August 2, 2011

Enbridge Energy, Limited Partnership c/o Mr. Rich Adams Vice President, Operations Superior City Centre Second Floor 1409 Hammond Ave. Superior, Wisconsin 54880

Re: U.S. EPA Notice of Potential Non-compliance

Enbridge Energy, Limited Partnership Air Monitoring/Sampling in response to a U.S. EPA Directive to Prepare an Air Monitoring and Sampling Plan, pursuant to the Administrative Order issued by U.S. EPA on July 27, 2010 (Order) and Supplement to the Administrative Order issued by U.S. EPA on September 23, 2010 (Supplement), pursuant to §311(c) of the Clean Water Act (Docket No. CWA 1321-5-10-001).

Dear Mr. Adams:

U.S. EPA is enclosing its July 20, 2011 letter regarding our evaluation of air monitoring and sampling data submitted by Enbridge Energy, Limited Partnership, Enbridge Pipelines (Lakehead) L.L.C., Enbridge Pipelines (Wisconsin), and Enbridge Energy Partners, L.P. (herein collectively referred to as "Enbridge") pursuant to Paragraph 18 of the Order and Paragraph 6 of the Supplement. U.S. EPA prematurely posted this letter on its webpage prior to providing it to you, today. Accordingly, we are extending the due date for your response to our July 20, 2011 letter until 1700 EDT on August 4, 2011.

If you have any questions regarding this letter and enclosure, please contact me immediately at (231) 301-0559.

Sincerely,

Ralph Dollhopf

Federal On-Scene Coordinator and Incident Commander

U.S. EPA, Region 5

Enclosure

cc: J. Sobojinski, Enbridge

- L. Kirby-Miles, U.S. EPA, ORC
- J. Cahn, U.S. EPA, ORC
- J. Kimble, U.S. EPA, Dep. IC, FOSC
- S. Vega, U.S. EPA, Dep. IC, FOSC
- M. Durno, U.S. EPA, Dep. IC, Section Chief
- T. Edwards, U.S. EPA, OSC
- S. Wolfe, US. EPA, OSC
- M. Ducharme, MDEQ
- M. Alexander, MDEQ
- L. Dykema, MDCH

Records Center, U.S. EPA, Reg. V



July 20, 2011

Enbridge Energy, Limited Partnership c/o Mr. Rich Adams Vice President, Operations Superior City Centre Second Floor 1409 Hammond Ave. Superior, Wisconsin 54880

## Re: U.S. EPA Notice of Potential Non-Compliance

Enbridge Energy, Limited Partnership Air Monitoring/Sampling in response to a U.S. EPA Directive to Prepare an Air Monitoring and Sampling Plan, pursuant to the Administrative Order issued by U.S. EPA on July 27, 2010 (Order) and Supplement to the Administrative Order issued by U.S. EPA on September 23, 2010 (Supplement), pursuant to §311(c) of the Clean Water Act (Docket No. CWA 1321-5-10-001).

## Dear Mr. Adams:

U.S.EPA is evaluating air monitoring and sampling data submitted by Enbridge Energy, Limited Partnership, Enbridge Pipelines (Lakehead) L.L.C., Enbridge Pipelines (Wisconsin), and Enbridge Energy Partners, L.P. (herein collectively referred to as "Enbridge") pursuant to Paragraph 18 of the Order and Paragraph 6 of the Supplement. The air monitoring and sampling data were generated as a result of Enbridge executing the following U.S. EPA-approved work plan:

Enbridge Line 6B MP 608, Marshall, MI Pipeline Release, 2011 Air Monitoring and Sampling Addendum to the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Prepared for United States Environmental Protection Agency, Enbridge Energy, Limited Partnership, Submitted: June 21, 2011("Plan")

In reviewing the analytical data provided by Enbridge, U.S. EPA has observed that certain data required by Section 3.0 of the above referenced Plan are incomplete and, thus, may not comply with the Order and Supplement.

Specifically, comprehensive meteorological data from Enbridge's two portable meteorological (MET) stations have not been submitted to the U.S. EPA. The approved Plan specifically required the use of two portable MET stations to collect "more time-resolved meteorological data than the once-hourly National Weather System ("NWS") observations." See Plan at Section 3.0, p. 2. The Plan allowed for meteorological data to be obtained from the NWS in other areas outside of the Ceresco Dam and Baker Estates areas where the MET stations were placed or in the event portable station data were not available.

Based on U.S. EPA's review of the information submitted to date, it appears that Enbridge used the NWS data as the only source of meteorological data during air sampling and monitoring. Further, it appears that Enbridge's portable MET stations operated for short time periods or provided non-representative wind data and provided non-continuous data.

U.S. EPA directs Enbridge to provide a full written explanation describing the circumstances surrounding the failure to collect adequate wind data from the portable MET stations and evaluating all the meteorological data collected pursuant to the Plan. Additionally, explain how the data submitted fully supports the Plan's objectives to provide representative data for interpreting sampling and monitoring results and fully validates the sampling performed.

The written explanation shall be submitted to U.S. EPA no later than 1700 EST on July 21, 2011. Please submit an electronic copy of the original documents in Microsoft Word/Excel formats concurrent with the hard copy.

If you have any questions regarding this directive, please contact me immediately at (231) 301-0559.

Sincerely,

Ralph Dollhopf

Federal On-Scene Coordinator and Incident Commander U.S. EPA, Region 5

cc:

L. Kirby-Miles, U.S. EPA, ORC

w.D.

J. Cahn, U.S. EPA, ORC

J. Kimble, U.S. EPA, Dep. IC, FOSC

M. Durno, U.S. EPA, Dep. IC, Section Chief

T. Edwards, U.S. EPA, OSC

S. Wolfe, US. EPA, OSC

M. Ducharme, MDEQ

M. Alexander, MDEQ

L. Dykema, MDCH

Records Center, U.S. EPA, Reg. V