

November 4, 2011

Enbridge Energy, Limited Partnership c/o Mr. Rich Adams Vice President, Operations Superior City Centre Second Floor 1409 Hammond Ave. Superior, Wisconsin 54880

Re: Approval with Modifications of Enbridge Energy, Limited Partnership's October 24, 2011 Submittal in response to the Administrative Order issued by U.S. EPA on July 27, 2010 and Supplement to the Administrative Order issued by U.S. EPA on September 23, 2010, pursuant to §311(c) of the Clean Water Act (Docket No. CWA 1321-5-10-001).

Dear Mr. Adams:

U.S. EPA has completed its review of the following document submitted by Enbridge on October 24, 2011:

Enbridge Line 68 MP 608, Marshall, MI Pipeline Release, Revised Response to July 20, 2011 EPA Letter Regarding Site Meteorological Data, Attachments: Final Met Station Data START Comments, Attachment C - Weather Station Specifications, WeatherPak® User Manual, Prepared for United States Environmental Protection Agency, Enbridge Energy, Limited Partnership, Original Submitted: August 30, 2011, Resubmitted: October 24, 2011

The U.S. EPA has completed its review of the above-referenced document. The U.S. EPA concludes that the existing data from the off-site meteorological stations satisfied the requirements for supporting the on-site air monitoring and sampling performed by Enbridge, as described in the above-referenced document. Further, the U.S. EPA concurs that data from the off-site meteorological stations correlates with the limited data set obtained from the on-site meteorological stations. Accordingly, the U.S. EPA has concluded that the use of data from off-site meteorological stations is compliant with the U.S. EPA directive and with the U.S. EPA-approved work plan.

The U.S. EPA approves the above-referenced document subject to the modifications described below, which shall be incorporated into a revised report (or an addendum to the above-referenced document) and resubmitted to the U.S. EPA by 17:00 Eastern time on November 7, 2011.

 Response 4a, Attachment C: The total values presented are for the preceding 24-hour period ending at 00:00 (e.g., midnight) on the date in the table. For example, the row of

- the table labeled 8/14 appears to be a total value for calendar day 8/13 (24-hr period ending at 8/14 00:00). Please explain the dates presented in the table.
- 2. Response 4e, Page 6-7: The tables on page 6 of the response and the associated descriptions are based on an assumed 30-second polling interval, which results in a maximum of 31 samples per period. However, page 7 states that a 10-second polling interval was used, and which is consistent with the raw data submitted. Please modify the tables and associated text to reflect the maximum of 51 samples per period associated with a 10-second polling interval.

If you have any questions regarding this directive, please contact me immediately at (231) 301-0559.

Sincerely,

Ralph Dollhopf

Federal On-Scene Coordinator and Incident Commander

U.S. EPA, Region 5

cc: L. Kirby-Miles, U.S. EPA, ORC

M. Durno, U.S. EPA

S. Vega, U.S. EPA

M. Ducharme, MDEQ

L. Dykema, MDCH

M. Ducharme, MDEQ

M. Alexander, MDEO

Records Center, U.S. EPA, Reg. V