EPA 440/1-74/039-a Group I, Phase II

Development Document for Effluent Limitations Guidelines and New Source Performance Standards for the

ANIMAL FEED, BREAKFAST CEREAL, AND WHEAT STARCH

Segment of the

GRAIN MILLS

Point Source Category



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY DECEMBER 1974 DEVELOPMENT DOCUMENT

for

EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS GUIDELINES

and

NEW SOURCE PERFORMANCE STANDARDS

for the

ANIMAL FEED, BREAKFAST CEREAL, AND

WHEAT STARCH SEGMENTS OF THE

GRAIN MILLS POINT SOURCE CATEGORY

Russell E. Train Administrator

James L. Agee Assistant Administrator for Water and Hazardous Materials



Allen Cywin, Director Effluent Guidelines Division

> Richard V. Watkins Project Officer

December 1974

Effluent Guidelines Division Office of Water and Hazardous Materials U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Washington, D.C. 20460

> For sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office Washington, D.C. 20402 - Price \$1.90

ABSTRACT

This document presents the findings of an extensive study of the animal feed, breakfast cereal, and wheat starch segments of the grain milling industry by the Environmental Protection Agency for the purpose of developing effluent limitations guidelines and Federal standards of performance for the industry, to implement Sections 304 and 306 of the "Act".

Effluent limitations guidelines contained in this document set forth the degree of effluent reduction attainable through the application of the best practicable control technology currently available and the degree of effluent reduction attainable through the application of the best available technology economically achievable which must be achieved by existing point sources by July 1, 1977 and July 1, 1983, respectively. The standards of performance for new sources contained herein set forth the degree of effluent reduction that is achievable through the application of the best available demonstrated control technology, processes, operating methods, or other alternatives.

Separate effluent limitations guidelines are described for the following subcategories of the grain milling point source category: animal feed manufacturing, hot cereal manufacturing, ready-to-eat cereal manufacturing, and wheat starch and gluten manufacturing. Treatment technologies are recommended for the two subcategories with allowable discharges: ready-to-eat cereal manufacturing and wheat starch and gluten manufacturing. These technologies are generally similar, and may include equalization and biological treatment followed by secondary clarification. In order to attain 1983 limitations, additional solids removal techniques will be the required. The standards of performance for new sources in the ready-to-eat cereal category are the same as the 1983 limitations, while the standards of performance for the wheat starch subcategory lie between the 1977 and 1983 effluent limitations guidelines, reflecting the difficulty in treating the high strength waste waters involved.

The cost of achieving these limitations are described. For a medium-sized ready-to-eat cereal plant with production of 226,800 kg/day (500,000 lbs/day), the investment cost for the entire treatment system to meet the 1977 limitations is estimated to be \$812,000. An additional \$64,000 will be required to meet the 1983 standards. Investment costs for a typical wheat starch plant with a capacity of 45,400 kg/day (100,000 lbs/day) are \$964,000 for 1977 and \$996,000 for 1983.

Supportive data and rationale for development of the proposed effluent limitations guidelines and standards of performance are contained in this report.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

.....

SECTION		PAGE
I	Conclusions	1
II	Recommendations	3
III	Introduction	5
	Purpose and Authority Summary of Methods Sources of Data General Description of the Industries Production Processes Waste Water Considerations	5 6 7 11 19 30
IV	Industry Categorization	33
	Factors Considered	33
v	Water Use and Waste Water Characteristics	36
	Introduction Animal Feed Manufacturing Hot Cereal Manufacturing Ready-To-Eat Cereal Manufacturing Wheat Starcn and Gluten Manufacturing	36 36 37 37 48
VI	Selection of Pollutant Parameters	61
	Major Pollutant Control Parameters Other Pollutant Control Parameters	61 64
VII	Control and Treatment Technology	69
	Introduction Ready-To-Eat Cereal Manufacturing Wheat Starch and Gluten Manufacturing	69 69 71
VIII	Cost, Energy, and Non-Water Quality Aspects	75
	Representative Plants Terminology Cost Information Non-Water Quality Aspects	75 75 76 88

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

SECTION		PAGE
IX	Effluent Reduction Attainable Through the Application of the Best Practicable Control Technology Currently Available -	
	Effluent Limitations Guidelines	89
	Introduction Effluent Reduction Attainable Through	89
	the Application of Best Practicable Control Technology Currently Available Identification of Best Practicable	90
	Control Technology Currently Available Rationale for the Selection of Best	91
	Practicable Control Technology Currently Available Limitations on the Application of Effluent	93
	Limitations Guidelines	97
X	Effluent Reduction Attainable Through the Application of the Best Available Technology	
	Limitations Guidelines	99
	Introduction Effluent Reduction Attainable Through the Application of the Pest Available	99
	Technology Economically Achievable Identification of Best Available	100
	Technology Economically Achievable Rationale for the Selection of the	100
	Economically Achievable	102
XI	New Source Performance Standards	105
	Introduction New Source Performance Standards	105 105
	Source Performance Standards	106
XII	Acknowledgments	109
XIII	References	111

FIGURES

NUMBER		PAGE
1	Data Retrieval Form	8
2	Location of Major Cereal Producing Plants in U. S.	14
3	Location of Wheat Starch and Gluten Plants in U. S.	18
4	Animal Feed Manufacturing	20
5	Flaked or Crisped Cereal Production	23
6	Shredded Cereal Production	24
7	Puffed Whole Grain Cereal Production	25
8	Extruded/Puffed Cereal Production	26
9	Extruded Cereal Production	28
10	Wheat Starch and Gluten Manufacturing	29
11	Average BOD Discharged as a Function of Age of Cereal Plants	43
12	Average Suspended Solids Discharged as a Function of Age of Cereal Plants	44
13	Waste Water Flow as a Function of Cereal Plant Capacity	45
14	Average BOD Discharged as a Function of Cereal Plant Capacity	46
15	Average Suspended Solids Discharged as a Function of Cereal Plant Capacity	47
16	Average BOD Discharged as a Function of Percentage of Coated Cereal Produced	49
17	Average BOD Discharged as a Function of Wheat Starch Plant Age	53

TABLES

	TABLES	
NUMBER		PAGE
1	Wheat Starch Companies and Plants	17
2	Composition of Whole Wheat	16
3	Shredded Cereal Cooker Discharge Waste Water Characteristics	39
4	Total Plant Raw Waste Water Characteristics, Ready-To-Eat Cereal Manufacturing	40
5	Waste Water Characteristics Per Unit of Finished Product, Ready-To-Eat Cereal Manufacturing	41
6	Total Plant Raw Waste Water Characteristics, Wheat Starch Manufacturing	50
7	Waste Water Characteristics Per Unit of Raw Material, Wheat Starch Manufacturing	52
8	Water Effluent Treatment Costs, Small Ready-To-Eat Cereal Plant (90,700 kg/day)	78
9	Water Effluent Treatment Costs, Medium-Sized Ready-To-Eat Cereal Plant (226,800 kg/day)	79
10	Water Effluent Treatment Costs, Large Ready-To-Eat Cereal Plant (544,300 kg/day)	80
11	Water Effluent Treatment Costs, Typical Wheat Starch Plant	85
12	Effluent Reduction Attainable Through the Application of Best Practicable Control Technology Currently Available	90
13	Effluent Reduction Attainable Through the Application of Best Available Technology Economically Achievable	100
14	New Source Performance Standards	106
15	Conversion Table	115

FIGURES (Continued)

NUMBER		PAGE
18	Average Suspended Solids Discharged as a Function of Wheat Starch Plant Age	54
19	Waste Water Discharge as a Function of Wheat Starch Plant Capacity	56
20	Average BOD Discharged as a Function of Wheat Starch Plant Capacity	57
21	Average Suspended Solids Discharged as a Function of Wheat Starch Plant Capacity	58
22	Average BOD Discharged as a Function of Wheat Starch Plant Discharge Volume	59
23	Average Suspended Solids as a Function of Wheat Starch Plant Discharge Volume	60
24	Cost of Treatment Alternatives Versus Cereal Plant Capacity	82

SECTION I

CONCLUSIONS

The segment of the grain milling industry that is covered in this document (Phase II) includes three industry subgroups: animal feed manufacturing (SIC Code 2048), breakfast cereal manufacturing (SIC Code 2043), and wheat starch manufacturing (part of SIC Code 2046). These industries have been classified into four subcategories based on products manufactured. Available information on factors such as age and size of plant, production methods, and waste control technologies does not provide a sufficient basis for further subcategorization.

The subcategories covered in this segment of the grain milling industry are as follows:

- 1. Animal feed manufacturing.
- 2. Hot cereal manufacturing.
- 3. Ready-to-eat cereal manufacturing.
- 4. Wheat starch and gluten manufacturing.

SECTION II

RECOMMENDATIONS

The recommended effluent limitations for the waste water parameters significance are summarized below for the subcategories of the of grain milling industry covered in this document. These values represent the maximum average allowable loading for any 30 consecutive calendar days. Excursions above these levels should be permitted with a maximum daily average of 3.0 times the average 30day values listed below. The effluent limitations are expressed in weight of pollutant per weight of raw material (wheat flour) for the wheat starch and gluten subcategory and per weight of finished product of the ready-to-eat cereal subcategory. The effluent limitation of no discharge of process waste water pollutants to navigable waters for the animal feed and hot cereal manufacturing subcategory makes quantitative expression of limits unnecessary.

The effluent limitations to be achieved with the best practicable control technology currently available are as follows:

BOD	Suspended Solids	pH
kg/kkg(1bs/1000_1bs)	<u>kg/kkg (1bs/1000_1bs)</u>	

Animal feed

manufacturing No discharge of process waste water pollutants Hot cereal manufacturing No discharge of process waste water pollutants

Ready-to-eat cereal	,	-	
manufacturing	0.40	0.04	6-9
Wheat starch and			
gluten manufacturing	2.0	2.0	6-9

Using the best available control technology economically achievable, the effluent limitations are:

BOD Suspended Solids	Pu
<u>kg/kkg(lbs/1000_lbs)</u> kg/kkg(lbs/1000_lbs)	
Animal feed	
manufacturing No discharge of process waste water pollut	ants
Hot cereal	
manufacturing No discharge of process waste water pollut	ants
Ready-to-eat cereal	
manufacturing 0.20 0.15	6-9
Wheat starch and	
gluten manufacturing 0.50 0.40	6-9

The recommended new source performance standards are as follows:

BODSuspended SolidspHkg/kkg(lbs/1000 lbs)kg/kkg(lbs/1000 lbs)

Animal feed

manufacturing No	o discharge	of	process	waste	water	pollutants	
Hot cereal							
manufacturing No	o discharge	of	process	waste	water	pollutants	
Ready-to-eat cereal	1						
manufacturing	0.20			0	. 15	· .	6-9
Wheat starch and							
gluten manufactu	ring 1.0			1.	. 0		6-9

SECTION III

INTRODUCTION

心理学事项的引起教育新聞工作出生生生

PURPOSE AND AUTHORITY

 \mathbf{pH}

6-9

6-9

section 301 (b) of the Act requires the achievement by not later than July 1, 1977, of effluent limitations for point sources, other than publicly owned treatment works, which are based on the application of the best practicable control technology currently available as defined by the Administrator pursuant to Section 304 (b) of the Act. Section 301(b) also requires the achievement by not later than July 1983, of effluent limitations for point sources, other than 1, publicly owned treatment works, which are based on the application of the best available technology economically achievable which will result in reasonable further progress toward the national goal of eliminating the discharge of all pollutants, as determined in accordance with regulations issued by the Administrator pursuant to Section 306 of the Act requires the Section 304(b) of the Act. achievement by new sources of a Federal standard of performance providing for the control of the discharge of pollutants which reflects the greatest degree of effluent reduction which the Administrator determines to be achievable through the application of the best available demonstrated control technology, processes, operating methods, or other alternatives, including, where where practicable, a standard permitting no discharge of pollutants.

Section 304 (b) of the Act requires the Administrator to publish within one year of enactment of the Act, regulations providing guidelines for effluent limitations setting forth the degree of effluent reduction attainable through the application of the best practicable control technology currently available and the degree of effluent reduction attainable through the application of the best control measures and practices achievable including treatment techniques, process and procedure innovations, operating methods, and other alternatives. The regulations proposed herein set forth effluent limitations guidelines pursuant to Section 304 (b) of the Act for a portion of the grain milling point source category.

Section 306 of the Act requires the Administrator, within one year after a category of sources is included in a list published pursuant to Section 306(b) (1) (A) of the Act, to propose regulations establishing Federal standards of performance for new sources within such categories. The Administrator published in the Federal Register of January 16, 1973 (38 F. R. 1624), a list of 27 source categories. Publication of the list constituted announcement of the Administrator's intention of establishing, under Section 306, standards of performance applicable to new sources within the grain milling point source category, which was included within the list published January 16, 1973.

SUMMARY OF METHODS USED FOR DEVELOPMENT OF THE EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS GUIDELINES AND NEW SOURCE PERFORMANCE STANDARDS

The effluent limitations guidelines and standards of performance proposed herein were developed in the following manner. The point source category was first categorized for the purpose of determining whether separate limitations and standards are appropriate for point different segments within source category. Such a subcategorization was based upon raw material used, product produced, manufacturing process employed, and other factors. The raw waste characteristics for each subcategory were then identified. This included an analysis of (1) the source and volume of water used in the process employed and the sources of waste and waste waters in the plant; and (2) the constituents (including thermal) of all waste waters including toxic constituents and other constituents which result in taste, odor, and color in water or aquatic organisms. The constituents of waste waters that should be subject to effluent limitations guidelines and standards of performance were identified.

The full range of control and treatment technologies existing within each subcategory was identified. This included an identification of each distinct control and treatment technology, including both inplant and end-of-process technologies, which are existent or capable of being designed for each subcategory. It also included an identification in terms of the amount of constituents (including thermal) and the chemical, physical, and biological characteristics pollutants, and of the effluent level resulting from the of application of each of the treatment and control technologies. The problems, limitations and reliability of each treatment and control technology and the required implementation time was also identified. In addition, the non-water quality environmental impacts, such as the effects of the application of such technologies upon other pollution problems, including air, solid waste, noise and radiation were also identified. The energy requirements of each of the control and treatment technologies were identified as well as the cost of the application of such technologies.

information, as outlined above, was then evaluated in order to The "best determine what levels of technology constituted the practicable control technology currently available," "best available technology economically achievable," and the "best available demonstrated control technology, processes, operating methods, or other alternatives." In identifying such technologies, various factors were considered. These included the total cost of application of technology in relation to the effluent reduction benefits to be achieved from such application, the age of equipment and facilities involved, the process employed, the engineering aspects of the application of various types of control techniques, process changes, non-water quality environmental impact (including energy requirements), and other factors.

SOURCES OF DATA

The data utilized in preparing the proposed effluent limitations guidelines for animal feed, breakfast cereal, and wheat starch manufacturing were derived from a number of sources. These sources included published literature, previous EPA technical publications voluntary on the industries, a information retrieval form distributed to the American Feed Manufacturers Association, Cereal Institute and individual manufacturers, information contained in Army Corps of Engineers discharge permit applications, U.S. industrial waste sampling data from several municipalities, and onvisits, interviews, and sampling programs at selected site manufacturing plants throughout the United States. A more detailed explanation of the data sources is given below. All references used in developing the guidelines for effluent limitations and standards of performance for new sources reported herein are included in Section XIII of this document.

During this study the trade associations representative of the industry subcategories were contacted. The American Feed Manufacturers Association and the Cereal Institute were informed of the nature of the study and their assistance was requested. Data and retrieval forms were voluntarily circulated and completed by the industries. The data retrieval form is shown in Figure 1. The completed forms provided a detailed source of information about the various plants including data on raw materials and finished products, water requirements, waste characterization and sources, and waste treatment. In addition to the trade associations, all major feed manufacturers and all of the existing plants in the breakfast cereal and wheat starch industries were contacted. Specifically, contact was made with ten feed manufacturers, 26 companies manufacturing cereal at 47 plants, and six companies producing wheat starch and gluten at seven plants in the United States. Retrieval forms with usable data were returned by 16 cereal plants and six wheat starch plants.

Refuse Act Permit Program (RAPP) applications to the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers for discharges to navigable waters under the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 were also used as a limited source of data. These data included the identification of the plant, water usage, the number of waste discharge points, the volumes of discharge, and the character and quantity of waste water. RAPP applications for 21 animal feed mills and six cereal manufacturing plants were reviewed. All of the feed mill discharges and five of the six cereal plant discharges were non-contact cooling water. Only one application from a cereal plant recorded a direct discharge of process waste water to navigable waters.

During the study, requests for information on waste discharges were made to municipalities receiving waste waters from plants within the industries covered. Twelve municipalities responded with data on 13 breakfast cereal and wheat starch plant discharges. Included were usable sampling data records for ten of the plants. EPA EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS GUIDELINE STUDY OF THE GRAIN MILLING INDUSTRY - PHASE II

by Sverdrup & Parcel and Associates, Inc. Information Retrieval Guide October, 1973

GENERAL

Т

ΤŤ

- A. Company name
- Corporate address в.
- Corporate contact C.
- D. Address of plant reporting
- E. Plant contact
- MANUFACTURING PROCESS CHARACTERIZATION
- Manufacturing process pertinent to this study A.
- Other processes at this plant Β. Chief raw materials
- D. Products

C.

- E. Plant Capacity
 - 1. Annual raw material processed
 - 2. Average daily raw material processed
- F. Operating schedule (hours/day and days/year)
- G. Number of employees
- H. Age of plant

- III WATER REQUIREMENTS
 - Volume and sources Α.
 - B. Uses (including volumes)
 - 1. Process
 - 2. Cooling
 - 3. Boilers
 - 4. Flant cleanup
 - 5. Sanitary
 - 6. Other (specify)
 - C. Available information on raw water quality
 - D. Water treatment provided
 - 1. Volume treated
 - 2. Describe treatment system and operation
 - 3. Type and quantity of chemicals used
 - E. Available information on treated water quality
 - F. Fate of water used (including volumes)
 - 1. Municipal sewer
 - 2. Evaporation
 - .3. Consumed in process
 - 4. On-site treatment facility
 - 5. Discharge to stream, river, etc.
 - 6. Other (specify)
 - G. Has a Corps of Engineers' or NPDES permit to discharge into navigable waters been applied for at this plant?

FIGURE 1 DATA RETRIEVAL FORM

DATA RETRIEVAL FORM

PROCESS WASTEWATER	
--------------------	--

- A. Volumes and sources
- B. Does the source, volume, or character of the wastewater vary depending on the type or quality of product?
- C. How do wastewater characteristics change during start-up and shutdown as compared to normal operation?
- D. Available data on characteristics of untreated wastewaters from individual sources and combined plant effluent. (Not just single average numbers, but actual data or weekly or monthly summaries).
 - 2. BOD
 - 3. COD
 - 4. Suspended solids
 - Dissolved solids
 - 6. Total solids
 - 7. Temperature
 - 8. Alkalinity and acidity
 - 9. Phosphorus
 - 10. Chlorides
 - 11. Sulfates
 - 12. Oil and grease
 - 13. Other (all available information should be provided)
 - E. Wastewater treatment
 - 1. Identify wastewater sources and volumes going to treatment facility.
 - 2. Reason for treatment

- E. Wastewater treatment (cont)
 - 3. Describe treatment system and operation
 - 4. Type and quantity of chemicals used, if any
 - Available data on treated wastewater quality (Same items as in Section III. D. above)
 - 6. Describe any operating difficulties encountered
 - 7. Results of any laboratory or pilot plant studies
 - 8. Known toxic materials in wastewater
- F. Wastewater recycle
 - 1. Is any wastewater recycled presently?
 - Can wastewater be recycled? What are the restraints on recycling.
- G. In-plant methods of water conservation and/or waste reduction
- H. Identify any air pollution, noise, or solid wastes resulting from treatment or other control methods. How are solid wastes disposed of?
- I. Cost information related to water pollution control
 - 1. Treatment plant and/or equipment and year of expenditure
 - 2. Operation (personnel, maintenance, etc.)
 - 3. Power costs
 - 4. Estimated treatment plant and equipment life
- J. Water pollution control methods being considered for future application

COOLING WATER

- A." Process steps requiring cooling water
- B. Heat rejection requirements (Btu/hour)

FIGURE 1 (CONTD.)

.

CARLES STREET, CONTRACT, CONTRACT, CONTRACT, CONTRACT, CONTRACT, CONTRACT, CONTRACT, CONTRACT, CONTRACT, CONTRA

C. Type of cooling system, i.e., once-through or recirculating -

ેર

j,

an ter gan.

Art States and States

1724

5

D. Cooling tower

West California and State

S.

, in a

75

\$0

10

ខ្លាំង ^រំពុងខ្ល

- 1. Recirculating flow rate
- 2. Blowdown rate
- 3. Type and quantity of chemicals used
- 4. Blowdown water quality
- E. Once-through water quality
 - 1. Flow rate
 - 2. Type and quantity of chemicals used
 - 3. Discharge water temperature
- VI BOILER
 - A. Capacity
 - B. Blowdown flow rate and characteristics

FIGURE 1 (CONTD.)

STRATING CONCLUSION

Plant visits provided information about the manufacturing process, water usage within the plant, sources of wastes, in-plant waste water control, and waste treatment. A total of 17 plants were visited in the following subcategories:

Industry Total Plants Visited

Animal Feed		5
Breakfast Cereal	•	10
Wheat Starch	· ·	2

In addition to the above visits, personnel at plants in each subcategory were contacted by telephone for information on the industry and waste water handling and disposal. Detailed data were obtained during these conversations consisting of product description, size and operation schedule of the plant, quantity of water used, waste water quantities, and waste treatment.

Plant sampling was provided at a total of five plants with emphasis focused on plants having representative waste loads and waste treatment facilities. Specifically, one wheat starch and four breakfast cereal plants were sampled during the study. The sampling program provided data on the raw and treated waste streams. It also provided verification of data on waste water characteristics provided by municipalities and other individual plants.

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THE INDUSTRIES

The animal feed, breakfast cereal, and wheat starch industries all utilize products from the basic grain processing mills for raw materials. Grain and grain milling by-products are the chief ingredients in animal feed. The manufacture of breakfast cereals utilizes both milled and whole grain, particularly corn, wheat, oats, and rice. Wheat starch manufacturing employs wheat flour as its raw material.

Animal Feed Industry

Of all the cereal grain produced in the U.S., only about 15 percent is used as food for human consumption. The vast majority of the grain harvested is used to feed poultry and livestock.

The formula feed business is a relatively new one, having its beginnings late in the 19th Century. Prior to that time, farmers and livestock growers fed their animals grain. A need to merchandise by-products from the food industry, coupled with increasing knowledge of animal nutrition, led to the origin of the feed industry. Blatchford's in Waukegan, Illinois, the oldest feed manufacturing company in continuous operation in the U.S., began operating in 1875. Early mills were located near rivers and centers of population to take advantage of cheap transportation, but since World War II, trucking has changed the economics of the industry. Today, the large mills have decentralized, and feed manufacturers operate smaller mills near their markets.

In the past, so-called "complete feeds" were predominantly manu-Complete feeds contain all the necessary ingredients for factured. livestock, including grain, protein, drugs, vitamins, and minerals. the late 1920's, feed concentrates containing protein, trace In minerals, and vitamins were introduced. This concentrate was ideally suited for the grain-producing areas of the country; the farmer simply mixed it with his own grain on the farm. Production increased considerably since of feed concentrates hasits introduction and accounts for about one-third of present total feed A typical listing of concentrate ingredients might include tonnage. soybean meal, animal and fishery by-products (protein sources), fat, minerals, and trace quantities of antibiotics and other substances for disease and parasite prevention and growth stimulation.

In the last decade, many manufacturers of drugs and feed ingredients have developed combinations of drugs and vitamins known as premixes to which protein and grain must be added. A typical complete feed formula would include about two-thirds grain, 25 to 35 percent concentrate, and 5 to 10 percent premix ingredients. Nearly all feed manufacturers offer complete and concentrate feeds; a few offer premixes.

สหรรรม เหมือนว่าแน่น และและ เหมดและ เป็นสุดได้ ให้เหมดและ เป็นได้ได้เหมดไ

a nga pangangan guna

್ಷ ಕರ್ಮಿಸಿಕ ಸಂಗಿತ್ತೆ. - ನ್ರಾಜನಿಕ ಕ್ರಿಸಿಸಿಕ ಸಂಗಿತ್ತೆ ಸಂಗಿತ್ರ ಸಂಗಿತ್ರ - ನ್ರಾಜನಿಕ ಕ್ರಿಸಿಸಿಕ ಸಂಗಿತ್ರ ಸಂಗಿತ್ರ ಸಂಗಿತ್ರ ಸಂಗಿತ್ರ ಸಂಗಿತ್ರ ಸಂಗಿತ એક અને સામ્યુપ્રમું પ્રાયંત્ર્યું ન પ્રાપ્ત તેમ્લ્લુનું પ્રાપ્ત અને પ્રાપ્ત તે પ્ર અનુસ્કૃતિ મુખ્યત્વે આ ગુજરાત કે અને પ્રાપ્ત કરે કે વા વસ્તર તે કે વ The manufacture of formula feed represents 12 percent of total farm production, and in agriculture ranks fifth behind cattle, feed grains, dairy products, and pigs. Usage of formula feed in the livestock industry is distributed as follows:

Poultry	58%
Dairy Cattle	28
Swine	8
Work Animals	3
Range and Beef Cattle	. 2
Sheep and Goats	<u> 1 </u>
	1000

The animal feed industry has undergone tremendous growth since its inception some 80 years ago; it is now the tenth largest industry in the U.S. There are presently over 6000 feed manufacturers, plus related industries such as drug, chemical, and mineral suppliers. Consumption of formula feed increased 37 percent from 1940 to 1966, current production is approximately 45 million tons annually, and representing over \$3 billion in sales. Today, about 40 percent of the feed consumed by animals in the U.S. is formula feed. There are about 8000 feed mills in the country individually presently producing at least 907 kkg (1000 tons) of feed per year. Daily production of feed mills ranges from 3.6 to 1800 kkg (4 to 2000 tons).



. 111

The basic production sequence in the manufacture of animal feed is the accompanying diagram. The various ingredients are shown in first received and stored. Whole grains are often ground or cracked before use, but cleaning is not performed and water is only used as necessary to raise the moisture content prior to grinding. Next, the ingredients are mixed in proper proportion, after which some of product may be removed as a meal form of feed. A pelleting +he operation follows, in which steam is added and the mixture is forced through dies. The pellets are cooled and dried, then either packaged in pellet form or rolled and packaged as a granular feed. Finished feed is transported from the plant in packages or in bulk shipments.

An and an an in the second states and she

Breakfast Cereal Industry

Man has been aware of the food value of grains since ancient times, but prior to the turn of this century, grain was only consumed in a cooked form. Thus early Americans boiled and baked grain into porridges and breads. Around the mid 1800's, the Scottish dish of oatmeal became popular in the U.S. An American innovation was added when the oats were rolled rather than ground. Rolled oats were first sold as a health food, but eventually developed into a grocery store staple. It was also found that other grains, such as cracked wheat and rolled wheat, could be prepared in the same manner.

The first ready-to-eat cereal was probably "Granula", developed by Dr. James C. Jackson in 1863 at Dansville, New York. Sold as a health food, Granula was produced by baking a coarse whole meal dough in thin sheets until brittle, breaking the sheets into chunks, baking again, and then grinding the chunks into granules.

Four discoveries or developments near the turn of the century led to the ready-to-eat cereal industry. The first occurred in 1893 when Henry D. Perkey of Denver produced and marketed a shredded wheat product. The following year W. K. Kellogg and his brother, Dr. John H. Kellogg, developed the flaked cereal. It was first used at the Battle Creek Sanitarium as a health food, then later the product was mass-marketed by W. K. Kellogg. In 1897, Charles W. Post produced a ground cereal product in Battle Creek called "Grape Nuts". The fourth development came in 1902 when Alexander Anderson produced the first puffed cereal.

The cereal industry has grown considerably since then. Today over one and one-half billion pounds of cereal are produced annually; sales are approximately \$1 billion each year. Seventy-five million servings of cereal are consumed each day in the U.S., which amounts to eight pounds of cereal per person per year. There are some 26 companies operating 47 plants in the U.S., with the major plants located as shown in Figure 2. Plant capacities range from 4.5 to almost 680 kkg (10,000 to 1,500,000 lbs) of cereal per day.

Breakfast cereals can be broadly classified as either hot cereals or ready-to-eat cereals. Hot cereals require cooking before serving and are normally made from oats or wheat. Basic processes in the

The let all the set

farm feed the

manu-

s for

rals.

trace

Was

the

its

feed

clude

fat,

ances

ients

mixes

rcent

y all

offer

feed

ction

e its ry in plus iers. 1966, ally, t of e are ually Daily 2000



manufacture of hot cereals include cleaning, milling, sizing, and enrichment for wheat; and cleaning, roasting, sizing, de-hulling, steaming, and rolling for oats. Manufacturing methods are described in more detail later in this section.

A set of the set of the

المحرور مهديكه منه المقترحة المهاجع في قتر محموم علم المحموم المعام من المراجع المراجع الماري المراجع الماري الم

A wide variety of ready-to-eat cereals is manufactured in the U.S., and production methods vary depending on the type of cereal. Raw materials include whole grain wheat and rice, corn grits, oat flour, sugar, and other minor ingredients. The general processes involved include ingredient mixing, cooking, tempering or drying, forming (either flaking or extrusion), toasting or puffing, and vitamin addition. The accompanying diagram outlines a basic cereal manufacturing operation, although the particular type of cereal being produced will dictate which specific unit processes are utilized.



CEREAL MANUFACTURING

Wheat Starch Industry

Today the wheat starch industry might be more properly termed the wheat gluten and starch industry, as gluten presently brings a higher economic return than starch. Basically, wheat starch manufacture involves the physical separation and refinement of the starch and gluten (protein) components of wheat flour.

The preparation of starch from cereal grains was carried on in ancient times. The Egyptians as early as 3000 B.C. used starch for sizing papyrus, and a Roman treatise written in 184 B.C. describes a method of preparing starch from wheat by fermentation. Wheat was the major source of starch from primitive times until the late 18th Century, when cheaper sources of starch were sought. Potatoes and finally corn replaced wheat as major starch sources.

The first American wheat starch plant was built in 1807 in Utica, New York. Many plants were constructed in the early 1800's, but by the end of the century, all but a few had been converted to corn starch plants. In 1895 there were five wheat starch plants utilizing 1100 bushels of wheat per day and producing 8.3 million pounds of wheat starch annually. By comparison, 16 corn starch plants were in operation at that time producing 200 million pounds of corn starch each year, and 64 potato starch plants were producing 24 million pounds of starch per year.

Production within the industry has increased considerably during the last 80 years, although the number of manufacturing plants has remained almost constant. Four wheat starch plants were operating in 1960. At present, there are seven plants in operation in the U.S., three of which were producing starch in 1960. Current wheat flour consumption in the industry is about 113,400 kkg (250 million pounds) annually. Table 1 lists the companies and plants in the U.S. presently producing wheat starch and gluten, and the plant locations are shown in Figure 3. Plant capacities range from 23 to 68 kkg/day (50,000 to 150,000 lbs/day). Early wheat starch manufacturing processes employed whole wheat as the raw material. As shown in Table 2, starch constitutes about 64 percent of the whole wheat grain.

Table 2

Composition of Whole Wheat

~	· ·		CH 7 M
Starc	1		64.1%
Prote	in		12.1
Moist	ire		13.6
Sugar	gum,	etc.	3.8
Fibre	-, -		2.6
Ash			1.6
Fat	1. 1.		1.7

Two processes involving whole wheat were used during the early 1800's, the Halle process and the Alsatian process. In the Halle process, the wheat was steeped until soft, drained and crushed between rollers, and fermented in large vats. The fermentation softened and partially dissolved the gluten, allowing the starch to be washed out. The Halle process produced a 50 to 60 percent starch yield, but had several disadvantages. These included the long time offensive odors which were produced during period required, fermentation, and the fact that gluten could not be recovered in a commercial form. The Alsatian or Hungarian process was similar to. the Halle process except that the fermentation step was excluded. This increased the difficulty of washing the starch from the gluten. The process yielded 35 to 45 percent first grade or A-starch and 10 to 20 percent second grade or B-starch. If gluten recovery was desired, a long washing process was required, and the yield was only 5 to 6 percent.

16

ા પ્રશ્નામાં આવ્યું છે. તે કે બાદન માન છે તે પ્રશ્નાને પ્રદુષ્ટ પ્રશ્ના પ્રશ્નામાં આવ્યું છે. તે કે પ્રાપ્ય કે આવ્યું છે છે આવ્યું છે છે. આવ્યું છે આવ્યું છે આવ્યું છે છે છે છે આવ્યું છે આવ્યું છે છે. આવ્યું છે છે છે આવ્યુ આવ્યું છે છે આવ્યું છે છે આવ્યું છે છે. આવ્યું છે છે. આવ્યું છે છે છે છે છે છે છે છે

าร และการการสารสินสมสร้างเสียงเหลือเหลือสินส์ ที่สุนการการสารสินสารารไปไปการการไปได้การการได้มีการการไปสายสุน สาราวารการการไปสาราวารสารสินส์ 1951 รูปสารสินส์ สินส์ เป็นสินส์ (1968) เราะ เป็นสินสารารไปได้มีการการได้สินสารา การการการการสารสินส์ (1955) เป็นสารสินสาราวารการสินส์ (1955) เป็นสินสารารการไปได้ได้ได้ (1955) Table 1

Wheat Starch Companies and Plants

1.000

Centennial Mills 1464 N.W. Front Avenue Portland, Oregon 97208 Plants: Portland, Oregon 97208 Spokane, Washington 99220

ganakina kuto data data

ارى بىنى يورد ئورى بۇل ، ئەتغىرە بى بورىغىيەرمىيەر ، ب

llion tarch ounds

ucing

g the has ating the wheat 11ion n the plant 23 to

tarch rial.

the

arly

lalle

ısheđ

tion to arch time ring n a

r to. ded.

ten.

d 10

Was

only

General Mills Chemicals, Inc. 4620 West 77th Street Minneapolis, Minnesota 55435 Plant: Keokuk, Iowa 52632

Keever Division, A. E. Staley 2200 Eldorado Street Decatur, Illinois 62525 Plant: Columbus, Ohio 43207

> 1999 (n. 1997) 1999 (n. 1997)

> > - - - i

17

Loma Linda Foods 11503 Pierce Street Riverside, California 92505 Plant: Riverside, California 92505

and the second second

an a carrest and a star and the second star and the second star and the second star and the second star a star a

Here in a group of

. .

Jankar

Midwest Solvents 1300 Main Street Atchison, Kansas 66002 Plant: Atchison, Kansas 66002

New Era Milling Company P. O. Box 958 Arkansas City, Kansas 67005 Plant: Arkansas City, Kansas 67005

1.84.194 (1.1.1.194) (1.1.194) (1.1.194) (1.1.194) (1.1.194)

....

.

senar



Most wheat starch plants operating today employ the Martin process or a modification thereof. This technique, which uses wheat flour rather than whole wheat, was proposed in 1835 and was widely used by the end of the 19th Century. The diagram below outlines the basic processes involved.



WHEAT STARCH AND GLUTEN MANUFACTURING

Wheat flour is first mixed with water to form a dough. The dough is then kneaded and washed to separate the starch and gluten. The gluten is dewatered, dried, and packaged, while the starch stream or so-called "starch milk" is screened, centrifuged, dewatered, dried and packaged. The Martin process generally yields 10 to 15 percent gluten, 45 to 55 percent first grade starch, and 12 to 20 percent second grade starch. Its main disadvantage lies in the relatively high percentage of gluten-contaminated B-starch produced.

PRODUCTION PROCESSES

The production methods used in manufacturing animal feeds, breakfast cereals, and wheat starch differ greatly as summarized earlier in this section. The following discussion provides a more detailed description of the manufacturing processes employed in each industry subcategory.

Animal Feed

The manufacture of animal feeds, shown in Figure 4, begins with the receiving and storage of raw materials. These ingredients might include grains such as corn, barley, milo, and oats; various meals including soybean, cotton seed, meat, and bone; and grain milling by-products such as wheat middlings and corn gluten. Dry additives, including salt, minerals, drugs, phosphorus, and vitamins, and liquid ingredients such as fat, molasses, and fish solubles are also used in feed formulas. Grains receive drycleaning and separation with scalpers and magnets prior to storage. Whole grains are often ground, cracked, or crimped prior to feed mixing. A small amount of



water is sometimes added to the grain for dust control during grinding, which is usually performed with hammermills.

Mixing is the next step in feed manufacture. Ingredients are weighed and then fed into a mixer in a ratio based on the particular feed formula. A representative medium-sized plant produces 200 to 300 different feed blends. Material from the mixer is a meal or mash and may be marketed in this form.

A pelleting operation follows mixing if pellet or granular forms of feed are desired. Pelleting is an extrusion process in which the meal is steamed and then forced through dies. The resulting pellets are 1/8 to 3/4" in diameter and length. They must be cooled and dried after extrusion. This is done in pellet coolers through which air is blown at room temperature. Feeds with a high molasses content are dusted with bentonite or cottonseed meal to prevent caking. The pellets are then sized, with fines and oversize particles being returned to the extrusion operation. Pellets can be packaged or bulk shipped. If the pellets are to be reduced in size, they are passed through a roller mill with corrugated rolls to produce granular feed or crumbles. Again a screening operation follows, with fines and overs being returned to the pellet mill. Granular feed is also either shipped in bulk or packaged.

Breakfast Cereal

ied)

A wide variety of breakfast cereals are manufactured in the U.S.; more than 100 different items, brands, and sizes of ready-to-eat and hot cereals can be found on a grocery shelf. The chief hot cereals include wheat or farina and oatmeal. Ready-to-eat varieties are made from one or more of the basic cereal grains, corn, wheat, rice, and oats, and may be flaked, puffed, extruded, shredded, coated, or non-coated. A variety of production methods are employed in the manufacture of cereals, with different methods often associated with a particular type or even brand of cereal.

Hot Cereal

Hot wheat cereal or farina is comprised basically of wheat middlings - chunks of wheat endosperm free of bran and germ. Middlings are intermediate size particles produced in the milling of whole wheat. Typical hard wheat on the average yields about 30 percent middlings. The only processes involved in the manufacture of hot wheat cereal sizing and vitamin and mineral enrichment. are Occasionally flavoring ingredients such as malt or cocoa are mixed in with the One company employs a pre-cooking operation to produce an farina. This operation involves addition of instant product. steam. extrusion, and cooling or drying.

The second major type of hot cereal is oatmeal or rolled oats. The manufacture of rolled oats is basically a dry milling operation. Whole oats are received, drycleaned and stored. A dry roasting operation follows, during which the moisture content is reduced to six percent, the starch is partially dextrinized, and the hulls become fragile. The oats are then cooled, sized, and de-hulled, leaving the inner berry or "groat". Rollers are then employed to produce flakes from the groats. Cutting of the groats may precede rolling to produce quick cooking or instant oats. Addition of minor ingredients and packaging follow.

the state of the second se

Flaked and Crisped Cereals

Corn grits, whole wheat, rice, and occasionally a combination of grains are the chief raw materials used in the manufacture of flaked and crisped cereals. The basic production process is shown in Whole wheat is tempered prior to use; the other grains Figure 5. receive only dry cleaning. Flavor solution consisting of malt, salt, and other ingredients is added and the mixture is sugar, cooked under pressure with steam for a specified length of time. Α tempering or drying operation follows to reduce the moisture content. Some types of flaked cereals are extruded and dried prior Large rollers are used to produce flakes from the to flaking. individual grains or pellets. The roller spacing is set close for flaked cereals and farther apart for crisped cereals. The product is then dried and toasted in large ovens, sprayed with vitamins, and packaged. Some types of flaked and crisped cereals are sprayed with a sugar solution and dried prior to vitamin addition and packaging.

Shredded Cereals

The manufacture of shredded cereals, shown in Figure 6, begins with cleaned whole wheat. The wheat is fed in batches into steam cookers where water is added. After cooking, the water is drained and the wheat is transferred to large steel tanks where it is cooled, tempered, and becomes firm. It then passes through shredding rolls where the kernels are crushed and formed into long strands. Layers of wheat strands are cut into biscuits and toasted in an oven prior to packaging. Some types of shredded cereals receive a sugar coating and vitamins prior to packaging.

<u>Puffed Whole Grain Cereals</u>

Figure 7 depicts the operations involved in the production of puffed whole grain cereals. Wheat and rice are the primary raw materials. The grain is first preheated, then puffed by increasing and suddenly decreasing the pressure in the puffing device or "gun". The grain is dried, vitamins are applied, and the product is dried, screened, and cooled prior to packaging. Certain types of puffed whole grain cereal undergo sugar coating and ccoling operations before being packaged.

Extruded/Puffed Cereals

Oat flour and corn grits are among the chief ingredients used in the manufacture of extruded/puffed cereals, shown in Figure 8. The ingredients are mixed with water to form a dough. The dough enters a combination cooking and extrusion process, where the particular cereal's characteristic shape is produced. After the moisture

WHEAT CORN, RICE, OATS hulled, + oyed to precede f minor TEMPERING WATER WET SCRUBBER tion of CRACKING flaked own in WAŠTE grains WATER malt, ture is CONDENSED COOKING FLAVOR SOLUTION VAPORS TO SEWER ne. A oisture prior com the EXTRUSION se for DRYING OR product TEMPERING ns, and ed with iging. DRYING FLAKING ROLLS s with ookers SUGAR nd the COATING cooled, TOASTING rolls Layers prior DRYING sugar VITAMIN ADDITION VITAMIN puffed ADDITION rials. PACKAGING ddenly grain eened, PACKAGING grain being ÷. in the The enters FIGURE 5 icular FLAKED OR CRISPED CEREAL PRODUCTION isture 23

المنعو ارسارتها يطالقن

بويبسيد بالجاجا من وكالم المبتكية أستاه الم مالحانا

أوجر العنارة والإلجازية







° K∭rfer.

content has been reduced, the cereal particles are preheated and then puffed in a fashion similar to that employed in whole grain puffing. The product is sized, sprayed with vitamins and oven toasted prior to packaging. Certain varieties receive a sugar or flavor coating before being packaged.

and a second second

يهجوا الاحصابية وليكبوك تصبك وأعرب الخليو دبوان أكان المركزة ولادادي ويدرد إلااتها والانادار الا

Extruded Cereals

Extruded cereal production processes are shown in Figure 9. Ingredients include oat and corn flours along with sugar and flavorings. The ingredients are dry mixed, then blended with water to form a dough. An extrusion process follows, producing the various cereal shapes. The product is then sized, coated with a flavor syrup, toasted, sprayed with vitamins, and packaged.

Wheat Starch

The principal raw material used in the manufacture of wheat starch and gluten is residual wheat flour known as "clears" or "second clears", comprised of grades that are unsatisfactory for the manufacture of white bread.

The first step in the process, shown in Figure 10, is dough making, where fresh water is mixed with the incoming flour. The dough is allowed to "mature" for a time and then is washed with fresh water to begin separation of the starch and gluten. The gluten, due to certain adhesive properties, adheres to itself in a sticky mass. The starch granules, lacking these properties, are separated and remain suspended in the flow of water. The separated mass of gluten is kneaded and again washed to effect more complete starch removal. After removal of the starch, the gluten is either spray or drum dried, sifted, and packed. Wheat gluten, with a 75 to `85 percent protein content, is used extensively as an ingredient in bakery produce, particularly bread, to increase the protein content. About 35 percent of the protein in the gluten is in the form of the amino acid, glutamic acid. If the gluten is hydrolyzed with hydrochloric acid, glutamic acid as a crystalline solid is obtained. Separation and conversion with sodium hydroxide produces a product known as monosodium glutamate, which is used as a flavoring agent.

The starch-laden stream from the washing operation is termed the "starch milk". It is passed through coarse and fine screens crude to remove cellulose fibres. To reduce the water content prior to a thickening or pre-concentrating centrifuge is often refining, employed. Next the starch milk enters the first refining centrifuge where an initial separation of A-starch and B-starch is made. The heavier A-starch component passes on to dewatering, drying, and packing operations. The lighter B-starch component enters a second refining centrifuge which recovers additional A-starch. The Bstarch stream is then concentrated with another centrifuge, dewatered, dried, and packed. Wheat starch has widespread use in the food industry. Lower grade or B-starch finds uses in textile manufacturing, as foundry starch, and in adhesives.





- Construction and a second second
WASTE WATER CONSIDERATIONS IN THE INDUSTRIES

Animal feed manufacturing plants utilize little or no process water and generate no process waste waters. Water is used in steam generation, non-contact cooling of pellet mills, and occasionally for dust control during corn grinding. The only waste waters generated are from auxiliary operations and include boiler blowdown, spent cooling water, and wastes from boiler feed water treatment systems.

Sector and the sector and

1.41.1.4814

and the strength of the streng

Hot cereal manufacturing basically involves dry milling and blending operations. Water is sometimes used for tempering and for raising product moisture content, but no process waste waters are generated.

Water is used quite extensively in ready-to-eat cereal manufacturing plants. The various operations where water is used include grain tempering, flavor solution make-up, cooking, extrusion, and coating. Substantial quantities of water are employed in the periodic cleanup of process and conveying equipment, and processing areas. Water is also used for cooling, flaking and forming rolls, extruders, and other equipment such as compressors, and in wet scrubbers for air pollution control in some plants.

Most of the unit operations in ready-to-eat cereal plants do not result in process waste waters. Only the cooking operation in shredded cereal manufacture generates a continuous or semicontinuous Other wastes from this segment of the industry are waste stream. primarily from wet cleanup operations. Condensed vapors from cooking operations, wet scrubber discharges, and spent cooling waters may also contribute relatively minor quantities of waste Total waste water flows vary from 189 to 568 cu m/day water. (50,000 to 150,000 gpd) for small plants and up to 3785 cu m/day (1,000,000 gpd) for large plants. BOD5 concentrations are moderate to high, ranging from 400 to 2500 mg/l. Suspended solids concentrations vary in the range of 100 to 400 mg/1 with the higher concentrations generally being discharged from the larger plants.

At present, only one cereal plant has a direct discharge of process wastes to a receiving water, and that waste discharge is not treated. The municipal sewer system is being expanded and will collect these wastes for treatment in the near future. All other cereal plants studied discharge their wastes to municipal systems. One plant provides pretreatment, and two others are in the process of constructing pretreatment facilities.

In wheat starch manufacturing, process water is used for dough making, dough washing, backwashing of screens, and countercurrent washing of centrifuge discharges. Water is also used for plant cleanup and auxiliary systems such as boiler feed and cooling. Waste waters are generated from screening, starch milk thickening, and plant cleanup operations. The volumes are moderate, ranging from 265 to 606 cu m/day (70,000 to 160,000 gpd). These waste waters are high in BOD5 and suspended solids and consist primarily of fine starch particles not recovered in the manufacturing process.

a da interna da como esta da es

กรับการที่สุดสุดสิตระระสะุลสะุลส์การ์ م محمد المراجع six of the seven plants discharge their wastes to municipal systems. One of these six plants provides pretreatment, and another is ter building a pretreatment facility. The seventh plant uses its starch effluent in a distillery operation from which there is a direct eam discharge to a receiving water. This plant is constructing **11**y a ers treatment plant for the distillery wastes. wn, ent ling. ing ed. -1 ing. ain ng. nup is and air not in ous are rom ing ste 'day day ate ids her ess not **ill** her ms. ess ugh ent ant ng. ng, ing ste ily ss. 31

SECTION IV

INDUSTRY CATEGORIZATION

This study of the grain milling industry covers the processing of milled grain into animal feed, breakfast cereals for human consumption, and wheat starch and gluten. After considering various factors, it was concluded that the industry should be subcategorized into several discrete segments for purposes of developing effluent limitations. These subcategories are as follows:

- 1. Animal feed manufacturing
- 2. Hot cereal manufacturing
- 3. Ready-to-eat cereal manufacturing
- 4. Wheat starch and gluten manufacturing

FACTORS CONSIDERED

The factors considered in developing the above subcategorization included:

- 1. Raw materials
- 2. Finished product
- 3. Production processes or methods
- 4. Size and age of production facilities
- 5. Waste water volume and characteristics
- 6. Treatability of wastes

Careful examination of all available information indicates that two of these factors, namely type of finished product and waste water characteristics, provide a meaningful basis for subcategorization of this segment of the industry, as discussed in the following paragraphs.

Raw Materials

The major raw materials used by this segment of the grain milling industry are the basic cereal grains, principally corn, wheat, oats, and rice. Other raw materials are used in varying amounts depending on the specific end product. Vitamins and other additives are used in animal feed production and large quantities of sugar or syrup may be added for certain breakfast cereals. Waste water characteristics within this industrial category do not reflect the particular raw materials employed. For example, the production of animal feeds from corn generates no waste water while the manufacture of ready-

to-eat corn cereals produces significant waste discharges. Accordingly, it was concluded that raw materials do not form a basis for subcategorization.

Finished Products

The finished products from this industry grouping vary widely and do provide a rational basis for subcategorizing the industry. The industry can be divided into animal feeds, breakfast cereals, and Not only does this grouping divide the wheat starch and gluten. industry into distinct product lines, but it also reflects waste water characteristics. Animal feed production generates no process Ready-to-eat cereal manufacturing usually yields waste waters. substantial quantities of moderate to high strength wastes. Cereal manufacture generates no process waste water, and wheat starch and gluten operations produce very high strength wastes.

The breakfast cereal industry contains two distinct subcategories, hot cereals and ready-to-eat cereals. As noted above the manufacturing operations used to produce hot cereals do not result in process waste waters as contrasted with ready-to-eat cereal production which generates waste waters from several unit operations.

The many types of ready-to-eat cereals suggest the possibility of additional subcategorization based on cereal type, such as puffed, extruded, and flaked or coated and non-coated. An examination of available waste water data indicated only one possible relationship. that being the variation of organic waste load with the percentage of cereals being sugar-coated at cereal plants. It was concluded that such a correlation may well exist, but it cannot be quantitatively defined at this time and, hence, additional subcategorization is not warranted.

One difficulty in defining characteristics of the ready-to-eat cereal industry is the fact that most plants produce a wide variety of cereal types. Some plants also produce hot cereal, and many are multiple-product plants producing items such as cake mixes, baking mixes, instant breakfast drinks, and pancake syrup. Of the readyto-eat cereal plants in the U.S., only four or five produce strictly cereals.

Production Processes

The production methods used in this industry vary widely. Animal feed manufacturing basically consists of mixing various raw materials together followed by pelleting and packaging. Cereal manufacturing is generally more complex and varies widely depending on the specific type of cereal. The unit operations will include at least some of the following: mixing, shredding, cooking, rolling, flaking, puffing, extrusion, and packaging. Wheat starch and gluten manufacturing entails yet another set of unit operations, quite distinct from those used in other segments of this industry. While it is recognized that production methods differ greatly within the

34

(a) (a) and a set of the product of the constraint of the constraint of the constraint of the set of the product of the pro

 $g = 1000 \, \mathrm{eV}^{-1}$

开 最后教授 教室建装的建筑 网络法国纪教 经温润发行 化对称分析 建酸化

主義の、「「「ない」で、「「ない」」を

grain milling industry, such methods do not in themselves provide a consistent basis for subcategorization.

الم من المعالية المحالية المعالية المعالم المعالية المعالية المعالية المعالية المعالية المعالية المعالية المعا

ر د توده سر من در مرکز از موقود باش می این می در در این مورد و می مرد در مرکز می در می مرکز می می ورد. از مرکز می مرکز می مرکز می مرکز در موجود می مرکز می مرکز می مرکز می مرکز می در مرکز می مرکز می مرکز می می می

size and Age of Production Facilities

The available data provides no evidence to support subcategorization of this industry based on age or size of plants. Relationships between waste loads and plant size or age may exist, but the information gathered during this study does not indicate а correlation except for wheat starch manufacturing. In that segment, a general trend of increasing waste loads with increasing plant age The waste loads per unit of raw material and capacity is indicated. fairly vary within narrow range, however, making а а subcategorization on this basis impractical and unwarranted.

Waste Water Characteristics

25.

sis

do

rhe

and

the

ste

ess

lds

eal and

es,

nu-

in

ro-

Óf

ed,

ip,

age led

be nal

eat

ety

are

ly-

tly

ing

of

•

waste water characteristics, in conjunction with finished products, form the basis for the subcategorization detailed previously in this document. Animal feed and hot cereal manufacturing do not produce process waste waters and are thereby clearly distinguished from the remaining two subcategories. Both ready-to-eat cereal and wheat starch production generate organic type wastes; the very high strength of the wheat starch waste waters (6000 to 14,000 mg/1 of BOD5) merits a separate subcategory. Ready-to-eat cereals normally generate waste waters with BOD5 concentrations of 400 to 2500 mg/1. This range is representative of small plants and large plants, correspondingly.

<u>Treatability of Wastes</u>

All of the process waste waters generated by various segments of this industry are amenable to conventional physical and biological treatment systems of the criteria are similar and treatability is not a satisfactory basis for subcategorization. Supplemental nutrients (nitrogen and phosphorus) are required for effective biological treatment of ready-to-eat cereal process waste waters, as well as pH control for starch waste.

INTRODUCTION

The industry subcategories covered by this document indicate a wide range of process water requirements and waste water characteristics. The animal feed industry, with little or no process water use, generates no process waste waters. Water use in the breakfast cereal industry varies from virtually none in hot cereal manufacture to substantial amounts in large ready-to-eat cereal plants. Wheat starch plants do not require large quantities of process water, but they do produce high-strength waste waters.

This section presents a detailed discussion of water use, individual process and total plant waste water characteristics, and factors that might influence the nature of the waste waters generated. The information presented has been collected from industrial sources, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers permit applications, municipal sampling data records, literature, and the results of a series of sampling visits to selected plants in each industrial subcategory. The sources of data are described in more detail in Section III.

In general, information contact cooling water, boiler blowdcwn, and water treatment plant wastes has been excluded from the following discussion. These auxiliary activities are common to many industries, and the individual practices at any given plant usually do not reflect conditions that are unique to the grain milling industry. The types of treatment employed for cooling water systems, boiler feed water, and process water vary widely throughout the industry and depend on such factors as raw water characteristics, availability of surface, ground, or city water, individual company preferences, and other considerations not related to the basic nature of the industry. Separate guidelines for auxiliary wastes common to many industries will be proposed by EPA at a later date.

ANIMAL FEED MANUFACTURING

The processing of various grains, grain milling by-products, and other materials into prepared animal feeds requires only small volumes of process water. The two main areas of water use in a feed mill are boiler operation for steam generation and non-contact cooling of processing equipment such as pellet mills. Steam is required for softening the meal and raising the moisture content prior to pelleting (see Figure 4 in Section III of this document). No water is discharged as a liquid from this operation. Only water vapor results from the pellet cooling and drying operation.

Waste waters generated by animal feed producing plants include boiler blowdown, non-contact cooling water, and wastes from boiler feed water treatment, such as ion exchange regeneration wastes. No

36

and the state of the second

1.443-1.128字的第一

process waste waters are discharged and, hence, this subcategory can be termed a "dry" industry.

HOT CEREAL MANUFACTURING

In general, only dry milling and blending operations are involved in the manufacture of hot cereals such as farina and rolled oats. Water is used for grain tempering and for raising product moisture during manufacture, but no waste waters result from these operations.

READY-TO-EAT CEREAL MANUFACTURING

Water Use

wide ics.

use,

fast ture

heat but

lual

ors. The

es, ing

ing

The

on-

ant

ese

the

ect pes

er,

on ce,

her

ry.

les

nd 11

ed

ct

is

nt

) .

er

le

 \mathbf{r}

ΝŌ

There are several areas of water use in ready-to-eat cereal manufacturing. A large proportion of the total water consumption of a plant is due to wet cleanup and washing operations, but several of the processing steps also require fresh water.

Many areas of a ready-to-eat cereal plant receive wet wash-downs or cleanup, including certain types of process equipment and specific processing areas. Equipment that is washed on a regular basis includes cookers for flaked and crisped cereals, flavor making or brewing tanks, ingredient and syrup mixing tanks, coating equipment such as rotating drums and spray nozzles, and belt conveyors. One plant utilizes a continuous stream of spent cooling water to wash conveyor belts and floor areas under flaked cereal cookers. The waste stream is discharged to the sewer.

Specific processing areas that are washed include diked floor areas under vitamin and sugar coating equipment, toasting ovens, conveyor belts, and ingredient mixing equipment. Dry collection of product spillage for subsequent use as feed is practiced to a greater extent in some plants than in others. A few plants have vacuum systems for this purpose. General washing of floors and walls is also carried out in most ready-to-eat cereal plants. Floors are either rinsed or mopped, and walls are occasionally scrubbed, particularly tiled surfaces around processing areas. Detergents are generally used, and some plants also use sanitizing agents in their cleanup operations.

Water is added to the product to increase the moisture content in several of the processing steps in cereal manufacturing. These steps include grain tempering, cooking operations, and extrusion operations. Except for the cooking operation in shredded cereal manufacture, the added moisture remains with the product until it is released as a vapor in a drying operation. Water is also used in coating of cereals with vitamins. In most plants, water is added to a dry vitamin mixture to form a solution which is then sprayed on the cereal. Some plants first spray the product with water and then spray the vitamins on in a dry form. The water enables the vitamins to adhere to the cereal.

Some ready-to-eat cereal plants use wet scrubbers for air pollution control. Certain processes such as cooking, extruding, coating, and puffing can produce moist vapors containing particulates. Typical flows of fresh water or spent cooling water into a wet scrubber can range from 0.32 to 0.63 liters/sec (5 to 10 gal/min).

Flaking rolls, forming rolls, cookers, extruders, air compressors, heat exchangers, air conditioning units, and other select pieces of equipment used in cereal manufacturing require cooling water when in operation. One plant withdraws water from a river for some of its cooling needs. Other plants use either municipal supplies or onsite wells. Some plants have separate non-contact cooling water discharges to receiving waters, while others combine spent cooling water with process and sanitary wastes and discharge to municipal systems.

Steam generation in cereal plants also consumes water. An average plant may use up to 75.7 to 113.6 cu m/day (20,000 to 30,000 gpd) of water for boiler feed.

Total water use in the ready-to-eat cereal industry ranges from 757 to 15,140 cu m/day (200,000 to 4,000,000 gpd) per plant. On a product basis, cereal plants use 8.3 to 25 cu m/day (1000 to 3000 gal/1000 lbs) of cereal produced. Interestingly, the larger volumes generally correspond to larger plants employing once-through cooling systems.

Waste Water Characteristics

Other than total raw waste data, information was obtained on only one individual process waste stream. This was the discharge from the cooking operation in shredded cereal manufacturing. Only four plants in the country produce this type of cereal, and shredded cereals are a small proportion of total production at one of these In the grain cooking operation, water is discharged after plants. each batch of grain is cooked. The volume of discharge is approximately 1.1 cu m/day (132 gal/1000 lbs) of grain cooked. Several samples of this discharge from a cereal plant were collected after passing through a screening operation. High concentrations of BOD5, COD, and dissolved and suspended solids were indicated as shown in Table 3.

> не Астрий

and the first of a state of the state of the

LAND CONTRACTOR OF THE STATE OF A POINT OF THE

的话,你就你你这多数的装饰的你的。" 这话你们,你你的最高级 网络斯拉兰古伦

38

er lighter a series for the series and a series of

الوائدي والإركارة فأشار والمطلوبات الطائر ويستوقيه السواري ترمين Table 3 201 and Shredded Cereal Cooker Discharge cal Waste Water Characteristics After Screening can l Range, mg/1 rs, of BOD5 3414 - 3504 COD 5921 - 6040 in 1558 - 1572 Suspended solids its Dissolved solids 3800 - 7619 on-70.5 - 95.1 Organic nitrogen as N ter Nitrite nitrogen as N 0.07 - 0.37inq pal pН 4.1 -6.1 .71 -74 Temperature (°C) This waste is highly variable in strength, with earlier sampling age by the plant indicating BOD_5 concentrations as high as 9000 mg/1. of Most of the data accumulated during this study relate to the total 757 raw waste characteristics from ready-to-eat cereal plants. Summary from 11 plants are presented in Table 4. The wastes can data а generally be characterized as medium to high in organic strength and 000 The BOD5 varies widely, from 331 2500 mes volume. to mq/1. correspondingly, COD levels range from 804 to 4434 mg/1. ing Average suspended solids concentrations in the total waste streams vary from 80 to 1073 mg/1, although the levels at most plants are in the range of 150 to 400 mg/l. The average pH of the waste streams varies from 6.2 to 8.6, although the pH of individual samples can nly vary over a much wider range, from 4.5 up to 10. rom our Limited data on phosphorus and nitrogen indicate low levels for most ded Typically the wastes from ready-to-eat cereal plants may be ese plants. deficient in nitrogen and phosphorus for biological treatment. ter -xo The information contained in the preceding table is presented ral in Table 5 in terms of finished product quantity, (lbs/1000 lbs) of cereal. The plant numbers in the t i.e., kg/kkg ter The plant numbers in the two tables do D5, in not correspond to one another. Waste water flows from ready-to-eat cereal plants vary from 2.5 to 9.6 cu m/day (0.30 to 1.15 gal/lb) of cereal, with an average of 5.82 cu m/day (0.70 gal/lb) (See Table 4). BOD5 in terms of finished product output ranges from 2.2 to 18.2 kg/kkg (1bs/1000 and averages 6.6 kg/kkg (lbs/1000 lbs). Limited data were lbs) available on COD, which varies from 5.7 to 42.4 kg/kkg (lbs/1000 and averages 15.7 kg/kkg (lbs/1000 lbs). Suspended solids lbs) values fall in a fairly narrow range, varying from 0.6 to 2.7 kg/kkg (lbs/1000 lbs) and averaging 1.4 kg/kkg (lbs/1000 lbs). 39

* <u>.</u>	Total Plant Raw Waste Water Characteristics Ready-To-Eat Cereal Manufacturing											
. :	· · · ·	Plant	* •	BOD.	_mg/l Range	COD, Average	mg/lRange	Susp <u>Solid</u> Average	ended s, mg/l Range	pH Average	Range	
		1	ميم ديي: ا يودين	1028	620-2200	2169	1340-4750	209	95-499	7.5	5-10	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1
		2		1761	59-6200		. –	385	13-3272			la serie de la Serie de la serie
		3	<u>البر</u> بر البر بر البر	420	135-885	2700	800-4000	200	148-348	6.2	5.9-7.0	
	4 0	4		1904	20-4852	-	-	1073	41-7712	6.2	2.2-8.1	
		5		637	174-2550	1325	575-1827	-	-	7.9	4.8-9.3	
		6		533	-970	804	-1380	80	-100	6.7	6.1-7.5	
		7		2500	1065-5220	4300	2000–9050	400	256-584	6.9	4.5-9.1	
		8	#.a	437	117-967	1415	532-3608	154	45-492	6.9	4.8-9.4	
		9	24 2443 27	611	144-2480	1010	366-1991	173	4-3935	7.1	6.6-7.7	
		10		1344	30-7800	-	-	287	14-9758	-	- 2 - 2 - 2	
1 #		11		1904	633-3811	4434	2310-9840	152	18-588	8.6	7.5-9.3	
		· · ·	••••••• •••••						, •			

Table 4

Total Plant Raw Waste Water Characteristics Ready-To-Eat Cereal Manufacturing

Table 5

Š.

Waste Water Characteristics Per Unit of Finished Product Ready-To-Eat Cereal Manufacturing

	Plant	Flo cu m/kkg	ow gal/lb	kg (lbs/l	BOD (/kkg .000 lbs)	kg (1bs/	COD /kkg 1000 lbs)		Suspend kg, _(lbs/:	ded Solids /kkg 1000 lbs)
	l	2.75	0.33		5.30		-	•		2.70
		3.25			8.07		13.88		a ga sa saga sa	1.29
	3	9.59	1.15	1	.8.21		42.40	•	· •	1.45
	4	7.84	0.94		8.28		16.96			1.59
	5	6.09	0.73		3.70		6.16			1.06
	6	5.25	0.63		2.20		14.14		لے	1.05
	7	6.50	0.78	,	9.07	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-	•		1.86
. (8	• 7.09	0.85	•	3.79		5.71	•		0:57
	9	7.34	0.88		3.20	·	10.37		. ·	1.13
	10	2.50	0.30		4.51		. 			0.97
•	Average	5.82	0.70	, ,	6.63		15.66		· .	1.37

Factors Affecting Waste Water Characteristics

As noted previously, waste waters from ready-to-eat cereal plants vary considerably in quantity and character. This variability is a function of many different factors, and attempts have been made in this study to correlate some of these factors with raw waste loads, as discussed in the following paragraphs.

<u>Age of Plant</u>

In some industries, the character of waste generated is directly related to the age of the plants. Such is not the case in ready-tocereal manufacturing, as evidenced in Figures 11 and 12, which eat relate plant age to the BOD5 and suspended solids in the total plant effluent. Data from ten plants were used to determine regression and compute correlation coefficients. The value of the lines correlation coefficient varies between zero and plus or minus one, with zero indicating no correlation and one indicating perfect fit or correlation. The positive or negative sign merely indicates the slope of the data curve. The dashed line indicates the line of regression, while the actual data points are contained within the shaded portion of the graph. The line of regression was determined by the least squares fit of the data. A correlation coefficient of -0.324 was obtained when BOD5 was plotted against plant age, and a correlation value of 0.303 was determined when suspended solids loadings were plotted versus plant age. Both values are quite low, indicating a low degree of correlation or a high degree of randomness. NO discernible relationship between the total waste load and the age of the plants was determined. In fact, several of the newer plants generate more wastes per unit of finished product than the older plants. It should be noted that the age of the plant in this industry subcategory does not accurately reflect the degree of modernization in terms of types of equipment and production methods. Most ready-to-eat cereal plants employ similar production techniques.

<u>Size of Plant</u>

Several comparisons were made between the size of plant, expressed in daily quantity of finished product, and total plant waste loads, as shown in Figures 13, 14, and 15. The total daily volume of waste water discharged was found to correlate fairly well with the plant capacity, Figure 13, as might be expected. A correlation coefficient value of 0.835 was computed. At the same time, the range of plant data reflect different process and cooling water use practices.

Data on BOD5 and suspended solids were used to generate the graphs shown in Figures 14 and 15. These figures attempt to relate plant capacity to BOD5 and suspended solids loads, respectively. The correlation coefficient values of 0.273 and 0.215 and the wide range of average plant data indicate that no definable relationships exist between plant capacity and either of these two pollutant parameters.





AVERAGE SUSPENDED SOLIDS DISCHARGED AS A FUNCTION OF AGE OF CEREAL PLANTS







700

0 100 200 300 400 500 600 (1000 kg/day)

plant capacity

FIGURE 15

AVERAGE SUSPENDED SOLIDS DISCHARGED AS A FUNCTION OF CEREAL PLANT CAPACITY

Percentage of Sugar Coated Cereal

In Figure 16, average BOD5 loadings per unit of finished product are compared with the proportion of cereal that is sugar coated at a plant. The value of the correlation coefficient is 0.629, indicating a fair degree of correlation between organic waste load and amount of cereal being coated. A general trend of increasing BOD5 with increasing percentage of cereal being coated is indicated. This might be expected, as increasing coating operations probably result in larger quantities of sugar entering the plant effluent during cleanup operations.

WHEAT STARCH AND GLUTEN MANUFACTURING

Water Use

The use of water is integral to the processes involved in starch and gluten manufacturing. Basically the manufacture of wheat starch is a wet separation of the starch and gluten components of wheat flour. Fresh water enters the operation at several different points, as shown in the process flow diagram, Figure 10 in Section III. Water is mixed with the flour to form a dough. More water is used in the washing operations which separate the starch from the gluten. In the screening steps, water is used for back-washing fibre collected on coarse screens and for countercurrent washing of the overflow (fibres) leaving the fine screens. A major water use in the process occurs in the refining of the crude starch milk. As the refining centrifuges separate the heavy component, A-starch, from the light component, B-starch, a fresh water stream washes the heavy component countercurrently. Smaller quantities of water are also used for cleanup, cooling, and boiler operation.

Total water use in wheat starch plants varies from 284 to 946 cu m/day (75,000 to 250,000 gpd) depending mainly on plant capacity. The water use per unit of raw material ranges from 10.4 to 13.0 cu m/day (1.25 to 1.56 gal/lb) of flour.

Waste Water Characteristics

In the wheat starch manufacturing process, waste waters are generated primarily from starch milk screening and centrifugation. The fibre washed from the coarse screens enters the waste stream in most Data from one plant indicate that the screening operation plants. produced a 0.17 to 0.28 liter/sec (2.7 to 4.4 gal/min) waste stream 5.0 to 6.0 percent solids. This is a volume of 15 to 24 containing cu m/day (4000 to 6300 gpd) with a total solids loading of 809 to 1494 kg/day (1783 to 3291 lb/day). Discharges from starch milk thickening and concentrating operations make up the balance of the small waste waters, although cleanup may generate additional volumes.

The remainder of the data accumulated on wheat starch operations relate to total waste flows. Summary data from six of the seven plants are included in Table 6. The seventh plant uses its starch

计一方面 空内的 人物的现在



Total Plant Raw Waste Water Characteristics Wheat Starch Manufacturing

Table 6

. .

1.1

nin e a seres e

	11 		BOT). mg/1	COD	mg/l	Sus Soli	pended ds, mg/1	I	oH	
		Plant	Average	Range	Average	Range	Average	Range	Average	Range	
		1,	10,610	-	25,040		9527	1 " * *	4.9	- ¹	
		2	6895	600–16,200	· · ·	د ۱۹۹۹ - ۱۹۹۹ - ۱۹۹۹ - ۱۹۹۹ - ۱۹۹۹ - ۱۹۹۹ - ۱۹۹۹ - ۱۹۹۹ - ۱۹۹۹ - ۱۹۹۹ - ۱۹۹۹ - ۱۹۹۹ - ۱۹۹۹ - ۱۹۹۹ - ۱۹۹۹ - ۱۹۹۹	5141	500 - 19 , 580		-	
Sec.		3	9600	8060-12,700	12,300	11,600-13,500	7500	2400-12,600	3.5	3.4-4.2	
		4	14,633	7968-22,495	35,057	1661-42,992	14,824	3468-21,442	4.6	4.2-5.7	
		5	6500	- ,.	9300	5100-12,400	4176	-	1 . 	-	
		6	6200		16,000	·	6910	- '	3.9	-	
			्रा 			:					
	425 ∰ - 31- gi Sage				4 1.	,			•		ALC: NO.
		. ·	. 	.	- 3			•		,	
	an an		• 		•	4. 2 ⁴¹				· · ·	
13 13 13 13 13 13 13 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14		· · ·	- V ⁴¹			,		:			
		••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	-						e e	`	an An An
¥. Marka an			-					:			3

waste stream as raw material feed in a distillery operation and, therefore, the plant's waste characteristics are not representative of the industry. The sixth plant listed in Table 6 also processes soybeans and has a canning operation that generates waste waters.

BOD5 values for the six plants range from 6500 to 14,600 mg/l, with the higher concentrations corresponding to larger plants. Suspended solids concentrations range from 5140 to 14,800 mg/l, and, again, the higher concentrations tend to correspond to the larger plants.

The pH of wheat starch plant effluents is generally acidic, in the range of 3 to 6, although data from one plant indicate a neutral pH. Limited data on phosphorus and nitrogen show rather high values. Total phosphorus concentrations at two plants varied from 75 to 140 mg/l, and total nitrogen values ranged from 350 to 400 mg/l. Waste temperatures varied from 70 to 80° F for the various wheat starch plants.

The information contained in the preceding table is presented in Table 7 in terms of raw material input, i.e., kg/kkg (lbs/1000 lbs) of wheat flour. The plant numbers in the two tables do not correspond to one another.

BOD5 in terms of raw material input ranges from 80 to 108 kg/kkg (lbs/1000 lbs), and averages 90.7 kg/kkg (lbs/1000 lbs). Suspended solids loads vary in the same range, from 52 to 110 kg/kkg (lbs/1000 lbs), with an average value of 75.7 kg/kkg (lbs/1000 lbs). Available COD data show a range of 116 to 260 kg/kkg (lbs/1000 lbs) averaging 198.6 kg/kkg (lbs/1000 lbs). The waste water flows are fairly consistent throughout the plants studied, varying from 7.5 to 12.5 cu m/day (0.9 to 1.5 gal/lb), Averaging 9.9 cu m/kkg (1.19 gal/lb). Generally, the waste water characteristics in the wheat starch subcategory show good correlation when expressed in loadings per unit of raw material.

Factors Affecting Waste Water Characteristics

As with waste waters from ready-to-eat cereal plants, there is some variability in waste quantity and character in the wheat starch and gluten industry. Many factors may be responsible for these variations, and the following discussion outlines several attempts to correlate certain factors with raw waste loads.

Age of Plant

Data on five wheat starch plants were utilized in an attempt to relate raw waste loads per unit of raw material to plant age. Figures 17 and 18 show the results for BOD5 and suspended solids, respectively. The correlation coefficients, 0.655 and 0.809, are quite high, indicating the possibility of a definable relationship. The regression lines indicate that waste loads generally increase with increasing plant age.

	Waste Water Characteristics Per Unit of Raw Material Wheat Starch Manufacturing								
	<u>Plant</u>	Flow <u>cu m/kkg ga</u>	<u>1/1b</u>	BOD kg/kkg (1bs/1000 1	bs)	COD kg/kkg (lbs/1000 lbs)	Susp <u>(lbs</u>	ended Solids kg/kkg /1000 lbs)	•
	1	12.42 1	•49	80.8		115.6	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	51.9	
	2	7.42 0	.89	108.4		259.6		109.8	
	3	8.50 1	.02	903		213.0	antige with	81.0	
5	4	9.75 l	.17	93.5	:	206.0		73.0	100 July 100
	5	11.67 1	40	80.5	•	-		60.1	
			 	•				· .	
	Average	9.95 1	19	90.7		198.6		75.2	
		- 	÷		· .				
	-			•	7		1		
	у . А.	•	1 1						
		•			5. 5.				· · ·
and a second and a s Second and a second a			. · · ·				•.	· .	•
		· · · · ·						•	-
			excuption de la mais	an a	Churcherterine and second		and the second stream over the	and a state of the second state	ALL STREET MERCON

4

1.000

er Andrika arterika erti

÷

į

Table 7







Size_of_Plant_

waste The possibility of a relationship between wheat starch raw loads and plant capacity was investigated, and the results are shown in Figures 19, 20, and 21. Daily waste water flow correlated well with plant capacity, as shown in Figure 19. The high value of the correlation coefficient, 0.795, indicates a reasonably good fit of the data with the regression line, as might be expected. Figure 20 attempts to relate BOD5 loadings per unit of wheat flour to plant 0.365, indicates that capacity. The low correlation coefficient, In Figure 21, suspended solids is no definable relationship. there loadings are plotted versus plant capacity. In this case, a high correlation coefficient of 0.688 was obtained, indicating a good size probability that suspended solids loadings increase as plant increases in a definable relationship.

In comparing Figures 17, 18, 20, and 21, it should be noted that the larger wheat starch plants also tend to be the older plants. Thus, a particular figure may not be showing the effect of just one variable on raw waste loads. It should also be noted that the raw waste load values, particularly for BOD5, do not vary a great deal from plant to plant. This fact, plus the limited number of data points, influenced the decision not to further subcategorize the wheat starch industry on the basis of age and size of plant or waste water characteristics.

Water Use and Waste Water Discharge

It has been speculated that there might be a relationship between the total waste load and the volume of waste water discharged. Figures 22 and 23 were developed to evaluate this hypothesis and clearly show that no such relationship exists. The correlation coefficient values of -0.109 and 0.106 indicate little or no correlation.

18

FIGURE



WASTE WATER DISCHARGE AS A FUNCTION OF WHEAT STARCH PLANT CAPACITY



AVERAGE BOD DISCHARGED AS A FUNCTION OF WHEAT STARCH PLANT CAPACITY





59

一门 李马的声声的

WHILE PERMIT



SECTION VI

SELECTION OF POLLUIANI PARAMETERS

The waste water parameters that can be used in characterizing the process waste waters from the cereal and wheat starch segments of the grain milling industry are as follows: BOD<u>5</u> (5-day20°C biochemical oxygen demand), suspended solids, pH, chemical oxygen (COD), demand dissolved solids, nitrogen, phosphorus, and These parameters are common to the entire industry, temperature. but are not always of equal importance. As described below, the selection of the waste water control parameters was determined by the significance of the parameters and the availability of data throughout each industry subcategory.

MAJOR POLLUTANT CONTROL PARAMETERS

The following selected parameters are the most important constituents of cereal and wheat starch manufacturing waste waters. Data collected during the preparation of this document, particularly from cereal plants, was limited in most cases to these parameters. Nevertheless, the use of these parameters adequately describes the waste water characteristics from virtually all plants in the industry. BOD5, suspended solids, and pH are, therefore, parameters selected for effluent limitations guidelines the and standards of performance for sources for new these two subcategories.

Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD5)

Biochemical oxygen demand (BOD5) is a measure of the oxygen consuming capabilities of organic matter. The BOD5 does not, in itself, cause direct harm to a water system, but it does exert an indirect effect by depressing the oxygen content of the water. Sewage and other organic effluents during their processes of decomposition exert a BOD5, which can have a catastrophic effect on the ecosystem by depleting the oxygen supply. Conditions are frequently reached where all of the oxygen is used and the continuing decay process causes the production of noxious gases such as hydrogen sulfide and methane. Water with a high BOD5 indicates the presence of decomposing organic matter and subsequent high bacterial counts that degrade its quality and potential uses.

Dissolved oxygen (DO) is a water quality constituent that, in appropriate concentrations, is essential to keep organisms living and sustain species reproduction, vigor, and the development of populations. Organisms undergo stress at reduced DO concentrations that make them less competitive and able to sustain their species within the aquatic environment. For example, reduced DO concentrations have been shown to interfere with fish population through delayed hatching of eggs, reduced size and vigor of embryos, production of deformities in the young, interference with food digestion, acceleration of blood clotting, decreased tolerance to certain toxicants, reduced food efficiency and growth rate, and reduced maximum sustained swimming speed. Fish food organisms are likewise affected adversely by suppressed DO. Since all aerobic aquatic organisms need a certain amount of oxygen, the total lack of dissolved oxygen due to a high BOD5 can kill all inhabitants of the affected area.

> · 그 같은 그녀는 예정에서 한 것은 것으로 정말하면 것 같아. 가지 가지 않는 것이 가지 않는 것이다. 같이 그 아파 방법했던데, 같이 그 않는지 것이 것이 많아요. 것은 것이지 않는 것이 가지 않는 것이다.

If a high BOD5 is present, the quality of the water is usually visually degraded by the presence of decomposing materials and algae blooms due to the uptake of degraded materials that form the foodstuffs of the algal populations.

Many cereal and wheat starch plants or the municipalities that handle their waste waters routinely measure BOD5 in the plant waste waters. Typical BOD5 levels are moderate to high in the ready-toeat cereal subcategory, ranging from several hundred to over 2000 mg/l. Wheat starch waste waters are quite high in BOD5, with values ranging from 6,000 to 14,000 mg/l and higher for large plants.

Suspended Solids

Suspended solids include both organic and inorganic materials. These materials may settle out rapidly, and bottom deposits are often a mixture of both organic and inorganic solids. They adversely affect fisheries by covering the bottom of the stream or lake with a blanket of material that destroys the fish-food bottom fauna or the spawning ground of fish. Deposits containing organic materials may deplete bottom oxygen supplies and produce hydrogen sulfide, carbon dioxide, methane, and other noxious gases.

In raw water sources for domestic use, State and regional agencies generally specify that suspended solids in streams shall not be present in sufficient concentrations to be objectionable or to interfere with normal treatment processes. Suspended solids in water may interfere with many industrial processes, and cause foaming in boilers, or encrustations on equipment exposed to water, especially as the temperature rises. Suspended solids are undesirable in water for textile industries; paper and pulp; beverages; dairy products; laundries; dyeing; photography; cooling systems; and power plants. Suspended particles also serve as a transport mechanism for pesticides and other substances that are readily sorbed into or onto clay particles.

Solids may be suspended in water for a time, and then settle to the bed of the stream or lake. These settleable solids discharged with man's wastes may be inert, slowly biodegradable materials, or rapidly decomposable substances. While in suspension, they increase the turbidity of the water, reduce light penetration and impair the photosynthetic activity of aquatic plants.

Solids in suspension are aesthetically displeasing. When they settle to form sludge deposits on the stream or lake bed, they are often much more damaging to the life in water, and they retain the capacity to displease the senses. Solids, when transformed to

แน่นกรุงหลังนางรากหวังกังนากกรรด

มาเห็นไก่ทุกรรม และหมู่สาวอุณาการนี้เริ่ม ก็ทระว่าสามุ พ่อสมารรกการพิษม

ally lgae the

and

are

obic

k of

the

that aste -to-2000 lues

als. are ador ttom anic ogen cies t be

t be to s in ause ter, ulp; ling as a are the with , or ease

they are the to

the

sludge deposits, may do a variety of damaging things, including blanketing the stream or lake bed and thereby destroying the living spaces for those benthic organisms that would otherwise occupy the habitat. When of an organic and, therefore, decomposable nature, solids use a portion or all of the dissolved oxygen available in the area. Organic materials also serve as a seemingly inexhaustible food source for sludgeworms and associated organisms.

Suspended solids concentrations are rather low (100 to 400 mg/l) in cereal manufacturing waste waters, but are quite high (5000 to 15,000 mg/l) in wheat starch effluents. Wet cleanup operations that wash product spillage into the sewer account for much of the suspended solids content of cereal waste waters. In wheat starch wastes, very fine starch particles pass through the refining operation and remain in suspension. This starch accounts for much of the organic load in the waste water and is essentially insoluble.

<u>н</u>

The term pH is a logarithmic expression of the concentration of hydrogen ions. At a pH of 7.0, the hydrogen and hydroxyl ion concentrations are equal and the water is neutral. If pH values are below 7.0, acid conditions are indicated, while pH values above 7.0 indicate alkaline conditions.

Waters with a pH below 6.0 are corrosive to water works structures, distribution lines, and household plumbing fixtures and can thus add such constituents to drinking water as iron, copper, zinc, cadmium, and lead. The hydrogen ion concentration can affect the "taste" of the water. At a low pH water tastes "sour". The bactericidal effect of chlorine is weakened as the pH increases, and it is advantageous to keep the pH close to 7.0. This is very significant for providing safe drinking water.

Extremes of pH or rapid pH changes can exert stress conditions or kill aquatic life outright. Dead fish, associated algal blooms, and foul stenches are aesthetic liabilities of any waterway. Even moderate changes from "acceptable" criteria limits of pH are deleterious to some species. The relative toxicity to aquatic life of many materials is increased by changes in the water pH. Metalocyanide complexes can increase a thousand-fold in toxicity with a drop of 1.5 pH units. The availability of many nutrient substances varies with the alkalinity and acidity.

The lacrimal fluid of the human eye has a pH of approximately 7.0 and a deviation of 0.1 pH unit from the norm may result in eye irritation for the swimmer. Appreciable irritation will cause severe pain.

The pH levels of ready-to-eat cereal plant waste waters vary over the production day, but generally average close to 7.0. Wheat starch waste waters tend to be acidic, in the range of 3 to 6. pH is an essential control parameter for treatment of this waste and regulation of the discharges.

OTHER POLLUTANT CONTROL PARAMETERS

<u>Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)</u>

is a chemical measure of the organic content and, hence, oxygen COD demand of the waste water constituents. As with most food wastes, the COD of cereal and wheat starch wastes is considerably higher than the BOD5, usually by a factor of 2.0 to 2.5. COD was not specified as a control parameter because of the limited availability Due to the lack of data, no definitive relationship of COD data. between COD and BOD5 can be established at the present time. The fact that the chemical nature of the organics may differ from plant to plant may preclude the use of a uniform COD standard for each subcategory. Therefore, it was concluded that effluent limitations guidelines and standards of performance should not be based on COD.

Dissolved Solids

In natural waters, the dissolved solids consist mainly of inorganic compounds including calcium, magnesium, sodium, potassium, iron, and manganese and their associated anionic species of carbonates, chlorides, sulfates, phosphates, and possibly nitrates.

Many communities in the United States and in other countries use water supplies containing 2000 to 4000 mg/l of dissolved solids, when no better water is available. Such waters are not very palatable, may not quench thirst, and may have a laxative action on new users. Waters containing more than 4000 mg/l of total salts are generally considered unfit for human use, although in hot climates such higher salt concentrations can be tolerated whereas they could not be in temperate climates. Waters containing 5000 mg/l or more are reported to be bitter and act as bladder and intestinal irritants. It is generally agreed that the salt concentration of good, palatable water should not exceed 500 mg/l.

Limiting concentrations of dissolved solids for fresh-water fish may range from 5000 to 10,000 mg/l, according to species and prior acclimatization. Some fish are adapted to living in more saline waters, and a few species of fresh-water forms have been found in natural waters with a salt concentration of 15,000 to 20,000 mg/l. Fish can slowly become acclimatized to higher salinities, but fish in waters of low salinity cannot survive sudden exposure to high salinities, such as those resulting from discharges of oil-well brines. Dissolved solids may influence the toxicity of heavy metals and organic compounds to fish and other aquatic life, primarily because of the antagonistic effect of hardness on metals.

Waters with total dissolved solids over 500 mg/l have decreasing utility as irrigation water. Above 5000 mg/l water has little or no value for irrigation.

Dissolved solids in industrial waters can cause foaming in boilers and cause interference with clearness, color, or taste of many finished products. High dissolved solids concentrations also tend to accelerate corrosion.

There are a number of sources of dissolved solids in the cereal and wheat starch subcategories. In cereal manufacturing, these sources include wastes from water treatment, cooling water blowdown, and various processes, particularly cleanup, within the plant. These sources can increase dissolved solids concentrations several hundred to a few thousand mg/l. Most of these dissolved materials are usually of an organic nature. Wheat starch wastes contain high levels of dissolved solids, most of which are probably unrecovered starch and gluten and thus constitute a high dissolved organic load.

Temperature

Temperature is one of the most important and influential water quality characteristics. Temperature determines those species that may be present; it activates the hatching of young, regulates their activity, and stimulates or suppresses their growth and development; it attracts, and may kill when the water becomes too hot or becomes chilled too suddenly. Colder water generally suppresses development; warmer water generally accelerates activity and may be a primary cause of aquatic plant nuisances when other environmental factors are suitable.

Temperature is a prime regulator of natural processes within the water environment. It governs physiological functions in organisms and, acting directly or indirectly in combination with other water quality constituents, it affects aquatic life with each change. These effects include chemical reaction rates, enzymatic functions, molecular movements, and molecular exchanges between membranes within and between the physiological systems and the organs of an animal.

Chemical reaction rates vary with temperature and generally increase as the temperature is increased. The solubility of gases in water varies with temperature. Dissolved oxygen is decreased by the decay or decomposition of dissolved organic substances and the decay rate increases as the temperature of the water increases reaching a maximum at about 30°C (86°F). The temperature of stream water, even during summer, is below the optimum for pollution-associated bacteria. Increasing multiplication rate when the environment is favorable and the food supply is abundant.

Reproduction cycles may be changed significantly by increased temperature because this function takes place under restricted temperature ranges. Spawning may not occur at all because temperatures are too high. Thus, a fish population may exist in a heated area only by continued immigration. Disregarding the decreased reproductive potential, water temperatures need not reach lethal levels to decimate a species. Temperatures that favor competitors, predators, parasites, and disease can destroy a species at levels far below those that would otherwise be lethal.

Dxygen istes, ligher igher inot Dility Dility

ganic , and ates,

use

lids, very on on s are nates could more cinal n of may prior

line d in g/l. fish high well tals rily

sing no

.ers any Fish food organisms are altered severely when temperatures approach or exceed 90°F. Predominant algal species change, primary production is decreased, and bottom associated organisms may be depleted or altered drastically in numbers and distribution. Increased water temperatures may cause aquatic plant nuisances when other environmental factors are favorable.

Synergistic actions of pollutants are more severe at higher water temperatures. Given amounts of domestic sewage, refinery wastes, oils, tars, insecticides, detergents, and fertilizers more rapidly deplete oxygen in water at higher temperatures, and the respective toxicities are likewise increased.

When water temperatures increase, the predominant algal species may change from diatoms to green algae, and finally to blue-green algae at high temperatures, because of species temperature preferentials. Blue-green algae can cause serious odor problems. The number and distribution of benthic organisms decreases as water temperatures increase above 90°F, which is close to the tolerance limit for the population. This could seriously affect certain fish that depend on benthic organisms as a food source.

The cost of fish being attracted to heated water in winter months may be considerable, due to fish mortalities that may result when the fish return to the cooler water.

Rising temperatures stimulate the decomposition of sludge, formation of sludge gas, multiplication of saprophytic bacteria and fungi (particularly in the presence of organic wastes), and the consumption of oxygen by putrefactive processes, thus affecting the aesthetic value of a water course.

In general, marine water temperatures do not change as rapidly or range as widely as those of freshwaters. Marine and estuarine fishes, therefore, are less tolerant of temperature variation. Although this limited tolerance is greater in estuarine than in open water marine species, temperature changes are more important to those fishes in estuaries and bays than to those in open marine areas, because of the nursery and replenishment functions of the estuary that can be adversely affected by extreme temperature changes.

Cereal plant wastes generally have temperatures ranging from 32 to 43° C (90 to 110° F). Much of the increase in temperature is due to discharge of spent cooling water and the use of hot water in cleanup operations. As mentioned previously, process wastes from shredded cereal cooking range in temperature from 71 to 77° C (160 to 170° F) and can elevate waste water temperatures at plants producing this type of cereal. Temperature levels in wheat starch wastes range from 21 to 27 ° C (70 to 80° F).
Phosphorus

h

٠d

Ľ

Y

r

3,

۱y

7e

зy

æ

3.

nđ

38

ne

сn

hs

en

лc

he

he

or ne

n.

en

to

ne

he

re

τc

to .p

∋đ

?)

is

тe

ngi

puring the past 30 years, a formidable case has developed for the belief that increasing standing crops of aquatic plant growths, which often interfere with water uses and are nuisances to man, frequently are caused by increasing supplies of phosphorus. Such phenomena are associated with a condition of accelerated eutrophication or aging of waters. It is generally recognized that phosphorus is not the sole cause of eutrophication, but there is evidence to substantiate that it is frequently a key element in stimulating excess algae growth.

when a plant population increases sufficiently to become a nuisance, a large number of associated liabilities are immediately apparent. Dense populations of pond weeds make swimming dangerous. Boating and water skiing and sometimes fishing may be eliminated because of the mass of vegetation that serves as a physical impediment to such activities. Plant populations have been associated with stunted fish populations and with poor fishing. Excess algae growth can emit bad odors, impart tastes and odors to water supplies, reduce the efficiency of industrial and municipal water treatment, impair aesthetic beauty, reduce or restrict resort trade, lower waterfront property values, cause skin rashes to man during water contact, and serve as a desired substrate and breeding ground for flies.

Phosphorus in the elemental form is particularly toxic, and subject to bioaccumulation in much the same way as mercury. Colloidal elemental phosphorus will poison marine fish (causing skin tissue breakdown and discoloration). Also, phosphorus is capable of being concentrated and will accumulate in organs and soft tissues. Experiments have shown that marine fish will concentrate phosphorus from water containing as little as 1.0 microgram per liter.

Phosphorus levels in ready-to-eat cereal waste waters tend to be quite low. Concentrations in plant effluents may be increased somewhat by the use of detergents in plant cleanup, but levels in the waste streams are generally too low to present a pollution hazard. Limited data indicate that wheat starch wastes may contain significant phosphorus concentrations, on the order of 100 mg/l. This level may be necessary to achieve good biological waste treatment, in view of the very high BOD5 concentrations present.

Nitrogen

Total nitrogen levels in ready-to-eat cereal plant waste waters are quite low, ranging from 5 up to 30 mg/l. Based on limited data, wheat starch wastes contain higher nitrogen levels, ranging from 350 to 400 mg/l. As with the phosphorus concentrations, these nitrogen levels based on present evidence are required to achieve effective biological treatment. Addition of nitrogen and phosphorus has been found necessary in effective biological treatment of readyto-eat cereal manufacturing wastes.

SECTION VII

CONTROL AND IREATMENT TECHNOLOGY

INTRODUCTION

Since animal feed and hot cereal manufacturing plants generate no process waste waters, there is no need to include these subcategories in a discussion of control and treatment technologies. There has not been a great deal of attention given to either in-plant control or treatment of waste waters within the ready-to-eat cereal industry. Most of the cereal plants in the U.S. discharge medium strength wastes to large municipal systems which are capable of handling the industrial waste loads. Several plants within the subcategory provide screening and some settling of their wastes. One plant provides biological pretreatment, and two others are constructing pretreatment facilities to reduce waste loadings prior to municipal discharge.

Although there has been more attention given to waste treatment within the wheat starch industry, there has not been a great need for development of waste control and treatment technology within this subcategory since there are only a few plants and they all discharge to municipal systems. One plant operates a pretreatment facility and is attempting to develop a complete treatment system. Another plant will soon construct a biological pretreatment facility to reduce its organic waste loads prior to discharge to a small municipal system.

READY-TO-EAT CEREAL MANUFACTURING

Waste Water Characteristics

As detailed in Section V_{σ} ready-to-eat cereal plants generally produce moderate volumes of medium to high strength wastes. Higher BOD5 concentrations result from plants that produce shredded cereals or a high percentage of sugar-coated cereals. Suspended solids concentrations are moderate, generally in the range of 100 to 400 mg/l. Treatment in the industry is limited; one known pretreatment facility and the design criteria for a pretreatment facility presently under construction are discussed in this section.

In-Plant Controls

Since most waste waters from ready-to-eat cereal manufacturing are generated by cleanup operations, it is not anticipated that the raw waste characteristics can be greatly influenced by in-plant controls. Separation and recycling of non-contact cooling waters or increased usage of spent cooling water rather than fresh water for such uses as cleanup would reduce waste volumes, but not waste loadings in terms of kilograms or pounds of pollutant per unit of production. Waste loads could ke reduced in some plants if more dry-type cleanup operations, such as sweeping or vacuuming of spillage, were employed in place of wet washing methods.

Treatment Processes

Several plants provide minimal forms of pretreatment for their process wastes prior to discharge to municipal systems. This treatment usually consists of screening and occasionally settling and skimming. Solids collected are either dried and recovered as animal feed or disposed of by landfill.

One plant in the industry presently provides biological pretreatment prior to municipal discharge. The treatment system consists of a 0.51 hectare (1.25 acre) lagoon equipped with mechanical aerators and designed for 30-day detention. Nutrients in the form of ammonia and phosphoric acid are added to the high carbohydrate waste stream. The treatment facility handles all process and sanitary wastes from the plant, including shredded cereal cooking wastes. The facility was designed to handle a flow of 379 cu m/day (0.1 MGD), a BOD<u>5</u> loading of 1135 kg/day (2500 lbs/day), and a suspended solids loading of 272 kg/day (600 lbs/day). Average influent and effluent characteristics over the past year are given below:

	Average Influent	Average Effluent
BOD <u>5</u>	2500	260
COD	4300	870
Suspended Solids	300	935
Total Solids	3000	2500
рН	6.9	7.1

The high effluent suspended solids concentrations reflect the production of biological solids during aeration. These figures are averages over a year's time and do not reflect seasonal fluctuations which occur. During the warmer months, May through September, effluent BOD5 values vary from 100 to 200 mg/1, and suspended solids vary from 550 to 800 mg/1. Corresponding BOD5 and suspended solids removals range from 92-96 percent, and zero percent. In cooler weather, BOD5, concentrations increase to the 300 to 450 mg/l range. Similarly, suspended solids during winter vary from 900 to 1200 mg/1. BOD5 and suspended solids removals under winter conditions ranged from 81 to 88 percent, and zero percent. Results of a sampling program conducted during the winter as a part of this study indicated BOD5 removals of 81 to 83 percent and an average effluent BOD5 of 450 mg/l. The addition of a final clarifier is anticipated to lower the suspended solids levels within municipal ordinance limits.

A second pretreatment facility is currently under construction that will handle combined process and sanitary wastes from a small readyto-eat cereal plant. Presently the plant's total waste discharge has an average BOD5 concentration of 600 mg/l and an average suspended solids level of 175 mg/l. The facility will consist of two aerated lagoons in series with nutrient addition and provisions for recycling between the two lagoons. Design is based on an average flow of 284 cu m/day (75,000 gpd) and an average BOD5 loading of 408 kg/day (900 lbs/day). Anticipated effluent quality is shown below:

BOD <u>5</u>	200	41	90	88
Suspended Solids	200	41	90	50
pĦ	7.5 -9	9.0	· ·	

The municipal sanitary system will continue to handle the treated effluent.

WHEAT STARCH AND GLUTEN MANUFACTURING

Waste Water Characteristics

Waste waters from wheat starch and gluten manufacturing operations, as described in detail in Section V, are high in organic strength and suspended solids. Flows are moderate, in the range of 265 to 570 cu m/day (70,000 to 160,000 gpd). pH values are quite low, and phosphorus and nitrogen levels tend to be high. All plants in the U.S. discharge to municipal systems except one which uses its starch process wastes in a distillery operation and then discharges directly to receiving waters. Extensive treatment facilities for the distillery waste are under construction.

In-Plant Controls

· of

:heir

This

:linġ

ment of a tors onia eam. from

lity BOD5

lids

uent

pro-

are

ons

er,

.ids

ids

ler

ge.

200 ons

fa

udy

ent '

nce

ted

as

It is doubtful that any major reductions in waste loads can be achieved through in-plant controls or modifications at existing starch plants. Since product yield is economically crucial to wheat starch and gluten plants, most manufacturers already attempt to maximize solids recovery in the starch refining operations by thickening and centrifugation. Wash down water only amounts to between 5 and 10 percent of the total process waste water contribution.

Two new plants will commence full scale production of wheat starch and gluten in the near future, and both anticipate the generation of much lower volumes of waste water than existing plants. One plant will accomplish this by drastically reducing water requirements, while the other hopes to employ a total recycle system. These plants are constructed primarily for recovery of proteinaceous material from the wheat raw material and are suspected to employ methods and processes which may be quite uncharacteristic as compared to historical processes.

Treatment Technology

Pretreatment operations and pilot plant studies substantially support that the process waste water from wheat starch and gluten manufacturing is readily biodegradable and treatable by conventional biological treatment systems. وروم در این اور این میرد. مربع در این اور این میرد (این اور این

a strate of the second strategies and the second second second second second second second second second second

電子電子電子 网络小麦属 医鼻子 网络拉尔马尔属拉尔马尔马尔马尔马尔马尔马尔

One pretreatment facility is in operation in the wheat starch industry, reducing the organic strength of the starch waste prior to municipal system disposal. The facility handles 530 cu m/day (140,000 gpd) of high-strength wastes from a medium sized starch and gluten plant. The treatment sequence consists of a steel mixing tank where the waste is heated to 29°C 85°F, three anaerobic filters operated in parallel, and a chlorine contact tank. Ammonia gas and sodium bicarbonate are continuously added in the mixing tank to stabilize the pH between 6.5 and 7.5. The treated waste can be recycled at rates from 0 to 100 percent. That portion that is not recycled enters the chlorine contact tank, where chlorine is introduced for control of odor and potential sewer corrosion by reducing hydrogen sulfide levels. Waste gas produced by the filters contains sufficient methane to be combusted readily in a gas burner, and is a potential energy source.

A comparison of average influent and effluent characteristics during seven months of operation is shown below:

	Average Influent	Average Effluent
	<u>mq/l kq/day lb/d</u>	lay mg/l kg/day lb/day
BOD <u>5</u>	6500 3175 700	0 2940 1406 3100
COD	8800 4309 950	0 3170 1542 3400
Suspended Solids	2650 1270 28(00 1460 703 1550

This data indicates average reductions of 55, 64, and 45 percent for BOD5, COD, and suspended solids, respectively. More recent plant sampling indicates COD removals ranging from 18 to 59 percent and averaging 33 percent over the past year, however.

na Leibere av One wheat starch plant has been experimenting with a full scale complete treatment system for some time. The system employs a vapor recompression evaporator which, in theory, should effect 98 to 99 percent solids recovery. The plant has not been able to operate the system successfully on a continuous basis. The plant has been operated successfully for intermittent periods of a week or more, and experimental efforts to the process are continuing. This type treatment system definitely cannot yet be considered as of demonstrated technology at the present time.

> 화장 승규는 것 같은 것 같이 있는 것을 했다.

6. - Z. 19 - 18 4

없는 이번에

72

n de la report de la desarra de la república

one other plant in the wheat starch industry is planning to construct a pretreatment facility. The facility will incorporate extended aeration and final clarification after which the wastes will be discharged to the municipal system. A chemical feed unit will be capable of adding lime and alum to the wastes either prior to or after aeration. Design flow is 409 cu m/day (108,000 gpd), and the detention time will be 5.0 days in the aeration unit. Effluent BOD5 levels are estimated at 190 mg/l, representing a 95 percent reduction. It should be emphasized that the attainment of this effluent level has not been demonstrated in a full scale treatment facility.

한 동안을 잘 주고 환자 전란을 가지?

Extensive pilot plant studies were run on the starch waste prior to design of the above pretreatment facility. The pilot system included a 15,140 liter (4000 gallon) aeration and settling tank, to which were later added a 1325 liter (350 gallon) rotating biological disc and a 3217 liter (850 gallon) polishing pond. The pilot system handled 2.7 cu m/day (720 gpd) of waste over a five-month period. During that time, BOD5 reductions averaged 86 percent through the aeration unit alone, 88 percent through the aeration unit and disc, and 98 percent through the entire system including polishing pond. Average effluent BOD5 concentrations were 680, 578, and 84 mg/1, respectively, from the three components of the pilct treatment system.

during

<u>y</u>

tially

gluten

tional

h in-

ior to m/day

ch and mixing ilters

s and

ank to

an be is not

ne is

ion by ilters urner,

t for plant

t and

scale vapor to 99 e the been nore,

type 1 as

SECTION VIII

COST, ENERGY, AND NON-WATER QUALITY ASPECTS

This chapter presents detailed cost estimates for the various treatment alternatives and the rationale used in developing this information. Data have been developed for investment, capital, operating and maintenance, depreciation, and energy costs using various sources, including contractor's files, literature references 6 and 9, and information from individual plants within the industry. The cost data from industry were quite limited and, therefore, the cost estimates are based principally on data developed by the contractor and the references cited.

REPRESENTATIVE PLANTS

Because of the variations in plant operation, waste water characteristics, and treatment systems, it was impractical to select one existing plant as typical of each of the industry subcategories. Therefore, hypothetical plants were developed (or synthesized) for purposes of developing cost data.

In the ready-to-eat cereal subcategory, there is such a wide range of plant production capacities that it was decided to choose three hypothetical plants of different sizes. The plant capacities chosen (500,000 were 90,700 kg/day (200,000 lb/day), 226,800 kg/day and 544,300 kg/day (1,200,000 lb/day). Although the waste lb/day), ready-to-eat cereal characteristics of plants water vary considerably, there is no apparent correlation with plant capacity, as shown in Figures 14 and 15 in Section V of this report. Thus, flow and waste water characteristics were selected to reflect average values for existing plants in the industry as reported in Section V.

The seven wheat starch and gluten plants exhibit a fairly narrow range of plant capacities and waste water characteristics. A hypothetical plant with an average daily raw material capacity of 45,360 kg (100,000 lbs) of flour was chosen for cost estimating purposes. Since flow and waste water characteristics are fairly uniform for the industry, average values for existing plants as reported in Section V were utilized.

TERMINOLOGY

Investment Costs

Investment costs are defined as the capital expenditures required to bring the treatment or control technology into operation. Included, as appropriate, are the costs of excavation, concrete, structural steel, mechanical and electrical equipment installed, and piping. An amount equal to 15 percent of the total of the above is added to cover engineering design services, construction supervision, and related costs. Because most of the control technologies involve

lost time external, end-of-plant systems, no cost is included for due to installation. It is believed that the interruptions required for installation of control technologies can be coordinated with normal plant operating schedules. The cost of additional land required for treatment facilities is included, using an estimating figure of \$10,000 per acre.

Capital Costs

Sutter and a sub-The capital costs are calculated, in all cases, as 8 percent of the total investment costs. Consultations with representatives of industry and the financial community lead to the conclusion that. with the limited data available, this estimate is reasonable for this industry.

利用的高的问题。「同时和国际问题

Depreciation

Straight-line depreciation for 20 years, or 5 percent of the total investment cost, is used in all cases.

The survey of the second se

Operation and Maintenance Costs

 Le di Paris de la construcción de la c Operation and maintenance costs include labor, materials, solid waste disposal, effluent monitoring, added administrative expense, taxes and insurance. When the control technology involves water recycling, a credit of \$0.30 per 1,000 gallons is applied to reduce the operation and maintenance costs. Manpower requirements are based upon information found in References 6 and 9. A total salarv cost of \$10 per man-hour is used in all cases.

Energy and Power Costs

Power costs are estimated on the basis of \$0.025 per kilowatt-hour.

Sales Areas and the aharitti site ka defined as the total of capital costs, Annual costs are depreciation, operation and maintenance, and energy and power costs as accrued on an annual basis.

COST INFORMATION

The investment and annual costs, as defined above, associated with the alternative waste treatment control technologies are presented addition, a description of each of the control below. In technologies provided, together with the effluent quality is expected from the application of these technologies. All costs are reported in terms of August 1971 dollars.

Ready-to-Eat Cereal Manufacturing

information, As a basis for developing control and treatment cost three different ready-to-eat cereal plants were synthesized to cover the broad range of plant capacities within the industry. The waste water characteristics used to describe these plants reflect actual

> - Alert

પુષ્ટ્રિય મુદ્ધ મહત્વનું દેવનું આ ગયા છે. તે

机动动动运动运动 医乳肉肉的 化乙酸乙酸乙酸乙酯乙酸乙酯乙酯 grad de la companya d

time industry practice based on average data received from existing uired plants. The values employed are as follows: With land 2.7 liters/lb of cereal (0.7 gal/lb) Flow ating 6.6 kg/kkg (lbs/1000 lbs) or 1130 mg/l BOD5 Suspended Solids 1.4 kg/kkg (lbs/1000 lbs) or 240 mg/1 The production and waste water characteristics of the three hypothetical cereal plants are summarized below: the s of Plant A: that, Production 90,700 kg/day (200,000 lb/day) le for 529 cu m/day (140,000 gpd) Flow 635 kg/day BOD5 (1400 lb/day 127 kg/day Suspended Solids (280 lb/day)Plant B: total Production 226,800 kg/day (500,000 lb/day) Flow 1325 cu m;day (350,000 gpd) BOD 5 1588 kg/day $(3500 \ lb/day)$ 318 kg/day (700 lb/day) Suspended Solids solid Plant C: oense, 544,300 kg/day Production · (1,200,000 lb/day) water Flow 3179 cu m/day (840,000 gpd) reduce 3810 kg/day BOD5 (8400 lb/day) ts are Suspended Solids 762 kg/day (1680 lb/day)salary A number of alternative treatment systems are proposed below to handle the waste waters from these plants. These systems are presented in terms of increasing effluent quality. The investment and annual cost information for each alternative, and the resultant hour. effluent qualities are presented in Tables 8, 9, and 10 for the three hypothetical ready-to-eat cereal plants. costs, costs d with sented ontrol uality ts are ation, cover waste actual 77

Water Effluent Treatment Costs Small Ready-to-Eat Cereal Plant (90,700 kg/day)

Table 8

-

1.1.611.81

		(90,	,700 kg/day)		F			
•	Alternative Treatment or Control Technologies:	A	(Thousan <u>B</u>	ds of Dolla <u>C</u>	rs) <u>D</u>	Ē	<u>F</u>	
,	Investment Costs	\$448.9	527.9	629.9	563.3	777.5	960.7	
	Annual Costs: Capital Costs	35.9	42.2	50.4	45.1	62.2	76.9	
	Depreciation	22.4	26.4	31.5	28.2	38.9	48.0	
	Operating and Maintenance Costs	45.2	46.4	47.9	53.4	68.4	86.2	
	Energy and Power Costs	10.6	11.6	11.6	12.6	16.6	22.6	
	Total Annual Cost	114.1	126.6	141.4	139.3 -	186.1	233.7	
	Effluent Quality: Raw							
	WasteParametersUnitsLoad	• •		Resultin Le	g Effluent vels			
	BOD kg/kkg 7.0	0.58	0.44	0.18-0.35	0.12-0.18	0.03	0.03	
1941 - 1 1 - 1 - 1 2 - 1 - 1 2 - 1 - 1 2 -	Suspended Solids kg/kkg 1.4	0.58	0.44	0.18-0.35	0.06-0.12	0.03	0.03	
-	BOD mg/1 1200	100	75	30-60	20-30	5	5	
3	Suspended Solids mg/l 240	100	75	30-60	10-20	5	5	
	Dissolved Solids mg/l -			n an	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	500	
in (Se der			•		• -	- 		18 a

ТŔ

14. 10.24

4 10000

ŝ

ž.

78

., E

÷

-53

916/10/00/2017 de his wet below

ŝ

.

and an Oder P.

an La

÷

Suspended Sorras mg/r

Dissolved Solids mg/1

24U

TOO

. 12

--- 500

כ

Table 9

Water Effluent Treatment Costs Medium-Sized Ready-to-Eat Cereal Plant (226,800 kg/day)

Alternative Treatm Control Technolo	nent or ogies	ш	· <u>A</u>	B	(Thousan <u>C</u>	ds of Dollars <u>D</u>) <u>E</u>	<u>F</u>
Investment Costs			\$686.4	811.8	887.2	875.3	1247.3	1613.5
Annual Costs: Capital Costs	•		54.9	64.9	71.0	70.0	99.8	129.1
Depreciation	nen hage deuter von er aftender von ander		34.3	40.6	44.4	43.8 [.]	62.4	80.7
Operating and Ma	intenanc	e Costs	67.9	70.0	71.8	83.9	109.9	142.1
Energy and Power	Costs		22.0	23.7	23.7	25.4	32.3	42.7
Total Ar	nual Cos	t	179.1	199.2	210.9	223.1	304.4	394.6
Effluent Quality:		Raw .				-		
Parameters	Units	waste Loád	• .	•	<u>Resultin</u> Le	g Effluent vels		
BOD	kg/kkg	7.0	0.58	0.44	0.18-0.35	0.12-0.18	0.03	0.03
Suspended Solids	kg/kkg	1.4	0.58	0.44	0.18-0.35	0.06-0.12	0.03	0.03
BOD	mg/l	1200	100	75	30–60	20-30	5	5
Suspended Solids	mg/l	240	100	75	30–60	10-20	5	5
Dissolved Solids	mg/l	-	-	-	_	-	-	500

fun Hills								
						adalahan karang di pangan di Mangang pangan karang di karang di karang di karang di karang di karang di karang Mangang di karang di		
		• • •	•				:	
	· · · · ·	· ·					-	
				: .		•		
4			Table 10					-
						· · ·		
		Water Eff	luent Treatme	ent Costs real Plant	a.	-		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	*	Large nea	44,300 kg/day	y)			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
				· · ·		\	anda ay	
	Alternative Treatment or	- Λ	· D	(Thousan	ids of Dollai	rs) T		alta Nete 1915 -
	Control Technologies	<u>A</u>		<u> </u>	<u><u> </u></u>	<u> </u>		
	Investment Costs	\$1062.1	1277.5	1441.5	1411.7	2040.9	2785.5	
	Annual Costs:					162 0	000 8-	1
and the second secon	Capital Costs	85.0	102.2	112.3	112.9	T02•2	<i>222</i> .0	
	Depreciation	53.1	. 63.9	72.1	70.6	102.0	139.3	
	Operating and Maintenand	ce Costs 96.7	100.3	102.7	123.2	167.1	237.3	
	Energy and Power Costs	44.9	47.8	47.8	50.7	62.4	80.0	
	Total Annual Cos	st 279.7	314.2	337.9	359.9	494.8	679.4	
	Effluent Quality:	Port						
	Parameters Units	Waste		Resultir	ig Effluent evels			
이 그는 일반가 한 것은 이 가장을 가장할 수 있다. 이 가장 이 가장 이 가장 이 바람을 가 있다. 이 것 같은 것은 이 것 이 것 않는 것 같은 것 같	BOD kg/kkg	7.0 0.5	58 0.44	0.18-0.35	0.12-0.18	0.03	0.03	
	Suspended Solids kg/kkg	1.4 .0.5	58 0.44	0.18-0.35	0.06-0.12	0.03	0.03	
	BOD mg/l	1200 100	75	30-60	20-30	5	5	
	Suspended Solids mg/l	240 100	75	30–60	10-20	5	5	
	Dissolved Solids mg/l	_ _		·	-		500	
							aliteraista il j	

. · ·

Figure 24 graphically depicts the investment costs of the six treatment alternatives as a function of cereal plant capacity. The specific treatment technologies are described in the following paragraphs.

Alternative A -- Activated Sludge

This alternative provides for grit removal, nutrient addition, primary sedimentation, complete-mix activated sludge, secondary sedimentation, chlorination, and solids dewatering. The treatment system does not include equalization. Effluent BOD5 and suspended solids concentrations are expected to be about 100 mg/l. In terms of plant production, these values correspond to 0.58 kg/kkg (lbs/1000 lbs) for BOD5 and for suspended solids.

Investment Costs:	Plant	A	\$	448,900
	Plant	B	\$	686,400
	Plant	C	\$1,	,062,100
Total Annual Costs:	Plant Plant Plant	A B C	\$ \$	114,100 179,100 279,700

Reduction Benefits: BOD<u>5</u> reduction of 92 percent and suspended solids reduction of 59 percent.

Alternative B -- Equalization and Activated Sludge

Alternative B includes an aerated equalization step with 18-hour detention ahead of the complete-mix activated sludge system and associated chemical feed, sedimentation, and sludge dewatering facilities outlined in Alternative A. Estimated BOD5 and suspended solids levels are 75 mg/l for each parameter. This value corresponds to 0.44 kg/kkg (lbs/1000 lbs) of BOD5 and suspended solids.

Investment (Costs:	Plant	A	\$	527,900
		Plant	в	\$	811,800
		Plant	С	\$1,	277,500
Total Annual	l Costs:	Plant	A	\$	126,600
		Plant	в	\$	199,200
		Plant	С	\$	314,200

Reduction Benefits: BOD<u>5</u> reduction of 94 percent and suspended solids reduction of 69 percent.

<u>Alternative</u> <u>C</u> -- Equalization, Activated Sludge, and Stabilization Basin

This alternative adds a stabilization basin or lagoon after the secondary sedimentation step of the preceding treatment system, Alternative B. This lagoon will provide 10-day detention for



stabilizing the remaining BOD5 and reducing the suspended solids concentration. Effluent levels of 30 to 60 mg/l of BOD5 and suspended solids are expected from Alternative C. Resultant waste loads per unit of production will be 0.18 to 0.35 kg/kkg (lbs/1000 lbs) for both BOD5 and suspended solids.

Investment Costs:	Plant A	\$	629,900	
	Plant B	\$	887,200	
	Plant C	\$1	,441,500	
Total Annual Costs:	Plant A	\$	141,400	
	Plant B	\$	210,900	
	Plant C	\$	337,900	

Reduction Benefits: BOD5 reduction of 95 to 97.5 percent and suspended solids reduction of 75 to 87 percent.

<u>Alternative</u> <u>D</u> -- Equalization, Activated Sludge, and Deep Bed Filtration

Alternative D includes deep bed filtration with the treatment steps proposed in Alternative B. BOD5 concentrations are anticipated to be 20 to 30 mg/l in the effluent and suspended solids are expected to be 10 to 20 mg/l. These concentrations correspond to effluent waste loads of 0.12 to 0.18 kg/kkg (lbs/1000 lbs) of BOD5 and 0.06 to 0.12 kg/kkg (lbs/1000 lbs) of suspended solids.

Investment Costs:	Plant A Plant B	\$ 563,300 \$ 875,300
	Plant C	\$1,411,700
Total Annual Costs:	Plant A	\$ 139,300
	Plant B	\$ 223,100
	Plant C	\$ 359,900

Reduction Benefits: BOD5 and suspended solids reductions of 97.4 to 98.3 percent and 91.4 to 95.7 percent, respectively.

<u>Alternative</u> <u>E</u> -- Equalization, Activated Sludge, Deep Bed Filtration, and Activated Carbon Filtration

In Alternative E, activated carbon filtration is added to the previous treatment scheme. The effluent concentrations are estimated to be 5 mg/l for both BOD5 and suspended solids. This level corresponds to waste loads of 0.03 kg/kkg (lbs/1000 lbs) for both BOD5 and suspended solids.

Invest	tment Co	osts:	Plant Plant Plant	A B C	\$ \$1, \$2,	777,500 247,300 040,900
Total	Annual	Costs:	Plant Plant	A B	\$ \$	186,100 304,400

Reduction Benefits: BOD5 and suspended solids reductions of 99.6 and 97.9 percent, respectively. The effluent should be suitable for partial reuse or recycle.

Plant C

494,800

Alternative F -- Equalization, Activated Sludge, Deep Bed Filtration, Activated Carbon Filtration, and Reverse Osmosis

This alternative includes reverse osmosis to reduce the total dissolved solids. Effluent levels will be comparable to those anticipated in Alternative E, but with a maximum dissolved solids concentration of 500 mg/1.

	1.27	per transformer de la companya de la			·
Investment Cos	sts: P	lant A	\$ 960,7	100	· · · · ·
 A. Baker and S. S. Sandara and S. Sand	R P	lant B	\$1,613,5	500	
The second se	P	lant C	\$2,785.5	500	- • · · · ••
	a set a set	귀하는 바람이 있다.			1. # 5. 28. 6
Total Annual (°osts• ₽	lant A	\$ 233 7	700	
LUCUL MIMOUL		lant B	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	500	
		Jant D	4 JJ4 C		The in the second
		Tant C	φ 0/9 ₈ 4		

Reduction Benefits: BOD5 and suspended solids reductions equal to those expected in Alternative E, i.e., 99.6 and 97.9 percent, respectively. The effluent should be suitable for complete recycle.

Wheat Starch and Gluten Manufacturing

A hypothetical wheat starch and gluten plant of moderate size, i.e., 45,360 kg/day (100,000 lbs/day) of wheat flour input, was selected as a basis for developing cost data. The values of the waste water characteristics used to describe this plant reflect actual industry practice, as follows:

	Flow	45 cu m/day $(1.2 gal/lb)$ of flour	
• •	BOD <u>5</u>	90.7 kg/kkg (lbs/1000 lbs)	
	Suspended Solids	75.2 kg/kkg (1bs/1000 1bs)	

The production and waste water characteristics of the hypothetical plant are summarized below:

С. Ф	Production	45,360 kg/day (100,000 lbs/day)
0	Flow	454 cu m/day (120,000 gpd)
	BOD <u>5</u>	4114 kg/day (9070 lbs/day) or 9057 mg/l
	Suspended Solids	3411 kg/day (7520 1bs/day) or 7509 mg/1
1	그는 그는 이 관계에서 가지 않는 것이 같다.	1. Product ¹¹ (新聞) (Production) の (Production) (Produc

Proposed alternative treatment systems are described below. The investment and annual cost information for each alternative and the resultant effluent qualities are presented in Table 11.

Alternative A -- Activated Sludge

Table 11

Ņ

p Bed Reverse

劇物

l disthose solids

, i.e., elected water idustry

Water Effluent Treatment Costs Typical Wheat Starch and Gluten Plant

Alternative Treat	ment or		. <u>1</u>	, aan ar ar ar	(Thouse	ands of Dolla	irs)		
Control Technol	ogies:	•	A	B	. <u>C</u> .	<u>D</u>	Ē	F	• • •
Investment Costs			\$892.5	964.3	1014.6	996.0	1191.7	1350.4	• •
Annual Costs: Capital Costs			71.4	77.1	81.2	79.7	95.3	108.0	• · · · · ·
Depreciation	• •		44.6	48.2	50.7	49.8	59.6	67.5	
Operating and M	laintena	nce Cost	s 86.3	87.5	88.9	94.1	107.9	127.6	1 s
Energy and Powe	r Costs		38.4	39.4	39.4	40.4	44.4	50.4	:-::: ::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::
Total A	nnual C	ost	240.7	252.2	260.2	264.0	307.2	353.5	
Effluent Quality:		Rew			• • •				
Parameters	<u>Units</u>	Waste Load			Result	ing Effluent Levels		- - 	
BOD	kg/kkg	90.7	2.0-4.0	1.5-3.0	1.0-1.5	0.3-0.5	0.05-0.15	0.05	
Suspended Solids	kg/kkg	75.2	1.0-4.0	1.0-3.0	0.75-1.5	0.2-0.3	0.05-0.15	0.05	
BOD	mg/1	9070	200-400	150-300	100-150	30-50	5-15	5	
Suspended Solids	mg/l	7520	100-400	100-300	75-150	20–30	5-15	5	
Dissolved Solids	mg/l	-		-		• •••		500	

85

Ď.

The

T∕bi T∕bi letical

alternative includes pH neutralization, primary sedifirst This mentation, complete-mix activated sludge, secondary sedimentation, effluent chlorination, and sludge dewatering. Anticipated effluent levels are 200 to 400 mg/l of BOD5 and 100 to 400 mg/l of suspended solids. These levels correspond to waste loads of 2.0 to 4.0 kg/kkg (lbs/1000 lbs) of BOD5 and 1.0 to 4.0 kg/kkg (lbs/1000 lbs) of solids. suspended solids.

27. (C. 2010) (C

Investment Cost: \$ 892,500 Total Annual Cost: \$ 240,700

Reduction Benefits: BOD5 reduction of 95.6 to 97.8 percent, suspended solids reduction of 94.7 to 98.7 percent.

ំ លោកលើសទីសាស្តាល ដំណូនីទៅទៅទីខែម សែនៅទេ ទីសំដែ Alternative B -- Equalization and Activated Sludge

This alternative includes 18 hours of aerated equalization ahead of the complete-mix activated sludge system described in Alternative A. Average effluent levels are estimated at 150 to 300 mg/1 for BOD5 and 100 to 300 mg/1 for suspended solids. These concentrations represent waste loads of 1.5 to 3.0 kg/kkg (lbs/1000 lbs) for BOD5 and 1.0 to 3.0 kg/kkg (lbs/1000 lbs) for suspended solids.

> Incremental costs are approximately Investment Cost: \$71,800 over Alternative A for a total cost of \$964,300.

Ost: Incremental costs are approximately Total Annual Cost: \$11,500 over Alternative A for a total annual cost of \$252,200.

an sha yayaa ka Safaa ay waxaa da k la chair an dhaa ka ann an an an an Reduction Benefits: BOD5 reduction of 96.7 to 98.3 percent and suspended solids reduction of 96.0 to 98.7 percent.

Alternative C -- Equalization, Activated Sludge, and Stabilization Lagoon

Alternative C adds a stabilization basin with 10-day retention to the preceding treatment system. BOD5 levels in the effluent are anticipated to be 100 to 150 mg/l, and suspended solids levels of 75 to 150 mg/l are expected. These values correspond to 1.0 to 1.5 kg/kkg (lbs/1000 lbs) for BOD5 and 0.75 to 1.4 kg/kkg (lbs/1000 lbs) for suspended solids.

> 안동물론 가지는 글리아이는 이렇게 거예되지? 같이 가지 않는 것. Investment Costs: Incremental costs of \$50,300 over Alternative B for a total cost of \$1,014,600.

Total Annual Costs: Incremental costs of \$8000. over Alternative B for a total cost of \$260,200.

el a sur como come co El sul del del sul acor co

Reduction Benefits: BOD5 reduction of 98.3 to 98.9 percent, suspended solids reduction of 98 to 99 percent. an general de le la companya a la presenta en la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la co La companya de la comp rentes (1.3). Standard (1.3)

Alternative D -- Equalization, Activated Sludge, and Deep Bed / seditation, Filtration Efluent. In this proposed system, deep bed filtration is added to the spended treatment system outlined in Alternative B. The stabilizat lagoon is deleted. BOD5 and suspended solids effluent levels of kg/kkg The stabilization lbs) of 30 50 mg/l and 20 to 30 mg/l, respectively, are anticipated. These concentrations represent 0.3 to 0.5 kg/kkg (lbs/1000 lbs) of BOD5 and 0.2 to 0.3 kg/kkg (lbs/1000 lbs) of suspended solids. Incremental costs of \$31,700 Investment Costs: over Alternative B for a total cost of \$996,000. Total Annual Costs: Incremental costs of \$11,800 over Alternative B for a total cost of \$264,000. Reduction Benefits: BOD5 reduction of 99.4 to 99.7 percent, suspended solids reduction of 99.6 to 99.7 ad of percent. ive A. r BOD5 Alternative Equalization, Activated Sludge, Deep Bed Ε ations Filtration, and Activated Carbon Filtration r BOD5 For Alternative E, activated carbon filtration is added to the previous treatment system in Alternative D. Effluent concentrations ly of 5 to 15 mg/l are expected for both BOD5 and suspended solids. 00. These levels correspond to 0.05 to 0.15 kg/kkg (lbs/1000 lbs) for both parameters. ly Investment Costs: Incremental costs of \$195,700 over Alternative D for a total cost of \$1,191,700. Total Annual Costs: Incremental costs of \$43,200 7 over Alternative D for a total cost of \$307,200. Reduction Benefits: BOD5 and suspended solids reductions of 99.8 to 99.9 percent. The effluent should be suitable for at least partial recycle. on to Activated Sludge, Deep Bed Equalization, Alternative \mathbf{F} nt are Filtration, Activated Carbon Filtration, and Reverse of 75 Osmosis o 1.5 0 1bsThis alternative includes reverse osmosis to reduce the total dissolved solids. Effluent levels of 5 mg/l for both BOD5 and suspended solids are anticipated, with a maximum dissolved solids concentration of 500 mg/1. Investment Costs: Incremental costs of \$158,700 over Alternative E for a total cost of \$1,350,400. Total Annual Costs: Incremental costs of \$46,300 over Alternative E for a total cost of \$353,500. it. 87

The Martine and the state of th

1 A. Marking

Reduction Benefits: BOD5 and suspended solids reductions of 99.9 percent. The effluent should be suitable for complete recycle.

NON-WATER QUALITY ASPECTS OF TREATMENT AND CONTROL TECHNOLOGIES

Air Pollution Control

With the proper operation of the types of biological treatment systems presented earlier in this section, no significant air pollution problems should develop. Since the waste waters from the breakfast cereal and wheat starch segments of the grain milling industry have high organic content, however, there is always the potential for Various methods of odor control are available and have been odors. extensively applied in the biological treatment of waste water. These methods include aeration, Chlorination, lime and other chemical addition, odor masking agents, and modified operating procedures. as they may result from biological treatment of wheat starch Ödors and ready-to-eat cereal waste are technological control. No significant odors would result above existing conditions. Care should be taken in the selection, design, and operation of biological treatment systems to prevent anaerobic conditions and thereby eliminate possible odor problems.

Solid Waste Disposal

가 있는 것이 있 같이 있는 것이 있

าสารีคล้างและไก้สาดเล้า คลัสสับประก

The treatment of waste waters from cereal and wheat starch plants will give rise to substantial quantities of solid wastes, particularly biological solids from activated sludge or comparable systems. Conventional methods for handling biological solids are applicable to these wastes such as digestion, dewatering, landfill, or incineration. Disposal of this solid material so as not to contribute to pollution of ground or surface waters is necessary.

For those waste materials considered to be non-hazardous where land disposal is the choice for disposal, practices similar to proper sanitary landfill technology may be followed. The principles set forth in the EPA's Land Disposal of Solid Wastes Guidelines (CFR Title 40, Chapter 1; Part 241) may be used as guidance for acceptable land disposal techniques.

those waste materials considered to be hazardous, disposal will For require special precautions. In order to ensure long-term protection of public health and the environment, special preparation and pretreatment may be required prior to disposal. If land disposal is to be practiced, these sites must not allow movement of pollutants such as fluoride and radium-226 to either ground or Sites should be selected that have natural soil and surface water. geological conditions to prevent such contamination or, if such conditions do not exist, artificial means (e.g., liners) must be provided to ensure long-term protection of the environment from Where appropriate, the location of solid hazardous materials. hazardous materials disposal sites should be permanently recorded in

the appropriate office of the legal jurisdiction in which the site is located.

Energy Requirements

The treatment technologies presently in use or proposed in this document do not require any processes with exceedingly high energy requirements. Power will be needed for aeration, pumping, centrifugation, and other unit operations. These requirements, generally, are a direct function of the volume treated and the waste strength. Thus, the greatest energy demands will occur in large ready-to-eat cereal plants.

For the hypothetical treatment systems described previously in this section, the power requirements are in the range of 75 to 370 kw (100 to 500 hp) for cereal plants and 150 to 220 kw (200 to 300 hp) for wheat starch plants. This level of demand is generally less than one percent of the total energy requirements of a typical ready-to-eat cereal or wheat starch plant. It was concluded that the energy needs for achieving needed waste water treatment constitute only a small portion of the energy demands of the entire industry, and these added demands can readily be accommodated by purchased and in-house power sources.

Syslution akfast have al for been water. emical dures. starch No Care 1 of ns and

S

y pararable is are ifill, bt to y. land proper set (CFR for will

plants

term ation land t of nd or and such t be from solid

ed in

SECTION IX

EFFLUENT REDUCTION ATTAINABLE THROUGH THE APPLICATION OF THE BEST PRACTICABLE CONTROL TECHNOLOGY CURRENTLY AVAILABLE EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS GUIDELINES

INTRODUCTION

The effluent limitations that must be achieved by July 1, 1977, are to specify the degree of effluent reduction attainable through the application of the best practicable control technology currently available. The best practicable control technology currently available is generally based upon the averages of the best existing performance by plants of various sizes, ages, and unit processes within the industrial category or subcategory. This average is not based on a broad range of plants within the grain milling industry, but on performance levels achieved by a combination of plants showing exemplary in-house performance and those with exemplary endof-pipe control technology.

Consideration must also be given to:

- a. the total cost of application of technology in relation to the effluent reduction benefits to be achieved from such application;
- b. the size and age of equipment and facilities involved;
- c. the processes employed and product mix;
- d. the engineering aspects of the application of various types of control techniques;
- e. process changes; and
- f. non-water quality environmental impact (including energy requirements).

Also, best practicable control technology currently available emphasizes treatment facilities at the end of a manufacturing process, but includes the control technologies within the process itself when the latter are considered to be normal practice within industry. A further consideration is the degree of economic and an engineering reliability which must be established for the technology to be "currently available." As a result of demonstration projects, pilot plants, and general use, there must exist a high degree of confidence in the engineering and economic practicability of the technology at the time of commencement of construction of installation of the control facilities. However, where pollution: control and abatement technology as presently applied in an industry is judged inadequate, effluent limitation guidelines for the industry category or subcategory may be based upon the transfer of

technology to reasonably achieve the effluent limitations standards as established. establishing the level of technology and effluent limitation In quidelines for the breakfast cereal, and wheat starch segment of the point source category, it is recognized that present plants, with only few exceptions, discharge the untreated or partially treated waste water to municipal sewage systems. Therefore, since no direct discharge to navigable waters results from the operation of industryowned treatment measures, effluent guidelines would have no direct application in these instances. However, the need for effluent quidelines for the ready-to-eat cereal and wheat starch manufacturing subcategories is evident where any plant modifications changes in existing practices would result in discharge of or process waste waters directly to navigable waters. EFFLUENT REDUCTION ATTAINABLE THROUGH THE APPLICATION OF BEST PRACTICABLE CONTROL TECHNOLOGY CURRENTLY AVAILABLE "你们,你是你们的你,我就是你的你,你不能能能不能能是我的你?"你们的我们还是你的问题。"你们你们不能是你?" 我们就是你们的你是你是你?""你们你不是你的你?"你们就是你们的你?""你们的你?""你们,你们不是你们,你们不能不是你?" Based on the information presented in Sections III through VIII of this report, it has been determined that the effluent reductions attainable through the application of the best practicable control technology currently available for these subcategories are those presented in Table 12. These values represent the maximum allowable waste water effluent loading for any 30 consecutive calendar days. Excursions above these levels are to be permitted with a maximum daily average of 3.0 times the average 30-day values listed below. The variances for maximum daily average are necessary to consider variation in production, plant operation, shock waste loads, and variable waste contributions. alize monoral construction of the management of the second o Table 12 1. 177 GA 14 AN 建铁铁石钢铁石 医外外丛软骨的 经推销的 化电量增加 Effluent Reduction Attainable Through the Application of Best Practicable Control Technology Currently Available* Suspended Solids p kg/kkg(1bs/1000_1bs) BOD5 <u>Н</u> kg/kkg (1bs/1000 1bs) Subcategory The state of the second s Animal feed No discharge of process waste manufacturing water pollutants Hot cereal No discharge of process waste manufacturing water pollutants Ready-to-eat cereal 0.40 6-9 manufacturing 0.40 Wheat starch and gluten manufacturing 2.0 2.0 6-9 *Maximum average of daily values for any period of 30 consecutive days. 1、1、1、¹ ()输出某些分配和AIA的实施了的问题的意义在这些问题的第三人称单数

92

[1886] · 호텔 영화 물로운 관리 문

IDENTIFICATION OF BEST PRACTICABLE CONTROL TECHNOLOGY CURRENTLY AVAILABLE

العينة المربية والمؤواتي ويكتبن ماد وتشعب رتسم مؤاد تراكر والمسب

The best practicable control technology currently available for the subcategories of the grain milling industry covered in this document generally consists of equalization, biological treatment (e.g. activated sludge), and effective solids separation. The specific technological means available to implement the specified effluent limitations are presented below for each subcategory.

Animal Feed Manufacturing

Animal feed manufacturing requires little process water and generates no waste waters. Hence, the effluent limitation of no discharge of process wastes is already being met.

Hot Cereal Manufacturing

The manufacture of hot cereals generates no process wastes. Thus, the effluent limitation of no discharge of process wastes is already being met.

Ready-to-Eat Cereal Manufacturing

more prove to the strategy of the

1 1 1 A 2

Waste waters from ready-to-eat cereal plants are generated primarily in cleanup operations. Although waste volumes can be reduced by inplant modifications, substantial reduction in the waste load from the plant is not an immediate possibility and treatment of the entire waste stream is necessary. Treatment includes:

1. Collection and equalization of flow

2. Primary sedimentation

3. Nutrient addition

4. Biological treatment using activated sludge or a comparable system

5. Secondary sedimentation

6. Additional biological treatment and/or solids removal

The technology presented in support of the recommended effluent guidelines limitations is as given under Alternative B of Section VIII of the Development Document in discussion of the ready-to-eat cereal subcategory. The technology includes treatment of the raw waste load by equalization, and activated sludge. For the readyto-eat cereal subcategory, the supportive technology would expected to provide an overall BOD and suspended solids removal be of 93.7 and 68.6 percent, respectively, over a 30 consecutive day The average raw waste BOD load is established at 6.6 kq period. (1000 1b) of cereal product corresponding to an (6.6 1b) per kkg average waste water flow of 5.82 cu m/kkg (0.7 gal/lb) of cereal

II of tions ntrol those wable days. ximum elow. sider , and

and

ation

of the

irect

stry-

luent tarch

tions

ge of

BEST

with eated

production and average raw waste BOD concentration of 1130 mg/1. Average raw waste suspended solids load is established at 1.4 kg (1.4 lb) per kkg (1000 lb) of cereal production corresponding to an average waste water flow of 5.82 cu m/kkg (0.7 gal/lb) of cereal waste production and average raw total suspended solids concentration of 240 mg/1. The average raw waste load would be reduced to the recommended limitation of 0.40 kg/kkg (0.40 lb/1000 1b) for both BOD and suspended solids. Corresponding treated effluent levels for BOD and suspended solids of 75 mg/l would result.

Wheat Starch and Gluten Manufacturing

5.

Wheat starch manufacturing plants generate moderate volumes of high strength waste waters. Substantial reductions in the total waste load by means of in-plant modifications practical are not under present manufacturing methods, and treatment of the entire waste stream is required as follows to meet the effluent જી અને મહુમાર કુલ્લુ અને ગળવાનું હતા કુલ્લ અને તુલિયે અને તિકુલ્લા અને તે કુલ્લું તે કુલ્લું છે. અને સાથ છે તે પ્રાયંત limitations:

1. Collection and equalization of flow างการและ สามารถสาย (2000) เป็นสาย การสาย (2000) เสรายเป็นสาย (2000) เสราย (2000) เป็นสาย (2000) เป็นสาย (2000) เป็นสาย (2000) (2000) (2000) (2000) (2000) (2000) A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL

网络小麦属黄金 机合合体

- pH neutralization 2.
- Primary sedimentation 3. 19. 40. 医内裂性关节间的 阳阳 化乙酰胺 化乙酰胺乙基乙酰胺 化环丁
- 4. Biological treatment using activated sludge or a comparable system க்கதறியை பலப்பிற்றும் (1000) கூறிரிய இதற்கு பிரியிலியில் இதியில் குடுத்தில் பிரியில் நிலைக்கு பிரியில் கல்லாம் கிறையில் பிறியில் குடுதல் குடையில் குடுதல் காண்டி அவர் பிருத்தும் கிறியில் அப்பிற்றும் இயில் நான் காண்டுகள் கிறியில் பிறியில் குடுதல் குடையில் குடுதல் அவர் பிருதல் பிருத்துகள் கிறியில் குடியில் திருதி திரியில் அப்புருதல
 - Final separation of solids by sedimentation prior
 - to discharge. or desirable. Additional filtration may be required يعر حشا

will be the second of the second s

technology presented in support of the recommended effluent The quidelines limitations is as given under Alternative B of Section VIII of the development document in discussion of the wheat starch and gluten manufacturing subcategory. The technology includes treatment of the raw waste load by equalization, and activated sludge. For the wheat starch and gluten manufacturing subcategory, the supportive technology would be expected to provide an overall and suspended solids removal of 97.8 and 97.4 BOD percent, respectively, over a 30 consecutive day period. The average raw waste BOD load is established at 90.7 kg (90.7 lb) per kkg (1000 lb) of raw material (flour) corresponding to an average waste water flow of 9.9 cu m/kkg (1.2 gal/lb) of raw material (flour) and average raw waste BOD concentration of 9057 mg/1. Average raw waste suspended solids load is established at 75.2 kg (75.2 lb) per kkg (1000 lb) of raw material (flour) corresponding to an average waste water flow of cu m/kkg (1.2 gal/1b) of raw material (flour) and average raw 9.9 waste total suspended solids concentration of 7509 mg/l. The average raw waste load would be reduced to the recommended limitation of 2.0 kg/kkg (2.0 lb/1000 lb) for both BOD and suspended solids. Corresponding treated effluent levels for BOD and suspended solids of 200 mg/1 would result.

RATIONALE FOR THE SELECTION OF BEST PRACTICABLE CONTROL TECHNOLOGY CURRENTLY AVAILABLE

and software the second

a change an an the

Animal Feed Manufacturing

since no process waste waters are generated in the manufacture of animal feed, an effluent limitation of no discharge is specified.

Hot Cereal Manufacturing

As with animal feed manufacturing, no waste waters are generated in the manufacture of hot cereal, and again an effluent limitation of no discharge is specified.

Ready-to-Eat Cereal Manufacturing

Cost of Application

Data developed on the cost of applying various treatment technologies are presented in Section VIII. Costs were developed for three ready-to-eat cereal plants of different sizes. For a small plant producing 90,700 kg/day (200,000 lbs/day), the investment cost for implementing the best practicable control technology currently available is about \$527,900 and the total annual cost is \$126,600. For a medium sized plant producing 226,800 kg/day (500,000 lbs/day), the investment cost is \$811,800 and the total annual cost is \$199,200. For a large plant producing 544,300 kg/day (1,200,000 lbs/day), the investment cost is \$1,277,500 and the total annual cost is \$314,200.

Age and Size of Production Facilities

The plants in this subcategory range in age from four to over 70 years. The chronological age of the original buildings, however, does not accurately reflect the degree of modernization of the production facilities. Periodic changes in the types of cereal produced frequently involve new production methods and equipment. As a result, it is not possible to differentiate between the basic production operations at the various plants on the basis of age.

Similarly, waste water characteristics from the ready-to-eat cereal plants cannot be classified according to plant age. Of the newer plants, several generate low raw waste loads in terms of BOD5 and suspended solids per unit of product and several yield rather high waste loads. At the same time, several older plants have low raw waste loads. The data graphically presented in Section V clearly demonstrate the absence of any practicable and reliable correlation based on plant age. Accordingly, it is concluded that the age of the plant is not a direct factor in determining the best practicable control technology currently available.

The size of the plant does have a direct influence as expected on the total amounts of contaminants discharged. In general, the larger the plant the greater the waste load. The effluent

high waste tical itire luent

mg/1. 4 kg

to an ereal

olids d be

/1000

eated Would

uenttion arch udes ated ory, rall ent, raw 1b) low raw nded of / of raw The ded ded ded

limitations presented herein have been developed in terms of unit of product, i.e., kg/kkg or lbs/1000 lbs of cereal, in order finished to reflect the influence of plant size. The control technologies discussed in Section VIII, however, are applicable to all plants regardless of size. 化物质素化 医弗朗特氏的现在分词的 化机械管理 网络小学

and a construction of the second state of the second state of the second state of the state of the second state

က ကျက်လာတွင် သည်။ သူတွင် ကျက်လာတွင်ကျက်က ကျောကျက်လာတဲ့ ကျက်လာတွင် က

And Tables in the second states of the second state

Production Processes

Although the manufacturing processes employed in ready-to-eat cereal plants vary depending on the type of cereal being produced, the basic unit processes are standard across the industry. These unit processes, as discussed in Section IV, include various combinations extrusion, flaking, shredding, puffing, Production processes within the industry cooking, of mixing, toasting, and packaging. do not provide a basis for subcategorization, nor are they a factor in determining the best practicable control technology currently available.

Product Mix

As mentioned previously in describing the ready-to-eat cereal industry, a wide variety of different types of cereal is produced at the various plants throughout the country. Furthermore, the product mix at a given plant may vary significantly on a monthly, weekly, and even daily basis. Attempts were made to correlate raw waste loads with type of cereal produced, such as flaked, puffed, extruded, coated, and non-coated. The available data did not indicate a correlation between waste loads and variation in product mix. One possible relationship was indicated, that being the variation of organic waste load with the percentage of cereals being sugar-coated, but this relationship could not be quantitatively defined and in practice would be administratively difficult to interpret. There is no evidence to suggest that the waste waters generated from any specific cereal manufacturing process so affect the character of the total plant waste stream as to substantially reduce the ability of the plant to implement the best practicable control technology currently available.

Engineering Aspects of Application

The engineering feasibility of achieving the effluent limitations using the technology discussed has been examined. None of the ready-to-eat cereal plants provide extensive waste water treatment with discharge directly to the receiving waters. The best practicable control technology currently available does not represent current practice of any cereal plant. All plants presently discharge their process waste water, with or without partial treatment, to municipal sewage systems with one exception. one plant now discharging directly to receiving waters The anticipates connection to a municipal sewage system in the near The availability of municipal systems has not necessitated future. development and the application of available treatment measures the specific use in the ready-to-eat cereal industry. for The technology as presently demonstrated in the industry is inadequate,

n in end and but British and the

96

e na se sa se a constructives de la constructive de la constructive de la constructive de la constructive de la La constructive de la constructive d

An unin The Welling of The State

and transfer of technology for similar wastes is appropriate. The effectiveness of these technologies for treatment of ready-to-eat cereal waste has been satisfactorily indicated through pilot plants prototype operations as described in Section VII of this and document. Data from one pretreatment plant clearly indicate that this type of waste water is amenable to biological treatment. Accordingly, the treatment technology recommended is considered to practicable means for achieving the specific effluent he а The treatment technology is readily available. limitations. On an overall industry basis, these effluent limitations will result in a BOD5 reduction of approximately 95 percent and a suspended solids reduction of about 69 percent.

and the state of the second second

no se a distance antas China Constant Strate and a the se have been been been and a second of the second of the

Based on present waste water volumes in the industry, the average treated effluent resulting from the application of these effluent limitations will contain about 75 mg/l of BOD5 and suspended solids.

Non-Water Quality Environmental Impact

In terms of the non-water quality environmental impact, the only item of possible concern is the increased energy consumption to operate the waste water treatment facilities. Relative to the production plant energy needs, this added load is small and not of significant impact. For example, the power requirements for waste handling and disposal in the application of the best practicable control technology currently available to a medium sized ready-toeat cereal plant are estimated to be 100 kilowatts (135 hp). This demand represents less than one percent of the plant's total power usage.

Wheat Starch and Gluten Manufacturing

Cost of Application

The investment and annual costs for implementing various control technologies were presented in Section VIII. To implement the best practicable control technology currently available in order to meet the specified effluent limitations, the costs for a typical medium sized wheat starch plant were estimated to be \$964,300 for investment and \$252,200 in total annual costs.

Age and Size of Production Facilities

The plants in this subcategory range in age from three to over 30 years. As with the cereal industry, the age of the original plant building does not, however, reflect the degree of modernization of the production facilities. Since the plants continually incorporate new production techniques, no reliable generalizations between the basic production operations employed at various plants and the age of the plant can be made.

Available data indicates a possible relationship between plant age and raw waste loads. On the basis of Figures 17 and 18 in Section V, BOD5 and suspended solids loads show some correlation with wheat

real the init ions ing, stry stor itly

t_of

rder

jies ints

:eal l at luct :ly, iste ied, not luct the ing ely to ers ect lly ble

ons the ent est not not out on. ers ear ted res The te, starch plant age, and a general trend of increasing waste loads with increasing age was indicated. It is important however to note that the older wheat starch plants also tend to be the larger ones in terms of plant capacity. Thus, the indicates correlations may be strongly influenced by other factors, the most important of which is likely plant capacity.

正式採用作用ない

الجي الأفاقيمة المرتكون مرتبعه المزملة والمرجاني الأ

The size of the plant as expected has a direct influence upon the total amounts of contaminants discharged. The effluent limitations presented herein for the wheat starch and gluten manufacturing subcategory have been developed in terms of units of raw material kg/kkg or lbs/1000 lbs of wheat flour, in order to i.e., input, reflect the influence of plant size. Available data does indicate a possible relationship between suspended solids and plant size or capacity, but no relationship between BOD5 and plant size. A narrow range of raw waste load values exists per unit of raw material The control technologies discussed in Section VIII are input. judged applicable to all wheat starch plants regardless of size. المراجعين ومادين معرفين مراجع ومانيا ومانيه. - مانية مراجع المراجع ومانية مراجع مانية المراجع

Engineering Aspects of Application

As with the ready-to-eat cereal subcategory, none of the wheat starch and gluten plants provide extensive waste water treatment direct discharge to receiving waters. One wheat starch and with gluten manufacturing plant does provide substantial pretreatment of the plant waste water prior to discharge to a municipal sewage system. The best practicable control technology currently available does not represent the current practice at any wheat starch and gluten manufacturing plant. As noted previously, current practice is to discharge the process waste water, either without treatment or with partial treatment, to municipal sewage systems. Because of the proximity to municipal systems and the ready acceptance of this waste by municipal facilities, a great deal of research and experimentation for separate treatment of wheat starch and gluten manufacturing wastes has not been necessitated. Specific application for treatment of wheat starch wastes has been principally limited to one operational pretreatment facility and pilot plant study. The technology as currently demonstrated in the industry is inadequate where direct discharge of process waste waters to navigable waters may result. Under the circumstances, а transfer of technology in establishing effluent limitations is appropriate.

Available information from full-scale pretreatment, and pilot plant studies firmly establishes the ready biodegradability of the wastes without the addition of nutritional additions. Present knowledge of waste treatability and efficiency of removal of pollutants with available unit process waste water treatment sequences, reasonably establishes the predictability of overall pollutant removal efficiency to be attained through additional and/or alternate physical, chemical, and biological treatment processes.

The transfer of technology has been adopted on the basis of anticipated end-of-pipe treatment of process waste water, even

编 研究院院会派学校大学校 同时 化合理系统 医胃囊性性尿道的 计行

though it is well recognized that in-plant control measures (water conservation and waste water recycling) and land application have promise of offering practical and effective means of waste load reduction in many instances, and may effectively complement end-ofpipe treatment measures. High pollutant reduction levels (BOD5 and suspended solids) are necessitated particularly in the wheat starch and gluten manufacturing subcategory because of the extremely high initial raw waste load characteristic of this industry. Technology exists to effectively reduce the effluent load limitations to the specific level. Attainment of this level of technology is judged and is currently available. The practical, final effluent concentrations to be realized by applying the specified control technologies will be about 200 mg/l of BOD5 and suspended solids.

a na sa s

Server and the second

Non-Water Quality Impact

The non-water quality environmental impact is restricted to the increased power consumption required for the treatment facility. This power consumption is quite small compared to the total energy requirements for a wheat starch plant and, therefore, the impact of the control facilities is considered insignificant.

LIMITATIONS ON THE APPLICATION OF THE EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS GUIDELINES

The effluent limitations guidelines presented above can generally be applied to all plants in each subcategory of the grain milling industry covered in this report. Special circumstances in individual plants, however, may warrant careful evaluation.

Also, it must be recognized that the treatment of high strength carbohydrate wastes, notably from wheat starch plants, is difficult. Upset conditions may occur that result in higher BOD5 and suspended solids discharges than normal. While the treatment sequence defined as best practicable control technology currently available will minimize these upsets, they may still occur. The allowance in the effluent limitations guidelines to reflect maximum daily values properly considers the momentary variations in waste load and treatment efficiency which are expected to occur.

99

neat ment ı and of Wage .able and tice t or the this and uten ific been and the aste

with

that

tions

t of

n the

tions

uring

erial

er to

ite a ≥ or

arrow ≥rial

are

Эr

lant stes e of with ably oval nate

of ven

, a s is

SECTION X

EFFLUENT REDUCTION ATTAINABLE THROUGH THE APPLICATION OF THE BEST AVAILABLE TECHNOLOGY ECONOMICALLY ACHIEVABLE EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS GUIDELINES

INTRODUCTION

The effluent limitations that must be achieved by July 1, 1983, are to specify the degree of effluent reduction attainable through the application of the best available technology economically achievable. This control technology is not based upon an average of the best performance within an industrial category, but is determined by identifying the very best control and treatment technology employed by a specific plant within the industrial category or subcategory, or readily transferable from one industry process to another.

Consideration must also be given to:

- a. the total cost of application of this control technology in relation to the effluent reduction benefits to be achieved from such application;
- b. the size and age of equipment and facilities involved;
- c. the processes employed;
- d. the engineering aspects of the application of this control technology:

• • • • • • • • • •

- e. process changes; and
- f. non-water quality environmental impact (including energy requirements).

Best available technology economically achievable also considers the availability of in-process controls as well as end-of-process control and additional treatment techniques. This control technology is the highest degree that has been achieved or has been demonstrated to be capable of being designed for plant scale operation up to and including "no discharge" of pollutants.

Although economic factors are considered in this development, the costs for this level of control are intended to be the top-of-theline of current technology subject to limitations imposed by economic and engineering feasibility. However, this control technology may be characterized by some technical risk with respect to performance and with respect to certainty of costs. Therefore, this control technology may necessitate some industrially sponsored development work prior to its application. In establishing the level of technology and effluent limitations guidelines for the breakfast cereal, and wheat starch segment of the grain mills point source category, it is recognized that present plants, with only few exceptions, discharge untreated or partially treated waste water to municipal sewage systems. While direct discharge to municipal systems are the result, effluent guidelines as applicable to discharge to navigable waters from industrial guidelines for the ready-to-eat and wheat starch manufacturing subcategories is apparent where any plant modifications or changes in existing practices would result in discharge of process waste waters directly to navigable waters.

er (p. 1.). Transford (p. 1.). Transford (p. 1.).

EFFLUENT REDUCTION ATTAINABLE THROUGH THE APPLICATION OF THE BEST AVAILABLE TECHNOLOGY ECONOMICALLY ACHIEVABLE

Based on the information contained in Sections III through VIII of this document, it has been determined that the effluent reductions attainable through the application of the best available technology economically achievable are those presented in Table 13. The values presented in Table 13 represent the maximum allowable waste water effluent loading for any 30 consecutive calendar days. To allow for variances, excursions above these levels are permitted for a maximum daily average of 3.0 times the average 30-day values. These standards are based on unit weight of pollutant per unit weight of raw material (wheat starch) for the wheat starch and gluten subcategory, and per unit weight of finished cereal product for the ready-to-eat cereal subcategory.

		. 1. 3		-	<u> </u>
45	1112	ະກະ	0		-
Ξ λ	·		-	•	-
1-0 ·	. et 15	 REAL TO 	845 N.A	10 ¹⁰ 1.1	12116

Effluent Reduction Attainable Through the Application of Best Available Technology Economically Achievable Industry BOD Suspended Solids pH kg/kkg (lbs/1000 lbs) kg/kkg (lbs/1000 lbs) Subcategory Animal feed No discharge of process wastes manufacturing Hot cereal NE 2014 CONTRACTOR AND manufacturing No discharge of process wastes Ready-to-eat cereal manufacturing 0.20 0.15 6-9 Wheat starch and gluten manufacturing 0.50 0.40 6-9 IDENTIFICATION OF BEST AVAILABLE TECHNOLOGY ECONOMICALLY ACHIEVABLE For the segments of the grain milling industry covered in this

For the segments of the grain milling industry covered in this document, the best available technology economically achievable for those subcategories with waste water discharges comprises improved solids separation following activated sludge or comparable biological treatment. Improved solids separation can be represented best by deep bed filtration and/or carbon filtration although alternative systems may be available. It is anticipated that the

T. Martin Carlos

에 실려도 또

the sent ally cect ines cial cing nges aste

BEST

of logy lues ter for mum lese ten the

LE

his for ved ble ted ugh the technology of removing biological solids by filtration will improve rapidly with the increased use of such treatment processes in many industries and municipalities.

Improved stability and performance of the biological treatment processes is a significant factor in the successful application of deep bed filtration. At present, upsets do occur in activated sludge systems handling high strength waste waters and might be expected to result in some efficiency and effectiveness loss of deep bed filtration. A reasonable allowance must be made in the established effluent guidelines limitations to account for variance in daily effluent quality with best operation.

The technology presented in support of the recommended effluent quidelines limitations for the ready-to-eat cereal subcategory is as given under Alternative D of Section VIII of the Development Document in discussion of the ready-to-eat cereal subcategory. The technology includes treatment of the raw waste load by equalization, activated sludge and deep bed filtration. For the ready-to-eat cereal subcategory, the supportive technology would be expected to provide an overall BOD and suspended solids removal of 97.4 and 87.1 percent, respectively, over a 30 consecutive day period. The average raw waste BOD load is established at 6.6 kg (6.6 lb) per kkg (1000 lb) of cereal product corresponding to an average waste water flow of 5.82 cu m/kkg (0.7 gal/lb) of cereal production and average raw waste BOD concentration of 1130 mg/1. Average raw waste suspended solids load is established at 1.4 kg (1.4 lb) per kkg (1000 lb) of cereal corresponding to an average waste water flow of 5.82 cu m/day (0.7 gal/lb) of cereal production and average raw waste total suspended solids concentration of 240 mg/l. The average raw waste load would be reduced to the recommended limitation of kg/kkg (0.20 lb/1000 lb) for BOD and 0.15 kg/kkg (0.15 lb/1000 0.20 1b) for suspended solids. Corresponding treated effluent levels for BOD and suspended solids of 30 mg/l would result.

The technology presented in support of the recommended effluent guidelines limitations for the wheat starch and gluten manufacturing subcategory is as given under Alternative D of Section VIII of the Development Document in discussion of the wheat starch and gluten manufacturing subcategory. The technology includes treatment of the raw waste load by equalization, activated sludge and deep bed filtration. For the wheat starch and gluten manufacturing subcategory, the supportive technology would be expected to provide overall BOD and suspended solids removals of 99.4 percent over a 30 The average raw waste BOD load day period. consecutive is established at 90.7 kg (90.7 lb) per kkg (1000 lb) of cereal product corresponding to an average waste water flow of 9.9 cu m/kkg (1.2 gal/lb) of cereal production and average raw waste BOD concentration 9057 Average raw waste suspended solids load is of mg/1. established at 75.2 kg (75.2 lb) per kkg (1000 lb) of cereal corresponding to an average waste water flow of 9.9 cu m/kkg (1.2 gal/lb) of cereal production and average raw waste total suspended solids concentration of 7509 mg/l. The average raw waste load would to the recommended limitation of 0.50 kg/kkg (0.50 be reduced

-1.4	
	<pre>lb/1000 lb) for BOD and 0.40 kg/kkg (0.40 lb/1000 lb) for suspended solids. Corresponding treated effluent levels for BOD and suspended solids of 50 mg/l would result.</pre>
	RATIONALE FOR THE SELECTION OF THE BEST AVAILABLE TECHNOLOGY ECONOMICALLY ACHIEVABLE
	Ready-to-Eat Cereal Manufacturing
	As presented in Section VIII, the investment costs for providing the
and the second se	best available technology economically achievable are \$563,300 for a small cereal plant (90,700 kg/day), \$875,300 for a medium sized plant (226,800 kg/day), and \$1,411,700 for a large plant (544,300 kg/day). Total annual costs for the three size ranges are \$139,300, \$223,100, and \$359,900, respectively.
	Age, Size, and Type of Production Facilities
	As discussed in Section IX, differences in age or size of production facilities in the ready-to-eat cereal manufacturing subcategory do not significantly affect the application of the best available technology economically achievable. Likewise, the production methods employed by the different plants are similar and do not affect the applicability of this technology.
	Engineering Aspects of Application
A set of the set of	As similarly discussed for best practicable control technology currently available in Section IX, the control technologies specified herein have not been specifically demonstrated for process waste water from ready-to-eat cereal plants. The basic treatment processes in attaining the specified level of effluent load limitations have received industrial and municipal application in recent years with successful production of a high quality effluent.
والم المحمد المحمد المحمد المحمد المحمد المحمد المحمد	Present process waste water treatment technology demonstrated in the industry is judged inadequate. A transfer of available technology is necessary where process waste waters are to be treated with direct discharge to navigable waters. The technology utilized in attaining the stipulated effluent limitations is readily transferrable. This technology may be substantially aided by in- process control such as reduction of water use and pollutant
	contributions from clean-up operations. The technology is judged economically and technologically feasible. Biodegradability of the process waste water with nutrient addition has been demonstrated and fully established through an existing full-scale pretreatment
	facility now in operation. The technology has strong promise of producing an effluent of 30 mg/1 of both BOD5 and suspended solids.
	Process Changes

pended pended

NOLOGY

ng the for a sized 44,300 9,300,

action ry do ilable action lo not

nology logies rocess atment load on ∙in ient. n the lology with ed in adily y in-.utant judged the ed and tment e of .ids.

No basic process changes will be necessary to implement these control technologies. Substitution of dry clean-up for wet clean-up operations can substantially reduce pollutant loads from the industry.

en eksen beeld han to State States and a service of a state of the service of the service of the service of the

والمعادية والمراجع

Non-water Quality Environmental Aspects

The application of the best available technology economically achievable will not create any new sources of air or land pollution, or require significantly more energy than the best practicable control technology currently available. Power needs for this level of treatment technology were estimated to be about 115 kw (155 hp) for a medium sized plant as defined in Section VIII. This demand is when compared to the total production plant power small requirements.

Wheat Starch and Gluten Manufacturing

Cost of Application

The investment cost of applying the best available technology economically achievable, defined above, to a moderate-sized wheat starch and gluten plant has been estimated in Section VIII to be \$996,000. Total annual costs are estimated at \$264,000.

Age, Size, and Type of Production Facilities

As discussed in Section IX, the application of this level of control technology is not dependent upon the size or age of the plants. Production methods employed by the different plants are similar and do not affect the applicability of this technology.

Engineering Aspects of Application

previously discussed in relation to ready-to-eat cereal plants, As specified treatment technology has not been specifically the demonstrated for wheat starch and gluten manufacturing process waste these processes are readily waters. However, available, transferrable from other treatment applications and economically and technically feasible. Technology as now practiced is judged inadequate where direct discharge of treated process waste water to navigable waters result. The technology may be aided by reduction in-plant clean-up water use (generally representing 5 to 10 of percent of the total process waste water flow), and recycling of process water in the production operation. Biodegradability of the waste has been firmly established by results at one operational pretreatment facility, and pilot plant studies. High organic removals are necessitated by the extraordinarily high pollutant potential of the representative waste water. The technology will result in effluent concentrations of 100 mg/l of BOD5 and suspended solids.

Process Changes

영국 전 가장 가지?

าสาวประกาศตาษณ์ขณะสิรสินสินสมสตา พระปกการสินประสมสัตวิทยาลได้ เห็นเร็จรูกหาวงการ การการการการการการการการการกา basic changes are necessary to implement these control No technologies. Reduction in water use, and recycling of water for production purposes can reduce the reliance upon end-of-pipe treatment technology. Contraction of the state of the second se

ning make a company

R.,

-9301 C -5

Neri kitera ayan tina Meri yang dinakar

بوجد بوج ب

الا المائية المراجع ال المراجع المراجع

and a second second

8 10 10 10 10

4. 1. 1.

Non-water Quality Environmental Aspects

الولية فأسترقف الملاحد المداؤل أتحادي Power requirements for the prescribed treatment system are small compared to the overall production demands. The estimated energy requirement for waste treatment at a typical wheat starch plant is 185 kw (250 hp). Other environmental considerations will not be affected by the application of this control technology.

်း ကျေးများ ကိုပြည့် သူကိုမ်းကိုက်ကျင်းနှင့်သည့် မျွတ်ခြင်း သူ့နှင့် အ

The second se

a second

الولى 100 (مىلىك 12 كىلىكى مىلاتى

The provide set of the set of

an an an Arta an an Arga

例 使品质性的现在分词

a gang namingke 🔨

106
SECTION XI

NEW SOURCE PERFORMANCE STANDARDS

INTRODUCTION

Standards of performance are presented in this section for new sources. The term "new source" is defined to mean "any source, the construction of which is commenced after the publication of the proposed regulations prescribing a standard of performance." These standards of performance are to reflect higher levels of pollution control that may be available through the application of improved production processes and/or treatment techniques.

Consideration should be given to the following factors:

- a. the type of process employed and process changes;
- b. operating methods and in-plant controls;
- c. batch as opposed to continuous operations;
- d. use of alternative raw materials;
- e. use of dry rather than wet processes; and
- f. recovery of pollutant as by-products.

The new source performance standards represent the best in-plant and end-of-process control technology coupled with the use of new and/or improved manufacturing processes. In the development of these performance standards, consideration must be given to the practicability of a standard permitting "no discharge" of pollutants.

NEW SOURCE PERFORMANCE STANDARDS

The performance standards for new sources in the subcategories of the grain milling industry covered in this document are presented in Table 14. Standards (BOD and suspended solids) are given in terms of unit weight of pollutant per unit weight of raw material (wheat flour) for the wheat starch and gluten subcategory and per unit weight of finished cereal product for the ready-to-eat cereal subcategory.

Table 14

New Source Performance Standards*

BOD Suspended Solids kq/kkg(lbs/1000 lbs) kg/kkg(lbs/1000 lbs)

Animal feed		· · · · ·	
manufacturing	No dischar	ge of process wast	es
Hot cereal		,	
manufacturing	No dischar	ge of process wast	es
Ready-to-eat cereal			
manufacturing	0.20	0.15	6-9
Wheat starch and	-		
gluten manufacturing	1.0	1.0	6-9

*Maximum average of daily values for any period of 30 consecutive days.

The values given in Table 13 reflect the maximum allowable waste water effluent loading for any 30 consecutive calendar days. To allow for variances, excursions above these levels are permitted for a maximum daily average of 3.0 times the average 30-day levels.

RATIONALE FOR THE SELECTION OF NEW SOURCE PERFORMANCE STANDARDS

Ready-to-Eat Cereal Manufacturing

The performance standards for new sources in the ready-to-eat cereal subcategory are identical to the effluent limitations prescribed as attainable through the application of the best available technology economically achievable as presented in Section X.

The technology presented in support of the recommended effluent guidelines limitations is as given under Alternative D of Section VIII of the Development Document in discussion of the ready-to-eat cereal subcategory. The technology includes treatment of the raw bed waste load by equalization, activated sludge and deep For the ready-to-eat cereal subcategory, the supportive filtration. technology would be expected to provide an overall BOD and suspended solids removal of 97.4 and 87.1 percent, respectively, over a 30 consecutive day period. The average raw waste BOD load is established at 6.6 kg (6.6 lb) per kkg (1000 lb) of cereal product corresponding to an average waste water flow of 5.82 cu m/kkg (0.7 gal/lb) of cereal production and average raw waste BOD concentration Average raw waste suspended solids load is of 1130 mq/1. per kkg (1000 lb) (1.4 lb) of cereal established at 1.4 kg corresponding to an average waste water flow of 5.82 cu m/kkg (0.7 gal/lb) of cereal production and average raw waste total suspended solids concentration of 240 mg/l. The average raw waste load would be reduced to the recommended limitation of 0.20 kg/kkg (0.20 lb/1000 lb) for BOD and 0.15 kg/kkg (0.15 lb/1000 lb) for suspended Corresponding treated effluent levels for BOD and suspended solids. solids of 30 mg/l would result.

рH

The specific control technologies to meet the new source performance standards are not presented in this document. The end-of-process treatment is to be equivalent to that suggested for the best control technology economically achievable. Recognizing that this level of waste water treatment has not been demonstrated in this segment of the grain milling industry, it is nonetheless felt that this technology will meet the new source standards. Factors considered in developing these standards are summarized in the following discussion.

Production Processes

The basic production methods employed in ready-to-eat cereal manufacturing are not likely to be altered significantly in the future. Although new types of equipment are constantly being developed and incorporated into the manufacturing operations, the basic process will probably remain largely in its present form. Furthermore, since most waste waters from a ready-to-eat cereal plant are generated in cleanup operations, it is not anticipated that changes in production processes will significantly alter waste characteristics and waste water flow volumes contributed by this industry.

Operating Methods and In-Plant Controls

As discussed in Section VII, in-plant controls are not anticipated to have a major effect on waste loads from ready-to-eat cereal plants. New plants do offer the possibility of incorporating controls such as dry-collection systems for product spillage, but significant usage of water in wet cleanup operations may still be expected.

By-Product Recovery

At present, most plants in this segment of the grain milling industry recover substantial amounts of product spillage in a dry form for use in animal feed. These recoveries might be increased at new plants by implementing improved collection methods and systems, but no new recovery methods are presently anticipated.

Wheat Starch and Gluten Manufacturing

The new source performance standards for the wheat starch and gluten manufacturing subcategory fall between the technology required to meet the effluent limitations guidelines established for the best practicable control technology currently available and the best available technology economically achievable. These standards include biological treatment, final sedimentation, and a further solids removal step such as a stabilization basin or deep bed filters. Two factors properly influence the selection of the proposed new source performance standard. One is the extremely high organic strength and suspended solids concentrations of the process waste water from wheat starch plants, which make waste load reductions beyond conventional secondary treatment quite difficult.

aste To for

real as logy

uent tion -eat raw bed tive nded a 30' is duct (0.7 tion is real (0.7 nded bluc 0.20. nded lded

1 Barrow

A second factor is that the degree of pollutant reduction required by end-of-process treatment has not been specifically demonstrated at any full-scale plant, even though reliable technology is available and transferrable. Water reuse and conservation offer alternatives to reducing waste loads through in-plant controls, and together with end-of-pipe treatment, may be the most effective means of pollutant reduction. Several new plants now under construction are incorporating such in-plant measures for substantial reductions in water use and waste loads.

The production processes at existing wheat starch plants are basically the same throughout the industry. It is known that two new plants, presently under construction, anticipate major reductions in water usage and waste loads. These waste load reductions have yet to be demonstrated, however. If improved waste water characteristics do result at these plants, re-evaluation of the proposed new source performance standards may be warranted.

The technology presented in support of the recommended effluent guidelines limitations is as given under Alternative D of Section VIII of the Development Document in discussion of the wheat starch and gluten manufacturing subcategory. The technology includes treatment of the raw waste load by equalization, activated sludge deep bed filtration. For the wheat starch and gluten and subcategory, the supportive technology would be expected to provide an overall BOD and suspended solids removal of 99 and 98.7 percent, respectively, over a 30 consecutive day period. The average raw waste BOD load is established at 90.7 kg (90.7 lb) per kkg (1000 lb) of cereal product corresponding to an average waste water flow of 9.9 cu m/kkg (1.2 gal/1b) of cereal production and average raw waste BOD concentration of 9057 mg/1. Average raw waste suspended solids load is established at 75.2 kg (75.2 lb) per kkg (1000 lb) of cereal corresponding to an average waste water flow of 9.9 cu m/kkg (1.2 gal/lb) of cereal production and average waste total suspended solids concentration of 7509 mg/l. The average raw waste load would be reduced to the recommended limitation of 1.0 kg/kkg (1.0 lb/1000 1b) for both BOD and suspended solids. Corresponding treated effluent levels for BOD and suspended solids of 100 mg/1 would result.

SECTION XII uired rated ACKNOWLEDGMENTS y is offer , and This study was performed in its investigative, data gathering, and means preparatory aspects by the firm of Sverdrup & Parcel and Associates, lction Inc., St. Louis, Missouri, under the direction of Dr. H.G. Schwartz, tions Mr. Alan Carter served as the principal Engineer. Mr. Richard Jr. V. Watkins, P.E. of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency served the principal project officer on the work. Mr. Robert J. Carton as are served as the EPA project officer during the early stages of the t two project. major load Appreciation is wished to be extended to the many people in the waste animal feed, breakfast cereal, and wheat starch industries who on of cooperated in providing information for this study. Special mention is given to company representatives who were particularly helpful in this effort: luent ection Mr. J. F. Lavery of Baker/Beech-Nut Corporation; starch Mr. G. R. D. Williams of CPC International Inc; ludes Mr. John Wingfield and Mr. Howard Hall of Centennial Mills; sludge Mr. Robert Cerosky, Mr. V. J. Herzing, and Mr. M. A. Tubbs of luten General Foods Corporation; covide Mr. J. W. Haun, Mr. Donald Thimsen, and Mr. Bob Syrup of cent, General Mills, Inc; raw Mr. J. W. Gentzkow of General Mills Chemicals, Inc;)0 lb) Mr. George T. Gould of Gould Engineering Company; low of Mr. Paul Kehoe, Mr. Bill Boyd, and Mrs. Toni Carrigan of waste The Kellogg Company: solids Mr. C. E. Swick of Kent Feeds; ereal Mr. Lloyd Sutter of Loma Linda Foods; **j (l.**2 Mr. Donavon L. Pautzke and Mr. Ken Klimisch of Malt-O-Meal Company; pended Mr. Leonard Nash of Nabisco, Inc; would Mr. W. F. Hanser and Mr. W. H. Drennan of National Oats Company; ×1000 Mr. A. J. Sowden, Mr. T. R. Sowden, and Mr. Gary Lowrance of ceated New Era Milling Company; would Mr. Tom Mole of Quaker Oats Company; Mr. Frank Hackmann and Mr. C. B. Smith of Ralston Purina Company; Mr. William Hagenbach and Mr. Robert Popma of the A. E. Staley Manufacturing Company. Acknowledgment is also given to Dr. Eugene B. Hayden, President of the Cereal Institute, and Mr. Oakley Ray, President of the American Feed Manufacturers Association, who were helpful in providing input to this study and in soliciting the cooperation of their member companies. Special mention and acknowedgment is made of the following EPA grain industry working group members who assisted in the project evaluation and review of the draft and final documents: John E. Riley, Chairman and Ernst Hall, Deputy Director, Effluent Guidelines Division; G.W. Frick and R.E. McDevitt, Office of the General 111

ى يەرىپىدى بىرى يېلىپى يېل سىرىمى يېلىپى يېلىپى

ار میں در ادیک میں دیک میں ہوئے ایک میں اور ان کا یہ داخلہ کا معلومان ا

Counsel; Maxwell Cochran and Kenneth Dostal, NERC, Corvallis; Edmund Struzeski, NFIC, Denver; Arthur Mallon, ORD; William Sonnett, Permit Assistance and Gail Load, Office of Planning and Evaluation.

Acknowledgment is made of the assistance provided by the EPA regional offices and research centers as well as those in State and municipal offices who provided information and assistance during the study.

The contributions of Acquanetta Delaney, Barbara Wortman and Jane D. Mitchell in the preparation of the manuscript are gratefully acknowledged.

SE	CTIO	N	X	I	\mathbf{I}	[C
	2.1		$r \in \mathbb{N}$	-	$\sim 10^{-10}$		1	
					1			

2 - 1 <mark>1</mark> 2

n dara na si mata na si

Jł.

2

ما مروم وم المان

REF	'ER	EN	CES	

รัพบกลี	SECTION XIII
ermit	DEFEDENCES
L	
cipal Y•	1. "Battle Creek - Cereal Capital of the World", <u>Inside Battle</u> <u>Creek</u> , Battle Creek Public Schools Brochure No. 6, 1965.
ne D. fully	2. "Breakfast Cereals, Part of Modern Life", <u>Cereal Institute</u> <u>Publication</u> , February, 1973.
	3. Brody, Julius, <u>Fishery By-Products</u> <u>Technology</u> , AVI Publishing Company, Inc., Westport, Connecticut, 1965.
	4. Dyer, Irwin A., and O'Mary, C. C., <u>The Feedlot</u> , Lea & Febiger, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, 1972,
	5. Eynon, Lewis, and Lane, J. Henry, <u>Starch, Its Chemistry,</u> <u>Technology, and Uses</u> , W. Heffer and Sons Ltd., Cambridge, 1928.
	6. Koon, J. H., Adams, Carl E., Jr., Eckenfelder, W. Wesley, Jr., "Analysis of National Industrial Water Pollution Control Costs", submitted to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Economic Analysis, Washington, D. C., May 21, 1973.
• • •	7. Matz, Samuel A., <u>Cereal Technology</u> , AVI Publishing Company, Inc., Westport, Connecticut, 1970.
!	8. Matz, Samuel A., <u>The Chemistry and Technology of Cereals as Food</u> <u>and Feed</u> , AVI Publishing Company, Inc., Westport, Connecticut, 1959.
	9. Patterson, W. L., and Banker, R. F., Black & Veatch Consulting Engineers, "Estimating Costs and Manpower Requirements for Conventional Wastewater Treatment Facilities", Report for the Office of Research and Monitoring, Environmental Protection Agency, October, 1971.
1	10. Radley, J. A., <u>Starch and Its Derivatives</u> , Chapman & Hall Ltd., London, 1940.
- - - - - -	11. Reece, F. N., "Design of a Small Pushbutton Feed Mill for Research Stations", <u>Feedstuffs</u> , pp. 39-40, September 24, 1973.
	$ \mathbf{x} $, where $ \mathbf{x} $ is the second sec
	12. Riggs, J. K., "Fifty Years of Progress in Beef Cattle Nutrition", <u>Journal of Animal Science</u> , Volume 17, 981-1006, 1958.
	13. Sanford, F. Bruce, "Utilization of Fishery By-Products in Washington and Oregon", Fishery Leaflet No. 370, U.S.
	113

Department of the Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service, March, 1950.

د چې د ده او او او ورو د مې د مې د د د د د د

- 14. Schaible, Philip J., <u>Poultry: Feeds and Nutrition</u>, AVI Publishing Company, Inc., Westport, Connecticut, 1970.
- 15. Seyfried, C. F., "Purification of Starch Industry Waste Water", Proceedings of the 23rd Industrial Waste Conference, Purdue University, Lafayette, Indiana, May 7-9, 1968.
- 16. Shannon, L. J., Gorman, P. G., Epp, D. M., Gerstle, R. W., Devitt, T. W., Amick, R., "Engineering and Cost Study of Emissions Control in the Grain and Feed Industry", Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina.
- 17. Sherwood, Ross M., <u>The Feed Mixers</u> <u>Handbook</u>, Interstate Publishers, Danville, Illinois, 1956.
- 18. Whistler, Roy L. and Paschall, Eugene F., <u>Starch:</u> <u>Chemistry and</u> <u>Technology, Volume I, Fundamental Aspects</u>, Academic Press, New York, 1965.
- 19. Whistler, Roy L. and Paschall, Eugene F., <u>Starch: Chemistry and</u> <u>Technology, Volume II, Industrial Aspects</u>, Academic Press, New York, 1967.

114

20. "Water Quality Criteria 1972," National Academy of Sciences and National Academy of Engineering for the Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C. 1972 (U.S. Government Printing Office Stock No. 5501-00520). vice, ÷ AVI

iter",

Purdue.

W., • udy of stry", Park,

rstate

<u>ry and</u> Press,

<u>ry and</u> Press,

nal.

ο.

CONVERSION TABLE

MULTIPLY (ENGLISH UNITS)

Ъу

TO OBTAIN (METRIC UNITS)

 $1 \leq n \leq 2k$

1.1.9

- 1

Me j.

 $|S^{(n)}| \ge \frac{1}{2}$

ENGLISH UNIT	ABBREVIATIO	N CONVERSION	ABBREVIATION	METRIC UNIT
2220			n far an eine an eine. Tean	and the second sec
acre	ac	. 0.405	na	nectares
	ac It	1233.5	cu m	cubic meters
British Inermal	TUT		المرتب والمتعالي والمتعا	a gan da se
	BIO	0.252	kg cal	kilogram - calories
British Thermal		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4-	
Unit/pound	BTU/1b	0.555	kg cal/kg	kilogram calories/kilogram
cubic feet/minute	cfm	0.028	cu m/min	cubic meters/minute
cubic feet/second	cfs	1.7	cu m/min	cubic meters/minute
cubic feet	cu ft	0.028	cu m	cubic meters
cubic feet	cu ft	28.32	1	liters
cubic inches	cu in	16.39	cu cm	cubic centimeters
degree Fahrenheit	°F	0.555(°F-32)*	°C	degree Centigrade
feet	ft	0.3048	m	meters
gallon	gal	3.785	1	liters
gallon/minute	gpm	0,0631	1/sec	liters/second
horsepower	hp	0.7457	kw	killowatts
inches	in ,	2.54	CM	centimeters
inches of mercury	in Hg	0.03342	atm	atmospheres
pounds	1b	0.454	kg	kilograms
million gallons/day	mgd	3,785	cu m/dav	cubic meters/day
mile	mi	1.609	km	kilometer
pound/square				
inch (gauge)	psig	(0.06805 psig +1)*	arm	atmospheres (absolute)
-square feet	saft	0.0929	sa m	square meters
square inches	so in	6.452	sa cm	square centimeters
ton (short)	ton	0.907	kke	metric ton (1000 kilograms)
vard	vd	0.9144	m	meter
	<i>,</i> –			

115

* Actual conversion, not a multiplier

. U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE 1975- 582-

20:228