U.S. National Lakes Assessment 2012 Algal Toxin Results

Amina Pollard, Ph.D. Ecologist, US EPA Office of Water



National Lakes Assessment (NLA) Objectives

Assess the biological, chemical, physical, and recreational condition of lakes, reservoirs and ponds using indicators of condition and stress

- Assess at national and regional scales
- NLA 2007 baseline status
- NLA 2012 2nd round, status and change
- NLA $2017 3^{rd}$ round, in the field now

Rank stressors based on the relative associations between indicators of condition and indicators of stress

Build/ enhance state and tribal monitoring capacity



Sample Design

Targeted design

- Focus is usually on specific questions
- Allow for detailed analysis of local trends, cause and effect,
- fate and transport, etc.
- Particularly informative about local characteristics

Statistically representative design

- Focus is usually on broad questions
- Site-selection and data analysis are based on statistical methods
- Particularly helpful for generalizing to a target population

Example: Estimated population size of Coho Salmon in coastal Oregon

- Historic long term monitoring suggested minimal problem
- In this case, the historic survey was biased
- Probability results more accurately reflect the broader population





NARS uses a stratified, randomized design, which allows each survey to develop inferences from the sample (e.g., 1,038 lakes) to the population (e.g., 112,900 lakes)

Assessments are not designed to capture short-term temporal dynamics within a lake or peak events

Nationally consistent, co-located data are rare



Unbiased sampling design allows us to objectively identify occurrence patterns

Algal Toxin Risk Questions

1. How widespread is the risk of algal toxin exposure in lakes in the US?

- Microcystin concentration
- Cyanobacteria cell density
- Chlorophyll-a
- 2. Is there a significant change in the risk of exposure to algal toxins from 2007 to 2012?
- 3. Does the assessment results change if we collect samples near the edge of a lake?



Algal toxin sample collection and processing

Exposure to algal toxins is one of the factors that affects recreational use of lakes in the US

World Health Organization (WHO) considers 3 metrics in recreation use assessment

Collection

1229 samples on 1129 individual lakes (includes 2 lakes in HI) Middle, open water location on the lake (index site) Near shore location on the lake ("J" littoral site) Integrated water column sample in photic zone (up to 2m deep)

Processing

Microcystin: ELISA analysis run by a national lab (within budget, fast turnaround, congruent with scope of NLA)

Cyanobacteria density: cell enumeration conducted by a national lab

Chlorophyll-a: analysis run by national and state labs



Microcystin (ug/L)

least disturbed <10; moderately disturbed 10- < 20; most disturbed > 20

U.S. EPA National Lakes Assessment 2012

Percentage of Lakes in Each Condition Category

2012 Estimates and Change from 2007

Microcystin (Risk) | National

Condition Category	20	12 Percen	ntage of	f Lakes	•	2007-12			Chan	ige in	% P o	ints		
	0% 20%	% 40% 6	0% 80	% 100%	%		-60%	-40%	-20%	0%	20%	40%	60%	80%
Most Disturbed	0%									•				
Moderately Disturbed	0%									+				
Least Disturbed*						-								
Not Detected *		-	60%			-			-	-				
Not Assessed	1%					N/A				N/	A			

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). 2016. National Lakes Assessment 2012: A Collaborative Survey of Lakes in the United States. Interactive NLA Dashboard. https://nationallakesassessment.epa.gov/ * Reflects a statistically significant change at 95% between 2007 and 2012. Such changes are also indicated using darker colors.

Cyanobacteria cell density (cells/mL)

least disturbed <20,000; moderately disturbed 20,000- <100,000; most disturbed >100,000

U.S. EPA National Lakes Assessment 2012 Percentage of Lakes in Each Condition Category

2012 Estimates and Change from 2007

Cyanobacteria (Risk) | National

Condition Category	2012 Percentage of Lakes	2007-12	Change in % Points				
	0% 20% 40% 60% 80% 100%		-60% -40% -20% 0% 20% 40% 60% 80%				
Most Disturbed*	15%		+				
Moderately Disturbed							
Least Disturbed*		-	→				
Not Assessed*	1%						

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). 2016. National Lakes Assessment 2012: A Collaborative Survey of Lakes in the United States. Interactive NLA Dashboard. https://nationallakesassessment.epa.gov/ Reflects a statistically significant change at 95% between 2007 and 2012. Such changes are also indicated using darker colors.

Cyanobacteria cell density (cells/mL)

most disturbed >100,000

Concent Concen

U.S. EPA National Lakes Assessment 2012 Percentage of Lakes in Most Disturbed Condition

2012 Estimates and Change from 2007 | WSA9 Ecoregions | Cyanobacteria (Risk)



U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). 2016. National Lakes Assessment 2012: A Collaborative Survey of Lakes in the United States. Interactive NLA Dashboard. https://nationallakesassessment.epa.gov/ Reflects a statistically significant change at 95% between 2007 and 2012. Such changes are also indicated using darker colors.

Chlorophyll-a (ug/L)

least disturbed <10; moderately disturbed 10- 50; most disturbed > 50

U.S. EPA National Lakes Assessment 2012

Percentage of Lakes in Each Condition Category

2012 Estimates and Change from 2007 Chlorophyll A (Risk) | National



U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). 2016. National Lakes Assessment 2012: A Collaborative Survey of Lakes in the United States. Interactive NLA Dashboard. https://nationallakesassessment.epa.gov/ Reflects a statistically significant change at 95% between 2007 and 2012. Such changes are also indicated using darker colors.

Three indicators of algal toxin risk

Exposure risk	Microcystin (µg/L)	Cyanobacteria density (cells/mL)	Chlorophyll-a (µg/L)
low	99%	61%	51%
medium	0.3%	23%	34%
high	0.4%	15%	15%



Risk estimates vary by indicator

Algal toxin indicators at the index and littoral site plotted against a 1:1 line



Sample location within a lake did not significantly alter assessment results

U.S. National Lakes Assessment

(https://nationallakesassessment.epa.gov)

