Wildfire Smoke is the Worst Kind of House Guest

Sarah Henderson BC Centre for Disease Control June 12, 2019

22

BC Centre for Disease Control

(

Outline

- 1. What is wildfire smoke?
- 2. How much smoke gets inside?
- 3. How much data do we have on infiltration?
- 4. What are the health effects of indoor smoke?
- 5. If we reduce indoor smoke, what are the expected health impacts?

As they move away from the fire, the particulate matter (PM), volatile organic compounds, and other gases interact in the atmosphere to form secondary particles and gases, such as ozone.

> Fires emit microscopic soot particles that stick together to form larger particles.

> > 2.5 µm (PM_{2.5})

0.5 µm

*(a)*isolinestudios

10 µm (PM10)

Human hair for scale

~ 50 µm

Most Smoke PM_{2.5} <<< 2.5 mm



Indoor Smoke is Variable

Infiltration from 36-99% with no filtration



https://open.library.ubc.ca/cIRcle/collections/ubctheses/831/items/1.0100824

Overall, Portable Air Cleaners Work



Time Series Data are Useful



Barn et al (2008), JESEE Barn et al (2016), Environmental Health

There's More Data Than We Know



Wildfire Indoor Air Quality: Managing Smoke in Occupied Buildings

Course Type: Complimentary Session Course Length: 2 hrs Province: Location: Price: 0.00

Seminar Overview

Wildfires have become more common and aggressive over the last 5 summers in Canac The smoke from wildfires has become a significant indoor health hazard. Managing ind very poor is a real challenge.

Having an adaptive plan to respond to wildfire smoke is the best method of pro-active p building operators can optimize occupant comfort, and reassure staff that their health ar.

Join us as our Director of Indoor Environmental Quality, David Shearer and our Project Technologist, Mony And importance of having a plan for wildfire smoke, what a plan looks like, who needs to be part of the plan & how to implement the plan with your team quickly and efficiently.



Private Sector is at the Forefront



The Public Sector Has Data Too



We Spend Most of Our Time Indoors

Location	Canada	U.S.	р
A. Percent time spent in major locations (with 95% CI), all respondents			
	n=2381	n=9386	
Indoor at home	65.94 (±0.83)	64.97 (±0.42)	0.0423
Outdoor at home	$1.41(\pm 0.18)$	2.50 (±0.13)	< 0.0001
School/public building	4.21 (±0.40)	3.87 (±0.20)	0.1353
Indoors - other	7.95 (±0.59)	8.39 (±0.30)	0.1968
Bar/Restaurant	1.79 (±0.23)	1.91 (±0.12)	0.3622
Outdoors - other	4.60 (±0.41)	4.23 (±0.20)	0.1054
In vehicles	5.33 (±0.28)	5.74 (±0.12)	0.013
Near vehicles — outside	$0.04(\pm 0.02)$	0.19 (±0.04)	0.0002
Office/Factory	5.99 (±0.52)	5.90 (±0.27)	0.7634
Mall/Store	2.73 (±0.27)	2.30 (±0.13)	0.0033
INDOORS / CAR	94%	93%	
OUTDOORS	6%	7%	

https://www.nature.com/articles/7500244/tables/3

Outdoor Concentration = 100 μ g/m³



Either everyone is experiencing health effects in the 1-2 hours they spend outdoors daily, or the true effects of wildfire smoke PM_{2.5} are being underestimated by the outdoor proxy.

Assume average infiltration is 60%...

Indoor Concentration = 60 μ g/m³



True Effects of 100 μ g/m³ Exposure?



Wildfire Smoke Has Higher Infiltration



Indoor Concentration = 20 μ g/m³?



Conclusions

- 1. Wildfire smoke PM_{2.5} infiltrates indoors with highly variable efficiency
- 2. Indoor air filtration reduces PM_{2.5} from wildfire smoke with variable efficacy
- 3. Ambient $PM_{2.5}$ must be a proxy for indoor exposure based on time-activity patterns
- 4. Therefore studies must **underestimate** the true effect of wildfire smoke PM_{2.5}
- 5. Reducing infiltration **should** reduce effects