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## Goal and scope

## Goal:

Develop a method for estimating commercial marine vessel emissions at a high spatial/temporal resolution, using AIS data

## Scope:

- Use 2017 AIS data to generate inputs for the 2017 National Emissions Inventory
- Initially limit to Category 3 (C3) vessels
- Vessels with engine cylinders > 30 L


## General emissions inventory equation



## Automatic Identification System (AIS)

- Vessel locating system using radio transponders on ships
- Designed as a safety protocol for collision avoidance, navigational aid, search and rescue
- Messages include Ship ID, position, speed, and ship dimensions
- Internationally mandated on ships greater than 300 GT.

http://www.navcen.uscg.gov


## Contents of an AIS message

Static Fields<br>- MMSI \#<br>- IMO \#<br>- Name<br>- Draft<br>- Ship Type (limited detail)<br>- Overall dimension

Dynamic fields

- Timestamp
- Longitude
- Latitude
- Speed Over Ground
- Course Over Ground
- Heading


## Ship registry data

Data sources: Lloyds registry, Clarksons

- 503,216 unique vessels (100,991 C3)

Provides ship details not contained in dynamic AIS messages:

- Length, width, maximum draft
- Engine bore and stroke
- Propulsive engine power
- Auxiliary engine power
- Vessel service speed


## Modeling main engine power

Holtrop-Mennen model*:

$$
P=\frac{\rho \cdot C(v, D) \cdot S(D) \cdot v^{3}}{2 \eta}
$$

- Models hull resistance at speed using estimated vessel shape and surface area

$$
P=\text { Power }
$$

$$
\mathrm{V}=\text { speed }
$$

$$
\mathrm{D}=\mathrm{draft}
$$

$\rho=$ seawater density
$\mathrm{C}=$ hull resistance coef.
$S=$ hull wetted surface area
$\eta$ = engine efficiency

## C3 emission factors

C3 Emission factors were updated using the following sources:

- Buhaug, O., et al. (2009). Second IMO GHG Study 2009. London: International Maritime Organization.
- Cooper, D., and Gustafsson, T. (2004). Methodology for Calculating Emissions from Ships: 1. Update of Emission Factors. Norrköping: IVL (Swedish Environmental Research Institute).
- ENTEC (2002). Quantification of Emissions from Ships Associated with Ship Movements Between Ports in the European Community, Chapter 2. UK: European Commission.
- IMO (2012). 2012 Guidelines on the Method of Calculation of the Attained Energy Efficiency Design Index (EEDI) for New Ships. MEPC 63/23, annex 8.
- IMO (2015). Third IMO Greenhouse Gas Study 2014: Executive Summary and Final Report. London: International Maritime Organization.
- Kristensen H. O. (2012). Energy Demand and Exhaust Gas Emissions of Marine Engines. Project No. 2010-56, Emissionsbeslutningsstottesystem, Work Package 2, Report No. 05.
- Starcrest Consulting Group, LLC (2015). Port of Long Beach Air Emissions Inventory—2014.
- Wärtsila (2014). Solutions for Marine and Oil and Gas Markets


## Model Flow (Category 3 vessels)

AIS Handling Library Ship Power Library Ship Emissions Library

## AIS Data Ship Registry Data

AIS Data Formatting
AIS Data Cleaning
Flag for ECA vs. Non-ECA

Vessel Characteristics Assignment

- Ship Category
- Engine Type \& Tier
- Ship Type \& Sub Type


Emissions $=$ Time From Previous Message $\times$ Engine Load (kW) x Emission Factor(g/kWh) x Low Load Adj.

- Remove emissions allocated to transits out of region(s) of interest
- Aggregate emissions by shapefile or raster as a heatmap


## AIS data source

- AIS Data requested from US Coast Guard NAIS data set:
https://www.navcen.uscg.gov/?pageName= NAISmain
- 5 minute intervals
- Request broken into regions due to file size constraints
- As received from USCG:
- 480 csv files ( 158 GB)
- ~1.3×10 ${ }^{9}$ total records



## AIS data cleaning

As received, our AIS data has many records that are invalid for emissions estimates:

- Fishing buoys and pleasure craft contribute a huge proportion of vessel IDs and messages
- Messages with duplicate timestamps and different locations
- Transponder errors can make ships appear to be on land
- Reported ship speeds > 1000 mph


## Final Dataset:

- $13.2 \%$ of original AIS dataset retained
- $\quad 98.9 \%$ of C3 observations with valid IDs retained

Identify and Remove Unusable AIS Data

Vessels without Valid ID


Remove Unreported Speeds

## Temporal gap filling

- In the model, emissions are allocated to AIS points (rather than lines)
- Each point should represent a similar time-span, but as received data has time gaps
- Missing points skew the spatial distribution of calculated emissions
- Temporal gap filling corrects these issues



## 2017 C3 AIS activity data

- 11,248 vessels
- $1.3 \times 10^{8}$ records
- $1.7 \times 10^{7}$ hours of activity



## Vessel speed distributions



## Vessel draft distributions

- Bulk goods (dry and liquid) are often only shipped oneway resulting in bi-modal draft distributions
- Cruise ships stand out
because their draft rarely changes
 draft distributions


Type

- bulk.carrier
- container.ship
- cruise
- offshore
- ro.ro
- tanker



## 2017 modeled C3 vessel emissions

| Ship Type | Activity (kWh) | Hydrocarbons (tons) | $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ (tons) | $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathbf{x}}$ (tons) | $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ (tons) | PM 2.5 (tons) | PM 10 (tons) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Container | $1.43 \mathrm{E}+10$ | $1.32 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.08 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $2.51 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $8.59 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.21 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.31 \mathrm{E}+04$ |
| Tanker | $9.31 \mathrm{E}+09$ | $7.44 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $7.59 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $1.28 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $2.73 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $4.50 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $4.89 \mathrm{E}+03$ |
| Bulk Carrier | $7.49 \mathrm{E}+09$ | $6.29 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $5.58 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $1.23 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $4.33 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $6.11 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $6.65 \mathrm{E}+03$ |
| Passenger | $3.84 \mathrm{E}+09$ | $1.78 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.97 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $4.51 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $4.84 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.05 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $1.14 \mathrm{E}+03$ |
| RoRo | $3.34 \mathrm{E}+09$ | $2.37 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.58 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $5.09 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.30 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.93 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $2.10 \mathrm{E}+03$ |
| Offshore | $9.62 \mathrm{E}+08$ | $1.19 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $7.48 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $1.77 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.75 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $5.04 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $5.48 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| Miscellaneous | $6.85 \mathrm{E}+08$ | $5.79 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $5.16 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $1.11 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.84 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $4.35 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $4.73 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| Reefers | $4.20 \mathrm{E}+08$ | $2.76 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $3.17 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $6.96 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $3.27 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $4.35 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $4.73 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| General Cargo | $2.01 \mathrm{E}+08$ | $1.78 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.66 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $2.86 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $4.01 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $7.85 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $8.53 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| Service Vessels | $5.46 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $2.41 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $4.18 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $6.07 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.06 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.10 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.19 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| Total | $4.05 \mathrm{E}+10$ | $3.33 \mathrm{E}+04$ | 3.12E+07 | $6.36 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $1.84 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $2.71 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.95 \mathrm{E}+04$ |
| ECA\% | 64.95\% | 70.95\% | 67.77\% | 60.69\% | 7.04\% | 21.82\% | 21.82\% |

## Vessel energy consumption



## Vessel energy consumption



## National Emissions $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$



The model assumes fuel switching at the ECA boundary defined by the shape in red.

This causes the observed 10X increase in emissions outside of the shapefile boundary

## Time-resolved emissions

Animation of 2017 SO2 Emissions from Cruise Ships $\geq 100,000$ GT (1frame/day)


## Summary

New emissions model framework using AIS data as an input

- AIS data allows the use of more refined propulsion power modeling
- Geospatial approach allows more precise modeling of ECA emissions
- Maintains high spatial and temporal resolution in output
- Requires significant data processing


## Questions?

