

United States  
Environmental Protection  
Agency


Office of Water  
Regulations and Standards  
Criteria and Standards Division  
Washington DC 20460

EPA 440 5-80-051  
October 1980



---

# Ambient Water Quality Criteria for Halomethanes



AMBIENT WATER QUALITY CRITERIA FOR  
HALOMETHANES

Prepared By  
U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

Office of Water Regulations and Standards  
Criteria and Standards Division  
Washington, D.C.

Office of Research and Development  
Environmental Criteria and Assessment Office  
Cincinnati, Ohio

Carcinogen Assessment Group  
Washington, D.C.

Environmental Research Laboratories  
Corvallis, Oregon  
Duluth, Minnesota  
Gulf Breeze, Florida  
Narragansett, Rhode Island

#### DISCLAIMER

This report has been reviewed by the Environmental Criteria and Assessment Office, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, and approved for publication. Mention of trade names or commercial products does not constitute endorsement or recommendation for use.

#### AVAILABILITY NOTICE

This document is available to the public through the National Technical Information Service, (NTIS), Springfield, Virginia 22161.

## FOREWORD

Section 304 (a)(1) of the Clean Water Act of 1977 (P.L. 95-217), requires the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency to publish criteria for water quality accurately reflecting the latest scientific knowledge on the kind and extent of all identifiable effects on health and welfare which may be expected from the presence of pollutants in any body of water, including ground water. Proposed water quality criteria for the 65 toxic pollutants listed under section 307 (a)(1) of the Clean Water Act were developed and a notice of their availability was published for public comment on March 15, 1979 (44 FR 15926), July 25, 1979 (44 FR 43660), and October 1, 1979 (44 FR 56628). This document is a revision of those proposed criteria based upon a consideration of comments received from other Federal Agencies, State agencies, special interest groups, and individual scientists. The criteria contained in this document replace any previously published EPA criteria for the 65 pollutants. This criterion document is also published in satisfaction of paragraph 11 of the Settlement Agreement in Natural Resources Defense Council, et. al. vs. Train, 8 ERC 2120 (D.D.C. 1976), modified, 12 ERC 1833 (D.D.C. 1979).

The term "water quality criteria" is used in two sections of the Clean Water Act, section 304 (a)(1) and section 303 (c)(2). The term has a different program impact in each section. In section 304, the term represents a non-regulatory, scientific assessment of ecological effects. The criteria presented in this publication are such scientific assessments. Such water quality criteria associated with specific stream uses when adopted as State water quality standards under section 303 become enforceable maximum acceptable levels of a pollutant in ambient waters. The water quality criteria adopted in the State water quality standards could have the same numerical limits as the criteria developed under section 304. However, in many situations States may want to adjust water quality criteria developed under section 304 to reflect local environmental conditions and human exposure patterns before incorporation into water quality standards. It is not until their adoption as part of the State water quality standards that the criteria become regulatory.

Guidelines to assist the States in the modification of criteria presented in this document, in the development of water quality standards, and in other water-related programs of this Agency, are being developed by EPA.

STEVEN SCHATZOW  
Deputy Assistant Administrator  
Office of Water Regulations and Standards

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

### Aquatic Life Toxicology:

William A. Brungs, ERL-Narragansett  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

John H. Gentile, ERL-Narragansett  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

### Mammalian Toxicology and Human Health Effects:

Joseph Santodonato  
Syracuse Research Corporation

Julian Andelman  
University of Pittsburgh

Michael Dourson (doc. mgr.) ECAO-Cin  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Jacqueline V. Carr  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Jerry F. Stara (doc. mgr.) ECAO-Cin  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Patrick Durkin  
Syracuse Research Corporation

Terri Laird, ECAO-Cin  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Si Duk Lee, ECAO-Cin  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Steven D. Lutkenhoff, ECAO-Cin  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Yin-Tak Woo

Sorrell Schwartz  
Georgetown University

Roy E. Albert\*  
Carcinogen Assessment Group  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Technical Support Services Staff: D.J. Reisman, M.A. Garlough, B.L. Zwyer,  
P.A. Daunt, K.S. Edwards, T.A. Scandura, A.T. Pressley, C.A. Cooper,  
M.M. Denessen.

Clerical Staff: C.A. Haynes, S.J. Faehr, L.A. Wade, D. Jones, B.J. Bordicks,  
B.J. Quesnell, T. Highland, B. Gardiner.

### \*CAG Participating Members:

Elizabeth L. Anderson, Larry Anderson, Dolph Arnica, Steven Bayard,  
David L. Bayliss, Chao W. Chen, John R. Fowle III, Bernard Haberman,  
Charalingayya Hiremath, Chang S. Lao, Robert McGaughy, Jeffrey Rosen-  
blatt, Dharm V. Singh, and Todd W. Thorslund.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Criteria Summary	
Introduction	A-1
Aquatic Life Toxicology	B-1
Introduction	B-1
Effects	B-1
Acute Toxicity	B-1
Chronic Toxicity	B-2
Plant Effects	B-3
Residues	B-3
Summary	B-3
Criteria	B-4
References	B-9
Mammalian Toxicology and Human Health Effects	C-1
Introduction	C-1
Exposure	C-3
Ingestion from Water	C-3
Ingestion from Food	C-9
Inhalation	C-13
Dermal	C-20
Pharmacokinetics	C-21
Absorption, Distribution, Metabolism and Excretion	C-21
Effects	C-28
Acute, Subacute, and Chronic Toxicity	C-28
Synergism and/or Antagonism	C-56
Teratogenicity	C-56
Mutagenicity	C-57
Carcinogenicity	C-59
Criterion Formulation	C-66
Existing Guidelines and Standards	C-66
Special Groups at Risk	C-70
Basis and Derivation of Criteria	C-71
References	C-79
Appendix	C-110

## CRITERIA DOCUMENT

### HALOMETHANES

#### CRITERIA

##### Aquatic Life

The available data for halomethanes indicate that acute toxicity to freshwater aquatic life occurs at concentrations as low as 11,000 µg/l and would occur at lower concentrations among species that are more sensitive than those tested. No data are available concerning the chronic toxicity of halomethanes to sensitive freshwater aquatic life.

The available data for halomethanes indicate that acute and chronic toxicity to saltwater aquatic life occur at concentrations as low as 12,000 and 6,400 µg/l, respectively, and would occur at lower concentrations among species that are more sensitive than those tested. A decrease in algal cell numbers occurs at concentrations as low as 11,500 µg/l.

##### Human Health

For the maximum protection of human health from the potential carcinogenic effects due to exposure of chloromethane, bromomethane, dichloromethane, bromodichloromethane, tribromomethane, dichlorodifluoromethane, trichlorofluoromethane, or combinations of these chemicals through ingestion of contaminated water and contaminated aquatic organisms, the ambient water concentration should be zero based on the non-threshold assumption for this chemical. However, zero level may not be attainable at the present time. Therefore, the levels which may result in incremental increase of cancer

risk over the lifetime are estimated at  $10^{-5}$ ,  $10^{-6}$  and  $10^{-7}$ . The corresponding recommended criteria are 1.9  $\mu\text{g}/\text{l}$ , 0.19  $\mu\text{g}/\text{l}$ , and 0.019  $\mu\text{g}/\text{l}$ , respectively. If the above estimates are made for consumption of aquatic organisms only, excluding consumption of water, the levels are 157  $\mu\text{g}/\text{l}$ , 15.7  $\mu\text{g}/\text{l}$ , and 1.57  $\mu\text{g}/\text{l}$ , respectively.

## INTRODUCTION

The halomethanes are a subcategory of halogenated hydrocarbons. This document reviews the following halomethanes: chloromethane, bromomethane, methylene chloride, bromoform, bromodichloromethane, trichlorofluoromethane, and dichlorodifluoromethane.

Methyl chloride is also known as chloromethane (Windholz, 1976). It is a colorless, flammable, almost odorless gas at room temperature and pressure. It is used as a refrigerant, a methylating agent, a dewaxing agent, and catalytic solvent in synthetic rubber production (MacDonald, 1964). Methyl bromide has been referred to as bromomethane, monobromomethane, and Embafume<sup>®</sup> (Windholz, 1976). It has been widely used as a fumigant, fire extinguisher, refrigerant, and insecticide (Kantarjian and Shaheen, 1963). Today the major use of methyl bromide is as a fumigating agent, and this use has caused sporadic outbreaks of serious human poisoning.

Methylene chloride has been referred to as dichloromethane, methylene dichloride, and methylene bichloride (Windholz, 1976). It is a common industrial solvent found in insecticides, metal cleaners, paints, and paint and varnish removers (Balmer, et al. 1976). In 1976, 244,129 metric tons (538,304,000 lbs) were produced in the United States with an additional 19,128 metric tons (42,177,000 lbs) imported (U.S. EPA, 1977a).

Trichlorofluoromethane is also known as trichloromonofluoromethane, fluorotrichloromethane, Frigen 11<sup>®</sup>, Freon 11<sup>®</sup>, and

Arcton 9<sup>®</sup>. Dichlorodifluoromethane has been referred to as difluorodichloromethane, Freon 12<sup>®</sup>, Frigen 12<sup>®</sup>, Arcton 6<sup>R</sup>, Genetron 12<sup>®</sup>, Halon<sup>®</sup>, and Isotron 2<sup>®</sup>. Freon compounds are organic compounds which contain fluorine. They have many desirable characteristics which include a high degree of chemical stability and relatively low toxicity, and they are nonflammable. Freon compounds have found many applications ranging from use as propellants to refrigerants and solvents (Van Auken, et al. 1975).

Bromoform is also known as tribromomethane (Windholz, 1976). It is used in pharmaceutical manufacturing, as an ingredient in fire resistant chemicals and gauge fluid, and as a solvent for waxes, grease, and oils (U.S. EPA, 1975a). Bromodichloromethane is used as a reagent in research (National Academy of Sciences (NAS), 1978).

The physical characteristics of the halomethanes are listed in Table 1. Monohalomethanes can be hydrolyzed slowly in neutral waters forming methanol and hydrogen halides. The rate of hydrolysis increases with size of the halogen moiety (Boggs and Mosher, 1960). Zafirion (1975) has indicated that in seawater iodomethane can react with chloride ion to yield chloromethane, and this reaction occurs as fast as the exchange of iodomethane into the atmosphere (exchange rate,  $4 \times 10^{-7}$ /sec). The monohalomethanes are not oxidized readily under ordinary conditions. Bromomethane at 14.5 percent concentrations in air and intense heat will produce a flame (Stenger and Atchison, 1964). Chloromethane in contact with a flame will burn, producing CO<sub>2</sub> and HCl (Hardie, 1964). Monohalomethanes undergo photolysis in the upper atmosphere where

TABLE 1  
Physical Characteristics of Halomethanes

Compound	Molecular weight <sup>a</sup>	Physical state under ambient conditions	mp. <sup>a</sup> (°C)	bp. <sup>a</sup> (°C)	Specific gravity	Vapor pressure (mm Hg)	Solubility in water (µg/l)	Solubility in organic solvents
chloromethane	50.49	colorless gas	-97.73	-24.2	0.973(-10°C) <sup>b</sup>		5.38x10 <sup>6</sup>	alcohol, ether, acetone, benzene, chloroform, <sup>a</sup> acetic acid <sup>a</sup>
bromomethane	94.94	colorless gas	-93.6	3.56	1.737(-10°C) <sup>b</sup>		1x10 <sup>6</sup>	alcohol, ether, acetic acid <sup>a</sup>
dichloromethane	84.93	colorless liquid	-95.1	40	1.327(20°C) <sup>a</sup>	362.4(20°C) <sup>c</sup>	13.2x10 <sup>6</sup> <sup>c</sup> (25°C)	alcohol, ether <sup>a</sup>
trichlorofluoromethane	137.37	colorless liquid	-111	23.82	1.467(25°C) <sup>a</sup>	667.4(20°C) <sup>c</sup>	1.1x10 <sup>6</sup> <sup>c</sup> (20°C)	alcohol, ether <sup>d</sup>
dichlorodifluoromethane	120.91	colorless gas	-158	-29.79	1.75(-115°C) <sup>a</sup>	4,306(20°C) <sup>c</sup>	2.8x10 <sup>5</sup> <sup>c</sup> (25°C)	alcohol, ether <sup>a</sup>
tribromomethane	252.75	colorless liquid	8.3	149.5	2.890(20°C) <sup>a</sup>		slightly sol. <sup>a</sup>	alcohol, ether, benzene, chloroform, ligroin <sup>a</sup>
bromodichloromethane	163.83	colorless liquid	-57.1	90	1.980(20°C)		insoluble <sup>a</sup>	alcohol, ether, acetone, benzene, chloroform <sup>a</sup>

a) Weast, 1972

b) U.S. EPA, 1977b

c) Pearson and McConnell, 1975

d) Windholz, 1976

ultraviolet radiation is of sufficient energy to initiate a reaction (Basak, 1973).

Prolonged heating of dichloromethane with water at 180°C results in the formation of formic acid, methyl chloride, methanol, hydrochloric acid and some carbon monoxide. In contact with water at elevated temperatures, methylene chloride corrodes iron, some stainless steels, copper, and nickel (Hardie, 1964).

Trichlorofluoromethane is nonflammable. Decomposition of tribromomethane is accelerated by air and light (Windholz, 1976).

Methylene chloride is a major halogenated pollutant with a large potential for delivery of chlorine to the stratosphere. The photooxidation of the compound in the troposphere probably proceeds with a half-life of several months, similar to the case of methyl chloride. The principal oxidation product of methylene chloride is phosgene which results from the two hydrogens being abstracted from the molecule. It is conceivable that this phosgene may be photolyzed to yield chlorine atoms in the ozone-rich region of the stratosphere. It thus appears that there is some potential for ozone destruction by methylene chloride since the generated chlorine atoms will attack ozone (U.S. EPA, 1975b).

Similarly, fully halogenated substances such as trichlorofluoromethane and dichlorodifluoromethane migrate to the stratosphere where they are photodissociated, adversely affecting the ozone balance (U.S. EPA, 1975b).

There are few data in the literature relating to the environmental fate or degradation of bromodichloromethane and tribromomethane.

## REFERENCES

- Balmer, M.F., et al. 1976. Effects in the liver of methylene chloride inhaled alone and with ethyl alcohol. Am. Ind. Hyg. Assoc. Jour. 37: 345.
- Basak, A.K. 1973. The photolytic decomposition of methyl chloride. Jour. Ind. Chem. Soc. 50: 767.
- Boggs, J.E. and H.P. Mosher. 1960. Effect of fluorine substitution on the rate of hydrolysis of chloromethane. Jour. Am. Chem. Soc. 82: 3517.
- Hardie, D.W.F. 1964. Methyl chloride. Kirk-Othmer Encyclopedia of Chemical Technology. 2nd ed. Interscience Publishers, New York.
- Kantarjian, A.D. and A.S. Shaheen. 1963. Methyl bromide poisoning with nervous system manifestations resembling polyneuropathy. Neurology. 13: 1054.
- MacDonald, J.D.C. 1964. Methyl chloride intoxication. Jour. Occup. Med. 6: 81.
- National Academy of Sciences. 1978. Nonfluorinated halomethanes in the environment. Washington, D.C.

Pearson, C.R. and G. McConnell. 1975. Chlorinated C1 and C2 hydrocarbons in the marine environment. Proc. R. Soc. London B. 189: 305.

Stenger, V.A. and G.J. Atchison. 1964. Methyl bromide. Kirk-Othmer Encyclopedia of Chemical Technology. 2nd ed. Interscience Publishers, New York.

U.S. EPA. 1975a. Initial scientific and minieconomic review of folpet. Draft. Rep. Off. Pestic. Prog. Washington, D.C.

U.S. EPA. 1975b. Report on the problem of halogenated air pollutants and stratospheric ozone. EPA 600/9-75-008. Washington, D.C.

U.S. EPA. 1977a. Area 1. Task 2. Determination of sources of selected chemicals in waters and amounts from these sources. Draft final rep. Contract No. 68-01-3852. Washington, D.C.

U.S. EPA. 1977b. Investigation of selected potential environmental contaminants. Monohalomethanes. EPA 560/2-77-007. Washington, D.C.

Van Auken, et al. 1975. Comparison of the effects of three fluorocarbons on certain bacteria. Can. Jour. Microbiol. 21: 221.

Weast, R.C., (ed.) 1972. Handbook of Chemistry and Physics. CRC Press, Cleveland, Ohio.

Windholz, M., (ed.) 1976. The Merck Index. Merck and Co., Rahway, New Jersey.

Zafiriu, O.C. 1975. Reaction of methyl halides with seawater and marine aerosols. Jour. Mar. Res. 33: 75.

INTRODUCTION

Although the aquatic toxicity data base for halomethanes is limited, it allows some generalizations concerning trends within the class. Data on chloroform and carbon tetrachloride are included for discussion and are also treated in separate criterion documents. Methylene chloride, methyl chloride, bromoform, and methyl bromide are the only other halomethanes for which appropriate data are available.

EFFECTS

Acute Toxicity

The 48-hour  $EC_{50}$  values for Daphnia magna are 224,000, 28,900, and 35,200  $\mu\text{g/l}$  for methylene chloride (Table 1), chloroform, and carbon tetrachloride, respectively (U.S. EPA, 1978). The result with chloroform (28,900  $\mu\text{g/l}$ ) does not support any conclusion about the correlation of toxicity and amount of chlorination for the data with Daphnia magna. For bromoform and methylene chloride, there appears to be little difference in sensitivity between Daphnia magna and the bluegill. The  $LC_{50}$  and  $EC_{50}$  values for each species are both 224,000  $\mu\text{g/l}$  for methylene chloride and 29,300 and 46,500  $\mu\text{g/l}$ , respectively, for bromoform.

Apparently, the brominated compounds are more toxic to fishes than the chlorinated analogs (Table 1). The 96-hour  $LC_{50}$  values for bluegill are 11,000 and 550,000  $\mu\text{g/l}$  for methyl bromide and methyl chloride, respectively, under static test conditions (Dawson, et al. 1977). For bro-

\*The reader is referred to the Guidelines for Deriving Water Quality Criteria for the Protection of Aquatic Life and Its Uses in order to better understand the following discussion and recommendation. The following tables contain the appropriate data that were found in the literature, and at the bottom of each table are calculations for deriving various measures of toxicity as described in the Guidelines.

moform and chloroform the 96-hour  $LC_{50}$  values are 29,300  $\mu\text{g/l}$  (U.S. EPA, 1978) and 115,000  $\mu\text{g/l}$  to 100,000  $\mu\text{g/l}$ , respectively. The data from acute static tests with bluegill show a correlation between increasing chlorination and toxicity. The 96-hour  $LC_{50}$  values are 550,000  $\mu\text{g/l}$  (Dawson, et al. 1977) for methyl chloride, 224,000  $\mu\text{g/l}$  for methylene chloride (U.S. EPA, 1978), 100,000 to 115,000  $\mu\text{g/l}$  for chloroform, and 125,000  $\mu\text{g/l}$  (Dawson, et al. 1977) and 27,300  $\mu\text{g/l}$  (U.S. EPA, 1978) for carbon tetrachloride. Alexander, et al. (1978) compared the effect of test procedures on the toxicity of methylene chloride to the fathead minnow. The flow-through test result was 193,000  $\mu\text{g/l}$  and the static test result was 310,000  $\mu\text{g/l}$  (Table 1).

The mysid shrimp has been tested with bromoform and methylene chloride (U.S. EPA, 1978) and the 96-hour  $LC_{50}$  values are 24,400 and 256,000  $\mu\text{g/l}$ , respectively (Table 1).

Apparently, the brominated compounds are more toxic to fishes than the chlorinated analogs, as is true for the freshwater fish (Table 1). The 96-hour  $LC_{50}$  values for the tidewater silverside (Dawson, et al. 1977) and methyl bromide and methyl chloride are 12,000 and 270,000  $\mu\text{g/l}$ , respectively.

#### Chronic Toxicity

No life cycle or embryo-larval tests have been conducted with freshwater organisms and any halomethane other than chloroform and carbon tetrachloride. In those tests, the concentration at which no adverse effects of chloroform were observed for Daphnia magna was between 1,800 and 3,600  $\mu\text{g/l}$ , and no adverse effects of carbon tetrachloride on the fathead minnow were observed at the highest test concentration of 3,400  $\mu\text{g/l}$ . Details of these

tests may be found in the criterion documents for those chemicals.

An embryo-larval test has been conducted with the sheepshead minnow and bromoform (U.S. EPA, 1978) and the chronic value derived from this test is 6,400  $\mu\text{g}/\text{l}$  (Table 2). This result and the 96-hour  $\text{LC}_{50}$  (Table 1) provide an acute-chronic ratio of 2.8 which indicates that the differences between acute lethality and other chronic effects is small.

#### Plant Effects

The 96-hour  $\text{EC}_{50}$  values for bromoform (Table 3), based on chlorophyll a and cell numbers of the freshwater alga, Selenastrum capricornutum, are 112,000 and 116,000  $\mu\text{g}/\text{l}$ , respectively. The same tests with methylene chloride showed the  $\text{EC}_{50}$  values were above the highest test concentration, 662,000  $\mu\text{g}/\text{l}$  (U.S. EPA, 1978).

The 96-hour  $\text{EC}_{50}$  values for bromoform (Table 3), based on chlorophyll a and cell numbers of the saltwater alga, Skeletonema costatum, are 12,300 and 11,500  $\mu\text{g}/\text{l}$ , respectively. The same tests with methylene chloride showed the  $\text{EC}_{50}$  values were above the highest test concentration, 662,000  $\mu\text{g}/\text{l}$  (U.S. EPA, 1978).

#### Residues

No residue data for freshwater fish are available for halomethanes other than for chloroform and carbon tetrachloride, for which the bioconcentration factors (U.S. EPA, 1978) were 6 and 30, respectively. Details of these tests may be found in the criterion documents for those chemicals.

#### Summary

Among the halomethanes tested with freshwater organisms, toxicity varied widely with, in general, an increase in toxicity with degree of chlorination. Where comparable data exist, the brominated compounds were more

toxic than the chlorinated analogs. The cladoceran, Daphnia magna, was about as sensitive as the tested fish species. Overall, the LC<sub>50</sub> and EC<sub>50</sub> values for these species and the various tested haloforms ranged from 11,000 to 550,000 µg/l. No data are available to estimate chronic toxicity. The 96-hour EC<sub>50</sub> values for the alga, Selenastrum capricornutum, for bromoform and methylene chloride ranged from 112,000 to greater than 662,000 µg/l.

The brominated compounds were more toxic to the three tested saltwater species than the chlorinated analogs. The mysid shrimp was similarly sensitive to the sheepshead minnow to bromoform and methylene chloride with the LC<sub>50</sub> and EC<sub>50</sub> values in the range of 17,900 to 331,000 µg/l. When the acute and chronic test results for the sheepshead minnow and bromoform are compared, the numerical relationship is 2.8. The highest observed no-effect level was 4,800 µg/l and the 96-hour LC<sub>50</sub> value was 17,900 µg/l. The 96-hour EC<sub>50</sub> values for the alga, Skeletonema costatum for bromoform and methylene chloride ranged from 11,500 to greater than 662,000 µg/l.

#### CRITERIA

The available data for halomethanes indicate that acute toxicity to freshwater aquatic life occurs at concentrations as low as 11,000 µg/l and would occur at lower concentrations among species that are more sensitive than those tested. No data are available concerning the chronic toxicity of halomethanes to sensitive freshwater aquatic life.

The available data for halomethanes indicate that acute and chronic toxicity to saltwater aquatic life occur at concentrations as low as 12,000 and 6,400 µg/l, respectively, and would occur at lower concentrations among species that are more sensitive than those tested. A decrease in algal cell numbers occurs at concentrations as low as 11,500 µg/l.

Table 1. Acute values for halomethanes

<u>Species</u>	<u>Method*</u>	<u>Chemical</u>	<u>LC50/EC50 (µg/l)</u>	<u>Species Acute Value (µg/l)</u>	<u>Reference</u>
<u>FRESHWATER SPECIES</u>					
<u>Cladoceran, Daphnia magna</u>	S, U	bromoform	46,500	46,500	U.S. EPA, 1978
<u>Cladoceran, Daphnia magna</u>	S, U	methylene chloride	224,000	224,000	U.S. EPA, 1978
<u>Fathead minnow, Pimephales promelas</u>	FT, M	methylene chloride	193,000	-	Alexander, et al. 1978
<u>Fathead minnow, Pimephales promelas</u>	S, U	methylene chloride	310,000	193,000	Alexander, et al. 1978
<u>Bluegill, Lepomis macrochirus</u>	S, U	bromoform	29,300	29,300	U.S. EPA, 1978
<u>Bluegill, Lepomis macrochirus</u>	S, U	methylene chloride	224,000	224,000	U.S. EPA, 1978
<u>Bluegill, Lepomis macrochirus</u>	S, U	methyl chloride	550,000	550,000	Dawson, et al. 1977
<u>Bluegill, Lepomis macrochirus</u>	S, U	methyl bromide	11,000	11,000	Dawson, et al. 1977
<u>SALTWATER SPECIES</u>					
<u>Mysid shrimp, Mysidopsis bahia</u>	S, U	bromoform	24,400	24,400	U.S. EPA, 1978
<u>Mysid shrimp, Mysidopsis bahia</u>	S, U	methylene chloride	256,000	256,000	U.S. EPA, 1978
<u>Sheepshead minnow, Cyprinodon variegatus</u>	S, U	bromoform	17,900	17,900	U.S. EPA, 1978
<u>Sheepshead minnow, Cyprinodon variegatus</u>	S, U	methylene chloride	331,000	331,000	U.S. EPA, 1978

Table 1. (Continued)

<u>Species</u>	<u>Method*</u>	<u>Chemical</u>	<u>LC50/EC50 (µg/l)</u>	<u>Species Acute Value (µg/l)</u>	<u>Reference</u>
Tidewater silverside, <u>Menidia beryllina</u>	S, U	methyl bromide	12,000	12,000	Dawson, et al. 1977
Tidewater silverside, <u>Menidia beryllina</u>	S, U	methyl chloride	270,000	270,000	Dawson, et al. 1977

---

\* S = static, FT = flow-through, U = unmeasured, M = measured

No Final Acute Values are calculable since the minimum data base requirements are not met.

Table 2. Chronic values for halomethanes (U.S. EPA, 1978)

<u>Species</u>	<u>Method*</u>	<u>Chemical</u>	<u>Limits (µg/l)</u>	<u>Chronic Value (µg/l)</u>
<u>SALTWATER SPECIES</u>				
<u>Sheepshead minnow, Cyprinodon variegatus</u>	E-L	bromoform	4,800- 8,500	6,400

\* E-L = embryo-larval

<u>Acute-Chronic Ratio</u>				
<u>Species</u>	<u>Chemical</u>	<u>Chronic Value (µg/l)</u>	<u>Acute Value (µg/l)</u>	<u>Ratio</u>
<u>Sheepshead minnow, Cyprinodon variegatus</u>	bromoform	6,400	17,900	2.8

Table 3. Plant values for halomethanes (U.S. EPA, 1978)

<u>Species</u>	<u>Chemical</u>	<u>Effect</u>	<u>Result (µg/l)</u>
<u>FRESHWATER SPECIES</u>			
Alga, <u>Selenastrum capricornutum</u>	bromoform	Chlorophyll <u>a</u> 96-hr EC50	112,000
Alga, <u>Selenastrum capricornutum</u>	bromoform	Cell number 96-hr EC50	116,000
Alga, <u>Selenastrum capricornutum</u>	methylene chloride	Chlorophyll <u>a</u> 96-hr EC50	>662,000
Alga, <u>Selenastrum capricornutum</u>	methylene chloride	Cell number 96-hr EC50	>662,000
<u>SALTWATER SPECIES</u>			
Alga, <u>Skeletonema costatum</u>	bromoform	Chlorophyll <u>a</u> 96-hr EC50	12,300
Alga, <u>Skeletonema costatum</u>	bromoform	Cell number 96-hr EC50	11,500
Alga, <u>Skeletonema costatum</u>	methylene chloride	Chlorophyll <u>a</u> 96-hr EC50	>662,000
Alga, <u>Skeletonema costatum</u>	methylene chloride	Cell number 96-hr EC50	>662,000

## REFERENCES

Alexander, H.C., et al. 1978. Toxicity of perchloroethylene, trichloroethylene, 1,1,1-trichloroethane, and methylene chloride to fathead minnows. Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 20: 344.

Dawson, G.W., et al. 1977. The acute toxicity of 47 industrial chemicals to fresh and saltwater fishes. Jour. Hazard. Mater. 1: 303.

U.S. EPA. 1978. In-depth studies on health and environmental impacts of selected water pollutants. U.S. Environ. Prot. Agency, Contract No. 68-01-4646.

## Mammalian Toxicology and Human Health Effects

### INTRODUCTION

The halomethanes are a subclass of halogenated aliphatic hydrocarbon compounds, some of whose members constitute important or potentially hazardous environmental contaminants. The seven halomethane compounds selected for discussion in this document are listed in Table 1. Many other halogenated methane derivative chemicals exist, including various combinations of halogen (bromine, chlorine, fluorine, iodine) substitutions at one, two, three, or all four of the hydrogen positions of methane. Of these, two other particularly important halomethanes, trichloromethane (chloroform) and tetrachloromethane (carbon tetrachloride) are subjects of separate criteria documents. Several recent reviews are available which present extensive discussions of health effects related to halomethane exposure (National Academy of Science (NAS), 1978; Davis, et al. 1977; Howard, et al. 1974).

Humans are exposed to halomethanes by any of three primary routes: (a) intake in water or other fluids, (b) ingestion in food; and (c) inhalation. In certain circumstances, e.g., occupational, exposure by skin absorption may be significant. Halomethanes have been identified in air (Grimsrud and Rasmussen, 1975; Lovelock, et al. 1973; Lovelock, 1975; Singh, et al. 1977; Lillian and Singh, 1974), water (Shackelford and Keith, 1976; Lovelock, 1975; Symons, et al. 1975; Morris and McKay, 1975; Kleopfer, 1976) and food (McConnell, et al. 1975; Monro, et al. 1955), but information concerning relative exposure for specific compounds via the different

TABLE 1  
Halomethanes<sup>\*,a</sup>

Names and CAS Registry Number	Formula
Bromomethane, <u>methyl bromide</u> , monobromo- methane, Embafume <sup>®</sup> , Iscobrome <sup>®</sup> , Rotox <sup>®</sup> ; 74-83-9	CH <sub>3</sub> Br
Chloromethane, <u>methyl chloride</u> , monochloromethane; 74-87-3	CH <sub>3</sub> Cl
Dichloromethane, <u>methylene chloride</u> , methane dichloride, methylene dichloride, methylene bichloride; 75-09-2	CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>
Tribromomethane, <u>bromoform</u> , methyl tribromide; 75-25-2	CHBr <sub>3</sub>
Bromodichloromethane, <u>dichloromethyl bromide</u> ; 75-25-4	BrCHCl <sub>2</sub>
Dichlorodifluoromethane, <u>fluorocarbon 12</u> , F-12 <sup>®</sup> , Arcton 6 <sup>®</sup> , Freon 12 <sup>®</sup> , Frigen 12 <sup>®</sup> , Genetron 12 <sup>R</sup> , Halon <sup>R</sup> , Isotron 12 <sup>R</sup> , difluorodichloromethane; 75-71-8	CCl <sub>2</sub> F <sub>2</sub>
Trichlorofluoromethane, <u>fluorocarbon 11</u> , F-11 <sup>®</sup> , Arcton 9 <sup>®</sup> , Freon 11 <sup>®</sup> , Frigen 11 <sup>®</sup> , Algofrene type 1, trichloromonofluoro- methane, fluorotrichloromethane; 75-69-4	CCl <sub>3</sub> F

\*Source: International Agency Research on Cancer (IARC), 1978; National Cancer Institute (NCI), 1977; Stecher, et al. 1968; National Library of Medicine, 1978.

<sup>a</sup>Chemical names, common names (underlined), some trade names (capitalized) and synonyms are provided.

media is incomplete. Inhalation and/or ingestion of fluids are probably the most important routes of human exposure (NAS, 1978).

Presence of the halomethanes in the environment is generally the result of natural, anthropogenic, or secondary sources. The monohalomethanes (bromo-, chloro-, iodomethane) are believed natural in origin with the oceans as a primary source (Lovelock, 1975); natural sources have also been proposed for dichloromethane, tribromomethane, and certain other halomethanes (NAS, 1978).

Anthropogenic sources of environmental contamination, such as manufacturing and use emissions are important for several halomethanes. These include: chloromethane (chemical intermediate in production of silicone, gasoline antiknock, rubber, herbicides, plastics, and other materials); bromomethane (soil, seed, feed, and space fumigant agents); dichloromethane (paint remover, solvent, aerosol sprays, plastics processing); tribromomethane (chemical intermediate); bromodichloromethane (used as a reagent in research); dichlorodifluoromethane and trichlorofluoromethane (refrigerant and aerosol propellant uses) (NAS, 1978; Davis, et al. 1977; Stecher, et al. 1968).

Secondary sources of halomethanes include such processes as the use of chlorine to treat municipal drinking water, some industrial wastes, and the combustion and thermal degradation of products or waste materials (NAS, 1978).

#### EXPOSURE

##### Ingestion from Water

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency has identified at least ten halogenated methanes in finished drinking waters in the

U.S. as of 1975: chloromethane, bromomethane, dichloromethane, dibromomethane, trichloromethane, tribromomethane, bromodichloromethane, dibromochloromethane, dichloriodomethane, and tetrachloromethane (U.S. EPA, 1975). In the National Organics Reconnaissance Survey in 80 cities, halogenated hydrocarbons were found in finished waters at greater concentrations than in raw waters (Symons, et al. 1975). It was concluded by Symons, et al. (1975) that trihalomethanes (THM) result from chlorination and are widespread in chlorinated drinking waters; concentrations are related to organic content of raw water. Incidence and levels of halomethanes found in the survey are summarized in Table 2.

In its Region V Organics Survey at 83 sites U.S. EPA reported concentrations of several halomethanes in a large percentage of finished municipal waters, as summarized in Table 3. Of the halomethanes detected in drinking waters, dichloromethane, tetrachloromethane, and fully chlorinated higher hydrocarbons probably are not products of water chlorination (U.S. EPA, 1975; Morris and McKay, 1975). Because of its solubility, dichloromethane may exist in water effluents at concentrations of up to 1,500 mg/l, depending on process and terminal treatment factors (NAS, 1978).

U.S. EPA's National Organic Monitoring Survey (NOMS), conducted in 1976 and 1977 (Phases L-III), sampled 113 water supplies representing various sources and treatments (U.S. EPA, 1978a,b). Incidence and concentration data for six halomethanes are summarized in Table 4. Some 63 additional organic compounds or classes were detected, including these halomethanes: bromomethane, dibromomethane, bromochloromethane, iodomethane, dichloriodomethane,

TABLE 2  
Halomethanes in the National Organics  
Reconnaissance Survey (80 Cities)\*

Compound	Number of Cities with Positive Results	Concentration, mg/l		
		Minimum	Median	Maximum
Trichloromethane	80	0.0001	0.021	0.311
Bromodichloromethane	78	0.0003	0.006	0.116
Dibromochloromethane	72	0.0004	0.0012	0.110
Tribromomethane	26	0.0008	(a)	0.092
Tetrachloromethane	10	0.002	--	0.003

\*Source: NAS, 1978; Symons, et al. 1975

(a) 98.3 percent of 80 cities had  $\leq$  0.005 mg/l tribromomethane

TABLE 3  
Halomethanes in the U.S. EPA Region V  
Organics Survey (83 Sites)\*

Compound	Percent of Locations with Positive Results	Concentrations (mg/l)	
		Median	Maximum
Bromodichloromethane	78	0.006	0.031
Dibromochloromethane	60	0.001	0.015
Trichloromethane	95	0.020	0.366
Tribromomethane	14	0.001	0.007
Tetrachloromethane	34**	0.001**	0.026**
Dichloromethane	8	0.001	0.007

\* Source: U.S. EPA, 1975

\*\*A total of 11 samples may have been contaminated by exposure to laboratory air containing tetrachloromethane.

TABLE 4

Partial Summary of National Organics Monitoring Survey, 1976-1977\*

Compound	Phase	Number of Positive Analyses per Number of Analyses			Mean Concentration, mg/l (Positive Results only)			Median Concentration, mg/l (All Results)		
		I	II	III	I	II	III	I	II	III
Trichloro- methane	Q <sup>†</sup>	102/111**	18/18	98/106	0.047**	0.068	0.038	0.027	0.068	0.022
	T		112/113	101/105		0.084	0.073		0.059	0.045
Tribromo- methane	Q	3/111**	6/118	19/106	0.021**	0.028	0.013	0.003-0.005 <sup>a</sup>	0.0003 <sup>a</sup>	0.0002-0.0006 <sup>a</sup>
	T		38/113	30/105		0.012	0.013		0.0003 <sup>a</sup>	0.0003-0.0006 <sup>a</sup>
Bromodi- chloro- methane	Q	88/111**	18/18	100/106	0.022**	0.016	0.0092	0.0096	0.018	0.0059
	T		109/113	103/105		0.018	0.017		0.014	0.011
Dibromo- chloro- methane	Q	47/111**	15/18	83/106	0.017**	0.013	0.0075	0.0006-0.003 <sup>a</sup>	0.0019	0.0021
	T		97/113	97/105		0.014	0.011		0.0035	0.0031
Tetra- chloro- methane	Q	3/111**		8/106	0.0029**		0.0064	0.001-0.002 <sup>a</sup>		0.0002-0.0004 <sup>a</sup>
	T		10/110	11/105		0.0024	0.0043		0.0002 <sup>a</sup>	0.0002-0.0004 <sup>a</sup>
Dichloro- methane		15/109			0.0061			0.001-0.002 <sup>a</sup>		

\*Source: U.S. EPA, 1978b

\*\*Samples shipped iced, stored 1-2 weeks refrigerated before analyses.

†Quenched (Q) samples preserved with sodium thiosulfate at sampling, shipped at ambient temp., stored 20-25°C 3-6 weeks before analyses. Terminal (T) samples treated similarly to Q except no Na thiosulfate.

<sup>a</sup>Minimum quantifiable limits.

Phases (I, II, III) refer to sampling projects and corresponding sample treatment and storage conditions.

I: Collected and analyzed as in National Organics Reconnaissance Survey (earlier) (Symons, et al. 1975). Shipped and stored refrigerated (1-8°C) 1-2 weeks before analyses.

II: Samples stood at 20-25°C 3-6 weeks before analyses. Trihalomethanes (THM) formation proceeded to reaction endpoints (terminal values).

III: Sampled with and without chlorine-reducing agent (quenched, terminal values) to assess effect of residual chlorine and reaction time.

and trichlorofluoromethane. Mean and median total trihalomethane (TTHM) values in 105 to 111 cities over the three phases and sample modes ranged from 0.052 to 0.120 mg/l and 0.038 to 0.087 mg/l, respectively.

Data from a Canadian national survey for halomethanes in drinking water are in general agreement with those from the United States (Health and Welfare Can. 1977). Samples taken from 70 finished water distribution systems showed the following halomethane concentrations:

	Range	Median
Chloroform	0 - 121	13 µg/l
Bromodichloromethane	0 - 33	1.4 µg/l
Chlorodibromomethane	0 - 6.2	0.1 µg/l
Tribromomethane	0 - 0.2	0.01 µg/l

As would be expected, based upon previous observations, (Symons, et al. 1975), chlorination as part of the water treatment process led to considerable enhancement of halomethane concentrations, and well sources were associated with much lower halomethane concentrations than river or lake sources. In addition, an unexplained increase in the concentration of halomethanes occurred in the distribution system as compared to halomethane levels in water sampled at the treatment plant.

Evidence of the presence of trichlorofluoromethane in ocean surface waters has been reported (Howard, et al. 1974; Lovelock, et al. 1973; Wilkness, et al. 1975). None was detectable below surface waters, indicating that the oceans are not a significant sink (long-term pool or repository) for this compound. As noted above,

trichlorofluoromethane has been detected, but not quantified, in finished drinking water in the NOMS. Environmental data suggest that human exposure to the refrigerant-propellant chlorofluoromethanes in water is much less significant than to these compounds' presence in air.

#### Ingestion from Food

Bromomethane residues from fumigation decrease rapidly through loss to the atmosphere and reaction with protein to form inorganic bromide residues. With proper aeration and product processing most residual bromomethane will rapidly disappear due to methylation reactions and volatilization. The more persistent inorganic bromide residues are products of bromomethane degradation (NAS, 1978; Davis, et al. 1977). Scudamore and Heuser (1970) reported that residues in fumigated wheat, flour, raisins, corn, sorghum, cottonseed meal, rice, and peanut meal were reduced to less than 1 mg/kg within a few days. Initial levels of inorganic bromide were positively related to concentration used, and disappearance rate was lower at low temperatures. No residual bromomethane was found in asparagus, avocados, peppers, or tomatoes after two-hour fumigation at 320 mg CH<sub>3</sub>Br/m<sup>3</sup> air (Seo, et al. 1970). Only trace amounts were present in wheat flour and other products fumigated at 370 CH<sub>3</sub>Br mg/m<sup>3</sup> after nine days of aeration (Dennis, et al. 1972).

Table 5 summarizes data on organic and inorganic bromide residues in cheese with time after fumigation, as reported by Roehm, et al. (1943). Table 6 summarizes specific inorganic bromide residue maxima analyzed in several food commodities, according to

TABLE 5

Bromomethane Residues in Cheese (outer  $\frac{1}{4}$  inch) (mg/kg)\*

Hours of Ventilation	Longhorn Cheese A			Longhorn Cheese B		
	Inorganic	Organic	Total	Inorganic	Organic	Total
0.5	15	62	77	23	78	101
4	21	40	61	30	54	84
24	22	20	42	38	9	47
48	25	0	25	39	4	43
96	24	0	24	38	1	39
168	25	1	26	36	2	38

\*Source: NAS, 1978; Roehm, et al. 1943

TABLE 6  
 Specific Residue Maxima: Inorganic Bromide  
 in Food Materials\*

Max. SR <sup>a</sup>	Materials
0-5	Baking powder, butter, chewing gum, dry yeast, macaroni, marshmallows, oleomargarine, shortening, tapioca, flour, tea, whole roasted coffee
5-10	Cake mix, candy, cheese, dried milk, ground ginger, ground red pepper, pancake mix, precooked breakfast cereals, veal loaf
10-15	Cocoa, ground roasted coffee, powdered cinnamon
15-20	Allspice, beef cuts, gelatin, noodles, peanuts, pie crust mix
20-30	Cornmeal, cream of wheat, frankfurters, pork cuts, rice flour.
30-40	Bacon, dry dog food, mixed cattle feed, white and whole wheat flour
40-50	Soy flour
75-100	Grated Parmesan cheese
100-125	Powdered eggs

\*Source: NAS, 1978; Getzendaner, et al. 1968

<sup>a</sup> Specific Residue (SR) =  $\frac{\text{increase in bromide from fumigation (mg/kg)}}{\text{rate of fumigation (lb/min)}}$

Getzendaner, et al. (1968). Lynn, et al. (1963) reported that cows fed grain fumigated with bromomethane gave milk containing bromide levels proportional to those in feed intake. Milk bromide levels of up to 20 mg/l were noted at exposure levels up to 43 mg inorganic bromide/kg diet, at which level milk production was not affected. Blood total bromides correlated with milk bromides.

A bioconcentration factor (BCF) relates the concentration of a chemical in aquatic animals to the concentration in the water in which they live. The steady-state BCFs for a lipid-soluble compound in the tissues of various aquatic animals seem to be proportional to the percent lipid in the tissue. Thus the per capita ingestion of a lipid-soluble chemical can be estimated from the per capita consumption of fish and shellfish, and a steady-state BCF for the chemical.

Data from a recent survey on fish and shellfish consumption in the United States were analyzed by the Stanford Research Institute International (U.S. EPA, 1980). These data were used to estimate that the per capita consumption of freshwater and estuarine fish and shellfish in the United States is 6.5 g/day (Stephan, 1980). In addition, these data were used with data on the fat content of the edible portion of the same species to estimate that the weighted average percent lipids for consumed freshwater and estuarine fish and shellfish is 3.0 percent.

No measured steady-state bioconcentration factor (BCF) is available for any of the following compounds, but the equation " $\text{Log BCF} = (0.85 \text{ Log } P) - 0.70$ " can be used (Veith et al., 1979) to estimate the steady-state BCF for aquatic organism that contain

about 7.6 percent lipids (Veith, 1980) from the octanol/water partition coefficient (P). The measured log P value was obtained from Hansch and Leo (1979). When no measured value could be found, a calculated log P value was obtained using the method described in Hansch and Leo (1979). The adjustment factor of  $3.0/7.6 = 0.39$  is used to adjust the estimated BCF from the 7.6 percent lipids on which the equation is based to the 3.0 percent lipids that is the weighted average for consumed fish and shellfish in order to obtain the weighted average bioconcentration factor for the edible portion of all aquatic organisms consumed by Americans.

Chemical	Log P		Estimated steady state BCF	Weighted Average BCF
	Meas.	Calc.		
Bromoform		2.38	21	8.3
Methylene chloride	1.25		2.3	0.91

Chloromethane and bromomethane are considered to have relatively low potentials for bioconcentration, judging from their relatively high vapor pressure and water solubility. Estimating from solubility and use of the Metcalf and Lu (1973) equation, bio-magnification factors for these compounds are relatively low (two and six, respectively). No directly determined bioaccumulation factors are available.

#### Inhalation

Reported concentrations of several halomethanes in general air masses are summarized in Table 7. For comparison, some

TABLE 7  
 Ranges of Mean Concentrations (mg/m<sup>3</sup>) of  
 Halomethanes Measured in General Air Masses

Compound	Continental Background	Saltwater (Marine) Background	Urban
Chloromethane	0.0011-0.0021 <sup>a,c,d,f,k</sup>	0.0023; 0.0026 <sup>d</sup>	0.0017 <sup>d</sup>
Dichloromethane	0.0012 <sup>c</sup>	0.00012 <sup>f</sup>	(< 0.00007-0.0005) <sup>+c</sup>
Bromomethane	0.00006 <sup>d</sup> (0.000002-0.000004) <sup>e</sup>	0.00036 <sup>d</sup>	0.00042 <sup>d</sup> (< 0.00004-0.00085) <sup>e</sup>
Iodomethane	0.000052 <sup>d</sup>	0.000041 <sup>d</sup> (< 0.000006-0.000064) <sup>i</sup>	0.000139 <sup>d</sup> (< 0.000006-0.02204) <sup>g</sup>
Trichloromethane	0.000044-0.000122 <sup>a,c,d</sup>	0.000132, 0.000234 <sup>d</sup>	0.000498 (0.000049-0.0732) <sup>g</sup> (0.000029-0.01464) <sup>i</sup>
Tetrachloromethane	0.000126-0.000838 <sup>a,c,d,j</sup>	0.000699-0.000806 <sup>b,d,f</sup>	0.000844 <sup>d</sup> (0.000756-0.1134) <sup>g</sup> (0.00882) <sup>h</sup> (0.000756-0.00945) <sup>i</sup>

<sup>+</sup>Brackets identify individual reported values; other numerals represent reported means or range of reported means.

<sup>a-j</sup>Adapted from Natl. Acad. Sci., 1978, data from: (a) Cronn, et al. 1976; (b) Pierotti, et al. 1976; (c) Pierotti and Rasmussen, 1976; (d) Singh, et al. 1977; (e) Harsch and Rasmussen, 1977; (f) Cox, et al. 1976; (g) Lillian, et al. 1975; (h) Ohta, et al. 1976; (i) Su and Goldberg, 1976; (j) Grimsrud and Rasmussen, 1975.

halomethanes other than those addressed by this document (Table 1) are included.

Saltwater atmospheric background concentrations of chloromethane averaging about  $0.0025 \text{ mg/m}^3$  have been reported (Grimsrud and Rasmussen, 1975; Singh, et al. 1977; Lovelock, et al. 1973). These are higher than reported average continental background and urban levels (ranging from  $0.001$  to  $0.002 \text{ mg/m}^3$ ) and suggest that the oceans are a major source of global chloromethane (NAS, 1978). Localized sources, such as burning of tobacco or other combustion processes, may produce high indoor-air concentrations of chloromethane (up to  $0.04 \text{ mg/m}^3$ ) (NAS, 1978, citing Palmer, 1976, and Harsch, 1977). Chloromethane is the predominant halomethane in indoor air, and is generally in concentrations two to ten times ambient background levels (NAS, 1978). Although direct anthropogenic sources of chloromethane greatly influence indoor atmosphere concentrations, they are not significant contributors to urban and background tropospheric levels (NAS, 1978).

Data on atmospheric bromomethane are few (Singh, et al. 1977; Grimsrud and Rasmussen, 1975). Its continental background concentrations of  $7.8 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mg/m}^3$  or less are much lower than saltwater background and urban air concentrations (NAS, 1978). Relatively high concentrations of bromomethane reported in surface seawater suggest that oceans are a major source of the compound (Lovelock, et al. 1973; Lovelock, 1975), and this is supported by high concentrations in saltwater atmosphere (Singh, et al. 1977). There is evidence that combustion of gasoline containing ethylene dibromide (EDB, an additive) is also a significant source of environmental

bromomethane, and this is corroborated by urban air concentrations at least as high as those in saltwater air masses (NAS, 1978, citing Harsch and Rasmussen, 1977; Singh, et al. 1977). Table 7 summarizes reported levels of bromomethane in tropospheric air masses. Outdoor bromomethane concentrations of up to  $8.5 \times 10^{-4}$  mg/m<sup>3</sup> may occur locally near light traffic as a result of use of EDB in leaded gasoline. Similarly, indoor air contaminated by exhaust from cars burning EDB-containing leaded gasoline can have elevated concentrations of bromomethane (NAS, 1978, citing Harsch and Rasmussen, 1977).

Data on concentrations of dichloromethane in tropospheric air masses are scarce. As shown in Table 7, reported background concentrations in both continental and saltwater atmospheres were about  $1.2 \times 10^{-4}$  mg/m<sup>3</sup>, and urban air concentrations ranged from below  $7 \times 10^{-5}$  to  $5 \times 10^{-4}$  mg/m<sup>3</sup> (NAS, 1978, citing Pierotti and Rasmussen, 1976, and Cox, et al. 1976). Concentrations of dichloromethane in indoor air typically exceed tropospheric background levels because of local sources of contamination such as the use of aerosol hair spray or solvents (NAS, 1978, citing Harsch, 1977). Air sampled from various indoor locations contained dichloromethane at concentrations ranging from a low of  $2 \times 10^{-4}$  mg/m<sup>3</sup> (in a laundromat) to higher values of 2.5 mg/m<sup>3</sup> (automobile dealer display floor), 4.9 mg/m<sup>3</sup> (records and automotive section of discount store), and even 8.1 mg/m<sup>3</sup> (beauty parlor waiting area) (NAS, 1978, citing Harsch, 1977). Indoor air has 10 to 1,000 times more dichloromethane than is present in unpolluted tropospheric

air, and sometimes dichloromethane is the predominant halomethane contaminant (NAS, 1978).

Data through 1974 indicate that dichlorodifluoromethane is produced and used considerably more than trichlorofluoromethane and the other major fluorocarbon refrigerants (Howard, et al. 1974). This production and use appears to be reflected in atmospheric analyses showing higher concentrations for dichlorodifluoromethane than for trichlorofluoromethane. Concentrations over urban areas are several times those over rural areas and oceans. This probably reflects that the primary modes of entry to the environment, the use of refrigerants and aerosols, are greater in industrialized and populated areas (Howard, et al. 1974). Atmospheric concentrations of trichlorofluoromethane are higher during stagnant air conditions and decrease upon displacement or dilution by clean air. Conversely, concentrations in offshore air masses increase when displaced by polluted air masses from industrialized urban areas (Howard, et al. 1974; U.S. EPA, 1976; Wilkness, et al. 1975; Lovelock, 1971, 1972). Average concentrations of trichlorofluoromethane (F-11) reported for urban atmospheres have ranged from  $9 \times 10^{-4}$  to  $3 \times 10^{-3}$  mg/m<sup>3</sup>, and for ocean sites, from  $2.2 \times 10^{-4}$  to  $5 \times 10^{-4}$  mg/m<sup>3</sup>. Mean urban concentrations for dichlorodifluoromethane (F-12) ranged from  $3.5 \times 10^{-3}$  to  $2.9 \times 10^{-2}$  mg/m<sup>3</sup>, and an ocean atmosphere mean of  $5.7 \times 10^{-4}$  mg/m<sup>3</sup> was reported (Howard, et al. 1974; Hester, et al. 1974; Simmonds, et al. 1974; Su and Goldberg, 1976; Wilkness, et al. 1973, 1975; Lovelock, et al. 1973; Lovelock, 1974). Concentrations in air near fluorocarbon release sites may be many times the average city levels. F-11 concentrations of  $1.3 \times 10^{-4}$  to  $2.4 \times 10^{-4}$  mg/m<sup>3</sup>, about 100 times the city average, were measured near a

polyurethane plant using the material as a blowing agent; near a cosmetics plant where aerosol cans are filled, levels were three to four times typical city readings (Howard, et al. 1974; Hester, et al. 1974).

The F-11 and F-12 fluorocarbons are regarded as very stable and persistent in the environment and are without tropospheric or oceanic sinks. Tropospheric lifetimes of ten to more than 40 years have been asserted, and an atmospheric half-life of 15 to 30 years for F-11 has been calculated (Howard, et al. 1974; U.S. EPA, 1976; Howard and Hanchett, 1975; Lovelock, et al. 1973; Wilkness, et al. 1973; Krey, et al. 1976). Concern has developed that fluorocarbons in the troposphere will diffuse into the stratosphere and catalytically destroy stratospheric ozone, with possible global health and meteorologic effects.

Trichlorofluoromethane and dichlorodifluoromethane have been measured at highly varying levels indoors in homes. F-11 concentrations of  $1.7 \times 10^{-3}$  to  $2.9 \text{ mg/m}^3$  have been reported (Hester, et al. 1974). Similar levels have been measured in public buildings. Indoor concentrations were generally higher than in outside air. In a beauty shop, where fluorocarbon-pressured cosmetic sprays were apt to be used, concentrations of 0.28 and  $1.8 \text{ mg/m}^3$  were reported for F-11 and F-12, respectively. Evidence of quite high levels of propellants F-11 and F-12 after spray-product releases indoors was presented by Bridbord, et al. (1974 cited in U.S. EPA, 1976). These data are summarized in Table 8.

Data on environmental concentrations of halomethanes indicate that human uptake of the trihalomethanes, bromodichloromethane and

TABLE 8

Dichlorodifluoromethane Concentrations in Room Air as  
a Result of Release of Aerosol Can Products\*

Level at Periods after 60- second Release of Hair Spray in 29.3m <sup>3</sup> Room (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Level at Periods after 30- second Release <sub>3</sub> of Insect Spray in 21.4m <sup>3</sup> Room (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )
During: 306.8	1 min: 2,304.0
30 min: 12.4	60 min: 130.4
60 min: 0.5	150 min: 56.8

\*Source: U.S. EPA, 1976; Bridbord, et al. 1974

tribromomethane from fluids is less than that of trichloromethane. Uptake of chloromethane, dichloromethane, bromomethane, and the chlorofluoromethanes from fluids is apparently minor; for these, uptake from sources other than fluid consumption is more important (NAS, 1978).

Human uptake of chloromethane from fluids should be considerably less than that for bromodichloromethane and tribromomethane. However, human exposure to chloromethane from cigarette smoke, local in nature and affecting discrete target populations, can be quite significant (NAS, 1978, citing Philippe and Hobbs, 1956, Owens and Rossano, 1969, and Chopra and Sherman, 1972). Reports or estimates of air concentrations in rooms with people smoking range roughly from 0.03 to 0.12 mg/m<sup>3</sup>. The smoker's exposure from direct inhalation could be considerably greater still, since the range of reported chloromethane is 0.5 to 2 mg per cigarette.

#### Dermal

Uptake of halomethanes from dermal exposure can occur under certain circumstances. Occupational exposure standards warn of possible significant skin absorption for bromomethane and tribromomethane under industrial exposure conditions (Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), 1976; NAS, 1978). But there was no evidence in the available literature that dermal exposure contributes significantly to total dose of halomethanes for the general public.

## PHARMACOKINETICS

### Absorption, Distribution, Metabolism, and Excretion

Most of the literature regarding biological aspects of the halomethanes has focused on the usual case with respect to exposure, absorption, and intoxication. Absorption via the lungs upon inhalation is of primary importance and is fairly efficient for the halomethanes; absorption can also occur via the skin and gastrointestinal (GI) tract, although this is generally more significant for the nonfluorinated halomethanes than for the fluorocarbons (NAS, 1978; Davis, et al. 1977; U.S. EPA, 1976; Howard, et al. 1974).

**Bromomethane:** The usual route for systemic poisoning by bromomethane is by inhalation, and absorption commonly occurs via the lungs; some absorption can also occur through the skin, particularly in skin exposures to the compound in liquid form (Davis, et al. 1977; von Oettingen, 1964). Occupational Safety and Health Administration (1976) exposure standards warn of possible significant dermal absorption. Significant absorption can also occur via the gastrointestinal tract when bromomethane is ingested. Upon absorption, blood levels of residual nonvolatile bromide increase, indicating rapid uptake of bromomethane or its metabolites (Miller and Haggard, 1943). Bromomethane is rapidly distributed to various tissues and is broken down to inorganic bromide. Storage, only as bromides, occurs mainly in lipid-rich tissues.

Blood bromide levels of 24 to 250 mg/l were reported in severe, and 83 to 2,116 mg/l in fatal, bromomethane poisonings; normal background blood bromide levels ranged up to 15 mg/l (NAS,

1978, citing: Clarke, et al. 1945, Benatt and Courtney, 1948). In rats fed bromomethane-fumigated diets with residual bromide levels, higher tissue bromide levels were in their eyes, lungs, blood, spleen, and testes, while lowest tissue levels were in fat, skeletal muscle, bone, and liver. In similar bovine experiments, bromide was secreted in milk (Williford, et al. 1974; Lynn, et al. 1963).

Evidently the toxicity of bromomethane is mediated by the bromomethane molecule itself and its reaction with tissue (methylation of sulfhydryl groups in critical cellular proteins and enzymes), rather than by the bromide ion residue resulting from breakdown of the parent compound (Davis, et al. 1977). Bromomethane readily penetrates cell membranes while the bromide ion does not. Intracellular bromomethane reactions and decomposition result in inactivation of intracellular metabolic processes, disturbed function, and irritative, irreversible, or paralytic consequences (NAS, 1978; Davis, et al. 1977; Miller and Haggard, 1943; Lewis, 1948; Rathus and Landy, 1961; Dixon and Needham, 1946). Poisoning with bromomethane is generally associated with lower blood bromide levels than is poisoning with inorganic bromide (NAS, 1978, citing Collins, 1965).

Elimination of bromomethane is rapid initially, largely through the lungs as bromomethane. The kidneys eliminate much of the remainders as bromide in urine. Final elimination may take longer, accounting in part for prolonged toxicity (NAS, 1978 citing Miller and Haggard, 1943, and Clarke, et al. 1945).

Chloromethane: As with bromomethane, chloromethane is usually encountered as a gas and is absorbed readily via the lungs. Skin absorption is less significant (NAS, 1978; Davis, et al. 1977). No poisonings involving gastrointestinal absorption have been reported. Uptake of chloromethane by the blood is rapid but results in only moderate blood levels with continued exposure. Signs and pathology of intoxication suggest wide tissue (blood, nervous tissue, liver, and kidney) distribution of absorbed chloromethane. Initial disappearance from the blood occurs rapidly. Decomposition and sequestration result primarily by reaction with sulfhydryl groups in intracellular enzymes and proteins. Excretion via bile and urine occurs only to a minor degree (NAS, 1978; Davis, et al. 1977; Lewis, 1948; Morgan, et al. 1967; von Oettingen, 1964).

Dichloromethane: Absorption occurs mainly through the lung but also through the gastrointestinal tract and to some extent through intact skin. Lung absorption efficiencies of 31 to 75 percent have been reported, influenced by length of exposure, concentration, and activity level (NAS, 1978; National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH), 1976a, citing: Lehmann and Schmidt-Kehl, 1936, Riley, et al. 1966, DiVincenzo, et al. 1972, and Astrand, et al. 1975). Upon inhalation and absorption, dichloromethane levels increase rapidly in the blood to equilibrium levels that depend primarily upon atmospheric concentrations; fairly uniform distribution to heart, liver, and brain is reported (NAS, 1978, citing von Oettingen, et al. 1949, 1950). Carlsson and Hultengren (1975) reported that dichloromethane and its metabolites were in highest concentrations in white adipose tissue, followed in

descending order by levels in brain and liver tissue. Dichloromethane is excreted intact primarily via the lungs, with some in the urine. DiVincenzo, et al. (1972) have reported that about 40 percent of absorbed dichloromethane undergoes some reaction and decomposition process in the body (NAS, 1978).

Some of the retained dichloromethane is metabolized to carbon monoxide (CO). Some of this CO is exhaled, but a significant amount is involved in the formation of carboxyhemoglobin (COHb). The formation of COHb leads to interference with normal oxygen transport capabilities of blood, so relative oxygen deprivation and secondary effects ensue (NIOSH, 1976a, citing Stewart, et al. 1972a, Fassett, 1972; and DiVincenzo and Hamilton, 1975; NAS, 1978, citing Stewart, et al. 1972a,b). Bioconversion of CO and formation of COHb continues after exposure. Therefore, cardiorespiratory stress from elevated COHb may be greater as a result of dichloromethane exposure than from exposure to CO alone (Stewart and Hake, 1976). Other metabolites of dichloromethane include carbon dioxide, formaldehyde, and formic acid (NAS, 1978).

**Tribromomethane:** Absorption occurs through the lungs upon inhalation of vapors, from the GI tract upon ingestion, and to some extent through the skin. The OSHA (1976) standard warns of possible significant skin absorption. Some of the body burden is bio-transformed in the liver to inorganic bromide. After inhalation or rectal administration of tribromomethane, bromides were found in tissues and urine (NAS, 1977). Bioconversion of tribromomethane and other trihalomethanes, apparently by a cytochrome P-450 dependent mixed function oxidase system, to carbon monoxide has been reported

(Ahmed, et al. 1977). Excretion occurs partly through the lungs as tribromomethane, and complete excretion requires considerable time (NAS, 1978).

Bromodichloromethane: Little information is available on the pharmacokinetics or other biological aspects of this compound. This reflects its very limited use, primarily in research, and limited discharge to the environment (NAS, 1978). Current increased environmental interest in bromodichloromethane focuses on its presence in drinking water (Kleopfer, 1976) along with other trihalomethanes, as a consequence of chlorination. Absorption, distribution, metabolism, and excretion may resemble that of bromochloromethane (see the following), dichloromethane, or dibromomethane, in view of close chemical similarities among these compounds and bromodichloromethane. Further possible evidence for similarity exists in that the mutagenic, carcinogenic, and general toxic effects of the latter are similar to those of other di- and trihalogenated (Cl and Br) methanes (NAS, 1978; Sax, 1968).

Patty (1963) placed bromochloromethane "roughly in a class with methylene chloride," but "somewhat more toxic," among "the less toxic halomethanes." Animal experiments have indicated that inhaled bromochloromethane is readily absorbed intact by the blood and hydrolyzed in significant amounts by the body to yield inorganic bromide. Tissue concentrations of both organic and inorganic bromine increased in dogs and rats exposed daily to bromochloromethane. After exposure, blood levels decreased to undetectable or insignificant levels in 17 to 65 hours. Significant absorption by the GI tract after exposure by ingestion was indicated by hepatic

and renal pathology in mice dosed by stomach tube. Similar injury in these organs was not observed in animals exposed to vapors. Absorption through the skin would also seem likely in view of its irritation and solubility characteristics (Patty, 1963).

If the pharmacokinetics of bromodichloromethane does resemble that of chemically similar halomethanes, it would be expected that bromodichloromethane would: (1) be absorbed readily by the inhalation and ingestion routes; (2) be distributed widely, preferentially to tissues with high lipid content; (3) be eliminated in part via expired breath; and (4) combine with cellular protein and be metabolized to CO and inorganic halide.

Trichlorofluoromethane (F-11) and dichlorodifluoromethane (F-12): Inhalation and absorption through the lungs are the exposure and uptake modes of most concern; however, when ingested, absorption of F-12 does occur via the GI tract. Some absorption through the skin could occur also, judging from tests with F-113 ( $\text{CCl}_2\text{F}-\text{CClF}_2$ ) (U.S. EPA, 1976; Howard, et al. 1974; Clark and Tinston, 1972a,b; Allen and Hanburys, 1971; Azar, et al. 1973; Sherman, 1974; DuPont, 1968). Absorption and elimination are dynamic processes involving equilibria among air, blood, and various tissues. Upon absorption a biphasic blood-level pattern occurs, with an initial rapid then slower rise in blood levels (arterial, venous) during which the material is absorbed from blood into tissues. After termination of exposure a similar but inverse biphasic pattern of elimination occurs. The relative decreasing order of several fluorocarbons with respect to absorption into blood has been reported as F-11, F-113, F-12, F-114 (Shargel and Koss,

1972; Morgan, et al. 1972). These authors agree in general with partition coefficients for the fluorocarbons in blood, serum, and lipid (oil) (Allen and Hanburys, 1971; Chiou and Niazi, 1973; Morgan, et al. 1972). More easily absorbed compounds are retained longer. Under conditions of prolonged, lower-level exposure, periods of elimination (washout) are longer. Although varying among individuals, apparently F-11 is more readily absorbed in mammals than F-12. To what extent this reflects artifacts involving the higher volatility of F-12 is not clear (Howard, et al. 1974).

F-11 and F-12 are distributed by blood and stored temporarily by various tissues. Allen and Hanburys (1971) reported maximum concentrations in adrenals followed by fat and then heart. Chemically related fluorocarbons have been found primarily in tissues of high lipid content (fat, brain, liver, heart), but elimination following pulse exposure was rapid, and there was no evidence of accumulation (Carter, et al. 1970a,b; Van Stee and Back, 1971). There is evidence, however, that tissues with higher lipid content than blood concentrate fluorocarbons from the blood, corresponding to relative order of absorption by blood from air (Howard, et al. 1974).

Elimination of fluorocarbons (intact) seems to be almost completely through the respiratory tract, regardless of the route of entry. In dogs administered a mixture of F-12 and F-14 (30:70 percent, vol./vol.) by several different routes, elimination was through expired air and none was detected in urine or feces (Matsumoto, et al. 1968). Rapid initial elimination is followed by a slower phase of decline.

Biochemical effects suggesting a slowing down of cellular oxidation were reported in animals exposed to  $2.8 \times 10^5$  mg/m<sup>3</sup> F-11 in air (but not to F-11 at  $1.4 \times 10^5$  mg/m<sup>3</sup> nor to F-12 at  $2.47 \times 10^5$  to  $9.88 \times 10^5$  mg/m<sup>3</sup>) (Paulet, et al. 1975).

In brief exposure experiments with inhaled <sup>14</sup>C-labeled F-12, only about 1 percent of F-12 in nonvolatile urinary or tissue components or metabolized and eliminated in expired air as CO<sub>2</sub> (Blake and Mergner, 1974). Experiments with oral <sup>14</sup>C-labeled F-12 indicated that about two percent of the total dose was exhaled as CO<sub>2</sub>, about 0.5 percent was excreted in urine, and after 30 hours no F-12 was detectable (Eddy and Griffith, 1961).

F-11 and F-12 form metabolites which bind to cell constituents, particularly in long-term exposures with extended equilibrium (Blake and Mergner, 1974). F-11 (or its labeled metabolites) has been reported to bind in vitro irreversibly to proteins and to endoplasmic phospholipids and proteins, but not to ribosomal RNA (Uehleke, et al. 1977; Uehleke and Warner, 1975). Binding to rat-liver microsomal cytochrome P-450-related phospholipids was reported (Cox, et al. 1972). More research on fluorocarbon xenobiotic metabolism and pharmacodynamics under prolonged exposure conditions is needed (U.S. EPA, 1976).

#### EFFECTS

##### Acute, Subacute, and Chronic Toxicity

For most of the halomethanes considered here, there is considerable information on clinical toxicity in the occupational health literature and on experimental toxicity in the literature on toxicology using laboratory animals. These data have dealt primarily

with inhalation exposure to grossly poisonous or fairly substantial concentrations of vapors of various halomethanes. Considerably less information is available on various aspects of toxicity that might result from prolonged exposure to low, environmental levels of these compounds, by not only the inhalation route but also ingestion or other routes of exposure. This section summarizes briefly the important clinical and toxicologic information available for these compounds.

Chloromethane: Is not generally regarded as highly toxic, yet reports of poisoning are numerous. Because of its virtually odorless and colorless properties, low-order irritancy, and characteristic latency of effect, victims may receive serious or prolonged exposure before awareness and effects are apparent (NAS, 1978; Davis, et al. 1977). Toxic dosages for humans are not clearly defined. Generally, acute inhalation intoxication in humans has been thought to require exposures on the order of  $1,032 \text{ mg/m}^3$ , but lower levels have produced definite toxicity in animals (MacDonald, 1964; Smith and von Oettingen, 1947a,b). Chronic inhalation and ingestion toxicity levels are not established, but the occupational exposure standard for air in the work environment is currently set for  $206 \text{ mg/m}^3$  (NAS, 1978; OSHA, 1976). The monohalomethanes seem to rank in the following order of decreasing toxicity: iodomethane, bromomethane, chloromethane, fluoromethane (Davis, et al. 1977). The similarities in toxicologic responses to the monohalomethanes suggest a similar mode of action. The most probable mechanism is that the monohalomethane participates in the methylation of essential enzymes, cofactors, and other cellular

macromolecules, thereby rendering them inactive (Davis, et al. 1977). Sulfhydryl-containing molecules seem particularly susceptible to the action of monohalomethanes (Lewis, 1948; Redford-Ellis and Gowenlock, 1971a). Various reports on the effectiveness of cysteine administration in the treatment of monohalomethane poisoning support the contention that binding to sulfhydryl compounds is involved in the expression of toxic effects (Mizyokova and Bakhishev, 1971). In studies with laboratory animals, several investigators have shown that monohalomethanes interfere with glutathione metabolism (Redford-Ellis and Gowenlock, 1971a,b; Boyland, et al. 1961; Barnsley, 1964; Johnson, 1966; Barnsley and Young, 1965).

Human experience, largely involving leakage from refrigeration equipment using chloromethane as a coolant, shows it to be a central nervous system (CNS) depressant with primarily neurological toxic manifestations (Hansen, et al. 1953). Systemic poisoning cases have also involved hepatic and renal injury (Spevac, et al. 1976). In the more mild intoxications there is a characteristic latent period of one-half to several hours between exposure and onset of effects (symptoms). Recovery after brief exposures is typically within a few hours, but repeated or prolonged exposure may result in more severe toxicity and delayed recovery (days-weeks). In persons occupationally exposed at levels of 52 to more than  $2 \times 10^4$  mg/m<sup>3</sup> the following toxic manifestations, particularly related to CNS, were noted: blurred vision, headache, nausea, loss of coordination, personality changes (depression, moroseness, anxiety), lasting a few hours to several days; some were more sensitive to chloromethane upon return to work (MacDonald, 1964; Hansen, et al.

1953; Browning, 1965; Morgan, 1942). As mentioned previously, tobacco-smoking may be an additional significant source of individual human exposure to chloromethane.

Severe poisonings are usually characterized by a latent period and severe and dominant neurological disorder, with perhaps irreversible and/or persistent sequelae; renal and hepatic injury are common. In fatal cases coma and death commonly ensue in hours or days as a result of cerebral and pulmonary edema and circulatory failure, with pathologic findings of congestion, edema, and hemorrhage; chloromethane has been detected in all organs analyzed after death (NAS, 1978, citing Baird, 1954).

There have been no reports of reproductive toxicity or teratogenicity in humans exposed to chloromethane, but metabolic, enzymatic, and neuroendocrine disturbances following exposure in humans and/or animals suggest the need for research on this point (Davis, et al. 1977). Epidemiological studies of toxicity in human populations exposed to chloromethane (including mutagenicity and carcinogenicity) have not yet appeared in the published literature.

In animals, a variety of toxic effects have been noted in experimentally exposed subjects. Many effects are similar for the monohalomethanes and, consistent with human data, suggest CNS involvement and altered metabolism involving binding to sulfhydryl-containing cellular macromolecules (Davis, et al. 1977; Balander and Polyak, 1962; Gorbachev, et al. 1962; Kakizaki, 1967; Redford-Ellis and Gowenlock, 1971a,b). Most toxicity information is from inhalation studies, with little regarding other routes, apparently because of the volatility of these compounds and their usual

presence in the gas phase (Davis, et al. 1977). Some inhalation toxicity data for chloromethane are summarized in Table 9. In general, chloromethane is less acutely toxic by inhalation than bromomethane. In severe acute exposure conditions chloromethane produces serious neurological disturbances, with functional and behavioral manifestations and ultimately death. However, these disturbances from chloromethane occur at higher concentrations than are required for bromomethane in several species (Davis, et al. 1977).

Under more prolonged exposures to less severe levels, chloromethane increased mucus flow and reduced mucostatic effect of other agents (e.g., nitrogen oxides) in cats (Weissbecker, et al. 1971). Permanent muscular dysfunction is described in mice surviving several weeks of daily exposures at  $1,032 \text{ mg/m}^3$ , and paralysis followed exposure to  $531 \text{ mg/m}^3$  for 20 hours in surviving animals (von Oettingen, et al. 1964). No teratogenic effects have been reported for chloromethane (Davis, et al. 1977).

Bromomethane: is regarded as a highly toxic substance by acute exposure and more dangerous than chloromethane. It has been responsible for many occupational poisoning incidents, reflecting its widespread use as a fumigant. Like chloromethane it has a characteristic latent period and its presence is difficult to detect, so prolonged and more severe exposure may be incurred (NAS, 1978; Davis, et al. 1977). Toxicologic and metabolic similarities among the monohalomethanes (Cl-, Br-, I-substituted) suggest a common mechanism of toxic action, probably methylation and disturbance or

TABLE 9  
Chloromethane Inhalation Toxicity in Animals

Concentration, mg/m <sup>3</sup>	Duration	Response	Reference
3.1 x 10 <sup>5</sup> to 6.2 x 10 <sup>5</sup> 4.1 x 10 <sup>4</sup> to 8.3 x 10 <sup>4</sup>	Brief 30-60 min	Quickly lethal to most animals Dangerous effects. Increased respiratory and heart rates and blood pressure, followed by reversals and ECG changes; restlessness, salivation, incoordination, narcosis.	Patty, 1958 von Oettingen, 1964
4.1 x 10 <sup>4</sup> 1.4 x 10 <sup>4</sup> 6.2 x 10 <sup>3</sup> to 8.3 x 10 <sup>3</sup>	2 hr. Up to 1 hr 6 hrs/day	LC <sub>50</sub> , guinea pig No serious effects Deaths, rats, 3-5 days, spasmodic dyspnea	NIOSH, 1976b Patty, 1958 von Oettingen, 1964
6.5 x 10 <sup>3</sup> 6.2 x 10 <sup>3</sup> 4.1 x 10 <sup>3</sup>	6 hrs 4 hrs 6 hrs/day	LC <sub>50</sub> , mouse LC <sub>10</sub> , rat 1 week, cats, weakness, unable to right 1 week, cats, dyspnea, refusal to eat/drink. 3-4 weeks, cats, death 2-3 days, guinea pigs, deaths 4-7 days, monkeys, convulsions 1-3 days, dogs, deaths 5-6 days, rabbits and rats, death 1-6 days, dogs, deaths	Davis, et al. 1977 DHEW, 1975 von Oettingen, 1964
2,065 1,032	6 hrs/day 6 hrs/day	1 expos., dogs and monkeys, signs of poisoning; 2-4 weeks, dogs, deaths, permanent neuromuscular damage in survivor; 1 week, mice, convulsions, mortality; 15 weeks, mice, permanent adductor contraction in survivors	von Oettingen, 1964 von Oettingen, 1964
620 to 1,032		Overt signs of toxicity detectable in dogs and monkeys.	Smith & von Oettingen, 1947a
531	20 hrs	Paralysis in survivors (but in another exposure at 620 mg/m <sup>3</sup> , no cumulative overt toxicity or neurotoxic changes over several months in several species).	von Oettingen, 1964; Smith & von Oettingen, 1947a

inactivation of essential proteins (rather than presence of the parent compound or free halide per se) (Davis, et al. 1977).

Human experience indicates that acute fatal intoxication can result from exposures to vapor levels as low as 1,164 to 1,552 mg/m<sup>3</sup>, and harmful effects can occur at 388 mg/m<sup>3</sup> or more. Systemic poisoning has been reported to occur from two weeks' exposure (eight hrs/day) at about 136 mg/m<sup>3</sup> (NAS, 1978, citing: Kubota, 1955; Johnstone, 1945; Bruhin, 1943; Wyers, 1945; Watrous, 1942; Rathus and Landy, 1961; Miller and Haggard, 1943; Tourangeau and Plamondon, 1945; Viner, 1945; Collins, 1965; Clarke, et al. 1945). Symptoms generally increase in severity with increasing levels of exposure and may vary somewhat according to exposure circumstances and individual susceptibility. In sublethal poisoning cases a latency period of 2 to 48 hours (usually about four to six hours) between exposure and onset of symptoms is characteristic (Araki, et al. 1971).

Like the other monohalomethanes, bromomethane is a CNS depressant and may invoke psychic, motor, and GI disturbances. (Mellerio, et al. 1973, 1974; Greenberg, 1971; Longley and Jones, 1965; Hine, 1969). In light poisoning cases effects may be limited to mild neurological and GI disturbances, with recovery in a few days. Moderate cases involve the CNS further, with more extensive neurological symptoms and visual disturbances. Recovery may be prolonged for weeks or months, with persisting symptoms and/or disturbed function. Severe cases also involve a latent period and similar initial symptoms, with development of disturbed speech and gait, incoordination, tremors that may develop to convulsions, and

psychic disturbances. Recovery can be quite protracted with persisting neurological disorders (Araki, et al. 1971). In fatal cases the convulsions may become more intense and frequent, with unconscious periods. Death may occur in a few hours from pulmonary edema or in one to three days from circulatory failure. Pathology often includes hyperemia, edema, and inflammation in lungs and brain. Degenerative changes occur in the kidneys, liver, and/or stomach, and perhaps the brain; although brain changes are usually more functional in character (NAS, 1978; Davis, et al. 1977). Apparently blood bromide levels of 100 mg/l or less result in recovery, 135 in moderate disability, 195 in residual ataxia, and 250 in convulsions (Hine, 1969).

Direct skin contact with bromomethane may produce prickling, itching, cold sensation, erythema, vesication, blisters (similar to second degree burn), and damage to peripheral nerve tissue or delayed dermatitis (Davis, et al. 1977). A case of brief skin exposure (spray) to liquid bromomethane, quickly decontaminated, did not produce a burn, but resulted in severe, delayed, neuromuscular disturbances (twitching, fits, convulsions) and permanent brain damage (cerebellum and pyramidal tract) (Longley and Jones, 1965). The OSHA (1976) standard for bromomethane in workroom air is 78 mg/m<sup>3</sup> (ceiling) and carries a warning notation of possible significant skin absorption (NIOSH, 1976b; OSHA, 1976).

In animals bromomethane is highly toxic. It is more toxic by inhalation to several species than chloromethane (Davis, et al. 1977). Correspondence between effective doses by inhalation vs. ingestion is difficult to assess until more is known of GI

absorption and first-pass detoxification (Davis, et al. 1977). In several species acute fatal poisoning has involved marked CNS disturbances with a variety of manifestations: ataxia, twitching, convulsions, coma, as well as changes in lung, liver, heart, and kidney tissues (Sayers, et al. 1930; Irish, et al. 1940; Gorbachev, et al. 1962; von Oettingen, 1964). In subacute and protracted exposure studies similar neurological disturbances developed (Irish, et al. 1940; Sokolova, 1972) in animal and human (Drawneek, et al. 1964) as acute toxicoses. Inhalation toxicity in animal species is briefly reviewed in Table 10. In general the monohalo-methanes rank in decreasing order of acute toxicity as follows: iodomethane, bromomethane, chloromethane, fluoromethane (Davis, et al. 1977).

Dogs receiving bromomethane chronically by ingestion (fumigated diet yielding residual bromide at a dose level of 150 mg/kg/day) were adversely affected, whereas if they received sodium bromide at 78 mg/kg/day (residual bromide) no effects were noted (Rosenblum, et al. 1960). In another experiment using fumigated food with residual bromide, Vitte, et al. (1970) detected changes in blood iodine and calcium and pathologic changes in thyroid and parathyroid glands. Toxic responses in rabbits administered bromomethane subcutaneously (in oil) at 20-120 mg/kg included limb paralysis, cessation of drinking, reduced urine excretion. Levels greater than 50 mg/kg sharply increased the blood bromide level and reduced platelets, serotonin, and water content (Kakizaki, 1967).

Groups of cattle were fed oat hay from a bromomethane-fumigated field or pelleted ration containing sodium bromide added at various concentrations. The hay contained bromide ion at 6,800 to

TABLE 10  
Bromomethane Inhalation Toxicity in Animals

Concentration mg/m <sup>3</sup>	Duration	Response	Reference
69,452	15 min	Lethal, cats	von Oettingen, 1964
24,929	1 hr	LC <sub>10</sub> , rabbit	NIOSH, 1976b
20,952	20 min	Delayed deaths (6 days), guinea pigs	von Oettingen, 1964
7,760-11,640	30 min	Delayed deaths (9 hr), 1 of 6 guinea pigs	von Oettingen, 1964
7,760-11,640	70 min	LC <sub>100</sub> , guinea pigs	von Oettingen, 1964
3,391	30-40 min	Lethal, dogs	von Oettingen, 1964
1,940-2,328	4.5 hrs	Lethal within 2 days, salivation, guinea pigs	von Oettingen, 1964
2,293	12 hrs	Lethal, rabbits	Gorbachev, et al. 1962
1,536-1,940	6 hr/daily	Cumulative overt toxicity, dogs & monkeys	Smith & von Oettingen, 1947a
1,536	Not specified	LC <sub>50</sub> , mice	Balander & Polyak, 1962
1,164	5 hrs	Delayed death, 1 of 6 guinea pigs	von Oettingen, 1964
1,164	13.5 hrs	Lethal, all died within 3 days, guinea pigs	von Oettingen, 1964
997	22 hrs	100% lethal in rats	Irish, et al. 1940
846	3 hr	Lethal, rabbits	von Oettingen, 1964
846	26 hr	Lethal, rats	Irish, et al. 1940
582	9 hrs	Lethal to most in 1-3 days; guinea pigs	von Oettingen, 1964
504	18 hrs (2 exp. at 3 mo interval)	Altered conditioned reflexes, mice	Sokolova, 1972
419	7-8 hrs daily	Weight loss, prostration, convulsions; rats	Irish, et al. 1940
252	8 hr/day, 5 da/wk.	At 22 days: typical poisoning, rabbits	Irish, et al. 1941
128	8 hr/day, 5 da/wk.	Eventually lung irrit., paralysis, rabbits (but not rats, guinea pigs, or monkeys)	Irish, et al. 1941
97	4-5 mos	Altered neuroendocrine controlled metabo- lism, rabbits	Balander & Polyak, 1962
70	40 min	Changes in motor responses	Balander & Polyak, 1962

8,400 mg/l concentrations. Groups fed the hay and highest dose-rate of bromide in pelleted ration developed signs of CNS toxicity (motor incoordination) at 10 to 12 days of exposure. Incoordination correlated with serum bromide concentrations of 2,400 mg/l (30 meq/l) or more. Serum bromide levels and neurologic signs were markedly reduced two weeks after termination of exposure (Knight and Reina-Guerra, 1977).

No reports on bromomethane teratogenicity studies were available, but high levels in testes after ingestion of fumigated food, and enzymatic and neuroendocrine disturbances, could have teratogenic implications. Further studies in this area would appear to be warranted (Williford, et al. 1974).

Dichloromethane: As with chloromethane, dichloromethane has not generally been regarded as highly toxic, but poisonings, primarily from inhalation exposures, have been reported. Human minimal toxic concentrations or doses have not been determined. At this time the OSHA occupational exposure standard (air concentrations as a TWA for eight hours) is  $1,737 \text{ mg/m}^3$  with ceiling and peak values of 3,474 and  $6,948 \text{ mg/m}^3$ , respectively (OSHA, 1976). However, NIOSH has recommended an eight-hour TWA concentration of  $260 \text{ mg/m}^3$  with a peak limit of  $1,737 \text{ mg/m}^3$  (NIOSH, 1976b). A  $\text{TC}_{\text{LO}}$  (lowest reported toxic concentration) over eight hours of  $1,737 \text{ mg/m}^3$  for humans is reported (NIOSH, 1976b), and exposures of 740 or  $1,786 \text{ mg/m}^3$  for one hour were reported as being without adverse effect by Stewart, et al. (1972a,b). However, Winneke (1974) reported exposure to  $1,101 \text{ mg/m}^3$  or more for three to four hours decreased psychomotor performance (NAS, 1978). Dichloromethane

affects central nervous system function. It is also irritating to mucous membranes (eyes, respiratory tract) and skin. In addition, it results in production of carbon monoxide (CO) as a metabolite, which increases carboxyhemoglobin (COHb) in the blood and interferes with oxygen transfer and transport (NAS, 1978).

Mild poisonings by dichloromethane produce somnolence, lassitude, anorexia, and mild lightheadedness, followed by rapid and complete recovery. Severe cases are characterized by greater degrees of disturbed CNS function and depression. Permanent disability has not been reported. In fatal poisonings cause of death has been reported as cardiac injury and heart failure (NAS, 1978, citing: Hughes, 1954, Stewart and Hake, 1976, Collier, 1936, Moskowitz and Shapiro, 1952).

The formation of CO and COHb from dichloromethane forms a basis for concern about combined exposures to dichloromethane and carbon monoxide. Fodor and Roscovanu (1976) and NIOSH (1976a) recommend re-examination of dichloromethane exposure standards with intent to reducing them. These authors report that exposure at the current threshold limit value (TLV) of dichloromethane produces COHb levels equivalent to those produced by the TLV for CO. Mixed exposures could be a problem, especially in workers, smokers, and cardiorespiratory patients or other susceptibles. Concern about mixed exposure to dichloromethane and other lipophilic solvents, with enhanced danger of marked CNS and metabolic effects resulting from modest exposure to individual materials, has been expressed (Savolainen, et al. 1977).

Gynecologic problems in female workers exposed for long periods to gasoline and dichloromethane vapors were reported by Vozovaya (1974). In pregnant women, chronic exposure resulted in dichloromethane passing through the placenta into the fetus. Dichloromethane also was found in the milk of lactating women a few hours into a work shift. Functional circulatory disorders in workers exposed for more than three years to organochlorine compounds (including dichloromethane) at "permissible" levels have been reported by Dunavskii (1972). Symptoms included chest pain, electrocardiograph irregularities, bradycardia, decreased myocardial contractility, and altered adaption to physical stress. More recently it has been reported (Stewart and Hake, 1976) that fatal heart attacks have been caused by exposure to dichloromethane in workers removing paint and varnish (NAS, 1978).

Animal toxicology of dichloromethane is briefly reviewed in Table 11, with some human data included. Both di- and tri-halogenated methane derivatives have been found to produce increased blood levels of COHb; the greatest increase caused by iodo-, followed by bromo- and chloro-compounds. CNS functional disturbances are reported, including depression of REM-sleep, as seen in carbon monoxide exposures (Fodor and Roscovanu, 1976). Liver pathology has been reported in experimental exposure to dichloromethane vapors (Balmer, et al. 1976). NAS (1978) cites Haun, et al. (1972) reporting liver changes in mice continuously exposed to dichloromethane at 87 and 347 mg/m<sup>3</sup> for up to two weeks. As a liquid or vapor dichloromethane was ophthalmotoxic in rabbit tests, producing persistent (up to two weeks) conjunctivitis and blepharitis,

TABLE 11  
Toxicity of Dichloromethane

Exposure Concentration or Dose	Duration	Response	Reference
6,460 mg/kg	Subcut.	LD <sub>50</sub> , mouse	NIOSH, 1976b
17,370 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	2 hrs	LC <sub>Lo</sub> , guinea pig. Depressed running activity, rats	NIOSH, 1976b Heppel & Neal, 1944*
3,000 mg/kg	Oral	LD <sub>Lo</sub> , dog	NIOSH, 1976b
2,700 mg/kg	Subcut.	LD <sub>Lo</sub> , rabbit and dog	NIOSH, 1976b
2,136 mg/kg	Oral	LD <sub>50</sub> , rat	NIOSH, 1976b
1,900 mg/kg	Oral	LD <sub>Lo</sub> , rabbit	NIOSH, 1976b
1,500 mg/kg	I.P.	LD <sub>50</sub> , mouse	NIOSH, 1976b
4,342 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	7 hr/day, 9 day	Fetotox., teratogenicity, mice, rats	Schwetz, et al. 1975 <sup>+</sup>
3,425 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	1 hr	Transient lightheadedness, human	Stewart, et al. 1972a,b <sup>+</sup>
950 mg/kg	I.P.	LD <sub>Lo</sub> , dog	NIOSH, 1976b
1,737 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	6 hr/day, few days	Altered brain metabolism, behavior, rats	Savolainen, et al. 1977
1,737 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	year, intermittent	TCLo, CSN, human	NIOSH, 1976b
1,737 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	8 hrs	TC <sub>Lo</sub> , blood, human (12% COHb)	NIOSH, 1976b
1,737 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	3 hrs	13% COHb, rats	Fodor & Roscovanu, 1976
200 mg/kg	I.V.	LD <sub>Lo</sub> , dog	NIOSH, 1976b
87-347 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	Contin. up to 2 wks	Liver changes, mice	Haun, et al. 1972 <sup>+</sup>

\*Cited by NIOSH, 1976a

<sup>+</sup>Cited by NAS, 1978

corneal thickening, keratitis and iritis, and increased intraocular tension (Ballantyne, et al. 1976). Inhalation exposures of rats and mice to vapor levels of 4,342 mg/m<sup>3</sup> for seven hours daily gestation days from 6 to 15 produced increased blood levels of COHb and evidence of fetotoxicity or embryotoxicity, but not teratogenicity (Schwetz, et al. 1975; NIOSH, 1976a, citing Heppel and Neal, 1944).

At 1.737 mg/m<sup>3</sup> voluntary running activity was depressed in rats. Sleep alterations were noted in rats exposed to dichloromethane at 3,474 mg/m<sup>3</sup> or more (Wolburg, 1973). Depressed CNS excitability, along with increased blood levels and expiratory, hepatic, and renal excretion of dichloromethane in subacute studies, was reported (Avilova, et al. 1973).

**Tribromomethane:** Little information is available concerning the toxicology of tribromomethane. It is regarded as a highly toxic material, more toxic than dibromomethane but less than tetrabromomethane and triiodomethane (NAS, 1978, citing Dep. Health Edu. Welfare, 1975). Minimum toxic concentrations have not been established, but its general toxic potential is reflected in a quite low occupational exposure standard (OSHA, 1976): eight-hour time-weighted-average air concentration, 5.2 mg/m<sup>3</sup> (the most stringent standard of the halomethanes considered herein). It is absorbed by all major routes (lungs, GI tract, skin) after appropriate exposure (NAS, 1978).

In humans, exposure to toxic levels of vapor produces irritation of respiratory tract, pharynx, and larynx, with lacrimation and salivation. Most reported cases of poisonings have resulted from accidental overdoses administered in the treatment of whooping

cough. Toxic symptoms appear after a shorter latent period than that typical of other halomethanes. Obvious toxic effects in light cases may be limited to headache, listlessness, and vertigo. Unconsciousness, loss of reflexes, and convulsions occur in severe cases, and in fatal cases the primary cause of death is respiratory failure. Clinical recovery in moderate poisonings may be relatively rapid and without permanent damage or disability. Presence of tribromomethane in all organs is indicated by pathologic findings, which also indicate fatty degenerative and centrilobular necrotic changes in the liver (as in trichloro- and triiodomethane poisonings) (NAS, 1978, citing von Oettingen, 1955).

Animal data are generally consistent with those from human case histories. Impaired liver function (prolonged pentobarbital sleeping time and/or BSP retention) in mice resulted from single subcutaneous doses of tribromomethane ranging between 278 and 1,112 mg/kg. These functional effects correlated with pathological liver changes at the higher dose levels (Kutob and Plaa, 1962). Pathological changes in liver and kidney have been reported (Dykan, 1962) in guinea pigs after systemic administration of a level of 100 to 200 mg/kg per day for ten days (NAS, 1978). Experimental data for animals are briefly summarized in Table 12. Reticuloendothelial system function (liver and spleen phagocytic uptake of <sup>125</sup>I-Listeria monocytogenes) was suppressed in mice exposed 90 days to tribromomethane at daily dose levels of 125 mg/kg or less (Munson, et al. 1977, 1978).

Bromodichloromethane: No information on human intoxication by this compound was available, and there have been no occupational

**TABLE 12**  
**Bromoform Toxicity in Animals**

Concentration or Dose	Duration or Route	Response	Reference
1,820 mg/kg	Subcutaneous, single	LD <sub>50</sub> , mouse	Kutob & Plaa, 1962
1,400 mg/kg	Intragastric, single	LD <sub>50</sub> , mouse, ICR, O; fatty liver; kidney palor; hemorrhage in adrenals, lungs, brain	Bowman, et al. 1978
581 mg/kg	Subacutaneous, oil, single	Median effective dose for prolongation of phenobarb. sleeping time. Approx. threshold. 278 mg/kg. Mouse.	Kutob & Plaa, 1962
410 mg/kg	Subacutaneous, single	LD <sub>Lo</sub> , rabbit	NIOSH, 1976b
250 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	Inhalation, 4 hrs daily, 2 mos.	Disorders in liver glyco-genesis and prothrombin synthesis; reduced renal filtration capacity. Threshold: 50 mg/m <sup>3</sup> . Rat.	NAS, 1977, citing Dykan, 1962
100-200 mg/kg/da	Injection, daily, 10 days	Liver and kidney pathol., guinea pig	NAS, 1978, citing Dykan, 1962
0.3-125 mg/kg/da	Intragastric, 90 days	Suppressed liver phagocytosis, mice	Munson, et al. 1978

exposures reported by Sax (1968). However, he reported the compound as "dangerous" and "probably narcotic in high concentrations."

Bowman, et al. (1978) have recently reported on acute toxicity tests in mice. Median lethal doses  $LD_{50}$  for ICR Swiss mice administered bromodichloromethane (solubilized in emulphor: alcohol and saline mix) by gavage were 450 and 900 mg/kg for males and females, respectively. Based on comparative  $LD_{50}$  data among four trihalomethanes, bromodichloromethane was the most acutely toxic in both males and females, and males were more susceptible than females for all compounds. Sedation and anesthesia occurred within 30 minutes at the 500 mg/kg dose level for bromodichloromethane, and lasted for about four hours. Animals that died in groups dosed over a range of 500 to 4,000 mg/kg showed fatty infiltration in livers, pale kidneys, and hemorrhage in kidneys, adrenals, lungs, and brain.

In mice that were offered bromodichloromethane in drinking water at 300 mg/l (with and without use of emulphor), water consumption and body-weight decreased dramatically (Campbell, 1978). Body weight regained parity with controls in several weeks, but water consumption did not. There was no obvious effect on susceptibility to pathogenic Salmonella typhimurium delivered by gavage after several weeks' exposure. However, Schuller, et al. (1978) have observed a suppression of cellular and humoral immune response indices in female ICR mice exposed by gavage for 90 days to bromodichloromethane at 125 mg/kg daily. Sanders, et al. (1977) observed hepatomegaly and a depression in a reticuloendothelial system functional index (phagocytic) in mice exposed to bromodichloromethane

Munson, et al. (1977) reported a dose-dependent suppression of hepatic phagocytosis in mice exposed for 90 days to daily doses of bromodichloromethane by gavage ranging up to 125 mg/kg.

Teratogenic properties of bromodichloromethane have not been clearly demonstrated, but some fetal anomalies were reported in experiments in which mice were exposed to vapors at 8,375 mg/m<sup>3</sup> seven hrs/day during gestation days 6 to 15 (Schwetz, et al. 1975).

Trichlorofluoromethane (F-11) and dichlorodifluoromethane (F-12): These propellant fluorocarbons are discussed together because of their physicochemical and general toxicologic similarities. They may be regarded as the least toxic of the halomethanes considered in this document. Standards for maximum average concentrations in air of work spaces are established at 5,600 and 4,950 mg/m<sup>3</sup> for F-11 and F-12, respectively (OSHA, 1976). For reference, these may be compared to the following standards for other halomethanes:

tribromomethane	5 mg/m <sup>3</sup>
bromomethane	80 mg/m <sup>3</sup>
chloromethane	206 mg/m <sup>3</sup>
dichloromethane	1,737 mg/m <sup>3</sup>

It has been recommended that these standards for maximum average concentration be reduced to 260 mg/m<sup>3</sup>.

Because of their physical properties and use patterns the primary route of exposure in toxicity studies has been by inhalation of vapors at high concentrations, resulting in rapid pulmonary absorption. The two toxicologic features of the fluorocarbons that have received the greatest attention are their cardiovascular and

bronchopulmonary actions. The toxicities of F-11 and F-12 are thought to be mediated at least in part by metabolic products which bind to lipid and protein cell constituents and affect vital processes (e.g., retard cellular oxidation). There remains a need for more metabolic and toxicologic information on the consequences of prolonged exposure to environmental levels (U.S. EPA, 1976; Howard, et al. 1974).

Human experience in fluorocarbon toxicity has largely involved the intentional or unintentional misuse of fluorocarbon products, resulting in acute inhalation of high vapor concentrations. Numerous severe and fatal cases of abuse are on record, such as from inhaling deeply from spray-filled bags to achieve a "jag." These probably involve cardiac arrhythmia complicated by elevated circulating catecholamines and CO<sub>2</sub> (Bass, 1970; Killen and Harris, 1972). Similar toxic consequences could occur in asthmatics using fluorocarbon-propellant bronchodilator products (Taylor and Harris, 1970; Archer, 1973). Occupational-exposure data are limited. Speizer, et al. (1975) have reported a relationship between cardiac palpitation episodes and level of use of F-12 and F-22 (CHClF<sub>2</sub>) propellants in hospital pathology department workers (frozen-section preparation).

In brief experimental exposures of humans to F-12 at  $198 \times 10^3$  mg/m<sup>3</sup> vapor concentration in air, tingling sensation, humming in the ears, apprehension, EEG and speech changes, and deficits in psychological performance were reported. In other tests exposures to F-12 at  $49 \times 10^3$  to  $543 \times 10^3$  mg/m<sup>3</sup> caused cardiac arrhythmia, decreased consciousness, and amnesia or deficits in performance on

psychomotor tests scores (Kehoe, 1943; Azar, et al. 1972). However, in women using fluorocarbon-propellant (F-11; F-12; F-114 (CClF<sub>2</sub>-CClF<sub>2</sub>)) aerosol products and receiving nine or more times the exposure from normal use, Marier, et al. (1973) found no measurable blood levels of the fluorocarbons or abnormalities in overall health, respiratory, or hematologic parameters.

Good, et al. (1975) reported an excess of atypical metaplastic cells in sputum of frequent aerosol-product users. The authors suggested the possibility of some products altering the resident bacterial flora of the respiratory tract or containing tumorigenic constituents (not necessarily the propellants). Data from a survey of aerosol product use and respiratory symptoms by Lebowitz (1976) led him to suggest a "tendency for more symptoms to follow increased aerosol usage, most consistently among nonsmokers" (U.S. EPA, 1976). Human data on halothane (a chemically similar CF<sub>3</sub>CHBrCl gaseous anesthetic) suggest potential toxic hazards (liver, kidney, and CNS changes; risk of abortion and developmental anomalies, increased susceptibility to cancer in females) from prolonged exposure at relatively low levels, with implications particularly for operating room personnel. Animal data on halothane are generally supportive (U.S. EPA, 1976). The primary human hazard from F-11 inhalation (by whatever circumstance: intentional misuse of aerosol products to achieve intoxication or overuse of propellant bronchodilators) is the induction of cardiac arrhythmias (Howard, et al. 1974).

The inhalation toxicology of F-11 and F-12 in animals is selectively summarized in Tables 13, 14, and 15. Several propellant

TABLE 13  
Inhalation Toxicology of P-11\*

Concentration of Vapor <sub>3</sub> (mg x 10 <sup>3</sup> /m <sup>3</sup> )	Exposure, Duration or Regimen	Animals	Effect(s)
1,851	Brief (N.S.)	Rat	Tremors
1,402	30 min	Rabbit, g.p. <sup>†</sup>	LC <sub>50</sub> **
1,122	5 min	Rat	Lethal to some
842	30 min	Rat	LC <sub>50</sub>
561	20 min	Rat	Loss of reflex, anesthesia
561	6 min	Mouse (anesthetized)	A-V block
561	5 min	Rat (anesthetized)	Cardiac arrhythmias in all
140; 280; 561	5 min	Rat (unanesthetized)	Tachycardia, atrial fibrill., ventric. extrasystoles in some (incid. related to dose)
140-561	N.S.	Rat (anesthetized)	Bradycardia; also ectopic beats at 561 mg/m <sup>3</sup>
337	4 hrs	Rat	Lethal to some
280	20 min or repeated daily	Rat, rabbit, dog	Biochemical changes indicative of slowed cellular respiration.
280	5 min	Monkey (anesthetized)	Tachycardia, ventric. premature beats, A-V block
280	5 min	Mouse, dog	SEIA***
140	5 min	Cardiomyopathic hamster	Cardiac arrhythmias (compared to 561 x 10 <sup>3</sup> mg/m <sup>3</sup> in normal hamsters)
140	5 min	Monkey (anesthetized)	Tachycardia
140	5 min	Monkey	SEIA
140	3.4 hr/day 20 days	Cat, g.p., rat	No signs of overt tox., no mortality
112	4 hrs	Cardiomyopathic hamster	High mortality and reduced lethal times compared to normal hamsters
70	3.5 hr/day 20 days	Dog	No signs of overt tox., no mortality
67	4 hr/day x 10 days	Rat	Respiratory and neuromusc. signs of tox., (recovery after expos). Pathology in brain liver, lungs; spleen changes
28-67	5 min	Dog	SEIA
58	8 hr/day x 30 days	Rat, g.p.	No significant signs of tox.
28	Brief	Monkey and dog	Influence on circulatory system
22	6 hr/day x 28 days	Rat, mouse, g.p., rabbit	No significant signs of tox.
5.6	90 days	Rat, g.p.	Lung, liver changes

\* Source: U.S. EPA, 1976

† g.p. denotes guinea pig

\*\* LC<sub>50</sub> denotes median lethal concentration

\*\*\*SEIA denotes sensitization to epinephrine-induced arrhythmia

TABLE 14  
Inhalation Toxicology of F-12\*

Concentration of Vapor (mg x 10 <sup>3</sup> /m <sup>3</sup> )	Exposure, Duration or Regimen	Animal	Effect(s)
<3,952	30 min	Guinea pig,rabbit, rat	LC <sub>50</sub> **
3,754	30 min	Mouse	LC <sub>50</sub>
2,470	1 hr	Rat	Anesthesia
2,638 (F11/F12, 1:1)	30	Guinea pig	LC <sub>50</sub>
1,976	N.S.	Rat (anesthetized)	Arrhythmia in ¼; no ch. in heart rate
1,482-1,976	Brief (N.S.)	Rat	Tremors
1,482	30 min	Rat	LC <sub>50</sub>
1,582(F11/F12, 1:1)	30 min	Rat	LC <sub>50</sub>
1,160(F11/F12, 1:1)	30 min	Mouse	LC <sub>50</sub>
988	5 min	Rat	Lethal to some
988	7-8 hr/day x 35-53 days	Dog,monkey	Tremors disappear after 2 wks-tolerance and depressed wt. gain
988	6 min	Mice (anesthetized)	No arrhythmias
494; 988; 1,976	N.S.	Rat (unanesthetized)	Tachycardia, no arrhythmias
494; 988	N.S.	Rat (anesthetized)	No change in heart rate, or arrhythmias
494	N.S.	Rat (anesthetized)	Arrhythmias in 10%
494	5 min	Monkey (anesthetized)	Arrhythmias
494	3.5 hr/day x 20 days	Rat,guinea pig,cat,dog	No mortal. and no overt signs of tox.
247	5 min	Monkey (anesthetized)	No arrhythmias
247	5 min	Dog	SEIA***
41	8 hr/day x 5 day/wk x 30 days	Guinea pig	Liver changes
4	Continuous, 90 days	Guinea pig	Liver changes

\* Source: U.S. EPA, 1976

\*\* LC<sub>50</sub> denotes median lethal concentration

\*\*\*SEIA denotes sensitization to epinephrine-induced arrhythmia

TABLE 15

Bronchopulmonary and Cardiovascular Effects  
(other than arrhythmia) of F-11 and F-12\*

Effect	Animal	F-11		F-12	
		Conc.**	Degree of response <sup>a</sup>	Conc.**	Degree of response <sup>a</sup>
Tachycardia	Dog	56	+++	494	+
	Monkey	140	++	494	+
Myocardial depression	Dog	140	++		
	Monkey	140	++	494	+
Hypotension	Dog	140	++	0	
	Monkey	140	++	494	+
Early respiratory depression	Dog	561	+	988	+
	Monkey	280	+	0	+
	Mouse	140	++	247	+
	Rat	140	++	494	+
Bronchoconstriction	Dog	0		494	+
	Monkey	0		494	+
	Mouse	56	++	99	+
	Rat	140	++	0	
Decreased compliance	Dog	0		988	+
	Monkey	0		494	+
	Mouse	56	++	99	+
	Rat	140	++	494	+

\* Source: U.S. EPA, 1976; Aviado, 1975b,c

\*\*Approx. minimal concentration ( $10^3 \text{mg/m}^3$ ) producing response; 0 indicates absent or opposite responses

<sup>a</sup> +, ++ or +++ indicate degree of response

substances have been classified according to their cardiopulmonary toxicities in animal studies, as summarized in Table 16. Of all the aerosol propellants studied and classified on the basis of cardiopulmonary effects, Aviado (1975a) concluded that F-11 is the most toxic and that the most serious effects are induction of cardiac arrhythmia and sensitization to epinephrine-induced arrhythmias. The Underwriters Laboratories (1971) classification system for refrigerants is shown in Table 17. In this system F-11 and F-12 are in Toxicity Classes 5 and 6, respectively (the lowest two of six classes).

Several animal studies provide evidence that pre-existing cardiac or pulmonary lesions (diseased state) may enhance the toxicity (enhance toxic effect or reduce the level of exposure required to produce effect) of fluorocarbons (Taylor and Drew, 1975; Doherty and Aviado, 1975; Watanabe and Aviado, 1975). Also, Wills (1972) demonstrated a dose related (in range of 0.005 to 0.015 mg/kg) response to epinephrine (arrhythmic heart beats) in subjects briefly exposed to F-11 at  $49 \times 10^3 \text{ mg/m}^3$  (0.87 percent by volume). Thus, exposure to the fluorocarbons (such as from use of propellant bronchodilators or misuse of other products), in combination with use of cardioactive drugs or a stressful situation increasing endogenous epinephrine levels, could be hazardous and present a toxic risk greater than that from either factor alone (U.S. EPA, 1976; Howard, et al. 1974).

Pathologic liver changes were reported in guinea pigs chronically exposed (continuously for 90 days; or eight hours daily, five days weekly, for six weeks) to F-12 at levels of about  $4,000 \text{ mg/m}^3$

TABLE 16

Classification of Fluorocarbon and Other Propellant Compounds  
on the Basis of Cardiovascular and Bronchopulmonary Toxicity\*

Class and Compounds	Characteristics
I. Low Pressure Propellants of High Toxicity $\text{CCl}_3\text{F}$ (F-11), $\text{CHCl}_2\text{F}$ (F-21) $\text{CCl}_2\text{F}-\text{CClF}_2$ (F-113), $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$ , and trichloroethane.	Toxic at 0.5-5% (v/v) in monkey and dog, and 1-10% in rat and mouse. Induce cardiac arrhythmias; sensitize heart to epinephrine-induced arrhythmias; cause tachycardia, myocardial depression, hypotension. Primarily cardiovascular effects.
II. Low Pressure Propellants of Intermediate Toxicity  $\text{CClF}_2-\text{CClF}_2$ (F-114), $\text{CClF}_2-\text{CH}_3$ (F-142b), isobutane and octafluorocyclobutane	Sensitize to epinephrine--arrhythmia in the dog at 5-25% (Cf. 0.5% or less for Class I). Do not induce arrhythmias in mouse (Class I do at 10-40%). Affect circulation in anesthetized dog and monkey at 10-20% (Cf. at 0.5-2.5% for Class I). Cause bronchoconstriction in dog (Class I compounds do not), and, except in this respect, are less toxic than those in Class I. Cardiovascular effects predominate.
III. High Pressure Propellants of Intermediate Toxicity  $\text{CCl}_2\text{F}_2$ (F-12), $\text{CHClF}_2$ (F-22), propane, and vinyl chloride	Effective concentrations similar to Class II for cardiotoxicity and circulatory effects, but respiratory depression and broncho-effects predominate over cardiovascular effects (in contrast to Classes I and II).
IV. High Pressure Propellants of Low Toxicity  F-115 and F-125b	Extent of circulatory effects less than those of Class III. Do not cause bronchoconstriction or early respiratory depression.

\*Source: U.S. EPA, 1976; Aviado, 1975b

TABLE 17  
Comparative Acute Toxicity Classification  
Refrigerants\*

Toxicity class	Concentration, percent (v/v)	Exposure duration to produce death or serious injury in animals (hours)
1	0.5 - 1	0.83 (5 min.)
2	0.5 - 1	0.5
3	2 - 2.5	1
4	2 - 2.5	2
5	Intermed.	Intermed.
6	20	No injury after 2 hrs

\*Source: Underwriters Labs, 1971

(0.08 percent by volume) (Prendergast, et al. 1967). In other chronic exposure experiments with rats, guinea pigs, monkeys, and dogs exposed to F-11 at 5,610 mg/m<sup>3</sup> for 90 days or at 57.5 x 10<sup>3</sup> mg/m<sup>3</sup> for eight hrs/day for five days/week for six weeks; pneumonitic changes were noted in all test groups (except in dogs exposed intermittently), liver changes were noted in rats and guinea pigs, and serum urea nitrogen was elevated in exposed dogs (Jenkins, et al. 1970). Several adverse changes were reported by Karpov (1963) in various species exposed to F-22 (in same class as and chemically similar to F-12) six hours daily for ten months at 50.1 x 10<sup>3</sup> mg/m<sup>3</sup> (1.42 percent, v/v), including: reduced endurance in swimming test and increased trials to establish conditioned reflex (mice); decreased oxygen consumption and increase in the stimulus strength required to induce response (rats); several hematologic and blood chemistry changes (rabbits) and degenerative pathoanatomic changes in heart, liver, kidney, nervous system, and lungs (Clayton, 1966).

Applications of F-11, F-12 and some mixed fluorocarbons repeated twice daily over several weeks to skin and oral mucosa of rats have produced irritation, edema, and inflammation. These effects were most marked in the F-11/F-22 mixture in older subjects. The healing rate of burn lesions was retarded by applications of F-11, F-12 and F-22 (Quevauviller, et al. 1964; Quevauviller, 1965). The rapid evaporation of fluorocarbons applied directly to integumentary surfaces may result in chilling or freezing and may be the principal hazard in acute dermal exposure to the more volatile compounds. Dermal absorption and resulting systemic toxicity are more important in the less volatile fluorocarbons.

Information on oral route toxicity is limited (Howard, et al. 1974). Acute intragastric doses of F-11 at 7,380 mg/kg were reported as not lethal or grossly hepatotoxic in rats (Slater, 1965), but Clayton (1966) noted that F-11 doses of 1,000 mg/kg (in peanut oil) were lethal in rats.

In one chronic (90 day) feeding study of F-12 in rats at 35 and 350 mg/kg/day Waritz (1971) reported somewhat elevated urinary fluoride and plasma alkaline phosphatase levels. No changes in dogs at 10 and 100 mg/kg/day were observed. In a two-year study using rats intubated with F-12 in corn oil at 15 and 150 mg/kg/day there was some suppression of weight gain at the high dose level, but no effects with respect to clinical signs, liver function, hematology, or histopathology were noted. There were no signs of toxicity in dogs given 8 and 80 mg/kg daily in their diet (Sherman, 1974).

#### Synergism and/or Antagonism

Probably the most obvious concern in regard to this aspect is the cardiac sensitization by fluorocarbons to arrhythmogenic effects of circulating or administered catecholamines (e.g., epinephrine) or asphyxia. Stress situations or certain drugs taken in conjunction with or as a component of fluorocarbon propellant products may present an opportunity for synergistic consequences (Howard, et al. 1974).

#### Teratogenicity

There are no available data on the teratogenicity of halo-methanes.

## Mutagenicity

Information on the mutagenicity of halomethanes is very limited. Recently, however, three groups of investigators have reported positive results with certain alkyl halides in the Ames Salmonella typhimurium test system (Andrews, et al. 1976; Jongen, et al. 1978; Simmon, et al. 1977). Because of the formal relationship between molecular events involved in mutagenesis and carcinogenesis (Miller, 1978; Weinstein, 1978), the demonstration of mutagenic activity for a substance is often taken as presumptive evidence for the existence of carcinogenic activity as well. Therefore, it is believed that an investigation of the mutagenicity of xenobiotics may be predictive of carcinogenic potential (but not necessarily potency), and may serve as an early warning of a possible threat to human health where positive results are obtained.

Simmon and coworkers (1977) reported that chloromethane, bromomethane, bromodichloromethane, bromoform, and dichloromethane were all mutagenic to Salmonella typhimurium strain TA100 when assayed in a dessicator whose atmosphere contained the test compound. Metabolic activation was not required for the expression of mutagenic effect, since the addition of microsomes was not necessary. In all cases, the number of revertants per plate was directly dose-related.

Interpretation of these data with regard to carcinogenic risk, however, is complicated by several factors. Data were generally reported for only one Salmonella tester strain, and the vapor-phase exposure is one which is not extensively employed for mutagenesis testing. The number of plates assayed at each dose was not indicated, and the criteria used for determination of a significant

mutagenic response were not specified. If the most stringent evaluation criteria were applied (in which the ratio of: experimental - control/control must exceed 2.5), bromoform and bromodichloromethane would not be considered positive in this study.

Confirmation of mutagenicity for all the chemicals examined by Simmon, et al. (1977) has not been reported by other investigators, either in the Ames assay or with other test systems. However, Andrews and coworkers (1976) have demonstrated that chloromethane was mutagenic to Salmonella typhimurium strain TA1535 in the presence and absence of added liver homogenate preparations. Simmon, et al. (1977) indicated that although dichloromethane was mutagenic in the Ames assay, it did not increase mitotic recombination in S. cerevisiae strain D3. In addition, it was reported that dichloromethane was negative on testing for mutagenicity in Drosophila (Filippova, et al. 1967).

The positive results for dichloromethane in the Ames assay were recently confirmed by Jongen, et al. (1978). Using Salmonella strains TA98 and TA100, which detect frameshift mutations, dose-related increases in mutation rate were obtained using vapor phase exposures (5,700 - 57,000 ppm). The addition of a microsomal preparation was not necessary for the production of mutations, although a slight enhancement in mutation rate could be obtained with rat liver homogenate. An explanation why certain halomethanes are mutagenic in the Ames assay without the addition of a metabolic activating system has not been proposed.

Mutagenicity data on the fluorocarbons are scant. Upon incubation of labeled F-11 (also CCl<sub>4</sub>, CHCl<sub>3</sub> and halothane) with liver

microsomes and NADPH the label was found to be bound irreversibly to endoplasmic protein and lipid but was not detected in ribosomal RNA. None of the compounds was mutagenic in Salmonella tester strains TA1535 or 1538 with added liver microsomes (Uehleke, et al. 1977). Sherman (1974) found no increase in mutation rates over controls in a rat feeding study of F-12. Stephens, et al. (1970) reported significant mutagenic activity of F-12 at  $2.47 \times 10^6$  mg/m<sup>3</sup> (50 percent) in air in a Neurospora crassa test system.

Further testing is obviously required to establish the mutagenic potential of any or all of the halomethanes. Many investigators agree that a compound should demonstrate positive results in at least two different short-term assay systems before it is accepted as a mutagen/carcinogen. Nevertheless, based on the presently available mutagenicity data, it seems prudent to regard chloromethane, bromomethane, bromoform, dichloromethane, and bromodichloromethane as suspected mutagens/carcinogens pending the results of further research.

#### Carcinogenicity

Among the halomethanes, only chloroform, carbon tetrachloride, and iodomethane are generally regarded to be carcinogenic in animals (NAS, 1978). Limited new data, however, implicate several additional compounds as potential tumorigens. These data were developed using the strain A mouse lung tumor assay system, a bioassay which is known for its extremely high sensitivity to both strong and weak carcinogens (Shimkin and Stoner, 1975). The interpretation of lung tumor data in the strain A mouse is somewhat

unique in that certain specific criteria should be met before a compound is considered positive:

- (a) A significant increase in the mean number of lung tumors in test animals, preferably to one or more per mouse, should be obtained.
- (b) A dose-response relationship should be evident.
- (c) The mean number of lung tumors in control mice should be consistent with the anticipated incidence of spontaneous tumors for untreated strain A mice.

Theiss and coworkers (1977) examined the biological activity of bromoform, bromodichloromethane, and dichloromethane in strain A mice. Male animals, six to eight weeks old, were injected intraperitoneally up to three times weekly over a period of eight weeks. Three dose levels were employed with each test chemical, representing the maximum tolerated dose and a 1:2 and 1:5 dilution of the maximum tolerated dose. Twenty animals were used at each dose level, including negative (tricaprylin, saline) and positive (urethan) controls. Mice were sacrificed 24 weeks after the first injection and the frequency of lung tumors in each test group was statistically compared with that in the vehicle-treated controls using the Student t test.

The results obtained by Theiss, et al. (1977) are summarized in Table 18. These data indicate that in no case were all three criteria met, as indicated above, for the establishment of a positive response. Nevertheless, it is clear that bromoform produced a significant increase in tumor response at the intermediate dose. In addition, dichloromethane at the low dose only, and bromodichloromethane at the high dose only, produced results which were marginally significant. Overall, the results of this study are

**TABLE 18**  
**Pulmonary Tumor Response to Organic Water Contaminants\***

Compound	Vehicle	Dose/ injection (mg/kg)	No. of i.p. injections	Total dose (mg/kg)	No. of animals survivors/initial	No. of lung tumors/mouse	P
Tricaprylin	T <sup>a</sup>		24		15/20	0.27 ± 0.15 <sup>b</sup>	
Bromoform	T	4	18	72	17/20	0.53 ± 0.21	0.335
		48	23	1,100	15/20	1.13 ± 0.36	0.041 <sup>c</sup>
		100	24	2,400	15/20	0.67 ± 0.21	0.136
Bromodichloromethane	T	20	18	360	15/20	0.20 ± 0.11	0.724
		40	24	960	16/20	0.25 ± 0.11	0.930
		100	24	2,400	13/20	0.85 ± 0.27	0.067
Dichloromethane	T	160	17	2,720	18/20	0.94 ± 0.03	0.053
		400	17	6,800	5/20	0.80 ± 0.58	0.417
		800	16	12,800	12/20	0.50 ± 0.15	0.295
Urethane	S	1,000	1	1,000	20/20	19.6 ± 2.4	
0.9% NaCl solution	S		24		47/50	0.19 ± 0.06	

\*Source: Theiss, et al. 1977

<sup>a</sup>Tricaprylin, S, 0.9% NaCl solution

<sup>b</sup>Average ± S.E.

<sup>c</sup>p < 0.05

suggestive of carcinogenic activity but do not in themselves provide an adequate basis for the development of a carcinogenic based risk assessment for humans. Moreover, it has been stated with regard to the strain A mouse lung tumor system that, "positive compounds require extension to other systems, such as lifetime exposure of rats" (Shimkin and Stoner, 1975).

Unfortunately, there are little additional data to either confirm or deny the potential carcinogenicity of most halomethanes. Poirier and coworkers (1975) used the strain A mouse lung tumor system to show that iodomethane was tumorigenic. They concluded that, "a high proportion of low molecular weight alkyl halides may be carcinogenic." Thus, pending bioassay results on chloromethane and bromomethane, it may be prudent to regard these two compounds as suspected carcinogens, especially in light of their mutagenic effects in the Ames assay.

The potential carcinogenicity of dichloromethane is reportedly under study at the U.S. National Cancer Institute (NCI) using rats and mice treated by gavage (NCI, 1977). Dichloromethane has also been chosen by NCI for further testing by inhalation in mice and rats, and a study of bioactivation and covalent binding to macromolecules in mice, rats, and hamsters is planned (NCI, 1977).

Since the early 1960s a vast amount of work has been conducted on the ability of various chemicals to induce malignant transformation in cultured mammalian cells. Several of these in vitro techniques have been adopted as convenient screening methods for the detection of potential carcinogens. Among the halomethanes,

however, only dichloromethane has been investigated for cell transformation activity.

Price, et al. (1978) reported that Fischer rat embryo cells (F1706) were transformed by dichloromethane at high concentrations ( $1.6 \times 10^{-3}M$  and  $1.6 \times 10^{-4}M$ ) in the growth medium. In addition, transformed cells produced fibrosarcomas when injected subcutaneously into newborn rats.

Further research by Sivak (1978) has indicated, however, that the observed cell transforming capability of dichloromethane may have been due to impurities in the test material. Sivak (1978) reported that when the experiments of Price, et al. (1978) were repeated using highly purified food grade dichloromethane no transformation occurred. Additional studies were conducted by Sivak (1978) in which food grade dichloromethane was tested in the BALD/C-3T3 mouse cell transformation assay system at three concentrations in the growth medium. Although transformed foci were observed at all dose levels, a dose-response relationship was not revealed, nor were the number of foci increased relative to results with untreated controls. Difficulty in the interpretation of these results, however, arises from the fact that dichloromethane (boiling point,  $40^{\circ}C$ ) was added to the growth medium and incubated at  $37^{\circ}C$  for 72 hours. Thus, the possibility exists that significant losses of the test material due to volatilization from the growth medium may have occurred.

The degree to which carcinogenic impurities may have accounted for the biological activity attributed to dichloromethane in in vitro test systems is not known. This problem may be particularly

relevant to the halomethanes, since high concentrations of test chemical must be employed for expression of mutagenic/carcinogenic effects. It has been established that misleading results can be obtained with the Ames assay due to trace level contamination by carcinogenic impurities (Donahue, et al. 1978), and a similar situation probably exists with mammalian cell transformation assays. Sivak (1978) reported that impurities present in food grade dichloromethane included: cyclohexane (305 ppm), transdichloroethylene (86 ppm), vinylidene chloride (33 ppm), methyl bromide (11 ppm), chloroform ( 10 ppm), carbon tetrachloride ( 5 ppm) and ethyl chloride (3 ppm). Therefore, the results of sensitive assays in which technical grade material is employed must be interpreted with the knowledge that low level contamination may contribute to observed biological effects.

Carcinogenicity data on the fluorocarbons are scant. No human or animal data on carcinogenicity from exposure to F-11 or F-12 were available. However, concern about possible increased risk of cancer resulting indirectly from the use of fluorocarbons has developed in recent years. The possibility that increasing use and release of fluorocarbons to the atmosphere may contaminate the stratosphere and cause depletion of protective, ultraviolet-absorptive ozone has been recognized. The following adverse effects from increased penetration of UV radiation to the biosphere are suspected: (a) increased incidence of skin cancer in humans (estimated at 20 to 35 percent increase for 10 percent ozone depletion); (b) altered animal cancer and disease patterns; (c) reduced

growth and productivity of plants; and (d) climatic changes and ecologic shifts (U.S. EPA, 1976).

A number of studies have sought to establish an association between trihalomethane levels in municipal drinking water supplies and the incidence of cancers in the U.S. population (NAS, 1978). Several epidemiologic studies have shown positive correlations between certain cancer death rates (various sites) and water quality indices, including water source, chlorination, and trihalomethanes (Cantor and McCabe, 1977, citing Cantor, et al. 1978 and Salg, 1977). Cantor, et al. (1978) have also reported positive associations between cancer mortality rates (several sites) and brominated trihalomethanes (BTHM). BTHM is comprised mostly of bromodichloromethane and chlorodibromomethane, but measurable levels of tribromomethane have been found in some water supplies. The authors caution that these studies have not been controlled for all confounding variables, and the limited monitoring data that were available may not have accurately reflected past exposures. Thus the need was recognized for further studies which will utilize exposure and disease information from individuals, rather than from population aggregates. However, based on the epidemiologic evidence which is presently available, it is felt that sufficient justification exists for maintaining a hypothesis that observed positive correlations between drinking water quality and cancer mortality may be attributable to the presence of trihalomethanes (U.S. EPA, 1978a).

## CRITERION FORMULATION

### Existing Guidelines and Standards

#### Chloromethane

1. A warning label is required by Federal Insecticide, Fungicide and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA). Interpretation is with respect to warning, caution, and antidote statements required to appear on labels of economic poisons (27 FR 2267).

2. Food tolerance requirement of Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act: chloromethane is permitted as the propellant in pesticide formulations, up to 30 percent of finished formulation, when used in food storage/processing areas not contacting fatty foods. 27 FR 4623.

3. Human exposure: (1) A maximum permissible concentration (MPC) of  $5 \text{ mg/m}^3$  in industrial plant atmospheres was established in Russia based on rat studies of chronic poisoning (Evtushenko, 1966); (2) OSHA (1976) has established the maximum acceptable time-weighted average air concentration for daily eight-hour occupational exposure at  $210 \text{ mg/m}^3$  with ceiling and peak (five minutes during (or in) any three hours) concentration values of 413 and  $620 \text{ mg/m}^3$ , respectively.

4. Multimedia Environmental Goals, (MEG) Estimated Permissible Concentrations (EPC) (U.S. EPA, 1977):

EPC, air, health:	$0.5 \text{ mg/m}^3$
EPC, water, health (1):	7.5 mg/l
EPC, water, health (2):	2.9 mg/l
EPC, land, health:	5.8 mg/kg

## Bromomethane

1. A warning and antidote labeling is required by FIFRA. Interpretation with respect to warning, caution, and antidote statements is required to appear on labels of economic poisons (27 FR 2267).

2. Food tolerance limits required under Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act Tolerances for residues of inorganic bromides resulting from fumigation with methyl bromide. 22 FR 5682 and subsequent regulations set inorganic bromide residue concentration limits for many food commodities at levels ranging from 20 to 400 mg/kg.

3. Human Exposure: (1) Occupational exposure during eight-hour work day limited to  $78 \text{ mg/m}^3$  by the Texas State Department of Health; also regulated are use periods for respirators (Tex. State Dep. Health, 1957); (2) OSHA (1976) has established the eight-hour air concentration ceiling for occupational exposure at  $80 \text{ mg/m}^3$ , with an added warning of skin exposure hazard; (3) The American National Standards Institute has set a standard of  $58 \text{ mg/m}^3$  time-weighted average air concentration for an eight-hour day, with interlocking period ceilings of  $97 \text{ mg/m}^3$ , and  $194 \text{ mg/m}^3$  (five minutes) (Am. Natl. Stand. Inst., 1970); (4) The industrial TLV (threshold limit value) of  $78 \text{ mg/m}^3$  to prevent neurotoxic and pulmonary effects was established by the American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists (Stokinger, et al. 1963; ACGIH, 1971).

## Dichloromethane

1. As an oil and fat solvent, dichloromethane is allowed in spice oleoresins at up to 30 mg/kg and in decaffeinated coffee at up to 10 mg/kg (21 CFR 121.1039).

2. Human exposure: (1) OSHA (1976) has established occupational exposure standards as follows: eight-hour time weighted average (TWA), 1,737 mg/m<sup>3</sup>; acceptable ceiling concentration, 3,474 mg/m<sup>3</sup>; and acceptable maximum peak above ceiling, 6,948 mg/m<sup>3</sup> (five minutes in any three hours). (2) However, in recognition of metabolic formation of COHb and additive toxicity with CO, NIOSH (1976a) has recommended a ten-hour workday TWA exposure limit of 75 ppm (261 mg/m<sup>3</sup>) in the presence of no more CO than 9.9 mg/m<sup>3</sup> TWA and a 1,737 mg/m<sup>3</sup> peak (15 min. sampling); in the case of higher CO levels, lower levels of dichloromethane are required. (3) Permissible exposure levels in several other countries range from 49 up to 1,737 mg/m<sup>3</sup> (maximum allowable concentration) or 2,456 mg/m<sup>3</sup> (peak) (discussed in NIOSH, 1976a). (4) The maximum permissible concentration for dichloromethane in water in the U.S.S.R. is 7.5 mg/l; this is intended to be proportionately reduced in the presence of other limited compounds (Stofen, 1973).

3. MEG values for Estimated Permissible Concentrations (U.S. EPA, 1977):

EPC, air, health:	0.619 mg/m <sup>3</sup>
EPC, water, health (1)	9.18 mg/l
EPC, water, health (2)	3.59 mg/l
EPC, land, health:	7.2 mg/kg

### Tribromomethane

Human exposure: (1) The OSHA Occupational Exposure Standard for workroom air (eight-hour TWA) is  $5 \text{ mg/m}^3$ , with a dermal absorption warning notation (OSHA, 1976). (2) Tribromomethane is one of four trihalomethanes comprising the group "total trihalomethanes" (TTHM) for which the U.S. EPA has proposed to regulate a maximum contaminant level in drinking water (0.100 mg/l).

### Bromodichloromethane

Human exposure: (1) There is no currently established occupational exposure standard for bromodichloromethane in the U.S. (2) Bromodichloromethane, along with chlorodibromomethane, trichloromethane (chloroform) and tribromomethane form the group of halomethanes termed total trihalomethanes (TTHM), which are to be regulated in finished drinking water in the U.S. The maximum permissible concentration set for TTHM in the proposed regulations is 0.100 mg/l.

### Trichlorofluoromethane and Dichlorodifluoromethane

Food use: FDA regulations permit use of dichlorodifluoromethane (F-12) as a direct contact freezing agent for food, and specify labeling and instructions for use (32 FR 6739).

Human exposure: (1) The current OSHA eight-hour TWA occupational standards for F-11 and F-12 are 5,600 and 4,950  $\text{mg/m}^3$ , respectively (OSHA, 1976). (2) Underwriters Laboratories classify F-11 and F-12 in groups 5 and 6, respectively (see Effects section).

Other: (1) F-11, F-12, and several other fluorocarbons have been exempted from regulation under the Texas Clean Air Act

(Howard, et al. 1974). (2) The U.S. EPA has requested that pesticide formulators seek suitable alternative propellants for products dispensed as aerosols, in view of the ozone depletion concern. (3) Pressurized containers must meet Interstate Commerce Commission (ICC) regulations for compressed gases to be shipped (Howard, et al. 1974, citing DuPont, 1973).

Standard for regulation of trihalomethanes: The U.S. EPA has considered the available health and exposure data for trihalomethanes as a group, determined that they represent a potential yet reducible hazard to public health, and proposed regulations establishing a maximum contaminant level (MCL) of 0.100 mg/l for total trihalomethanes (TTHM) in finished drinking water of cities greater than 75,000 (served population) employing added disinfectants (U.S. EPA, 1978a). A detailed discussion of the background (rationale, extrapolation models, and interpretations used) for this standard is beyond the scope of this document.

#### Special Groups at Risk

Perhaps the greatest concern for special risk considerations among the halomethanes is that for dichloromethane. In this case, the added threat is for those such as smokers or workers in whom significant COHb levels exist, or those with pre-existing heart disease, for whom COHb formation by dichloromethane metabolism would present an added stress or precipitate an episode from disturbed oxygen transport. NIOSH, recognizing this combined stress hazard, has recommended lowering the existing TLV for dichloromethane and tying it with existing CO exposure levels.

A second possible special risk concerns exposures to fluorocarbon vapors. In this case there is evidence that a characteristic toxicity involves sensitization to cardioarrhythmogenic effects of endogenous or administered epinephrine and related catecholamines. An individual with cardiac disease taking certain medication or in an acutely stressed state may be especially susceptible to fluorocarbon cardiotoxicity.

#### Basis and Derivation of Criteria

Data on current levels of the halomethanes in water, food, and ambient air are not sufficient to permit adequate estimates of total human exposures from these media. Available data discussed in an earlier section of this report (Occurrence) indicate that the greatest human exposure to the trihalomethanes occurs through the consumption of liquids (including drinking water and beverages containing it), and that exposure to chlorofluorocarbons, chloromethane, dichloromethane, and bromomethane occurs primarily by inhalation.

Observed correlations among concentrations of trihalomethanes in finished water are attributed to the presence of common organic precursor materials in raw water (NAS, 1978). Among the halomethanes considered in this report, bromodichloromethane seems to predominate in drinking waters. Concentrations of bromodichloromethane in raw and finished water samples are generally in the area of 6 µg/l or less, and thus represent a reasonable upper limit for anticipated levels of any halomethane in water (excluding chloroform and carbon tetrachloride).

Recent reports showing that chloromethane, bromomethane, tribromomethane, dichloromethane, and bromodichloromethane exhibit carcinogenic and/or mutagenic effects in certain bioassay systems suggests the need for conservatism in the development of water quality criteria for the protection of human health. Since the presently available carcinogenicity data base for these compounds is judged qualitatively informative but quantitatively inadequate for risk extrapolation, an alternative approach is necessary for criteria development.

The halomethanes included in this document have not been adequately tested for carcinogenicity. However, bromomethane, chloromethane, dichloromethane, tribromomethane and bromodichloromethane have been found to be mutagenic in the Ames test without metabolic activation (Simmon et al. 1977). Based on the demonstrated if variable relationship between positive responses in the Ames assay and positive results in cancer bioassays (Purchase, et al. 1978), the mutagenicity data suggest that these compounds may pose a carcinogenic risk. In the absence of carcinogenic data in mammalian species, the U.S. EPA's Carcinogen Assessment Group has considered the structural similarity of chloroform with these halomethanes as well as their mutagenic activity, and has recommended that the criterion for the class be identical to that of chloroform. The major drawback of this approach is that relatively minor structural changes in a molecule can have a profound effect on carcinogenic potency. Consequently, it cannot be determined whether this criteria is protective of carcinogenic risk. The criterion for chloroform is 1.9 ug/l (see Appendix 1).

An alternative approach is to derive criteria for the individual halomethanes based on the available toxicity data.

Chloromethane:

There are no reports in the published literature concerning the toxicity of chloromethane resulting from chronic oral exposure in either laboratory animals or man. However, human experience with chloromethane in the workplace has provided a fairly extensive data base concerning its inhalation toxicity in man. Consequently, the currently recommended ACGIH TLV of 100 ppm is based upon the known CNS effects of inhaled chloromethane in humans. This TLV represents an acceptable 8-hour time-weighted average exposure in the workplace. Exposure to the general population, however, should be considerably less since worker groups are assumed to be healthy and are not continuously exposed.

A water quality criterion for chloromethane based upon the ACGIH TLV of 100 ppm ( $210 \text{ mg/m}^3$ ) can be derived using the approach of Stokinger and Woodward (1958). It must be recognized, however, that assumptions must be made in the estimation of equivalent oral doses from inhalation data. This involves primarily an approximation of the efficiency of inhalation absorption and the average breathing rate. Thus a safety factor of 100 is included in the derivation in order to provide a wider margin of safety in light of the uncertainty in these assumptions. This calculation for chloromethane is illustrated as follows:

$$\frac{210 \text{ mg/m}^3 \times 50 \text{ m}^3/\text{week} \times 0.50^*}{7 \text{ days/week} \times 100^{**}} = 7.5 \text{ mg/day}$$

\*Estimated coefficient of absorption via inhalation and ingestion

\*\*Safety factor

Assuming a daily water consumption of 2 liters, the acceptable concentration of chloromethane would be 3.8 mg/liter on the basis of noncarcinogenic risks. Note that bioconcentration is considered not to occur with chloromethane.

**Bromomethane:**

Similar to the case with chloromethane, a large data base exists regarding the human toxicity of inhaled bromomethane whereas little is known concerning the effects of chronic ingestion by laboratory animals or man. The current ACGIH TLV of 20 ppm (77.6 mg/m<sup>3</sup>) for bromomethane can be used for derivation of a water quality criterion based upon the approach of Stokinger and Woodward (1958). However, the same precautions apply to this derivation for bromomethane by this approach as for chloromethane. The TLV approach is considered worthwhile, nevertheless, since the TLV is based upon the systemic toxicity produced in humans which has been well documented. This calculation for bromomethane is illustrated as follows:

$$\frac{77.6 \text{ mg/m}^3 \times 50 \text{ m}^3\text{***}/\text{week} \times 0.50^*}{7 \text{ days}/\text{week} \times 100^{**}} = 2.77 \text{ mg/day}$$

\*Estimated coefficient of absorption via inhalation vs. ingestion

\*\*Safety factor

\*\*\*Estimated weekly respiratory volume during a 40 hr work week

Assuming a daily water consumption of 2 liters, the acceptable concentration of bromomethane would be 1.39 mg/liter on the basis of noncarcinogenic risks. Since no bioconcentration factor is available for bromomethane, it is not known how the consumption of fish and shellfish may alter the acceptable level for water.

## Dichloromethane:

The toxicity of dichloromethane has not been studied by chronic ingestion in laboratory animals. However, a chronic study has been undertaken by the National Cancer Institute which may provide the necessary dose-response data for criterion formulation once it is published. Considerable human experience with dichloromethane in the workplace has led to the development of an ACGIH TLV for inhalation exposure. A limit of 200 ppm (694 mg/m<sup>3</sup>) has been recommended for protection against excessive carboxyhemoglobin formation. Previously, a limit of 500 ppm had been proposed for prevention of narcotic effects or liver injury. Using the Stokinger and Woodward (1958) approach as discussed above, a water quality criterion may be derived from the TLV as illustrated below:

$$\frac{694 \text{ mg/m}^3 \times 50\text{m}^3/\text{week} \times 0.50^*}{7 \text{ days/week} \times 100^{**}} = 24.8 \text{ mg day}$$

\*Estimated coefficient of absorption via inhalation vs. ingestion

\*\*Safety factor

Assuming a daily water intake of 2 liters, and the consumption of 6.5 g of fish and shellfish per day (bioconcentration factor 0.91), the derived water quality criterion would be 12.4 mg/liter based on noncarcinogenic risks.

## Tribromomethane:

Quantitative dose-response information regarding tribromomethane toxicity is very limited. In particular chronic exposure studies have not been published in sufficient detail to be used as the basis for criterion formulation. Suggestive evidence of carcinogenic activity for tribromomethane (i.e., in the Strain A mouse

pulmonary tumor assay) is not adequate for quantitative risk assessment. Furthermore, since the ACGIH TLV for tribromomethane is based primarily upon irritation as the toxic end-point, it is also inappropriate for use as the basis for criterion formulation. Therefore, pending the results of chronic bioassay studies, it is not presently possible to derive a valid water quality criterion for tribromomethane.

**Bromodichloromethane:**

The human toxicity of bromodichloromethane has not been systematically studied, nor has its chronic toxicity in other animals been reported in great detail. In two studies where mice were exposed by gavage for 90 days at a dose of 125 mg/kg/day, effects on cellular defense mechanisms were noted (Schuller et al. 1978; Munson et al. 1977). However, since dose-response relationships were not reported, this free standing adverse effects level cannot be used for criteria derivation. Furthermore, there are no TLVs for human exposure to bromodichloromethane in the workplace. Therefore, it is not presently possible to derive a valid water criterion for bromodichloromethane based on noncarcinogenic risks.

**Dichlorodifluoromethane:**

Evidence for mutagenicity of dichlorodifluoromethane is equivocal and there is no evidence as yet for carcinogenicity as a result of direct exposure. Chronic toxicity data for dichlorodifluoromethane is quite limited. In the only long-term (two years) feeding study reported (U.S. EPA, 1976, citing Sherman, 1974) the maximum dose level producing no-observed-adverse-effect (in dogs) was 80 mg/kg/day. Applying an uncertainty factor of 1000 (NAS,

1977) to this data yields a presumptive "acceptable daily intake" of 0.08 mg/kg/day. For a man weighing 70 kg, consuming two liters of water per day and absorbing at 100 percent efficiency, and assuming that the water is the sole source of exposure, this acceptable intake level translates into a criterion level as follows:  $(0.08) (70)/2 = 2.8 \text{ mg/l}$ .

Trichlorofluoromethane:

There is no evidence for mutagenicity of trichlorofluoromethane, and no evidence as yet for carcinogenicity as a result of direct exposure. The only data on toxicity testing using prolonged exposure at relatively low test concentrations is from a report (Jenkins, et al. 1970) in which no adverse effects were observed in rats and guinea pigs exposed continuously by inhalation for 90 days at 5,610 mg/m<sup>3</sup>. If the reference man weighing 70 kg breathed this atmosphere and absorbed the compound at 50 percent efficiency, his estimated exposure dose would be  $5,610 \times 23$  (24 hour respiratory volume in m<sup>3</sup>)  $\times 0.5 = 64,515 \text{ mg/day}$  or 922 mg/kg/day. Applying an uncertainty factor of 1,000 (NAS, 1977) to this data yields a presumptive "acceptable daily intake" of 0.922 mg/kg/day for trichlorofluoromethane. Assuming man's weight to be 70 kg and his absorption of ingested compound to be 100 percent efficient, and that his sole source of exposure is water consumed at two liters/day, the acceptable intake is translated into a criterion level as follows:  $(0.922) (70)/2 = 32.3 \text{ mg/l}$ .

In summary, criterion levels intended to protect the public against noncarcinogenic effects resulting from exposure to selected halomethanes are as follows:

<u>Compound</u>	<u>mg/l</u>
Chloromethane	3.8
Bromomethane	1.4
Dichloromethane	12.4
Tribromomethane	*
Bromodichloromethane	*
Dichlorofluoromethane	2.8
Trichlorofluoromethane	32.3

\*No criterion derived

## REFERENCES

- Ahmed, A.E., et al. 1977. Metabolism of haloforms to carbon monoxide. I. In vitro studies. Drug Metab. Dispos. 5: 198. (Abst.)
- Allen and Hanburys. 1971. An investigation of possible cardiotoxic effects of the aerosol propellants, Arctons 11 and 12. Vol. 1. (Unpubl. rep.)
- American Conference of Governmental and Industrial Hygienists. 1971. Documentation of the threshold limit values for substances in workroom air. Cincinnati, Ohio.
- American National Standards Institute. 1970. American national standard for acceptable concentrations of methyl bromide (monobromomethane). ANSI Z37.24.
- Andrews, A.W., et al. 1976. A comparison of the mutagenic properties of vinyl chloride and methyl chloride. Mutat. Res. 40: 273.
- Araki, S., et al. 1971. Methyl bromide poisoning. A report based on fourteen cases. Jap. Jour. Ind. Health. 13: 507.
- Archer, V.E. 1973. Letter to editor. Br. Med. Jour. 3: 5882.

Astrand, I., et al. 1975. Exposure to methylene chloride. I. Its concentration in alveolar air and blood during rest and exercise and its metabolism. Scand. Jour. Workplace Environ. Health. 1: 78.

Aviado, D.M. 1975a. Toxicity of aerosol propellants on the respiratory and circulatory systems. IX. Summary of the most toxic: Trichlorofluoromethane (FC-11). Toxicology. 3: 311.

Aviado, D.M. 1975b. Toxicity of aerosol propellants on the respiratory and circulatory systems. X. Proposed classification. Toxicology. 3: 321.

Aviado, D.M. 1975c. Toxicity of aerosols. Jour. Clin. Pharmacol. 15: 86.

Avilova, G.G., et al. 1973. Itogi Navki Tekh., Farmakol., Khimioter. Svedstva, Toksikol. Probl. Toksikol. 5: 92. (Rus.) (Abst.)

Azar, A., et al. 1972. Experimental human exposures to fluorocarbon 12 (dichlorofluoromethane). Am. Ind. Hyg. Assoc. Jour. 33: 207.

Azar, A., et al. 1973. Blood levels of fluorocarbon related to cardiac sensitization. Am. Ind. Hyg. Assoc. Jour. 34: 102.B

Baird, T.T. 1954. Methyl chloride poisoning. Br. Med. Jour. 2: 1353.

Balander, P.A. and M.G. Polyak. 1962. Toxicological characterization of methyl bromide. Gig. i Toksikol. Novykh. Pestitsidov i Klinika Otravlenii, Dokl. 2-oi (Vtoroi) Vses. Konf. 412.

Ballantyne, B., et al. 1976. The ophthalmic toxicology of dichloromethane. Toxicology. 6: 173.

Balmer, M.F., et al. 1976. Effects in the liver of methylene chloride inhaled alone and with ethyl alcohol. Am. Ind. Hyg. Assoc. Jour. 37: 345.

Barnsley, E.A. 1964. The metabolism of S-methyl-L-cysteine in the rat. Biochem. Biophys. Acta. 90: 24.

Barnsley, E.A. and L. Young. 1965. Biochemical studies of toxic agents. The metabolism of iodomethane. Biochem. Jour. 95: 77.

Bass, M. 1970. Sudden sniffing death. Jour. Am. Med. Assoc. 212: 2075.

Benatt, A.J. and T.R.B. Courtney. 1948. Uraemia in methyl bromide poisoning: Case report. Br. Jour. Ind. Med. 5: 21.

Blake, D.A. and G.W. Mergner. 1974. Inhalation studies on the biotransformation and elimination of ( $^{14}\text{C}$ )-trichlorofluoromethane and ( $^{14}\text{C}$ )-dichlorodifluoromethane in beagles. Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 30: 396.

Bowman, F.G., et al. 1978. The toxicity of some halomethanes in mice. Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 44: 213.

Boyland, E., et al. 1961. Metabolism of polycyclic compounds. 18. The secretion of naphthalene 1:2-dihydronaphthlene and 1:2-epoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalene in rat bile. Biochem. Jour. 78: 376.

Bridbord, K., et al. 1974. Exposure to halogenated hydrocarbons in the indoor environment. Presented at Conf. Publ. Health Implications of Plastic Manufacturing, Pinehurst, North Carolina.

Browning, E. 1965. Toxicity and Metabolism of Industrial Solvents. Elsevier Publishing Co., Amsterdam.

Bruhin, J. 1943. Deutsches Gesund Gerichtles Medicine 37, A253. Thesis. Zurich, Switzerland. (See von Oettingen, 1964).

Campbell, K. 1978. Unpubl. data.

Cantor, K.P. and L.J. McCabe. 1977. The epidemiologic approach to the evaluation of organics in drinking water. In: Proc. Conf. Water Chlorination: Environ. Impact and Health Effects. Gatlinburg, Tennessee, Oct. 31 - Nov. 4.

Cantor, K.P., et al. 1978. Associations of halomethanes in drinking water with cancer mortality. Jour. Natl. Cancer Inst. 61: 979.

Carlsson, A. and M. Hultengren. 1975. Exposure to methylene chloride. III. Metabolism of  $^{14}\text{C}$ -labeled methylene dichloride in rat. Scand. Jour. Work Environ. Health. 1: 104.

Carter, V.L., et al. 1970a. Effects of inhalation of freon 113 on laboratory animals. Rep. AD 727524. Natl. Tech. Inf. Serv. Springfield, Virginia.

Carter, V.L., et al. 1970b. Effect of bromotrifluoromethane on operant behavior in monkeys. Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 17: 307.

Chiou, W.L. and S. Niazi. 1973. A simple and ultra-sensitive headspace gas chromatographic method for the assay of fluorocarbon propellants in blood. Res. Commun. Chem. Pathol. Pharmacol. 6: 481.

Chopra, N.M. and L.R. Sherman. 1972. Systemic studies on the breakdown of p,p'-DDT in tobacco smokes. Anal. Chem. 44: 1036.

Clark, D.G. and D.J. Tinston. 1972a. The influence of fluorocarbon propellants on the arrhythmogenic activities of adrenaline and isoprenaline. Proc. Eur. Soc. Study Drug Toxic. 13: 212.

Clark, D.G. and D.J. Tinston. 1972b. Cardiac effects of isoproterenol, hypoxia, hypercapnia, and fluorocarbon propellants and their use in asthma inhalers. Ann. Allergy. 30: 536.

Clarke, C.A., et al. 1945. Methyl bromide poisoning: Account of four recent cases met with in one of H.M. ships. Br. Jour. Ind. Med. 2: 17.

Clayton, J.W. 1966. The mammalian toxicology of organic compounds containing fluorine. Handbuch Exp. Pharmakol. 20: 459.

Collier, H. 1936. Methylene dichloride intoxication industry - a report of two cases. Lancet. 1: 594.

Collins, R.P. 1965. Methyl bromide poisoning: A bizarre neurological disorder. Calif. Med. 103: 112.

Cox, P.J., et al. 1972. A comparison of the interactions of trichlorofluoromethane and carbon tetrachloride with hepatic cytochrome P-450. Biochem. Jour. 130: 87.

Cox, R.A., et al. 1976. Photochemical oxidation of halocarbons in the troposphere. Atmos. Environ. 10: 305.

Cronn, D.R., et al. 1976. Measurement of tropospheric halocarbon by gas chromatography - mass spectrometry. Rep. Phase I of EPA Grant No. R0804033-01. U.S. EPA, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina.

Davis, L.N., et al. 1977. Investigation of selected potential environmental contaminants: Monohalomethanes. EPA 560/2-77-007; TR 77-535. Final rep. June, 1977, on Contract No. 68-01-4315. Off. Toxic Subst. U.S. EPA, Washington, D.C.

Dennis, N.M., et al. 1972. Formation of methyl chloride during methyl bromide fumigations. Jour. Econ. Entomol. 65: 1753.

DiVincenzo, G.D. and M.L. Hamilton. 1975. Fate and disposition of (<sup>14</sup>C) methylene chloride in the rat. Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 32: 385.

DiVincenzo, G.D., et al. 1972. Human and canine exposures to methylene chloride vapor. Am. Ind. Hyg. Assoc. Jour. 33: 125.

Dixon, M. and D.M. Needham. 1946. Biochemical research on chemical warfare agents. Nature. 158: 432.

Doherty, R.E. and D.M. Aviado. 1975. Toxicity of aerosol propellants on the respiratory and circulatory systems. VI. Influence of cardiac and pulmonary vascular lesions in the rat. Toxicology. 3: 213.

Donahue, E.V., et al. 1978. Detection of mutagenic impurities in carcinogens and noncarcinogens by high-pressure liquid chromatography and the Salmonella/Microsome test. Cancer Res. 38: 431.

Drawneek, W., et al. 1964. Industrial methylbromide poisoning in fumigators. Lancet. 2: 855.

Dunavskii, G.A. 1972. Functional condition of circulatory organs in workers employed in the production of organochlorine compounds. Gig. Tr. Prof. Zabol. 16: 48. (Rus.) (Abst.)

Du Pont de Nemours and Co. 1968. Human skin absorption studies with trichlorotrifluoroethane, F-113. Med. Res. Proj. Rep. No. 84-68.

Du Pont de Nemours and Co. 1973. Interstate Commerce Commission regulations and containers for freon fluorocarbons. Bull. D-75.

Dykan, V.A. 1962. Changes in liver and kidney functions due to methylene bromide and bromoform. Nauchn. Trudy Ukr. Nauchn.-Issled. Inst. Gig. Truda Profzabol. 29: 82.

Eddy, C.W. and F.D. Griffith. 1961. Metabolism of <sup>14</sup>C-dichlorodifluoromethane by rats. Presented at Am. Ind. Hyg. Assoc. Conf. Toronto, Can. May, 1971.

Evtushenko, G.Y. 1966. Methyl chloride toxicology. Gig. Tr. Prof. Zabol. 10: 20.

Fassett, D. W. 1972. Toxicity of halogenated solvents, aerosol propellants, and fire-extinguishants. In: Proc. Third Annu. Conf. Environ. Toxicol. AMRL-TR-72-130. Aerospace Med. Res. Lab., Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio.

Filippova, L.M., et al. 1967. Chemical mutagens. IV. Mutagenic activity of geminal system. Genetika. 8: 134.

Fodor, G.G. and A. Roscovanu. 1976. Increased blood-CO-content in humans and animals by incorporated halogenated hydrocarbons. Zentralbl Bakteriol. (Orig B) 162: 34. (Ger.) (Abst.)

Getzendaner, M.E., et al. 1968. Bromide residues from methyl bromide fumigation of food commodities. Jour. Agric. Food Chem. 16: 265.

Good, W.O., et al. 1975. Sputum cytology among frequent users of pressurized spray cans. Cancer Res. 35: 316.

Gorbachev, E.M., et al. 1962. Disturbances in neuroendocrine regulation and oxidation-reduction by certain commercial poisons. Plenum Patofiziol Sibiri Dal'n. Vost. Sb. 88.

Greenberg, J.O. 1971. The neurological effects of methyl bromide poisoning. *Ind. Med. Surg.* 40: 27.

Grimsrud, E.P. and R.A. Rasmussen. 1975. Survey and analysis of halocarbons in the atmosphere by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry. *Atmos. Environ.* 9: 1014.

Hansch, C. and A. J. Leo. 1979. *Substituent Constants for Correlation Analysis in Chemistry and Biology.* Wiley Interscience, New York.

Hansen, H., et al. 1953. Methyl chloride intoxication: Report of 15 cases. *AMA Arch. Ind. Hyg. Occup. Med.* 8: 328.

Harsch, D. 1977. Study of halocarbon concentrations in indoor environments. Final rep. EPA Contract No. WA 6-99-2922-J. U.S. EPA, Washington, D.C.

Harsch, D. and R.A. Rasmussen. 1977. Identification of methyl bromide in urban air. EPA Contract No. WA 6-99-2922-Jour. Off. Res. Dev., U.S. EPA, Washington, D.C. (Unpubl. rep.)

Haun, C., et al. 1972. Continuous Animal Exposure to Low Levels of Dichloromethane. In: Proc. Third Annu. Conf. Environ. Toxicol. AMRL-TR-130: 12. Aerospace Med. Res. Lab., Wright Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio.

Health and Welfare Canada. 1977. Environmental Health Directorate national survey of halomethane in drinking water.

Heppel, L.A. and P.A. Neal. 1944. Toxicology of dichloromethane (methylene chloride). II. Its effect upon running activity in the male rat. Jour. Ind. Hyg. Toxicol. 26: 17.

Hester, N.E., et al. 1974. Fluorocarbons in the Los Angeles basin. Air Pollut. Control Assoc. Jour. 24: 591.

Hine, C.H. 1969. Methyl bromide poisoning. Jour. Occup. Med. 11: 1.

Howard, P. and A. Hanchett. 1975. Chlorofluorocarbon sources of environ-contamination. Science. 189: 217.

Howard, P.H., et al. 1974. Environmental hazard assessment of one and two carbon fluorocarbons. EPA 560/2-75-003. TR-74-572.1. Off. Toxic Subst. U.S. EPA, Washington, D.C.

Hughes, J.P. 1954. Hazardous exposure to some so-called safe solvents. Jour. Am. Med. Assoc. 156: 234.

International Agency for Research on Cancer. 1978. Information bulletin on the survey of chemicals being tested for carcinogenicity, No. 7. World Health Organ., Lyon, France.

Irish, D.D., et al. 1940. The response attending exposure of laboratory animals to vapors of methyl bromide. Jour. Ind. Hyg. Toxicol. 22: 218.

Irish, D.D., et al. 1941. Chemical changes of methyl bromide in the animal body in relation to its physiological effects. Jour. Ind. Hyg. Toxicol. 23: 408.

Jenkins, L.J., et al. 1970. Repeated and continuous exposure of laboratory animals to trichlorofluoromethane. Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 16: 133.

Johnson, M.K. 1966. Metabolism of iodomethane in the rat. Biochem. Jour. 98: 38.

Johnstone, R. 1945. Methyl bromide intoxication of large group of workers. Ind. Med. 14: 495.

Jongen, W.M.F., et al. 1978. Mutagenic effect of dichloromethane on Salmonella typhimurium. Mutat. Res. 56: 245.

Kakizaki, T. 1967. Studies on methyl bromide poisoning. Ind. Health. 5: 135.

Karpov, B.C. 1963. Tr. Leningr. Sanit.-Gigien. Med. Inst. 75: 231. (Summarized in Clayton, 1966).

- Kehoe, R.A. 1943. Unpublished report. In: Azar, et al. 1972.
- Killen, S.M. and W.S. Harris. 1972. Direct depression of myocardial contractility by the aerosol propellant gas, dichlorodifluoromethane. Jour. Pharm. Exp. Ther. 183: 245.
- Kleopfer, R.D. 1976. Analysis of drinking water for organic compounds. Identification and analysis of organic pollutants in water. Ann Arbor Science, Ann Arbor, Michigan.
- Knight, H.D. and M. Reina-Guerra. 1977. Intoxication of cattle with sodium bromide-contaminated feed. Am. Jour. Vet. Res. 38: 407.
- Krey, P.W., et al. 1976. Stratospheric concentrations of CCl<sub>3</sub>F in 1974. Jour. Geophys. Res. 81: 1557.
- Kubota, S. 1955. Industrial poisoning in chemical plants. I. Methyl bromide poisoning. Jour. Soc. Org. Synth. Chem. 13: 605.
- Kutob, S.D. and G.L. Plaa. 1962. A procedure for estimating the hepatotoxic potential of certain industrial solvents. Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 4: 354.
- Lebowitz, M.D. 1976. Aerosol usage and respiratory symptoms. Arch. Environ. Health. 31: 83.

Lehmann, K.B. and I. Schmidt-Kehl. 1936. The thirteen most important chlorinated aliphatic hydrocarbons from the standpoint of industrial hygiene. Arch. Hyg. 116: 131.

Lewis, S.E. 1948. Inhibition of SH enzymes by methyl bromide. Nature. 161: 692.

Lillian, D. and H.B. Singh. 1974. Absolute determination of atmospheric halocarbons by gas phase coulometry. Anal. Chem. 46: 1060.

Lillian, D., et al. 1975. Atmospheric fates of halogenated compounds. Environ. Sci. Technol. 9: 1042.

Longley, E.O. and A.T. Jones. 1965. Methyl bromide poisoning in man. Ind. Med. Surg. 34: 499.

Lovelock, J.E. 1971. Atmospheric fluorine compounds as indicators of air movements. Nature. 230: 379.

Lovelock, J.E. 1972. Atmospheric turbidity and  $\text{CCl}_3\text{F}$  concentrations in rural southern England and southern Ireland. Atmos. Environ. 6: 917.

Lovelock, J.E. 1974. Atmospheric halocarbons and stratospheric ozone. Nature. 252: 292.

Lovelock, J.E. 1975. Natural halocarbons in the air and in the sea. *Nature*. 256: 193.

Lovelock, J.E., et al. 1973. Halogenated hydrocarbons in and over the Atlantic. *Nature*. 241: 194.

Lucas, G.H.W. 1928. A study of the fate and toxicology of bromine and chlorine anesthetics. *Jour. Pharm. Exp. Ther.* 34:237.

Lynn, G.E., et al. 1963. Occurrence of bromide in the milk of cows fed sodium bromide and grain fumigated with methyl bromide. *Jour. Agric. Food Chem.* 11: 87.

MacDonald, J.D.C. 1964. Methyl chloride intoxication. *Jour. Occup. Med.* 6: 81.

Marier, G., et al. 1973. Blood fluorocarbon levels following exposures to a variety of household aerosols. *Household Pers. Prod. Ind.* 10: 68.

Matsumoto, T., et al. 1968. Aerosol tissue adhesive spray: Fate of freons and their acute topical and systemic toxicity. *Arch. Surg.* 97: 727.

McConnell, G., et al. 1975. Chlorinated hydrocarbons and the environment. *Endeavour*. 34: 13.

Mellerio, F., et al. 1973. Electroencephalography and acute methyl bromide poisoning. *Electroenceph. Clin. Neurophysiol.* 34: 732.

Mellerio, F., et al. 1974. Electroencephalographie au cours des intoxications aigues par brocure de methyle. *Jour. Eur. Toxicol.* 7: 119.

Metcalf, R.L. and P.Y. Lu. 1973. Environmental distribution and metabolic fate of key industrial pollutants and pesticides in a model ecosystem. Univ. Illinois Water Resour. Center, UIIU-WRC-0069. PB 225479, Natl. Tech. Inf. Serv., Springfield, Virginia.

Miller, D.P. and H.W. Haggard. 1943. Intracellular penetration of bromide as feature in toxicity of alkyl bromides. *Jour. Ind. Hyg. Toxicol.* 25: 423.

Miller, E.C. 1978. Some current perspectives on chemical carcinogenesis in humans and experimental animals: Presidential address. *Cancer Res.* 38: 1479.

Mizyokova, I.G. and G.N. Bakhishev. 1971. Specific treatment of acute methylbromide poisoning. *Vrach. Delo.* 7: 128.

Monro, H.A.U., et al. 1955. Methyl bromide concentrations in ship and railway car fumigation of peanuts. *Entomol. Soc. Ontario, Annu. Rep.* 86: 65.

Morgan, A., et al. 1967. Studies on the retention and metabolism of inhaled methyl iodine. II. Metabolism of methyl iodide. Health Physics. 13: 1067.

Morgan, A., et al. 1972. Absorption and retention of inhaled fluorinated hydrocarbon vapors. Int. Jour. Appl. Radiat. Isotop. 23: 285.

Morgan, A.J. 1942. Methyl chloride intoxication. Q. Jour. Med. 41: 29.

Morris, J.C. and G. McKay. 1975. Formation of halogenated organics by chlorination of water supplies. EPA 600/1-75-002. PB 241-511. Natl. Tech. Inf. Serv., Springfield, Virginia.

Moskowitz, S. and H. Shapiro. 1952. Fatal exposure to methylene chloride vapor. Arch. Ind. Hyg. Occup. Med. 6: 116.

Munson, A.E., et al. 1977. Functional activity of the reticuloendothelial system in mice exposed to haloalkanes for ninety days. 14th Natl. Reticuloendothelial Soc. Meet. Tucson, Arizona. Dec. 6-9. (Ab t.)

Munson, A.E., et al. 1978. Reticuloendothelial system function in mice exposed to four haloalkanes: Drinking water contaminants. Submitted: Soc. Toxicol. (Abst.)

National Academy of Sciences. 1977. Drinking Water and Health. Washington, D.C.

National Academy of Sciences. 1978. Nonfluorinated halomethanes in the environment. Washington, D.C.

National Cancer Institute. 1977. Chemicals being tested for carcinogenicity by the bioassay program. Rep. Tech. Inf. Resour. Branch, Natl. Cancer Inst., U.S. Dep. Health Edu. Welfare, Bethesda, Maryland.

National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health. 1976a. Criteria for a recommended standard: occupational exposure to methylene chloride. HEW Pub. No. 76-138. U.S. Dep. Health Edu. Welfare, Cincinnati, Ohio.

National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health. 1976b. Registry of toxic effects of chemical substances. HEW Pub. No. 76-191. U.S. Dep. Health Edu. Welfare, Rockville, Maryland.

National Library of Medicine. 1978. Toxicity of halomethanes. Off-line bibliographic citation list generated by Medlars II, Toxline before 1975 and 1975-1978.

Occupational Safety and Health Administration. 1976. General industry standards. OSHA 2206, revised January, 1976. U.S. Dep. Labor, Washington, D.C.

Ohta, T., et al. 1976. Local distribution of chlorinated hydrocarbons in the ambient air in Tokyo. Atmos. Environ. 10: 557.

Owens, D.F. and A.T. Rossano. 1969. Design procedures to control cigarette smoke and other air pollutants. ASHPAE Trans. 75: 93.

Palmer, T.Y. 1976. Combustion sources of atmospheric chlorine. Nature. 263: 44.

Patty, F.A. 1958. Industrial hygiene and toxicology. 2nd ed. Vol. I. Toxicology. Interscience Publishers, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York.

Patty, F.A. 1963. Industrial hygiene and toxicology. 2nd ed. Vol. II. Toxicology. Interscience Publishers, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York.

Paulet, G., et al. 1975. Fluorocarbons and general metabolism in the rat, rabbit, and dog. Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 34: 197.

Philippe, R.J. and M.E. Hobbs. 1956. Some components of the gas-phase of cigarette smoke. Anal. Chem. 28: 2002.

Pierotti, D. and R.A. Rasmussen. 1976. Interim report on the atmospheric measurement of nitrous oxide and the halocarbons. NASA Grant NSG 7214. Natl. Aeronautics Space Admin., Washington, D.C.

Pierotti, D., et al. 1976. Trip report on the cruise of the R/V Alpha Helix from San Diego, Calif., to San Martin, Peru. NSF Grant No. OCE 75-04688A03. Natl. Sci. Foundation, Washington, D.C.

Poirier, L.A., et al. 1975. Bioassay of alkylhalides and nucleoxide base analogs by pulmonary tumor response in Strain A mice. *Cancer Res.* 35: 1411.

Prendergast, J.A., et al. 1967. Effects on experimental animals of long-term inhalation of trichloroethylene, carbon tetrachloride, 1,1,1-trichloroethane, dichlorodifluoromethane, and 1,1-dichloroethylene. *Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol.* 10: 270.

Price, P.J., et al. 1978. Transforming activities of trichloroethylene and proposed Ind. alternatives. *In Vitro.* 14: 290.

Purchase, I.F.H., et al. 1978. An evaluation of six short-term tests for detecting organix chemical carcinogens. *Br. Jour. Cancer.* 37: 873.

Quevauviller, A. 1965. Hygiene et securite des pulseurs pour aerosols medicamenteaux. *Prod. Probl. Pharm.* 20: 14.

Quevauviller, A., et al. 1964. Local tolerance in animals to chlorofluorinated hydrocarbons. *Therapie.* 19: 247.

Rathus, E.M. and P.J. Landy. 1961. Methyl bromide poisoning. Br. Jour. Ind. Med. 18: 53.

Redford-Ellis, M. and A.H. Gowenlock. 1971a. Reaction of chloromethane with human blood. Acta Pharmacol. Toxicol. 30: 36.

Redford-Ellis, M. and A.H. Gowenlock. 1971b. Reaction of chloromethane with preparations of liver, brain, and kidney. Acta Pharmacol. Toxicol. 30: 49.

Riley, E.C., et al. 1966. Methylene chloride vapor in expired air of human subjects. Am. Ind. Hyg. Assoc. Jour. 27: 341.

Roehm, R.S., et al. 1943. The fumigation of dairy products with methyl bromide. Jour. Dairy Sci. 26: 205.

Rosenblum, I., et al. 1960. Chronic ingestion by dogs of methyl bromide-fumigated foods. Arch. Environ. Health. 1: 316.

Salg, J. 1977. Cancer mortality rates and drinking water in 346 counties of the Ohio River Valley Basin. EPA Contract No. PO-5-03-4528-J. Ph.D. thesis. Univ. of North Carolina.

Sanders, V.M., et al. 1977. Functional activity of the reticulo-endothelial system (RES) in mice exposed to the halomethanes, drinking water contaminants. Submitted: Va. Jour. Sci. (Abst.)

Savolainen, H., et al. 1977. Biochemical and behavioral effects of inhalation exposure to tetrachloroethylene and dichlormethane. Jour. Neuropathol. Exp. Neurol. 36: 941.

Sax, N.I. 1968. Dangerous Properties of Industrial Materials. 3rd ed. Reinhold Book Corp., New York.

Sayers, R.R., et al. 1930. Toxicity of dichlorodiflouromethane. U.S. Bur. Mines Rep. R.I. 3013.

Schuller, G.B., et al. 1978. Effect of four haloalkanes on humoral and cell mediated immunity in mice. Presented Soc. Toxicol. Meet.

Schwetz, B.A., et al. 1975. The effect of maternally inhaled trichloroethylene, perchloroethylene, methyl chloroform, and methylene chloride on embryonal and fetal development in mice and rats. Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 32: 84.

Scudamore, K.A. and S.G. Heuser. 1970. Residual free methyl bromide in fumigated commodities. Pestic. Sci. 1: 14.

Seo, S.T., et al. 1970. Residues of ethylene dibromide, methyl bromide, and ethylene chlorobromide resulting from fumigation of fruits and vegetables infested with fruit flies. Jour. Econ. Entomol. 63: 1093.

Shackelford, W.M. and L.H. Keith. 1976. Frequency of organic compounds identified in water. EPA-600/4-76-062. Environ. Res. Lab. U.S. EPA, Athens, Georgia.

Shargel, L. and R. Koss. 1972. Determination of fluorinated hydrocarbon propellants in blood of dogs after aerosol administration. Jour. Pharmacol. Sci. 61: 1445.

Sherman, H. 1974. Long-term feeding studies in rats and dogs with dichlorodifluoromethane (Freon 12 Food Freezant). Haskell Lab. (Unpubl. rep.)

Shimkin, M.B. and G.D. Stoner. 1975. Lung tumors in mice: Application to carcinogenesis bioassay. Adv. Cancer Res. 21: 1.

Simmon, V.F., et al. 1977. Mutagenic Activity of Chemicals Identified in Drinking Water. In: S. Scott, et al. (eds.), Progress in Genetic Toxicology.

Simmonds, P.G., et al. 1974. Distribution of atmospheric halocarbons in the air over the Los Angeles basin. Atmos. Environ. 8: 209.

Singh, H.B., et al. 1977. Urban nonurban relationships of halocarbons, SF<sub>6</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O and other atmospheric constituents. Atmos. Environ. 11: 819.

Sivak, A. 1978. BALB flash C-3T3 neoplastic transformation assay with methylene chloride (food grade test specification). Rep. Natl. Coffee Assoc. Inc.

Slater, T.F. 1965. Relative toxic activities of tetrachloromethane and trichlorofluoromethane. Biochem. Pharmacol. 14: 178.

Smith, W.W. and W.F. von Oettingen. 1947a. The acute and chronic toxicity of methyl chloride: I. Mortality resulting from exposure to methyl chloride in concentrations of 4,000 to 300 ppm. Jour. Ind. Hyg. Toxicol. 29: 47.

Smith, W.W. and W.F. von Oettingen. 1947b. The acute and chronic toxicity of methyl chloride. II. Symptomatology of animals poisoned by methyl chloride, Jour. Ind. Hyg. Toxicol. 29: 123.

Sokolova, I.P. 1972. Hygienic standardization of some fumigants in the air of ship chambers after gas treatment. Tr. Nauch. Konf., Nauch.-Issled. Inst. Gig. Vod. Transp. 2: 160.

Speizer, F.E., et al. 1975. Palpitation rates associated with fluorocarbon exposure in a hospital setting. New England Jour. Med. 292: 624.

Spevac, L., et al. 1976. Methyl chloride poisoning in four members of a family. Br. Jour. Ind. Med. 33: 272.

Stecher, P.G., et al. 1968. The Merck Index. 8th ed. Merck and Co., Inc., Rahway, New Jersey.

Stephan, C.E. 1980. Memorandum to J. Stara. U.S. EPA. July 3.

Stephens, S., et al. 1970. Phenotypic and genetic effects in Neurospora crassa produced by selected bases and gases mixed with oxygen. Dev. Ind. Microbiol. 12: 346.

Stewart, R.D. and C.L. Hake. 1976. Paint remover hazard. Jour. Am. Med. Assoc. 235: 398.

Stewart, R.D., et al. 1972a. Experimental human exposure to methylene chloride. Arch. Environ. Health. 25: 342.

Stewart, R.D., et al. 1972b. Carboxyhemoglobin elevation after exposure to dichloromethane. Science. 176: 295.

Stofen, D. 1973. Tolerance levels for toxic substances in drinking water. Stadtehyg. 24: 109. (Transl. by R. Mansfield, Oak Ridge Natl. Lab. ORNL-Tr-2975).

Stokinger, H.E. and R.L. Woodward. 1958. Toxicologic methods for establishing drinking water standards. Jour. Am. Water Works Assoc. 50: 515.

Stokinger, H.E., et al. 1963. Threshold limit values for 1963. Jour. Occup. Med. 5: 491.

Su, C. and E. Goldberg. 1973. Chlorofluorocarbons in the atmosphere. Nature. 245: 27.

Su, C. and E. Goldberg. 1976. Environmental Concentrations and Fluxes of Some Halocarbons. In: H.L. Windom and R.A. Duce (eds.), Marine Pollutant Transfer. Lexington Books, D.C. Heath and Co., Lexington, Massachusetts.

Symons, J.M., et al. 1975. National organics reconnaissance survey for halogenated organics. Jour. Am. Water Works Assoc. 67: 634.

Taylor, G.J. and R.T. Drew. 1975. Cardiomyopathy predisposes hamsters to trichlorofluoromethane. Submitted Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol.

Taylor, G.J. and W.S. Harris. 1970. Glue sniffing causes heart block in mice. Science. 170: 866.

Texas State Department of Health. 1957. Methyl bromide poisoning. Rep. No. OH-14, Div. Occup. Health.

Theiss, J.C., et al. 1977. Test for carcinogenicity of organic contaminants of United States drinking waters by pulmonary tumor response in strain A mice. *Cancer Res.* 37: 2717.

Tourangeau, F.J. and S.R. Plamondon. 1945. Cases of exposure to methyl bromide vapours. *Can. Jour. Pub. Health.* 36: 362.

Uehleke, H. and T. Warner. 1975. A comparative study of the irreversible binding of labeled halothane, trichlorofluoromethane, chloroform, and carbon tetrachloride to hepatic protein and lipids in vitro and in vivo. *Arch. Toxicol.* 34: 289.

Uehleke, H., et al. 1977. Metabolic activation of haloalkanes and tests in vitro for mutagenicity. *Xenobiotica.* 7: 393.

Underwriters Laboratories. 1971. Comparative hazards of modern refrigerants. Data card No. UL5 and UL5-A.

U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare. 1975. Registry of toxic effects of chemical substances. Washington, D.C.

U.S. EPA. 1975. Preliminary assessment of suspected carcinogens in drinking water, and appendices. Report to Congress, Washington, D.C.

U.S. EPA. 1976. Environmental hazard assessment report, major one-and two-carbon saturated fluorocarbons, review of data. EPA 560/8-76-003. Off. Toxic Subst. Washington, D.C.

U.S. EPA. 1977. Multimedia environmental goals for environmental assessment. Interagency energy-environment research and development program report. EPA 600/7-77-136a,b. Environ. Res. Lab., Research Triangle Park, North Carolina.

U.S. EPA. 1978a. Statement of basis and purpose for an amendment to the national interim primary drinking water regulations on trihalomethanes, January, 1978. Off. Water Supply, Washington, D.C.

U.S. EPA. 1978b. The National Organic Monitoring Survey. Tech. Support Div., Off. Water Supply, Washington, D.C. (Unpubl. rep.)

U.S. EPA. 1980. Seafood consumption data analysis. Stanford Research Institute International. Menlo Park, California. Final Report, Task 11, Contract No. 68-01-3887.

Van Stee, E.W. and K.C. Back. 1971. Brain and heart accumulation of bromotrifluoromethane. Rep. AD 721211. Natl. Tech. Inf. Serv., Springfield, Virginia.

Veith, G.D. 1980. Memorandum to C.E. Stephan. U.S. EPA. April 14.

Veith, G.D., et al. 1979. Measuring and estimating the bioconcentration factor of chemicals in fish. Jour. Fish Res. Board Can. 36: 1040.

Viner, N. 1945. Methyl bromide poisoning: New industrial hazard. Can. Med. Assoc. Jour. 53: 43.

Vitte, V.I., et al. 1970. Residual amounts of bromides in plant food products fumigated with methyl bromide and characteristics of their biological action. Gig. Primen., Toksikol. Pestits. Klin. Otravlenii. 8: 386.

von Oettingen, W.F. 1955. The halogenated hydrocarbons: Toxicity and potential dangers. No. 414. U.S. Pub. Health Serv., Washington, D.C.

von Oettingen, W.F. 1964. The Halogenated Hydrocarbons of Industrial and Toxicological Importance. Elsevier Publ. Co., Amsterdam.

von Oettingen, W.F., et al. 1949. Relation between the toxic action of chlorinated methanes and their chemical and physiochemical properties. Natl. Inst. Health Bull. No. 191.

von Oettingen, W.F., et al. 1950. Comparative studies of the toxicity and pharmacodynamic action of chlorinated methanes with special reference to their physical and chemical characteristics. Arch. Int. Pharmacodyn. Ther. 81: 17.

Vozovaya, M.A. 1974. Gynecological illnesses in workers of major industrial rubber products plants occupations. Gig. Tr. Sostoyanie Spetsificheskikh Funkts. Rab. Neftekhim. Khim. Prom-sti. 56. (Rus.) (Abst.)

Waritz, R.S. 1971. Toxicology of some commercial fluorocarbons. Rep. AD 751429. Natl. Tech. Inf. Serv. Springfield, Virginia.

Watanabe, T. and D.M. Aviado. 1975. Toxicity of aerosol propellants in the respiratory and circulatory systems. VII. Influence of pulmonary emphysema and anesthesia in the rat. Toxicology. 3: 225.

Watrous, R.M. 1942. Methyl bromide: Local and mild systemic toxic effects. Ind. Med. 11: 575.

Weinstein, I.B. 1978. Current concepts on mechanisms of chemical carcinogenesis. Bull. N.Y. Acad. Med. 54: 366.

Weissbecker, L., et al. 1971. Cigarette smoke and tracheal mucus transport rate: Isolation of effect of components of smoke. Am. Rev. Resp. Dis. 104: 182.

Wilkness, P.E., et al. 1973. Atmospheric trace gases in the southern hemisphere. Nature. 245: 45.

Wilkness, P.E., et al. 1975. Trichlorofluoromethane in the troposphere, distribution and increase, 1971 to 1974. Science. 187: 832.

Williford, J.H., et al. 1974. Residual bromide in tissues of rats fed methyl bromide fumigated diets. Jour. Anim. Sci. 38: 572.

Wills, J.H. 1972. Sensitization of the heart to catecholamine-induced arrhythmia. Proc. 3rd Ann. Conf. Environ. Toxicol. AD Rep. No. 773766. Natl. Tech. Inf. Serv. Springfield, Virginia.

Winneke, G. 1974. Behavioral Effects of Methylene Chloride and Carbon Monoxide as Assessed by Sensory and Psychomotor Performance. In: Behavioral Toxicology - Early Detection of Occupational Hazards. Publ. No. (NIOSH) 74-126. U.S. Dep. Health Edu. Welfare.

Wolburg, I. 1973. The use of electroencephalography in industrial toxicology. Activ. Nerv. Super. 15: 226. (Ger.) (Abst.)

Wyers, H. 1945. Methyl bromide intoxication. Br. Jour. Ind. Med. 2: 24.

## APPENDIX I

### Summary and Conclusions Regarding the Carcinogenicity of Halomethanes\*

The halomethanes addressed in this report are bromomethane, chloromethane, dichloromethane, tribromomethane, bromodichloromethane, dichlorodifluoromethane, and trichlorofluoromethane. Chloroform, which is also a trihalomethane, is discussed in another document.

Positive associations between cancer mortality rates in humans and trihalomethanes in drinking water have been reported. In addition to chloroform, these trihalomethanes consisted primarily of bromodichloromethane, chlorodibromomethane, and also barely measurable levels of tribromomethane. There have been positive results for tribromomethane using strain A/St. male mice in the pulmonary adenoma bioassay. Bromomethane, chloromethane, dichloromethane, bromodichloromethane, and tribromomethane have been reported as mutagenic in the Ames' test without metabolic activation. Dichlorodifluoromethane caused a significant increase in mutant frequency in Neurospora crassa, but was negative in the Ames' test. No data implicating trichlorofluoromethane as a possible carcinogen have been published.

Because positive results for the mutagenic endpoint correlate with positive results in in vivo bioassay for oncogenicity, mutagenic data for the halomethanes suggests that several of the compounds might be carcinogenic. Carcinogenicity data currently

available for the halomethanes are not adequate for the development of water criteria levels. We suggest that the criteria level be the same as that for chloroform (1.9  $\mu\text{g}/\text{l}$ ) in order to keep the individual lifetime cancer risk below  $10^{-5}$ .

In cases such as halomethanes where one criterion is derived for an entire class of compounds, the Agency does not state that each chemical in the class is a carcinogen. The intended interpretation of the criterion is that the risk is less than  $10^{-5}$  whenever the total concentration of all halomethanes in water is less than the criterion. In a hypothetical case where all of the halomethanes in a sample are non-carcinogenic, the criterion would be too strict; however, this situation seldom occurs. In most cases where halomethanes are detected, a mixture of compounds occurs and in calculation of the criterion the assumption is made that all components have the same carcinogenic potency as chloroform.

\*This summary has been prepared and approved by the Carcinogens Assessment Group, EPA, June, 1979.