DOCUMENTATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATOR DETERMINATION Interim Final 2/5/99 RCRA Corrective Action Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA725) Current Human Exposures Under Control

Facility Name:	C&M Chemicals, Inc.
Facility Address:	Stanford Road, Township Route 340, Portersville, PA
Facility EPA ID #:	PAD096339411

- 1. Has all available relevant/significant information on known and reasonably suspected releases to soil, groundwater, surface water/sediments, and air, subject to RCRA Corrective Action (e.g., from Solid Waste Management Units (SWMU), Regulated Units (RU), and Areas of Concern (AOC)), been considered in this EI determination?
 - X If yes check here and continue with #2 below.
 - _____ If no re-evaluate existing data, or
 - _____ if data are not available skip to #6 and enter"IN" (more information needed) status code.

BACKGROUND.

Definition of Environmental Indicators (for the RCRA Corrective Action)

Environmental Indicators (EI) are measures being used by the RCRA Corrective Action program to go beyond programmatic activity measures (e.g., reports received and approved, etc.) to track changes in the quality of the environment. The two EI developed to-date indicate the quality of the environment in relation to current human exposures to contamination and the migration of contaminated groundwater. An EI for non-human (ecological) receptors is intended to be developed in the future.

Definition of "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI

A positive "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI determination ("YE" status code) indicates that there are no "unacceptable" human exposures to "contamination" (i.e., contaminants in concentrations in excess of appropriate risk-based levels) that can be reasonably expected under current land- and groundwater-use conditions (for all "contamination" subject to RCRA corrective action at or from the identified facility (i.e., site-wide)).

Relationship of EI to Final Remedies

While Final remedies remain the long-term objective of the RCRA Corrective Action program the EI are near-term objectives which are currently being used as Program measures for the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993, GPRA). The "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI are for reasonably expected human exposures under current land- and groundwater-use conditions ONLY, and do not consider potential future land- or groundwater-use conditions or ecological receptors. The RCRA Corrective Action program's overall mission to protect human health and the environment requires that Final remedies address these issues (i.e., potential future human exposure scenarios, future land and groundwater uses, and ecological receptors).

Duration / Applicability of EI Determinations

EI Determinations status codes should remain in RCRIS national database ONLY as long as they remain true (i.e., RCRIS status codes must be changed when the regulatory authorities become aware of contrary information).

Are groundwater, soil, surface water, sediments, or air media known or reasonably suspected to be "contaminated"¹ above appropriately protective risk-based "levels" (applicable promulgated standards, as well as other appropriate standards, guidelines, guidance, or criteria) from releases subject to RCRA Corrective Action (from SWMUs, RUs or AOCs)?

	Yes	No	<u>?</u>	Rationale / Key Contaminants
Groundwater		Х		For all media, see Rationale and Reference(s) below
Air (indoors) ²		х		
Surface Soil (e.g., <2 ft)		Х		34
Surface Water		Х		
Sediment		X		
Subsurf. Soil (e.g., >2 ft)		Х		×
Air (outdoors)		х		c.

If no (for all media) - skip to #6, and enter "YE," status code after providing or citing Х appropriate "levels," and referencing sufficient supporting documentation demonstrating that these "levels" are not exceeded.

If yes (for any media) - continue after identifying key contaminants in each "contaminated" medium, citing appropriate "levels" (or provide an explanation for the determination that the medium could pose an unacceptable risk), and referencing supporting documentation.

If unknown (for any media) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code.

Rationale and Reference(s):

Based on information in EPA files and a Final EI Inspection Report for C&M Chemicals, Inc. (Michael Baker Inc., 10/07) prepared for the PA Dept of Environmental Protection, no sampling of any environmental media has been conducted at this facility. Based on this same information, media at this facility are not reasonably suspected to be contaminated above appropriately protective risk-based levels from releases subject to RCRA Corrective Action. Below is a rationale for this determination based on this information.

A Part A Permit Application submitted by C&M Chemical (C&M) in 1980 for the subject property indicated the facility was to be "...used for the purpose of transferring waste materials from one vehicle to another in order to transport said materials said materials to a Secure Disposal Facility". Preliminary design plans for the construction of a liquid waste storage and transfer facility were included. However, PADEP observations since 1980 indicate that no waste storage/transfer facility was ever constructed at the subject property. While C&M was subsequently licensed to transport hazardous waste in Pennsylvania, the address of the operation was in Pittsburgh, PA. Waste management-related observations by PADEP at the subject property appear limited to a 55 gallon drum of waste, about 200 empty drums and a tank trailer observed in 1981 and 1982. Documentation of potential storage of hazardous waste at the Portervsville, property appears limited to a quarterly hazardous waste report submitted by C&M for the final quarter of 1981 which reported the transport of over 300 tons of hazardous material with the location of the installation noted as the subject property. By 1983, C&M had notified PADEP that they no longer planned to use the property for transfer or storage of hazardous waste. EPA was notified of such in 1986. By 1990, C&M's license to transport hazardous waste in PA had been terminated. There are no reports of any releases of hazardous wastes or materials to the environment.

PADEP reporting of site visits since 1983 indicates the property has remained undeveloped and vacant. Observations during these site visits have included discarded empty drums, several empty storage trailers, discarded tires and miscellaneous domestic waste. There are no observations or other information which would suggest that there have been any hazardous waste or material releases at the subject property.

2.

Footnotes:

¹ "Contamination" and "contaminated" describes media containing contaminants (in any form, NAPL and/or dissolved, vapors, or solids, that are subject to RCRA) in concentrations in excess of appropriately protective risk-based "levels" (for the media, that identify risks within the acceptable risk range).

² Recent evidence (from the Colorado Dept. of Public Health and Environment, and others) suggest that unacceptable indoor air concentrations are more common in structures above groundwater with volatile contaminants than previously believed. This is a rapidly developing field and reviewers are encouraged to look to the latest guidance for the appropriate methods and scale of demonstration necessary to be reasonably certain that indoor air (in structures located above (and adjacent to) groundwater with volatile contaminants) does not present unacceptable risks.

3. Are there **complete pathways** between "contamination" and human receptors such that exposures can be reasonably expected under the current (land- and groundwater-use) conditions?

Summary Exposure Pathway Evaluation Table

Potential Human Receptors (Under Current Conditions)

"Contaminated" Media	Residents	Workers	Day-Care	Construction	Trespassers	Recreation	Food ³
Groundwater							<u> </u>
Air (indoors)							
Soil (surface, e.g., <2 ft)							
Surface Water							
Sediment	(3 <u>00</u> 300						
Soil (subsurface e.g., >2 ft)							
Air (outdoors)					<u></u>		

Instructions for Summary Exposure Pathway Evaluation Table:

1. Strike-out specific Media including Human Receptors' spaces for Media which are not "contaminated" as identified in #2 above.

2. enter "yes" or "no" for potential "completeness" under each "Contaminated" Media -- Human Receptor combination (Pathway).

Note: In order to focus the evaluation to the most probable combinations some potential "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combinations (Pathways) do not have check spaces ("____"). While these combinations may not be probable in most situations they may be possible in some settings and should be added as necessary.

If no (pathways are not complete for any contaminated media-receptor combination) skip to #6, and enter "YE" status code, after explaining and/or referencing condition(s) in-place, whether natural or man-made, preventing a complete exposure pathway from each contaminated medium (e.g., use optional <u>Pathway Evaluation Work Sheet</u> to analyze major pathways).

If unknown (for any "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combination) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code.

Rationale and Reference(s):

³ Indirect Pathway/Receptor (e.g., vegetables, fruits, crops, meat and dairy products, fish, shellfish, etc.)

Can the **exposures** from any of the complete pathways identified in #3 be reasonably expected to be "**significant**"⁴ (i.e., potentially "unacceptable" because exposures can be reasonably expected to be: 1) greater in magnitude (intensity, frequency and/or duration) than assumed in the derivation of the acceptable "levels" (used to identify the "contamination"); or 2) the combination of exposure magnitude (perhaps even though low) and contaminant concentrations (which may be substantially above the acceptable "levels") could result in greater than acceptable risks)?

> If no (exposures can not be reasonably expected to be significant (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") for any complete exposure pathway) - skip to #6 and enter "YE" status code after explaining and/or referencing documentation justifying why the exposures (from each of the complete pathways) to "contamination" (identified in #3) are not expected to be "significant."

If yes (exposures could be reasonably expected to be "significant" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") for any complete exposure pathway) - continue after providing a description (of each potentially "unacceptable" exposure pathway) and explaining and/or referencing documentation justifying why the exposures (from each of the remaining complete pathways) to "contamination" (identified in #3) are not expected to be "significant."

If unknown (for any complete pathway) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code

Rationale and Reference(s):

4 If there is any question on whether the identified exposures are "significant" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") consult a human health Risk Assessment specialist with appropriate education, training and experience.

4.

. Can the "significant" exposures (identified in #4) be shown to be within acceptable limits?

- If yes (all "significant" exposures have been shown to be within acceptable limits) continue and enter "YE" after summarizing and referencing documentation justifying why all "significant" exposures to "contamination" are within acceptable limits (e.g., a sitespecific Human Health Risk Assessment).
- If no (there are current exposures that can be reasonably expected to be "unacceptable")continue and enter "NO" status code after providing a description of each potentially "unacceptable" exposure.
- _____ If unknown (for any potentially "unacceptable" exposure) continue and enter "IN" status code

Rationale and Reference(s):

5.

Check the appropriate RCRIS status codes for the Current Human Exposures Under Control EI event code (CA725), and obtain Supervisor (or appropriate Manager) signature and date on the EI determination below (and attach appropriate supporting documentation as well as a map of the facility):

X YE - Yes, "Current Human Exposures Under Control" has been verified. Based on a review of the information contained in this EI Determination, "Current Human Exposures" are expected to be "Under Control" at the C&M Chemicals, Inc. facility, EPA ID # PAD 096339411, located in Portersville, PA under current and reasonably expected conditions. This determination will be re-evaluated when the Agency/State becomes aware of significant changes at the facility.

Date 12/8/08

Date 12-8-08

NO - "Current Human Exposures" are NOT "Under Control."

_ IN - More information is needed to make a determination.

Darius Ostrauskas

Project Manager

Comp	leted	by

6.

~	•	
SIL	pervisor	
Ju		

(title) Associate Director Office of PA Remediation

bt

Paul

(EPA Region or State) EPA Region 3

Locations where References may be found:

(signature) (print)

(signature) (print)

(title)

Office of Pennsylvania Remediation. Land and Chemicals Division EPA Region 3 1650 Arch Street Philadelphia, PA 19103

Contact telephone and e-mail numbers:

(name)	Darius Ostrauskas, Project Manager
(phone #)	215-814-3360
(e-mail)	ostrauskas.darius@epa.gov

FINAL NOTE: THE HUMAN EXPOSURES EI IS A QUALITATIVE SCREENING OF EXPOSURES AND THE DETERMINATIONS WITHIN THIS DOCUMENT SHOULD NOT BE USED AS THE SOLE BASIS FOR RESTRICTING THE SCOPE OF MORE DETAILED (E.G., SITE-SPECIFIC) ASSESSMENTS OF RISK.