#### ENCLOSURE

Commonwealth of Virginia Round 3 Area Designation Recommendations 2010 SO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS Supporting Information January 12, 2017

#### **Table of Contents**

| I. Sum                | mary c        | of Virginia Recommendations   | . 1 |
|-----------------------|---------------|---|-----|
| II. Bacl              | kgroun        | d   | . 2 |
| III. Vir              | ginia S       | O <sub>2</sub> Monitoring Network   | . 5 |
| IV. Vir               | ginia E       | missions Inventory  | . 8 |
| IV. A.                | Virgir        | nia Point Sources   | . 9 |
| IV. B.                | Virgir        | nia Acid Rain Units   | 10  |
| IV. C.                | Virgir        | nia Combined Inventory by Jurisdiction  | 11  |
| V. Reg                | ional E       | mission Reductions  | 15  |
| VI. Fa                | cilities      | in Virginia above 2,000 tons of SO <sub>2</sub>                                   | 17  |
| VI. A.                | Facili        | ties Receiving Emission Limits  | 17  |
| VI. A                 | <b>.</b> 1.   | US Army - Radford Army Ammunition Plant   | 17  |
| VI. A                 | . 2.          | Celanese Acetate, LLC   | 17  |
| VI. A                 | <b>.</b> 3.   | Dominion - Chesapeake Power Station   | 18  |
| VI. A                 | . 4.          | American Electric Power - Clinch River Plant                                      | 18  |
| VI. B.                | Facili        | ties Opting for Air Quality Modeling  | 18  |
| VI. E                 | 8. 1.         | Dominion - Chesterfield Power Station   | 18  |
| VI. E                 | 8. 2.         | Dominion - Yorktown Power Station   | 22  |
| VI. E                 | 3. 3.         | Dominion - Clover Power Station   | 25  |
| VI. E                 | 8.4.          | Jewell Coke Company   | 28  |
| VI. E                 | 8. 5.         | Ongoing Data Requirements in the DRR  | 30  |
| VI. C.                | Facili        | ties Installing Monitoring Networks   | 31  |
| VII. Ju               | risdictio     | ons Recommended to be Attainment/Unclassifiable                                   | 31  |
| Appendix              | A: Er         | nissions By JurisdictionA   | -1  |
| Appendix              | (B: U         | S Army – Radford Army Ammunition Plant March 22, 2016, PermitB                    | -1  |
| Appendix              | (C: Ce        | elanese Acetate, LLC December 6, 2012, Permit                                     | -1  |
| Appendix<br>Potential | (D:D)<br>ToEm | ominion - Chesapeake Power Station Title V Permit Excerpt and<br>hit Calculations | -1  |
| Appendix              | kE: Ar        | nerican Electric Power - Clinch River Plant August 3, 2016, PermitE               | -1  |

# Lists of Tables and Figures

| Table 1:     | 2010 SO <sub>2</sub> NAAQS Recommendations for Virginia Jurisdictions             | 1          |
|--------------|---|------------|
| Table 2:     | Virginia Facilities Emitting At Least 2,000 Tons of SO2 in 2014                   | 3          |
| Table 3:     | Methodology for Compliance Demonstrations   | 4          |
| Table 4:     | Monitoring Network Design Values  | 7          |
| Table 5:     | Daily Maximum Values, 2010-2015   | 7          |
| Table 6:     | Virginia SO <sub>2</sub> Emissions, NEI*  | 9          |
| Table 7:     | Jurisdictions with more than 1,000 tpy of SO <sub>2</sub> Emissions               | 12         |
| Table 8:     | Top Emitting Jurisdictions and DRR Facilities                                     | 13         |
| Table 9:     | NEI SO <sub>2</sub> Emissions, SESARM States                                      | 15         |
| Table 10:    | Units Included in the Chesterfield Power Station Modeling Analysis                | 19         |
| Table 11:    | Chesterfield Power Station Modeling Analysis Result Summary                       | 22         |
| Table 12:    | Units Included in the Yorktown Power Station Modeling Analysis                    | 23         |
| Table 13:    | Yorktown Power Station Modeling Analysis Result Summary                           | 24         |
| Table 14:    | Clover Power Station Modeling Analysis Result Summary                             | 27         |
| Table 15:    | Jewell Coke Company Modeling Analysis Result Summary                              | 30         |
| Table 16:    | Jurisdictions Recommended Attainment/Unclassifiable Using                         |            |
|              | Monitoring Network Data   | 32         |
| Table 17:    | Jurisdictions Recommended Attainment/Unclassifiable                               |            |
|              | Using Modeling Results  | 33         |
|              |   |            |
| Table A - 1: | Annual SO <sub>2</sub> Emissions by Jurisdiction, tpy                             | A-1        |
|              |   |            |
| Figure 1:    | DRR State Submittal Timeline  | 3          |
| Figure 2:    | Virginia SO <sub>2</sub> Monitoring Network Operated by DEQ                       | 6          |
| Figure 3:    | Historical SO <sub>2</sub> Monitoring Data  | 8          |
| Figure 4:    | Virginia SO <sub>2</sub> Annual Emissions from Point Sources, tpy                 | 10         |
| Figure 5:    | Virginia Acid Rain Program SO <sub>2</sub> Emissions, 2002-2015                   | 10         |
| Figure 6:    | Appendix A Total SO <sub>2</sub> Emissions by Jurisdiction                        | 12         |
| Figure 7:    | Appendix A SO <sub>2</sub> Emissions by Jurisdiction, Adjusted for DRR Modeling I | Facilities |
|              | and PTE Thresholds  | 15         |
| Figure 8:    | SESARM States Acid Rain Program SO <sub>2</sub> Emissions, 2002-2015              | 16         |
| Figure 9:    | Location of Nearby SO <sub>2</sub> Emission Sources                               | 20         |
| Figure 10:   | Chesterfield Power Station Modeling Grid and Results                              | 21         |
| Figure 11:   | Location of Nearby SO <sub>2</sub> Emission Sources                               | 23         |
| Figure 12:   | Yorktown Power Station Modeling Grid and Results                                  | 24         |
| Figure 13:   | Location of Clover Power Station  | 26         |
| Figure 14:   | Clover Power Station's Modeling Grid and Results                                  | 27         |
| Figure 15:   | Jewell Coke Company and Surrounding Terrain                                       | 28         |
| Figure 16:   | Nearby Portion of Jewell Receptor Grid and Terrain Shading                        | 29         |
| Figure 17:   | Jewell Receptor Grid and Jurisdiction Boundaries                                  | 29         |

## I. Summary of Virginia Recommendations

Virginia recommends that the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) designate the jurisdictions listed in Table 1 as attainment/unclassifiable for the 2010 sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>) National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS). Air quality monitoring data, air quality dispersion modeling results, and emissions inventory data support these recommendations. Virginia is not changing any other recommendations from those supplied in the June 3, 2011, submittal made pursuant to §107(d)(1(A) of the Clean Air Act (CAA). The recommended designation for those areas continues to be "unclassifiable."

| Jurisdiction             | FIPS   | Designation<br>Recommendation |
|--------------------------|--------|-------------------------------|
| Chesterfield County      | 51-041 | Attainment/unclassifiable     |
| City of Hopewell         | 51-670 | Attainment/unclassifiable     |
| City of Colonial Heights | 51-570 | Attainment/unclassifiable     |
| Charles City County      | 51-036 | Attainment/unclassifiable     |
| Fairfax County           | 51-059 | Attainment/unclassifiable     |
| Henrico County           | 51-087 | Attainment/unclassifiable     |
| Roanoke County           | 51-161 | Attainment/unclassifiable     |
| Rockingham County        | 51-165 | Attainment/unclassifiable     |
| City of Norfolk          | 51-710 | Attainment/unclassifiable     |
| City of Poquoson         | 51-735 | Attainment/unclassifiable     |
| York County              | 51-199 | Attainment/unclassifiable     |
| City of Richmond         | 51-760 | Attainment/unclassifiable     |
| City of Newport News     | 51-700 | Attainment/unclassifiable     |
| City of Hampton          | 51-650 | Attainment/unclassifiable     |
| Halifax County           | 51-083 | Attainment/unclassifiable     |
| Charlotte County         | 51-037 | Attainment/unclassifiable     |
| Mecklenburg County       | 51-117 | Attainment/unclassifiable     |
| Buchanan County          | 51-027 | Attainment/unclassifiable     |
| City of Petersburg       | 51-730 | Attainment/unclassifiable     |

#### Table 1: 2010 SO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS Recommendations for Virginia Jurisdictions

Virginia may supply further recommendations by December 31, 2020, pending the review of data from source-specific air quality monitoring networks located in Giles County for Lhoist North America - Kimballton Plant, EIS identification number VA000005107100001; Montgomery County for Roanoke Cement Company, EIS identification number VA0000005102300003; and the City of Covington for WestRock Virginia Corporation – Covington (formerly Meadwestvaco), EIS identification number VA0000005158000003.

## II. Background

EPA published a revision to the primary SO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS on June 22, 2010 (75 FR 35520), strengthening the standard to 75 parts per billion (ppb) or 196 micrograms per cubic meter ( $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>). This rule also changed the form of the standard, which became a three-year average of the 99<sup>th</sup> percentile of daily maximum one-hour concentrations. Scientific evidence links health effects with short-term SO<sub>2</sub> exposure.

On June 3, 2011, Virginia submitted recommendations regarding jurisdictional designations for the 2010 SO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS as described in \$107(d)(1)(A) of the CAA. Virginia's 2011 submittal also relied upon the March 24, 2011, EPA memorandum outlining data and analyses to be considered in the initial area designations. Based on the EPA guidance, Virginia recommended that all jurisdictions be designated unclassifiable.

EPA published a rule entitled, "Data Requirements Rule for the 2010 1-Hour Sulfur Dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>) Primary National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS)," on August 21, 2015 (80 FR 51052). This rule, referred to as the DRR, directed states to provide data characterizing air quality in areas with large sources of SO<sub>2</sub> emissions and to identify maximum one-hour SO<sub>2</sub> concentrations in ambient air. The DRR required that, at a minimum, air agencies characterize air quality around facilities that emitted 2,000 tons per year (tpy) or more of SO<sub>2</sub> in 2014. EPA estimated in the preamble to the DRR (80 FR 51061) that this threshold would include the facilities accounting for 89% of all SO<sub>2</sub> emitted nationally in 2011, based on the 2011 National Emissions Inventory (NEI). The DRR provided flexibility in the use of air quality modeling, air quality monitoring, or the use of emission limitations for these assessments. The DRR specifies submittals that states must make to EPA to support actions on the 2010 SO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS. Figure 1 provides this information.

|  | TABLE 1—TIMELINE FOR DRR IMPLEMENTATION  |
|--|--|
| Date   | Action   |
| From promulgation of this rule to<br>January 15, 2016.<br>July 1, 2016 | Air agency and the EPA Regional Office consult on list of SO <sub>2</sub> sources; air agency submits its list or<br>sources to EPA by January 15, 2016.<br>Air agency specifies for each source whether it will characterize air quality with modeling, characterize air<br>quality with monitoring, or establish a federally enforceable requirement limiting annual emissions of the<br>source to less than 2,000 tpy. For source areas to be modeled, the air agency submits a modeling pro-<br>tocol. For source areas to be monitored, the air agency submits information about any new monitoring<br>sites it will establish by January 1, 2017. For areas where enforceable emission limits will be established<br>as an alternative to air quality characterization, the air agency submits a description of the planned emis-<br>sion limit. |
| January 1, 2017<br>January 13, 2017                                    | Air agency ensures that SO <sub>2</sub> monitors to satisfy the Data Requirements Rule are installed and operational<br>For any source identified for modeling pursuant to the July 1, 2016, milestone, air agency submits modeling analyses. For any source identified for emission limit approach, air agency submits documentation<br>showing that limits requiring annual emissions to be less than 2,000 tpy are effective and federally emissions.   |
| May 2020   | For any source area identified for monitoring approach, air agency certifies 2019 monitoring data, enablin<br>official design values for the 2017–2019 time period to be calculated.   |

Figure 1: DRR State Submittal Timeline

On January 12, 2016, the Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) submitted to EPA a listing of facilities located in the Commonwealth that emitted at least 2,000 tpy of SO<sub>2</sub> in 2014. Table 2 provides this listing of facilities, the 2014 and 2015 SO<sub>2</sub> emissions from each source, and the jurisdiction in which the facility resides.

| Federal ID         | Facility  | 2014<br>SO <sub>2</sub> Emissions<br>(tpy) | 2015<br>SO <sub>2</sub> Emissions<br>(tpy) | Jurisdiction           |
|--------------------|---|--|--|------------------------|
| VA0000005112100006 | US Army – RAAP  | 3,516                                      | 3,166                                      | Montgomery<br>County   |
| VA0000005102700004 | Jewell Coke Company LLP   | 4,964                                      | 4,845                                      | Buchanan<br>County     |
| VA0000005116700003 | American Electric Power -<br>Clinch River Plant                         | 3,302                                      | 2,059                                      | Russell<br>County      |
| VA0000005158000003 | WestRock Virginia<br>Corporation – Covington<br>(formerly MeadWestvaco) | 5,558                                      | 6,230                                      | City of<br>Covington   |
| VA0000005119900001 | Dominion – Yorktown<br>Power Station                                    | 9,756                                      | 4,549                                      | York County            |
| VA0000005104100002 | Dominion - Chesterfield<br>Power Station                                | 2,181                                      | 2,547                                      | Chesterfield<br>County |
| VA000005155000026  | Dominion – Chesapeake<br>Energy Center                                  | 10,218                                     | <1   | City of<br>Chesapeake  |
| VA0000005102300003 | Roanoke Cement<br>Company   | 2,393                                      | 2,300                                      | Botetourt<br>County    |
| VA0000005107100004 | Celanese Acetate LLC  | 7,120                                      | 845  | Giles<br>County        |
| VA0000005108300046 | Dominion/ODEC – Clover<br>Power Station                                 | 2,084                                      | 1,774                                      | Halifax<br>County      |
| VA0000005107100001 | Lhoist North America -<br>Kimballton Plant                              | 6,294                                      | 6,118                                      | Giles<br>County        |

| Table 2: | Virginia | Facilities | Emitting | At Least | 2,000 T | ons of SO <sub>2</sub> | in 2014 |
|----------|----------|------------|----------|----------|---------|------------------------|---------|
|----------|----------|------------|----------|----------|---------|------------------------|---------|

DEQ notified EPA of the method chosen by each facility for the characterization of peak one-hour SO<sub>2</sub> concentrations to demonstrate compliance with the 2010 SO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS on June 28, 2016. Table 3 contains that information, along with the date on which DEQ supplied EPA with the air quality dispersion modeling protocol for cases where the facility chose modeling as the compliance tool. Pursuant to 40 CFR §51.103(c)(1), the final Annual Monitoring Network Plan dated June 23, 2016, and approved by EPA on November 10, 2016, contained all relevant information about the monitoring sites for the three facilities that chose the monitoring methodology to demonstrate compliance.

| Federal ID         | Facility   | Methodology | Protocol<br>Submittal Date<br>to EPA, if<br>applicable |
|--------------------|--|-------------|--|
| VA0000005112100006 | US Army – RAAP   | Limitation  |  |
| VA0000005102700004 | Jewell Coke Company LLP  | Modeling    | 6/27/2016  |
| VA0000005116700003 | American Electric Power - Clinch River<br>Plant                      | Limitation  |  |
| VA0000005158000003 | WestRock Virginia Corporation –<br>Covington (formerly MeadWestvaco) | Monitoring  |  |
| VA0000005119900001 | Dominion – Yorktown Power Station                                    | Modeling    | 6/27/2016  |
| VA0000005104100002 | Dominion - Chesterfield Power Station                                | Modeling    | 6/27/2016  |
| VA000005155000026  | Dominion – Chesapeake Energy Center                                  | Limitation  |  |
| VA0000005102300003 | Roanoke Cement Company   | Monitoring  |  |
| VA0000005107100004 | Celanese Acetate LLC   | Limitation  |  |
| VA0000005108300046 | Dominion/ODEC – Clover Power Station                                 | Modeling    | 6/27/2016  |
| VA0000005107100001 | Lhoist North America - Kimballton Plant                              | Monitoring  |  |

 Table 3: Methodology for Compliance Demonstrations

The DRR next requires states to submit modeling analyses by January 13, 2017, for those facilities that chose to demonstrate compliance with the 2010 SO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS using air quality dispersion modeling. States must also submit documentation supporting limitations on those facilities that chose to accept SO<sub>2</sub> emission constraints of less than 2,000 tpy. This submittal contains the required information and supports the designation recommendations.

In a July 22, 2016, memorandum entitled, "Area Designations for the 2010 Primary Sulfur Dioxide National Ambient Air Quality Standard – Round 3," EPA invited states to update area recommendations made in 2011 for all areas not installing monitoring networks. These recommendations will inform EPA's final designations for these areas. EPA must finalize these designations by December 31, 2017, to meet the requirements of the March 2, 2015, consent decree filed with the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California.<sup>1</sup> The July 22, 2016, memorandum also references the factors discussed in Attachment 2 of the March 20, 2015, memorandum entitled, "Updated Guidance for Area Designations for the 2010 Primary Sulfur Dioxide National Ambient Air Quality Standards." In this document, EPA provides five factors as a framework for area-specific analyses to support boundary determinations for the 2010 SO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS. These five factors are:

- Ambient air quality data or dispersion modeling results;
- Emissions-related data, including the location of sources and potential contributions to ambient SO<sub>2</sub> concentrations;
- Meteorology, including weather and transport patterns;
- Geography and topography, such as mountain ranges or other air basin boundaries; and
- Jurisdictional boundaries, such as counties, air districts, pre-existing nonattainment areas, and metropolitan planning areas.

The following sections provide information on the relevant factors for Virginia jurisdictions and facilities to support the recommended designations of attainment/unclassifiable for the jurisdictions listed in Table 1. This information also includes the required data elements due to EPA on January 13, 2017, as mandated by the DRR.

## III. Virginia SO<sub>2</sub> Monitoring Network

Figure 2 shows the Virginia SO<sub>2</sub> monitoring network. Monitors denoted with a green triangle are ambient air quality monitors. Other than the Fairfax County monitor, which began operation in 2014, these sites have at least three years of data to support design value calculations. These monitoring sites meet EPA's siting criteria (40 CFR Part 58, Appendices D and E) and conform to EPA guidance documents and generally accepted air quality monitoring practices.

Monitoring sites denoted with a red triangle are  $SO_2$  monitors designed to characterize the maximum one-hour  $SO_2$  concentrations from facilities applicable to the DRR. These source-specific air quality monitoring networks are located in Giles County for Lhoist North America - Kimballton Plant, Montgomery County for Roanoke Cement Company, and the City of Covington for WestRock Virginia Corporation – Covington. The monitors in these source-specific networks began gathering data January 2017, and therefore these sites do not currently have the data necessary to develop a design value.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> <u>http://www.csg.org/aapca\_site/news/documents/SO2ConsentDecreeAsEntered.pdf</u>

The DEQ - Air Quality Monitoring (AQM) division quality assures all data gathered from the Virginia air quality monitoring network in accordance with federal requirements noted in 40 CFR Part 58, Appendix A. The data are published annually in the *Virginia Ambient Air Monitoring Data Report* and are available from the DEQ website at <a href="http://www.deq.virginia.gov/Programs/Air/AirMonitoring/Publications.aspx">http://www.deq.virginia.gov/Programs/Air/AirMonitoring/Publications.aspx</a>.



Figure 2: Virginia SO<sub>2</sub> Monitoring Network Operated by DEQ

Table 4 provides the 2013-2015 design values for each of the sites shown in Figure 2 that have available data (green triangles). Table 5 shows the 99<sup>th</sup> percentile of the one-hour, daily maximum values for years 2010-2015. These values are well under the 75 ppb standard, providing a significant buffer to protect public health.

| Facility Location                 | Site ID/<br>Station<br>Number | 2013-2015<br>Design Value | 2012-2014<br>Design Value | 2011-2013<br>Design Value | 2010-2012<br>Design Value |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| Charles City County               | 51-036-0002<br>72-B           | 29 ppb                    | 27 ppb                    | 29 ppb                    | 34 ppb                    |
| Fairfax County/Lee Park*          | 51-059-0030<br>46-B9          | 10 ppb                    | 11 ppb                    |                           |                           |
| Henrico County                    | 51-087-0014<br>72-M           | 8 ppb                     | 7 ppb                     | 11 ppb                    | 16 ppb                    |
| Roanoke County/Vinton             | 51-161-1004<br>19-A6          | 5 ppb                     | 5 ppb                     | 6 ppb                     | 8 ppb                     |
| Rockingham<br>County/Harrisonburg | 51-165-0003<br>26-F           | 5 ppb                     | 5 ppb                     | 5 ppb                     | 6 ppb                     |
| City of Hampton/NASA              | 51-650-0008<br>179-K          | 36 ppb                    | 37 ppb                    | 37 ppb                    | 39 ppb                    |
| City of Norfolk/NOAA              | 51-710-0024<br>181-A1         | 34 ppb                    | 48 ppb                    | 54 ppb                    | 55 ppb                    |

Table 4: Monitoring Network Design Values

\*Monitor does not yet have three years of data for complete design value calculation.

|                                   | Site ID/              | 99   | th Percent | ile of Daily | Maximun | n Values, | ppb  |  |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|------|------------|--------------|---------|-----------|------|--|
| Facility Location                 | Station<br>Number     | 2015 | 2014       | 2013         | 2012    | 2011      | 2010 |  |
| Charles City County               | 51-036-0002<br>72-B   | 29   | 29         | 30           | 21      | 38        | 44   |  |
| Fairfax County/Lee Park*          | 51-059-0030<br>46-B9  | 9    | 11         |              |         |           |      |  |
| Henrico County                    | 51-087-0014<br>72-M   | 8    | 8          | 6            | 8       | 21        | 20   |  |
| Roanoke County/Vinton             | 51-161-1004<br>19-A6  | 5    | 6          | 6            | 5       | 9         | 10   |  |
| Rockingham<br>County/Harrisonburg | 51-165-0003<br>26-F   | 3    | 7          | 4            | 4       | 6         | 8    |  |
| City of Hampton/NASA              | 51-650-0008<br>179-K  | 30   | 41         | 38           | 33      | 39        | 45   |  |
| City of Norfolk/NOAA              | 51-710-0024<br>181-A1 | 13   | 36         | 52           | 56      | 54        |      |  |

Table 5: Daily Maximum Values, 2010-2015

\*Monitor does not yet have three years of data for complete design value calculation.

Section IV discusses the SO<sub>2</sub> emission reductions achieved in Virginia over the past several years. These emission reductions, combined with reduced transport from upwind states as discussed in Section V, have lowered the ambient concentrations of SO<sub>2</sub> significantly. Figure 3 provides SO<sub>2</sub> monitoring data since year 2000 for monitoring locations throughout Virginia. This figure demonstrates the long-term, downward trend in SO<sub>2</sub> monitoring values experienced by every Virginia SO<sub>2</sub> monitoring site due to SO<sub>2</sub> emissions reductions both within and outside of the Commonwealth.



Figure 3: Historical SO<sub>2</sub> Monitoring Data

Virginia's 2016 Annual Monitoring Network Review contains additional information about the monitoring installations, shown as red triangles in Figure 2, for the three facilities that chose to perform air quality monitoring to determine compliance with the 2010 SO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS. As described in EPA guidance, these facilities used source-specific air quality modeling to determine the location of the SO<sub>2</sub> monitors.

#### IV. Virginia Emissions Inventory

In Virginia, the majority of the SO<sub>2</sub> emissions originate from fuel combustion, mainly in the point source sector. Table 6 provides the Tier 1 SO<sub>2</sub> emission summaries supplied by EPA's NEI database. This table shows that the electrical generating unit (EGU) sector (noted as Tier 1 ID=1) and the industrial fuel combustion sector (noted as Tier 1 ID=2) account for 84%, 77%, and 53% of Virginia SO<sub>2</sub> emissions in years 2008, 2011, and 2014, respectively. Emissions from fuel combustion in the electric utility sector and the industrial sector have decreased markedly. This decrease is the result of SO<sub>2</sub> controls being installed on EGUs burning coal, coal- and residual oil-fired boiler retirements, and the use of cleaner fuels in fuel-burning equipment. For larger emitting units, these changes are supported by federally- and state-enforceable requirements in consent decrees or permits.

| Catagory                                  | Tior 1 ID | SO <sub>2</sub> Emissions in Virginia, tpy |            |           |  |
|---|-----------|--|------------|-----------|--|
| Category                                  | THEFT ID  | 2008                                       | 2011       | 2014**    |  |
| Fuel Combustion, Electric Utility (EGUs)  | 1         | 142,654.13                                 | 69,077.37  | 30,391.42 |  |
| Fuel Combustion, Industrial               | 2         | 27,615.90                                  | 14,348.98  | 10,441.38 |  |
| Fuel Combustion, Other                    | 3         | 7,337.80                                   | 4,883.72   | 2,929.72  |  |
| Chemical and Allied Product Manufacturing | 4         | 999.39                                     | 203.37     | 3,314.69  |  |
| Metals Processing                         | 5         | 5,280.46                                   | 5,196.48   | 5,092.38  |  |
| Petroleum and Related Industries          | 6         | 122.33                                     | 58.65      | 295.26    |  |
| Other Industrial Processes                | 7         | 9,282.18                                   | 7,028.31   | 17,899.47 |  |
| Storage and Transport                     | 9         | 1.24                                       | 0.31       | 1.01      |  |
| Waste Disposal and Recycling              | 10        | 0.08                                       | 0.03       | 1,933.37  |  |
| Highway Vehicles                          | 11        | 1,851.64                                   | 1,469.38   | 825.00    |  |
| Off-Highway                               | 12        | 935.34                                     | 712.75     | 1,995.28  |  |
| Miscellaneous                             | 14        | 4,492.87                                   | 3,354.62   | 1,558.36  |  |
| Total:                                    |           | 202,079.85                                 | 107,820.63 | 76,677.34 |  |

Table 6: Virginia SO<sub>2</sub> Emissions, NEI\*

\*Data Source: <u>https://www.epa.gov/air-emissions-inventories/national-emissions-inventory-nei</u>

\*\*2014 NEI is currently a draft version.

#### IV. A. Virginia Point Sources

DEQ maintains the Comprehensive Environmental Data System (CEDS), which tracks emissions annually from all Title V facilities within the Commonwealth. The system also tracks emissions from point sources that meet other registration requirements so that the universe of facilities and units tracked within CEDS is much broader than that included as point sources in the NEI. For 2015, CEDS contains emissions data for approximately 3,085 facilities listed as qualifying and active. Data in this system must be certified by the facility as true and complete to the best of their knowledge, and DEQ staff checks each submittal for quality assurance purposes. Figure 4 provides a summary of annual SO<sub>2</sub> emissions from the CEDS database in tpy from 2006 through 2015. Point source emissions have decreased from just over 250,000 tons in 2006 to just under 45,000 tons in 2015, a reduction of more than 80%.



Figure 4: Virginia SO<sub>2</sub> Annual Emissions from Point Sources, tpy

#### IV. B. Virginia Acid Rain Units

The majority of the units in the EGU category report hourly emissions to the Clean Air Markets Division (CAMD) of EPA as required by the Acid Rain Program and 40 CFR Part 75. These Acid Rain Program units are a subset of the overall universe of units that report to CEDS annually. Figure 5 provides the annual emissions and emission rates of SO<sub>2</sub> from the Acid Rain Program EGUs in Virginia as well as the annual heat input to these units based on the data each facility supplies to CAMD.



Figure 5: Virginia Acid Rain Program SO<sub>2</sub> Emissions, 2002-2015

Emission reductions from this source sector are attributable to a number of factors, including control programs such as the federal Mercury and Air Toxics Rule; retirement of older, high-emitting units; and the construction and operation of new, very low-emitting units. Between 2002 and 2015, Virginia SO<sub>2</sub> emissions and emission rates decreased significantly in this source sector, as shown in Figure 5. Emissions in tpy and emission rates in pounds per million British thermal units (lbs/mmbtu) decreased 94% during this period even though heat input activity, depicted by the yellow line in Figure 5, remained relatively constant.

## IV. C. Virginia Combined Inventory by Jurisdiction

To analyze emissions on a jurisdictional basis, Appendix A contains emissions from each of the four emissions categories (point, area, non-road and on-road) by jurisdiction. The area and non-road sector data are from the 2011 NEI database since these data are the most current, final NEI data available. To augment this data with the most current point source information, Appendix A includes 2015 point source SO<sub>2</sub> emissions estimates from CEDS and segregated by jurisdiction. The jurisdiction-specific 2011 on-road data supplied in Appendix A are the product of Virginia-specific inputs and the MOVES2014 inventory tool. This methodology combines the most recent data available from each of the source sectors to provide the most accurate depiction possible of Virginia SO<sub>2</sub> emissions by sector and jurisdiction.

Figure 6 shows Virginia jurisdictions and their overall  $SO_2$  emissions from the Appendix A analysis. Figure 6 has 12 jurisdictions colored orange, which indicates emissions of greater than 1,000 tpy  $SO_2$  in the Appendix A analysis.

Table 7 lists these specific jurisdictions in Virginia with at least 1,000 tpy  $SO_2$  in this analysis and the total emissions for each sector across Virginia. These 12 jurisdictions, indicated by the orange color in Figure 6, account for 41,657 tons of  $SO_2$  out of the total Virginia sum of 55,954 tons, about 74% of the total emissions in Appendix A. The majority of the emissions are from the point source sector, the data for which is derived from the CEDS database. The point source sector from these 12 jurisdictions accounts for 39,704 tpy of  $SO_2$ , 71% of the total Virginia emissions of 55,954 tpy in Appendix A.



Figure 6: Appendix A Total SO<sub>2</sub> Emissions by Jurisdiction

| Jurisdiction                       | FIPS | Point     | Area     | On Road | Non-Road | Total     |
|------------------------------------|------|-----------|----------|---------|----------|-----------|
| Botetourt County                   | 023  | 2,357.73  | 43.17    | 7.59    | 0.50     | 2,408.99  |
| Buchanan County                    | 027  | 4,871.02  | 22.52    | 2.25    | 0.25     | 4,896.04  |
| Chesterfield County                | 041  | 2,686.54  | 248.80   | 30.96   | 2.76     | 2,969.05  |
| Covington City                     | 580  | 6,229.73  | 17.47    | 0.37    | 0.11     | 6,247.68  |
| Fairfax County                     | 059  | 154.71    | 806.89   | 89.07   | 8.87     | 1,059.54  |
| Giles County                       | 071  | 7,433.38  | 18.62    | 1.87    | 0.17     | 7,454.05  |
| Halifax County                     | 083  | 1,798.38  | 31.72    | 3.52    | 0.55     | 1,834.17  |
| Hopewell City                      | 670  | 2,283.95  | 18.68    | 1.02    | 0.14     | 2,303.79  |
| Montgomery County                  | 121  | 3,449.48  | 89.88    | 10.39   | 1.08     | 3,550.82  |
| Prince William County              | 153  | 1,817.08  | 240.93   | 31.89   | 3.91     | 2,093.81  |
| Russell County                     | 167  | 2,071.68  | 28.89    | 3.00    | 0.24     | 2,103.80  |
| York County                        | 199  | 4,550.33  | 176.23   | 7.25    | 1.02     | 4,734.83  |
| 12 Jurisdiction Total<br>Emissions |      | 39,704.01 | 1,744    | 189.18  | 19.60    | 41,656.67 |
| Total Virginia<br>Emissions:       |      | 45,801.02 | 9,257.56 | 798.17  | 97.06    | 55,953.86 |

Table 7: Jurisdictions with more than 1,000 tpy of SO<sub>2</sub> Emissions

As discussed in Section VI and as shown in Table 2, most of these jurisdictions contain facilities that emitted at least 2,000 tpy of SO<sub>2</sub> in 2014 and are therefore subject to the DRR. If the DRR facility does not take a permit limit to reduce emissions to less than 2,000 tpy SO<sub>2</sub>, the facility must conduct air quality modeling or air quality monitoring to demonstrate compliance with the 2010 SO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS. Table 8 compares these data to illustrate the fact that the 11 DRR facilities listed in Table 2 account for the majority of the emissions, between 89% and 99%, in each of the jurisdictions listed in Table 7.

|                          | Арре     | ndix A Inv | entory Ar  | nalysis, t   | py SO₂   |                                   | DRR<br>Escility                          | DRR % of                        |      |          |          |     |     |
|--------------------------|----------|------------|------------|--------------|----------|-----------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|------|----------|----------|-----|-----|
| Jurisdiction             | Point    | Area       | On<br>Road | Non-<br>Road | Total    | DRR Facilities in<br>Jurisdiction | SO <sub>2</sub><br>Emissions<br>for 2015 | Emissions<br>in<br>Jurisdiction |      |          |          |     |     |
| Botetourt County         | 2,357.73 | 43.17      | 7.59       | 0.50         | 2,408.99 | Roanoke Cement<br>Company         | 2,300                                    | 95%                             |      |          |          |     |     |
| Buchanan County          | 4,871.02 | 22.52      | 2.25       | 0.25         | 4,896.04 | Jewell Coke Company               | 4,845                                    | 99%                             |      |          |          |     |     |
| Chesterfield<br>County   | 2,686.54 | 248.80     | 30.96      | 2.76         | 2,969.05 | Chesterfield Power<br>Station     | 2,547                                    | 86%                             |      |          |          |     |     |
| Covington City           | 6,229.73 | 17.47      | 0.37       | 0.11         | 6,247.68 | West Rock Virginia                | 6,230                                    | 99%                             |      |          |          |     |     |
| Fairfax County           | 154.71   | 806.89     | 89.07      | 8.87         | 1,059.54 | n/a                               | n/a                                      | n/a                             |      |          |          |     |     |
| Giles County             | 7 /33 38 | 7 433 38   | 7 433 38   | 7,433,38     | 7,433,38 | 7,433,38                          | ntv 7,433,38 18,62 1                     | 1 87                            | 0 17 | 7 454 05 | Celanese | 845 | 93% |
| Clies County             | 1,400.00 | 10.02      | 1.07       | 0.17         | .,       | Lhoist North America              | 6,118                                    | 50%                             |      |          |          |     |     |
| Halifax County           | 1,798.38 | 31.72      | 3.52       | 0.55         | 1,834.17 | Clover Power Station              | 1,774                                    | 97%                             |      |          |          |     |     |
| Hopewell City            | 2,283.95 | 18.68      | 1.02       | 0.14         | 2,303.79 | n/a                               | n/a                                      | n/a                             |      |          |          |     |     |
| Montgomery<br>County     | 3,449.48 | 89.88      | 10.39      | 1.08         | 3,550.82 | RAAP                              | 3,166                                    | 89%                             |      |          |          |     |     |
| Prince William<br>County | 1,817.08 | 240.93     | 31.89      | 3.91         | 2,093.81 | n/a                               | n/a                                      | n/a                             |      |          |          |     |     |
| Russell County           | 2,071.68 | 28.89      | 3.00       | 0.24         | 2,103.80 | Clinch River Plant                | 2,059                                    | 98%                             |      |          |          |     |     |
| York County              | 4,550.33 | 176.23     | 7.25       | 1.02         | 4,734.83 | York Power Station                | 4,549                                    | 96%                             |      |          |          |     |     |

Table 8: Top Emitting Jurisdictions and DRR Facilities

Three jurisdictions within the Appendix A analysis had total emissions of more than 1,000 tpy  $SO_2$  and did not contain any DRR facilities. Sources with more than 50 tpy of  $SO_2$  in 2014 within the City of Hopewell are part of the emissions inventory associated with that modeling effort and are considered within Chesterfield Power Station's modeling results showing compliance with the 2010  $SO_2$  NAAQS. This effort is discussed further in Section VI. B. 1.

Fairfax County has emissions of just over 1,000 tpy  $SO_2$ . However, the majority of these emissions (76%) are in the area source sector, which is heavily dependent on population data for emissions estimates. Fairfax County is the most populous jurisdiction in Virginia. For 2015, the population estimate for Fairfax County is

1,129,330 people, while Virginia's overall population estimate is 8,382,993 people.<sup>2</sup> One of 134 jurisdictions in Virginia, Fairfax County nonetheless accounts for over 13% of the total population within the Commonwealth. Best current inventory practice, therefore, assigns Fairfax County significant fuel burning activity at the residential level, and this methodology results in a very conservative estimate of SO<sub>2</sub> emissions for this jurisdiction in the area source sector.

Prince William County's SO<sub>2</sub> emissions estimates are dominated by a single point source facility, Possum Point Power Station. However, Possum Point Power Station is a predominantly natural gas-fired operation. The one large unit that operates at Possum Point Power Station on a fuel other than natural gas is Unit 5, which is subject to the Mercury and Air Toxics Rule and burns residual oil. Possum Point Power Station limits the annual usage of Unit 5 to less than 8% of its capacity to comply with requirements in the Mercury and Air Toxics Rule.

To illustrate the size of the  $SO_2$  emissions from the units considered by the DRR, Figure 7 shows the map of Virginia jurisdictions. However, in this case the data does not include those facilities that are considered discreetly within DRR air quality modeling exercises, and the data has also been adjusted for limitations applied to facilities' potential to emit (PTE) of SO<sub>2</sub>. As shown in this figure, remaining counties with any significant SO<sub>2</sub> emissions are those with facilities that have chosen to install air quality monitoring systems. These facilities are located in Botetourt County, Giles County and the City of Covington.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> <u>http://www.coopercenter.org/demographics/virginia-population-estimates</u>



Figure 7: Appendix A SO<sub>2</sub> Emissions by Jurisdiction, Adjusted for DRR Modeling Facilities and PTE Thresholds

#### V. Regional Emission Reductions

Significant regional emission reductions have decreased the transport of SO<sub>2</sub> into Virginia. Table 9 provides the 2008, 2011, and draft 2014 NEI SO<sub>2</sub> emissions data summarized for the states within the Southeastern States Air Resource Managers (SESARM) organization. This organization consists of Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Tennessee, Mississippi, North Carolina, South Carolina, West Virginia, and Virginia. The NEI estimates reductions of 1,984,935 tons of SO<sub>2</sub> between 2008 and 2014 from these states, using draft 2014 NEI data.

| Category                                 | Tier 1<br>ID | 2008 NEI<br>Emissions,<br>tpy | 2011 NEI<br>Emissions,<br>tpy | 2014 NEI<br>Emissions,<br>tpy |
|--|--------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Fuel Combustion, Electric Utility (EGUs) | 1            | 2,613,553                     | 1,191,386                     | 838,335                       |
| Fuel Combustion, Industrial              | 2            | 272,261                       | 177,124                       | 142,641                       |
| Fuel Combustion, Other                   | 3            | 40,023                        | 27,359                        | 17,153                        |

#### Table 9: NEI SO<sub>2</sub> Emissions, SESARM States

| Category                                  | Tier 1<br>ID  | 2008 NEI<br>Emissions,<br>tpy | 2011 NEI<br>Emissions,<br>tpy | 2014 NEI<br>Emissions,<br>tpy |  |
|---|---------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Chemical and Allied Product Manufacturing | 4             | 45,464                        | 39,482                        | 36,467                        |  |
| Metals Processing                         | 5             | 41,021                        | 33,405                        | 28,771                        |  |
| Petroleum and Related Industries          | 6             | 33,585                        | 34,321                        | 14,688                        |  |
| Other Industrial Processes                | 7             | 65,535                        | 44,820                        | 64,404                        |  |
| Storage and Transport                     | 8             | 27                            | 48                            | 21                            |  |
| Waste Disposal and Recycling              | 9             | 124                           | 90                            | 67                            |  |
| Highway Vehicles                          | 10            | 6,464                         | 3,971                         | 8,518                         |  |
| Off-Highway                               | 11            | 9,364                         | 8,037                         | 8,058                         |  |
| Miscellaneous                             | 12            | 40,943                        | 34,422                        | 22,815                        |  |
| Fuel Combustion, Electric Utility (EGUs)  | 14            | 37,966                        | 41,171                        | 39,458                        |  |
| SESAR                                     | SESARM Total: |                               |                               |                               |  |

2014 NEI is currently a draft version.

The SESARM Acid Rain Program EGUs reporting to CAMD, a subset of the NEI emissions inventory, have reduced emissions significantly. Figure 8 shows an emissions and emissions rate analysis for the states within the SESARM organization using CAMD data. Between 2002 and 2015, SO<sub>2</sub> emissions from the Acid Rain Program units within these states have dropped from over 3,700,000 tons to about 514,000 tons, an 86% reduction. Heat input, however, has remained relatively constant during this timeframe. These reductions mean that Virginia is receiving less transported SO<sub>2</sub>.



Figure 8: SESARM States Acid Rain Program SO<sub>2</sub> Emissions, 2002-2015

### VI. Facilities in Virginia above 2,000 tons of SO<sub>2</sub>

The DRR required states to identify facilities emitting more than 2,000 tons of SO<sub>2</sub> in 2014. These facilities, listed in Table 2, must accept federally enforceable limits on their PTE of SO<sub>2</sub>, must perform air quality modeling showing compliance with the 2010 SO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS, or must install and operate an air quality monitoring network to demonstrate compliance with the 2010 SO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS.

## VI. A. Facilities Receiving Emission Limits

The following facilities chose to accept limitations on their PTE of  $SO_2$  to demonstrate compliance with the requirements in the DRR. The limitations reflect changes in operation at each facility that have drastically reduced or will greatly reduce  $SO_2$  emissions.

## VI. A. 1. US Army - Radford Army Ammunition Plant

The US Army – Radford Army Ammunition Plant (RAAP) is a United States Department of Defense facility operated by BAE System Ordnance Systems in Montgomery County. The facility manufactures specialty munitions, propellants, and chemicals. Emissions of SO<sub>2</sub> are mainly from coal combustion in process steam boilers. The facility is installing natural gas boilers with limited use of back up distillate oil to replace the coal-fired boilers. The new boilers received an air permit from DEQ on March 22, 2016, and the permit mandates the permanent shutdown of the coal-fired boilers. After the new, natural gas-fired units begin operations, the facility-wide calculated potential to emit of SO<sub>2</sub> will be 32.3 tpy. Until the boiler replacement project is complete, the permit also contains a condition effective January 1, 2017, that limits the use of coal at the facility to 30,000 tpy. This constraint limits the SO<sub>2</sub> PTE of the facility to 1,062.3 tpy until the new, natural gas-fired units begin operations. Appendix B contains the March 22, 2016, permit.

## VI. A. 2. Celanese Acetate, LLC

This facility primarily manufactures cellulose acetate flake and fiber from acetic acid and cellulose/wood pulp. The majority of  $SO_2$  emissions from this facility originated within the steam plant from the combustion of coal in seven large boilers. Celanese permanently retired these boilers in the fall of 2015 due to the installation and operation of six new, natural gas-fired units. DEQ issued the permit to construct and operate these new units on December 6, 2012. Appendix C contains this permit. The PTE of  $SO_2$  for this facility due to the federally enforceable limits within this permit is 6.6 tpy.

## VI. A. 3. Dominion - Chesapeake Power Station

This facility produces electrical power. The facility retired four coal-fired boilers and the associated coal and ash handling operations on December 23, 2014. These four boilers were the largest SO<sub>2</sub> emitters at the facility. Four simple cycle combustion turbines burning natural gas or distillate fuel oil, each with a capacity of 15 MW or less, and several emergency generators remain on site. The facility's Title V permit dated March 22, 2016, reflects these operational changes. Appendix D contains the relevant pages of this permit as well as a spreadsheet showing the PTE calculations. The PTE of SO<sub>2</sub> for this facility is 1,893 tpy. Actual emissions from these units are much lower. Emissions of SO<sub>2</sub> in 2015 were less than one ton facility-wide.

## VI. A. 4. American Electric Power - Clinch River Plant

This facility produces electrical power. The facility retired one coal-fired boiler and switched two coal-fired boilers to the exclusive use of natural gas. The facility received a permit from DEQ for these changes on August 3, 2016. Appendix E contains this permit. As noted in Condition 10, the PTE of  $SO_2$  for the facility after these changes is 813 tpy. Actual emissions from the operation of the natural gas units are expected to be much lower than this value.

## VI. B. Facilities Opting for Air Quality Modeling

Under the DRR, facilities emitting more than 2,000 tons of SO<sub>2</sub> in 2014 could elect to use air quality modeling to characterize SO<sub>2</sub> air quality in the surrounding area. Virginia had four facilities choose this course of action. As required by the DRR, DEQ supplied EPA the air quality modeling protocols for each of these facilities on June 27, 2016. DEQ has also supplied to EPA, via electronic submittal on January 12, 2017, the final modeling analysis for each facility. The following sections provide an overview of each analysis. Each of these analyses used EPA's "SO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS Designations Modeling Technical Assistance Document" (TAD) to develop modeling procedures. Included within each modeled SO<sub>2</sub> design value is a background concentration derived using air quality monitoring data from a representative DEQ monitoring site. The monitoring data may be partially influenced by emissions from nearby SO<sub>2</sub> sources, and many of these nearby sources are also explicitly modeled in the analyses. Inclusion of a background concentration in the modeled SO<sub>2</sub> design value likely provides additional conservatism in the results.

## VI. B. 1. Dominion - Chesterfield Power Station

Dominion's Chesterfield Power Station is located about 15 miles south of Richmond in Chesterfield County. The Station has the capability of generating more than 1,600 megawatts (MW) from four coal/oil-fired boilers and two natural gas/oil-fired

combined cycle combustion turbines. Flue gas desulfurization units control SO<sub>2</sub> emissions from the four coal-fired boilers.

Nearby sources of SO<sub>2</sub> within 20 kilometers of the Chesterfield Power Station that emitted at least 50 tpy of total actual SO<sub>2</sub> emissions were explicitly modeled in the analysis. Table 10 provides information on these units. This methodology resulted in an additional 30 units at other facilities being included as inputs to the model. These 30 units represent 96% of the actual SO<sub>2</sub> emissions in 2014 within 20 kilometers of the Chesterfield Power Station. They account for approximately 3,652 tpy of actual SO<sub>2</sub> emissions, beyond the 2,181 tpy in 2014 associated with the Chesterfield Power Station.

| Plant Name                                  | Jurisdiction        | Stack<br>Number              | 2014 SO <sub>2</sub> Actual<br>Emissions (tpy) |
|---|---------------------|------------------------------|--|
| Chemours James River Plant                  | Chesterfield County | 2                            | 50.58  |
| Philip Morris USA Inc – Park 500            | Chesterfield County | 1002                         | 8.52   |
| Philip Morris USA Inc – Park 500            | Chesterfield County | 1003                         | 89.46  |
|   | 148.56              |                              |  |
| Honeywell Resins and Chemicals LLC-Hopewell | City of Hopewell    | 102                          | 5.03   |
| Honeywell Resins and Chemicals LLC-Hopewell | City of Hopewell    | 103                          | 1.88   |
| Honeywell Resins and Chemicals LLC-Hopewell | City of Hopewell    | 108                          | 127.52   |
| Honeywell Resins and Chemicals LLC-Hopewell | City of Hopewell    | 622                          | 2.3  |
| Honeywell Resins and Chemicals LLC-Hopewell | City of Hopewell    | 905                          | 27.03  |
| Honeywell Resins and Chemicals LLC-Hopewell | City of Hopewell    | 906                          | 22.87  |
| Honeywell Resins and Chemicals LLC-Hopewell | City of Hopewell    | 909                          | 1.2  |
| RockTenn CP LLC – Hopewell                  | City of Hopewell    | 1                            | 9.51   |
| RockTenn CP LLC – Hopewell                  | City of Hopewell    | 2                            | 519.87   |
| RockTenn CP LLC – Hopewell                  | City of Hopewell    | 4                            | 9.06   |
| James River Genco LLC                       | City of Hopewell    | 1                            | 1,179.20                                       |
| James River Genco LLC                       | City of Hopewell    | 2                            | 763.6  |
| Hopewell Cogeneration Ltd Partnership       | City of Hopewell    | 1                            | 20.24  |
| Hopewell Cogeneration Ltd Partnership       | City of Hopewell    | 2                            | 10.55  |
| Hopewell Cogeneration Ltd Partnership       | City of Hopewell    | 3                            | 12.13  |
| Dominion-Hopewell Power Station             | City of Hopewell    | 1                            | 6.5  |
|   | 2,718.49            |                              |  |
| Philip Morris USA Manufacturing Center      | City of Richmond    | 1                            | 139.64   |
| Dominion – Bellemeade Power Station         | City of Richmond    | 1                            | 22.3   |
| Dominion – Bellemeade Power Station         | City of Richmond    | 2                            | 19.4   |
| Spruance Genco LLC                          | City of Richmond    | 1                            | 152.29   |
| Spruance Genco LLC                          | City of Richmond    | 2                            | 134.64   |
| Spruance Genco LLC                          | City of Richmond    | 3                            | 144.13   |
| Spruance Genco LLC                          | City of Richmond    | 4                            | 153.42   |
|   | mond Subtotal:      | 765.82                       |  |
| Dominion – Darbytown CT Station             | Henrico County      | 1                            | 5.4  |
| Dominion – Darbytown CT Station             | Henrico County      | 2                            | 4.3  |
| Dominion – Darbytown CT Station             | Henrico County      | 3                            | 4.7  |
| Dominion – Darbytown CT Station             | Henrico County      | 4                            | 4.9  |
|   | Henrico C           | ounty Subtotal:              | 19.3   |
|   | Total Addition      | al Tons of SO <sub>2</sub> : | 3,652.17                                       |

 Table 10: Units Included in the Chesterfield Power Station Modeling Analysis

Figure 9 provides the locations of the additional  $SO_2$  sources in relation to Chesterfield Power Station. While the City of Hopewell had no single facility that emitted at least 2,000 tpy of  $SO_2$  in 2014, the multiple smaller emitters in the City of Hopewell, which combined emitted more than 2,000 tpy of  $SO_2$  in 2014, are included in the Chesterfield Power Station's modeling analysis as background sources.



Figure 9: Location of Nearby SO<sub>2</sub> Emission Sources

Figure 10 shows the modeling receptor grid for the Chesterfield Power Station. This grid covers the City of Hopewell and the City of Colonial Heights entirely. The grid covers a significant portion of the City of Richmond, City of Petersburg, eastern Henrico County, eastern Chesterfield County, and western Charles City County. These portions contain the majority of the industrial point sources for each listed jurisdiction. The grid also covers portions of Dinwiddie County, Prince George County, Hanover County, and New Kent County.

The grid shown in Figure 10 extends 20 kilometers (12.4 miles). The maximum modeled design concentration is located approximately 15 kilometers southeast of the Chesterfield Power Station, in the City of Hopewell.



Figure 10: Chesterfield Power Station Modeling Grid and Results

Table 11 provides a summary of the results, showing a significant buffer between the 2010 SO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS and the modeled design value. The cumulative modeled design value from all sources was 138.09  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>; however, the contribution from the Chesterfield Power Station to the maximum design value was minimal (0.0072  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>). The facilities that significantly contribute to the maximum modeled design concentration are located in and near the City of Hopewell. The multiple smaller emitters in the Hopewell area resulted in the maximum modeled design value occurring in the City of Hopewell rather than closer to the Chesterfield Power Station, the primary source in this analysis. Concentrations beyond the City of Hopewell decrease substantially approaching the grid boundary. This illustrates that the grid size was adequate to capture the maximum design value. Another important observation is that the maximum modeled design value is well below the 2010 SO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS.

| Table 11: | Chesterfield | <b>Power Station</b> | Modeling | Analysis | Result | Summary |
|-----------|--------------|----------------------|----------|----------|--------|---------|
|           |              |                      |          |          |        |         |

|                            | Modeled SO <sub>2</sub><br>Concentration | SO <sub>2</sub><br>Background<br>Concentration | Total<br>Concentration   | Percent of<br>NAAQS |
|----------------------------|--|--|--------------------------|---------------------|
| Chesterfield Power Station | 62.53 μg/m <sup>3</sup>                  | 18 µg/m³                                       | 80.53 μg/m <sup>3</sup>  | 41%                 |
| All modeled sources        | 120.09 µg/m³                             | 18 µg/m³                                       | 138.09 µg/m <sup>3</sup> | 70%                 |

Please refer to the detailed report included in the electronic submittal of the modeling files for additional information on this analysis.

## VI. B. 2. Dominion - Yorktown Power Station

The Yorktown Power Station has the capability of generating up to approximately 1,141 MW of electrical output and is located three miles southeast of Yorktown, Virginia, in York County. Two coal-fired boilers and one residual oil-fired boiler produce the majority of the electrical output. Dominion, the owner of the facility, has noted in its most recent Integrated Resource Plan<sup>3</sup> submitted to the Virginia State Corporation Commission on April 29, 2016, that they expect to retire the two coal-fired units in 2017 after completion of additional transmission capacity in the area.

Applicable guidance in the TAD and various EPA modeling clarification memos suggests that nearby sources expected to cause a significant concentration gradient in the vicinity of the primary source should be included in the modeling analysis. Two additional sources emitted enough SO<sub>2</sub> (greater than 50 tpy of actual emissions) to warrant inclusion in the modeling analysis. These sources are the Williamsburg Sewage Treatment Plant and the NASA Steam Plant. Figure 11 provides the location of these units relative to the Yorktown Power Station. Table 12 provides information on

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> https://www.dom.com/about-us/making-energy/2016-integrated-resource-planning

the units at these facilities that were included in the Yorktown Power Station modeling analysis



Figure 11: Location of Nearby SO<sub>2</sub> Emission Sources

| Table 12. | Units Included in the | Yorktown Power | Station | Modeling | Analysis |
|-----------|-----------------------|----------------|---------|----------|----------|
|           |                       |                | otation | modeling | Analysis |

| Plant Name                          | Jurisdiction         | Stack<br>Number | 2014 SO <sub>2</sub> Actual<br>Emissions (tpy) |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|--|
| Williamsburg Sewage Treatment Plant | City of Williamsburg | 1               | 130.70   |
| Hampton/NASA Steam Plant            | City of Hampton      | 1,2             | 80.52  |
|                                     | 211.22               |                 |  |

Figure 12 shows the gridded modeling results for the Yorktown Power Station analysis. The grid covers all of the City of Poquoson; nearly all of York County, the City of Newport News, and the City of Hampton; and portions of Mathews County, Gloucester County, James City County, Surry County, Isle of Wight County, and the City of Williamsburg.



Figure 12: Yorktown Power Station Modeling Grid and Results

The maximum modeled  $SO_2$  design concentration of 192.29 µg/m<sup>3</sup> is located near the Yorktown Power Station. Modeled concentrations substantially decrease toward the boundary of the modeling domain. Table 13 provides a summary of the results of this analysis.

| Modeled SO <sub>2</sub>  | Monitored SO₂ Background | Total         | Percent of |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|---------------|------------|
| Concentration            | Concentration            | Concentration | NAAQS      |
| 180.61 µg/m <sup>3</sup> | 11.68 μg/m³              | 192.29 μg/m³  | 98%        |

The estimated total concentration of 192.29  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> is near the 2010 SO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS. However, Dominion's Integrated Resource Plan suggests that Boilers #1 and #2 will retire in the near future. Additionally, Boiler #3, a residual oil-fired unit, is subject to the Mercury and Air Toxics Standard. The owner chooses to operate the unit no more than 8% of the unit's annual capacity to ensure compliance with this rule. The conservative nature of the modeling analysis coupled with these operational issues ensures that the area is meeting and will continue to meet the 2010 SO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS.

Please refer to the detailed report included in the electronic submittal of the modeling files for additional information on this analysis.

#### VI. B. 3. Dominion - Clover Power Station

Clover Power Station is located in Halifax County within three miles of Clover, Virginia. The facility generates up to approximately 865 MW of electricity from two coalfired boilers. These units are controlled with flue gas desulfurization units.

No nearby sources are expected to cause a significant concentration gradient in the vicinity of the Clover Power Station. Therefore, the analysis does not include any additional sources of  $SO_2$  emissions.

Figure 13 shows the location of the Clover Power Station on a topographical map. Figure 14 illustrates the gridded modeling results. The modeling domain covers large portions of Halifax County, Charlotte County, and Mecklenburg County as well as small portions of Lunenburg County and Campbell County. These counties are sparsely populated and contain few industrial sources.



Figure 13: Location of Clover Power Station



Figure 14: Clover Power Station's Modeling Grid and Results

The maximum estimated SO<sub>2</sub> design concentration of 52.15  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> is located near the Clover Power Station. Concentrations decrease toward the edge of the modeling domain.

Table 14 provides a summary of the results for this analysis. The maximum modeled design value is well below the 2010  $SO_2$  NAAQS.

| Modeled SO <sub>2</sub><br>Concentration | Monitored SO₂<br>Background<br>Concentration | Total Concentration | Percent of NAAQS |  |  |  |
|--|--|---------------------|------------------|--|--|--|
| 38.09 µg/m³                              | 14.06 μg/m³                                  | 52.15 μg/m³         | 27%              |  |  |  |

| Table 14: | <b>Clover Power Station</b> | Modeling     | Analysis    | Result   | Summarv  |
|-----------|-----------------------------|--------------|-------------|----------|----------|
|           |                             | i moaching / | Analy 515 1 | NCOult . | Cannar y |

Please refer to the detailed report included in the electronic submittal of the modeling files for additional information on this analysis.

### VI. B. 4. Jewell Coke Company

Jewell Coke Company operates a non-recovery coke production facility in Buchanan County near Vansant, Virginia. The facility includes 142 coke ovens in six batteries. The main sources of  $SO_2$  emissions are the vent stacks and the coal dryer stack.

No nearby sources are expected to cause a significant concentration gradient in the vicinity of the Jewell Coke Company. Therefore, the analysis does not include any additional sources of  $SO_2$  emissions.

The EPA modeling TAD discusses limited ambient air locations that can be excluded from the modeling analysis based on the criteria that a monitor could not feasibly be placed in these areas. Terrain around the Jewell Coke Company is extremely steep and mountainous. Most of the terrain within 10 kilometers of the facility (and beyond the modeling domain) exhibits terrain slope percentages in excess of 30%, which reasonably precludes the installation of an ambient monitor in those areas. Therefore, the modeling grid excludes these receptors that are located within rugged terrain areas that have slopes equal to or greater than 30%. Figure 15 provides an aerial view of the facility and nearby terrain. Figure 16 provides a portion of the nearby receptor grid used in this analysis, depicting slopes of greater than 30% in blue.



Figure 15: Jewell Coke Company and Surrounding Terrain



Figure 16: Nearby Portion of Jewell Receptor Grid and Terrain Shading

Figure 17 provides the gridded modeling results and jurisdictional boundaries. The modeling domain is located within the boundary of Buchanan County. Concentrations decrease in the modeling domain as distance from the facility increases.



Figure 17: Jewell Receptor Grid and Jurisdiction Boundaries

The maximum modeled design value is located near Jewell Coke Company and is 194.5  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>, 99% of the 2010 SO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS. Table 15 provides a summary of the results for this analysis.

| Modeled SO <sub>2</sub><br>Concentration | Monitored SO <sub>2</sub><br>Background<br>Concentration | Total<br>Concentration | Percent of NAAQS |
|--|--|------------------------|------------------|
| 181.5 μg/m <sup>3</sup>                  | 13.0 μg/m³   | 194.5 µg/m³            | 99%              |

 Table 15: Jewell Coke Company Modeling Analysis Result Summary

Please refer to the detailed report included in the electronic submittal of the modeling files for additional information on this analysis.

#### VI. B. 5. Ongoing Data Requirements in the DRR

The DRR addresses ongoing requirements for facilities and areas using modeling to demonstrate compliance with the 2010 SO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS. Section 51.1205(b) (80 FR 51088) states the following:

§51.1205(b) *Modeled areas.* For any area where modeling of actual SO<sub>2</sub> emissions serve as the basis for designating such area as attainment for the 2010 SO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS, the air agency shall submit an annual report to the EPA Regional Administrator by July 1 of each year, either as a stand alone document made available for public inspection, or as an appendix to its Annual Monitoring Network Plan (also due on July 1 each year under 40 CFR 58.10), that documents the annual SO<sub>2</sub> emissions of each applicable source in each such area and provides an assessment of the cause of any emissions increase from the previous year. The first report for each such area is due by July 1 of the calendar year after the effective date of the area's initial designation.

- (1) The air agency shall include in such report a recommendation regarding whether additional modeling is needed to characterize air quality in any area to determine whether the area meets or does not meet the 2010 SO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS. The EPA Regional Administrator will consider the emissions report and the air agency recommendation, and may require that the air agency conduct updated air quality modeling for the area and submit it to the EPA within 12 months.
- (2) An air agency will no longer be subject to the requirements of this paragraph (b) for a particular area if it provides air

quality modeling demonstrating that air quality values at all receptors in the analysis are not greater than 50 percent of the 1-hour SO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS, and such demonstration is approved by the EPA Regional Administrator.

Based on this information in the DRR, DEQ will submit such information as part of the Annual Monitoring Network Review for Buchanan County/Jewell Coke Company and York County/Yorktown Power Station. These areas and facilities provided demonstrations showing air quality impacts associated with the emissions from the primary source in the modeling exercise to be greater than 50% of the 2010 SO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS.

The air quality analysis summarized in Section VI. B. 1. for Chesterfield Power Station showed air quality impacts associated with the emissions from the Chesterfield Power Station to be less than 50% of the 2010 SO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS. However, the inclusion of additional SO<sub>2</sub> emission sources in the analysis showed impacts greater than 50% near Hopewell, Virginia. Therefore, DEQ will also submit the information described in 40 CFR 51.1205(b) in the Annual Network Monitoring Review for the City of Hopewell. Emissions from the Chesterfield Power Station are not significant at the modeled receptors exceeding 50% of the NAAQS, as noted in Section VI. B. 1. Therefore, this facility will not be included as part of the Annual Network Monitoring Review.

## VI. C. Facilities Installing Monitoring Networks

Virginia may supply further recommendations by December 31, 2020, pending the review of data from source-specific air quality monitoring networks located in Giles County for Lhoist North America - Kimballton Plant, EIS identification number VA0000005107100001; Montgomery County for Roanoke Cement Company, EIS identification number VA0000005102300003; and the City of Covington for WestRock Virginia Corporation – Covington (formerly Meadwestvaco), EIS identification number VA000005158000003.

## VII. Jurisdictions Recommended to be Attainment/Unclassifiable

Of the five factors listed in Attachment 2 within the March 20, 2015, EPA memorandum, this document has provided detailed information on emissions inventory data, ambient air quality monitoring data, and dispersion modeling results. This data has been provided in context of the various jurisdictional boundaries within the Commonwealth of Virginia. Geography and topography are discussed within the air quality modeling analyses associated with those facilities located in mountainous terrain, such as Jewell Coke Company. What is clear from the modeling and monitoring data is that SO<sub>2</sub> concentrations in the ambient air appear to be directly proportional to

proximity of SO<sub>2</sub> emissions sources. It is unlikely that high SO<sub>2</sub> concentrations exist farther away from high emitting sources or groups of source. As shown in Section IV and Section VI. B., the 2,000 tpy threshold for analysis under the DRR subjected all high emitting sources and groups of sources within Virginia to scrutiny. Therefore, Virginia believes it is appropriate to use emissions inventory data, along with existing air quality monitoring data or air quality modeling data, as support for updating recommendations to attainment/unclassifiable for those jurisdictions where monitoring or modeling data are available.

Seven of the jurisdictions in Appendix A contain within their boundaries  $SO_2$ monitors that are part of Virginia's air quality monitoring network. As detailed in Section III, the data from these monitoring sites show compliance with a significant margin of safety. Based on monitoring data and the inventory analysis in Appendix A, Virginia therefore recommends that these seven jurisdictions, listed in Table 16, be designated attainment/unclassifiable for the 2010  $SO_2$  NAAQS. Charles City County and Henrico County are also included in the modeling analysis for the Dominion – Chesterfield Power Station, and the City of Hampton is included in the modeling analysis for the Dominion – Yorktown Power Station. These modeling analyses demonstrate compliance with the 2010  $SO_2$  NAAQS and are summarized in Section VI. B.

| Jurisdiction        | FIPS   | Recommended<br>Designation |
|---------------------|--------|----------------------------|
| Charles City County | 51-036 | Attainment/unclassifiable  |
| Fairfax County      | 51-059 | Attainment/unclassifiable  |
| Henrico County      | 51-087 | Attainment/unclassifiable  |
| Roanoke County      | 51-161 | Attainment/unclassifiable  |
| Rockingham County   | 51-165 | Attainment/unclassifiable  |
| City of Hampton     | 51-650 | Attainment/unclassifiable  |
| City of Norfolk     | 51-710 | Attainment/unclassifiable  |

 Table 16: Jurisdictions Recommended Attainment/Unclassifiable Using

 Monitoring Network Data

Air quality analyses discussed in Section VI. B. cover all or much of the following jurisdictions, and these analyses show compliance with the 2010 SO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS. Review of inventory data, including the data supplied in Appendix A, show that the air quality analyses consider all significant SO<sub>2</sub> sources or groups of sources within the modeling radii and that surrounding areas do not have significant SO<sub>2</sub> emissions. Virginia therefore recommends that these jurisdictions, listed in Table 17, be designated attainment/unclassifiable.

# Table 17: Jurisdictions Recommended Attainment/Unclassifiable Using Modeling Results

| Jurisdiction             | FIPS   | Recommended<br>Designation |
|--------------------------|--------|----------------------------|
| Chesterfield County      | 51-041 | Attainment/unclassifiable  |
| City of Petersburg       | 51-730 | Attainment/unclassifiable  |
| City of Hopewell         | 51-670 | Attainment/unclassifiable  |
| City of Colonial Heights | 51-570 | Attainment/unclassifiable  |
| City of Richmond         | 51-760 | Attainment/unclassifiable  |
| City of Poquoson         | 51-735 | Attainment/unclassifiable  |
| York County              | 51-199 | Attainment/unclassifiable  |
| City of Newport News     | 51-700 | Attainment/unclassifiable  |
| Halifax County           | 51-083 | Attainment/unclassifiable  |
| Charlotte County         | 51-037 | Attainment/unclassifiable  |
| Mecklenburg County       | 51-117 | Attainment/unclassifiable  |
| Buchanan County          | 51-027 | Attainment/unclassifiable  |
## Appendix A: Emissions By Jurisdiction

To analyze emissions on a jurisdictional basis, DEQ developed Table A – 1, which contains SO<sub>2</sub> emissions from each of the four emissions categories (point, area, non-road and on-road) by jurisdiction. The area and non-road sectors are from the 2011 NEI database since these data are the most current, final NEI data available. To augment this data with the most current point source information, Table A - 1 includes 2015 point source SO<sub>2</sub> emissions estimates from CEDS by jurisdiction. The 2011 on-road data by jurisdiction supplied in this table reflects the use of Virginia-specific inputs and the MOVES2014 inventory tool.

| Jurisdiction          | FIPS | Point    | Area   | On-Road | Non-Road | Total    |
|-----------------------|------|----------|--------|---------|----------|----------|
| Accomack County       | 001  | 252.05   | 613.50 | 4.04    | 2.21     | 871.80   |
| Albemarle County      | 003  | 9.81     | 97.65  | 11.79   | 1.65     | 120.89   |
| Alexandria City       | 510  | 6.64     | 113.88 | 7.52    | 0.45     | 128.50   |
| Alleghany County      | 005  | 2.54     | 19.41  | 2.85    | 0.67     | 25.48    |
| Amelia County         | 007  | 3.26     | 10.33  | 1.75    | 0.25     | 15.58    |
| Amherst County        | 009  | 6.75     | 28.69  | 3.26    | 0.41     | 39.11    |
| Appomattox County     | 011  | 0.13     | 11.13  | 1.57    | 0.21     | 13.04    |
| Arlington County      | 013  | 6.82     | 157.02 | 15.00   | 2.84     | 181.69   |
| Augusta County        | 015  | 37.86    | 78.53  | 12.53   | 1.11     | 130.03   |
| Bath County           | 017  | 9.00     | 3.55   | 0.50    | 0.10     | 13.15    |
| Bedford City          | 515  | -        | 10.57  | 0.50    | 0.07     | 11.14    |
| Bedford County        | 019  | 41.78    | 59.29  | 5.70    | 0.92     | 107.69   |
| Bland County          | 021  | 30.98    | 5.24   | 2.86    | 0.08     | 39.15    |
| Botetourt County      | 023  | 2,357.73 | 43.17  | 7.59    | 0.50     | 2,408.99 |
| Bristol City          | 520  | 1.17     | 23.40  | 2.30    | 0.26     | 27.14    |
| Brunswick County      | 025  | 8.48     | 13.24  | 3.60    | 0.21     | 25.54    |
| Buchanan County       | 027  | 4,871.02 | 22.52  | 2.25    | 0.25     | 4,896.04 |
| Buckingham County     | 029  | 33.24    | 11.76  | 1.44    | 0.23     | 46.67    |
| Buena Vista City      | 530  | 0.02     | 7.31   | 0.23    | 0.05     | 7.61     |
| Campbell County       | 031  | 34.05    | 49.64  | 5.45    | 1.01     | 90.14    |
| Caroline County       | 033  | 11.86    | 24.36  | 8.37    | 0.43     | 45.02    |
| Carroll County        | 035  | 9.06     | 28.23  | 5.88    | 0.46     | 43.63    |
| Charles City County   | 036  | 5.49     | 111.08 | 0.88    | 0.25     | 117.70   |
| Charlotte County      | 037  | 3.43     | 13.45  | 1.28    | 0.20     | 18.36    |
| Charlottesville City  | 540  | 20.29    | 41.32  | 2.22    | 0.32     | 64.15    |
| Chesapeake City       | 550  | 18.30    | 187.66 | 20.59   | 1.18     | 227.73   |
| Chesterfield County   | 041  | 2,686.54 | 248.80 | 30.96   | 2.76     | 2,969.05 |
| Clarke County         | 043  | 0.38     | 13.69  | 2.50    | 0.29     | 16.87    |
| Colonial Heights City | 570  | 0.01     | 14.71  | 2.13    | 0.07     | 16.93    |
| Covington City        | 580  | 6,229.73 | 17.47  | 0.37    | 0.11     | 6,247.68 |

Table A - 1: Annual SO<sub>2</sub> Emissions by Jurisdiction, tpy

Commonwealth of Virginia Round 3 2010 SO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS Recommendations

| Jurisdiction          | FIPS | Point    | Area   | On-Road | Non-Road | Total    |
|-----------------------|------|----------|--------|---------|----------|----------|
| Craig County          | 045  | 0.60     | 3.11   | 0.36    | 0.06     | 4.13     |
| Culpeper County       | 047  | 8.89     | 35.24  | 4.94    | 0.91     | 49.97    |
| Cumberland County     | 049  | 0.00     | 6.89   | 0.76    | 0.10     | 7.75     |
| Danville City         | 590  | 15.49    | 69.93  | 3.30    | 0.38     | 89.10    |
| Dickenson County      | 051  | 6.33     | 16.26  | 1.27    | 0.18     | 24.03    |
| Dinwiddie County      | 053  | 21.54    | 26.11  | 4.69    | 0.43     | 52.77    |
| Emporia City          | 595  | -        | 7.55   | 0.71    | 0.05     | 8.31     |
| Essex County          | 057  | 1.11     | 12.33  | 1.41    | 0.30     | 15.15    |
| Fairfax City          | 600  | 0.03     | 20.41  | 1.79    | 0.18     | 22.41    |
| Fairfax County        | 059  | 154.71   | 806.89 | 89.07   | 8.87     | 1,059.54 |
| Falls Church City     | 610  | 0.04     | 10.19  | 0.66    | 0.10     | 10.98    |
| Fauquier County       | 061  | 10.63    | 49.49  | 11.75   | 1.73     | 73.61    |
| Floyd County          | 063  | 9.09     | 12.15  | 1.19    | 0.20     | 22.64    |
| Fluvanna County       | 065  | 13.79    | 17.70  | 1.68    | 0.32     | 33.49    |
| Franklin City         | 620  | 1.03     | 5.90   | 0.35    | 0.02     | 7.30     |
| Franklin County       | 067  | 81.22    | 46.17  | 5.16    | 0.83     | 133.38   |
| Frederick County      | 069  | 70.97    | 77.25  | 11.04   | 2.39     | 161.65   |
| Fredericksburg City   | 630  | 0.55     | 24.12  | 3.56    | 0.11     | 28.34    |
| Galax City            | 640  | 19.18    | 9.17   | 0.50    | 0.14     | 28.99    |
| Giles County          | 071  | 7,433.38 | 18.62  | 1.87    | 0.17     | 7,454.05 |
| Gloucester County     | 073  | 5.98     | 24.15  | 3.05    | 0.61     | 33.79    |
| Goochland County      | 075  | 32.98    | 21.64  | 5.80    | 0.60     | 61.02    |
| Grayson County        | 077  | 1.98     | 13.85  | 1.08    | 0.21     | 17.12    |
| Greene County         | 079  | 2.77     | 11.72  | 1.45    | 0.19     | 16.12    |
| Greensville County    | 081  | 29.63    | 15.88  | 2.99    | 0.21     | 48.71    |
| Halifax County        | 083  | 1,798.38 | 31.72  | 3.52    | 0.55     | 1,834.17 |
| Hampton City          | 650  | 85.59    | 188.36 | 14.42   | 0.61     | 288.98   |
| Hanover County        | 085  | 245.69   | 94.73  | 16.98   | 1.62     | 359.02   |
| Harrisonburg City     | 660  | 1.88     | 57.14  | 3.14    | 0.35     | 62.51    |
| Henrico County        | 087  | 38.20    | 278.46 | 31.05   | 3.83     | 351.54   |
| Henry County          | 089  | 19.45    | 58.22  | 5.10    | 0.60     | 83.37    |
| Highland County       | 091  | 0.00     | 1.77   | 0.25    | 0.05     | 2.08     |
| Hopewell City         | 670  | 2,283.95 | 18.68  | 1.02    | 0.14     | 2,303.79 |
| Isle of Wight County  | 093  | 265.35   | 94.64  | 5.36    | 0.69     | 366.04   |
| James City County     | 095  | 408.27   | 107.73 | 4.94    | 1.22     | 522.16   |
| King and Queen County | 097  | 52.35    | 6.71   | 0.91    | 0.24     | 60.21    |
| King George County    | 099  | 311.09   | 16.80  | 2.77    | 0.35     | 331.01   |
| King William County   | 101  | 547.54   | 11.87  | 1.40    | 0.28     | 561.09   |
| Lancaster County      | 103  | 0.00     | 10.30  | 1.24    | 0.39     | 11.92    |
| Lee County            | 105  | 14.90    | 18.55  | 1.98    | 0.26     | 35.69    |
| Lexington City        | 678  | 0.04     | 5.42   | 0.23    | 0.02     | 5.71     |
| Loudoun County        | 107  | 9.96     | 222.79 | 22.77   | 5.92     | 261.44   |
| Louisa County         | 109  | 24.83    | 30.94  | 4.89    | 0.53     | 61.20    |
| Lunenburg County      | 111  | 1.49     | 9.09   | 0.88    | 0.17     | 11.64    |
| Lynchburg City        | 680  | 47.63    | 119.24 | 5.52    | 0.64     | 173.03   |
| Madison County        | 113  | 0.01     | 10.08  | 1.70    | 0.23     | 12.03    |
| Manassas City         | 683  | 4.77     | 31.98  | 3.02    | 0.19     | 39.96    |

| Jurisdiction          | FIPS | Point    | Area   | On-Road | Non-Road | Total    |
|-----------------------|------|----------|--------|---------|----------|----------|
| Manassas Park City    | 685  | -        | 8.85   | 0.31    | 0.10     | 9.26     |
| Martinsville City     | 690  | 1.24     | 19.90  | 0.99    | 0.17     | 22.30    |
| Mathews County        | 115  | -        | 5.82   | 0.65    | 0.37     | 6.84     |
| Mecklenburg County    | 117  | 299.56   | 33.07  | 4.75    | 0.96     | 338.33   |
| Middlesex             | 119  | -        | 10.25  | 1.11    | 0.31     | 11.67    |
| Montgomery County     | 121  | 3,449.48 | 89.88  | 10.39   | 1.08     | 3,550.82 |
| Nelson County         | 125  | 0.46     | 15.30  | 2.29    | 0.27     | 18.31    |
| New Kent County       | 127  | 5.70     | 14.20  | 5.91    | 0.34     | 26.14    |
| Newport News City     | 700  | 515.47   | 403.17 | 17.83   | 1.85     | 938.33   |
| Norfolk City          | 710  | 239.36   | 281.99 | 21.19   | 3.16     | 545.71   |
| Northampton County    | 131  | 0.02     | 635.22 | 2.33    | 1.50     | 639.08   |
| Northumberland County | 133  | 0.73     | 17.92  | 1.11    | 0.53     | 20.29    |
| Norton City           | 720  | 0.01     | 5.04   | 0.46    | 0.03     | 5.53     |
| Nottoway County       | 135  | 34.64    | 13.92  | 1.78    | 0.23     | 50.56    |
| Orange County         | 137  | 3.13     | 28.81  | 2.67    | 0.52     | 35.13    |
| Page County           | 139  | 0.96     | 25.21  | 1.63    | 0.38     | 28.17    |
| Patrick County        | 141  | 2.87     | 21.63  | 1.46    | 0.35     | 26.32    |
| Petersburg City       | 730  | 15.30    | 31.83  | 3.29    | 0.15     | 50.58    |
| Pittsylvania County   | 143  | 164.09   | 48.48  | 5.72    | 0.75     | 219.04   |
| Poquoson City         | 735  | -        | 120.38 | 0.53    | 0.14     | 121.05   |
| Portsmouth City       | 740  | 582.34   | 89.64  | 5.72    | 0.33     | 678.04   |
| Powhatan County       | 145  | 37.34    | 18.36  | 2.88    | 0.40     | 58.98    |
| Prince Edward County  | 147  | 33.09    | 19.49  | 2.32    | 0.27     | 55.16    |
| Prince George County  | 149  | 0.53     | 59.18  | 6.20    | 0.44     | 66.36    |
| Prince William County | 153  | 1,817.08 | 240.93 | 31.89   | 3.91     | 2,093.81 |
| Pulaski County        | 155  | 3.62     | 35.31  | 5.24    | 0.53     | 44.70    |
| Radford City          | 750  | 0.08     | 19.97  | 0.77    | 0.08     | 20.90    |
| Rappahannock County   | 157  | -        | 5.08   | 0.88    | 0.13     | 6.08     |
| Richmond City         | 760  | 454.90   | 218.55 | 18.72   | 1.30     | 693.47   |
| Richmond County       | 159  | 2.52     | 8.09   | 1.08    | 0.31     | 12.01    |
| Roanoke City          | 770  | 75.83    | 96.40  | 8.54    | 0.50     | 181.28   |
| Roanoke County        | 161  | 4.30     | 82.14  | 8.74    | 1.06     | 96.25    |
| Rockbridge County     | 163  | 1.88     | 23.29  | 7.78    | 0.38     | 33.33    |
| Rockingham County     | 165  | 20.16    | 96.20  | 9.63    | 1.08     | 127.07   |
| Russell County        | 167  | 2,071.68 | 28.89  | 3.00    | 0.24     | 2,103.80 |
| Salem City            | 775  | 1.16     | 42.38  | 1.68    | 0.35     | 45.58    |
| Scott County          | 169  | 7.40     | 25.30  | 2.57    | 0.28     | 35.55    |
| Shenandoah County     | 171  | 30.05    | 40.66  | 8.74    | 0.60     | 80.05    |
| Smyth County          | 173  | 71.07    | 39.15  | 4.36    | 0.41     | 114.99   |
| Southampton County    | 175  | 36.83    | 17.89  | 3.39    | 0.52     | 58.62    |
| Spotsylvania County   | 177  | 3.26     | 82.03  | 12.82   | 1.48     | 99.59    |
| Stafford County       | 179  | 27.60    | 82.76  | 17.07   | 1.52     | 128.95   |
| Staunton City         | 790  | 1.89     | 18.87  | 1.23    | 0.12     | 22.10    |
| Suffolk City          | 800  | 42.85    | 65.65  | 9.71    | 1.11     | 119.31   |
| Surry County          | 181  | 14.19    | 36.09  | 0.57    | 0.27     | 51.12    |
| Sussex County         | 183  | 13.14    | 12.96  | 3.69    | 0.24     | 30.04    |
| Tazewell County       | 185  | 24.80    | 47.81  | 3.92    | 0.33     | 76.86    |

| Jurisdiction        | FIPS | Point    | Area   | On-Road | Non-Road | Total    |
|---------------------|------|----------|--------|---------|----------|----------|
| Virginia Beach City | 810  | 171.32   | 580.87 | 30.87   | 4.10     | 787.16   |
| Warren County       | 187  | 13.18    | 29.53  | 4.49    | 0.42     | 47.62    |
| Washington County   | 191  | 41.13    | 64.00  | 7.50    | 0.64     | 113.26   |
| Waynesboro City     | 820  | 1.41     | 22.52  | 1.35    | 0.19     | 25.47    |
| Westmoreland County | 193  | 1.52     | 14.09  | 1.42    | 0.35     | 17.38    |
| Williamsburg City   | 830  | 0.14     | 11.44  | 0.93    | 0.07     | 12.59    |
| Winchester City     | 840  | 0.55     | 37.05  | 1.45    | 0.25     | 39.30    |
| Wise County         | 195  | 111.60   | 31.07  | 4.07    | 0.33     | 147.09   |
| Wythe County        | 197  | 15.52    | 38.28  | 7.87    | 0.59     | 62.26    |
| York County         | 199  | 4,550.33 | 176.23 | 7.25    | 1.02     | 4,734.83 |

Appendix B: US Army – Radford Army Ammunition Plant March 22, 2016, Permit



## COMMONWEALTH of VIRGINIA

Molly Joseph Ward Secretary of Natural Resources

Lynchburg Office 7705 Timberlake Road Lynchburg, Virginia 24502 (434) 582-5120 Fax (434) 582-5125 DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY Blue Ridge Regional Office

www.deq.virginia.gov

March 22, 2016

David K. Paylor Director Robert J. Weld

Robert J. Weld Regional Director

Roanoke Office 3019 Peters Creek Road Roanoke, Virginia 24019 (540) 562-6700 Fax (540) 562-6725

Alicia M. Masson Lieutenant Colonel, Commanding U.S. Army P.O. Box 2 Radford, VA 24143-0002

William M. Barnett General Manager, RFAAP BAE Systems Ordnance Systems Inc. P.O. Box 1 Radford, VA 24143-0100

> Location: Montgomery County Registration No.: 20656

Dear LTC Masson and Mr. Barnett:

Attached is a permit to construct and operate a project at the Radford Army Ammunition Plant in accordance with the provisions of the Virginia State Air Pollution Control Board Regulations for the Control and Abatement of Air Pollution. This permit supersedes your permit dated October 8, 2014.

In the course of evaluating the application and arriving at a final decision to approve the project, the Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) deemed the application complete on March 16, 2016.

This permit contains legally enforceable conditions. Failure to comply may result in a Notice of Violation and/or civil charges. Please read all permit conditions carefully.

This permit approval to construct and operate shall not relieve Radford Army Ammunition Plant of the responsibility to comply with all other local, state, and federal permit regulations.

The proposed boilers are subject to 40 CFR 63, Maximum Achievable Control Technology, (MACT) Subpart DDDDD and 40 CFR 60, New Source Performance Standard

LTC Masson and Mr. Barnett March 22, 2016 Page 2

(NSPS), Subparts Db and Dc. Virginia has accepted delegation of these rules. In summary, the units are required to comply with certain federal emission standards and operating limitations. The Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) advises you to review the referenced MACT and NSPS to ensure compliance with applicable emission and operational limitations. As the owner/operator you are also responsible for any monitoring, notification, reporting and recordkeeping requirements of the MACT and NSPS. Notifications shall be sent to both EPA, Region III and Virginia DEQ.

To review any federal rules referenced in the above paragraph or in the attached permit, the US Government Publishing Office maintains the text of these rules at www.ecfr.gov, Title 40, Part 60 and 63.

The Board's Regulations as contained in Title 9 of the Virginia Administrative Code 5-170-200 provide that you may request a formal hearing from this case decision by filing a petition with the Board within 30 days after this case decision notice was mailed or delivered to you. Please consult the relevant regulations for additional requirements for such requests.

As provided by Rule 2A:2 of the Supreme Court of Virginia, you have 30 days from the date you actually received this permit or the date on which it was mailed to you, whichever occurred first, within which to initiate an appeal of this decision by filing a Notice of Appeal with:

David K. Paylor, Director Department of Environmental Quality P. O. Box 1105 Richmond, VA 23218

If this permit was delivered to you by mail, three days are added to the thirty-day period in which to file an appeal. Please refer to Part Two A of the Rules of the Supreme Court of Virginia for information on the required content of the Notice of Appeal and for additional requirements governing appeals from decisions of administrative agencies.

If you have any questions concerning this permit, please contact the Regional Office at (540)562-6700.

Sincerel

Robert J. Weld Regional Director

Attachments: Permit Source Testing Report Format

Cc: Manager/Inspector, Air Compliance



# COMMONWEALTH of VIRGINIA

Molly Joseph Ward Secretary of Natural Resources

Lynchburg Office 7705 Timberlake Road Lynchburg, Virginia 24502 (434) 582-5120 Fax (434) 582-5125 DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY Blue Ridge Regional Office www.deq.virginia.gov

Roanoke Office 3019 Peters Creek Road Roanoke, Virginia 24019 (540) 562-6700 Fax (540) 562-6725

David K. Paylor

Director

Robert J. Weld

Regional Director

#### STATIONARY SOURCE PERMIT TO CONSTRUCT AND OPERATE This permit includes designated equipment subject to New Source Performance Standards (NSPS). This permit supersedes your permit dated October 8, 2014.

In compliance with the Federal Clean Air Act and the Commonwealth of Virginia Regulations for the Control and Abatement of Air Pollution,

> The U.S. Army and BAE Systems Ordnance Systems, Inc. Radford Army Ammunition Plant Route 114, P.O. Box 1 Radford, VA 24143-0100 Registration No.: 20656

is authorized to construct and operate

a power and steam generation installation

located at

Radford Army Ammunition Plant Montgomery County, Virginia

in accordance with the Conditions of this permit.

Approved on March 22, 2016.

Robert J. Weld Regional Director

Permit consists of 14 pages. Permit Conditions 1 to 34.

Radford Army Ammunition Plant Registration No.: 20656 March 22, 2016 Page 2 of 14

#### **INTRODUCTION**

This permit approval is based on the permit application dated December 9, 2015, including supplemental information dated January 11, 2016, February 8, 2016, February 10, 2016, February 22, 2016, March 4, 2016, and March 9, 2016. Any changes in the permit application specifications or any existing facilities which alter the impact of the facility on air quality may require a permit. Failure to obtain such a permit prior to construction may result in enforcement action. In addition, this facility may be subject to additional applicable requirements not listed in this permit.

Words or terms used in this permit shall have meanings as provided in 9VAC5-10-20 of the State Air Pollution Control Board Regulations for the Control and Abatement of Air Pollution. The regulatory reference or authority for each condition is listed in parentheses () after each condition.

Annual requirements to fulfill legal obligations to maintain current stationary source emissions data will necessitate a prompt response by the permittee to requests by the DEQ or the Board for information to include, as appropriate: process and production data; changes in control equipment; and operating schedules. Such requests for information from the DEQ will either be in writing or by personal contact.

The availability of information submitted to the DEQ or the Board will be governed by applicable provisions of the Freedom of Information Act, §§2.2-3700 through 2.2-3714 of the Code of Virginia, §10.1-1314 (addressing information provided to the Board) of the Code of Virginia, and 9VAC5-170-60 of the State Air Pollution Control Board Regulations. Information provided to federal officials is subject to appropriate federal law and regulations governing confidentiality of such information.

| Equipment inc | luded in the power and steam generation | on installation                         |                         |
|---------------|---|---|-------------------------|
| Ref. No.      | Equipment Description                   | Rated Capacity                          | Federal<br>Requirements |
| 100000        | Rentech D-Type Superheated Watertube    | 172 MMBtu/hr, each (NG);                | 1979-<br>1971           |
| ECPB-01-04    | Boiler (large boiler)                   | 163 MMBtu/hr, each (oil)                | NSPS Db                 |
| e             | Rentech D-Type Superheated Watertube    | 75 MMBtu/hr, each (NG);                 |                         |
| ECPB-05-06    | Boiler (small boiler)                   | 71 MMBtu/hr, each (oil)                 | NSPS Dc                 |
| Equipment pro | eviously installed                      | • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |                         |
| PH1 – PH5     | Coal/oil fired boiler                   | 210 MMBtu/hr, each                      |                         |
| PH6, PH7      | Ash Handling (silo and truck loading)   |   |                         |

Equipment List - Equipment at this facility consists of:

Specifications included in this table are for informational purposes only and do not form enforceable terms or conditions of the permit.

#### PROCESS REQUIREMENTS

 Monitoring Devices - Each boiler (ECPB-01 – 06) shall be equipped with a non-resettable hour meter to record the hours of operation of each unit when combusting each fuel. Each monitoring device shall be installed, maintained, calibrated and operated in accordance with the manufacturer's written requirements or recommendations. Each monitoring device shall be provided with adequate access for inspection and shall be in operation when the respective emissions unit is operating. (9VAC5-80-1180)

Radford Army Ammunition Plant Registration No.: 20656 March 22, 2016 Page 3 of 14

- Monitoring Devices Each boiler (ECPB-01 06) shall be equipped with a device to record the amount of each fuel delivered to the boiler. Each monitoring device shall be installed, maintained, calibrated and operated in accordance with the manufacturer's written requirements or recommendations. Each monitoring device shall be provided with adequate access for inspection and shall be in operation when the respective emissions unit is operating. (9VAC5-80-1180)
- 3. Emissions Testing The facility shall be constructed so as to allow for emissions testing upon reasonable notice at any time, using appropriate methods. This includes constructing the facility/equipment such that volumetric flow rates and pollutant emission rates can be accurately determined by applicable test methods and providing a stack or duct that is free from cyclonic flow. Sampling ports shall be provided when requested at the appropriate locations and safe sampling platforms and access shall be provided. (9VAC5-50-30 F and 9VAC5-80-1180)

#### **OPERATING LIMITATIONS**

- 4. Fuel Throughput Upon the earlier of January 1, 2017 or the first new emissions unit (ECPB-01 06) in the project becoming operational, the combustion of fuel oil in the coal boilers (PH-1 PH-5) shall not exceed 386,175.0 gallons per year. Compliance for the consecutive 12-month period shall be demonstrated monthly by adding the total for the most recently completed calendar month to the individual monthly totals for the preceding 11 months. For the purpose of this permit, "becoming operational" does not include a shakedown period for each new emissions unit not to exceed 180 days after start-up. "Becoming operational" will have occurred no later than the beginning of the first initial performance test required pursuant to this permit or the respective subpart of 40CFR60 for each emissions unit. (9VAC5-80-1180)
- 5. Fuel Throughput Upon the first new emissions unit (ECPB-01 06) in the project becoming operational, the combustion of coal in the coal boilers (PH-1 PH-5) shall not exceed 60,000 tons per year. Annual fuel combustion shall be calculated monthly as the sum of each consecutive 12-month period. For the purpose of this permit, "becoming operational" does not include a shakedown period for each new emissions unit not to exceed 180 days after start-up. "Becoming operational" will have occurred no later than the beginning of the first initial performance test required pursuant to this permit or the respective subpart of 40CFR60 for each emissions unit. (9VAC5-80-1180)
- Fuel Throughput Regardless of the applicability of Condition 5, beginning January 1, 2017, the combustion of coal in the coal boilers (PH-1 – PH-5) shall not exceed 30,000 tons per year. Annual fuel combustion shall be calculated monthly as the sum of each consecutive 12-month period. (9VAC5-80-1180)
- Permanent Shutdown Upon the fourth new emissions unit (ECPB-01 06) in the project becoming operational, the coal boilers (PH1 - PH5) and associated material handling equipment (PH6, PH7) shall permanently cease operation. Restarting operation for any of this equipment shall be considered a physical change or change in the method of operation at the facility.

Radford Army Ammunition Plant Registration No.: 20656 March 22, 2016 Page 4 of 14

#### (9VAC5-80-1180 and 9VAC5-20-220)

- Fuel The approved fuels for the boilers (ECPB-01 06) are distillate oil and natural gas. A change in the fuels shall be considered a physical change or change in the method of operation and may require a new or amended permit. (9VAC5-80-1180)
- 9. Fuel Specifications The approved fuels shall meet the specifications below:

DISTILLATE OIL which meets the ASTM D396 specification for Grades 1 or 2 fuel oil: Maximum sulfur content per shipment: 0.0015%

NATURAL GAS which meets the definition contained in 40CFR72.2 for "pipeline natural gas"

(9VAC5-80-1180 and 9VAC5-50-260)

- 10. Fuel Throughput The boilers (ECPB-01 06) shall consume no more than 238,560 MMBtu of distillate oil, per year, calculated monthly as the sum of each consecutive 12-month period. Of this amount, the small boilers (ECPB-05 06) shall consume no more than a combined total of 42,560 MMBtu of distillate oil, per year, calculated monthly as the sum of each consecutive 12-month period. Compliance for the consecutive 12-month period shall be demonstrated monthly by adding the total for the most recently completed calendar month to the individual monthly totals for the preceding 11 months. (9VAC5-80-1180)
- 11. **Fuel Throughput** The large boilers (ECPB-01 04) shall consume no more than the following amount natural gas (in MMBtu), per year, calculated monthly as the sum of each consecutive 12-month period. The limitation shall be calculated for each 12-month period.

Limit (MMBtu/yr) = 6,312,780.0 - (SDOU\*1.12 + SNGU\*1.06 + LDOU\*1.06)

Where:

SDOU = the annual amount of distillate oil combusted in the small boilers (ECPB-05 - 06) in MMBtu SNGU = the annual amount of natural gas combusted in the small boilers (ECPB-05 - 06) in MMBtu LDOU = the annual amount of distillate oil combusted in the large boilers (ECPB-01 - 04) in MMBtu

(9VAC5-80-1180)

- 12. **Fuel Certification** The permittee shall obtain a certification from the fuel supplier with each shipment of fuel (distillate oil). Each fuel supplier certification shall include the following:
  - a. The name of the fuel supplier;
  - b. The date on which the fuel (distillate oil) was received;
  - c. The quantity of fuel (distillate oil) delivered in the shipment;

Radford Army Ammunition Plant Registration No.: 20656 March 22, 2016 Page 5 of 14

- d. A statement that the fuel (distillate oil) complies with the American Society for Testing and Materials specifications in Condition 9, or other DEQ approved fuel specifications;
- e. The sulfur content of the fuel (distillate oil);
- f. The heat content of the fuel (distillate oil);

Fuel sampling and analysis, independent of that used for certification, as may be periodically required or conducted by DEQ may be used to determine compliance with the fuel specifications stipulated in Condition 9. Exceedance of these specifications may be considered credible evidence of the exceedance of emission limits. (9VAC5-80-1180)

#### **EMISSION LIMITS**

13. Emission Limits - Emissions from the operation of each large boiler (ECPB-01 - 04) shall not exceed the limits specified below:

|  | When Burning Distillate Oil           |
|--|---------------------------------------|
| РМ                                       | 2.3 lb/hr                             |
| PM10                                     | 1.1 lb/hr                             |
| PM2.5                                    | 0.3 lb/hr                             |
| Nitrogen Oxides<br>(as NO <sub>2</sub> ) | 0.077 lb/MMBtu*                       |
| Carbon Monoxide                          | 6.0 lb/hr                             |
| Volatile Organic Compounds               | 0.2 lb/hr                             |
| РМ                                       | When Burning Natural Gas<br>0.3 lb/hr |
| PM10                                     | 1.2 lb/hr                             |
| PM2.5                                    | 1.2 lb/hr                             |
| Nitrogen Oxides<br>(as NO <sub>2</sub> ) | 0.011 lb/MMBtu*                       |

Radford Army Ammunition Plant Registration No.: 20656 March 22, 2016 Page 6 of 14

| Carbon Monoxide            | 6.0 lb/hr |
|----------------------------|-----------|
| Volatile Organic Compounds | 0.9 lb/hr |

\* - Calculated as a rolling 30-day average including periods of start-up and shutdown. For any 30day period with multiple fuel combustion ("simultaneously combusts"), the limitation shall be calculated in accordance with 40CFR60.44b(b) except the emission limitations for natural gas and distillate oil shall be those from this condition.

(9VAC5-80-1180 and 9VAC5-50-260)

14. Emission Limits - Emissions from the operation of each small boiler (ECPB-05 - 06) shall not exceed the limits specified below:

|  | When Duming Distillate Oil |
|--|----------------------------|
| РМ                                       | 1.0 lb/hr                  |
| PM10                                     | 0.5 lb/hr                  |
| PM2.5                                    | 0.1 lb/hr                  |
| Nitrogen Oxides<br>(as NO <sub>2</sub> ) | 0.077 lb/MMBtu*            |
| Carbon Monoxide                          | 2.8 lb/hr                  |
| Volatile Organic Compounds               | 0.1 lb/hr                  |
|  | When Burning Natural Gas   |
| PM                                       | 0.2 lb/hr                  |
| PM10                                     | 0.5 lb/hr                  |
| PM2.5                                    | 0.5 lb/hr                  |
| Nitrogen Oxides<br>(as NO <sub>2</sub> ) | 0.011 lb/MMBtu*            |

Radford Army Ammunition Plant Registration No.: 20656 March 22, 2016 Page 7 of 14

| Carbon Monoxide | 2.8 lb/hr |
|-----------------|-----------|
|                 |           |

Volatile Organic Compounds

0.4 lb/hr

\* - Calculated as a rolling 30-day average including periods of start-up and shutdown. For any 30day period with multiple fuel combustion ("simultaneously combusts"), the limitation shall be calculated in accordance with 40CFR60.44b(b) except the emission limitations for natural gas and distillate oil shall be those from this condition.

(9VAC5-80-1180 and 9VAC5-50-260)

15. Emission Limits - Emissions from the operation of the boilers (ECPB-01 – 06) shall not exceed the limits specified below:

| РМ                                       | 7.4 tons/yr   |
|--|---------------|
| PM10                                     | 22.1 tons/yr  |
| PM2.5                                    | 22.1 tons/yr  |
| Nitrogen Oxides<br>(as NO <sub>2</sub> ) | 42.6 tons/yr  |
| Carbon Monoxide                          | 110.5 tons/yr |
| Volatile Organic Compounds               | 15.8 tons/yr  |
| Sulfur Dioxide                           | 2.0 tons/yr   |

(9VAC5-80-1180)

16. Visible Emission Limit - Visible emissions from each boiler (ECPB-01 - 06) shall not exceed 10 percent opacity except during one six-minute period in any one hour in which visible emissions shall not exceed 20 percent opacity as determined by the EPA Method 9 (reference 40 CFR 60, Appendix A).

(9VAC5-80-1180)

Ø

Radford Army Ammunition Plant Registration No.: 20656 March 22, 2016 Page 8 of 14

### CEMS/COMS

- CEMS Continuous Emission Monitoring Systems, meeting the design specifications of 40CFR Part 60, Appendix B, shall be installed to measure and record the emissions of nitrogen oxides (NOx) as lb/MMBtu and either the oxygen or carbon dioxide content of the flue gases from each boiler (ECPB-01 - 06). The CEMS shall be installed, calibrated, maintained, audited and operated in accordance with the requirements of 40CFR60.13 and NSPS Subpart Db, and Appendices B and F. Data shall be reduced to 30 day rolling averages per the procedures in 40 CFR 60 Subpart Db. (9VAC5-80-1180 and 9VAC5-50-40)
- 18. CEMS Performance Evaluations Performance evaluations of the continuous monitoring systems shall be conducted in accordance with 40CFR Part 60, Appendix B, and shall take place during the performance tests under 9VAC5-50-30 or within 30 days thereafter. One copy of the performance evaluations report shall be submitted to the Blue Ridge Regional Office within 45 days of the evaluation. The continuous monitoring systems shall be installed and operational prior to conducting initial performance tests. Verification of operational status shall, as a minimum, include completion of the manufacturer's written requirements or recommendations for installation, operation and calibration of the device. A 30 day notification, prior to the demonstration of continuous monitoring system's performance, and subsequent notifications shall be submitted to the Blue Ridge Regional Office. (9VAC5-80-1180 and 9VAC5-50-40)
- CEMS Quality Control Program A CEMS quality control program which meets the requirements of 40 CFR 60.13 and Appendix B or F shall be implemented for all continuous monitoring systems. (9VAC5-80-1180 and 9VAC5-50-40)
- 20. **Reports for Continuous Monitoring Systems** The permittee shall furnish written reports to the Blue Ridge Regional Office of excess emissions from any process monitored by a continuous monitoring system (CEMS) on a quarterly basis, postmarked no later than the 30th day following the end of the calendar quarter. These reports shall include, but are not limited to the following information:
  - a. The magnitude of excess emissions, any conversion factors used in the calculation of excess emissions, and the date and time of commencement and completion of each period of excess emissions;
  - b. Specific identification of each period of excess emissions that occurs during startups, shutdowns, and malfunctions of the process, the nature and cause of the malfunction (if known), the corrective action taken or preventative measures adopted;
  - c. The date and time identifying each period during which the continuous monitoring system was inoperative except for zero and span checks and the nature of the system repairs or adjustments; and

Radford Army Ammunition Plant Registration No.: 20656 March 22, 2016 Page 9 of 14

d. When no excess emissions have occurred or the continuous monitoring systems have not been inoperative, repaired or adjusted, such information shall be stated in that report.

(9VAC5-80-1180 and 9VAC5-50-50)

#### **INITIAL COMPLIANCE DETERMINATION**

21. Stack Test - Initial performance tests shall be conducted for CO from each boiler (ECPB-01 – 06) when combusting natural gas to determine compliance with the emission limits contained in Conditions 13 and 14. The tests shall be performed and demonstrate compliance within 60 days after achieving the maximum production rate at which the facility will be operated but in no event later than 180 days after start-up of each boiler (ECPB-01 – 06). Tests shall be conducted and reported and data reduced as set forth in 9VAC5-50-30, and the test methods and procedures contained in each applicable section or subpart listed in 9VAC5-50-410. The details of the tests are to be arranged with the Blue Ridge Regional Office. The permittee shall submit a test protocol at least 30 days prior to testing. One copy of the test results shall be submitted to the Blue Ridge Regional Office within 60 days after test completion and shall conform to the test report format enclosed with this permit. (9VAC5-50-30 and 9VAC5-80-1200)

22. Visible Emissions Evaluation - Concurrently with the initial performance tests for CO (lb/hr) required in Condition 21 for each boiler (ECPB-01 - 06), Visible Emission Evaluations (VEE) in accordance with 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A, Method 9, shall be conducted by the permittee. Each test shall consist of 30 sets of 24 consecutive observations (at 15 second intervals) to yield a six minute average. The details of the tests are to be arranged with the Blue Ridge Regional Office. The permittee shall submit a test protocol at least 30 days prior to testing. The evaluation shall be performed and demonstrate compliance within 60 days after achieving the maximum production rate at which the boiler will be operated but in no event later than 180 days after start-up of each boiler (ECPB-01 - 06). Should conditions prevent concurrent opacity observations, the Blue Ridge Regional Office shall be notified in writing, within seven days, and visible emissions testing shall be rescheduled within 30 days. Rescheduled testing shall be conducted under the same conditions (as possible) as the initial performance tests. One copy of the results of each test shall be submitted to the Blue Ridge Regional Office within 60 days after test completion and shall conform to the test report format enclosed with this permit. (9VAC5-50-30 and 9VAC5-80-1200)

23. Stack Test - Initial performance tests required in Conditions 21 and 22 shall also be conducted when firing distillate oil. These tests shall be performed within 45 days after the boiler (ECPB-01 - 06) has combusted any amount of distillate oil for more than 48 hours in any 12-month period, excluding periods of natural gas curtailment or supply interruption beyond the permittee's control. The test protocol shall be submitted with the notification required in Condition 25.e. (9VAC5-50-30 and 9VAC5-80-1200)

Radford Army Ammunition Plant Registration No.: 20656 March 22, 2016 Page 10 of 14

#### RECORDS

- 24. **On Site Records** The permittee shall maintain records of emission data and operating parameters as necessary to demonstrate compliance with this permit. The content and format of such records shall be arranged with the Blue Ridge Regional Office. These records shall include, but are not limited to:
  - a. For each boiler (ECPB-01 06), daily, monthly, and annual consumption (in MMBtu) of natural gas and distillate oil. Annual throughput shall be calculated monthly as the sum of each consecutive 12-month period. Compliance for the consecutive 12-month period shall be demonstrated monthly by adding the total for the most recently completed calendar month to the individual monthly totals for the preceding 11 months.
  - b. For each boiler (ECPB-01 06), the hours of operation when firing any amount of distillate oil and the reason for each hour of operation in this mode. Annual hours of operation shall be calculated monthly as the sum of each consecutive 12-month period and shall exclude periods of natural gas curtailment or supply interruption beyond the permittee's control. These records shall be used to determine the testing requirements of Condition 23.
  - c. Calculations of the applicable limitation for natural gas combustion in the four large boilers (ECPB-01 04). Calculations shall be performed in accordance with Condition 11.
  - d. Beginning on the date the first new emissions unit (ECPB-01 06) becomes operational, monthly coal combustion in each of the five coal fired boilers (PH-1 PH-5). Annual coal combustion shall be calculated monthly as the sum of each consecutive 12 month period to demonstrate compliance with the limitation in Condition 5.
  - e. Beginning on January 1, 2017, monthly coal combustion in each of the five coal fired boilers (PH-1 PH-5). Annual coal combustion shall be calculated monthly as the sum of each consecutive 12 month period to demonstrate compliance with the limitation in Condition 6.
  - f. Beginning on the date the first new emissions unit (ECPB-01 06) becomes operational, monthly fuel oil combustion in each of the five coal fired boilers (PH-1 - PH-5). Annual fuel oil combustion shall be calculated monthly as the sum of each consecutive 12 month period to demonstrate compliance with Condition 4.
  - g. All fuel supplier certifications.
  - h. Monthly emissions calculations using calculation methods approved in writing by the Blue Ridge Regional Office to verify compliance with the ton/yr emissions limitations in Condition 15.
  - i. Scheduled and unscheduled maintenance, and operator training.
  - j. Results of all stack tests, visible emission evaluations and performance evaluations.

Radford Army Ammunition Plant Registration No.: 20656 March 22, 2016 Page 11 of 14

- k. Continuous monitoring system calibrations and calibration checks, percent operating time, and excess emissions.
- 1. For each boiler (ECPB-01 06) natural gas curtailment or supply interruption, the cause of the interruption, the duration of the interruption (in hours), the amount of distillate oil fired during the period, and the corrective actions taken to restore supply for on-site interruptions.

These records shall be available for inspection by the DEQ and shall be current for the most recent five years.

(9VAC5-80-1180 and 9VAC5-50-50)

#### **NOTIFICATIONS**

- 25. Initial Notifications The permittee shall furnish written notification to the Blue Ridge Regional Office of:
  - a. The actual date on which construction of each emissions unit (ECPB-01 06) commenced within 30 days after such date.
  - b. The actual start-up date of each boiler (ECPB-01 06) within 15 days after such date.
  - c. The actual date on which each boiler (ECPB-01 06) becomes operational within 15 days after such date.
  - d. The anticipated date of continuous monitoring system performance evaluations postmarked not less than 30 days prior to such date.
  - e. The anticipated date of performance tests of each boiler (ECPB-01 06) postmarked at least 30 days prior to such date. Notification for the purposes of Condition 23 shall be within 15 days after the date on which the respective hours of operation was exceeded.
  - f. The date of permanent shutdown for each coal fired boiler (PH-1 PH-5) within 15 days after such date.

Copies of the written notification referenced in items 25.a, 25.b, 25.d, and 25.e are to be sent to: Associate Director

Office of Air Enforcement and Compliance Assistance (3AP20) U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region III 1650 Arch Street Philadelphia, PA 19103-2029 (9VAC5-50-50 and 9VAC5-80-1180)

Radford Army Ammunition Plant Registration No.: 20656 March 22, 2016 Page 12 of 14

#### **GENERAL CONDITIONS**

- 26. **Permit Invalidation** This permit to construct the equipment shall become invalid, unless an extension is granted by the DEQ, if:
  - a. A program of continuous construction or modification is not commenced within 18 months from the date of this permit.
  - b. A program of construction or modification is discontinued for a period of 18 months or more, or is not completed within a reasonable time, except for a DEQ approved period between phases of the phased construction of a new stationary source or project.

(9VAC5-80-1210)

- 27. Permit Suspension/Revocation This permit may be suspended or revoked if the permittee:
  - a. Knowingly makes material misstatements in the permit application or any amendments to it;
  - b. Fails to comply with the conditions of this permit;
  - c. Fails to comply with any emission standards applicable to a permitted emissions unit;
  - d. Causes emissions from the stationary source which result in violations of, or interfere with the attainment and maintenance of, any ambient air quality standard; or
  - e. Fails to operate in conformance with any applicable control strategy, including any emission standards or emissions limitations, in the State Implementation Plan in effect at the time an application for this permit is submitted.

(9VAC5-80-1210 G)

- 28. **Right of Entry** The permittee shall allow authorized local, state, and federal representatives, upon the presentation of credentials:
  - a. To enter upon the permittee's premises on which the facility is located or in which any records are required to be kept under the terms and conditions of this permit;
  - b. To have access to and copy at reasonable times any records required to be kept under the terms and conditions of this permit or the State Air Pollution Control Board Regulations;
  - c. To inspect at reasonable times any facility, equipment, or process subject to the terms and conditions of this permit or the State Air Pollution Control Board Regulations; and
  - d. To sample or test at reasonable times.

Radford Army Ammunition Plant Registration No.: 20656 March 22, 2016 Page 13 of 14

For purposes of this condition, the time for inspection shall be deemed reasonable during regular business hours or whenever the facility is in operation. Nothing contained herein shall make an inspection time unreasonable during an emergency. (9VAC5-170-130 and 9VAC5-80-1180)

29. Maintenance/Operating Procedures - At all times, including periods of start-up, shutdown and malfunction, the permittee shall, to the extent practicable, maintain and operate the affected source, including associated air pollution control equipment, in a manner consistent with good air pollution control practices for minimizing emissions.

The permittee shall take the following measures in order to minimize the duration and frequency of excess emissions, with respect to air pollution control equipment and process equipment which affect such emissions:

- a. Develop a maintenance schedule and maintain records of all scheduled and non-scheduled maintenance.
- b. Maintain an inventory of spare parts.
- c. Have available written operating procedures for equipment. These procedures shall be based on the manufacturer's recommendations, at a minimum.
- d. Train operators in the proper operation of all such equipment and familiarize the operators with the written operating procedures, prior to their first operation of such equipment. The permittee shall maintain records of the training provided including the names of trainees, the date of training and the nature of the training.

Records of maintenance and training shall be maintained on site for a period of five years and shall be made available to DEQ personnel upon request. (9VAC5-50-20 E and 9VAC5-80-1180 D)

- 30. Record of Malfunctions The permittee shall maintain records of the occurrence and duration of any bypass, malfunction, shutdown or failure of the facility or its associated air pollution control equipment that results in excess emissions for more than one hour. Records shall include the date, time, duration, description (emissions unit, pollutant affected, cause), corrective action, preventive measures taken and name of person generating the record. (9VAC5-20-180 J and 9VAC5-80-1180 D)
- 31. Notification for Facility or Control Equipment Malfunction The permittee shall furnish notification to the Blue Ridge Regional Office of malfunctions of the affected facility or related air pollution control equipment that may cause excess emissions for more than one hour, by facsimile transmission, telephone or telegraph. Such notification shall be made as soon as practicable but no later than four daytime business hours after the malfunction is discovered. The permittee shall provide a written statement giving all pertinent facts, including the estimated duration of the breakdown, within two weeks of discovery of the malfunction. When the condition causing the

Radford Army Ammunition Plant Registration No.: 20656 March 22, 2016 Page 14 of 14

failure or malfunction has been corrected and the equipment is again in operation, the permittee shall notify the Blue Ridge Regional Office. (9VAC5-20-180 C and 9VAC5-80-1180)

- 32. Violation of Ambient Air Quality Standard The permittee shall, upon request of the DEQ, reduce the level of operation or shut down a facility, as necessary to avoid violating any primary ambient air quality standard and shall not return to normal operation until such time as the ambient air quality standard will not be violated. (9VAC5-20-180 I and 9VAC5-80-1180)
- 33. Change of Ownership In the case of a transfer of ownership of a stationary source, the new owner shall abide by any current minor NSR permit issued to the previous owner. The new owner shall notify the Blue Ridge Regional Office of the change of ownership within 30 days of the transfer. (9VAC5-80-1240)
- Permit Copy The permittee shall keep a copy of this permit on the premises of the facility to which it applies. (9VAC5-80-1180)

1

Appendix C: Celanese Acetate, LLC December 6, 2012, Permit



## COMMONWEALTH of VIRGINIA

Douglas W. Domenech Secretary of Natural Resources

Lynchburg Office 7705 Timberlake Road Lynchburg, Virginia 24502 (434) 582-5120 Fax (434) 582-5125 DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY Blue Ridge Regional Office www.deq.virginia.gov

December 6, 2012

David K. Paylor Director

Robert J. Weld Regional Director

Roanoke Office 3019 Peters Creek Road Roanoke, Virginia 24019 (540) 562-6700 Fax (540) 562-6725

Mr. Bill Batson Site Manager Celanese Acetate LLC PO Box 1000, Route 460 Narrows, VA 24124

> Location: Giles County Registration No.: 20304 AIRS ID: 51-071-0004

Dear Mr. Batson:

Attached is a permit to construct and operate six natural gas fired boilers in accordance with the provisions of the Virginia State Air Pollution Control Board Regulations for the Control and Abatement of Air Pollution.

This permit contains legally enforceable conditions. Failure to comply may result in a Notice of Violation and/or civil charges. <u>Please read all permit conditions carefully</u>.

The Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) deemed the application complete on August 15, 2012. The Department solicited written public comments by placing a newspaper advertisement in the *Virginian Leader* on October 17, 2012. The required comment period provided by 9 VAC 5-80-1775 expired on December 5, 2012. A public hearing was held on November 20, 2012.

This permit approval to construct and operate shall not relieve Celanese Acetate, LLC of the responsibility to comply with all other local, state, and federal permit regulations.

The Board's Regulations as contained in Title 9 of the Virginia Administrative Code 5-170-200 provide that you may request a formal hearing from this case decision by filing a petition with the Board within 30 days after this case decision notice was mailed or delivered to you. 9 VAC 5-170-180 provides that you may request direct consideration of the decision by the Board if the Director of the DEQ made the decision. Please consult the relevant regulations for additional requirements for such requests.

Mr. Bill Batson December 6, 2012 Page 2

As provided by Rule 2A:2 of the Supreme Court of Virginia, you have 30 days from the date you actually received this permit or the date on which it was mailed to you, whichever occurred first, within which to initiate an appeal of this decision by filing a Notice of Appeal with:

David K. Paylor, Director Department of Environmental Quality P. O. Box 1105 Richmond, VA 23218

If this permit was delivered to you by mail, three days are added to the thirty-day period in which to file an appeal. Please refer to Part Two A of the Rules of the Supreme Court of Virginia for information on the required content of the Notice of Appeal and for additional requirements governing appeals from decisions of administrative agencies.

If you have any questions concerning this permit, please contact Patrick Corbett at (434)582-6230.

Sincerely,

Robert J. Weld Regional Director

Attachment: Permit

Source Testing Report Format

cc: Director, OAPP (electronic file submission) Manager, Data Analysis (electronic file submission) Chief, Air Enforcement Branch (3AP13), U.S. EPA, Region III



COMMONWEALTH of VIRGINIA

Douglas W. Domenech Secretary of Natural Resources

Lynchburg Office 7705 Timberlake Road Lynchburg, Virginia 24502 (434) 582-5120 Fax (434) 582-5125 DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY Blue Ridge Regional Office

www.deq.virginia.gov

Roanoke Office 3019 Peters Creek Road Roanoke, Virginia 24019 (540) 562-6700 Fax (540) 562-6725

David K. Paylor Director

Robert J. Weld

Regional Director

#### PREVENTION OF SIGNIFICANT DETERIORATION PERMIT STATIONARY SOURCE PERMIT TO CONSTRUCT AND OPERATE

This permit includes designated equipment subject to New Source Performance Standards (NSPS).

In compliance with the Federal Clean Air Act and the Commonwealth of Virginia Regulations for the Control and Abatement of Air Pollution,

> Celanese Acetate, LLC PO Box 1000, Route 460 Narrows, Virginia 24124 Registration No.: 20304

is authorized to construct and operate

six natural gas-fired boilers

located at

3520 Virginia Avenue near Narrows, Virginia

in accordance with the Conditions of this permit.

Approved on December 6, 2012.

1. IM

Robert J. Weld Regional Director

Permit consists of 14 pages. Permit Conditions 1 to 36.

Commonwealth of Virginia Round 3 2010 SO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS Recommendations

Celanese Acetate, LLC Registration No.: 20304 December 6, 2012 Page 2 of 14

### **INTRODUCTION**

This permit approval is based on the permit application dated May 8, 2012, including supplemental information dated July 19, 2012 and August 13, 2012. Any changes in the permit application specifications or any existing facilities which alter the impact of the facility on air quality may require a permit. Failure to obtain such a permit prior to construction may result in enforcement action. In addition, this facility may be subject to additional applicable requirements not listed in this permit.

Words or terms used in this permit shall have meanings as provided in 9VAC5-10-20 of the State Air Pollution Control Board Regulations for the Control and Abatement of Air Pollution. The regulatory reference or authority for each condition is listed in parentheses () after each condition.

Annual requirements to fulfill legal obligations to maintain current stationary source emissions data will necessitate a prompt response by the permittee to requests by the DEQ or the Board for information to include, as appropriate: process and production data; changes in control equipment; and operating schedules. Such requests for information from the DEQ will either be in writing or by personal contact.

The availability of information submitted to the DEQ or the Board will be governed by applicable provisions of the Freedom of Information Act, §§2.2-3700 through 2.2-3714 of the Code of Virginia, §10.1-1314 (addressing information provided to the Board) of the Code of Virginia, and 9VAC5-170-60 of the State Air Pollution Control Board Regulations. Information provided to federal officials is subject to appropriate federal law and regulations governing confidentiality of such information.

#### PROCESS REQUIREMENTS

| Edubment to  | De Constitucieu  |                       |                         |
|--------------|--|-----------------------|-------------------------|
| Ref. No.     | <b>Equipment Description</b>                                 | <b>Rated Capacity</b> | Federal<br>Requirements |
| B10-B15      | Six natural gas-fired boilers with distillate<br>oil back-up | 400 MMBtu/hr, each    | NSPS Db                 |
| TK01 - TK03  | Three distillate oil storage tanks                           | 250,000 gallons, each |                         |
| Equipment Pr | eviously Installed   |                       |                         |
| Ref. No.     | Equipment Description  | Rated Capacity        | Federal<br>Requirements |
| B1           | Babcox & Wilcox, Coal Boiler #1                              | 91 MMBtu/hr           |                         |
| B2           | Babcox & Wilcox, Coal Boiler #2                              | 91MMBtu/hr            | 1000 M                  |
| B3           | Riley, Coal Boiler #3  | 184 MMBtu/hr          |                         |
| B4           | Riley, Coal Boiler #4  | 184 MMBtu/hr          |                         |
| B5           | Riley, Coal Boiler #5  | 246 MMBtu/hr          |                         |
| B6           | Riley, Coal Boiler #6  | 246 MMBtu/hr          |                         |
| B7           | Foster Wheeler, Coal Boiler #7                               | 322 MMBtu/hr          |                         |
| 3BHSL001S1   | Dry flyash handling system                                   |                       |                         |
| 3BHSL001S1   | Dry flyash handling system                                   |                       |                         |
| 3BHSL001S1   | Dry flyash handling system ash storage silo                  |                       | 1                       |
| 3BHAS002S1   | Coal Handling & Storage                                      |                       |                         |
| 3BHTK001S1   | Fuel Oil Storage Tank  | 250,000 gal           |                         |

1. Equipment List - Equipment at this facility includes, but is not limited to, the following:

Specifications included in the permit under this Condition are for informational purposes only and do not form enforceable terms or conditions of the permit.

(9VAC5-80-1180 D.3 and 9VAC5-80-1985)

Celanese Acetate, LLC Registration No.: 20304 December 6, 2012 Page 3 of 14

- Fuel The approved fuels for the natural gas-fired boilers (B10 B15) are distillate oil and natural gas. A change in the fuels may require a permit to modify and operate. (9VAC5-80-1180 and 9VAC5-80-1985)
- 3. Fuel Throughput The distillate oil storage tanks' (TK01 TK03) combined throughput shall not exceed 6,470,720 gallons of distillate oil fuel per year, calculated as the sum of each consecutive 12 month period. Throughput shall be calculated using the volume unloaded into the tanks, excluding the initial filling event of each tank (i.e., a one-time unloading event). (9VAC5-80-1985, 9VAC5-80-1705, and 9VAC5-50-280)
- 4. Fuel Throughput Consumption of coal in the coal fired boilers (B1 B7) shall be limited by the following schedule:
  - a. Upon the first natural gas-fired boiler (B10) becoming operational, coal consumption shall not exceed 7,779,410.6 MMBtu per year, calculated monthly as the sum of each consecutive 12-month period.
  - b. Upon the second natural gas-fired boiler (B11) becoming operational, coal consumption shall not exceed 5,803,736.4 MMBtu per year, calculated monthly as the sum of each consecutive 12-month period.
  - c. Upon the third natural gas-fired boiler (B12) becoming operational, coal consumption shall not exceed 3,828,062.1 MMBtu per year, calculated monthly as the sum of each consecutive 12-month period.
  - d. Upon the fourth natural gas-fired boiler (B13) becoming operational, coal consumption shall not exceed 1,852,387.9 MMBtu per year, calculated monthly as the sum of each consecutive 12-month period.
  - e. Upon the fifth natural gas-fired boiler (B14) becoming operational, the coal boilers (B1 B7) and associated material handling equipment (3BHSL001S1, 3BHSL001S1, 3BHSL001S1, 3BHAS002S1) shall permanently cease operation. Restarting operation for any of this equipment shall be considered a physical change or change in the method of operation at the facility.

Compliance for the consecutive 12-month period shall be demonstrated monthly by adding the total for the most recently completed calendar month to the individual monthly totals for the preceding 11 months. For the purpose of this permit, "becoming operational" does not include a shakedown period for each new natural gas-fired boiler not to exceed 180 days after start-up. "Becoming operational" will have occurred no later than the beginning of the first initial performance test required pursuant to this permit or 40CFR60 Subpart Db for each boiler. (9VAC5-80-1180)

5. Fuel Throughput - The natural gas-fired boilers (B10 – B15) combined shall consume no more than 17,691,928 MMBtu of natural gas per year and 873,547.2 MMBtu of distillate oil per year,

Celanese Acetate, LLC Registration No.: 20304 December 6, 2012 Page 4 of 14

calculated as the sum of each consecutive 12 month period. If both fuels are combusted during any consecutive 12 month period, the natural gas limitation shall not exceed the amount as calculated by the following equation:

NG = 17691928 - 1.3984 \* DO

where

NG = all boilers combined annual limit on consumption of natural gas, in units of MMBtu/yr

DO = all boilers combined annual consumption of distillate oil, in units of MMBtu/yr

Compliance for the consecutive 12-month period shall be demonstrated monthly by adding the total for the most recently completed calendar month to the individual monthly totals for the preceding 11 months. (9VAC5-80-1180)

6. Fuel Specifications - The approved fuels shall meet the specifications below:

DISTILLATE OIL which meets the ASTM D396 specification for Grades 1 or 2 fuel oil: Maximum sulfur content per shipment: 15 ppm

NATURAL GAS which meets the definition contained in 40CFR72.2 for "pipeline natural gas"

(9VAC5-80-1180 and 9VAC5-80-1985)

- 7. **Fuel Certification** The permittee shall obtain a certification from the fuel supplier with each shipment of distillate oil. Each fuel supplier certification shall include the following:
  - a. The name of the fuel supplier;
  - b. The date on which the distillate oil was received;
  - c. The quantity of distillate oil delivered in the shipment;
  - A statement that the distillate oil complies with the American Society for Testing and Materials specifications ASTM D396 for Grades 1 or 2 fuel oil, or other DEQ approved fuel specifications;
  - e. The sulfur content of the distillate oil;

Fuel sampling and analysis, independent of that used for certification, as may be periodically required or conducted by DEQ may be used to determine compliance with the fuel specifications stipulated in Condition 6. Exceedance of these specifications may be considered credible evidence of the exceedance of emission limits.

(9VAC5-80-1180, 9VAC5-80-1985, and 9VAC5-50-410)

Celanese Acetate, LLC Registration No.: 20304 December 6, 2012 Page 5 of 14

#### **EMISSION LIMITS**

8. Emission Limits - Emissions from the operation of each natural gas-fired boiler (B10 - B15) when firing distillate oil shall not exceed the limits specified below:

| PM (filterable)                          | 5.9 lbs/hr  |
|--|-------------|
| PM-10                                    | 6.8 lbs/hr  |
| Nitrogen Oxides<br>(as NO <sub>2</sub> ) | 30.7 lbs/hr |
|  |             |

(9VAC5-80-1180)

9. Emission Limits - Emissions from the operation of each natural gas-fired boiler (B10 - B15) when firing only natural gas shall not exceed the limits specified below:

| PM (filterable)                          | ÷        | 0.8 lbs/hr  |
|--|----------|-------------|
| PM-10                                    |          | 3.1 lbs/hr  |
| Nitrogen Oxides<br>(as NO <sub>2</sub> ) | 10<br>10 | 14.6 lbs/hr |

(9VAC5-80-1180)

10. Emission Limits - Emissions from the operation of each natural gas-fired boiler (B10 - B15) shall not exceed the limits specified below:

| Nitrogen Oxides (distillate oil)<br>(as NO <sub>2</sub> ) | 0.077 lb/MMBtu* |  |
|---|-----------------|--|
|   | a               |  |
| Nitrogen Oxides (natural gas)                             | 0.036 lb/MMBtu* |  |

Nitrogen Oxides (natural gas) (as NO<sub>2</sub>)

\* - Calculated as a rolling 30-day average including periods of start-up and shutdown. For any 30day period with multiple fuel combustion ("simultaneously combusts"), the limitation shall be calculated in accordance with 40CFR60.44b(b) except the emission limitations for natural gas and distillate oil shall be those from this condition.

(9VAC5-80-1180)

Celanese Acetate, LLC Registration No.: 20304 December 6, 2012 Page 6 of 14

11. Emission Limits - Emissions from the operation of each natural gas-fired boiler (B10 - B15) when firing distillate oil shall not exceed the limits specified below:

| Carbon Monoxide               | 50 ppm @ 3% O <sub>2</sub> * | (9VAC5-80-1705 and 9VAC5-50-280) |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Carbon Monoxide               | 15.6 lbs/hr                  | (9VAC5-80-1715)                  |
| Volatile Organic<br>Compounds | 2.2 lbs/hr                   | (9VAC5-80-1705 and 9VAC5-50-280) |

\* - Calculated as a rolling 24-hour average including periods of start-up and shutdown

(9VAC5-80-1985)

12. Emission Limits - Emissions from the operation of each natural gas-fired boiler (B10 - B15) when firing only natural gas shall not exceed the limits specified below:

| Carbon Monoxide               | 50 ppm @ 3% O <sub>2</sub> * | (9VAC5-80-1705 and 9VAC5-50-280) |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Carbon Monoxide               | 14.8 lbs/hr                  | (9VAC5-80-1715)                  |
| Volatile Organic<br>Compounds | 2.2 lbs/hr                   | (9VAC5-80-1705 and 9VAC5-50-280) |

\* - Calculated as a rolling 24-hour average including periods of start-up and shutdown

(9VAC5-80-1985)

13. Emission Limits - Total emissions from the natural gas-fired boilers (B10 – B15) combined shall not exceed the limits specified below:

| PM                                       |     | 22.1 tons/yr  |
|--|-----|---------------|
| PM-10                                    | s s | 70.0 tons/yr  |
| Nitrogen Oxides<br>(as NO <sub>2</sub> ) |     | 333.3 tons/yr |
|  |     |               |

These emissions are derived from the estimated overall emission contribution from operating limits. Exceedance of the operating limits may be considered credible evidence of the exceedance of emission limits. Compliance with these emission limits may be determined as stated in Conditions 5, 8, 9, 11, and 12. (9VAC5-80-1180)

Celanese Acetate, LLC Registration No.: 20304 December 6, 2012 Page 7 of 14

14. Emission Limits - Total emissions from the natural gas-fired boilers (B10 – B15) combined shall not exceed the limits specified below:

Carbon Monoxide

326.7 tons/yr

Volatile Organic Compounds

48.7 tons/yr

x x 4

These emissions are derived from the estimated overall emission contribution from operating limits. Exceedance of the operating limits may be considered credible evidence of the exceedance of emission limits. Compliance with these emission limits may be determined as stated in Conditions 5, 8, 9, 11, and 12. (9VAC5-80-1985)

- Visible Emission Limit Visible emissions from each natural gas-fired boiler (B10 B15) shall not exceed 10 percent opacity except during one six-minute period in any one hour in which visible emissions shall not exceed 20 percent opacity as determined by the EPA Method 9 (reference 40 CFR 60, Appendix A). (9VAC5-80-1180)
- Requirements by Reference Except where this permit is more restrictive than the applicable requirement, the NSPS equipment as described in Condition 1 shall be operated in compliance with the requirements of 40CFR60 Subpart Db. (9VAC5-80-1180, 9VAC5-50-400, and 9VAC5-50-410)

### CEMS/COMS

17. CEMS - Continuous Emission Monitoring Systems, meeting the design specifications of 40CFR Part 60, Appendix B, shall be installed to measure and record the emissions of nitrogen oxides (NOx) as lbs/MMBtu and either the oxygen or carbon dioxide content of the flue gases from each natural gas-fired boiler (B10 - B15). The CEMS shall be installed, calibrated, maintained, audited and operated in accordance with the requirements of 40CFR60.13, NSPS Subpart Db, and Appendices B and F. Data shall be reduced to 30 day rolling averages per the procedures in 40 CFR 60 Subpart Db.

(9VAC5-80-1180, 9VAC5-50-410, and 9VAC5-50-40)

18. CEMS Performance Evaluations - Performance evaluations of the continuous monitoring systems shall be conducted in accordance with 40CFR Part 60, Appendix B, and shall take place during the performance tests under 9VAC5-50-30 or within 30 days thereafter. Two copies of the performance evaluations report shall be submitted to the Blue Ridge Regional Office within 45 days of the evaluation. The continuous monitoring systems shall be installed and operational prior to conducting initial performance tests. Verification of operational status shall, as a minimum, include completion of the manufacturer's written requirements or recommendations for installation, operation and calibration of the device. A 30 day notification, prior to the demonstration of

Celanese Acetate, LLC Registration No.: 20304 December 6, 2012 Page 8 of 14

continuous monitoring system's performance, and subsequent notifications shall be submitted to the Blue Ridge Regional Office. (9VAC5-80-1180, 9VAC5-50-410, and 9VAC5-50-40)

- CEMS Quality Control Program A CEMS quality control program which meets the requirements of 40 CFR 60.13 and Appendix B or F shall be implemented for all continuous monitoring systems. (9VAC5-80-1180, 9VAC5-50-410, and 9VAC5-50-40)
- 20. **Reports for Continuous Monitoring Systems** The permittee shall furnish written reports to the Blue Ridge Regional Office of excess emissions from any process monitored by a continuous monitoring system (CEMS) on a quarterly basis, postmarked no later than the 30th day following the end of the calendar quarter. These reports shall include, but are not limited to the following information:
  - a. The magnitude of excess emissions, any conversion factors used in the calculation of excess emissions, and the date and time of commencement and completion of each period of excess emissions;
  - b. Specific identification of each period of excess emissions that occurs during startups, shutdowns, and malfunctions of the process, the nature and cause of the malfunction (if known), the corrective action taken or preventative measures adopted;
  - c. The date and time identifying each period during which the continuous monitoring system was inoperative except for zero and span checks and the nature of the system repairs or adjustments; and
  - d. When no excess emissions have occurred or the continuous monitoring systems have not been inoperative, repaired or adjusted, such information shall be stated in that report.

(9VAC5-80-1180, 9VAC5-50-410, and 9VAC5-50-50)

#### INITIAL COMPLIANCE DETERMINATION

21. Stack Test - Initial performance tests shall be conducted for carbon monoxide (on both lb/hr and 24-hour ppm basis) and volatile organic compounds (lb/hr) from each natural gas-fired boiler (B10 - B15) to determine compliance with the emission limits contained in Condition12. The tests shall be performed and demonstrate compliance within 60 days after achieving the maximum production rate at which the facility will be operated but in no event later than 180 days after start-up of each natural gas-fired boiler (B10 - B15). Tests shall be conducted and reported and data reduced as set forth in 9VAC5-50-30, and the test methods and procedures contained in each applicable section or subpart listed in 9VAC5-50-410. The details of the tests are to be arranged with the Blue Ridge Regional Office. The permittee shall submit a test protocol at least 30 days prior to testing. One copy of the test results shall be submitted to the Blue Ridge Regional Office within 60 days after test completion and shall conform to the test report format enclosed with this permit. (9VAC5-50-30 and 9VAC5-80-1675)

Celanese Acetate, LLC Registration No.: 20304 December 6, 2012 Page 9 of 14

22. Stack Test - Initial performance test shall be conducted for NOx (lbs/hr) from the each natural gasfired boiler (B10 - B15) to determine compliance with the emission limits contained in Conditions 8 and 9 (each fuel separately). The tests shall be performed concurrently with the CO (lb/hr) test required in Condition 21. The tests shall be performed and demonstrate compliance within 60 days after achieving the maximum production rate at which the facility will be operated but in no event later than 180 days after start-up of each natural gas-fired boiler (B10 - B15). Tests shall be conducted and reported and data reduced as set forth in 9VAC5-50-30, and the test methods and procedures contained in each applicable section or subpart listed in 9VAC5-50-410, unless an alternative method utilizing NOx CEMS data is approved in the protocol. The details of the tests are to be arranged with the Blue Ridge Regional Office. The permittee shall submit a test protocol at least 30 days prior to testing. One copy of the results of each test shall be submitted to the Blue Ridge Regional Office within 60 days after test completion and shall conform to the test report format enclosed with this permit. (9VAC5-50-30 and 9VAC5-80-1200)

23. Stack Test - Initial performance tests shall be conducted for NOx (lbs/MMBtu) from each natural gas-fired boiler (B10 - B15) to determine compliance with the emission limit contained in Condition 10. The tests shall be performed and demonstrate compliance within 60 days after achieving the maximum production rate at which the facility will be operated but in no event later than 180 days after start-up of each natural gas-fired boiler (B10 - B15). Tests shall be conducted and reported and data reduced as set forth in 9VAC5-50-30, and the test methods and procedures contained in each applicable section or subpart listed in 9VAC5-50-410. The details of the tests are to be arranged with the Blue Ridge Regional Office. The permittee shall submit a test protocol at least 30 days prior to testing. One copy of the results of each test shall be submitted to the Blue Ridge Regional Office within 60 days after test completion and shall conform to the test report format enclosed with this permit.

(9VAC5-50-30, 9VAC5-80-1200, and 9VAC5-50-410)

24. Visible Emissions Evaluation - Concurrently with the initial performance test for CO (lb/hr) required in Condition 21 for each natural gas-fired boiler (B10 - B15), Visible Emission Evaluations (VEE) in accordance with 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A, Method 9, shall be conducted by the permittee. Each test shall consist of 30 sets of 24 consecutive observations (at 15 second intervals) to yield a six minute average. The details of the tests are to be arranged with the Blue Ridge Regional Office. The permittee shall submit a test protocol at least 30 days prior to testing. The evaluation shall be performed and demonstrate compliance within 60 days after achieving the maximum production rate at which the facility will be operated but in no event later than 180 days after start-up of the permitted facility. Should conditions prevent concurrent opacity observations, the Blue Ridge Regional Office shall be notified in writing, within seven days, and visible emissions testing shall be rescheduled within 30 days. Rescheduled testing shall be conducted under the same conditions (as possible) as the initial performance tests. One copy of the results of each test shall be submitted to the Blue Ridge Regional Office within 60 days after test completion and shall conform to the test report format enclosed with this permit.

1

(9VAC5-50-30, 9VAC5-80-1200, and 9VAC5-50-410)

Celanese Acetate, LLC Registration No.: 20304 December 6, 2012 Page 10 of 14

25. Stack Test - Initial performance tests and VEEs required in Conditions 21, 22, 24shall be conducted when firing distillate oil. These tests shall be performed within 45 days after the boiler (B10 – B15) has combusted any amount of distillate oil for more than 48 hours in any 12-month period, excluding periods of natural gas curtailment or supply interruption beyond the permittee's control. The test protocol shall be submitted with the notification required in Condition 27.e. (9VAC5-50-30, 9VAC5-80-1200, and 9VAC5-80-1675)

#### RECORDS

- 26. On Site Records The permittee shall maintain records of emission data and operating parameters as necessary to demonstrate compliance with this permit. The content and format of such records shall be arranged with the Blue Ridge Regional Office. These records shall include, but are not limited to:
  - a. For each natural gas-fired boiler (B10 B15), daily, monthly, and annual consumption (in MMBtu) of natural gas and distillate oil. Annual throughput shall be calculated monthly as the sum of each consecutive 12-month period. Compliance for the consecutive 12-month period shall be demonstrated monthly by adding the total for the most recently completed calendar month to the individual monthly totals for the preceding 11 months.
  - b. For each boiler, the hours of operation when firing any amount of distillate oil and the reason for operation in this mode. Annual hours of operation shall be calculated monthly as the sum of each consecutive 12-month period and shall exclude periods of natural gas curtailment or supply interruption beyond the permittee's control. These records shall be used to determine the testing requirements of Condition 25.
  - c. Monthly calculations demonstrating the annual natural gas combustion limitation in accordance with Condition 5.
  - d. Beginning on the date the first natural gas-fired boiler becomes operational, monthly coal combustion in each of the seven coal fired boilers (B1 B7). Annual coal combustion shall be calculated monthly as the sum of each consecutive 12 month period to demonstrate compliance with each separate limitation in Condition 4.
  - e. Annual total distillate oil throughput for the distillate oil storage tanks (TK01 TK03) calculated monthly as the sum of each consecutive 12-month period to demonstrate compliance with the limitation in Condition 3.
  - f. All fuel supplier certifications.
  - g. Monthly emissions calculations for NOx, CO, VOC, PM, PM-10, and PM-2.5 using calculation methods approved in writing by the Blue Ridge Regional Office to verify compliance with the top/yr emissions limitations in Conditions 13 and 14.
  - h. Scheduled and unscheduled maintenance, and operator training.

Celanese Acetate, LLC Registration No.: 20304 December 6, 2012 Page 11 of 14

- i. Results of all stack tests, visible emission evaluations and performance evaluations.
- j. Continuous monitoring system calibrations and calibration checks, percent operating time, and excess emissions.
- For each natural gas-fired boiler (B10 B15), other records required to comply with Condition 16.
- For each natural gas-fired boiler (B10 B15) curtailment or supply interruption, the cause of the interruption, the duration of the interruption (in hours), the amount of distillate oil fired during the period, and the corrective actions taken to restore supply for on-site interruptions.

These records shall be available for inspection by the DEQ and shall be current for the most recent five years.

(9VAC5-80-1180, 9VAC5-80-1985, 9VAC5-50-410, and 9VAC5-50-50)

#### **NOTIFICATIONS**

- 27. Initial Notifications The permittee shall furnish written notification to the Blue Ridge Regional Office of:
  - a. The actual date on which construction of each natural gas-fired boiler (B10 B15) commenced within 30 days after such date.
  - b. The actual start-up date of each natural gas-fired boiler (B10 B15) within 15 days after such date.
  - c. The actual date on which each natural gas-fired boiler (B10 B15) becomes operational (as defined in Condition 4) within 15 days after such date.
  - d. The anticipated date of continuous monitoring system performance evaluations postmarked not less than 30 days prior to such date.
  - e. The anticipated date of performance tests of each natural gas-fired boiler (B10 B15) postmarked at least 30 days prior to such date. Notification for the purpose of Condition 25 shall be within 15 days after the date on which the 48 hours was exceeded.

Copies of the written notification referenced in items a, b, d, and e above are to be sent to: Associate Director Office of Air Enforcement and Compliance Assistance (3AP20) U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region III 1650 Arch Street Philadelphia, PA 19103-2029

(9VAC5-50-50 and 9VAC5-80-1180)

Celanese Acetate, LLC Registration No.: 20304 December 6, 2012 Page 12 of 14

#### GENERAL CONDITIONS

- 28. Permit Invalidation This permit to construct the natural gas-fired boilers (B10 B15) shall become invalid, unless an extension is granted by the DEQ, if:
  - a. A program of continuous construction, reconstruction, or modification is not commenced within 18 months from the date of this permit; or
  - b. A program of construction, reconstruction, or modification is discontinued for a period of 18 months or more, or is not completed within a reasonable time, except for a DEQ approved period between phases of a phased construction project.

(9VAC5-80-1210 and 9VAC5-80-1985)

- 29. Permit Suspension/Revocation This permit may be suspended or revoked if the permittee:
  - a. Knowingly makes material misstatements in the permit application or any amendments to it;
  - b. Fails to comply with the conditions of this permit;
  - c. Fails to comply with any emission standards applicable to a permitted emissions unit;
  - d. Causes emissions from the stationary source which result in violations of, or interfere with the attainment and maintenance of, any ambient air quality standard; or
  - e. Fails to operate in conformance with any applicable control strategy, including any emission standards or emission limitations, in the State Implementation Plan in effect at the time an application for this permit is submitted.
  - (9VAC5-80-1210 F and 9VAC5-80-1985)
- 30. **Right of Entry** The permittee shall allow authorized local, state, and federal representatives, upon the presentation of credentials:
  - a. To enter upon the permittee's premises on which the facility is located or in which any records are required to be kept under the terms and conditions of this permit;
  - b. To have access to and copy at reasonable times any records required to be kept under the terms and conditions of this permit or the State Air Pollution Control Board Regulations;
  - c. To inspect at reasonable times any facility, equipment, or process subject to the terms and conditions of this permit or the State Air Pollution Control Board Regulations; and
Celanese Acetate, LLC Registration No.: 20304 December 6, 2012 Page 13 of 14

d. To sample or test at reasonable times.

For purposes of this condition, the time for inspection shall be deemed reasonable during regular business hours or whenever the facility is in operation. Nothing contained herein shall make an inspection time unreasonable during an emergency. (9VAC5-170-130 and 9VAC5-80-1180)

31. Maintenance/Operating Procedures - At all times, including periods of start-up, shutdown, and malfunction, the permittee shall, to the extent practicable, maintain and operate the affected source, including associated air pollution control equipment, in a manner consistent with good air pollution control practices for minimizing emissions.

The permittee shall take the following measures in order to minimize the duration and frequency of excess emissions, with respect to the process equipment which affect such emissions:

- a. Develop a maintenance schedule and maintain records of all scheduled and non-scheduled maintenance.
- b. Maintain an inventory of spare parts.
- c. Have available written operating procedures for equipment. These procedures shall be based on the manufacturer's recommendations, at a minimum.
- d. Train operators in the proper operation of all such equipment and familiarize the operators with the written operating procedures, prior to their first operation of such equipment. The permittee shall maintain records of the training provided including the names of trainees, the date of training and the nature of the training.

Records of maintenance and training shall be maintained on site for a period of five years and shall be made available to DEQ personnel upon request. (9VAC5-50-20 E and 9VAC5-80-1180 D)

- 32. Record of Malfunctions The permittee shall maintain records of the occurrence and duration of any bypass, malfunction, shutdown or failure of the facility or its associated air pollution control equipment that results in excess emissions for more than one hour. Records shall include the date, time, duration, description (emission unit, pollutant affected, cause), corrective action, preventive measures taken and name of person generating the record. (9VAC 5-20-180 J and 9VAC5-80-1180 D)
- 33. Notification for Facility or Control Equipment Malfunction The permittee shall furnish notification to the Blue Ridge Regional Office of malfunctions of the affected facility or related air pollution control equipment that may cause excess emissions for more than one hour, by facsimile transmission, telephone or telegraph. Such notification shall be made as soon as practicable but no later than four daytime business hours after the malfunction is discovered. The permittee shall provide a written statement giving all pertinent facts, including the estimated duration of the

Celanese Acetate, LLC Registration No.: 20304 December 6, 2012 Page 14 of 14

breakdown, within two weeks of discovery of the malfunction. When the condition causing the failure or malfunction has been corrected and the equipment is again in operation, the permittee shall notify the Blue Ridge Regional Office. (9VAC5-20-180 C and 9VAC5-80-1180)

- 34. Violation of Ambient Air Quality Standard The permittee shall, upon request of the DEQ, reduce the level of operation or shut down a facility, as necessary to avoid violating any primary ambient air quality standard and shall not return to normal operation until such time as the ambient air quality standard will not be violated. (9VAC5-20-180 I and 9VAC5-80-1180)
- 35. Change of Ownership In the case of a transfer of ownership of a stationary source, the new owner shall abide by any current permit issued to the previous owner. The new owner shall notify the Blue Ridge Regional Office of the change of ownership within 30 days of the transfer. (9VAC5-80-1240 and 9VAC5-80-1975)
- Permit Copy The permittee shall keep a copy of this permit on the premises of the facility to which it applies. (9VAC5-80-1180)

Appendix D: Dominion - Chesapeake Power Station Title V Permit Excerpt and Potential To Emit Calculations



COMMONWEALTH of VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

TIDEWATER REGIONAL OFFICE 5636 Southern Boulevard, Virginia Beach, Virginia 23462 (757) 518-2000 Fax (757) 518-2009 www.deq.virginia.gov

Molly Joseph Ward Secretary of Natural Resources David K. Paylor Director

Maria R. Nold Regional Director

## Federal Operating Permit Article 3

This permit is based upon Federal Clean Air Act acid rain permitting requirements of Title IV, federal operating permit requirements of Title V and Chapter 80, Article 3. Until such time as this permit is reopened and revised, modified, revoked, terminated or expires, the permittee is authorized to operate in accordance with the terms and conditions contained herein. This permit is issued under the authority of Title 10.1, Chapter 13, §10.1-1322 of the Air Pollution Control Law of Virginia. This permit is issued consistent with the Administrative Process Act, and 9VAC5-80-360 through 9VAC5-80-700.

Authorization to operate a Stationary Source of Air Pollution as described in this permit is hereby granted to:

Permittee Name: Facility Name: Facility Location: Registration Number: Permit Number: Virginia Electric and Power Company Dominion Generation - Chesapeake Energy Center 2701 Vepco Street Chesapeake, Virginia 60163 TRO-60163

This permit includes the following programs:

Federally Enforceable Requirements - Clean Air Act (Sections I through IX) Federally Enforceable Requirements - Title IV Acid Rain (Section X) Federally Enforceable Requirements – Cross State Air Pollution Rule (CSAPR) (Section XI)

March 22, 2016

Effective Date

December 31, 2020

Expiration Date

al 22. Maria R. Nold

Mana K Vol

Signature Date

Table of Contents, page 2 Permit Conditions, pages 5-25

Dominion Generation - Chesapeake Energy Center Permit Number: TRO-60163 Effective Date: March 22, 2016 Page 2

# **Table of Contents**

| I.                   | FACILITY INFORMATION  |
|----------------------|---|
| 11.                  | EMISSION UNITS  |
| III.                 | TURBINE REQUIREMENTS – (UNITS ES-5 THRU ES-8)   |
| А.<br>В.<br>С.       | LIMITATIONS      5        MONITORING      6        RECORDREEPING      7   |
| IV.                  | INTERNAL COMBUSTION ENGINE REQUIREMENTS   |
| В.<br>С.             | MONITORING  |
| V.                   | GASOLINE DISPENSING FACILITY (GDF) REQUIREMENTS (ES-24)11   |
| В.<br>С.             | MONITORING  |
| VI.                  | FACILITY WIDE CONDITIONS  |
| А.<br>В.<br>С.<br>D. | LIMITATIONS      12        MONITORING      12        RECORDKEEPING AND REPORTING      13        TESTING      14 |
| VII.                 | INSIGNIFICANT EMISSION UNITS  |
| VIП.                 | PERMIT SHIELD & INAPPLICABLE REQUIREMENTS15   |
| IX.                  | GENERAL CONDITIONS  |
| X.                   | TITLE IV (PHASE II ACID RAIN) PERMIT ALLOWANCES AND REQUIREMENTS (RETIRED UNITS 1-4)24                          |
| А.<br>В.             | STATUTORY AND REGULATORY AUTHORITIES  |
| XI.                  | CROSS-STATE AIR POLLUTION RULE (CSAPR) (RETIRED UNITS 1-4)25  |
| XII.                 | STATE-ONLY ENFORCEABLE REQUIREMENTS   |

Dominion Generation - Chesapeake Energy Center Permit Number: TRO-60163 Effective Date: March 22, 2016 Page 3

# I. Facility Information

### Permittee

Virginia Electric and Power Company 5000 Dominion Boulevard Glen Allen, Virginia 23060

# **Responsible Official**

Kenneth J. Lazzaro Station Director, Dominion - Chesapeake Energy Center

# Acid Rain Designated Representative

David Craymer Vice President - Power Generation USEPA ATS-AAR ID Number 607952

# Facility

Dominion - Chesapeake Energy Center 2701 Vepco Street Chesapeake, Virginia 23323

# **Facility Contact Person**

Scott Lawton Director, Electric Environmental Business Support (804) 273-2600

## AFS Identification Number: 51-550-00026 ORIS Code: 3803 NATS Facility Identification Number: 003803000001

**Facility Description (provided for informational purposes only):** NAICS Code 221112 – Electrical Power Generation - Fossil fuel. The facility produces electrical power using four single cycle combustion turbines each of which is capable of burning either natural gas or diesel fuel / distillate oil. There is a gasoline dispensing facility onsite that is for fueling company vehicles. There are two emergency generators (one propane and one diesel) which have been replaced with 2014 and 2015 models.

The facility shut down four coal-fired boilers and the associated coal and ash handling operations along with the carbon burn out unit on December 23, 2014.

The facility is currently operating under a consent decree from EPA entered on October 3, 2003, however the units cited in the consent decree have been retired and have been dismantled (2015). EPA Region III permitting was contacted to find out if the consent decree should still be part of the permit. They contacted their legal department and we were informed that as long as the units are shutdown, the consent decree is no longer applicable to this facility. Therefore, the EPA consent decree is no longer an attachment to this permit.

The facility is now a Title V major source for  $NO_x$ , CO, and  $SO_2$  and is a PSD size source. Due the facility retiring the coal fired boilers, the facility is now an area source of HAP. Although the coal fired boilers have been retired under the Acid Rain program, the facility is still subject to 40 CFR 72.7, 72.8 and Part 73, Subpart B, and 40 CFR 97.405, 505 and 605, so this permit is a Federal Operating Permit being written under 9VAC5 Chapter 80, Article 3.

This source is located in an attainment area for all pollutants. The facility is currently permitted by a State Operating Permit dated 9/3/96.

Dominion Generation - Chesapeake Energy Center Permit Number: TRO-60163 Effective Date: March 22, 2016 Page 4

## II. Emission Units

Equipment to be operated consists of:

| Turbines   |  |        |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| ES-5 $EP-5$ $Unit 1 - Pratt & Whitney combustion turbine constructed in 1967 Fires diesel 281 x 106 BTU/hrfuel / distillate oil ar natural gas. (nominal)$           | -  | 9/3/96 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ES-6 Unit 2 - Westinghouse 191 combustion turbine constructed in 1969. Fires 263 x 10 <sup>5</sup> BTU/hr<br>diesel fuel / distillate oil or natural gas. (nominal)  | -  | 9/3/96 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ES-7 Unit 4 - Westinghouse 191 combustion turbine constructed in 1969. Fires 263 x 10 <sup>6</sup> BTU/hr<br>diesel fuel / distillate oil or natural gas. (nominal)  | -  | 9/3/96 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ES-8 Unit 6 - Westinghouse 191 combustion turbine constructed in 1969. Fires 263 x 10 <sup>9</sup> BTU/Inr<br>diesel fuel / distillate oil or natural gas. (nominal) | -  | 9/3/96 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Internal Combustion Engines  |  |        |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ES-15 Allis Chalmers Model 25000 black-start engine for ES-6 (CT Unit 2) 450 HP<br>(1969) 40 CFR 63, Subpart ZZZ - Fires diesel fuel / disultate oil 450 HP          | -  | -      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ES-16 - Cummins NTA 855C black-start engine for ES-7 (CT unit 4) 360 HP (1990) 40 CFR 63. Subpart ZZZZ - Fires diesel fuel / distillate oil 360 HP                   | -  | -      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ES-17 Cummins NTA 855C black-start engine for ES-8 (CT unit 6)<br>(1992) 40 CFR 63. Subpart ZZZZ - Fires diesel fuel / distillate oil 360 IIP                        | -  | -      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ES-18 - Caterpillar 3304 Serial # 4B10168 fire pump (1975) 40 CFR 63 ZZZZ 155 HP   | -  | -      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ES-22 Cummins C25 N6 propane emergency generator engine at microwave tower 0.32 mmBtu/hr<br>(2014), NSPS JJJJ and MACT ZZZ 25 kW, 43.5 HP                            | -  | -      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ES-23 CAT C9 emergency generator engine. 3.2 mmBtn/hr<br>(2015) NSPS IIII and MACT ZZZZ - Fires diesel fuel / distillate oil ≤ 300 kW, 375 HP                        | -  | -      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gas Fueling Station  |  |        |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E5-24  Gasoline Fueling Station and Above Ground Storage Tank, MACT CCCCCC<br>(Installed prior to November 9, 2006)  3.000 gallons                                   | Gasoline Fueling Station and Above Ground Storage Tank , MACT CCCCCC 3.000 gallons |        |  |  |  |  |  |  |

\*The Size/Rated capacity is provided for informational purposes only, and is not an applicable requirement.

# Potential To Emit Worksheet for Chesapeake Power Station

| Dominion - Chesapeake Ener     | rgy Center          |                     |              |               |                       |               |                |             |             |  |
|--------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------|---------------|-----------------------|---------------|----------------|-------------|-------------|--|
| Date: December 2016            |                     |                     |              |               |                       |               |                |             |             |  |
| Registration number: 60163     |                     |                     |              |               |                       |               |                |             |             |  |
|                                |                     |                     |              |               |                       |               |                |             |             |  |
|                                |                     |                     |              |               |                       |               |                |             |             |  |
|                                |                     |                     |              |               |                       |               |                |             |             |  |
| <b>Combustion Turbine P</b>    | TE                  | Three 263 MMBtu     | /hr CTs + Or | ne 281 MMBti  | u/hr CT =             | 1070          | MMBtu/hr C     | T Total     |             |  |
|                                |                     |                     |              |               |                       |               |                |             |             |  |
|                                |                     | Natural Gas Heat o  | content =    | 1020          | Btu/scf               |               |                |             |             |  |
|                                |                     | Total hourly usage  | of NG =      | 1.049         | mmscf/hr              |               |                |             |             |  |
|                                |                     |                     |              |               |                       |               |                |             |             |  |
|                                | Emissio             | on Factors          | Hourly       | Potential     | Annual Pot            | ential        |                |             |             |  |
|                                | lb/mmscf            | lb/mmbtu            | ١t           | p/hr          | ton/y                 | r             |                |             |             |  |
|                                | natural gas (ng)    | distillate oil (DO) | ng           | DO            | ng                    | DO            | Max Value      |             |             |  |
| SO2                            | 0.6                 | 0.404               | 0.6          | 432.3         | 2.76                  | 1893.39       | 1893.39        |             |             |  |
|                                |                     |                     |              |               |                       |               |                |             |             |  |
| SO2 emission factor based or   | n distillate oil wi | th an annual averag | ge sulfur co | ntent of 0.4% |                       |               |                |             |             |  |
| Based on review of facilty his | story (10-year ma   | aximum of 0.2%) an  | id current/p | projected dis | tillate oil market, t | his is consid | ered to be a d | conservativ | e estimate. |  |
|                                |                     |                     |              |               |                       |               |                |             |             |  |
|                                |                     |                     |              |               |                       |               |                |             |             |  |
| Internal Combustion E          | ngines              | HP of engines       |              |               |                       |               |                |             |             |  |
|                                |                     | 450                 | diesel       |               |                       |               |                |             |             |  |
|                                |                     | 360                 | diesel       |               |                       |               |                |             |             |  |
|                                |                     | 360                 | diesel       |               |                       |               |                |             |             |  |
|                                |                     | 155                 | diesel       | 1325          | Total Diesel HP       | 1             |                |             |             |  |
|                                |                     | 82                  | propane      | 82            | total propane HP      | 0.11 mmbtu    | ı/hr           |             |             |  |
|                                |                     |                     |              |               |                       |               |                |             |             |  |
|                                | Diesel emission     |                     |              |               |                       |               |                |             |             |  |
|                                | factor              | Diesel emis         | sions        | Propane       | Emission Factor       |               | Total          |             |             |  |
|                                | lb/HP-hr            | lb/hr               | ton/yr       | lb/mmBtu      | lb/hr                 | ton/yr        | ton/yr         |             |             |  |
| SO2                            | 0.00205             | 2.7                 | 0.68         | 0.000588      | 0.00006468            | 0.57          | 1.25           |             |             |  |
|                                |                     |                     |              |               |                       |               |                |             |             |  |
|                                |                     |                     |              |               |                       |               |                |             |             |  |

Appendix E: American Electric Power - Clinch River Plant August 3, 2016, Permit



COMMONWEALTH of VIRGINIA

Molly Joseph Ward Secretary of Natural Resources DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY SOUTHWEST REGIONAL OFFICE 355-A Deadmore Street, Abingdon, Virginia 24210 (276) 676-4800 Fax: (276) 676-4899 www.deq.virginia.gov

David K. Paylor Director

Allen J. Newman, P.E. Regional Director

August 3, 2016

Mr. Ricky L. Chafin Plant Manager AEP/Appalachian Power Company Clinch River Plant P.O. Box 370 Cleveland, Virginia 24225-0370

> Location: Russell County, VA Registration No. 10236 Facility ID No. 51-167-00003

Dear Mr. Chafin:

Attached is a minor amendment to your new source review permit dated January 13, 2015, to modify and operate the Clinch River Plant in accordance with the provisions of 9 VAC 5-80 Article 6 of the Commonwealth of Virginia State Air Pollution Control Board Regulations for the Control and Abatement of Air Pollution. This amendment involves changes to the definitions of startup and shutdown events for Units 1 and 2, and the removal of all conditions pertaining to the combustion of coal. This amended permit supersedes your permit dated January 13, 2015.

This amended permit contains legally enforceable conditions. Failure to comply may result in a Notice of Violation and civil charges. <u>Please read all permit conditions carefully</u>.

The Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) deemed the application complete on July 6, 2016, and has determined that the application meets the requirements of a minor amendment to a new source review permit in accordance with 9 VAC 5-80-1280 A

This permit amendment approval shall not relieve Appalachian Power Company of the responsibility to comply with all other local, state, and federal permit regulations.

The Board's Regulations as contained in Title 9 of the Virginia Administrative Code 5-170-200 provide that you may request a formal hearing from this case decision by filing a petition with the Board within 30 days after this case decision notice was mailed or delivered to you. 9 VAC 5-170-200 provides that you may request direct consideration of the decision by the Board if the Director of the DEQ made the decision. Please consult the relevant regulations for additional requirements for such requests.

Mr. Ricky L. Chafin August 3, 2016 Page 2

100

As provided by Rule 2A:2 of the Supreme Court of Virginia, you have 30 days from the date of service of this decision (the date you actually received this decision or the date on which it was mailed to you, whichever occurred first), within which to initiate an appeal of this decision by filing a Notice of Appeal with:

David K. Paylor, Director Department of Environmental Quality P. O. Box 1105 Richmond, VA 23218

If this permit was delivered to you by mail, three days are added to the thirty-day period in which to file an appeal. Please refer to Part Two A of the Rules of the Supreme Court of Virginia for information on the required content of the Notice of Appeal and for additional requirements governing appeals from decisions of administrative agencies.

If you have any questions concerning this permit amendment, please call me at (276) 676-4835.

Sincerely, **Rob Feagins** Air Permit Manager

GRF/TMD/td/PA-10236-16.docx

×.

Attachments: Permit Source Testing Report Format

c: Manager, Data Analysis (electronic file submission)



# COMMONWEALTH of VIRGINIA

DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY SOUTHWEST REGIONAL OFFICE 355-A Deadmore Street, Abingdon, Virginia 24210 (276) 676-4800 Fax: (276) 676-4899 www.deq.virginia.gov

David K. Paylor Director

Allen J. Newman, P.E. Regional Director

# STATIONARY SOURCE PERMIT TO MODIFY AND OPERATE

This permit includes designated equipment subject to New Source Performance Standards (NSPS).

# This permit supersedes your permit dated January 13, 2015.

In compliance with the Federal Clean Air Act and the Commonwealth of Virginia Regulations for the Control and Abatement of Air Pollution,

AEP / Appalachian Power Company Clinch River Plant 3464 Power Plant Road P.O. Box 370 Cleveland, Virginia 24225-0370 Registration No. 10236 Facility ID No. 51-167-00003

is authorized to modify and operate

an electric power generating facility

located at

Molly Joseph Ward

Secretary of Natural Resources

3464 Power Plant Road, Carbo, in Russell County, Virginia

in accordance with the conditions of this permit.

Approved on January 13, 2015 (as amended August 3, 2016).

Allen J. Newman, Pd **Regional Director** 

Permit consists of 9 pages. Permit Conditions 1 to 31.

# INTRODUCTION

This permit approval is based on the permit applications dated July 1, 2016 (as amended July 6, 2016), September 4, 2013 (as revised November 17 and 18, 2014), June 25, 2013 (as amended July 12, 2013), February 1, 2010, June 25, 2008, and May 16, 2008, the permit application letter dated June 30, 2008, and addendum information received March 10, 2014, October 21, 23, and 24, 2013, September 16, 2013, August 7, 2013, July 29 and 31, 2013, February 8, 2010, March 2 and 5, 2010, July 8 and 18, 2008, and August 12, 15, and 22, 2008. Any changes in the permit application specifications or any existing facilities which alter the impact of the facility on air quality may require a permit. Failure to obtain such a permit prior to construction may result in enforcement action.

Words or terms used in this permit shall have meanings as provided in 9 VAC 5-10-20 of the State Air Pollution Control Board Regulations for the Control and Abatement of Air Pollution. The regulatory reference or authority for each condition is listed in parentheses () after each condition.

Annual requirements to fulfill legal obligations to maintain current stationary source emissions data will necessitate a prompt response by the permittee to requests by the DEQ or the Board for information to include, as appropriate: process and production data; changes in control equipment; and operating schedules. Such requests for information from the DEQ will either be in writing or by personal contact.

The availability of information submitted to the DEQ or the Board will be governed by applicable provisions of the Freedom of Information Act, §§ 2.2-3700 through 2.2-3714 of the Code of Virginia, § 10.1-1314 (addressing information provided to the Board) of the Code of Virginia, and 9 VAC 5-170-60 of the State Air Pollution Control Board Regulations. Information provided to federal officials is subject to appropriate federal law and regulations governing confidentiality of such information.

| Ref. No.             | Equipment Description  | Rated Capacity   | Federal'<br>Requirements         | Original<br>Permit Date |  |
|----------------------|--|--|----------------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| Equipment            | added under the modification dated 1/13/2  | 2015:  | a                                |                         |  |
| CR4                  | English Boiler & Tube, natural gas-fired,<br>Model 20 Dd 250 with superheater                            | NSPS Dc  | 1/13/2015                        |                         |  |
| CR5                  | Sigma Thermal natural gas-fired fuel<br>heater (line heater)   | 16.42 MMBtu/hr   | NSPS Dc                          | 1/13/2015               |  |
| Equipment I          | modified under the permit dated 1/13/201   | 5::  | . 9                              |                         |  |
| CR1 & CR2            | (2) Babcock and Wilcox radiant tube<br>boilers; natural gas-fired  | <b>-</b> 4 <sup>10</sup>   |                                  |                         |  |
| Previously p         | permitted equipment:   | 523  |                                  |                         |  |
| TL-1                 | Coal Truck Loading Operation   | -  | 8/08/2013                        |                         |  |
| SNCR1 &<br>SNCR2     | (2) Advanced Combustion Technology<br>Selective Non-Catalytic Reduction<br>Equipment with Urea Injection | 2.6 gal/min of<br>50% urea solution<br>+ 22 gal/min H <sub>2</sub> O |                                  | 8/27/2008               |  |
| Equipment            | removed from operation:  |  |                                  | 2                       |  |
| CR3                  | Babcock and Wilcox radiant tube boiler   | 2100.9 MMBtu/hr  | -                                |                         |  |
| Conveyor 2           | Coal Stackout Conveyor   | 950 tons/hr  |                                  | 6/23/2008               |  |
| BDT1 &<br>BDT2       | (2) Dozer Trap Feeders   |  | and the solution of the solution |                         |  |
| BF1 & BF2            | (2) Feed Transfer Conveyors  | 450 tons/hr  | -                                | 6/23/2008               |  |
| Conveyors B1<br>& B2 | (2) Blend Coal Feed Conveyor   |  |                                  | 1000<br>1000            |  |

Equipment List - Equipment at this facility consists of the following:

Specifications included in the above tables are for informational purposes only and do not form enforceable terms or conditions of the permit.

## **PROCESS REQUIREMENTS**

- 1. Emission Controls Nitrogen oxide emissions from Units 1 and 2 (CR1 and CR2) shall be controlled by low NO<sub>x</sub> burners, good combustion practices, and selective non-catalytic reduction (SNCR). The low NO<sub>x</sub> burners shall be installed and operated in accordance with manufacturer's specifications. (9 VAC 5-80-1180)
- 2. Emission Controls Carbon monoxide and volatile organic compound emissions from Units 1 and 2 (CR1 and CR2) shall be controlled by good combustion practices. (9 VAC 5-50-260 and 9 VAC 5-80-1180)
- 3. Fugitive Dust Emission Controls Fugitive dust and fugitive emission controls shall include the following, or equivalent, as a minimum:
  - a. Dust from material handling, transfers and load-outs shall be controlled by wet suppression or equivalent (as approved by the DEQ).
  - b. All material being stockpiled shall be kept adequately moist to control dust during storage and handling or covered at all times to minimize emissions.
  - c. Dust from haul roads and traffic areas shall be controlled by the application of asphalt, water, suitable chemicals, or equivalent methods approved by the DEQ.
  - Reasonable precautions shall be taken to prevent deposition of dirt on public roads and d. subsequent dust emissions. Dirt, product, or raw material spilled or tracked onto payed surfaces shall be promptly removed to prevent particulate matter from becoming airborne. (9 VAC 5-50-260 and 9 VAC 5-50-90)
- 4. Deactivation Unit No. 3 (Reference No. CR3) was removed from operation prior to June 1, 2015. in accordance with the Mercury and Air Toxics (MATS) Extension Approval Letter dated June 3. 2013. Unit No. 3 shall not be reactivated without first obtaining a permit to construct and operate. (9 VAC 5-80-1180)

# **OPERATING/EMISSION LIMITATIONS**

5. Fuel (Reference Nos. CR1 and CR2): - The approved fuel for Units 1 and 2 (Reference Nos. CR1 and CR2) is natural gas exclusively. A change in the fuel may require a permit to modify and operate.

(9 VAC 5-50-260 and 9 VAC 5-80-1180)

- 6. Fuel (Reference Nos. CR4 and CR5): The approved fuel for the auxiliary boiler (Reference No. CR4) and the fuel heater (Reference No. CR5) is natural gas. A change in the fuel may require a permit to modify and operate. (9 VAC 5-50-260 and 9 VAC 5-80-1180)
- 7. Consumption The consumption of natural gas in Unit 1, Unit 2, the auxiliary boiler, and the fuel heater (Reference Nos. CR1, CR2, CR4, and CR5, respectively) shall not exceed a combined total of 27,100,000,000 standard cubic feet per year, calculated monthly as the sum of each consecutive 12-month period. Compliance for the consecutive 12-month period shall be demonstrated monthly

by adding the total for the most recently completed calendar month to the individual monthly totals for the preceding 11 months. (9 VAC 5-80-1180)

- Throughput The throughput of coal to the coal truck loading operations (Reference No. TL-1) shall not exceed 4,000,000 tons per year, calculated monthly as the sum of each consecutive 12-month period. (9 VAC 5-80-1180)
- 9. SNCR Ammonia Slip The ammonia slip in the boiler exhausts for Units 1 and 2 (Reference Nos. CR1 and CR2) shall not exceed 10.0 parts-per-million (ppm) as a monthly average. The ammonia slip in each boiler exhaust shall be monitored continuously and the average hourly concentration shall be recorded. The average monthly concentration shall be determined as the sum of the hourly concentrations recorded during that month divided by the unit operating time in hours. (9 VAC 5-50-260 and 9 VAC 5-80-1180)
- 10. Emission Limits Emissions from the combustion of natural gas in the Unit 1, Unit 2, and auxiliary boilers (Reference Nos. CR1, CR2, and CR4) shall not exceed the limits specified below:

|   |  | Annual Limits             |                      |                 |
|---|--|---------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|
| Pollutant                                 | Units 1 and 2<br>(CR1 and CR2)<br>(per unit) | Auxiliary Boiler<br>(CR4) | Fuel Heater<br>(CR5) | Facility-Wide   |
| Sulfur Dioxide (SO <sub>2</sub> ):        | 144.78 lbs/hr                                | 1.98 lbs/hr               | 0.96 lbs/hr          | 813.00 tons/yr  |
| Carbon Monoxide<br>(CO):                  | 147.66 lbs/hr<br>0.06 lbs/MMBtu              | 4.68 lbs/hr               | 0.66 lbs/hr          | 233.00 tons/yr  |
| Nitrogen Oxides<br>(as NO <sub>2</sub> ): | 442.98 lbs/hr<br>0.18 lb/MMBtu               | 0.89 lbs/hr               | 0.59 lbs/hr          | 1505.00 tons/yr |
| Volatile Organic<br>Compounds (VOC):      | 9.84 lbs/hr<br>0.004 lbs/MMBtu               | 0.18 lbs/hr               | 0.07 lbs/hr          | 55.50 tons/yr   |
| PM-10/PM-2.5:                             | 27.99 lbs/hr                                 | 0.25 lbs/hr               | 0.16 lbs/hr          | 157.18 tons/yr  |

Annual emissions shall be calculated monthly as the sum of each consecutive 12-month period. These emissions are derived from the estimated overall emission contribution from operating limits. Exceedance of the operating limits shall be considered credible evidence of the exceedance of emission limits. Compliance with these emission limits may be determined as stated in Conditions 1, 2, 5, 6, 7, 9, 15, and 20.

Unless otherwise specified, the short-term CO emission limits on Units 1 and 2 (Reference Nos. CR1 and CR2) shall apply at all times except during periods of startup, shutdown, and malfunction. Periods of startup and shutdown are defined in Condition 11. (9 VAC 5-50-260 and 9 VAC 5-80-1180)

- Startup and Shutdown Emission Limits During periods of startup and shutdown, the short-term emissions from the combustion of natural gas in the Unit 1 and Unit 2 boilers (Reference Nos. CR1 and CR2) shall meet the following criteria:
  - a. Startup A startup commences when the unit begins combusting fuel after a shutdown and ends when the unit is operating above 25% of design full load and shall not exceed a maximum duration of 18 hours. CO emissions from each unit shall not exceed 1800 lbs per startup.

- b. Shutdown A shutdown commences when the unit is being removed from service and drops below 25% of design full load and ends when the fuel supply to the unit ceases and shall not exceed a maximum duration of 9 hours. CO emissions from each unit shall not exceed 900 lbs per shutdown.
- c. The permittee shall operate the continuous emission monitoring systems (as specified in Condition 15) during periods of startup and shutdown.
- d. The permittee shall record the date, time, and duration of each startup and shutdown period.
- e. The permittee shall operate the facility so as to minimize the frequency and duration of startup and shutdown periods.
- (9 VAC 5-50-260 and 9 VAC 5-80-1180)
- 12. Emission Limits Emissions from the coal truck loading operations (Reference No. TL-1) shall not exceed the limits specified below:

| Coal Truck Loading:    |              | 27           |
|------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Particulate Matter     | 2.85 lbs/hr  | 6.00 tons/yr |
| PM-10                  | 2.85 lbs/hr  | 6.00 tons/yr |
| PM-2.5                 | 2.85 lbs/hr  | 6.00 tons/yr |
| Truck Loading Traffic: | • 59 H       |              |
| Particulate Matter     | 3.10 lbs/hr  | 6.37 tons/yr |
| PM-10                  | 1.56 lbs/hr  | 3.20 tons/vr |
| PM-2.5                 | 0.38 lbs/hr  | 0.78 tons/yr |
| <u></u>                | 20 20 Mar 14 |              |

These emissions are derived from the estimated overall emission contribution from operating limits. Exceedance of the operating limits shall be considered credible evidence of the exceedance of emission limits. Compliance with these emission limits may be determined as stated in Conditions 3 and 8.

(9 VAC 5-50-260 and 9 VAC 5-80-1180)

- Visible Emission Limit Visible emissions from the common exhaust stack serving Units 1 and 2 (Reference Nos. CR1 and CR2) shall not exceed ten percent (10%) opacity as determined by EPA Method 9 (reference 40 CFR 60, Appendix A). This condition applies at all times except during startup, shutdown and malfunction.
   (9 VAC 5-50-80 and 9 VAC 5-50-260)
- Visible Emission Limit Visible emissions from the coal truck loading operations (Reference No. TL-1) shall not exceed twenty percent (20%) opacity as determined by EPA Method 9 (reference 40 CFR 60, Appendix A). This condition applies at all times except during startup, shutdown and malfunction.
   (9 VAC 5-50-80 and 9 VAC 5-50-260)

### **CONTINUOUS EMISSION MONITORING SYSTEMS (CEMS)**

15. CEMS –Continuous Emission Monitoring Systems (CEMS) shall be installed to measure and record the emissions of nitrogen oxides (NO<sub>x</sub>) (measured as NO<sub>2</sub>) and carbon monoxide (CO) from the boilers (Reference Nos. CR1 and CR2). CEMS for NO<sub>x</sub> shall meet the design specifications of 40 CFR 75 whereas CEMS for CO shall be installed, evaluated, and operated according to DEQapproved procedures which are equivalent to the requirements of 40 CFR 60.13 and Appendices B and F for compliance with the emission limits contained in Condition 10. NO<sub>x</sub> and CO data shall be reduced to 1-hour block averages and 30-day rolling averages. (9 VAC 5-50-40 and 9 VAC 5-80-1180)

- 16. CEMS Performance Evaluations Performance evaluations of the NO<sub>x</sub> and CO continuous monitoring systems shall be conducted in accordance with 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix B, and shall take place during the performance tests under 9 VAC 5-50-30 or within 30 days thereafter. Two copies of the performance evaluations report shall be submitted to the Director, Southwest Regional Office within 45 days of the evaluation. The continuous monitoring systems shall be installed and operational prior to conducting initial performance tests. Verification of operational status shall, as a minimum, include completion of the manufacturer's written requirements or recommendations for installation, operation and calibration of the device. A 30-day notification, prior to the demonstration of continuous monitoring system's performance, and subsequent notifications shall be submitted to the Director, Southwest Regional Office. (9 VAC 5-50-40 and 9 VAC 5-80-1180)
- CEMS Quality Control Program A CEMS quality control program which is equivalent to the requirements of 40 CFR 60.13 and 40 CFR 60, Appendix F or Part 75 shall be implemented for all continuous monitoring systems.
   (9 VAC 5-50-40 and 9 VAC 5-80-1180)
- 18. Reports for Continuous Monitoring Systems The permittee shall furnish written reports to the Director, Southwest Regional Office, of excess emissions from any process monitored by a continuous monitoring system (CEMS) on a quarterly basis, postmarked no later than the 30th day following the end of the calendar quarter. These reports shall include, but are not limited to the following information:
  - The magnitude of excess emissions, any conversion factors used in the calculation of excess emissions, and the date and time of commencement and completion of each period of excess emissions;
  - Specific identification of each period of excess emissions that occurs during startups, shutdowns, and malfunctions of the process, the nature and cause of the malfunction (if known), the corrective action taken or preventative measures adopted;
  - c. The date and time identifying each period during which the continuous monitoring system was inoperative except for zero and span checks and the nature of the system repairs or adjustments; and
  - When no excess emissions have occurred or the continuous monitoring systems have not been inoperative, repaired or adjusted, such information shall be stated in that report.
     (9 VAC 5-50-50 and 9 VAC 5-80-1180)

## RECORDS AND REPORTING

- 19. On Site Records The permittee shall maintain records of emission data and operating parameters as necessary to demonstrate compliance with this permit. The content and format of such records shall be arranged with the Director, Southwest Regional Office. These records shall include, but are not limited to:
  - a. The annual consumption of natural gas in Unit 1, Unit 2, the auxiliary boiler, and the fuel heater (Reference Nos. CR1, CR2, CR4, and CR5), calculated monthly as the sum of each consecutive 12-month period.
  - b. The annual processing of coal in the coal truck loading operations (Reference No. TL-1), calculated as the sum of each consecutive 12-month period.
  - The average hourly and monthly ammonia slip concentration for each boiler exhaust, expressed in parts-per-million (ppm).

- d. Continuous monitoring system calibrations and calibration checks, percent operating time, and excess emissions.
- e. Monthly emissions calculations for VOC, PM-10, PM-2.5, and SO<sub>2</sub> from Unit 1, Unit 2, the auxiliary boiler, and the fuel heater (Reference Nos. CR1, CR2, CR4, and CR5).
- f. Records of each startup and shutdown for Units 1 and 2, including the duration and total CO emissions during each event.
- g. Scheduled and unscheduled maintenance and operator training.
- h. Results of stack tests and visible emissions evaluations.

These records shall be available for inspection by the DEQ and shall be current for the most recent five years. (9 VAC 5-50-50)

#### **INITIAL COMPLIANCE DETERMINATION**

- 20. Stack Tests Initial performance tests shall be conducted for PM-10, nitrogen oxides (as NO<sub>2</sub>), carbon monoxide (CO), and volatile organic compounds (VOC) from the exhaust stack for Units 1 and 2 (Reference Nos. CR1 and CR2) to determine compliance with the emission limits contained in Condition 10. The tests shall be performed within 60 days after achieving the maximum production rate at which the facility will be operated but in no event later than 180 days after conversion of Units 1 and 2 to fire natural gas. Tests shall be conducted and reported and data reduced as set forth in 9 VAC 5-50-30. The details of the tests are to be arranged with the Director, Southwest Regional Office. The permittee shall submit a test protocol at least 30 days prior to testing. A copy of the test results shall be submitted to the Director, Southwest Regional Office within 60 days after test completion and shall conform to the test report format enclosed with this permit. (9 VAC 5-50-30 and 9 VAC 5-80-1200)
- 21. Visible Emissions Evaluation Concurrently with the initial performance tests required in Condition 20, Visible Emission Evaluations (VEE) in accordance with 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A, Method 9, shall be conducted by the permittee on the common stack for Units 1 and 2 (Reference Nos. CR1 and CR2) being tested. Each test shall consist of 30 sets of 24 consecutive observations (at 15 second intervals) to yield a six-minute average. The evaluation shall be performed, reported, and demonstrate compliance within 60 days after achieving the maximum production rate at which the facility will be operated but in no event later than 180 days after completion of the conversion of Units 1 and 2 to fire natural gas. Should conditions prevent concurrent opacity observations, the Southwest Regional Office shall be notified in writing, within seven days, and visible emissions testing shall be rescheduled within 30 days. Rescheduled testing shall be conducted under the same conditions (as possible) as the initial performance tests. The details of the tests are to be arranged with the Southwest Regional Office within 60 days after test completion and shall conform to the Director, Southwest Regional Office within 60 days. Rescheduled testing shall be submitted to the Director, Southwest Regional Office within 60 days after test completion and shall conform to the test report format enclosed with this permit. (9 VAC 5-50-30 and 9 VAC 5-80-1200)

## CONTINUING COMPLIANCE DETERMINATION

- 22. Stack Tests Upon request by the DEQ, the permittee shall conduct additional tests on the common stack for Units 1 and 2 (Reference Nos. CR1 and CR2) to demonstrate compliance with the emission limits contained in this permit. The details of the tests shall be arranged with the Director. Southwest Regional Office.
  - (9 VAC 5-50-30 G and 9 VAC 5-80-1200)

23. Visible Emissions Evaluation – Upon request by the DEQ, the permittee shall conduct additional Visible Emissions Evaluations (VEE) in accordance with 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A, Method 9 on the common boiler stack for Units 1 and 2 (Reference Nos. CR1 and CR2) to demonstrate compliance with the visible emission limits contained in this permit. The details of the tests shall be arranged with the Director, Southwest Regional Office. (9 VAC 5-50-30 G and 9 VAC 5-80-1200)

## **GENERAL CONDITIONS**

- 24. Permit Suspension/Revocation This permit may be suspended or revoked if the permittee:
  - a. Knowingly makes material misstatements in the permit application or any amendments to it;
  - b. Fails to comply with the conditions of this permit;
  - c. Fails to comply with any emission standards applicable to a permitted emissions unit;
  - d. Causes emissions from the stationary source which result in violations of, or interfere with the attainment and maintenance of, any ambient air quality standard; or
  - e. Fails to operate in conformance with any applicable control strategy, including any emission standards or emissions limitations, in the State Implementation Plan in effect at the time an application for this permit is submitted.
  - (9 VAC 5-80-1210 G)
- 25. Right of Entry The permittee shall allow authorized local, state, and federal representatives, upon the presentation of credentials:
  - a. To enter upon the permittee's premises on which the facility is located or in which any records are required to be kept under the terms and conditions of this permit;
  - b. To have access to and copy at reasonable times any records required to be kept under the terms and conditions of this permit or the State Air Pollution Control Board Regulations;
  - c. To inspect at reasonable times any facility, equipment, or process subject to the terms and conditions of this permit or the State Air Pollution Control Board Regulations; and
  - d. To sample or test at reasonable times.

For purposes of this condition, the time for inspection shall be deemed reasonable during regular business hours or whenever the facility is in operation. Nothing contained herein shall make an inspection time unreasonable during an emergency. (9 VAC 5-170-130 and 9 VAC 5-80-1180)

- 26. **Maintenance/Operating Procedures** The permittee shall take the following measures in order to minimize the duration and frequency of excess emissions, with respect to air pollution control equipment, monitoring devices, and process equipment which affect such emissions:
  - a. Develop a maintenance schedule and maintain records of all scheduled and non-scheduled maintenance.
  - b. Maintain an inventory of spare parts.
  - c. Have available written operating procedures for equipment. These procedures shall be based on the manufacturer's recommendations, at a minimum.
  - d. Train operators in the proper operation of all such equipment and familiarize the operators with the written operating procedures. The permittee shall maintain records of the training provided including the names of trainees, the date of training and the nature of the training.

Records of maintenance and training shall be maintained on site for a period of five years and shall be made available to DEQ personnel upon request. (9 VAC 5-50-20 E)

- 27. Record of Malfunctions The permittee shall maintain records of the occurrence and duration of any bypass, malfunction, shutdown or failure of the facility or its associated air pollution control equipment that results in excess emissions for more than one hour. Records shall include the date, time, duration, description (emission unit, pollutant affected, cause), corrective action, preventive measures taken and name of person generating the record. (9VAC 5-20-180 J and 9 VAC 5-80-1180 D)
- 28. Notification for Facility or Control Equipment Malfunction The permittee shall furnish notification to the Director, Southwest Regional Office, of malfunctions of the affected facility or related air pollution control equipment that may cause excess emissions for more than one hour, by facsimile transmission, telephone or telegraph. Such notification shall be made as soon as practicable but not later than four daytime business hours after the malfunction is discovered. The permittee shall provide a written statement giving all pertinent facts, including the estimated duration of the breakdown, within two weeks of discovery of the malfunction. When the condition causing the failure or malfunction has been corrected and the equipment is again in operation, the permittee shall notify the Director, Southwest Regional Office. (9 VAC 5-20-180 C and 9 VAC 5-80-1180)
- Violation of Ambient Air Quality Standard The permittee shall, upon request of the DEQ, reduce the level of operation or shut down a facility, as necessary to avoid violating any primary ambient air quality standard and shall not return to normal operation until such time as the ambient air quality standard will not be violated.
  (9 VAC 5-20-180 | and 9 VAC 5-80-1180)
- 30. Change of Ownership In the case of a transfer of ownership of a stationary source, the new owner shall abide by any current permit issued to the previous owner. The new owner shall notify the Director, Southwest Regional Office of the change in ownership within 30 days of the transfer. (9 VAC 5-80-1240)
- Permit Copy The permittee shall keep a copy of this permit on the premises of the facility to which it applies.
   (9 VAC 5-80-1180)

Commonwealth of Virginia Round 3 2010 SO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS Recommendations

÷