#### DOCUMENTATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATOR DETERMINATION

Interim Final 2/5/99

# RCRA Corrective Action Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA725)

**Current Human Exposures Under Control** 

Facility Name:		Former Scott Paper Research Facility					
Facility Address:		Route 291 & Tinicum Island Road, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19153					
Facility EPA ID #:		PAD001287879					
groundwater, sur		relevant/significant information on known and reasonably suspected releases to soil, face water/sediments, and air, subject to RCRA Corrective Action (e.g., from Solid Waste its (SWMU), Regulated Units (RU), and Areas of Concern (AOC)), been considered in this EI					
		If yes – check here and continue with #2 below.					
		If no – re-evaluate existing data, or					
		If data are not available skip to #6 and enter "IN" (more information needed) status code.					

#### **BACKGROUND**

#### **Definition of Environmental Indicators (for the RCRA Corrective Action)**

Environmental Indicators (EI) are measures being used by the RCRA Corrective Action program to go beyond programmatic activity measures (e.g., reports received and approved, etc.) to track changes in the quality of the environment. The two EI developed to-date indicate the quality of the environment in relation to current human exposures to contamination and the migration of contaminated groundwater. An EI for non-human (ecological) receptors is intended to be developed in the future.

### Definition of "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI

A positive "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI determination ("YE" status code) indicates that there are no "unacceptable" human exposures to "contamination" (i.e., contaminants in concentrations in excess of appropriate risk-based levels) that can be reasonably expected under current land- and groundwater-use conditions (for all "contamination" subject to RCRA corrective action at or from the identified facility [i.e., site-wide]).

#### Relationship of EI to Final Remedies

While Final remedies remain the long-term objective of the RCRA Corrective Action program the EI are near-term objectives which are currently being used as Program measures for the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993, GPRA). The "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI are for reasonably expected human exposures under current land- and groundwater-use conditions ONLY, and do not consider potential future land- or groundwater-use conditions or ecological receptors. The RCRA Corrective Action program's overall mission to protect human health and the environment requires that Final remedies address these issues (i.e., potential future human exposure scenarios, future land and groundwater uses, and ecological receptors).

#### **Duration / Applicability of EI Determinations**

EI Determinations status codes should remain in RCRIS national database ONLY as long as they remain true (i.e., RCRIS status codes must be changed when the regulatory authorities become aware of contrary information).

2. Are groundwater, soil, surface water, sediments, or air **media** known or reasonably suspected to be "contaminated" above appropriately protective risk-based "levels" (applicable promulgated standards, as well as other appropriate standards, guidelines, guidance, or criteria) from releases subject to RCRA Corrective Action (from SWMUs, RUs or AOCs)?

	Yes	No	?	Rationale/Key Contaminants
Groundwater	- <u></u>	_x		No known/documented releases to groundwater from historical operations.
Air (indoors) <sup>2</sup>		X		No known/documented releases to soil/groundwater from historical operations that were not remediated.
Surface Soil (e.g., <2 ft)	1	X		No known/documented releases to soil from historical operations.
Surface Water	-	X		No known/documented releases from historical operations.
Sediment		x		No documented discharges to sediment. No known releases to sediment.
Subsurf. Soil (e.g., >2 f	)	X		No known/documented releases to soil from historical operations that were not remediated.
Air (outdoors)		<u>x</u>		No known releases at the facility.
If no (for all media) - skip to #6, and enter "YE," status code after providing or citing appropriate "levels," and referencing sufficient supporting documentation demonstrating that these "levels" are not exceeded.  If yes (for any media) - continue after identifying key contaminants in each "contaminated" medium citing appropriate "levels" (or provide an explanation for the determination that the medium could pose an unacceptable risk), and referencing supporting documentation.				
If unkn	If unknown (for any media) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code.			

## Rationale and Reference(s):

The former Scott Paper Research Facility (Facility) operated from the early 1960s until 1995. The Facility was a paper and paper pulp product research and development facility. The Facility was located on the southern side of Route 291, north of the Philadelphia International Airport, in Tinicum Township, Delaware County, Pennsylvania. The Facility no

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> "Contamination" and "contaminated" describes media containing contaminants (in any form, NAPL and/or dissolved, vapors, or solids, that are subject to RCRA) in concentrations in excess of appropriately protective risk-based "levels" (for the media, that identify risks within the acceptable risk range).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Recent evidence (from the Colorado Dept. of Public Health and Environment, and others) suggest that unacceptable indoor air concentrations are more common in structures above groundwater with volatile contaminants than previously believed. This is a rapidly developing field and reviewers are encouraged to look to the latest guidance for the appropriate methods and scale of demonstration necessary to be reasonably certain that indoor air (in structures located above (and adjacent to) groundwater with volatile contaminants) does not present unacceptable risks.

longer exists. The area is open space (grass) and parking lots of the International Plaza.

The Facility was located on the southern side of Route 291, 0.5 miles from the Philadelphia International Airport. The Tinicum National Environmental Center was located north of the Facility; as of November 1991 the preserve is identified as the John Heinz National Wildlife Refuge at Tinicum. South and west of the Facility are industrial complexes, then the Philadelphia International Airport. Philadelphia International Airport is located east of the facility. Between December 31, 2002 and September 28, 2003, the Facility was demolished and redeveloped as open space and parking lots.

From the early 1970s to 1986, The Facility was used for the research of organic synthesis in paper and paper pulp technology. From 1986 through at least 1991, the Facility was used for the research and development of "Wet Wipes", lotion manufacturing, fiber technology, paper-making mill trials, and latex polymer emulsions.

In August, 1980, Scott Paper Company submitted a Notification of Hazardous Wastes Activity form for the Facility for its generation of hazardous wastes including spent solvents (F001, F002, F003, F005), discarded commercial chemical products (P and U listed wastes), ignitable waste (D001), corrosive waste (D002), reactive waste (D003), and toxic waste (D000). Scott Paper Company submitted a Part A Hazardous Waste Permit Application for the Facility in November, 1980. Scott Paper Company later requested to withdraw the permit for the Facility.

In October, 1995, Scott Paper Company notified the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) that it had sold the Facility and no longer occupied the facility.

The Facility contained 5 solid waste management units (SWMUs), the chemical storage/hazardous waste storage room (SWMU 1), the exterior drum storage shed (SWMU 2), the parts cleaning room (SWMU 3), the drum corral (SWMU 4), and the trash dumpster/compactor (SWMU 5). There are no documented releases from these SWMUs.

In October 1986, The Facility reported a release to the United State Coast Guard and to PADER. The release was the result of a ruptured 10,000-gallon underground storage tank (UST). The UST contained No.2 fuel oil that was used for the boiler room of the facility building. The release was cleaned-up with procedure reviewed by PADEP. The UST and 16,634 tons of contaminated soil were removed and disposed offsite.

Since the ruptured UST was adequately addressed and there are no other releases documented at the Facility, it is reasonable to conclude that the groundwater, soil, surface water, sediments, and air media are not contaminated above appropriate protective risk-based levels.

3. Are there **complete pathways** between "contamination" and human receptors such that exposures can be reasonably expected under the current (land- and groundwater-use) conditions?

Summary Exposure Pathway Evaluation Table

				Potential Hui	man Receptors	(Under Current Co	onditions)
Contaminated Media	Residents	Workers	Day-Care	Construction	Trespassers	Recreation	Food <sup>3</sup>
Groundwater Air (indoors) Soil (surface, e.g., <2 ft. Surface Water							
Sediment Soil (subsurface e.g., >2 Air (outdoors)	ft.						
Instructions for Su	ımmary Exposui	e Pathway E	valuation Tabl	<u>e</u> :			
	"contaminated"	as identified	in #2 above.	nan Receptors' sp			
	<ol><li>enter "yes" o</li><li>Receptor combi</li></ol>			eteness" under ea	ch "Contaminate	d" Media Huma	ın
Media - H	uman Receptor ons may not be p	combinations	(Pathways) do	pable combination o not have check s they may be possi	spaces ("").		
en en	ter "YE" status an-made, preven	code, after ex ting a comple	plaining and/o		dition(s) in-place contaminated m	tion) - skip to #6, e, whether natural ledium (e.g., use	
	yes (pathways a ntinue after prov			minated" Media - on.	Human Recepto	r combination) -	
	unknown (for ar N'' status code.	ny "Contamin	ated" Media -	Human Receptor	combination) - s	kip to #6 and ente	r
Rationale and Ref	erence(s):						

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Indirect Pathway/Receptor (e.g., vegetables, fruits, crops, meat and dairy products, fish, shellfish, etc.

4.	Can the exposures from any of the complete pathways identified in #3 be reasonably expected to be "significant" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable" because exposures can be reasonably expected to be: 1) greater in magnitude (intensity, frequency and/or duration) than assumed in the derivation of the acceptable "levels" (used to identify the "contamination"); or 2) the combination of exposure magnitude (perhaps even though low) and contaminant concentrations (which may be substantially above the acceptable "levels") could result in greater than acceptable risks)?					
	If no (exposures can not be reasonably expected to be significant (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") for any complete exposure pathway) - skip to #6 and enter "YE" status code after explaining and/or referencing documentation justifying why the exposures (from each of the complete pathways) to "contamination" (identified in #3) are not expected to be "significant."					
	If yes (exposures could be reasonably expected to be "significant" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") for any complete exposure pathway) - continue after providing a description (of each potentially "unacceptable" exposure pathway) and explaining and/or referencing documentation justifying why the exposures (from each of the remaining complete pathways) to "contamination" (identified in #3) are not expected to be "significant."					
	If unknown (for any complete pathway) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code					
Ration 5.	ale and Reference(s):  Can the "significant" exposures (identified in #4) be shown to be within acceptable limits?					
	If yes (all "significant" exposures have been shown to be within acceptable limits) - continue and enter "YE" after summarizing <u>and</u> referencing documentation justifying why all "significant" exposures to "contamination" are within acceptable limits (e.g., a site-specific Human Health Risk Assessment).					
	If no (there are current exposures that can be reasonably expected to be "unacceptable") - continue and enter "NO" status code after providing a description of each potentially "unacceptable" exposure.					
	If unknown (for any potentially "unacceptable" exposure) - continue and enter "IN" status code					
Ration	ale and Reference(s):					

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> If there is any question on whether the identified exposures are "significant" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") consult a human health Risk Assessment specialist with appropriate education, training and experience.

6.	Check the appropriate RCRIS status codes for the Current Human Exposures Under Control EI (event code CA725), and obtain Supervisor (or appropriate Manager) signature and date on the EI determination below (attach appropriate supporting documentation as well as a map of the facility).						
		review of the information contained are expected to be "Under Control" a EPA ID # PAD001287879, located a PA 19153 under current and reasona	res Under Control" has been verified. Based on a in this EI Determination, "Current Human Exposures" at the Former Scott Paper Research Facility facility, at Route 291 & Tinicum Island Road, Philadelphia, bly expected conditions. This determination will be becomes aware of significant changes at the facility.				
		NO - "Current Human Exposures" a	are NOT "Under Control."				
		IN - More information is needed to	o make a determination.				
	Completed by	Tran Tran	Date 7-12-12				
	Supervisor	Patil Goffhold Associate Director	Date 7-12-12				
Locatio	us EPA Region Land & Chemic 1650 Arch Stree Philadelphia, PA PADEP South East Regi 2 E. Main Street Norristown, PA	als Division  A 19103  onal Office					
Contact	telephone and e- Tran Tran 215-814-2079	mail numbers					
	tran.tran@epa.g	ov					

FINAL NOTE: THE HUMAN EXPOSURES EI IS A QUALITATIVE SCREENING OF EXPOSURES AND THE DETERMINATIONS WITHIN THIS DOCUMENT SHOULD NOT BE USED AS THE SOLE BASIS FOR RESTRICTING THE SCOPE OF MORE DETAILED (E.G., SITE-SPECIFIC) ASSESSMENTS OF RISK.