#### DOCUMENTATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATOR DETERMINATION

Interim Final 2/5/99

### **RCRA** Corrective Action

## Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA725) Current Human Exposures Under Control

|                   |                         | The state of the s |  |  |  |  |  |
|-------------------|-------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Facility Address: |                         | P O Box 32, Mehoopany, PA 18629  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Facility          | EPA ID#:                | PAD 01 439 1874  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.                | Has <b>all</b> availabl | e relevant/significant information on known and reasonably suspected releases to soil,   |  |  |  |  |  |
|                   | groundwater, su         | rface water/sediments, and air, subject to RCRA Corrective Action (e.g., from Solid Waste  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                   | Management Ur           | its (SWMU), Regulated Units (RU), and Areas of Concern (AOC)), been considered in this   |  |  |  |  |  |

Procter & Gamble Paper Products Company

| X | If yes - check here and continue with #2 below.  |
|---|--|
|   | If no - re-evaluate existing data, or  |
|   | If data are not available skip to #6 and enter"IN" (more information needed) status code |

#### BACKGROUND

EI determination?

**Facility Name:** 

### **Definition of Environmental Indicators (for the RCRA Corrective Action)**

Environmental Indicators (EI) are measures being used by the RCRA Corrective Action program to go beyond programmatic activity measures (e.g., reports received and approved, etc.) to track changes in the quality of the environment. The two EI developed to-date indicate the quality of the environment in relation to current human exposures to contamination and the migration of contaminated groundwater. An EI for non-human (ecological) receptors is intended to be developed in the future.

#### **Definition of "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI**

A positive "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI determination ("YE" status code) indicates that there are no "unacceptable" human exposures to "contamination" (i.e., contaminants in concentrations in excess of appropriate risk-based levels) that can be reasonably expected under current land- and groundwater-use conditions (for all "contamination" subject to RCRA corrective action at or from the identified facility (i.e., site-wide)).

## **Relationship of EI to Final Remedies**

While Final remedies remain the long-term objective of the RCRA Corrective Action program the EI are near-term objectives which are currently being used as Program measures for the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993, GPRA). The "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI are for reasonably expected human exposures under current land- and groundwater-use conditions ONLY, and do not consider potential future land- or groundwater-use conditions or ecological receptors. The RCRA Corrective Action program's overall mission to protect human health and the environment requires that Final remedies address these issues (i.e., potential future human exposure scenarios, future land and groundwater uses, and ecological receptors).

## **Duration / Applicability of EI Determinations**

EI Determinations status codes should remain in RCRIS national database ONLY as long as they remain true (i.e., RCRIS status codes must be changed when the regulatory authorities become aware of contrary information).

Page 2

2. Are groundwater, soil, surface water, sediments, or air **media** known or reasonably suspected to be "contaminated" above appropriately protective risk-based "levels" (applicable promulgated standards, as well as other appropriate standards, guidelines, guidance, or criteria) from releases subject to RCRA Corrective Action (from SWMUs, RUs or AOCs)?

|                             | Yes | <u>No</u> | ? | Rationale / Key Contaminants                   |
|-----------------------------|-----|-----------|---|--|
| Groundwater                 | X   |           |   | Arsenic - Residual Waste Landfill (RWL), ONLY. |
| Air (indoors) <sup>2</sup>  |     | X         |   | Air Monitoring Data - RWL, ONLY.               |
| Surface Soil (e.g., <2 ft)  |     | X         |   | Contained within Landfill - RWL, ONLY.         |
| Surface Water               |     | X         |   | Stream survey - RWL, ONLY.                     |
| Sediment                    |     | X         |   | Stream survey - RWL, ONLY.                     |
| Subsurf. Soil (e.g., >2 ft) |     | X         |   | Contained in Landfill - RWL, ONLY.             |
| Air (outdoors)              |     | X         |   | Air Monitoring Data - RWL, ONLY.               |



If no (for all media) - skip to #6, and enter "YE," status code after providing or citing appropriate "levels," and referencing sufficient supporting documentation demonstrating that these "levels" are not exceeded.(Other 3 UNITS, below).



If yes (for any media) - continue after identifying key contaminants in each "contaminated" medium, citing appropriate "levels" (or provide an explanation for the determination that the medium could pose an unacceptable risk), and referencing supporting documentation.(Residual Waste Landfill, ONLY).

If unknown (for any media) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code.

Rationale and Reference(s): Residual Waste Landfill - groundwater monitoring wells downgradient of Residual Waste Landfill show dissolved Arsenic 3 to 5 times MCL (0.01 mg/l (ppm)). East Field - Area was used to dry Paper Basin waste prior to landfilling in the process of removing material, after drying soil was also removed. When practice was eliminated in 1987, borrow material was brought in to fill to grade. Residual Waste Impoundments - Past repair and replacement of liner show no standards exceeded. Routine cleaning and or leak detection suggests this will continue. Proposed is the groundwater monitoring of the Impoundment area. Hazardous Waste Storage Area - review of storage closure files and inspection reports indicate no release of hazardous materials. Storage facility certified clean closed since 1993. Bond released per 8/18/95 correspondence. All storage of hazardous waste is less than 90 days. References: Residual Waste Landfill - Groundwater monitoring data and Drinking Water Standards. East Field - P & G analytical data and files. Residual Waste Impoundments - P & G Company "Storage Impoundment Monitoring Plan for the Mehoopany Plant", July 1997. 1986 RCRA Facility Assessment report and P & G Response to the report. Hazardous Waste Storage Area - PADEP correspondence, Inspection Reports and Closure documentation.

#### Footnotes:

<sup>1</sup> "Contamination" and "contaminated" describes media containing contaminants (in any form, NAPL and/or dissolved, vapors, or solids, that are subject to RCRA) in concentrations in excess of appropriately protective risk-based "levels" (for the media, that identify risks within the acceptable risk range).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Recent evidence (from the Colorado Dept. of Public Health and Environment, and others) suggest that unacceptable indoor air concentrations are more common in structures above groundwater with volatile contaminants than previously believed. This is a rapidly developing field and reviewers are encouraged to look to the latest guidance for the appropriate methods and scale of demonstration necessary to be reasonably certain that indoor air (in structures located above (and adjacent to) groundwater with volatile contaminants) does not present unacceptable risks.

Page 3

3. Are there **complete pathways** between "contamination" and human receptors such that exposures can be reasonably expected under the current (land- and groundwater-use) conditions?

### **Summary Exposure Pathway Evaluation Table**

Potential <u>Human Receptors</u> (Under Current Conditions)

| "Contaminated" Media        | Residents | Workers | Day-Care | Construction | Trespassers | Recreation | $Food^3$ |
|-----------------------------|-----------|---------|----------|--------------|-------------|------------|----------|
| Groundwater                 | NO        | NO      | NO       | NO           |             |            | NO       |
| Air (indoors)               |           |         |          |              |             |            |          |
| Soil (surface, e.g., <2 ft) |           |         |          |              |             |            |          |
| Surface Water               |           |         |          |              |             |            |          |
| Sediment                    |           |         |          |              |             |            |          |
| Soil (subsurface e.g., >2   | ft)       |         |          |              |             |            |          |
| Air (outdoors)              |           |         |          |              |             |            |          |

Instructions for **Summary Exposure Pathway Evaluation Table**:

- 1. Strike-out specific Media including Human Receptors' spaces for Media which are not "contaminated" as identified in #2 above.
- **2.** enter "yes" or "no" for potential "completeness" under each "Contaminated" Media -- Human Receptor combination (Pathway).

**Note:** In order to focus the evaluation to the most probable combinations some potential "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combinations (Pathways) do not have check spaces ("\_\_\_\_"). While these combinations may not be probable in most situations they may be possible in some settings and should be added as necessary.

| X | If no (pathways are not complete for any contaminated media-receptor combination) - skip to #6, and enter "YE" status code, after explaining and/or referencing condition(s) in-place, whether natural or man-made, preventing a complete exposure pathway from each contaminated medium (e.g., use optional <u>Pathway Evaluation Work Sheet</u> to analyze major pathways). |
|---|---|
|   | If yes (pathways are complete for any "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combination) - continue after providing supporting explanation.   |
|   | If unknown (for any "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combination) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code.   |

Rationale and Reference(s): Table - Landfill Related Groundwater Contamination and Human Exposure. References: Groundwater monitoring data, 1992. PADEP "Review of Carney Flats Landfill Closure Plan. Groundwater Monitoring.". "Post Closure Maintenance Plan for the Carney Flats Landfill".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Indirect Pathway/Receptor (e.g., vegetables, fruits, crops, meat and dairy products, fish, shellfish, etc.)

Page 4

| 4. | "significant" (i<br>greater in magni<br>acceptable "leve"<br>(perhaps even th | es from any of the complete pathways identified in #3 be reasonably expected to be i.e., potentially "unacceptable" because exposures can be reasonably expected to be: 1) tude (intensity, frequency and/or duration) than assumed in the derivation of the ls" (used to identify the "contamination"); or 2) the combination of exposure magnitude ough low) and contaminant concentrations (which may be substantially above the ls") could result in greater than acceptable risks)? |
|----|---|--|
|    |   | If no (exposures can not be reasonably expected to be significant (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") for any complete exposure pathway) - skip to #6 and enter "YE" status code after explaining and/or referencing documentation justifying why the exposures (from each of the complete pathways) to "contamination" (identified in #3) are not expected to be "significant."  |
|    |   | If yes (exposures could be reasonably expected to be "significant" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") for any complete exposure pathway) - continue after providing a description (of each potentially "unacceptable" exposure pathway) and explaining and/or referencing documentation justifying why the exposures (from each of the remaining complete pathways) to "contamination" (identified in #3) are not expected to be "significant."  |
|    |   | If unknown (for any complete pathway) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code  |
|    | Rationale and Re  | ference(s):  |

4 If there is any question on whether the identified exposures are "significant" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") consult a human health Risk Assessment specialist with appropriate education, training and experience.

Page 5

| If yes (all "significant" exposures have been shown to be within acceptable limits) - continue and enter "YE" after summarizing <u>and</u> referencing documentation justifying why all "significant" exposures to "contamination" are within acceptable limits (e.g., a site-specific Human Health Risk Assessment). |
|---|
| If no (there are current exposures that can be reasonably expected to be "unacceptable")-continue and enter "NO" status code after providing a description of each potentially "unacceptable" exposure.   |
| If unknown (for any potentially "unacceptable" exposure) - continue and enter "IN" status code  |

Page 6

| ( | Check the appropriate RCRIS status codes for the Current Human Exposures Under Control EI event code (CA725), and obtain Supervisor (or appropriate Manager) signature and date on the EI determination below (and attach appropriate supporting documentation as well as a map of the facility): |   |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
|   | X   | review of t<br>are expecte<br>facility, EP<br>current and<br>the Agency | "Current Human Exposures Under Control he information contained in this EI Deter ed to be "Under Control" at the <b>Procter &amp; PA ID # PAD 01 439 1874</b> , located at <b>P Control</b> reasonably expected conditions. This doy/State becomes aware of significant charrent Human Exposures" are NOT "Under | emination, "Current Human Exposures" & Gamble Paper Products Company D Box 32, Mehoopany, PA 18629 under etermination will be re-evaluated when anges at the facility. |  |  |
|   |   | IN - Mor  | e information is needed to make a determ   | nination.  |  |  |
|   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
|   | Completed by  | (signature  | e)   | Date <u>09-23-99</u>   |  |  |
|   | Completed by  | (signature (print)  | e)<br>Lisa Hannigan  | Date <u>09-23-99</u>   |  |  |
|   | Completed by  | _   |  | Date <u>09-23-99</u>   |  |  |
|   | Completed by Supervisor   | (print)   | Lisa Hannigan<br>PADEP   | Date 09-23-99  Date 10-13-99   |  |  |
|   |   | (print)<br>(title)  | Lisa Hannigan<br>PADEP   |  |  |  |
|   |   | (print) (title) (signature  | Lisa Hannigan PADEP  |  |  |  |
|   |   | (print) (title) (signature (print) (title)                              | Lisa Hannigan PADEP  Paul Gotthold   |  |  |  |

**Contact telephone and e-mail numbers:** 

| (name)    | Robert Lewis                 |
|-----------|------------------------------|
| (phone #) | 570-826-2274                 |
| (e-mail)  | lewis.robert@dep.state.pa.us |

FINAL NOTE: THE HUMAN EXPOSURES ELIS A QUALITATIVE SCREENING OF EXPOSURES AND THE DETERMINATIONS WITHIN THIS DOCUMENT SHOULD NOT BE USED AS THE SOLE BASIS FOR RESTRICTING THE SCOPE OF MORE DETAILED (E.G., SITE-SPECIFIC) ASSESSMENTS OF RISK.