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Agriculture is the Source of >95% Groundwater Nitrate in SJV.

Total N input in Ca is 3 X N offtake.

Organic matter sources could supply >95% of SJV N, K, P demands.

(http://groundwaternitrate.ucdavis.edu)
Legislative Response: Nutrient Management Plans - ILRP

• **Required Nutrient Management Plan for all Individual Fields**
  – Certified Crop Advisor or Grower self certification
  – Training Requirement

• **Application rates will be based upon field specific crop N demand estimations, accounting for all applied N (water, cover crops, OMA).**
  – Replacement of nitrogen exported from the field or incorporated into perennial structures

• **Post Season verification and reporting.**
  • Collated and Managed by Local Water Coalitions
  • Aggregate reporting to Water Board

*Effectively mandates greater efficiency of nitrogen use and improved management practices.*
The Nitrogen Cycle: A balancing act.

**Supply**
- Cover crops, manures, composts
- Irrigation water
- Commercial N fertilizers
- Foliars

**Demand**
- Harvested nuts
- Husks, leaves, prunings removed from orchard
- Volatilization, denitrification from soil
- Leaching

**Timing**
- Nitrate
- Mineralized N in soil
- Organic matter

**Nitrogen**
- Loss
- Nitrogen

**WATER MANAGEMENT**
- Loss
Total Demand for N is Largely Driven by Exported Crop, Perennial Organs and Soil C (N) increment.

Timing is determined by crop growth patterns.

Minimizing losses.

Fernandez et al., Foliar Fertilization, Scientific Principles and Field Practices, 2013
Potential for Organic Matter Amendments to Improve Nutrient Use Efficiency

1. OMA’s as a source of nutrients
   • Predictable nutrient content and release characteristics

2. OMA’s to improve ‘Soil Health’, enhance nutrient availability and reduce losses
2015 Almond Grower Survey
(27% of Growers, 33% of acreage)

Q1: Grower perceived benefits of OMA
Grower Survey

Q1: Grower concerns with use of OMA

- Food Safety
- Nutrient Availability
- Cost & Logistics

CONCERNS
- Primary
- Secondary
- Tertiary
Do Organic Amendments Have a Benefit?

SAFS results across 12 years: (Clarke et al. 1999)
- Organic and ‘low input’ systems increased SOC, and microbial biomass
- Neither system improved tomato yields compared to conventional management

BIFS results across 14 site : Years (Andrews et. a. 2002) :
- Cover cropping and/or compost application increased tomato yield by 3%
- Yield increase did not cover additional costs

Manure compost application (up to 10 tons/acre) in a dozen processing tomato fields: (Miyao and Davis. 2014.)
- Yield responses observed in about half of the fields
- Response was primarily the result of nutrient supply, not biological effects
Take Home

• Significant potential source of nutrients (N, K, P, Micros)
• Significant grower ‘belief’ in the benefits of Organic Matter inputs

Consistency, Compatibility, Cost, Creativity
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