d	event code CA750), and o	status codes for the Migration of Contaminated C btain Supervisor (or appropriate Manager) signate ppropriate supporting documentation as well as a	ure and date on the EI
<u>X</u>	YE Yes, "Migration of Based on a review of the determined that the "Mafety-Kleen Systems located at 1140-1142 Specifically, this determined that monitor within the "existing are	f Contaminated Groundwater Under Control" has the information contained in this EI determination digration of Contaminated Groundwater" is "Understanding and the second s	s been verified. n, it has been der Control" at the 8849 nated" groundwater is under ated groundwater remains
***************************************	_ NO - Unacceptable mig	gration of contaminated groundwater is observed	or expected.
	IN - More information	n is needed to make a determination.	
Completed by	y (signature)		Date 2-14-13
	(print)	Kevin Bilash	
	(title)	RPM	
Supervisor	(signature)	ParlyHottlyles	Date 223-2-27-13
	(print)	PAUL GOTTHOLD	
	(title)	Assoc. DIR-OFICE OF PAREM	
	(EPA Region or	Assoc. Dir-Office of PA REM State) FRA Region 3	
Locations wh	ere References may be for		
USEPA Reg Land and Ch 1650 Arch S Philadelphia	emicals Division treet	PADEP South East Regional Office 2 E Main Street Norristown, PA 19401	
Contact telepl	hone and e-mail numbers		
(name)	Keviñ Ble	ach	
(phone#)	512-817		
(e-mail)	bilash, k	evin a epa, azu	