

# Generalised Read-Across GenRA, research, implementation and practical application



George Helman, Imran Shah, Antony Williams, Jeff Edwards, Jeremy Dunne, <u>Grace Patlewicz</u> National Center for Computational Toxicology (NCCT), US EPA

The views expressed in this presentation are those of the author and do not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the U.S. EPA





- Definitions
- Landscape of read-across guidance & tools
- Re-thinking the read-across problem
- Summary remarks
- Acknowledgements



## Definitions: Chemical grouping approaches

A chemical category is a group of chemicals whose physico-chemical and human health and/or environmental toxicological and/or environmental fate properties are likely to be similar or follow a regular pattern as a result of structural similarity (or other similarity characteristics).

- Read-across describes one of the techniques for filling data gaps in either the analogue or category approaches i.e. <u>not to be</u> confused with the "analogue approach"
- "Analogue approach" refers to grouping based on a very limited number of chemicals (e.g. target substance + source substance)
- "Category approach" is used when grouping is based on a more extensive range of analogues (e.g. 3 or more members)



## Definitions: Read-across

Known information on the property of a substance (source) is used to make a prediction of the same property for another substance (target) that is considered "similar" i.e. endpoint & often study specific





## Landscape of read-across - 'Guidance'

- Intended to address:
- 1) the development of read-across
  - -i.e. the process of deriving an analogue/category approach to facilitate a readacross prediction
  - technical regulatory guidance (OECD grouping document (2014), ECHA (Chapter R6, (2008)) and many publications in the scientific literature (Wu et al., 2010; ECETOC, 2012; Wang et al., 2012, Patlewicz et al., 2013)
- 2) the assessment (evaluation) of the read-across justification
  - technical regulatory guidance (ECHA RAAF, 2015,2017; OECD IATA templates) and publications in the scientific literature (Blackburn and Stuard, 2014; Patlewicz et al., 2015; Schultz et al., 2015)

## Issues surrounding the consistency and concordance of the different guidance available



- A number of different tools exist both in the public domain and commercially
- Examples include EPA's AIM, OECD Toolbox, JRC Toxmatch, Leadscope, MN-AM's ToxGPS, ToxRead, CBRA..

Difficult to compare and contrast these tools in terms of their utility

Need a consistent framework/workflow to understand their scope and utility and for what decision context(s) they might be useful for



## Re-thinking the read-across problem

- Objective 1. Define the category (read-across) workflow
- Objective 2. Understand the scope and capability of existing read-across tools
- Objective 3. Identify an objective means of quantifying the performance of read-across and quantifying the uncertainties – Generalised Read-across (GenRA)
- Objective 4: Propose a harmonised hybrid read-across workflow
- Objective 5. Extend the approach to fold in expert driven considerations but in an objective manner





National Center for Computational Toxicology Patlewicz et al., 2013; ECETOC, 2012

# Sepa Objective 2: Scope and capability of read-



Nearest neighb

8



- •Predicting toxicity as a similarity-weighted activity of nearest neighbours based on chemistry and/or bioactivity descriptors
- •Goal: to systematically evaluate read-across performance and uncertainty using available data
- •The approach enabled a performance baseline for read-across predictions of toxicity effects within specific study outcomes to be established





## GenRA analysis workflow

#### I. Data

1,778 Chemicals 3,239 Structure descriptors (chm) 820 Bioactivity assays (bio) ToxCast 574 Apical outcomes (tox) ToxRefDB

II. Define Local neighborhoods

Us K-means analysis to group chemicals by similarity Use cluster stability analysis ~ 100 local neighborhoods

III. GenRA

Use <u>GenRA</u> to predict apical outcomes in local neighbor hoods Evaluate impact descriptors (chm, bio, bc) on prediction Quantify uncertainty

## SERA Objective 3: Read-across workflow in GenRA



**Computational Toxicology** 

## Objective 3: GenRA tool in reality

### • Integrated into the EPA CompTox Chemistry dashboard as a new addition

|   | Searched by DSSTox Substance Id. |   | 1 |  |  |  |
|---|----------------------------------|---|---|--|--|--|
| DETAILS                                     |                                  | Wikipedia   |   |  |  |  |
| EXECUTIVE SUMMARY                           | -N                               | Fluconazole is an antifungal medication used for a number of fungal infections. This includes candidiasis, blastomycosis, coccidiodomycosis, cryptococcosis, histoplasmosis, dermatophytosis, and pityriasis versicolor. It is also used to prevent candidiasis in those who are at high risk such as following organ transplantation, low birth weight babies, and those with low blood neutrophil counts. It is also used to prevent candidiasis in those who are at high risk such as following organ transplantation, low birth weight babies, and those with low blood neutrophil counts. It is also used to prevent candidiasis in those who are at high risk such as following organ transplantation, low birth weight babies, and those with low blood neutrophil counts. It is |   |  |  |  |
| ENV. FATE/TRANSPORT                         |                                  | Common side effects include vomiting  | Ì |  |  |  |
| HAZARD                                      | F N N                            | Read more   | ( |  |  |  |
| ADME  |                                  | Intrinsic Properties  |   |  |  |  |
| EXPOSURE                                    |                                  | Molecular Formula: C <sub>13</sub> H <sub>12</sub> F <sub>2</sub> N <sub>8</sub> O 🛓 Mol File   | ł |  |  |  |
| BIOACTIVITY                                 |                                  | Average Mass: 306.277 g/mol   | 1 |  |  |  |
| SIMILAR COMPOUNDS                           | F НО́                            | Monoisotopic Mass: 306:104065 g/mol   | ł |  |  |  |
| GENRA                                       |                                  |   | Ś |  |  |  |
| RELATED SUBSTANCES                          |                                  | Structural Identifiers  |   |  |  |  |
| SYNONYMS                                    |                                  | Linked Substances   | Į |  |  |  |
| ▶ LITERATURE                                |                                  |   | 1 |  |  |  |
| LINKS                                       |                                  | Presence in Lists   | Į |  |  |  |
| COMMENTS                                    |                                  | Record Information  |   |  |  |  |
|   |                                  | Quality Control Notes   | Ì |  |  |  |
| National center for<br>Computational Toxico | blogy                            | an a  | 1 |  |  |  |

#### EPA United States Protection Objective 3: GenRA tool in reality

### Structured as a workflow



## SEPA Objective 3: GenRA tool in reality

GenRA



National Center for Computational Toxicology

### **Objective 3: GenRA tool in reality** United States

GenRA



**Computational Toxicology** 



## DEMONSTRATION

National Center for Computational Toxicology

## Objective 2: Extending the suite of read-17 across tools but addressing an unmet need

| Tool                       | AIM  | ToxMatch | AMBIT                                     | OECD<br>Toolbox                 | CBRA | ToxRead                   | GenRA                                  |
|----------------------------|------|----------|---|---------------------------------|------|---------------------------|--|
| Analogue<br>identification | ×    | ×        | ×   | ×                               | ×    | ×                         | ×                                      |
| Analogue<br>Evaluation     | NA   | ×        | X<br>by other<br>tools<br>available       | ×                               | ×    | X<br>For<br>Ames &<br>BCF | NA                                     |
| Data gap<br>analysis       | NA   | ×        | X<br>Data<br>matrix<br>can be<br>exported | X<br>Data<br>matrix<br>viewable | NA   | NA                        | X<br>Data matrix<br>can be<br>exported |
| Data gap filling           | NA   | ×        | User<br>driven                            | ×                               | X    | ×                         | ×                                      |
| Uncertainty<br>assessment  | NA   | NA       | NA  | ×                               | NA   | NA                        | ×                                      |
| Availability               | Free | Free     | Free                                      | Free                            | Free | Free                      | Just released<br>August 2018           |

**National Center for Computational Toxicology** 

17

### Objective 4: A harmonised hybrid read-across workflow



Folding in the learnings in GenRA to inform and update a harmonised workflow

Patlewicz et al., 2018

# SERA Objective 4: A harmonised hybrid read-across



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

### **Computational Toxicology**

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com

Journal Cover

Image

## Navigating through the minefield of read-across frameworks: A commentary perspective

### Grace Patlewicz<sup>a,</sup> \*, Mark T.D. Cronin<sup>b</sup>, George Helman<sup>a, c</sup>, Jason C. Lambert<sup>d</sup>, Lucina E. Lizarraga<sup>d</sup>, Imran Shah<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> National Center for Computational Toxicology (NCCT), Office of Research and Development, US Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA), 109 TW Alexander Dr, Research Triangle Park (RTP), NC 27711, USA

<sup>b</sup> School of Pharmacy and Biomolecular Sciences, Liverpool John Moores University, Byrom Street, Liverpool L3 3AF, UK

<sup>c</sup> Oak Ridge Institute for Science and Education (ORISE), 1299 Bethel Valley Road, Oak Ridge, TN 37830, USA

<sup>d</sup> National Center for Evaluation Assessment (NCEA), US Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA), 26 West Martin Luther King Dr, Cincinnati, OH 45268, USA



## Objective 5: GenRA - Next Steps

### Ongoing research:

- Summarising and aggregating the toxicity effect predictions to guide end users – what are the effects to be concerned about and which effect predictions are we most confident about
- Consideration of other information to define and refine the analogue selection – e.g. physicochemical similarity, metabolic similarity, reactivity similarity...
  - EPA New Chemical Categories
  - Quantifying the impact of physicochemical similarity on read-across performance

 Dose response information to refine scope of prediction beyond binary outcomes

- Transitioning from qualitative to quantitative predictions how to apply and interpret GenRA in screening level hazard assessment
- Starting with quantitative data e.g. acute rat oral toxicity, ToxRefDB v2

## SEPA Objective 5: Refinements to the GenRA approach

| Decision Context  | Similarity<br>contexts      | GenRA  | Data gap analysis<br>for target and<br>source analogues  |  |
|---|-----------------------------|--|--|--|
| hazard based on toxicity effects<br>from ToxRefDB                     | Structure<br>similarity     | $\checkmark$   |  |  |
|   | Physicochemical             | Subject of this study                                    |  |  |
|   | Bioactivity e.g.<br>ToxCast | -  |  |  |
|   | Reactivity                  | -  |  |  |
|   | Metabolic                   | -  |  |  |
| Uncertainty   | Toxicokinetic               | -  | Analogue evaluation<br>Evaluate consistency and<br>concordance of experimental<br>data of source analogues across<br>and between endpoints |  |
| Assess prediction and<br>uncertainty using AUC and p<br>value metrics | Re<br>Similarit<br>many     | ad-across<br>ry weighted average -<br>to one read-across |  |  |

**Computational Toxicology** 



## Physchem Similarity Context

- Important context of similarity in read-across
- Models "bioavailability"
- Properties selected: Lipinski Rule of 5 (LogP, MW, # HB donors/acceptors)
- Two approaches investigated as a means to identify source analogs and evaluate their predictive performance relative to GenRA:

```
Approach 1: "Filter"
```

Subcategorise from a set of analogues identified based on structural similarity

```
Approach 2: "Search
Expansion"
```

"Frontload" both structure and physchem into analogue identification

```
'Common' approach
```

'Novel' approach

Helman et al., 2018



### Case Study: Butyl Benzyl Phthalate Approach 2: Search Expansion



| ndpoint           |   | Baseline<br>Prediction |  | Structure +<br>Pchem Prediction |  |
|-------------------|---|------------------------|--|---------------------------------|--|
| ody Weight        |   | .78                    |  | .79                             |  |
| linical Chemistry |   | .27                    |  | .60                             |  |
| ood Consumption   | <ul> <li>Adding phys-chem to</li> </ul> |                        |  |                                 |  |
|                   | ridding phys-cheff i                    |                        |  |                                 |  |

Adding phys-chem to similarity search overturns incorrect predictions for 2 endpoints

0

• Improves many others

0



### Case Study: Butyl Benzyl Phthalate Approach 2: Search Expansion



- Are the non phthalate analogues plausible from a biological similarity context?
- Heatmap of ToxCast bioactivity profiler from one (Apredica) technology
- From a qualitative perspective

   these non phthalates
   exhibit similarity wrt their
   bioactivity profile to the
   target and other source
   phthalates

## "Search expansion" in practice



**Computational Toxicology** 

1) Identify target chemical

2) Perform Data gap analysis

3) Use cluster/organ key to <u>guide</u> selection of the <u>optimal</u> <u>physicochemical threshold</u> to use in source analogue identification for a <u>specific toxicity effect of</u> <u>interest</u>

Helman et al., 2018





Computational Toxicology Available online 23 July 2018 In Press, Corrected Proof ?



Get rights and content

Flucona 86386-73-Searched by DSS DETAILS EXECUTIVE SUMMARY PROPERTIES Phys ENV. FATE/TRANSPORT Neig Stru HAZARD ADME EXPOSURE BIOACTIVITY SIMILAR COMPOUNDS GENRA RELATED SUBSTANCES SYNONYMS loconazole LITERATURE LINKS Metconazole COMMENTS # of Analogs 10

Computational Tuxicology

Extending the Generalised Read-Across approach (GenRA): A systematic analysis of the impact of physicochemical property information on read-across performance

#### Show more

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.comtox.2018.07.001

#### Highlights

- GenRA approach is summarised in the context of the category workflow.
- The impact of physicochemical information on read-across performance was assessed in 2 ways: filtering and search expansion.
- Search expansion resulted in an up to 9% improvement in read-across performance for 10 of the 50 data rich target organs.
- Results are summarised on a neighbourhood (chemical category) basis.
- A case study substance is used to compare and contrast the read-across performance using the 2 approaches.

### (w1), dependent interest



- Transitioning GenRA from binary predictions to quantitative predictions
- Investigated extending GenRA using the acute oral rat systemic toxicity data collected as part of the ICCVAM Acute toxicity workgroup
- •NICEATM-NCCT effort to collate a large dataset of acute oral toxicity to evaluate the performance of existing predictive models and investigate the feasibility of developing new models



## Acute oral toxicity data



National Center for Computational Toxicology



## Exploratory Data Analysis



- Untransformed data highly skewed with extreme outliers
- Log molar transformation looks approximately normal



## GenRA approach applied

- Search for a maximum of 10 nearest neighbours on entire dataset
- Use a similarity threshold of 0.5





- $R^2 = 0.61$
- RMSE = 0.58
- A few outliers, but not too extreme
- Residuals clustered around zero with no obvious patterns

## GenRA approach applied cont.



- Outliers tend to be for dissimilar neighbourhoods
- Increasing similarity of the neighbourhood leads to better predictions

• More neighbours in the neighbourhood also leads to better predictions.

nvironmental Protection

Agency



## Evaluation of the approach



- 75-25 train-test splits
- R<sup>2</sup> values range from 0.52 to 0.69
- GenRA performs strongly and robustly on this acute tox data set.

### Helman et al., in preparation



- Provided a perspective of the state of the science
- Outlined our research direction of read-across and how this fits within the context of the overall landscape of read-across
- Demonstrated the latest addition to the EPA CompTox dashboard - GenRA
- Presented highlights of on-going analysis



## Acknowledgements

- NCCT
- Imran Shah
- George Helman
- Prachi Pradeep
- Tony Williams
- Jeff Edwards
- Jeremy Dunne
- NCCT Development team
- Chris Grulke
- Reeder Sams
- Katie Paul Friedman

- Rusty Thomas
- NCEA
- Jason Lambert
- Lucy Lizarraga
- Mark Cronin LJMU