DOCUMENTATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATOR DETERMINATION

Interim Final 2/5/99

RCRA Corrective Action

Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA725) Current Human Exposures Under Control

Facility Name:	Col-Fin Specialty Steel Corporation
Facility Address:	100 Front Street, Fallston, PA 15066
Facility EPA ID #:	PAD 00 073 7031

1. Has **all** available relevant/significant information on known and reasonably suspected releases to soil, groundwater, surface water/sediments, and air, subject to RCRA Corrective Action (e.g., from Solid Waste Management Units (SWMU), Regulated Units (RU), and Areas of Concern (AOC)), been **considered** in this EI determination?

X	If yes - check here and continue with #2 below.
	If no - re-evaluate existing data, or
	If data are not available skip to #6 and enter "IN" (more information needed) status code

BACKGROUND

Definition of Environmental Indicators (for the RCRA Corrective Action)

Environmental Indicators (EI) are measures being used by the RCRA Corrective Action program to go beyond programmatic activity measures (e.g., reports received and approved, etc.) to track changes in the quality of the environment. The two EI developed to-date indicate the quality of the environment in relation to current human exposures to contamination and the migration of contaminated groundwater. An EI for non-human (ecological) receptors is intended to be developed in the future.

Definition of Current Human Exposures Under Control EI

A positive "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI determination ("YE" status code) indicates that there are no "unacceptable" human exposures to "contamination" (i.e., contaminants in concentrations in excess of appropriate risk-based levels) that can be reasonably expected under current land- and groundwater-use conditions (for all "contamination" subject to RCRA corrective action at or from the identified facility (i.e., site-wide)).

Relationship of EI to Final Remedies

While Final remedies remain the long-term objective of the RCRA Corrective Action program the EI are near-term objectives which are currently being used as Program measures for the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993, GPRA). The "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI are for reasonably expected human exposures under current land- and groundwater-use conditions ONLY, and do not consider potential future land- or groundwater-use conditions or ecological receptors. The RCRA Corrective Action program's overall mission to protect human health and the environment requires that Final remedies address these issues (i.e., potential future human exposure scenarios, future land and groundwater uses, and ecological receptors).

Duration / Applicability of EI Determinations

EI Determinations status codes should remain in RCRIS national database ONLY as long as they remain true (i.e., RCRIS status codes must be changed when the regulatory authorities become aware of contrary information).

Page 2

2. Are groundwater, soil, surface water, sediments, or air **media** known or reasonably suspected to be "contaminated" above appropriately protective risk-based "levels" (applicable promulgated standards, as well as other appropriate standards, guidelines, guidance, or criteria) from releases subject to RCRA Corrective Action (from SWMUs, RUs or AOCs)?

	Yes	No	?	Rationale / Key Contaminants
Groundwater		X		No suspected release to groundwater above risk based levels.
Air (indoors) ²		X		No noticeable odors, recommend that Col-Fin consider further asbestos
				investigation (see below)
Surface Soil		X		Sampling results from Phase II Site Assessment show surface soil is not
(e.g., <2 ft)				contaminated above risk based levels in the pickling tank area.
Surface Water		X		Col-Fin has NPDES permit for onsite waste water treatment plant
Sediment		X		Not reasonably suspected to be contaminated above risk-based levels.
Subsurf. Soil		X		Sampling results from Phase II Site Assessment show subsurface soil is
(e.g., >2 ft)				not contaminated above risk based levels in the pickling tank area.
Air (outdoors)		X		No noticeable odors, not suspected to be contaminated above risk based
				levels.

X	If no (for all media) - skip to #6, and enter "YE," status code after providing or citing
	appropriate "levels," and referencing sufficient supporting documentation demonstrating that these "levels" are not exceeded.
	_ If yes (for any media) - continue after identifying key contaminants in each
	"contaminated" medium, citing appropriate "levels" (or provide an explanation for the
	determination that the medium could pose an unacceptable risk), and referencing
	supporting documentation.
	If unknown (for any modia) skin to #6 and enter "IN" status gods
	If unknown (for any media) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code.

Rationale and Reference(s): Col-Fin experienced three spills in the past, two of which involved small quantities of contaminants (100 gallons of sulfuric acid and 10 gallons of 2B roll oil) which were discharged to the Beaver River. The third spill involved 9000 gallons of dilute sulfuric acid (3%). Some of this was captured and treated by the onsite treatment plant, while the rest of the solution ran off into the Beaver River. PADEP was notified on all three spills, and preventative actions were taken after each incident to eliminate the potential for a similar spill to reoccur. Based on the quantities involved as well as the proximity of these spills to the River, the groundwater is not suspected to have been contaminated above risk-based levels.

A Phase I Environmental Site Assessment was done in January, 1996, which identified two potential areas of concern at the facility; possible leakage of the pickling tanks, and the asbestos containing floor tile and pipe insulation. A Phase II Investigation showed that there was no evidence of leakage from the pickling tanks to surrounding soils and that approximately 900 square feet of floor tile, and 630 linear feet of pipe insulation were in fact asbestos containing and were in poor condition. EPA has recommended that Col-Fin further address the asbestos situation to determine whether it would be prudent to remove, or encapsulate the asbestos at the facility.

Footnotes:

¹ "Contamination" and "contaminated" describes media containing contaminants (in any form, NAPL and/or dissolved, vapors, or solids, that are subject to RCRA) in concentrations in excess of appropriately protective risk-based "levels" (for the media, that identify risks within the acceptable risk range).

² Recent evidence (from the Colorado Dept. of Public Health and Environment, and others) suggest that unacceptable indoor air concentrations are more common in structures above groundwater with volatile contaminants than previously believed. This is a rapidly developing field and reviewers are encouraged to look to the latest guidance for the appropriate methods and scale of demonstration necessary to be reasonably certain that indoor air (in structures located above (and adjacent to) groundwater with volatile contaminants) does not present unacceptable risks.

Are there complete pathways between "contamination" and human receptors such that exposures can be 3. reasonably expected under the current (land- and groundwater-use) conditions?

Summary Exposure Pathway Evaluation Table

Potential **Human Receptors** (Under Current Conditions)

"Contaminated" M	<u>edia</u> Res	idents	Workers	Day-Care	Constructi	on Tresp	assers Re	ecreation	$Food^3$
Groundwater		_						_	
Air (indoors)		_							
Soil (surface, e.g., <	(2 ft)								
Surface Water		_							
Sediment								-	
Soil (subsurface e.g	., >2 ft)								
Air (outdoors)		-					_		
Instructions for Sur	nmary Expos	sure Pat	hway Eva	aluation Ta	<u>ble</u> :				
1. Strike-o	out specific N	Media i	ncluding	Human Re	ceptors' spa	ces for M	edia whic	h are not	
	ated") as ide								
2. enter "v	es" or "no"	for pot	ential "co	mpletenes	s" under eac	ch "Conta	minated"	Media – I	Human
	ombination (r					
Note: In order to fo	cus the evalu	ıation t	o the mos	st probable	combinatio	ns some p	ootential "	Contamir	nated"
Media - Human Red									
combinations may i	-			-		_			
added as necessary	-			·					
14	no (nothrway		at aamml	ata famany	a a m t a m i m a t a	d madia		ambinatia	n) alsim
	no (pathway #6, and ente								
	ace, whether						-		
_	ontaminated			_	-	-		-	
	ajor pathwa		ii (e.g., u.	optional	ramma, Er	uruuron	WOLK BILE	<u>or</u> to unary	LC
If	yes (pathwa	ays are	complete	for any "C	ontaminate	d" Media	- Human l	Receptor	
	ombination)							•	
If	unknown (f	or any	"Contami	nated" Me	dia - Human	Receptor	r combina	tion) - ski	p to #6
aı	nd enter "IN	" statu:	s code						
Rationale and									
Reference(s):									
3									

³ Indirect Pathway/Receptor (e.g., vegetables, fruits, crops, meat and dairy products, fish, shellfish, etc.)

Page 4

4.	Can the exposur	es from any of the complete pathways identified in #3 be reasonably expected to be						
	"significant" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable" because exposures can be reasonably expected to be: 1) greater in magnitude (intensity, frequency and/or duration) than assumed in the derivation of the							
	(perhaps even th	ls" (used to identify the "contamination"); or 2) the combination of exposure magnitude ough low) and contaminant concentrations (which may be substantially above the ls") could result in greater than acceptable risks)?						
		If no (exposures can not be reasonably expected to be significant (i.e., potentially "unacceptable" for any complete exposure pathway) - skip to #6 and enter "YE" status code after explaining and/or referencing documentation justifying why the exposures (from each of the complete pathways) to "contamination" (identified in #3) are not expected to be "significant."						
		If yes (exposures could be reasonably expected to be "significant" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") for any complete exposure pathway) - continue after providing a description (of each potentially "unacceptable" exposure pathway) and explaining and/or referencing documentation justifying why the exposures (from each of the remaining complete pathways) to "contamination" (identified in #3) are not expected to be "significant."						
		If unknown (for any complete pathway) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code						
	Rationale and Reference(s):							

⁴ If there is any question on whether the identified exposures are "significant" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") consult a human health Risk Assessment specialist with appropriate education, training and experience.

Page 5

5.	Can the "signific	cant" exposures (identified in #4) be shown to be within acceptable limits?
		If yes (all "significant" exposures have been shown to be within acceptable limits) - continue and enter "YE" after summarizing <u>and</u> referencing documentation justifying why all "significant" exposures to "contamination" are within acceptable limits (e.g., a site-specific Human Health Risk Assessment).
		If no (there are current exposures that can be reasonably expected to be "unacceptable") continue and enter "NO" status code after providing a description of each potentially "unacceptable" exposure.
		If unknown (for any potentially "unacceptable" exposure) - continue and enter "IN" status code
	Rationale and	
	Reference(s):	

Page 6

6. Check the appropriate RCRIS status codes for the Current Human Exposures Under Control EI event code (CA725), and obtain Supervisor (or appropriate Manager) signature and date on the EI determination below (and attach appropriate supporting documentation as well as a map of the facility):

\mathbf{X}	YE - Yes, "Current Human Exposures Under Control" has been verified. Based on a
	review of the information contained in this EI Determination, "Current Human Exposures"
	are expected to be "Under Control" at the Col-Fin Specialty Steel Corporation facility,
	EPA ID # PAD 00 073 7031, located at 100 Front Street, Fallston, PA 15066 under
	current and reasonably expected conditions. This determination will be re-evaluated
	when the Agency/State becomes aware of significant changes at the facility.

NO - "Current Human Exposures" are NOT "Under Control."

____ IN - More information is needed to make a determination.

Completed by (signature) Date: 11-09-99

 (print)
 Hilary I. Livingston

 (title)
 Remedial Project Manager

Supervisor (signature) Date: 11-15-99

(print)Paul Gotthold(title)PA Operations Branch Chief(EPA Region or State)EPA, Region 3

Locations where References may be found:

EPA Region III Waste and Chemicals Management Division, 3WC22 1650 Arch Street Philadelphia, PA 19103-2029

Contact telephone and e-mail numbers:

(name) Paul Gotthold (phone #) (215) 814-3410

(e-mail) gotthold.paul@epa.gov

FINAL NOTE: THE HUMAN EXPOSURES ELIS A QUALITATIVE SCREENING OF EXPOSURES AND THE DETERMINATIONS WITHIN THIS DOCUMENT SHOULD NOT BE USED AS THE SOLE BASIS FOR RESTRICTING THE SCOPE OF MORE DETAILED (E.G., SITE-SPECIFIC) ASSESSMENTS OF RISK.