be correspondingly reduced for that pollutant.

[FR Doc.73-25339 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

[40 CFR Part 418]

FERTILIZER MANUFACTURING POINT SOURCE CATEGORY

Proposed Effluent Limitations Guidelines

Notice is hereby given that effluent limitations guidelines for existing sources and standards of performance and pretreatment standards for new sources set forth in tentative form below are proposed by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) for the phosphate subcategory (Subpart A), the ammonia subcategory (Subpart B), the urea subcategory (Subpart C), the ammonium nitrate subcategory (Subpart D) and the nitric acid subcategory (Subpart E) of the fertilizer manufacturing category of point sources pursuant to sections 301, 304 (b) and (c), 306(b) and 307(c) of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, as amended (33 U.S.C. 1251, 1311, 1314 (b) and (c), 1316(b) and 1317(c); 36 Stat. 816 et seq; Pub. L. 92–500) (the "Act").

816 et seq; Pub. L. 92-500) (the "Act"). (a) Legal authority.—(1) Existing point sources. Section 301(b) of the Act requires the achievement by not later than July 1, 1977, of effluent limitations for point sources, other than publicly owned treatment works, which require the application of the best practicable control technology currently available as defined by the Administrator pursuant to section 304(b) of the Act. Section 301(b) also requires the achievement by not later than July 1, 1983, of effluent limitations for point sources, other than publicly owned treatment works, which require the application of the best available technology economically achievable which will result in reasonable further progress toward the national goal of eliminating the discharge of all pollutants, as determined in accordance with regulations issued by the Administrator pursuant to section 304(b) of the Act.

Section 304(b) of the Act requires the Administrator to publish regulations providing guidelines for effluent limitations setting forth the degree of effluent reduction attainable through the application of the best practicable control technology currently available and the degree of effluent reduction attainable through the application of the best control measures and practices achievable including treatment techniques, process and procedure innovations, operating methods and other alternatives.

The regulations proposed herein set forth effluent limitations guidelines, pursuant to section 304(b) of the Act, for the phosphate subcategory (Subpart A), the ammonia subcategory (Subpart B), the urea subcategory (Subpart C), the ammonium nitrate subcategory (Subpart D), and the nitric acid subcategory (Subpart E) of the fertilizer manufacturing category.

(2) New sources. Section 306 of the Act requires the achievement by new sources of a Federal standard of performance providing for the control of the

discharge of pollutants which reflects the greatest degree of effluent reduction which the Administrator determines to be achievable through application of the best available demonstrated control technology, processes, operating methods, or other alternatives, including, where practicable, a standard permitting no discharge of pollutants.

Section 306(b)(1)(B) of the Act requires the Administrator to propose regulations establishing Federal standards of performance for categories of new sources included in a list published pursuant to section 306(b) (1) (A) of the Act. The Administrator published in the FED-ERAL REGISTER of January 16, 1973, (38 FR 1624) a list of 27 source categories including the fertilizer manufacturing category. The regulations proposed herein set forth the standards of performance applicable to new sources for the phosphate subcategory (Subpart A), the ammonia subcategory (Subpart B), the urea subcategory (Subpart C), the ammonium nitrate subcategory (Subpart D), and the nitric acid subcategory (Subpart E) of the fertilizer manufacturing category.

Section 307(c) of the Act requires the Administrator to promulgate pretreatment standards for new sources at the same time that standards of performance for new sources are promulgated pursuant to section 306. Sections 418.15, 418.25,418.35,418.45 and 418.55, proposed below, provide pretreatment standards for new sources within the phosphate subcategory (Subpart A), the ammonia subcategory (Subpart B), the urea subcategory (Subpart C), the ammonium nitrate subcategory (Subpart D) and the nitric acid subcategory (Subpart E) of the fertilizer manufacturing category.

Section 304(c) of the Act requires the Administrator to issue to the States and appropriate water pollution control agencies information on the processes, procedures or operating methods which result in the elimination or reduction of the discharge of pollutants to implement standards of performance under section 306 of the Act. The report or Development Document referred to below provides, pursuant to section 304(c) of the Act, information on such processes, procedures or operating methods.

(b) Summary and basis of proposed effluent limitations guidelines for existing sources and standards of performance and pretreatment standards for new sources.

(1) General methodology. The effluent limitations guidelines and standards of performance proposed herein were developed in the following manner. The point source category was first studied for the purpose of determining whether separate limitations and standards are appropriate for different segments within the category. This analysis included a determination of whether differences in raw material used, product produced, manufacturing process employed, age, size, waste water constituents and other factors require development of separate limitations and standards for different segments of the point source category.

The raw waste characteristics for each such segment were then identified. This included an analysis of (1) the cource, flow and volume of water used in the process employed and the sources of waste and waste waters in the operation; and (2) the constituents of all wasto water. The constituents of all wasto waters which should be subject to effluent limitations guidelines and standards of performance were identified.

The control and treatment technologies existing within each segment were identified. This included an identification of each distinct control and treatment technology, including both in-plant and end-of-process technologies, which are existent or capable of being designed for each segment. It also included an identification of, in terms of the amounts of constituents and the chemical, physical, and biological characteristics of pollutants, the effluent level resulting from the application of each of the technologles. The problems, limitations and reliability of each treatment and control technology were also identified. In addition, the nonwater quality environmen-tal impact, such as the effects of the application of such technologies upon other pollution problems, including air, solid waste, noise and radiation were identified. The energy requirements of each control and treatment technology were determined as well as the cost of the application of such technologies.

The information, as outlined above, was then evaluated in order to determine what levels of technology constitute the "best practicable control technology currently available," "the best available technology economically achievable" and the "best available demonstrated control technology, processes, operating meth-ods, or other alternatives." In identifying such technologies, various factors were considered. These included the total cost of application of technology in relation to the effluent application, the age of equipment and facilities involved, the process employed, the engineering aspects of the application of various types of control techniques, process changes, nonwater quality environmental impact (including energy requirements) ้อกส้ other factors.

The data upon which the above analysis was performed included EPA permit applications, EPA sampling and inspections, consultant reports, and industry submissions.

The pretreatment standards proposed herein are intended to be complementary to the pretreatment standards proposed for existing sources under 40 CFR Part 128. The basis for such standards is set forth in the FEDERAL REGISTER of July 19, 1973, 38 FR 19236. The provi-sions of Part 128 are equally applicable to sources which would constitute "new sources," under section 306 if they were to discharge pollutants directly to navigable waters, except for § 128.133. That section provides a pretreatment standard for "incompatible pollutants" which requires application of the "best practicable control technology currently available," subject to an adjustment for

amounts of pollutants removed by the publicly owned treatment works. Since the pretreatment standards proposed herein apply to new sources, §§ 418.15, 418.25, 418.35, 418.45 and 418.55 below amend § 128.133 to require application of the standard of performance for new sources rather than the "best practicable" standard applicable to existing sources under sections 301 and 304(b) of the Act.

(2) Summary of conclusions with respect to the phosphate subcategory (Subpart A), the ammonia subcategory (Subpart B), the urea subcategory (Subpart C), the ammonium nitrate subcategory (Subpart D) and the nitric acid subcategory (Subpart E) of the fertilizer manufacturing category.

(i) Categorization. For the purpose of studying waste treatment and effluent limitations, the basic fertilizer chemicals segment of the fertilizer manufacturing category was divided into five discrete. subcategories which coincide with the waste water treatment technologies used for each subcategory as outlined in the Development Document for the fertilizer manufacturing category.

(a) Subpart A—Phosphate Subcategory. This part of the industry includes the manufacture of sulfuric acid by sulfur burning, wet process phosphoric acid, normal superphosphate, triple superphosphate and ammonium phosphate. The manufacture of phosphoric acid includes phosphate rock grinding, acid attack of phosphate rock, phosphoric acid concentration and phosphoric acid clarification. All of these operations usually occur in a single complex separate from nitrogen fertilizer products. Treatment of the joint waste streams by neutralization and settling in general can be adequately accomplished without separation of individual process waste streams.

(b) Subpart B—Ammonia Subcategory. Ammonia is made by high temperature and high pressure gaseous reactions. Adequate treatment of the primary waste constituent ammonia can only be accomplished by treatment separate from other operations in a nitrogen fertilizer complex (i.e. ammonia stripping). Hence production of ammonia should stand by itself as a subcategory.

(c) Subpart C—Ūrea Subcategory. The synthesis of urea is also characterized by high pressure gaseous reactions. The waste water contains large quantities of ammonia and urea. Treatment of these wastes involves urea hydrolysis, which necessitates separation of urea waste streams from the waste streams of other products at a nitrogen fertilizer complex. Operations utilizing prill towers have an increased raw waste load of ammonia and urea.

(d) Subpart D—Ammonium Nitrate Subcategory. The production of ammonium nitrate is accomplished by mixing liquid ammonia and nitric acid in a low pressure vessel. Flash vaporization of water from the dilute nitric acid is the source of the process waste water. The treatment of ammonia and nitrates poses special waste water treatment problems which require consideration as a separate subcategory. (e) Subpart E-Nitric Acid Subcategory. Nitric acid is produced by the oxidation of ammonia at elevated temperatures. There is no process waste water. Hence there is a need for nitric acid manufacturing to be considered separately from other nitrogen fertilizer operations.

(ii) Waste characteristics. The pollutants or pollutant characteristics contained in raw waste waters resulting from the phosphate subcategory (Suppart A) of the fertilizer manufacturing category include low pH, phosphorus, fluorides, cadmium, arsenic, vanadium and uranium. Nitrogen in the form of ammonium will result from the synthesis of ammonium phosphate. Low and high pH and nitrogen occur in the raw wastes from the ammonia, urea, ammonium nitrate and nitric acid subcategories. Nitrogen will occur in different forms in each of these four subcategories (Subparts B, C, D and E). In the ammonia subcategory, nitro-gen will be present as ammonia, in the urea subcategory as ammonia and organic nitrogen, in the ammonium nitrate subcategory as ammonia and nitrate, and in the nitric acid subcategory as nitrate. Oil and grease will be present in the raw wastes of the ammonia subcategory.

Closed loop cooling tower blowdown, manufacturing waste water, process condensate, spills and leaks, and run-off waters constitute the principal waste waters in fertilizer manufacturing.

Of the metals found in the raw waste waters of the phosphate subcategory, only cadmium is found in an appreciable quantity. Cadmium and all cadmium compounds were listed as a toxic pollutant in the FEDERAL REGISTER of July 6, 1973, 38 FR 18044. The effuent limitation for cadmium will be the same as that effluent standard established for this constitutent as a toxic pollutant. Effluent standards were not set for the remaining metals, arsenic, vanadium and uranlum, because of the lack of data. What data that does exist shows that only trace amounts of these constituents are present. In addition, the proposed treatment technologies will adequately remove these constituents along with those con-stituents for which effluent standards are proposed.

Bacteria and rust inhibitors, such as chromium and zinc, are sometimes added to recirculated noncontact cooling waters. Effuent limitations guidelines for these components and the thermal components of noncontact cooling water will be proposed at a later date. However, ammonia emissions at nitrogen fertilizer complexes can be absorbed by noncontact cooling water at cooling towers, and this may constitute a substantial portion of the total raw waste load. Therefore, the proposed limitations for ammonia apply to both process waste water and noncontact cooling water that has accumulated this pollutant from the same manufacturing process by absorption of ammonia from the air.

Raw waste load data have been collected on these streams for each subcategory of the industry, and information has been assembled on the treatment procedures required for each waste water stream.

(iii) Origin of waste water pollutants in the fertilizer manufacturing category.

(a) Phosphate Subcategory. Sulfuric acid is made by oxidizing molten sulfur at high temperatures. The process requires a large quantity of cooling water which usually flows through cooling towers and is recycled. Leaks in the heat exchange equipment will introduce sulfuric acid to the cooling water.

Phosphoric acid used for fertilizers is produced by applying a strong acid, usually sulfuric acid, to phosphate ore. This ore is not pure and appreciable amounts of fluorides and possibly trace amounts of cadmium, arsenic, vanadium and uranium will be leached by the acid. Fluorine is volatilized in the process and is collected in water scrubbers. The large amount of by-product gypsum that is formed by the reaction is sluiced to large gypsum ponds by previously polluted water. The waste water from the scrubbers is typically sent to this same pond. In concentrating phosphoric acid, impurities (principally fluorine) will be volatilized and be collected in the barometric condenser water.

Escaping gases from the production of superphosphates and ammonium phosphates are treated by wet scrubbers. Phosphorus and fluorides will also be present in these waste waters. Ammonia is found in ammonium phosphate scrubber water.

(b) Ammonia subcategory. The principal source of process waste water is condensation of excess steam used in the primary reformer. Ammonia in this condensate may originate from recycle of purge gas, from feed air containing ammonia and from ammonia inadvertently formed in the shift converter. Since cryogenic equipment is used in the process, condensate about the pipes and equipment may adsorb ammonia from leaks in seals. Another source of ammonia is absorption in cooling towers of ammonia emissions from the ammonia plant. Oil and grease occur as the result of drippings from pump and high pressure compressors.

(c) Urea subcategory. Following the urea forming reactions, the pressure is reduced to allow ammonia, carbon dioxide and ammonium carbamate to flash from the urea product. Water scrubbing of these flashed gases along with the condensation of water vapor from the urea concentration step results in a weste stream containing urea, ammonium carbamate, ammonia and carbon dioxide. Fine dust from prill towers or urea pan granulators may also enter water collection systems via rain water or wash water.

(d) Ammonium nitrate subcategory. The nitric acid-animionia reaction is highly exothermic, and a large amount of water containing ammonia, nitric acid, nitrate and some nitrogen dioxide is evaporated. Air scrubbing of these contaminants will result in their presence in the waste waters. As is the case for urea, prilling of the product will result in a fine dust which can enter water collection systems via rain water or wash water.

(e) Nitric acid subcategory. Leaks and spills are the only sources of pollution from the manufacturing process.

(iv) Treatment and control technology. In-plant procedures to control pollution include good housekeeping, control of spills, immediate correction of leaks, reduction and control of start-up and shutdown operations, reuse of waste water, salvage of by-products for sale, and control of runoff and seepage from lagoons.

"End-of-pipe" waste water treatment processes include sedimentation, flocculation, precipitation, filtration, neutralization, holding basins, lagoons, cooling towers, condensers, disposal of solids to landfill areas, continuous monitoring of cooling water, evaporation, steam or air stripping of ammonia, urea hydrolysis, ion exchange and recycle.

Air pollution control processes include precipitation, filtration, demisting, stack washing, use of separator towers, cyclone separation, and diversion of components originating in air pollution control processes to waste water treatment systems, to raw materials, and to products. Wet scrubbing of stacks leads to heavy contamination of waste water.

Solid waste control must be considered. The processes in the phosphate subcategory of the fertilizer industry generate a considerable amount of solid wastes, notably gypsum. Best practicable control technology and best available control technology, as they are known today, require solid waste disposal of these quantities. In most cases these are nonhazardous substances, requiring only minimal custodial care. However, some constituents may be hazardous and may require special consideration. In order to ensure long term protection of the environment from these hazardous or harmful constituents, special consideration of disposal sites must be made. All landfill sites where such hazardous wastes are disposed should be selected so as to prevent horizontal and vertical migration of these contaminants to ground or surface waters. In cases where geologic conditions may not reasonably ensure this, adequate legal and mechanical precautions (e.g. impervious liners) should be taken to ensure long term protection to the environment from hazardous materials. Where appropriate the location of solid hazardous materials disposal sites should be permanently recorded in the appropriate office of legal jurisdiction.

(v) Treatment and control technology within subcategories. Waste water treatment and control technologies have been studied for each subcategory of the industry to determine what is (a) the best practicable control technology currently available, (b) the best available technology economically achievable; and (c) the best available demonstrated control technology, processes, operating methods or other alternatives.

(a) Treatment in the phosphate subcategory. The application of the best practicable control technology currently available will result in no discharge of the waste components of process waste water from plants of the phosphate subcategory.

The need to treat phosphate fertilizer process contaminated water is almost entirely dependent upon the local rainfall to evaporation ratio. This means that barring poor water management and concentrated periods of heavy rainfall, the fresh water use and the pond water evaporation are essentially in balance. Therefore, any means of making an inprocess change to utilize the contaminated water instead of fresh water will create a negative water balance. In turn, this will eliminate the need for treatment of contaminated water and effect a "no discharge" condition, except during exceptional periods of heavy rainfall.

Contaminated gypsum pond water can be treated effectively for control of pH, phosphorus and fluorides. Treatment consists of a "double-liming" or twostage lime neutralization. After settlement the clear neutralized water will contain 15-30 mg/l of fluoride and 10-40 mg/l of phosphorus. Additional liming to a pH of 8.5 or greater will maximize fluoride and phosphorus removal.

The phosphoric acid process may be modified to permit use of the contaminated gypsum pond water for dilution of sulfuric acid in place of fresh water. This will create a negative water balance in the gypsum pond. These modifications can be added to existing plants, or included in the design of new facilities.

A monitoring and emergency containment system can be installed at sulfuric acid installations in the event that noncontact cooling water becomes polluted as the result of leaks.

(b) Treatment in the ammonia subcategory. Best practicable control technology currently available can be achieved by ammonia stripping by air and/or steam. Ammonia levels of 0.125 kg/kkg (0.125 lb/1000 lb) of product have been achieved. Alternate treatment technologies include biological nitrification and denitrification or selective ion exchange for ammonia subsequent to ammonia stripping. Advanced ammonia stripping units are currently under development that are expected to attain the proposed limitations for best available technology economically achievable.

Oil and grease can be controlled at the source by drip pans under pumps and compressors. Otherwise oil and grease removal from waste streams can be accomplished by gravity type API separators.

(c) Treatment in the urea subcategory. Best practicable control technology currently available can be achieved by hydrolysis of urea to ammonia and carbon dioxide. These gases can then either be returned to the urea manufacturing process or stripped to the atmosphere. The resultant effluent can achieve ammonia and organic nitrogen levels of 0.075 kg/kkg (0.0375 lb/1000 lb) of product and 0.0375 kg/kkg (0.0375 lb/1000 lb) of product respectively. Alternate urea hydrolysis units are currently under development that are expected to further

reduce the ammonia and organic nitrogen levels to the proposed limitation: for best available technology economically achievable.

(d) Treatment in the ammonium nitrate subcategory. Best practicable control technology currently available can be achieved by ion exchange removal of ammonium and nitrate ions. Ammonia and nitrate levels of 0.1 kg/kkg (0.1 lb/ 1000 lb) of product and 0.125 kg/kkg (0.125 lb/1000 lb) of product respectively can be achieved. The treated water may be reused within the plant as make-up boiler feed water, or as cooling tower make-up water, or may be recycled back to the raw water treatment unit. The regeneration of the ion exchange resins creates a concentrated ammonium nitrate waste which may be further concentrated and sold.

Alternate treatment technologies capable of attaining the proposed limitations for best available control technology economically achievable are currently being developed. These include biological nitrification and denitrification, advanced ion exchange and recycle as nitric acid plant feed.

(e) Treatment in the nitric acid subcategory. There is no discharge of process waste water from the nitric acid manufacturing process. Best practicable control technology currently available therefore involves detection and containment of leaks and prevention of spills.

(vi) Cost estimates for control of wasto water pollutants in the phosphate manufacturing category.

Cost estimates follow for processes that can achieve the various levels of treatment required for the five subcategories.

(a) The phosphate subcategory. The economic analysis for the phosphate subcategory centered about two end products, ammonium phosphate and triple superphosphate. Best practicable control technology currently available can be achieved at costs of \$3.40 and \$1.20 per ton of product, respectively for these two products. Best available technology economically achievable can be achieved at costs of \$0.14 and \$0.10 per ton of product, respectively for these two product, respectively for these two product, respectively for these two prod-

(b) The animonia subcategory. Best practicable control technology currently available can be achieved at a cost of \$1.11 per ton of product. Best available technology economically achievable can be achieved at a cost of \$0.33 per ton of product.

(c) The urea subcategory. Best practicable control technology currently available can be achieved at a cost of \$1.70 per ton of product. Best available technology economically achievable can be achieved at a cost of \$0.60 per ton of product.

(d) The ammonium nitrate subcategory. Best practicable control technology currently available can be achieved at a cost of \$3.70 per ton of product. Best available technology economically achievable can be achieved at a cost of \$2.20 per ton of product.

(e) The nitric acid subcategory. Nitric acid is an intermediate product used for the manufacture of phosphoric acid and ammonium nitrate. Increased costs due to the costs of installing water pollution control equipment are computed into the end-products, rather than presenting them separately.

(vii) Establishing daily maximum limitations. Similar treatment by other industrial categories of the waste water parameters for the fertilizer manufacturing category has demonstrated that a maximum daily deviation by a factor of 1.5 times the long term average value is a reasonable limitation to ensure control of the treatment systems. Since in this case insufficient data exists to form an exact statistical basis to establish maximum daily effluent limitations, the factor was relaxed to the extent that the maximum daily value may not exceed twice the long term average value.

The parameter of pH is readily controllable to within the range of 6.0 and 9.0 because of the development of continuous monitoring and automatic control devices. Therefore, pH must be maintained within the range of 6.0 and 9.0 at all times.

(viii) Nonwater quality aspects. Air or steam stripping of ammonia will result in ammonia emissions to the atmosphere. Experience with these treatment systerms in other industries and at publicly owned treatment works has shown no detrimental environmental effects. No deleterious noise or radiation problems are associated with the proposed waste water treatment methods for the fertilizer manufacturing category. Solid wastes disposed in the manner previously discussed will not have an environmental impact.

(ix) Economic impact analysis. The economic analysis has focused on both internal and external costs associated with the proposed levels of water pollution abatement.

The total investment and annual costs required for all subcategories of this segment of the industry to achieve the proposed effluent limitations guidelines representing the best practicable control technology currently available are estimated at \$100 million and \$67 million, respectively. Additional total investment and annual costs of \$51 million and \$25 million, respectively, are estimated to achieve the proposed effluent limitations guidelines representing the best available technology economically achievable.

The total cost of water pollution abatement, both operating and raw material costs, for each product has been related to the average product selling price to determine the magnitude of either price increases, if these costs can be passed on, or decreases in pre-tax profitability. These percentages range from 2.2 percent for triple superphosphate to 8.4 percent for ammonium nitrate after the application of best practicable control technology currently available. The application of best available technology eco-nomically achievable will result in additional increases that range from 0.2 percent for triple superphosphate and diammonium phosphate to 5.0 percent for ammonium nitrate.

External cost deals basically with the assessment of economic impact of the in-

ternal costs discussed above in terms of price increases, production curtailments or plant closures, resultant employment, community and regional impacts, international trade, and future industry growth. It should be noted that a precise study of economic impact is difficult due to numerous other economic forces at work within an industry, and because of the great variability experienced from plant-to-plant in such factors as pollution control costs, profitability, and return on investment. In an economic study such as this, it is not possible to deal with these factors on an individual plant basis.

The manufacture of only two chemicals, ammonium phosphate and ammonium nitrate, are expected to receive any significant economic impact by application of the proposed guidelines for 1977, 1983, or new sources. For ammonium phosphate, constraints on pricing due to a projected over supply situation in the mid-decade, may threaten between 3 to 16 of the smallest plants which represent 7 to 39 percent of the ammonium phosphate production capacity. This over supply situation is due to the planned building of several large plants to take advantage of the economies of scale. If this over expansion were not to occur, either very few or no plant closings would be predicted. For ammonium nitrate, higher pollution costs coupled with constraints on price increases may threaten between 16 to 29 of the smallest plants which represent 16 to 33 percent of the ammonium nitrate production capacity. Of these plants, all but 10 are part of a complex which produces other fertilizer finished products. At the worst, the impact of any shutdown of a single process within a complex will be somewhat offset by the increased production of other fertilizer products.

The affected fertilizer plants are located throughout the United States, and no single area is expected to be greatly impacted. It is expected that there will be no long term effects to the U.S. balance of trade.

A report entitled "Development Document for Proposed Effuent Limitations Guidelines and New Source Performance Standards for the BASIC FERTILIZER CHEMICALS Segment of the Fertilizer Manufacturing Point Source Category" details the analysis undertaken in support of the regulations being proposed herein and is available for inspection in the EPA Information Center, Room 227, West Tower, Waterside Mall, Washington, D.C., at all EPA regional offices, and at State water pollution control offices. A supplementary analysis prepared for the EPA of the possible economic effects of the proposed regulations is also available for inspection at these locations. Copies of both of these documents are being sent to persons or institutions affected by the proposed regulations, or who have placed themselves on a mailing list for this purpose (see EPA's Advance Notice of Public Review Procedures, 38 FR 21202, August 6, 1973). An additional limited number of copies of both reports are available. Persons wishing to obtain a copy may write the EPA Information

Center, Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C. 20460, Attention: Mr. Philip B. Wisman.

(c) Summary of public participation. Prior to this publication, the agencies and groups listed below were consulted and given an opportunity to participate in the development of the effluent limitations guidelines and standards proposed for the fertilizer manufacturing category. All participating agencies have been informed of project developments. An initial draft of the Development Document was sent to all participants and comments were solicited on that report. The following are the principal agencies and groups consulted: (1) Effluent Standards and Water Quality Information Advisory Committee (established under section 515 of the Act); (2) all State and U.S. Territory Pollution Control Agencies except those who specifically requested to be omitted (North Dakota, Montana, Utah, Wyoming, Michigan and West Virginia); (3) the Fertilizer Institute; (4) Manufacturing Chemists Association; (5) Puerto Rico Land Association; (6) The American Society of Mechanic Engineers; (7) Hudson River Sloop Restoration, Inc.; (8) The Conservation Foundation; (9) Environmental Defense Fund, Inc.; (10) Natural Resources Defense Council; (11) The American Society of Civil Defense Council; (12) The American Society of Civil Engineers: (13) Water Pollution Control Federation; (14) National Wild-life Federation; (15) the Isaac Walton League of America; (16) Western Montana Scientists Committee for Public Information; (17) U.S. Department of Commerce; (18) U.S. Department of the Interior; (19) U.S. Department of Agriculture; and (20) U.S. Water Resources Council.

The following organizations responded with comments: The Fertilizer Institute; E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Company: Allied Chemical Corporation; Tennessee Valley Authority; Natural Resources Defense Council; U.S. Water Resources Council; Western Montana Scientists Committee for Public Information; Effluent Standards and Water Quality Information Advisory Committee; U.S. Department of Commerce; U.S. Department of the Interior; U.S. Department of Agriculture; Iowa State University; State of Florida, Department of Pollution Control: New York State Department of Environmental Conservation: State of California, Water Resources Control Board; Illinois Environmental Protection Agency; State of North Carolina, Department of Natural and Economic Resources; State of Alaska, Department of Environmental Conservation; Arizona State Department of Health; State of Nebraska, Department of Environmental Control; and State of Pennsylvania.

The primary issues raised in the development of the proposed limitations guidelines and standards of performance and the treatment of these issues herein are as follows:

(1) The objection was raised that water quality factors were not taken into account in establishing effluent guidelines. The Act differentiates between effluent limitations that are based upon existing and achievable technology and effluent limitations to be applied if the technology based limitations are not sufficient to meet the water quality standards. The intent of the Act clearly is to apply technology based standards broadly and then to require additional pollutant reductions whenever needed to meet water quality standards. These regulations propose technology based standards in compliance with sections 301, 304 (b) and (c), 306(b) and 307(c) of the Act.

(2) A pH limitation of ± 1 unit of the receiving water was originally proposed. This standard was objected to as being based on water quality criterion alone. The proposed pH limitations were changed to read, pH shall be within the range of 6.0 to 9.0. This is consistent with the other proposed effluent limitations.

(3) The remark was made that the standards of performance for new sources should be as stringent as best available technology economically achievable. The latter effluent limitations can be based upon technology that currently is being developed. However, standards of per-formance are to be applied to "any source, the construction of which is commenced after the publication of proposed regulations * * * if such standard is thereafter promulgated." Since technology, that has yet to be perfected, cannot be applied to such new sources, less stringent limitations must be applied that are based upon existing, proven technology.

(4) A 48 and 96 hour, two-fold deviation from the limitation average was deemed too great. These variability factors were changed to allow the maximum for any one day to be twice the maximum average of daily values for specified periods of consecutive days.

(5) It was suggested that cadmium. vanadium, arsenic, selenium and radioactive materials be considered. These contaminants were reviewed and only cadmium is present in measurable quantitles in the raw waste waters of the phosphate subcategory. However, since cadmium is listed in the FEDERAL REG-ISTER of July 6, 1973, 38 FR 18044, the effluent standard to be established for cadmium as a toxic pollutant will also apply to this category.

(6) The chromium and zinc limitations were objected to as being too lenient. These contaminants will be present only if they are added to recirculated cooling water as bacteria and rust inhibitors. The presence of these constituents in cooling water will be studied at a later time, and limitations governing such have accordingly been deleted for this category.

(7) Objection was raised to a single standard for urea plants. Urea plants that prill their product will have an increased raw waste load due to air emissions consisting of dust particles that can eventually find their way to waste water collection systems via rainfall and

other methods. An exception was therefore allowed for urea plants that prill.

(8) It was argued that installation and operating costs are too low. The contractor is especially well qualified in estimating equipment costs. However, there will be special cases where installation costs will be higher, due to pecu-liar local problems. These costs can neither be anticipated nor estimated except on a single plant study.

(9) Commentators have suggested that the proposed effluent guidelines may result in significant impacts, particu-larly in the cases of ammonium nitrate and diammonium phosphate. In addition, in the light of current and prospective shortages of fertilizer additional information is requested, particularly on capital expenditures and on the amount of pollution control in place, to enable a more incisive analysis of prospective production curtailment and plant shutdowns.

Interested persons may participate in this rulemaking by submitting written comments in triplicate to the EPA Information Center, Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C. 20460, Attention: Mr. Philip B. Wisman. Comments on all aspects of the proposed regulations are solicited. In the event comments are in the nature of criticisms as to the adequacy of data which is available, or which may be relied upon by the Agency, comments should identify and, if possible, provide any additional data which may be available and should indicate why such data is essential to the development of the regulations. In the event comments address the approach taken by the agency in establishing an effluent limitation guideline or standard of performance, EPA solicits suggestions as to what alternative approach should be taken and why and how this alternative better satisfies the detailed requirements of sections 301, 304(b), 306 and 307 of the Act.

A copy of all public comments will be available for inspection and copying at the EPA Information Center, Room 227, West Tower, Waterside Mall, 401 M Street SW., Washington, D.C. A copy of preliminary draft contractor reports, the Development Document and the economic study referred to above and certain supplementary materials supporting the study of the industry concerned will also be maintained at this location for public review and copying. The EPA in-formation regulation, 40 CFR Part 2, provides that a reasonable fee may be charged for copying.

All comments received on or before January 9, 1974, will be considered. Steps previously taken by the Environmental Protection Agency to facilitate public response within this time period are outlined in the advance notice concerning public review procedures published on August 6, 1973 (38 FR 21202).

Dated: November 23, 1973.

JOHN QUARLES. Acting Administrator. PART 418—EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS GUIDELINES FOR EXISTING SOURCES AND STANDARDS OF PERFORMANCE AND PRETREATMENT STANDARDS FOR NEW SOURCES FOR THE FERTILIZER MANUFACTURING POINT SOURCE CATEGORY

Subpart A-Phosphate Subcategory

Sec.

- 418.10 Applicability; description of phosphate subcategory. Specialized definitions. 418.11
- Effluent limitations guidelines rep-418.12 resenting the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best practicable control technology currently available.
- 418.13 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent re-duction attainable by the application of the best available technology economically achievable.
- 418.14 Standards of performance for new sources.
- 418.15 Pretreatment standards for new sources.

Subpart B-Ammonia Subcategory

- 418.20 Applicability; description of ammonia subcategory
- 418.21 418.22
- Specialized definitions. Effluent limitations guidelines rep-resenting the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best practicable control technology currently available.
- 418.23 Effluent limitations guidelines rep-resenting the degree of effluent re-duction attainable by the application of the best available technology economically achievable.
- 418.24 Standards of performance for new sources.
- 418.25 Pretreatment standards for new sources.

Subpart C-Urea Subcategory

- 418.30 Applicability; description of urea subcategory.
- Specialized definitions. 418 31
- Effluent limitations guidelines rep-resenting the degree of effluent 418.32 reduction attainable by the application of the best practicable control technology currently available.
- 418.33 Effluent limitations guidelines rep-resenting the degree of effluent reduction attainable by application of the best available technology economically achievable.
- 418.34 Standards of performance for new sources.
- 418.35 Pretreatment standards for new sources.
 - Subpart D-Ammonium Nitrate Subcategory
- 418.40 Applicability; description of am-monium nitrate subcategory. 418.41 Specialized definitions.
- 418.42 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent re-
- duction attainable by the application of the best practicable control technology currently available. 418.43 Effluent limitations guidelines rep
 - resenting the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best available technology economically achievable.
- 418.44 Standards of performance for new sources.
- 418.45 Pretreatment standards for new sources.

٩.

Subpart E-Nitric Acid Subcategory

Applicability; description of nitric acid subcategory. 418.50

418.51 Specialized definitions.

Sec.

- 418.52 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best practicable control
- technology currently available. ~ 418.53 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best available technology economically achievable.
- 418.54 Standards of performance for new sources, Pretreatment standards for new 418.55
- sources.

AUTHORITY: Secs. 301, 304 (b) and (c), and 307(c) of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, as amended (33 U.S.C. 1251, 1311, 1314 (b) and (c), 1316(b) and 1317(c); 86 Stat. 816 et seq. Pub. L. 92-500 (the "Act").

Subpart A-Phosphate Subcategory

§ 418.10 Applicability; description of phosphate subcategory.

The provisions of this subpart are applicable to the discharges resulting from the manufacture of sulfuric acid by sulfur burning, wet process phosphoric acid, normal superphosphate, triple superphosphate and ammonium phosphate.

§ 418.11 Specialized definitions

For the purposes of this subpart:

(a) the term "process waste water" shall mean any water which during the manufacturing process comes into direct-contact with any raw material, intermediate product, by-product, waste product or finished product.

(b) the term "process waste water pollutants" shall mean pollutants contained in process waste waters.

(c) the term "impoundment." for the purposes of calculating excess water discharged, shall be the water surface area at maximum impoundment capacity.

(d) the following abbreviations shall have the following meanings: (1) "mg/l" shall mean milligrams per liter and (2) "TSS" shall mean total suspended nonfilterable solids.

- § 418.12 Effluent limitations guidelines
- representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best practicable control technology currently available.

The following limitations constitute the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties which may be dis-charged after application of the best available technology economically achievable by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart:

(a) Subject to the provisions of paragraphs (b), (c), and (d) of this section, there shall be no discharge of process waste water pollutants into navigable waters.

(b) A process waste water impoundment which is designed, constructed and operated so as to contain the precipitation from the 10 year, 24 hour rainfall event as established by the National Climatic Center, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration for the area in which such impoundment is located may discharge that volume of process waste water which is equivalent to the volume of precipitation that falls within the impoundment in excess of that attributable to the 10 year, 24 hour rainfall event, when such event occurs.

(c) During any calendar month there may be discharged from a process waste water impoundment either a volume of process waste water equal to the difference between the precipitation for that month that falls within the impoundment and the evaporation for that month, or, if greater, a volume of process waste water equal to the difference between the mean precipitation for that month that falls within the impoundment and the mean evaporation for that month as established by the National Climatic Center, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration for the area in which such impoundment is located (or as otherwise determined if no monthly data have been established by the National Climatic Center).

(d) Any process waste water discharged pursuant to paragraph (c) of this section shall comply with each of the following requirements:

Effluent characteristic Effuent limitations Total Maximum for any one day phosphorus. 20 mg/1. Maximum average of daily values for periods of discharge covering 10 or more consecutive days 10 mc/1. Fluoride_____ Maximum for any one day 30 mg/1. Maximum average of daily values for periods of dis-charge covering 10 or more consecutive days 15 mg/1. Ammonia Maximum for any one day nitrogen. 10 mg/1. Maximum average of daily values for periods of discharge covering 10 or more consecutive days 5 mg/1. TSS_____ Maximum for any one day 30 mg/1. Maximum average of daily values for periods of discharge covering 10 or more consecutive days 10 mg/1. pH_____ Within the range of 6.0 to 9.0.

§ 418.13 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best available technology economically achievable.

The following limitations constitute the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties which may be discharged after the application of the best available technology economically achievable by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart:

(a) Subject to the provisions of paragraph (b) of this section, there shall be no discharge of process waste water pollutants into navigable waters.

(b) A process waste water impoundment which is designed, constructed, and operated so as to contain the precipitation from the 25 year, 24 hour rainfall event as established by the National

and Atmospheric Administration for the area in which such impoundment is located may discharge that volume of process waste water which is equivalent to the volume of precipitation that falls within the impoundment in excess of that attributable to the 25 year, 24 hour rainfall event, when such event occurs.

§ 418.14 Standards of performance for new sources.

The following limitations constitute the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties which may be discharged after the application of standards of performance for new sources by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart:

(a) Subject to the provisions of paragraph (b) of this section, there shall be no discharge of process waste water pollutants into navigable waters.

(b) A process waste water impoundment which is designed, constructed, and operated so as to contain the precipitation from the 25 year, 24 hour rainfall event as established by the National Climatic Center, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration for the area in which such impoundment is located may discharge that volume of process waste water which is equivalent to the volume of precipitation that falls within the impoundment in excess of that attributable to the 25 year, 24 hour rainfall event, when such event occurs.

§ 418.15 Pretreatment standards for new sources.

The pretreatment standards under section 307(c) of the Act, for a source within the phosphate subcategory which is an industrial user of a publicly owned treatment works (and which would be a new source subject to section 306 of the Act, if it were to discharge pollutants to navigable waters), shall be the standard set forth in Part 128 of this chapter, except that for the purposes of this section, § 128.133 of this chapter, shall be amended to read as follows: "In addition to the prohibitions set forth in § 128.131 of this chapter, the pretreatment standard for incompatible pollutants introduced into a publicly owned treatment works by a major contributing industry shall be the standard of performance for new sources specified in § 418.14: Provided, That, if the publicly owned treatment works which receives the pollutants is committed, in its NPDES permit, to remove a specified percentage of any incompatible pollutant, the pretreatment standard ap-plicable to users of such treatment works shall be correspondingly reduced for that pollutant."

Subpart B-Ammonia Subcategory

§ 418.20 Applicability; description of ammonia subcategory.

The provisions of this subpart are applicable to discharges resulting from the manufacture of ammonia.

§ 418.21 Specialized definitions.

For the purposes of this subpart: (a) the term "oil and grease" shall Climatic Center, National Oceanic mean those components of waste water

amenable to measurement by the method. described in "Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Wastes", 1971, Environmental Protection Agency, Analytical Quality Control Laboratory, Cincinnati. Ohio.

(b) the term "product" shall mean the anhydrous ammonia content of the compound manufactured.

(c) the following abbreviations shall have the following meanings: (1) "kg" shall mean kilograms, (2) "kkg" shal mean 1000 kilograms, and (3) "lb" shal mean pounds.

§ 418.22 Effluent limitations guideline representing the degree of effluen reduction attainable by the applica tion of the best practicable control technology currently available.

The following limitations constitut the quantity or quality of pollutants o pollutant properties which may be dis charged after application of the bes practicable control technology currently available by a point source subject to th provisions of this subpart:

Effluent characteristic	Effluent limitation
Ammonia Nitrogen.	Maximum for any one day 0.125 kg/kkg of product (0.125 lb/1000 lb). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.0625 kg/kkg of product (0.0625 lb/1000 lb).
Oll and grease.	Maximum for any one day 0.025 kg/kkg of product (0.025 lb/1000 lb). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.0125 kg/kkg of product

- (0.0125 lb/1000 lb). Within the range of 6.0 to 9.0.
- § 418.23 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best available technology economically achievable.

The following limitations constitute the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties which may be discharged after application of the best available technology economically achievable by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart:

THUMA

Ljjiuent	
characte ri stic	Effluent limitation
Ammonia Nitrogen.	Maximum for any one day 0.025 kg/kkg of product (0.05 lb/1000 lb). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.025 kg/kkg of product (0.025 lb/1000 lb).
Oil and grease.	Maximum for any one day 0.05 kg/kkg of product (0.025 lb/1000 lb). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.0125 kg/kkg of product (0.0105 bc/1000 lb)
pH	(0.0125 lb/1000 lb). Within the range of 6.0 to 9.0.

§ 418.24 Standards of performance for new sources

The following limitations constitute the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties which may be discharged after application of standards of performance for new sources by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart:

n ç" n	Effluent characteristic	Effluent limitations
n	Ammonia Nitrogen	Maximum for any one day (0.11 lb/1000 lb).
es at	,	Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.055 kg/kkg of product
a- ol	Oil and grease	(0.055 lb/1000 lb). Maximum for any one day 0.025 kg/kkg of product
te or s-		(0.025 lb/1000 lb). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.0125 kg/kkg of product
ly 1e	pH	(0.0125 lb/1000 lb). Within the range of 6.0 to 9.0.

§ 418.25 Pretreatment standards for new sources

The pretreatment standards under section 307(c) of the Act, for a source within the ammonia subcategory which is an industrial user of a publicly owned treat-ment works (and which would be a new source subject to section 306 of the Act, if it were to discharge pollutants to navigable waters), shall be the standard set forth in Part 128 of this chapter, except that for the purposes of this section, § 128.133 of this chapter, shall be amended to read as follows: "In addition to the prohibitions set forth in § 128.131 of this chapter, the pretreatment standard for incompatible pollutants intro-duced into a publicly owned treatment works by a major contributing industry shall be the standard of performance for new sources specified in § 418.24; Provided, That, if the publicly owned treatment works which receives the pollutants is committed, in its NPDES permit, to remove a specified percentage of any incompatible pollutant, the pretreatment standard applicable to users of such treatment works shall be correspondingly reduced for that pollutant."

Subpart C—Urea Subcategory

§ 418.30 Applicability; description of urea subcategory.

The provisions of this subpart are applicable to discharges resulting from the manufacture of urea.

§ 418.31 Specialized definitions.

For the purpose of this subpart: (a) The term "organic nitrogen" shall mean those components of waste water amenable to measurement by the method described in "Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater," 13th edition, 1971, page 429, method 215.

(b) The term "product" shall mean the urea content of the compound manufactured.

(c) The following abbreviations shall have the following meanings: (1) "kg" shall mean kilograms, (2) "kkg" shall

mean 1000 kilograms, and (3) "lb" shall mean pounds.

§ 418.32 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best practicable control technology currently available.

The following limitations constitute the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties which may be discharged after application of best practicable control technology currently available by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart:

(a) The following limitations constitute the maximum permissible discharge on the basis of production for urea manufacturing operations in which urea is not prilled:

Efluent characteristic Effluent limitations Ammonia Maximum for any one day 0.075 kg/kkg of product (0.075 lb/1000 lb). nitrogen. Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.0375 kg/kkg of product (0.0375 lb/1000 lb). Maximum for any one day 0.125 kg/kkg of product (0.125 lb/1000 lb). Organio nitrogen. Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.0626 kg/kkg of product (0.0625 lb/1000 lb). Within the range of 6.0 to 9.0. рĦ.

(b) The following limitations constitute the maximum permissible discharge on the basis of production for urea manufacturing operations in which urea is prilled.

Effluent characteristic	Effluent limitations
Ammonia · nitrogen.	Maximum for any one day 0.1 kg/kkg of product (0.1 1b/1000 lb). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.05 kg/kkg of product
Organio [°] nitrogen.	(0.05 lb/1000 lb). Maximum for any one day 0.25 kg/kkg of product (0.25 lb/1000 lb). Maximum average of daily values for any period of
pH	thirty consecutive days 0.125 kg/kkg of product (0.125 lb/1000 lb). Within the range of 6.0 to 0.0.

§ 418.33 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the applica-tion of the best available technology economically achievable.

מ

The following limitations constitute the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties which may be discharged after application of the best available technology cconomically achievable by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart:

(a) The following limitations constitute the maximum permissible discharge on the basis of production for urea manufacturing operations in which urea is not prilled:

FEDERAL REGISTER, VOL. 38, NO. 235-FRIDAY, DECEMBER 7, 1973

Effluent characteristic Effluent limitations Maximum for any one day Ammonia 0.03 kg/kkg of product nitrogen. (0.03 lb/1000 lb). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.015 kg/kkg of product (0.015 1b/1000 lb). Maximum for any one day 0.05 kg/kkg of product Organic nitrogen. (0.05 1b/1000 1b). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.025 kg/kkg of product (0.025 lb/1000 lb). Within the range of 6.0 to pH 9.0.

(b) The following limitations constitute the maximum permissible discharge on the basis of production for urea manufacturing operations in which urea is prilled:

Effluent characteristic	Effluent limitations	ş
Ammonia nitrogen.	Maximum for any one day 0.03 kg/kkg of product (0.03 lb/1000 lb). Maximum average of daily values for any period of	s V i
•	thirty consecutive days 0.015 kg/kkg of product (0.015 lb/1000 lb).	r s i
Organic nitrogen.	Maximum for any one day 0.075 kg/kkg of product (0.075 lb/1000 lb). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days	t s
_ pH	0.0375 kg/kkg of product (0.0375 lb/1000 lb) Within the range of 6.0 to	t o

§ 418.34 Standards of performance for new sources.

9.0.

The following limitations constitute the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties which may be discharged after application of standards of performance for new sources by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart:

(a) The following limitations constitute the maximum permissible discharge on the basis of production for urea manufacturing operations in which urea is not prilled.

77.07

DH.

Efficient characteristic	Effluent limitations
Ammonia nitrogen.	Maximum for any one day 0.065 kg/kkg of product (0.065 lb/1000 lb). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.0325 kg/kkg of product (0.0325 lb/1000 lb).
Organic nitrogen.	Maximum for any one day 0.075 kg/kkg of product (0.075 lb/1000 lb). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.0375 kg/kkg of product (0.0375 lb/1000 lb).

Within the range of 6.0 to 9.0.

(b) The following limitations constitute the maximum permissible discharge on the basis of production for urea manufacturing operations in which urea is prilled.

Effluent characteristic	Efluent limitations
Ammonia nitrogen.	Maximum for any one day 0.065 kg/kkg of product (0.065 lb/1000 lb). Maximum arcrage of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.0325 kg/kkg of product (0.0325 lb/1000 lb).
Organic nitrogen.	Maximum for any one day 0.125 kg/kkg of product (0.125 lb/1000 lb). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.0625 kg/kkg of product (0.0625 lb/1000 lb).
pH	Within the range of 6.0 to

§ 418.35 Pretreatment standards for new sources.

The pretreatment standards under section 307(c) of the Act. for a source within the urea subcategory which is an industrial user of a publicly owned treatment works (and which would be a new source subject to section 306 of the Act, if it were to discharge pollutants to navigable waters), shall be the standard set forth in Part 128 of this chapter, except that for the purposes of this section, § 128.133 of this chapter, shall be amended to read as follows: "In addition to the prohibitions set forth in § 128.131 of this chapter, the pretreatment standard for incompatible pollutants introduced into a publicly owned treatment works by a major contributing industry shall be the standard of performance for new sources specified in § 418.34: Provided, That, if the publicly owned treatment works which receives the pollutants is committed, in its NPDES permit, to remove a specified percentage of any incompatible pollutant, the pretreatment standard applicable to users of such treatment works shall be correspondingly reduced for that pollutant."

Subpart D-Ammonium Nitrate Subcategory

§ 418.40 Applicability; description of ammonium nitrate subcategory.

The provisions of this subpart are applicable to discharges resulting from the manufacture of ammonium nitrate.

§ 418.41 Specialized definitions.

For the purposes of this subpart:

(a) The term "product" shall mean the anhydrous ammonium nitrate content of the compound(s) manufactured.

(b) The following abbreviations shall have the following meanings: (1) "Kg" shall mean kilograms (2) "kkg" shall mean 1000 kilograms and (3) "Ib" shall mean pounds.

§ 418.42 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best practicable control technology currently available.

The following limitations constitute the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties which may be discharged after application of the best practicable control technology currently available by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart:

Efluent	
characteristic	Effluent limitations
Ammonia ni- trogen.	Maximum for any one day 0.1 kg/kkg of product (0.1 lb/1009 lb).
	Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty concecutive days 0.05 kg/kkg of product (0.05 lb/1000 lb).
Nitrate nitro- gen.	Maximum for any one day 0.125 kg/kkg of product 0.125 kg/kkg of product Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.0625 kg/kkg of product (0.0625 kb/1000 lb).
pH	Within the range of 6.0 to 9.0.

§ 418.43 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the applica-tion of the best available technology cconomically achievable.

The following limitations constitute the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties which may be discharged after application of the best technology available economically achievable by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart:

Effluent characteristic	Effluent limitations
Ammonia ni- trogen.	Maximum for any one day 0.015 kg/kkg of product (0.015 lb/1000 lb). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.0075 kg/kkg of product (0.0075 lb/1000 lb).
Nitrate nitro- gen.	Maximum for any one day 0.025 kg/kkg of product (0.025 lb/1000 lb). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.125 kg/kkg of product (0.0125 lb/1000 lb).
рН	Within the range of 6.0 to 9.0.

§ 418.44 Standards of performance for new sources.

The following limitations constitute the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties which may be discharged after application of standards of performance for new sources by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart:

Effluent characteristic	Effluent limitations	
Ammonia ni- trogen.	Maximum for any one day 0.1 kg/kkg of product (0.1 1b/1000 lb). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.05 kg/kkg of product (0.05 lb/1000 lb).	
Nitrate nitro- gen.	Maximum for any one day 0.05 kg/kkg of product (0.05 lb/1000 lb). Maximum average of daily values for any period of thirty consecutive days 0.025 kg/kkg of product (0.025 lb/1000 lb).	:
рН	Within the range of 6.0 to 9.0.	

,

§ 418.45 Pretreatment standards for new sources.

The pretreatment standards under section 307(c) of the Act, for a source within the ammonium nitrate subcategory which is an industrial user of a publicly owned treatment works (and which would be a new source subject to section 306 of the Act, if it were to discharge pollutants to navigable waters), shall be the standard set forth in Part 128 of this chapter, except that for the purposes of this section, § 128.133 of this chapter, shall be amended to read as follows: "In addition to the prohibitions set forth in § 128.131 of this chapter, the pretreatment standard for incompatible pollutants introduced into a publicly owned treatment works by a major contributing industry shall be the standard of performance for new sources specified in § 418.44: Provided, That, if the publicly owned treatment works which receives the pollutants is committed, in its NPDES permit, to remove a specified percentage of any incompatible pollutant, the pretreatment standard applicable to users of such treatment works shall be correspondingly reduced for that pollutant."

Subpart E-Nitric Acid Subcategory

§ 418.50 Applicability; description of nitric acid subcategory.

The provisions of this subpart are applicable to discharges resulting from the manufacture of nitric acid used as an intermediate product for the manufacture of fertilizer products or other intermediate products.

§ 418.51 Specialized definitions.

For the purposes of this subpart:

(a) The term "process waste water" shall mean any water which during the manufacturing process comes into direct contact with any raw material, intermediate product, by-product, waste product or finished product.

(b) The term "process waste water pollutants" shall mean pollutants contained in process waste waters.

§ 418.52 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best practicable control technology currently available.

The following limitations constitute the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties which may be discharged after application of the best practicable control technology currently available by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart: There shall be no discharge of process waste water pollutants into navigable waters.

§ 418.53 Effluent limitations guidelines representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by application of the best available technology economically achievable.

The following limitations constitute the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties which may be discharged after application of the best available technology economically achievable by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart: There shall be no discharge of process waste water pollutants into navigable waters.

§ 418.54 Standards of performance for new sources.

The following limitations constitute the quantity or quality of pollutants or pollutant properties which may be discharged after application of standards of performance for new sources by a point source subject to the provisions of this subpart: There shall be no discharge of process waste water pollutants into navigable waters.

§ 418.55 Pretreatment standards for new sources.

The pretreatment standards under section 307(c) of the Act, for a source within the nitric acid subcategory which is an industrial user of a publicly owned treatment works (and which would be a new source subject to section 306 of the Act, if it were to discharge pollutants to navigable waters), shall be the standard set forth in Part 128 of this chapter, except that for the purpose of this section, § 128.133 of this chapter, shall be amended to read as follows: "In addition to the prohibitions set forth in § 128.131 of this chapter, the pretreatment standard for incompatible pollutants introduced into a publicly owned treatment works by a major contributing industry shall be the standard of performance for new sources specified in § 418.54: Provided, That, if the publicly owned treatment works which receives the pollutants is committed, in its NPDES permit, to remove a specified percentage of any incompatible pollutant, the pretreatment standard applicable to users of such treatment works shall be correspondingly reduced for that pollutant."

[FR Doc.73-25338 Filed 12-6-73;8:45 am]

[40 CFR Part 425]

LEATHER TANNING AND FINISHING INDUSTRY POINT SOURCE CATEGORY Proposed Effluent Limitations Guidelines

Notice is hereby given that effluent limitations guidelines for existing sources and standards of performance and pretreatment standards for new sources set

forth in tentative form below are proposed by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) for the leather tanning and finishing category of point sources pursuant to sections 301, 304 (b) and (c), 306(b) and 307(c) of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, as amended (33 U.S.C. 1251, 1311, 1314 (b) and (c), 1316(b) and 1317(c); 86 Stat. 816 et seq.; Pub. L. 92-500) (the Act).

(a) Legal authority-(1) Existing point sources. Section 301(b) of the Act requires the achievement by not later than July 1, 1977, of effluent limitations for point sources, other than publicly owned treatment works, which require the application of the best practicable control technology currently available as defined by the Administrator pursuant to section 304(b) of the Act. Section 301(b) also requires the achievement by not later than July 1, 1983, of effluent limitations for point sources, other than publicly owned treatment works, which require the application of best available technology economically achievable which will result in reasonable further progress toward the national goal of eliminating the discharge of all pollutants, as determined in accordance with regulations issued by the Administrator pursuant to section 304(b) to the Act.

Section 304(b) of the Act requires the Administrator to publish regulations providing guidelines for effluent limitations setting forth the degree of effluent reduction attainable through the application of the best practicable control technology currently available and the degree of effluent reduction attainable through the application of the best control measures and practices achievable including treatment techniques, process and procedure innovations, operating methods and other alternatives. The regulations proposed herein set forth effluent limitations guidelines, pursuant to section 304(b) of the Act, for the leather tanning and finishing category.

(2) New sources. Section 306 of the Act requires the achievement by new sources of a Federal standard of performance providing for the control of the discharge of pollutants which reflects the greatest degree of effluent reduction which the Administrator determines to be achievable through application of the best available demonstrated control technology, processes, operating methods, or other alternatives, including, where practicable, a standard permitting no discharge of pollutants.

Section 306(b) (1) (B) of the Act requires the Administrator to proposo regulations establishing Federal standards of performance for categories of new sources included in a list published pursuant to section 306(b) (1) (A) of the Act. The Administrator published in the FED-ERAL REGISTER of January 16, 1973 (38 FR 1624), a list of 27 source categories, including the leather tanning and finishing category. The regulations proposed herein set forth the standards of performance applicable to new sources for the leather tanning and finishing category.