

# Development of the Proposed Unregulated Contaminant Monitoring Rule for the Fifth Monitoring Cycle (UCMR 5): Public Meeting and Webinar

Held July 16, 2019 USEPA, Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water



# Development of the Proposed Unregulated Contaminant Monitoring Rule for the Fifth Monitoring Cycle (UCMR 5)




**Public Meeting and Webinar** 

July 16<sup>th</sup>, 2019 Meeting starts at 9:00 a.m. EDT



U.S. EPA

Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water





### Welcome

Eric Burneson, U.S. EPA
Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water
Standards and Risk Management Division



## **General Meeting Information**

- Purpose
  - Provide an opportunity for stakeholders to learn and discuss potential approaches to developing the proposal for the fifth Unregulated Contaminant Monitoring Rule (UCMR 5):
    - The impacts of the America's Water Infrastructure Act (AWIA) of 2018
    - Analytical methods and analytes being considered including Per and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS)
    - · Sampling design
    - Laboratory approval
    - Other possible requirements
- · Webinar lines are muted to minimize background noise
- On-site attendees:
  - Please mute electronic devices/cell phones
  - Bathrooms in hall, follow signs

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 4 of 278





# Overview of the Unregulated Contaminant Monitoring Program

Dan Hautman, U.S. EPA
Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water
Standards and Risk Management Division
Technical Support Center



#### **Overview**

- Regulatory background for UCMR
  - Unregulated Contaminant Monitoring (UCM) program
  - · Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA) authority
  - Relationship to Contaminant Candidate List (CCL), Regulatory Determinations, and Six-Year Review

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 7 of 278




#### **SDWA**


- Passed in 1974, SDWA authorized the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to set enforceable health standards for contaminants in drinking water
  - National Primary Drinking Water Regulations (NPDWRs)
- 1986 SDWA amendments were the basis for the original UCM program
  - State drinking water programs managed the original UCM program
  - Public Water Systems (PWSs) serving > 500 people were required to monitor
- 1996 SDWA amendments changed the process of developing and reviewing NPDWRs
  - CCL
  - UCMR
  - Regulatory Determination
  - · Six-Year Review


July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 8 of 278









- SDWA section 1445(a)(2), as amended in 1996, established requirements for the UCMR Program:
  - Issue list of no more than 30 unregulated contaminants, once every 5 years
  - Require PWSs serving population >10,000 people as well as a nationally representative sample of small PWSs serving ≤10,000 people to monitor
  - Store analytical results in the National Contaminant Occurrence Database for Drinking Water (NCOD)
  - EPA funds shipping/analytical costs for small PWSs
- EPA manages program in partnership with States, tribes, and territories (herein after referred to as "States")

July 2019 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 12 of 278



#### America's Water Infrastructure Act of 2018

- SDWA was amended in 2018 by Public Law 115-270
  - AWIA
  - Enacted October 23, 2018
- Key changes to UCMR (see SDWA section 1445(j)) include:
  - Require PWSs serving between 3,300 and 10,000 to monitor
  - Ensure that only a representative sample of PWSs serving fewer than 3,300 people monitor
- Limitations:
  - Subject to the availability of appropriations and sufficient laboratory capacity to accommodate the analysis
- Authorization of Appropriations:
  - Additional \$15,000,000 in each fiscal year for which monitoring is required to be carried out

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 13 of 278



#### **Objective of UCMR Program**

- Collect nationally representative occurrence data for unregulated contaminants that may require regulation under the SDWA
  - Consider data collected as part of future EPA decisions on actions to protect public health
  - Provide data to States, local governments and to the public for their use in decisions regarding public health protection

#### National occurrence data publicly available:

http://www.epa.gov/dwucmr/occurrence-data-unregulatedcontaminant-monitoring-rule

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 14 of 278



#### **UCMR History**

- UCMR 1 (2001-2005, 26 contaminants)
  - Published in Federal Register (FR) on September 17, 1999
- UCMR 2 (2007-2011, 25 contaminants)
  - Published in FR on January 4, 2007
- UCMR 3 (2012-2016, 30 contaminants)
  - Published in FR on April 16, 2012
- UCMR 4 (2017-2021, 30 contaminants)
  - Published in FR on December 20, 2016
  - PWSs monitor 2018-2020
- UCMR 5 (2022-2026)
  - · Anticipating proposal summer 2020 and final rule late 2021
  - PWSs monitor 2023-2025

# Each new UCMR cycle is established via a revision to the rule for the ongoing/preceding cycle

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 15 of 278




### **General Process for Developing UCMR**


- Early public stakeholder meetings
  - Provide background on statutory requirements
  - Discuss method development for emerging contaminants
  - Discuss anticipated elements of the proposal
- Agency development of the proposal
  - Includes a workgroup of multi-state and multi-office representatives, and tribal consultation
- Publish proposed rule in the FR
  - Provides a public comment period (generally 60 days)
- Public stakeholder meeting during public comment period
- Publish final rule in the FR
- Public stakeholder meeting after final rule publication
  - Review final rule and prepare for implementation


July 2019


U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 16 of 278











# **UCMR 5 Potential Approaches**

Brenda Bowden, U.S. EPA
Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water
Standards and Risk Management Division
Technical Support Center




#### **Overview**


- Timeline
- Sampling design considerations
- PWS types
- Approach to tiered monitoring
  - Assessment Monitoring (AM)
  - Screening Survey (SS)
  - Pre-Screen Testing (PST)
- Applicability
- Sampling frequency and locations
- Implementation roles
  - EPA
  - States
  - Small PWSs
  - Large PWSs
- Potential changes between UCMR 4 and UCMR 5

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 22 of 278







#### **Sampling Design Considerations**

- Sampling and statistical design used in UCMR 1, 2, 3 and 4 was:
  - Vetted with stakeholders
  - Peer reviewed
  - Three rounds of public comment
  - Update to incorporate AWIA

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 25 of 278



## **Sampling Design Considerations**

- Data Quality Objectives
  - Unbiased national exposure estimates; small margin of error
  - Account for differential occurrence
    - Stratify across system size and source water type to account for differences
    - Multiple sample events over multiple years to address temporal variability
    - Allocation across States proportional to population served; at least two per State

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 26 of 278



#### **PWS Types**

- PWS: provides water for human consumption through pipes or other constructed conveyances to at least 15 service connections or serves an average of at least 25 people for at least 60 days a year
  - Community water system (CWS)
    - PWS that supplies water to the same population year-round
  - Non-Transient Non-Community Water System (NTNCWS)
    - PWS that supplies water to at least 25 of the same people at least six months per year, but not year-round
    - For example, schools
  - Transient Non-Community Water System (TNCWS) (not generally included in UCMR monitoring)
    - PWS that provides water where people do not remain for long periods of time
    - For example, gas stations and campgrounds

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 27 of 278



#### **UCMR** Approach

- UCMR approach relies on using one or more of 3 monitoring tiers
  - Assessment Monitoring (primary approach to-date)
  - Screening Survey (used in UCMR 1, UCMR 2, UCMR 3)
  - Pre-Screen Testing (used in UCMR 3)
- Based on:
  - Availability and complexity of analytical methods
  - · Laboratory capacity
  - Sampling frequency
  - · Relevant universe of PWSs
  - Other considerations (e.g., cost/burden)

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 28 of 278



#### **Assessment Monitoring: Statistical Approach**

- Presuming availability of appropriations and lab capacity,
   AWIA will expand participating systems to include:
  - Nationally representative sample of 800 small systems serving fewer than 3,300
  - Census of small systems serving between 3,300 and 10,000 persons
  - Census of large systems serving > 10,000 persons
- Small-system statistical sample and census, combined with large-system census data provides a powerful tool for assessing contaminant occurrence
- Total number of systems: ~10,300

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 29 of 278



#### **Screening Survey: Statistical Approach**

- Designed to ensure the data can be used to support regulatory decisions
- Account for possible laboratory capacity issues
- Approach used in UCMR 2 and 3 involved:
  - National sample of 800 systems, allocated across systems serving 100,000 or fewer people
  - Census of all systems serving 100,001 and over (~400 systems)
    - Adds further confidence in the sampling results by including a census of the largest systems
- Total number of systems ~1,200

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 30 of 278




#### **Pre-Screen Testing**

- Envisioned for use with methods that are in the early stages of development, and/or very specialized (such as those for viruses or DNA/microchips)
- May be conducted by limited number of PWSs identified as vulnerable (by EPA and/or State agencies), as was done with UCMR 3 virus monitoring

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 31 of 278





#### **UCMR Sampling Frequency**

- UCMR 1 UCMR 4 have used similar sampling frequency
  - Surface Water (SW) surface water systems (including groundwater under the direct influence of surface water) sampled four times during their year of monitoring
  - **Ground Water (GW)** ground water systems sampled two times during their year of monitoring
  - Specialized sampling frequency was used for focused sample designs (e.g., eight sample events for cyanotoxins in UCMR 4)

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 33 of 278



## **UCMR Sampling Locations**

- Sampling locations for potential AM and SS contaminants
  - Contaminants generally sampled at the entry points to the distribution systems (EPTDSs)
  - Disinfection byproducts and microbial contaminants generally sampled at Disinfectants and Disinfection Byproducts Rules (D/DBPR) Total Trihalomethanes (TTHM)/Haloacetic Acids (HAA5) distribution system (DS) locations or at the at the distribution system maximum residence time (DSMRT) location
  - Adjustment in sampling locations may be warranted depending on the final selection of UCMR 5 contaminants

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 34 of 278



## **EPA Implementation Roles**

- Small PWS support:
  - · Maintain lab and implementation contracts to support UCMR
  - Compile contact and inventory information
  - · Manage sample kit distribution and tracking
  - · Responsible for data review and reporting
- Large and Small PWS support:
  - Extract data from the Safe Drinking Water Accession and Review System (SDWARS) for evaluation and reporting to NCOD
  - Support SDWARS reporting system and users
  - · Perform inventory and schedule updates
  - Provide technical assistance
  - Use SDWARS for real-time communication and outreach

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 35 of 278



#### **EPA Implementation Roles (Cont.)**

- Review and track PWS applicability and monitoring progress
- Coordinate Laboratory Approval Program
- Provide technical support for Regions, States, PWSs and laboratories
- · Coordinate outreach
- Support Regional compliance assistance and enforcement efforts

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 36 of 278



#### **Extended UCMR Implementation Team**

- Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water (OGWDW), Drinking Water Protection Division (DWPD), Infrastructure Branch
  - Assist with SDWARS development and operation
- EPA Regional Offices
  - Coordinate State PAs
  - Assist States and PWSs with UCMR requirements, compliance assistance, and enforcement
- Partnering States
  - Support various levels of monitoring coordination

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 37 of 278



## States' Role in the UCMR Program

- · Participation by States is voluntary
- · State roles are documented via PAs
- States help EPA implement the UCMR program; help to ensure high data quality
- PA activities can include any/all of the following:
  - · Review and revise SMPs
  - Provide inventory for small and large PWSs
  - Review and approve proposed GWRMPs
  - Provide compliance assistance
  - · Notify and instruct systems
  - Collect samples
  - Other

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 38 of 278



#### **UCMR Responsibilities – Small PWSs**

- EPA funds costs associated with analyses and shipping for small PWSs (i.e., those serving 10,000 or fewer people)
- This would include the small PWSs serving between 3,300 and 10,000 per AWIA
- EPA engages States and PWSs to collect samples
- EPA coordinates sample analyses with contracted laboratories and funds the analyses
- EPA examines the results along with quality control (QC) data and makes results available via SDWARS

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 39 of 278



#### **UCMR Responsibilities – Large PWSs**

- PWSs serving more than 10,000 people are responsible for the costs associated with analyses
- PWS coordinates sample analyses with an approved laboratory
- Laboratories post the data to SDWARS
- PWS reviews and can act upon (e.g., approve) data in SDWARS
- States and EPA can review results following large PWS review

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 40 of 278



# Potential Change Between UCMR 4 and UCMR 5 Proposal: GWRMPs

- Expand the number of PWSs that can participate in the GWRMPs
  - UCMR 4: Current EPA rule
    - Large ground water systems with multiple EPTDSs can sample at representative sampling locations rather than at each EPTDS if prior approval is received (See 40 CFR 141.35(c)(3)), known as GWRMPs
  - UCMR 5 potential change:
    - Expand GWRMPs to water systems that serve 10,000 or fewer people
  - Reason for considering change: Reduce the number of sample points per water system and ultimately reduce cost

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 41 of 278



# Potential Change Between UCMR 4 and UCMR 5 Proposal: Deadlines

- Reduce the number of days between sample collection and laboratory posting of data (40 CFR 141.40(c)(6)(ii) Reporting Schedule and 40 CFR 141.40(a)(5)(vi) Reporting)
  - UCMR 4: Current EPA rule
    - "laboratory posts the data to EPA's electronic data reporting system within 120 days from sample collection date..."
    - "You must require your laboratory to submit these data electronically to the State and EPA using EPA's electronic data reporting system, accessible at <a href="https://www.epa.gov/dwucmr">https://www.epa.gov/dwucmr</a>, within 120 days from the sample collection date."
  - UCMR 5 potential change:
    - "laboratory posts data that pass quality control (QC) to EPA's electronic data reporting system within 90 days from sample collection date..."
    - "You must require your laboratory to submit these data electronically to the State and EPA using EPA's electronic data reporting system, accessible at <a href="https://www.epa.gov/dwucmr">https://www.epa.gov/dwucmr</a>, within 90 days from the sample collection date."
  - Reason for considering change: Many laboratories are currently posting results in less than 90 days. This change will expedite the availability of monitoring results.

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 42 of 278



# Potential Change Between UCMR 4 and UCMR 5 Proposal: Deadlines

- Reduce the number of days for PWS review of data posted by their laboratory (40 CFR 141.40(c)(6)(ii) Reporting Schedule and 40 CFR 141.40(a)(5)(vi) Reporting)
  - UCMR 4: Current EPA rule
    - "You have 60 days from when the laboratory posts the data in EPA's electronic reporting system to review, approve, and submit the data to the State and EPA..."
    - "You then have 60 days from when the laboratory posts the data to review, approve and submit the data to the State and EPA..."
  - UCMR 5 potential change:
    - "You have 30 days from when the laboratory posts the data in EPA's electronic reporting system to review, approve, and submit the data to the State and EPA..."
    - "You then have 30 days from when the laboratory posts the data to review, approve and submit the data to the State and EPA..."
  - Reason for considering change: Most PWSs that review their results do so in less than 30 days. This change will expedite the availability of monitoring results.

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 43 of 278



# Potential Change Between UCMR 4 and UCMR 5 Proposal: Deadlines

- Establish more flexible deadlines for laboratory approval
  - UCMR 4: Current EPA rule
    - To participate in the UCMR Laboratory Approval Program, the laboratory must complete and submit the necessary registration forms within 60 days of final rule publication, and necessary application materials within 120 days of final rule publication.
  - UCMR 5 potential change:
    - To participate in the UCMR Laboratory Approval Program, the laboratory must complete and submit the necessary registration and application materials 180 days prior to the start of initial monitoring period.
  - Reason for considering change: Provide greater flexibility per laboratory interest.

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 44 of 278



# UCMR 5 Candidate Prioritization, Rationale and Method Considerations

William A. Adams, Ph.D., U.S. EPA
Brenda Bowden, U.S. EPA
Elizabeth Hedrick, U.S. EPA
Melissa Simic, U.S. EPA
Steve Wendelken, Ph.D., U.S. EPA
Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water
Standards and Risk Management Division
Technical Support Center



#### **Overview**

- CCL 4 contaminants
- Candidate Selection Process and Rationale
- Method Considerations
- Health and Occurrence Data with Sources
- Contaminant Specific Information by Method

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 46 of 278

	CCL 4	
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane (502.2, 524.2, 524.3, 524.4)	Erythromycin (542)	Oxirane, methyl-
1,1-Dichloroethane (UCMR 3)	Estradiol (17-beta estradiol) (UCMR 3)	Oxydemeton-methyl (538)
1,2,3-Trichloropropane (UCMR 3)	Estriol (UCMR 3)	Oxyfluorfen (UCMR 4)
1,3-Butadiene (UCMR 3)	Estrone (UCMR 3)	Perfluorooctanesulfonic acid (PFOS) (UCMR
1,4-Dioxane (UCMR 3)	Ethinyl Estradiol (17-alpha ethynyl estradiol) (UCMR 3)	Perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA) (UCMR 3)
17 alpha-Estradiol	Ethoprop (UCMR 4)	Permethrin (UCMR 4)
1-Butanol (UCMR 4)	Ethylene glycol	Profenofos (UCMR 4)
2-Methoxyethanol (UCMR 4)	Ethylene Oxide	Quinoline (UCMR 4)
2-Propen-1-ol (UCMR 4)	Ethylene thiourea	RDX (UCMR 2)
3-Hydroxycarbofuran (531.1, 531.2, 540, 543)	Formaldehyde (556, 556.1)	sec-Butylbenzene (502.2, 524.2, 524.3, 524
4,4'-Methylenedianiline	Germanium (UCMR 4)	Tebuconazole (UCMR 4)
Acephate (538)	Halon 1011 (bromochloromethane) (UCMR 3)	Tebufenozide (540, 543)
Acetaldehyde (556, 556.1)	HCFC-22 (UCMR 3)	Tellurium
Acetamide	Hexane	Thiodicarb
Acetochlor (UCMR 1, UCMR 2)	Hydrazine	Thiophanate-methyl
Acetochlor ethanesulfonic acid (ESA) (UCMR 2)	Manganese (UCMR 4)	Toluene diisocyanate
Acetochlor oxanilic acid (OA) (UCMR 2)	Mestranol	Tribufos (UCMR 4)
Acrolein	Methamidophos (538)	Triethylamine
Alachlor ethanesulfonic acid (ESA) (UCMR 2)	Methanol	Triphenyltin hydroxide (TPTH)
Alachlor oxanilic acid (OA) (UCMR 2)	Methyl bromide (Bromomethane) (UCMR 3)	Urethane (In Development)
alpha-Hexachlorocyclohexane (UCMR 4)	Methyl tert-butyl ether (UCMR 1)	Vanadium (UCMR 3)
Aniline	Metolachlor (UCMR 2)	Vinclozolin (525.3, 527)
Bensulide (540, 543)	Metolachlor ethanesulfonic acid (ESA) (UCMR 2)	Ziram
Benzyl chloride	Metolachlor oxanilic acid (OA) (UCMR 2)	Adenovirus
Butylated hydroxyanisole (UCMR 4)	Molybdenum (UCMR 3)	Caliciviruses (UCMR 3)
Captan	Nitrobenzene (UCMR 1)	Campylobacter jejuni
Chlorate (UCMR 3)	Nitroglycerin	Enterovirus (UCMR 3)
Chloromethane (Methyl chloride) (UCMR 3)	N-Methyl-2-pyrrolidone (In Development)	Escherichia coli (O157)
Clethodim	N-Nitrosodiethylamine (NDEA) (UCMR 2)	Helicobacter pylori
Cobalt (UCMR 3)	N-Nitrosodimethylamine (NDMA) (UCMR 2)	Hepatitis A virus
Cumene hydroperoxide	N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine (NDPA) (UCMR 2)	Legionella pneumophila (In Development)
Cyanotoxins (UCMR 4)	N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	Mycobacterium avium (In Development)
Dicrotophos (538)	N-Nitrosopyrrolidine (NPYR) (UCMR 2)	Naegleria fowleri
Dimethipin (UCMR 4)	Nonylphenol (In Development)	Salmonella enterica
Diuron (UCMR 1)	Norethindrone (19-Norethisterone)	Shigella sonnei
Equilenin	n-Propylbenzene (502.2, 524.2, 524.3, 524.4)	
Equilin (UCMR 3)	o-Toluidine (UCMR 4)	





# **CCL 4 Contaminants Monitored in UCMRs**

1,1-Dichloroethane (UCMR 3)	Dimethipin (UCMR 4)	Nitrobenzene (UCMR 1)
1,2,3-Trichloropropane (UCMR 3)	Diuron (UCMR 1)	N-Nitrosodiethylamine (NDEA) (UCMR 2)
1,3-Butadiene (UCMR 3)	Equilin (UCMR 3)	N-Nitrosodimethylamine (NDMA) (UCMR 2)
1,4-Dioxane (UCMR 3)	Estradiol (17-beta estradiol) (UCMR 3)	N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine (NDPA) (UCMR 2)
1-Butanol (UCMR 4)	Estriol (UCMR 3)	N-Nitrosopyrrolidine (NPYR) (UCMR 2)
2-Methoxyethanol (UCMR 4)	Estrone (UCMR 3)	o-Toluidine (UCMR 4)
2-Propen-1-ol (UCMR 4)	Ethinyl Estradiol (17-alpha ethynyl estradiol) (UCMR 3)	Oxyfluorfen (UCMR 4)
Acetochlor (UCMR 1, UCMR 2)	Ethoprop (UCMR 4)	Perfluorooctanesulfonic acid (PFOS) (UCMR 3)
Acetochlor ethanesulfonic acid (ESA) (UCMR 2)	Germanium (UCMR 4)	Perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA) (UCMR 3)
Acetochlor oxanilic acid (OA) (UCMR 2)	Halon 1011 (bromochloromethane) (UCMR 3)	Permethrin (UCMR 4)
Alachlor ethanesulfonic acid (ESA) (UCMR 2)	HCFC-22 (UCMR 3)	Profenofos (UCMR 4)
Alachlor oxanilic acid (OA) (UCMR 2)	Manganese (UCMR 4)	Quinoline (UCMR 4)
alpha-Hexachlorocyclohexane (UCMR 4)	Methyl bromide (Bromomethane) (UCMR 3)	RDX (UCMR 2)
Butylated hydroxyanisole (UCMR 4)	Methyl tert-butyl ether (UCMR 1)	Tebuconazole (UCMR 4)
Chlorate (UCMR 3)	Metolachlor (UCMR 2)	Tribufos (UCMR 4)
Chloromethane (Methyl chloride) (UCMR 3)	Metolachlor ethanesulfonic acid (ESA) (UCMR 2)	Vanadium (UCMR 3)
Cobalt (UCMR 3)	Metolachlor oxanilic acid (OA) (UCMR 2)	Caliciviruses (UCMR 3)
Cyanotoxins (UCMR 4)	Molybdenum (UCMR 3)	Enterovirus (UCMR 3)

Method Available	Method in Development	No Method or Current Devel	opment Activity by EPA
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane (502.2, 524.2, 524.3, 524.4)	N-Methyl-2-pyrrolidone	17 alpha-Estradiol	Adenovirus
3-Hydroxycarbofuran (531.1, 531.2, 540, 543)	Nonylphenol	4,4'-Methylenedianiline	Campylobacter jejuni
Acephate (538)	Urethane	Acetamide	Escherichia coli (O157)
Acetaldehyde (556, 556.1)	Legionella pneumophila	Acrolein	Helicobacter pylori
Bensulide (540, 543)	Mycobacterium avium	Aniline	Hepatitis A virus
Dicrotophos (538)		Clethodim	Naegleria fowleri
Erythromycin (542)		Equilenin	Salmonella enterica
Formaldehyde (556, 556.1)		Ethylene glycol	Shigella sonnei
Methamidophos (538)		Ethylene thiourea	
n-Propylbenzene (502.2, 524.2, 524.3, 524.4)		Hydrazine	
Oxydemeton-methyl (538)		Nitroglycerin	
sec-Butylbenzene (502.2, 524.2, 524.3, 524.4)		N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	
Tebufenozide (540, 543)		Norethindrone (19-Norethisterone)	
Vinclozolin (525.3, 527)		Oxirane, methyl-	
		Tellurium	
		Thiodicarb	
		Thiophanate-methyl	
		Triethylamine	
		Triphenyltin hydroxide (TPTH)	
		Ziram	
		Benzyl chloride*	
		Captan*	
		Cumene hydroperoxide*	
		Ethylene Oxide*	
		Hexane*	
		Mestranol*	
		Methanol*	
		Toluene diisocyanate*	* Method Challenges

		TED ST
		UMI
		Face of the second seco
		Rep.
CC	L 5 Nominatio	ne AL PROT
1,1-dichloroethane	Heroin and its metabolites	Aeromonas hydrophila
1,4-dioxane	Hexavalent chromium	Adenovirus
3-Hydroxycarbofuran	Manganese	Caliciviruses
Azinphos-methyl	Methamphetamine and its metabolites	Campylobacter jejuni
Brominated haloacetic acids (HAA6Br)	Molybdenum	CCL 4 contaminants (12 microbes)
Bromochloroacetic acid (BCAA)	N-Nitrosodiethylamine (NDEA)	Cyanotoxins
Bromochloroiodo-methane (BCIM)	N-Nitrosodimethylamine (NDMA)	Cyanotoxins (anatoxin; microcystin -LA, -LR, - LW, -RR, -YR; cylindrospermopsin)
Bromodichloroacetic acid (BDCAA)	N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine (NDPA)	Enterovirus
Bromo-dichloro-nitromethane (BDCNM)	N-Nitrosodiphenylamine (NDPhA)	Escherichia coli (0157)
Bromodiiodomethane (BDIM)	N-Nitrosopyrrolidine (NPYR)	Helicobacter pylori
CCL 4 contaminants (97 chemicals or chemical groups)	Parents and metabolites of top 200 most prescribed drugs in 2016	Hepatitis A virus
Chlorate	Perfluoroalkyl and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS)	Legionella pneumophila
Chlorodibromoacetic acid (CDBAA)	Perfluorobutanesulfonic acid (PFBS)	Mycobacterium avium
Chloro-diiodo-methane (CDIM)	Perfluorobutanoic acid (PFBA)	Mycobacterium species predominantly found in finished drinking water
Chloropicrin (trichloro-nitromethane; TCNM)	Perfluoroheptanoic acid (PFHpA)	Naegleria fowleri
Chlorpyrifos	Perfluorohexanesulfonic acid (PFHxS)	Nontuberculous mycobacteria (NTM)
Dibromo-chloro-nitromethane (DBCNM)	Perfluorononanoic acid (PFNA)	Pseudomonas aeruginosa
Dibromo-iodo-methane (DBIM)	Perfluorooctanesulfonic acid (PFOS)	Salmonella enterica
Dichloro-iodo-methane (DCIM)	Perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA)	Shigella sonnei
Fluoxetine	Strontium	
Gemfibrozil	Tribromoacetic acid (TBAA)	
GenX chemicals	Triiodo-methane (TIM)	
* Public comment nominations were rece		•





CCL and Related Candidates	es for UCMR 5 (cont'd)
Draft Met	ethod 533
1H, 1H, 2H, 2H-perfluorodecane sulfonic acid (8:2 FTS)	4,8-dioxa-3H-perfluorononanoic acid (ADONA) (537.1)
1H, 1H, 2H, 2H-perfluorohexane sulfonic acid (4:2 FTS)	Hexafluoropropylene oxide dimer acid (HFPO-DA) (537.1)
1H, 1H, 2H, 2H-perfluorooctane sulfonic acid (6:2 FTS)	Perfluorobutanesulfonic acid (PFBS) (537.1)
Nonafluoro-3,6-dioxaheptanoic acid (NFDHA)	Perfluorodecanoic acid (PFDA) (537.1)
Perfluoro (2-ethoxyethane) sulfonic acid (PFEESA)	Perfluorododecanoic acid (PFDoA) (537.1)
Perfluoro-3-methoxypropanoic acid (PFMPA)	Perfluoroheptanoic acid (PFHpA) (537.1)
Perfluoro-4-methoxybutanoic acid (PFMBA)	Perfluorohexanoic acid (PFHxA) (537.1)
Perfluorobutanoic acid (PFBA)	Perfluorohexanesulfonic acid (PFHxS) (537.1)
Perfluoroheptanesulfonic acid (PFHpS)	Perfluorononanoic acid (PFNA) (537.1)
Perfluoropentanesulfonic acid (PFPeS)	Perfluorooctanesulfonic acid (PFOS) (537.1)
Perfluoropentanoic acid (PFPeA)	Perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA) (537.1)
11-chloroeicosafluoro-3-oxaundecane-1-sulfonic acid (11Cl-PF3OUdS) (5	(537.1) Perfluoroundecanoic acid (PFUnA) (537.1)
9-chlorohexadecafluoro-3-oxanone-1-sulfonic acid (9Cl-PF3ONS) (537.1)	1)
PFAS Analytes Uniqu	ue to Method 537.1
I-ethyl perfluorooctanesulfonamidoacetic acid (NEtFOSAA)	Perfluorotetradecanoic acid (PFTA)
I-methyl perfluorooctanesulfonamidoacetic acid (NMeFOSAA)	Perfluorotridecanoic acid (PFTrDA)
Light blue highlight = CCL 4 analyte with a completed method	
uly 2019 U.S. Environmental	al Protection Agency Slide 53 of 27



#### **EPA Health Assessment Data Sources**

- Office of Pesticide Programs (OPP)
  - <a href="https://iaspub.epa.gov/apex/pesticides/f?p=chemicalsearch:1">https://iaspub.epa.gov/apex/pesticides/f?p=chemicalsearch:1</a>
- Office of Research and Development
  - Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS) <a href="https://cfpub.epa.gov/ncea/iris\_drafts/AtoZ.cfm">https://cfpub.epa.gov/ncea/iris\_drafts/AtoZ.cfm</a>
  - Provisional Peer-Reviewed Toxicity Values (PPRTVs)
     <a href="https://www.epa.gov/pprtv/provisional-peer-reviewed-toxicity-values-pprtvs-assessments">https://www.epa.gov/pprtv/provisional-peer-reviewed-toxicity-values-pprtvs-assessments</a>
- Office of Water Health Advisory (HA) or Health Effect Support Document (HESD)
  - <a href="https://www.epa.gov/dwstandardsregulations/drinking-water-contaminant-human-health-effects-information">https://www.epa.gov/dwstandardsregulations/drinking-water-contaminant-human-health-effects-information</a>

July 2019 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Slide 54 of 278



#### Non-EPA Health Assessment Data Sources

- Available non-EPA health assessments were also included in the "Additional Health Values" section, for example:
  - Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR) https://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/az/a.html
  - World Health Organization (WHO) https://www.who.int/gho/en/
  - Health Canada Guidelines for Canadian Drinking Water Quality (Health Canada)
     https://www.canada.ca/en/health-canada/services/environmental-workplace-health/reports-publications/water-quality.html#tech\_doc

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 55 of 278



#### **Health Values**

- The health values are calculated drinking water concentrations based on publicly-available information:
  - · 2018 Edition of Drinking Water Standards and Health Advisories (DWSHA) Tables
    - https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2018-03/documents/dwtable2018.pdf
  - CCL 4 Contaminant Information Sheets (CISs)
    - https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2016-11/documents/815r16003.pdf
  - Human Health Benchmarks for Pesticides (HHBP)
    - https://iaspub.epa.gov/apex/pesticides/f?p=HHBP:home:10911636297819
  - Other non-EPA sources (e.g., Health Canada)
- The health values are:
  - Not federally enforceable
  - Subject to change as health effects information becomes available
  - Calculated using different assumptions (e.g., body weight, intake, population group)

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 56 of 278



#### **Occurrence Data and Information Sources**

#### **Finished Water Data**

- Unregulated Contaminant Monitoring Rule (UCMR) (2001 current)
- Unregulated Contaminant Monitoring (UCM) Round 1 and 2 (1988 -1997)
- National Inorganics and Radionuclides Survey (NIRS) (1984 1986)
- Disinfection Byproduct Information Collection Rule (DBP-ICR) Data (1997 – 1998)
- U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) Pesticide Data Program (PDP)
- Pesticide Monitoring Program (PMP)
- California Department of Health Services (CAL DHS)
- · Small-Scale Local Occurrence Studies

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 57 of 278



#### Occurrence Data and Information Sources (cont.)

#### **Supplemental Drinking Water and Ambient Water Data**

- U.S. Geological Survey (USGS), Ambient Water
  - National Water Quality Assessment Program (NAWQA)
  - National Reconnaissance of Emerging Contaminants (NREC)
  - · Special reports
  - Groundwater Ambient Monitoring and Assessment Program (GAMA)
- · Other specialized studies and literature

#### Production, Release, Usage and Other Data

- Toxic Release Inventory (TRI)
- National Center for Food and Agricultural Policy (NCFAP)
- Cancellation Status for Pesticides
- · Persistent, Bioaccumulative and Toxic (PBT) Profiler
- Chemical Data Reporting (CDR) under the Toxic Substance Control Act (TSCA)

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 58 of 278



## **Candidate Analyte Information**


# For each candidate analyte group the sets of slides that follow will address the following:


- Method number/technology type/name
- · Potential sampling location
- Analytes under consideration
- Background (including the availability of health effects and occurrence information)

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 59 of 278





PCCL/CCL Status Not Listed

Health and Occurrence Data Status

• EPA health assessment available

• Nationally representative finished water occurrence data available

Persistence/Mobility/Fate/Transport NA

July 2019 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Julien STATEGY TO A DEPOTE CHO

Slide 61 of 278

## **Lithium: Health Effects**

EPA Health Assessment	Provisional Peer-Reviewed Toxicity Values (PPRTV), 2008
Critical Effect	Adverse effects in several organs and systems (e.g., kidney effects); lower bound of the therapeutic serum concentration range selected as basis
Provisional Reference Dose	0.002 mg/kg-day (chronic and subchronic)
Health Value	NA

#### **Additional Health Values**

• Cancer Classification: Inadequate information to assess carcinogenic potential (I)

July 2019 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Slide 62 of 278



#### Lithium: Occurrence

Best Available Occurrence Data National Inorganics and Radionuclides Survey (NIRS), 1984-1986

#### **Additional Occurrence Data**

#### Finished Water:

- NIRS: Detected in 551 of 988 (55.8%) PWSs; detection range 5-7,929 ug/L
- Glassmeyer, 2017: Detected in 56% of partially treated samples from 25 PWSs; median detected 10.8 ug/L

#### Ambient Water:

- NAWQA: Detected in 5,683 of 5,983 (95%) sites; detection range 0.15-2,420 ug/L
- Glassmeyer, 2017: Detected in 56% of samples from 25 PWSs; median detected 10.7 ug/L

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 63 of 278



# **Semivolatile Organic Chemicals**

EPA Method 525.3 (GC/MS), 2012

Determination of Semivolatile Organic Chemicals in Drinking Water by Solid Phase Extraction and Capillary Column Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry (GC/MS)

Location: EPTDS

Analytes		
Chlorothalonil	Norflurazon (527)	
Hexazinone (527)	Phorate	
Metribuzin (551.1)	Prometryn (527)	
Napropamide	Trifluralin (551.1)	

July 2019 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 64 of 278




Slide 65 of 278

## **Chlorothalonil: Background**

CASRN	1897-45-6
Contaminant Group	Pesticide; Used as a fungicide and bactericide
PCCL/CCL Status	Preliminary Contaminant Candidate List (PCCL)
Health and Occurrence Data Status	<ul> <li>EPA health assessment available</li> <li>Non-nationally representative finished or ambient water occurrence data available</li> </ul>
Persistence/Mobility/Fate/Transport	PBT Profiler (2009) predicts 7% will partition to water when modeled in a four-compartment system (water, air, soil and sediment); BF = Biodegrades fast (BIODEG)

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency



- 1988 HA: One day HA = 200 ug/L; Ten day HA = 200 ug/L; Drinking Water Equivalent Level (DWEL) = 500 ug/L
- 1988 IRIS Reference Dose (RfD) = 0.015 mg/kg-day (renal tubular epithelial vacuolation)
- ADI = 0.03 mg/kg-day

July 2019

- California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA) Cancer Slope Factor (CSF) = 0.017 (mg/kg-day)<sup>-1</sup>
- Cancer Classification: Probable human carcinogen; indicates sufficient evidence in animals and inadequate or no evidence in humans (Group B2)
- International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) Cancer Classification: Possibly carcinogenic to humans (Group 2B)

July 2019 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Slide 66 of 278



#### **Chlorothalonil: Occurrence**

Best Available Occurrence Data State

#### **Additional Occurrence Data**

#### Finished Water:

- CAL DHS: Detected in 0 of 4,099 (0.0%) PWSs
- PMP: Detected in 0 of 225 (0.0%) samples
- USDA PDP: Detected in 0 of 6 (0.0%) sites

#### Ambient Water:

- NAWQA: Detected in 21 of 5,787 (0.36%) sites; detection range 0.01-3.33 ug/L
- USDA PDP: Detected in 0 of 6 (0.0%) sites (Raw)
- PMP: Detected in 0 of 312 (0.0%) samples
- Toccalino, 2010: Detected in 0 of 507 (0.0%) samples
- USDA PDP: Detected in 0 of 29 (0.0%) samples (2002)

#### Production/Release:

• TRI: Total on and off-site releases: 1,036,501 lbs in 11 states; TRI releases to water: 14 lbs in 2 states

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 67 of 278



# **Hexazinone: Background**

CASRN	51235-04-2
Contaminant Group	Pesticide
PCCL/CCL Status	Not Listed
Health and Occurrence Data Status	EPA health assessment available     Lacking finished or ambient water occurrence data
Persistence/Mobility/Fate/Transport	NA

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 68 of 278



### **Hexazinone: Health Effects**

EPA Health Assessment	Office of Pesticides Program (OPP) Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED), 1994; on 2018 Drinking Water Standards and Health Advisories (DWSHA) Tables
Critical Effect	Changes in clinical chemistry and histopathological parameters from a one-year feeding study in dogs
Reference Dose	0.05 mg/kg-day
Health Value	400 ug/L (chronic)

#### **Additional Health Values**

- 1996 HA: One day HA = 3,000 ug/L; Ten day HA = 2,000 ug/L; DWEL = 2,000 ug/L
- 1987 IRIS RfD = 0.033 mg/kg-day; decreased body weight
- Cancer Classification: Not classifiable as to human carcinogenicity (Group D)

 July 2019
 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
 Slide 69 of 278



# Metribuzin: Background

CASRN	21087-64-9
Contaminant Group	Pesticide
PCCL/CCL Status	PCCL
Health and Occurrence Data Status	<ul> <li>EPA health assessment available</li> <li>Nationally representative finished water occurrence data available</li> </ul>
Persistence/Mobility/Fate/Transport	BST = Biodegrades sometimes/recalcitrant (PBT)

July 2019 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Slide 70 of 278



### **Metribuzin: Health Effects**

EPA Health Assessment	OPP RED, 1997; on 2018 DWSHA Tables
Critical Effect	Changes in thyroid, lung weight and other histopathological parameters in a two-year feeding study in rats
Reference Dose	0.01 mg/kg-day
Health Value	70 ug/L (chronic)

#### **Additional Health Values**

- 1988 HA: One day HA = 5,000 ug/L; Ten day HA = 5,000 ug/L; DWEL = 350 ug/L
- 1987 IRIS RfD = 0.025 mg/kg-day (liver and kidney effects, decreased body weight, mortality)
- 2019, Health Canada: Maximum Acceptable Concentration (MAC)(2005) = 80 ug/L
- Cancer Classification: Not classifiable as to human carcinogenicity (Group D)

July 2019 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Slide 71 of 278



### Metribuzin: Occurrence

Best Available Occurrence Data Unregulated Contaminant Monitoring (UCM)

#### **Additional Occurrence Data**

Finished Water:

- UCM Round 2: Detected in 9 of 13,568 (0.07%) PWSs; detection range 0.1-2 ug/L
- PMP: Detected in 0 of 228 (0.0%) samples
- USDA PDP: Detected in 2 of 13 (15.40%) sites; detection range 0.0107-0.075 ug/L

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 72 of 278



### **Metribuzin: Occurrence**

#### Additional Occurrence Data (cont'd)

#### **Ambient Water:**

- NAWQA: Detected in 606 of 11,379 (5.33%) sites; detection range 0.001-15.6 ug/L
- GAMA: Detected in 2 of 1,828 (0.10%) PWSs; detection range 0.01-0.113 ug/L
- PMP: Detected in 47 of 323 (14.60%) samples; maximum detected 0.291 ug/L
- Toccalino, 2010: Detected in 11 of 898 (1.20%) samples; detection range 0.00458-0.105
   ug/L
- USDA PDP: Detected in 1 of 288 (0.30%) samples at 0.042 ug/L (2001)
- USDA PDP: Detected in 0 of 582 (0.0%) samples (2002)
- USDA PDP: Detected in 2 of 7 (28.60%) sites; detection range 0.0107-0.12 ug/L (Raw) Production/Release:
- NCFAP: Releases to water: 3,320,231 lbs in 48 states
- TRI: Total on and off-site releases: 15,725 lbs in 9 states; TRI releases to water: 21 lbs in 2 states

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 73 of 278




# Napropamide: Background


CASRN	15299-99-7
Contaminant Group	Pesticide
PCCL/CCL Status	Not Listed
Health and Occurrence Data Status	<ul><li>EPA health assessment available</li><li>Lacking finished or ambient water occurrence data</li></ul>
Persistence/Mobility/Fate/Transport	NA

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 74 of 278







### **Norflurazon: Health Effects**

EPA Health Assessment	ОРР ННВР, 2001
Critical Effect	Increased absolute and relative liver weight and increased cholesterol values in dogs
Reference Dose	0.015 mg/kg-day
Health Value	96 ug/L (chronic)

#### **Additional Health Values**

- HHBP: 800 ug/L (RfD = 0.03 mg/kg-day); non-cancer (acute females 13-49 years)
- 1987 IRIS RfD = 0.04 mg/kg-day (archived)

July 2019 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Slide 77 of 278



# **Norflurazon: Occurrence**

**Best Available Occurrence Data** State

#### **Additional Occurrence Data**

Finished Water:


• PMP: Detected in 0 of 225 (0.0%) samples


Ambient Water:


July 2019

- NAWQA: Detected in 217 of 7,803 (2.78%) sites; detection range 0.00025-26.5 ug/L
- PMP: Detected in 6 of 312 (1.90%) samples; maximum detected 0.414 ug/L
- USDA PDP: Detected in 0 of 154 (0.0%) samples (2001)
- USDA PDP: Detected in 0 of 288 (0.0%) samples (2002)

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Slide 78 of 278







July 2019 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Slide 81 of 278



# **Prometryn: Health Effects**

EPA Health Assessment	ОРР ННВР, 2009
Critical Effect	Kidney effects and bone marrow atrophy in dogs
Reference Dose	0.04 mg/kg-day
Health Value	300 ug/L (chronic)

#### **Additional Health Values**

- HHBP: 3,300 ug/L (RfD = 0.12 mg/kg-day); non-cancer (acute females 13-49 years)
- 1987 IRIS RfD: 0.004 mg/kg-day (archived)
- Cancer Classification: Evidence of non-carcinogenicity for humans (Group E)


July 2019 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Slide 82 of 278



# **Trifluralin: Background**

CASRN	1582-09-8
Contaminant Group	Pesticide
PCCL/CCL Status	PCCL
Health and Occurrence Data Status	<ul> <li>EPA health assessment available</li> <li>Non-nationally representative finished or ambient water occurrence data available</li> </ul>
Persistence/Mobility/Fate/Transport	PBT Profiler (2009) predicts 3% will partition to water when modeled in a four-compartment system (water, air, soil and sediment); BST = Biodegrades sometimes/recalcitrant (PBT)

July 2019 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Slide 83 of 278



#### **Additional Health Values**

- 1990 HA: One day HA = 80 ug/L; Ten day HA = 80 ug/L; DWEL = 700 ug/L; Cancer Risk 10<sup>-4</sup> = 400 ug/L
- 2019, Health Canada: MAC (2005) = 45 ug/L
- 1989 IRIS RfD = 0.0075 mg/kg-day (increased liver weights; increase in methemoglobin)
- 1988 IRIS CSF = 0.0077 (mg/kg-day)<sup>-1</sup> (renal pelvis carcinomas, urinary bladder papillomas)
- Cancer Classification: Possible human carcinogen (Group C)
- IARC Cancer Classification: Not classifiable as to its carcinogenicity to humans (Group 3)

July 2019 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Slide 84 of 278



### **Trifluralin: Occurrence**

**Best Available Occurrence Data** 

State

#### **Additional Occurrence Data**

Ambient Water:

- NAWQA: Detected in 485 of 10,201 (4.75%) sites; detection range 0.001-1.74 ug/L Production/Release:
- NCFAP: Releases to water: 22,263,693 lbs in 46 states
- TRI: Total on and off-site releases: 11,482 lbs in 22 states

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 85 of 278



### **Pesticides and Flame Retardants**

EPA Method 527 (GC/MS), 2005

Determination of Selected Pesticides and Flame Retardants in Drinking Water by Solid Phase Extraction and Capillary Column Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry (GC/MS)

Location: EPTDS

Analytes	
Bifenthrin	Hexazinone (525.3)
Esfenvalerate	Norflurazon (525.3)
Malathion	Prometryn (525.3)

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 86 of 278



# **Bifenthrin: Background**

CASRN	82657-04-3
Contaminant Group	Pesticide
PCCL/CCL Status	Not Listed
Health and Occurrence Data Status	<ul><li>EPA health assessment available</li><li>Lacking finished or ambient water occurrence data</li></ul>
Persistence/Mobility/Fate/Transport	NA
July 2019 U.S. Fe	nvironmental Protection Agency Slide 87 of 278




### **Bifenthrin: Health Effects**


EPA Health Assessment	OPP HHBP, 2012
Critical Effect	Reductions in locomotor activity; supported by multiple guideline studies
Reference Dose	0.01 mg/kg-day
Health Value	70 ug/L (acute - children)

#### **Additional Health Values**

- 1988 IRIS RfD = 0.015 mg/kg-day (tremors)
- Cancer Classification: Possible human carcinogen (Group C)

July 2019 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Slide 88 of 278







# **Esfenvalerate: Occurrence**

**Best Available Occurrence Data** State

#### **Additional Occurrence Data**

Ambient Water:

• NAWQA: Detected in 0 of 2,965 (0.00%) sites

Production/Release:

• NCFAP: Releases to water: 228,885 lbs in 47 states

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 91 of 278



CASRN	121-75-5
Contaminant Group	Pesticide; veterinary medicine
PCCL/CCL Status	PCCL
Health and Occurrence Data Status	<ul> <li>EPA health assessment available</li> <li>Non-nationally representative finished or ambient water occurrence data available</li> </ul>
Persistence/Mobility/Fate/Transport	PBT Profiler (2009) predicts 25% will partition to water when modeled in a four-compartment system (water, air, soil and sediment); DF = Degrades fast (HSDB)

July 2019 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Slide 92 of 278



### **Malathion: Health Effects**

EPA Health Assessment	OPP RED, 2009; on 2018 DWSHA Tables
Critical Effect	Red blood cell cholinesterase inhibition in rats
Reference Dose	0.07 mg/kg-day
Health Value	500 ug/L (chronic)

#### **Additional Health Values**

- 1992 HA: One day HA = 200 ug/L; Ten day HA = 200 ug/L; DWEL = 2,000 ug/L
- 1987 IRIS RfD = 0.02 mg/kg-day (red blood cell cholinesterase [RBC ChE] depression)
- ATSDR Minimal Risk Level = 0.02 mg/kg-day
- 2019, Health Canada: MAC (2005) = 190 ug/L
- ADI = 0.3 mg/kg-day
- Cancer Classification: Suggestive evidence of carcinogenic potential (S)
- IARC Cancer Classification: Probably carcinogenic to humans (Group 2A)

July 2019 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Slide 93 of 278



### **Malathion: Occurrence**

Best Available Occurrence Data State

#### **Additional Occurrence Data**

#### Finished Water:

- CAL DHS: Detected in 0 of 271 (0.0%) PWSs
- PMP: Detected in 0 of 228 (0.0%) samples
- USDA PDP: Detected in 0 of 283 (0.0%) samples (2001)
- USDA PDP: Detected in 0 of 669 (0.0%) samples (2002)
- USDA PDP: Detected in 2 of 13 (15.40%) sites; detection range 0.01-0.331 ug/L

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 94 of 278



### **Malathion: Occurrence**

#### Additional Occurrence Data (cont'd.)

Ambient Water:

- NAWQA: Detected in 416 of 11,237 (3.70%) sites; detection range 0.00041-9.58 ug/L
- USDA PDP: Detected in 0 of 7 (0.0%) sites (Raw)
- GAMA: Detected in 0 of 1828 (0.0%) PWSs
- PMP: Detected in 6 of 323 (1.90%) samples; maximum detected 0.106 ug/L
- Toccalino, 2010: Detected in 0 of 898 (0.0%) samples

#### Production/Release:

- NCFAP: releases to water: 5,809,943 lbs in 42 states
- TRI: Total on and off-site releases: 108,619 lbs in 12 states; TRI releases to water: 5 lbs in 1
   state

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 95 of 278

# N-Methylcarbamoyloximes and N-Methylcarbamates

EPA Method 531.2 (DAI/HPLC), 2001

Measurement of N-Methylcarbamoyloximes and N-Methylcarbamates in Water by Direct Aqueous Injection HPLC with Postcolumn Derivatization


Location: EPTDS


Analytes Carbaryl Methomyl

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 96 of 278







### **Carbaryl: Occurrence**

Best Available Occurrence Data

UCM

#### **Additional Occurrence Data**

#### Finished Water

- UCM Round 2: Detected in 13 of 12,679 (0.10%) PWSs; detection range 0.18-3 ug/L
- PMP: Detected in 2 of 228 (0.90%) samples; maximum detected 0.041 ug/L (Method 2001)
- PMP: Detected in 0 of 225 (0.0%) samples (Method 9060)
- USDA PDP: Detected in 5 of 13 (38.50%) sites; detection range 0.005-0.3 ug/L Production/Release:
- TRI: Total on and off site releases: 872 lbs in 9 states; TRI releases to water: 6 lbs in 2 states
- NCFAP: releases to water: 4,857,542 lbs in 48 states

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 99 of 278



### **Carbaryl: Occurrence**

#### Additional Occurrence Data (cont'd)


#### Ambient Water:


- NAWQA: Detected in 820 of 11,274 (7.27%) sites; detection range 0.00038-23.5 ug/L
- GAMA: Detected in 1 of 1,831 (0.05%) PWSs at 0.007 ug/L
- NREC: (SW National Reconnaissance) Detected in 14 of 85 (16.50%) sites; median detected 0.04 ug/L
- NREC: (GW National Aggregate) Detected in 0.12% of samples; median detected 0.9 ug/L
- NREC: (SW National Aggregate) Detected in 5.05% of samples; median detected 0.17  $\mu$ L
- PMP: Detected in 7 of 323 (2.20%) samples; maximum detected 0.047 ug/L (Method 2001)
- PMP: Detected in 2 of 312 (0.60%) samples; maximum detected 0.063 ug/L (Method 9060)
- Toccalino, 2010: Detected in 6 of 898 (0.70%) samples; detection range 0.00277-0.0196
- USDA PDP: Detected in 0 of 296 (0.0%) samples (2001)
- USDA PDP: Detected in 0 of 550 (0.0%) samples (2002)
- USDA PDP: Detected in 5 of 7 (71.40%) sites; detection range 0.0078-0.33 ug/L

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 100 of 278







### **Methomyl: Occurrence**

Best Available Occurrence Data

**UCM** 

#### **Additional Occurrence Data**

#### Finished Water:

- UCM Round 2: Detected in 18 of 12,659 (0.14%) PWSs; maximum detected 3 ug/L
- CAL DHS: Detected in 1 of 4,611 (0.02%) PWSs at 15 ug/L
- PMP: Detected in 0 of 225 (0.0%) samples

#### Ambient Water:

- NAWQA: Detected in 83 of 7,697 (1.08%) sites; detection range 0.00018-3 ug/L
- PMP: Detected in 0 of 312 (0.0%) samples
- USDA PDP: Detected in 0 of 134 (0.0%) samples (2001)
- USDA PDP: Detected in 0 of 495 (0.0%) samples (2002)

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 103 of 278



# **Organic Contaminants**

EPA Method 538 (DAI-LC/MS/MS), 2009

Determination of Selected Organic Contaminants in Drinking Water by Direct Aqueous Injection-Liquid Chromatography/Tandem Mass Spectrometry (DAI-LC/MS/MS)

Location: EPTDS

Analytes

Acephate Dicrotophos

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 104 of 278



# **Acephate: Background**

CASRN	30560-19-1
Contaminant Group	Pesticide
PCCL/CCL Status	CCL 4
Health and Occurrence Data Status	<ul> <li>EPA health assessment available</li> <li>Non-nationally representative finished or ambient water occurrence data available</li> </ul>
Persistence/Mobility/Fate/Transport	Biodegrades fast (BF)
July 2019 U.S. Fr	ovironmental Protection Agency Slide 105 of 278



# **Acephate: Health Effects**

EPA Health Assessment	OPP HHBP, 2000
Critical Effect	Brain Cholinesterase inhibition
Reference Dose	0.0012 mg/kg-day
Health Value	7.7 ug/L (chronic)

#### **Additional Health Values**

- HHBP: 30 ug/L (RfD = 0.005 mg/kg-day); non-cancer (acute children)
- CCL 4 Non-Carcinogenic (NCAR) Health Value = 4 ug/L, Risk Assessment Information System- Health Effects Data Cancer Slope Factor (RAIS HE CSF) = 0.0087 (mg/kg-d)<sup>-1</sup>
- 1989 IRIS RfD = 0.004 mg/kg-day (archived)
- ADI = 0.03 mg/kg-day
- Cancer Classification: Possible human carcinogen (Group C)

July 2019 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Slide 106 of 278



# **Acephate: Occurrence**

**Best Available Occurrence Data** State

#### **Additional Occurrence Data**

Ambient Water:

- NAWQA: Detected in 135 of 1,760 (7.67%) sites; detection range 0.00051-10.4 ug/L Production/Release:
- NCFAP: Releases to water: 2,462,354 lbs in 35 states
- TRI total on and off-site releases: 27,210 lbs in 4 states

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 107 of 278



# **Dicrotophos: Background**

CASRN	141-66-2
Contaminant Group	Pesticide
PCCL/CCL Status	CCL 4
Health and Occurrence Data Status	<ul> <li>EPA health assessment available</li> <li>Non-nationally representative finished or ambient water occurrence data available</li> </ul>
Persistence/Mobility/Fate/Transport	PBT Profiler (2009) predicts 39% will partition to water when modeled in a four-compartment system (water, air, soil and sediment); BS = Biodegrades slowly (PBT)
	<del>,</del>

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 108 of 278



### **Dicrotophos: Health Effects**

EPA Health Assessment	ОРР ННВР, 2015
Critical Effect	Inhibition of brain cholinesterase in adult rat
Reference Dose	0.00003 mg/kg-day
Health Value	0.2 ug/L (chronic)

#### **Additional Health Values**

- HHBP: 0.5 ug/L (RfD = 0.00007 mg/kg-day); non-cancer (acute children)
- 1987 IRIS RfD = 0.0001 mg/kg-day (archived)
- Cancer Classification: Suggestive evidence of carcinogenicity, but not sufficient to assess human carcinogenic potential (S)

 July 2019
 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
 Slide 109 of 278



# **Dicrotophos: Occurrence**

Best Available Occurrence Data State

#### **Additional Occurrence Data**

#### Finished Water:

- Hopple, 2009: Detected in 0 of 48 (0.0%) samples (GW; Phase 2)
- PMP: Detected in 0 of 221 (0.0%) samples
- USDA PDP: Detected in 0 of 13 (0.0%) sites

#### Ambient Water:

- NAWQA: Detected in 33 of 4,916 (0.67%) sites; detection range 0.0005-6.83 ug/L
- USDA PDP: Detected in 0 of 6 (0.0%) sites
- Hopple, 2009: Detected in 0 of 221 (0.0%) samples (GW; Phase 1)
- Hopple, 2009: Detected in 0 of 48 (0.0%) samples (GW; Phase 2)
- PMP: Detected in 0 of 317 (0.0%) samples

#### Production/Release:

• NCFAP: Releases to water: 359,726 lbs in 13 states

July 2019 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 110 of 278



### **Pharmaceuticals and Personal Care Products**


EPA Method 542 (LC/ESI-MS/MS), 2016

Determination of Pharmaceuticals and Personal Care Products in Drinking Water by Solid Phase Extraction and Liquid Chromatography Electrospray Ionization Tandem Mass Spectrometry (LC/ESI-MS/MS)

Location: EPTDS

Analytes	
Carbamazepine	Gemfibrozil
Diazepam	Naproxen
Diclofenac (sodium salt)	Phenytoin
Enalapril (maleate salt)	Sulfamethoxazole
Erythromycin	Triclosan
Fluoxetine (HCI)	Trimethoprim

July 2019 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Slide 111 of 278



U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

July 2019

Page 56 of 139

Slide 112 of 278



# **Carbamazepine: Health Effects**

EPA Health Assessment	NA
Critical Effect	NA
Reference Dose	NA
Health Value	NA

#### **Additional Health Values**

 U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA): Maximum Recommended Daily Dose (MRDD) = 26.7 mg/kg-day

July 2019 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 113 of 278



### **Carbamazepine: Occurrence**

**Best Available Occurrence Data** State

#### **Additional Occurrence Data**

#### Finished Water:


- Glassmeyer, 2017: Detected in 11% of partially treated samples from 9 PWSs; maximum detected 0.586 ug/L (Phase I)
- Glassmeyer, 2017: Detected in 8% of partially treated samples from 25 PWSs; maximum detected 0.02650 ug/L (Phase II)


#### Ambient Water:

- Glassmeyer, 2017: Detected in 11% of samples from 9 PWSs; maximum detected 0.269 ug/L (Phase I)
- Glassmeyer, 2017: Detected in 28% of samples from 25 PWSs; maximum detected 0.0357 ug/L (Phase II)
- NAWQA: Detected in 62 of 626 (9.90%) sites; detection range 0.00021-0.468 ug/L
- NREC: (GW National Reconnaissance) Detected in 5 of 27 (18.52%) sites; median detected 0.023 ug/L
- Focazio, 2008: Detected in 16 of 74 (21.62%) sites; maximum detected 0.19 ug/L

2019 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 114 of 278







# **Diazepam: Occurrence**

Best Available Occurrence Data State

#### **Additional Occurrence Data**

Ambient Water:

• NAWQA: Detected in 2 of 556 (0.36%) sites; detection range 0.00047-0.00115 ug/L

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 117 of 278




# **Diclofenac: Background**


CASRN	15307-86-5
Contaminant Group	PPCP
PCCL/CCL Status	Not Listed
Health and Occurrence Data Status	<ul><li>Lacking an EPA health assessment</li><li>Lacking finished or ambient water occurrence data</li></ul>
Persistence/Mobility/Fate/Transport	NA


July 2019


U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 118 of 278











# **Erythromycin: Health Effects**

EPA Health Assessment	WHO, 2006; on CCL 4 contaminant information sheets (CISs)
Critical Effect	Inhibition of beneficial gastrointestinal bacteria (based on a therapeutic application; may not be applicable to general population)
Acceptable Daily Intake (ADI)	0.0007 mg/kg-day
Health Value	4.9 ug/L (chronic)

#### **Additional Health Values**

• FDA: MRDD = 66.7 mg/kg-day

July 2019 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Slide 123 of 278



# **Erythromycin: Occurrence**

Best Available Occurrence Data Supplemental

#### **Additional Occurrence Data**


Ambient Water:


- Focazio, 2008: Detected in 8.10% of 73 sites; maximum detected 0.3 ug/L
- NAWQA: Detected in 1 of 557 (0.18%) sites at 0.00633 ug/L
- NREC: (GW National Reconnaissance) Detected in 0 of 90 (0.0%) sites
- NREC: (SW National Reconnaissance) Detected in 22 of 104 (21.50%) sites; median detected 0.1 ug/L; maximum detected 1.7 ug/L

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 124 of 278







### Fluoxetine: Occurrence

Best Available Occurrence Data State

#### **Additional Occurrence Data**

**Ambient Water:** 

- NAWQA: Detected in 3 of 557 (0.54%) sites; detection range 0.00585-0.0171 ug/L
- NREC: (GW National Reconnaissance) Detected in 2 of 74 (2.7%) sites; median detected 0.0305 ug/L
- NREC: (SW National Reconnaissance) Detected in 1 of 84 (1.2%) sites; median detected 0.012 ug/L
- Focazio, 2008: Detected in 1 of 74 (1.35%) sites; maximum detected <RL ug/L
- Barnes, 2008: Detected in 2 of 47 (4.26%) sites; maximum detected 0.056 ug/L

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 127 of 278



# Gemfibrozil: Background

CASRN	25812-30-0
Contaminant Group	PPCP
PCCL/CCL Status	Not Listed
Health and Occurrence Data Status	<ul> <li>Lacking an EPA health assessment</li> <li>Non-nationally representative finished or ambient water occurrence available</li> </ul>
Persistence/Mobility/Fate/Transport	NA

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 128 of 278



### **Gemfibrozil: Health Effects**

EPA Health Assessment	NA
Critical Effect	NA
Reference Dose	NA
Health Value	NA

#### **Additional Health Values**

- FDA: MRDD = 20 mg/kg-day
- IARC Cancer Classification: Not classifiable as to its carcinogenicity to humans (Group 3)

 July 2019
 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
 Slide 129 of 278



### **Gemfibrozil: Occurrence**

Best Available Occurrence Data Supplemental

#### **Additional Occurrence Data**


#### Ambient Water:


- NREC: (GW National Reconnaissance) Detected in 0 of 74 (0%) sites
- NREC: (SW National Reconnaissance) Detected in 3 of 84 (3.6%) sites; median detected 0.048 ug/L
- Barnes, 2008: Detected in 0 of 47 (0.00%) sites

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 130 of 278







# **Phenytoin: Health Effects**

EPA Health Assessment	NA
Critical Effect	NA
Reference Dose	NA
Health Value	NA

#### **Additional Health Values**

- FDA: MRDD = 5 mg/kg-day
- IARC Cancer Classification: Possibly carcinogenic to humans (Group 2B)

July 2019 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency



Slide 133 of 278

### **Phenytoin: Occurrence**

**Best Available Occurrence Data** State

#### **Additional Occurrence Data**

Finished Water:

- $\bullet~$  Snyder, 2008: Detected in 74% of samples from 20 PWSs; maximum detected 0.032 ug/L Ambient Water:
- NAWQA: Detected in 5 of 557 (0.90%) sites; detection range 0.0415-0.44 ug/L
- Snyder, 2008: Detected in 91% of samples from 20 PWS; maximum detected 0.04 ug/L
- Vanderford et al., 2006: Maximum detected 0.17 ug/L


Production/Release:

• TRI total on and off-site releases: 1,700 lbs in 2 states

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 134 of 278



July 2019 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Slide 135 of 278



### **Sulfamethoxazole: Health Effects**

EPA Health Assessment	NA
Critical Effect	NA
Reference Dose	NA
Health Value	NA

#### **Additional Health Values**

• IARC Cancer Classification: Not classifiable as to its carcinogenicity to humans (Group 3)

July 2019 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Slide 136 of 278



### Sulfamethoxazole: Occurrence

**Best Available Occurrence Data** 

State

#### **Additional Occurrence Data**

#### Finished Water:

- Glassmeyer, 2017: Detected in 0% of partially treated samples from 9 PWSs (Phase I)
- Glassmeyer, 2017: Detected in 4% of partially treated samples from 25 PWSs; maximum detected 0.0082 ug/L (Phase II)

#### Ambient Water:

- NAWQA: Detected in 30 of 619 (4.85%) sites; detection range 0.0016-1.46 ug/L
- Glassmeyer, 2017: Detected in 0% of samples from 9 PWSs (Phase I)
- Glassmeyer, 2017: Detected in 40% of samples from 25 PWSs; maximum detected 0.1611 ug/L (Phase II)

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 137 of 278



### Sulfamethoxazole: Occurrence

#### Additional Occurrence Data (cont'd)


Ambient Water:


- NREC: (GW National Reconnaissance) Detected in 6 of 62 (9.68%) sites; median detected 0.055 ug/L
- NREC: (GW National Reconnaissance) Detected in 12 of 74 (16.22%) sites; median detected 0.021 ug/L
- NREC: (SW National Reconnaissance) Detected in 13 of 104 (12.5%) sites; median detected 0.15 ug/L
- NREC: (SW National Reconnaissance) Detected in 16 of 84 (19%) sites; median detected 0.066 ug/L
- Barnes, 2008: Detected in 11 of 47 (23.40%) sites; maximum detected 1.11 ug/L
- Focazio, 2008: Detected in 2 of 74 (2.7%) sites at an unquantifiable concentration of 0.023 ug/L

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 138 of 278







### **Triclosan: Occurrence**

Best Available Occurrence Data

State

#### **Additional Occurrence Data**

#### Finished Water:

- Glassmeyer, 2017: Detected in 0% of partially treated samples from 25 PWSs (Phase II)
- Hopple, 2009: Detected in 0 of 57 (0.0%) samples (GW; Phase 2)
- Kingsbury, 2008: Detected in 3.1% of 96 samples; maximum detected 0.05 ug/L (SW; Phase 2)

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 141 of 278



### **Triclosan: Occurrence**

#### Additional Occurrence Data (cont'd)


#### Ambient Water:


- NAWQA: Detected in 31 of 567 (5.47%) sites; detection range 0.01-0.56 ug/L
- Glassmeyer, 2017: Detected in 12% of samples from 25 PWSs; 0.00350 ug/L maximum detected (Phase II)
- Hopple, 2009: Detected in 0.5% of 217 samples; maximum detected 0.065 ug/L (GW; Phase 1)
- Hopple, 2009: Detected in 0 of 55 (0.0%) samples (GW; Phase 2)
- Kingsbury, 2008: Detected in 5.6% of 144 samples; maximum detected 0.1 ug/L (SW; Phase 1)
- Kingsbury, 2008: Detected in 5.2% of 96 samples; maximum detected 0.098 ug/L (SW; Phase 2)

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 142 of 278







### **Trimethoprim: Occurrence**

**Best Available Occurrence Data** State

#### **Additional Occurrence Data**


#### Ambient Water:

- NAWQA: Detected in 14 of 619 (2.26%) sites; detection range 0.00498-0.0927 ug/L
- NREC: (GW National Reconnaissance) Detected in 1 of 63 (1.59%) sites; median detected 0.09 ug/L
- NREC: (GW National Reconnaissance) Detected in 1 of 74 (1.35%) sites; median detected 0.0003 ug/L
- NREC: (SW National Reconnaissance) Detected in 13 of 104 (12.5%) sites; median detected 0.15 ug/L
- NREC: (SW National Reconnaissance) Detected in 23 of 84 (27.4%) sites; median detected 0.013 ug/L
- Barnes, 2008: Detected in 0 of 47 (0.00%) sites
- Focazio, 2008: Detected in 5 of 71 (7.04%) sites; maximum detected 0.02 ug/L

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 145 of 278



**EPA Method 551.1 (LLE/GC/ECD), 1995** 

Determination of Chlorination Disinfection Byproducts, Chlorinated Solvents, and Halogenated Pesticides/Herbicides in Drinking Water by Liquid-Liquid Extraction and Gas Chromatography with Electron-Capture Detection

#### Location: Stage 2 D/DBPR DS

Analytes	
Bromochloroacetonitrile (BCAN)	Dibromoacetonitrile (DBAN)
Dichloroacetonitrile (DCAN)	Trichloroacetonitrile (TCAN)

July 2019 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 146 of 278



Slide 147 of 278

### Dichloroacetonitrile (DCAN): Background

CASRN	3018-12-0
Contaminant Group	Haloacetonitrile (HAN); disinfection byproduct (DBP)
PCCL/CCL Status	PCCL
Health and Occurrence Data Status	<ul> <li>Lacking an EPA health assessment</li> <li>Non-nationally representative finished or ambient water occurrence data available</li> </ul>
Persistence/Mobility/Fate/Transport	PBT Profiler (2009) predicts 51% will partition to water when modeled in a four-compartment system (water, air, soil and sediment); BSA = Biodegrades slowly with acclimation; Note: may hydrolyze

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Dichloroacetonitrile (DCAN): Health Effects

EPA Health Assessment	NA
Critical Effect	NA
Reference Dose	NA
Health Value	NA

#### **Additional Health Values**

July 2019

Studies below are summarized in USEPA's SYR3 Technical Support Document for Disinfectants/Disinfection Byproducts Rules, 2016:

- Lowest-observed-adverse-effect level (LOAEL) in rats of 55 mg/kg/day when DCAN was administered in tricaprylin (based on developmental toxicity) (Smith, 1986)
- Maternal and fetal no-observed-adverse-effect level (NOAEL) in rats of 15 mg/kg/day when DCAN
  was administered in tricaprylin (based on increased liver weight in the dams and decreased fetal
  weight and length and an increase in soft tissue malformations, respectively (Smith, 1989)
- Tolerable Daily Intake (TDI): 0.0027 mg/kg/day (based on increased relative liver weight in male and female rats), (WHO, 2004)
- Most genotoxic to the least genotoxic of the DBP classes haloacetonitriles > haloacetamides >
   HNMs > HALs > HAAs > >2C-haloacids > halomethanes (Plewa and Wagner, 2009)

July 2019 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Slide 148 of 278



### Dichloroacetonitrile (DCAN): Occurrence

**Best Available Occurrence Data** 

DBP-ICR

#### **Additional Occurrence Data**

Distribution System:

- DBP-ICR: Detected in 70.1% PWSs; 50th percentile = 1.3 ug/L, 90th percentile = 4.4 ug/L
- Krasner, 1989: U.S. clear well quarterly medians range = 1.1- 1.2 ug/L

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 149 of 278



## Dibromoacetonitrile (DBAN): Background

CASRN	3252-43-5
Contaminant Group	HAN; DBP
PCCL/CCL Status	PCCL
Health and Occurrence Data Status	<ul> <li>Lacking an EPA health assessment</li> <li>Non-nationally representative finished or ambient water occurrence data available</li> </ul>
Persistence/Mobility/Fate/Transport	PBT Profiler (2009) predicts 48% will partition to water when modeled in a four-compartment system (water, air, soil and sediment); BSA = Biodegrades slowly with acclimation; Note: may hydrolyze

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 150 of 278



### Dibromoacetonitrile (DBAN): Health Effects

EPA Health Assessment	NA
Critical Effect	NA
Reference Dose	NA
Health Value	NA

#### **Additional Health Values**

Studies below are summarized in USEPA's SYR3 Technical Support Document for Disinfectants/Disinfection Byproducts Rules, 2016:

- TDI: 0.11 mg/kg/day (based on decreased body weight in male rats) (WHO, 2004)
- Clear evidence of cancer of the oral cavity in male and female rats, of the glandular stomach in male rats and of the forestomach in male and female mice) (National Toxicology Program [NTP], 2010)
- Most genotoxic to the least genotoxic of the DBP classes haloacetonitriles > haloacetamides > HNMs > HALs > HAAs > >2C-haloacids > halomethanes (Plewa and Wagner, 2009)
- IARC Cancer Classification: Possibly carcinogenic to humans (Group 2B)

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 151 of 278



### Dibromoacetonitrile (DBAN): Occurrence

Best Available Occurrence Data | DBP-ICR

#### **Additional Occurrence Data**

Distribution System:

- DBP-ICR: Detected in 48.6% PWSs; 50th percentile = <0.5 ug/L, 90th percentile = 2.3 ug/L
- Krasner, 1989: U.S. clear well quarterly medians range 0.46- 0.54 ug/L. At the utility with the highest bromide influent levels (2,800-3,000 ug/L), DBAN quarterly medians range 5.9-6.7 ug/L

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 152 of 278



Slide 153 of 278

### Bromochloroacetonitrile (BCAN): Background

CASRN	83463-62-1
Contaminant Group	HAN; DBP
PCCL/CCL Status	PCCL
Health and Occurrence Data Status	<ul> <li>Lacking an EPA health assessment</li> <li>Non-nationally representative finished or ambient water occurrence data available</li> </ul>
Persistence/Mobility/Fate/Transport	PBT Profiler (2009) predicts 48% will partition to water when modeled in a four-compartment system (water, air, soil and sediment); BSA = Biodegrades slowly with acclimation; Note: may hydrolyze

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency



### **Bromochloroacetonitrile (BCAN): Health Effects**

EPA Health Assessment	NA
Critical Effect	NA
Reference Dose	NA
Health Value	NA

#### **Additional Health Values**

July 2019

Studies below are summarized in USEPA's SYR3 Technical Support Document for Disinfectants/Disinfection Byproducts Rules, 2016:

- Maternal NOAEL of 45 mg/kg/day and maternal LOAEL of 65 mg/kg/day in rats when BCAN was administered in tricaprylin (based on decreased maternal weight and increased dam mortality) (Christ, 1995)
- Maternal LOAEL of 5 mg/kg/day in rats, BCAN administered in tricaprylin (based on developmental and teratogenic effects) (Christ, 1995)
- Most genotoxic to the least genotoxic of the DBP classes haloacetonitriles > haloacetamides > HNMs > HALs > HAAs > >2C-haloacids > halomethanes (Plewa and Wagner, 2009)

July 2019 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Slide 154 of 278



### Bromochloroacetonitrile (BCAN): Occurrence

Best Available Occurrence Data DBP-ICR

#### Additional Occurrence Data

Distribution System:

- DBP-ICR: Detected in 62.5% PWS; 50th percentile = 0.7 ug/L, 90th percentile = 2.6 ug/L
- Krasner, 1989: clear well quarterly medians range 0.50 0.70 ug/L. At the utility with the
  highest bromide influent levels (2,800 -3,000 ug/L), BCAN quarterly medians range 1.1-1.2
  ug/L

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 155 of 278



## Trichloroacetonitrile (TCAN): Background

CASRN	545-06-2
Contaminant Group	HAN; DBP
PCCL/CCL Status	PCCL
Health and Occurrence Data Status	<ul> <li>Lacking an EPA health assessment</li> <li>Non-nationally representative finished or ambient water occurrence data available</li> </ul>
Persistence/Mobility/Fate/Transport	PBT Profiler (2009) predicts 27% will partition to water when modeled in a four-compartment system (water, air, soil and sediment); BST = Biodegrades sometimes/recalcitrant

July 2019 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 156 of 278



### Trichloroacetonitrile (TCAN): Health Effects

EPA Health Assessment	NA
Critical Effect	NA
Reference Dose	NA
Health Value	NA

#### **Additional Health Values**

Study below is summarized in USEPA's SYR3 Technical Support Document for Disinfectants/Disinfection Byproducts Rules, 2016:

- NOAEL in rats of 35 mg/kg/day and a LOAEL of 55 mg/kg/day when TCAN was administered in corn oil (based on developmental toxicity and teratogenicity) (Christ, 1996)
- Most genotoxic to the least genotoxic of the DBP classes haloacetonitriles > haloacetamides > HNMs > HALs > HAAs > >2C-haloacids > halomethanes (Plewa and Wagner, 2009)

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 157 of 278



### Trichloroacetonitrile (TCAN): Occurrence

Best Available Occurrence Data | DBP-ICF

#### **Additional Occurrence Data**


Distribution System:


- DBP-ICR: Detected in 1.7% PWS; 50th percentile = <0.5 ug/L, 90th percentile = <0.5 ug/L
- Krasner, 1989: U.S. clear well quarterly medians range <0.012 <0.029 ug/L

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 158 of 278







### **Acetaldehyde: Health Effects**

EPA Health Assessment	NA
Critical Effect	NA
Reference Dose	NA
Health Value	NA

#### **Additional Health Values**

- CCL 4 NCAR Health Value = 23.3  $\mu g/L$ , Registry of Toxic Effects of Chemical Substances (RTECS) RfD = 10 mg/kg-day
- Cancer Classification: Probable human carcinogen; indicates sufficient evidence in animals and inadequate or no evidence in humans (Group B2)
- IARC Cancer Classification: Possibly carcinogenic to humans (Group 2B)

July 2019 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Slide 161 of 278



### **Acetaldehyde: Occurrence**

Best Available Occurrence Data | DBP-ICR

#### **Additional Occurrence Data**

Finished Water:

- DBP-ICR: Detected in 27 of 236 (11.44%) PWSs; maximum detected 18.3 ug/L Production/Release:
- TRI: Total on and off site releases: 8,811,900 lbs in 39 states; TRI releases to water: 375,307 lbs in 29 states
- CDR: Production Volume: >100M 500M lbs/yr (2002), 100M < 500M lbs/yr (2006)

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 162 of 278



## Formaldehyde: Background

CASRN	50-00-0
Contaminant Group	Naturally-occurring gas; pesticide; DBP
PCCL/CCL Status	CCL 4
Health and Occurrence Data Status	<ul> <li>EPA health assessment available</li> <li>Non-nationally representative finished or ambient water occurrence data available</li> </ul>
Persistence/Mobility/Fate/Transport	NA

 July 2019
 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
 Slide 163 of 278



# Formaldehyde: Health Effects

EPA Health Assessment	IRIS, 1990; on 2018 DWSHA Table
Critical Effect	Reduced weight gain, histopathology in rats
Reference Dose	0.2 mg/kg-day
Health Value	1,000 ug/L (chronic)

#### **Additional Health Values**

- 1993 HA: One day HA = 10,000 ug/L; Ten day HA = 5,000 ug/L; DWEL = 7,000 ug/L
- ATSDR Minimal Risk Level = 0.2 mg/kg-day
- EPA SYR3 Technical Support Document for Disinfectants/Disinfection Byproducts Rules, 2016:
  - o TDI: 350 ug/L, Health Canada, 1997
  - o TDI: 2,600 ug/L, WHO, 2005
- OEHHA CSF = 0.021 (mg/kg-day)<sup>-1</sup>
- Cancer Classification: Probable human carcinogen (Group B1) based on inhalation exposure
- IARC Cancer Classification: Carcinogenic to humans (Group 1)

July 2019 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Slide 164 of 278



## Formaldehyde: Occurrence

**Best Available Occurrence Data** 

DBP-ICR

#### **Additional Occurrence Data**

Finished Water:

- DBP-ICR: Detected in 126 of 227 (55.5%) PWSs; maximum detected 30.6 ug/L Production/Release:
- TRI: Total on and off site releases: 19,339,492 lbs in 47 states; TRI releases to water: 177,890 lbs in 28 states
- CDR: Production Volume: > 1B lbs/yr (2002), ≥ 1B lbs/yr (2006)

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 165 of 278



### **EPA Method 558 in Development (GC/MS)**

Location: EPTDS

**Analytes** 

N-Methyl-2-pyrrolidone

Urethane

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 166 of 278



## N-Methyl-2-pyrrolidone: Background

CASRN	872-50-4
Contaminant Group	Chemical industry solvent
PCCL/CCL Status	CCL 4
Health and Occurrence Data Status	<ul><li>EPA health assessment available</li><li>Lacking finished or ambient water occurrence data</li></ul>
Persistence/Mobility/Fate/Transport	PBT Profiler (2009) predicts 42% will partition to water when modeled in a four-compartment system (water, air, soil and sediment); BF = Biodegrades fast

 July 2019
 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
 Slide 167 of 278



## N-Methyl-2-pyrrolidone: Health Effects

EPA Health Assessment	NA
Critical Effect	NA
Reference Dose	NA
Health Value	NA

#### **Additional Health Values**

- CCL 4 NCAR Health Value = 4,200  $\mu$ g/L, WHO, 2001 TDI = 0.6 mg/kg-day (decreased weight gain, neurobehavioral effects, sedative effects)
- 2015 TSCA Assessment (inhalation)

July 2019 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Slide 168 of 278



# N-Methyl-2-pyrrolidone: Occurrence

**Best Available Occurrence Data** 

TRI

#### **Additional Occurrence Data**

Production/Release:

- TRI: Total on and off site releases: 9,459,164 lbs in 43 states; TRI releases to water: 14,861 lbs in 6 states
- CDR: Production Volume: >100M 500M lbs/yr (2002), 100M < 500M lbs/yr (2006)

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 169 of 278



## **Urethane: Background**

CASRN	51-79-6
Contaminant Group	Paint ingredient
PCCL/CCL Status	CCL 4
Health and Occurrence Data Status	<ul><li>Lacking an EPA health assessment</li><li>Lacking finished or ambient water occurrence data</li></ul>
Persistence/Mobility/Fate/Transport	PBT Profiler (2009) predicts 40% will partition to water when modeled in a four-compartment system (water, air, soil and sediment); BS = Biodegrades slowly (PBT)

July 2019 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Slide 170 of 278



### **Urethane: Health Effects**

EPA Health Assessment	NA
Critical Effect	NA
Reference Dose	NA
Health Value	NA

#### **Additional Health Values**

- CCL 4 NCAR Health Value = 6.3 ug/L, Supplemental NOAEL = 0.9 mg/kg-day
- CCL 4 Carcinogenicity (CAR) Health Value = 0.035 ug/L, OEHHA CSF = 1 (mg/kg-d)<sup>-1</sup>
- Cancer Classification: Probable human carcinogen; indicates sufficient evidence in animals and inadequate or no evidence in humans (Group B2)
- IARC Cancer Classification: Probably carcinogenic to humans (Group 2A)

July 2019 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Slide 171 of 278



### **Urethane: Occurrence**

Best Available Occurrence Data TRI

#### Additional Occurrence Data


#### Production/Release:


- CDR: Production Volume: No reports in 1998 or 2002
- TRI total on and off-site releases: 50,280 lbs in 8 states

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 172 of 278







### **Nonylphenol: Health Effects**

EPA Health Assessment	NA
Critical Effect	NA
Reference Dose	NA
Health Value	NA

#### **Additional Health Values**

• CCL 4 NCAR Health Value = 105  $\mu$ g/L, WHO 2004, NOAEL = 15  $\mu$ g/kg-day (reproductive effects)

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 175 of 278



### Nonylphenol: Occurrence

Best Available Occurrence Data Supplemental

#### **Additional Occurrence Data**

#### Finished Water

 Padhye, 2014: DW: Detected in 8 of 8 samples (100%) from one PWS; detection range 0.0124±0.0053 ug/L to 0.0606±0.0192 ug/L

#### **Ambient Water**

- Benotti, 2009: Detected in 2 of 5 sites; maximum detected 100 ug/L
- Klosterhaus, 2013: Detected in 60.0% of 5 sites; detection range <RL-72.9 ug/L
- Kolpin, 2002: Detected in 43 of 85 (50.60%) sites; maximum detected 40 ug/L
- Padhye, 2014 SW: Detected in 8 of 8 samples (100%) from one PWS; detection range 0.0534±0.0058 ug/L to 0.1856±0.020 ug/L
- Snyder, 2008: Detected in 17.0% of 20 samples; maximum detected 0.104 ug/L


#### Production/Release:


• CDR: Production Volume: No reports (2002), < 500K lbs/yr (2006)


July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 176 of 278







Legionella pneumophila: Health Effects		
Health Assessment	Health Criteria Document (1999) and Health Advisory (2001)	
Critical Effect	Pneumonia	
Reference Dose	NA	
Health Value	MCLG = 0	
Additional Health Values  There are two forms of legionellosis, Pontiac fever and Legionnaires' disease Pontiac fever is less severe, characterized by flu-like symptoms, and lasts 2-5 days Legionnaires' disease is a potentially fatal illness involving pneumonia 6,141 cases of legionellosis were reported in 2016 and 7,458 in 2017 (CDC) An estimated 98% of legionellosis is Legionnaires' disease with a mortality rate of approximately 10% (CDC) Major risk factors for legionellosis: immunosuppression, smoking, travel, chronic heart or lung disease, chronic renal failure, and people aged 50 years or older Community or hospital acquired legionellosis can occur		
July 2019 U.S. I	Environmental Protection Agency Slide 180 of 2	



### Legionella pneumophila: Occurrence

**Best Available Occurrence Data** 

Non-national

#### **Additional Occurrence Data**

- Can colonize plumbing fixtures, hot water tanks, warm water spas, cooling towers, and other water features
- Colonization of hot water systems in hospitals has resulted in numerous hospital outbreaks
- Community acquired cases have been associated with cooling towers and finished water storage tanks
- Documented outbreaks associated with drinking water exposure mostly due to premise plumbing colonization

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 181 of 278



### Legionella pneumophila: Occurrence


#### Additional Occurrence Data (cont'd)

- In CDC's Surveillance for Waterborne Disease Outbreaks Associated with Drinking Water in the United States in 2013–2014, Legionella was implicated in 57% outbreaks, 13% cases, 88% hospitalizations, and all deaths (13)
- Eighty-three percent of these outbreaks were associated with public, community or noncommunity water systems. Fourteen outbreaks occurred in drinking water systems with groundwater sources and an additional 14 occurred in drinking water systems with surface water sources

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 182 of 278





## **Mycobacterium avium: Health Effects**

Health Assessment	Mycobacteria Health Advisory (1999)	
Critical Effect	Infection	
Reference Dose	NA	
Health Value	MCLG = 0	

#### **Additional Health Values**

- Common clinical syndromes include: pulmonary infection, lymphadenitis, skin and soft tissue infection, whole body (e.g., blood) infection
- Major risk factors: traumatic breaches of the skin, pre-existing pulmonary disease, immunosuppression

July 2019 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Slide 184 of 278



### Mycobacterium avium: Occurrence

Best Available Occurrence Data N

Non-national

#### **Additional Occurrence Data**

- No reported outbreaks between 1990 and 2008 (CDC Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report [MMWR]), but not a listed reportable disease
- CDC estimates that NTM disease (non-AIDS related) occur in 1.8 out of 100,000 individuals per year in the U.S., of which approximately 72% are attributable to M. avium complex (2002, EPA fact sheet)

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 185 of 278



### **PFAS**


- Group of man-made chemicals manufactured and used in a variety of industries globally
- Exposure to certain PFAS can lead to adverse human health effects
- PFOS and PFOA have been most extensively produced and studied
  - Very persistent in the environment and human body
  - · Voluntarily phased out by U.S. Manufacturers
  - · GenX chemicals are a common replacement for PFOA
  - PFBS is a common replacement for PFOS


July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 186 of 278

PFAS Method Scope		
Draft Method 533	Both Methods	Method 537.1
1H, 1H, 2H, 2H-perfluorodecane sulfonic acid (8:2 FTS)	11-chloroeicosafluoro-3-oxaundecane-1- sulfonic acid (11Cl-PF3OUdS) <sup>1</sup>	N-ethyl perfluorooctanesulfonamidoacetic acid (NEtFOSAA)
1H, 1H, 2H, 2H- perfluorohexane sulfonic acid (4:2 FTS)	9-chlorohexadecafluoro-3-oxanone-1- sulfonic acid (9CI-PF3ONS) <sup>2</sup>	N-methyl perfluorooctanesulfonamidoacetic acid (NMeFOSAA)
1H, 1H, 2H, 2H-perfluorooctane sulfonic acid (6:2 FTS)	4,8-dioxa-3H-perfluorononanoic acid (ADONA) <sup>3</sup>	Perfluorotetradecanoic acid (PFTA)
Nonafluoro-3,6-dioxaheptanoic acid (NFDHA)	Hexafluoropropylene oxide dimer acid (HFPO-DA)*	Perfluorotridecanoic acid (PFTrDA)
Perfluoro (2-ethoxyethane) sulfonic acid (PFEESA)	Perfluorodecanoic acid (PFDA)	
Perfluoro-3-methoxypropanoic acid (PFMPA)	Perfluorododecanoic acid (PFDoA)	
Perfluoro-4-methoxybutanoic acid (PFMBA)	Perfluorohexanoic acid (PFHxA)	
Perfluorobutanoic acid (PFBA)	Perfluoroundecanoic acid (PFUnA)	
Perfluoroheptanesulfonic acid (PFHpS)	Perfluorobutanesulfonic acid (PFBS)	
Perfluoropentanesulfonic acid (PFPeS)	Perfluoroheptanoic acid (PFHpA)	
Perfluoropentanoic acid (PFPeA)	Perfluorohexanesulfonic acid (PFHxS)	
	Perfluorononanoic acid (PFNA)	
	Perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA)	
	Perfluorooctanesulfonic acid (PFOS)	





CASRN	39108-34-4
Contaminant Group	PFAS
PCCL/CCL Status	Not Listed
Health and Occurrence Data Status	<ul><li>Lacking an EPA health assessment</li><li>Lacking finished or ambient water occurrence data</li></ul>
Persistence/Mobility/Fate/Transport	NA

 July 2019
 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
 Slide 189 of 278

# 1H, 1H, 2H, 2H-perfluorohexane sulfonic acid (4:2 FTS): Background

CASRN	757124-72-4
Contaminant Group	PFAS
PCCL/CCL Status	Not Listed
Health and Occurrence Data Status	<ul><li>Lacking an EPA health assessment</li><li>Lacking finished or ambient water occurrence data</li></ul>
Persistence/Mobility/Fate/Transport	NA


July 2019 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Slide 190 of 278

# 1H, 1H, 2H, 2H-perfluorooctane sulfonic acid (6:2 FTS): Background

CASRN	27619-97-2
Contaminant Group	PFAS
PCCL/CCL Status	Not Listed
Health and Occurrence Data Status	<ul><li>Lacking an EPA health assessment</li><li>Lacking finished or ambient water occurrence data</li></ul>
Persistence/Mobility/Fate/Transport	NA

July 2019 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Slide 191 of 278

# Nonafluoro-3,6-dioxaheptanoic acid (NFDHA): Background




July 2019 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Slide 192 of 278

# Perfluoro (2-ethoxyethane) sulfonic acid (PFEESA): Background

CASRN	113507-82-7
Contaminant Group	PFAS
PCCL/CCL Status	Not Listed
Health and Occurrence Data Status	<ul><li>Lacking an EPA health assessment</li><li>Lacking finished or ambient water occurrence data</li></ul>
Persistence/Mobility/Fate/Transport	NA

July 2019 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Slide 193 of 278

# Perfluoro-3-methoxypropanoic acid (PFMPA): Background



July 2019 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Slide 194 of 278



# Perfluoro-4-methoxybutanoic acid (PFMBA): Background

CASRN	863090-89-5
Contaminant Group	PFAS
PCCL/CCL Status	Not Listed
Health and Occurrence Data Status	<ul><li>Lacking an EPA health assessment</li><li>Lacking finished or ambient water occurrence data</li></ul>
Persistence/Mobility/Fate/Transport	NA

 July 2019
 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
 Slide 195 of 278



# Perfluorobutanoic acid (PFBA): Background

CASRN	375-22-4
Contaminant Group	PFAS
PCCL/CCL Status	Not Listed
Health and Occurrence Data Status	<ul> <li>Lacking an EPA health assessment</li> <li>Non-nationally finished or ambient water occurrence data available</li> </ul>
Persistence/Mobility/Fate/Transport	NA

July 2019 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Slide 196 of 278



### Perfluorobutanoic acid (PFBA): Health Effects

EPA Health Assessment	NA
Critical Effect	NA
Reference Dose	NA
Health Value	NA

#### **Additional Health Values**

• IRIS in process (public comment fiscal year (FY) 20; external peer-review FY 21)

July 2019 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Slide 197 of 278



## Perfluorobutanoic acid (PFBA): Occurrence

Best Available Occurrence Data | Supplemental

#### **Additional Occurrence Data**

Finished Water:

 Boone, 2019: Detected in 88% of partially treated samples from 25 PWSs; median detected 0.00362 ug/L

#### Ambient Water:

• Boone, 2019: Detected in 92% of samples from 25 PWSs; median detected 0.00305 ug/L

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 198 of 278



## Perfluoroheptanesulfonic acid (PFHpS): Background

CASRN	375-92-8
Contaminant Group	PFAS
PCCL/CCL Status	Not Listed
Health and Occurrence Data Status	<ul><li>Lacking an EPA health assessment</li><li>Lacking finished or ambient water occurrence data</li></ul>
Persistence/Mobility/Fate/Transport	NA

July 2019 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Slide 199 of 278



# Perfluoropentanesulfonic acid (PFPeS): Background

CASRN	2706-91-4
Contaminant Group	PFAS
PCCL/CCL Status	Not Listed
Health and Occurrence Data Status	<ul><li>Lacking an EPA health assessment</li><li>Lacking finished or ambient water occurrence data</li></ul>
Persistence/Mobility/Fate/Transport	NA

July 2019 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Slide 200 of 278



### Perfluoropentanoic acid (PFPeA): Background

CASRN	2706-90-3
Contaminant Group	PFAS
PCCL/CCL Status	Not Listed
Health and Occurrence Data Status	<ul> <li>Lacking an EPA health assessment</li> <li>Non-nationally finished or ambient water occurrence data available</li> </ul>
Persistence/Mobility/Fate/Transport	NA

July 2019 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Slide 201 of 278



## Perfluoropentanoic acid (PFPeA): Occurrence

Best Available Occurrence Data Supplemental

#### **Additional Occurrence Data**

Finished Water:

 Boone, 2019: Detected in 96% of partially treated samples from 25 PWSs; median detected 0.00178 ug/L

Ambient Water:

• Boone, 2019: Detected in 92% of samples from 25 PWSs; median detected 0.00195 ug/L

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 202 of 278



# 11-chloroeicosafluoro-3-oxaundecane-1-sulfonic acid (11Cl-PF3OUdS): Background

CASRN	763051-92-9
Contaminant Group	PFAS
PCCL/CCL Status	Not Listed
Health and Occurrence Data Status	<ul><li>Lacking an EPA health assessment</li><li>Lacking finished or ambient water occurrence data</li></ul>
Persistence/Mobility/Fate/Transport	NA

July 2019 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Slide 203 of 278



# 9-chlorohexadecafluoro-3-oxanone-1-sulfonic acid (9Cl-PF3ONS): Background

CASRN	756426-58-1
Contaminant Group	PFAS
PCCL/CCL Status	Not Listed
Health and Occurrence Data Status	<ul><li>Lacking an EPA health assessment</li><li>Lacking finished or ambient water occurrence data</li></ul>
Persistence/Mobility/Fate/Transport	NA

July 2019 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Slide 204 of 278



# 4,8-dioxa-3H-perfluorononanoic acid (ADONA): Background

CASRN	919005-14-4
Contaminant Group	PFAS
PCCL/CCL Status	Not Listed
Health and Occurrence Data Status	<ul><li>Lacking an EPA health assessment</li><li>Lacking finished or ambient water occurrence data</li></ul>
Persistence/Mobility/Fate/Transport	NA

 July 2019
 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
 Slide 205 of 278



# Hexafluoropropylene oxide dimer acid (HFPO-DA): Background

CASRN	13252-13-6
Contaminant Group	PFAS
PCCL/CCL Status	Not Listed
Health and Occurrence Data Status	<ul><li>Lacking an EPA health assessment</li><li>Lacking finished or ambient water occurrence data</li></ul>
Persistence/Mobility/Fate/Transport	NA

July 2019 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Slide 206 of 278



# Hexafluoropropylene oxide dimer acid (HFPO-DA): Health Effects

EPA Health Assessment	NA
Critical Effect	NA
Reference Dose	NA
Health Value	NA

#### **Additional Health Values**

- 2018 Draft EPA Chronic RfD = 0.00008 mg/kg-day
- 2018 Draft EPA subchronic RfD = 0.0002 mg/kg-day

July 2019 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Slide 207 of 278



## Perfluorodecanoic acid (PFDA): Background

CASRN	335-76-2
Contaminant Group	PFAS
PCCL/CCL Status	Not Listed
Health and Occurrence Data Status	<ul> <li>Lacking an EPA health assessment</li> <li>Non-nationally representative finished or ambient water occurrence data available</li> </ul>
Persistence/Mobility/Fate/Transport	NA

July 2019 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Slide 208 of 278



### Perfluorodecanoic acid (PFDA): Health Effects

EPA Health Assessment	NA
Critical Effect	NA
Reference Dose	NA
Health Value	NA

#### **Additional Health Values**

• IRIS in process (public comment FY 20; external peer-review FY 21)

July 2019 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Slide 209 of 278



## Perfluorodecanoic acid (PFDA): Occurrence

Best Available Occurrence Data Supplemental

#### **Additional Occurrence Data**

Finished Water:

 Boone, 2019: Detected in 52% of partially treated samples from 25 PWSs; median detected 0.00033 ug/L.

#### **Ambient Water**

• Boone, 2019: Detected in 60% of samples from 25 PWSs; median detected 0.00043 ug/L

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 210 of 278



## Perfluorododecanoic acid (PFDoA): Background

CASRN	307-55-1
Contaminant Group	PFAS
PCCL/CCL Status	Not Listed
Health and Occurrence Data Status	<ul> <li>Lacking an EPA health assessment</li> <li>Non-nationally representative finished or ambient water occurrence data available</li> </ul>
Persistence/Mobility/Fate/Transport	NA

 July 2019
 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
 Slide 211 of 278



## Perfluorododecanoic acid (PFDoA): Occurrence

Best Available Occurrence Data | Supplemental

#### **Additional Occurrence Data**

Finished Water:

 Boone, 2019: Detected in 4% of partially treated samples from 25 PWSs; median detected 0.00009 ug/L

**Ambient Water** 

• Boone, 2019: Detected in 8% of samples from 25 PWSs; median detected 0.00021 ug/L

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 212 of 278



## Perfluorohexanoic acid (PFHxA): Background

CASRN	307-24-4
Contaminant Group	PFAS
PCCL/CCL Status	Not Listed
Health and Occurrence Data Status	<ul> <li>Lacking an EPA health assessment</li> <li>Non-nationally representative finished or ambient water occurrence data available</li> </ul>
Persistence/Mobility/Fate/Transport	NA

July 2019 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Slide 213 of 278



## Perfluorohexanoic acid (PFHxA): Health Effects

EPA Health Assessment	NA
Critical Effect	NA
Reference Dose	NA
Health Value	NA

#### **Additional Health Values**

• IRIS in process (public comment FY 20; external peer-review FY 21)

July 2019 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Slide 214 of 278



# Perfluorohexanoic acid (PFHxA): Occurrence

Best Available Occurrence Data | Supplemental

### **Additional Occurrence Data**

Finished Water:

 Boone, 2019: Detected in 100% of partially treated samples from 25 PWSs; median detected 0.00143 ug/L

#### **Ambient Water**

• Boone, 2019: Detected in 96% of samples from 25 PWSs; median detected 0.00202 ug/L.

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 215 of 278



# Perfluoroundecanoic Acid (PFUnA): Background

CASRN	2058-94-8
Contaminant Group	PFAS
PCCL/CCL Status	Not Listed
Health and Occurrence Data Status	<ul> <li>Lacking an EPA health assessment</li> <li>Non-nationally representative finished or ambient water occurrence data available</li> </ul>
Persistence/Mobility/Fate/Transport	NA

July 2019 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Slide 216 of 278



# Perfluoroundecanoic Acid (PFUnA): Occurrence

Best Available Occurrence Data | Supplemental

#### **Additional Occurrence Data**

Finished Water:

 Boone, 2019: Detected in 16% of partially treated samples from 25 PWSs; median detected 0.00054 ug/L

#### Ambient Water:

• Boone, 2019: Detected in 32% of samples from 25 PWSs; median detected 0.00014 ug/L

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 217 of 278



# Perfluorobutanesulfonic acid (PFBS): Background

CASRN	375-73-5
Contaminant Group	PFAS
PCCL/CCL Status	Not Listed
Health and Occurrence Data Status	<ul> <li>EPA health assessment available</li> <li>Nationally representative finished or ambient water occurrence data available</li> </ul>
Persistence/Mobility/Fate/Transport	NA

July 2019 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Slide 218 of 278



### Perfluorobutanesulfonic acid (PFBS): Health Effects

EPA Health Assessment	PPRTV, 2014
Critical Effect	Kidney hyperplasia
Provisional Reference Dose	0.02 mg/kg/day
Health Value	NA

#### Additional Health Values

- PPRTV oral subchronic RfD: 0.2 mg/kg-day
- 2018 Draft EPA chronic RfD = 0.01 mg/kg-day (thyroid or kidney)
- 2018 Draft EPA subchronic RfD = 0.04 (thyroid) and 0.1 (kidney) mg/kg-day
- Cancer Classification: Inadequate information to assess carcinogenic potential (I)

July 2019 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Slide 219 of 278



# Perfluorobutanesulfonic acid (PFBS): Occurrence

Best Available Occurrence Data UCMR 3

#### **Additional Occurrence Data**

Finished Water:

- UCMR 3: Detected in 0.16% of PWSs at concentrations greater than or equal to 0.09 ug/L (Minimum Reporting Level [MRL])
- Boone, 2019: Detected in 96% of partially treated samples from 25 PWSs; median detected 0.00117 ug/L

#### **Ambient Water**

• Boone, 2019: Detected in 96% of samples from 25 PWSs; median detected 0.00112 ug/L

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 220 of 278



# Perfluoroheptanoic acid (PFHpA): Background

CASRN	375-85-9
Contaminant Group	PFAS
PCCL/CCL Status	Not Listed
Health and Occurrence Data Status	<ul> <li>Lacking an EPA health assessment</li> <li>Nationally representative finished or ambient water occurrence data available</li> </ul>
Persistence/Mobility/Fate/Transport	NA

July 2019 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Slide 221 of 278



# Perfluoroheptanoic acid (PFHpA): Occurrence

Best Available Occurrence Data UCMR 3

### **Additional Occurrence Data**

Finished Water:

- UCMR 3: Detected in 1.75% of PWSs at greater than or equal to 0.01 ug/L (MRL)
- Boone, 2019: Detected in 92% of partially treated samples from 25 PWSs; median detected 0.00079 ug/L

**Ambient Water** 

• Boone, 2019: Detected in 96% of samples from 25 PWSs; median detected 0.00113 ug/L

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 222 of 278



# Perfluorohexanesulfonic acid (PFHxS): Background

CASRN	355-46-4
Contaminant Group	PFAS
PCCL/CCL Status	Not Listed
Health and Occurrence Data Status	<ul> <li>Lacking an EPA health assessment</li> <li>Nationally representative finished or ambient water occurrence data available</li> </ul>
Persistence/Mobility/Fate/Transport	NA

July 2019 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Slide 223 of 278



# Perfluorohexanesulfonic acid (PFHxS): Health Effects

<b>EPA Health Assessment</b>	NA
Critical Effect	NA
Reference Dose	NA
Health Value	NA

#### **Additional Health Values**

- Draft ATSDR intermediate duration; Minimal Risk Level = 0.00002 mg/kg-day (thyroid follicular cell damage)
- IRIS in process (public comment FY 20; external peer-review FY 21)

July 2019 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 224 of 278



# Perfluorohexanesulfonic acid (PFHxS): Occurrence

Best Available Occurrence Data UCMR 3

#### **Additional Occurrence Data**

#### Finished Water:

- UCMR 3: Detected in 1.12% of PWSs at greater than or equal to 0.03 ug/L (MRL).
- Boone, 2019: Detected in 80% of partially treated samples from 25 PWSs; median detected 0.00079 ug/L

#### **Ambient Water**

• Boone, 2019: Detected in 92% of samples from 25 PWSs; median detected 0.00086 ug/L

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 225 of 278



# Perfluorononanoic acid (PFNA): Background

CASRN	375-95-1
Contaminant Group	PFAS
PCCL/CCL Status	Not Listed
Health and Occurrence Data Status	<ul> <li>Lacking an EPA health assessment</li> <li>Nationally representative finished or ambient water occurrence data available</li> </ul>
Persistence/Mobility/Fate/Transport	NA

July 2019 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Slide 226 of 278



# Perfluorononanoic acid (PFNA): Health Effects

EPA Health Assessment	NA
Critical Effect	NA
Reference Dose	NA
Health Value	NA

#### **Additional Health Values**

- Draft ATSDR intermediate duration; Minimal Risk Level = 0.000003 mg/kg-day (decreased pup body weight and developmental delays)
- IRIS in process (public comment FY 20; external peer-review FY 21)

July 2019 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Slide 227 of 278



# Perfluorononanoic acid (PFNA): Occurrence

Best Available Occurrence Data UCMR 3

### **Additional Occurrence Data**

#### Finished Water:

- UCMR 3: Detected in 0.28% of PWSs at greater than or equal to 0.02 ug/L (MRL)
- Boone, 2019: Detected in 88% of partially treated samples from 25 PWSs; median detected 0.00074 ug/L

#### **Ambient Water**

• Boone, 2019: Detected in 96% of samples from 25 PWSs; median detected 0.00086 ug/L

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 228 of 278



# Perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA): Background

CASRN	335-67-1
Contaminant Group	PFAS
PCCL/CCL Status	CCL 4
Health and Occurrence Data Status	<ul> <li>EPA health assessment available</li> <li>Nationally representative finished or ambient water occurrence data available</li> </ul>
Persistence/Mobility/Fate/Transport	NA

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Slide 229 of 278 July 2019



# Perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA): Health Effects

OW Health Effects Support Document, 2016
Pup reduced ossification and accelerated male puberty, decreased antibody protection and increased adult kidney weight with decreased body weight
0.00002 mg/kg-day
0.07 ug/L (chronic)

### **Additional Health Values**

- 2016, Health Effects Support Document: 10<sup>-4</sup> Cancer Risk = 50 ug/L
- 2019, Health Canada: MAC (2018) = 0.2 ug/L Draft ATSDR intermediate duration; Minimal Risk Level = 0.000003 mg/kg-day
- Cancer Classification: Suggestive evidence of carcinogenic potential (S)
- IARC Cancer Classification: Possibly carcinogenic to humans (Group 2B)

July 2019 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Slide 230 of 278



# Perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA): Occurrence

**Best Available Occurrence Data** 

UCMR 3

#### **Additional Occurrence Data**

#### Finished Water:

- UCMR 3: Detected in 2.38% of PWSs at greater than or equal to 0.02 ug/L (MRL)
- Boone, 2019: Detected in 76% of partially treated samples from 25 PWSs; median detected 0.00415 ug/L
- · State-specific PFOA data from community water systems and private wells Ambient Water:
- Boone, 2019: Detected in 76% of samples from 25 PWSs; median detected 0.00632 ug/L
- U.S. PWS Study: Detected in 6 sites; detection range <0.005-0.12 ug/L
- Targeted PFOA data from specific ambient water locations

#### Production/Release:

CDR: Production Volume: 10K - 500K lbs/yr (2002), < 500K lbs/yr (2006)</li>

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 231 of 278



# Perfluorooctanesulfonic acid (PFOS): Background

CASRN	1763-23-1
Contaminant Group	PFAS
PCCL/CCL Status	CCL 4
Health and Occurrence Data Status	<ul> <li>EPA health assessment available</li> <li>Nationally representative finished or ambient water occurrence data available</li> </ul>
Persistence/Mobility/Fate/Transport	NA

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 232 of 278



# Perfluorooctanesulfonic acid (PFOS): Health Effects

EPA Health Assessment	OW Health Effects Support Document, 2016
Critical Effect	Reduced pup body weight in the two-generation study in rats
Reference Dose	0.00002 mg/kg-day
Health Value	0.07 ug/L (chronic)

#### **Additional Health Values**

- 2019, Health Canada: MAC (2018) = 0.6 ug/L
- Draft ATSDR intermediate duration; Minimal Risk Level = 0.000002 mg/kg-day
- Cancer Classification: Suggestive evidence of carcinogenic potential (S)

July 2019 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Slide 233 of 278



### Perfluorooctanesulfonic acid (PFOS): Occurrence

Best Available Occurrence Data UCMR 3

#### **Additional Occurrence Data**

#### Finished Water:

- UCMR 3: Detected in 1.93% of PWSs at greater than or equal to 0.04 ug/L (MRL)
- Boone, 2019: Detected in 80% of partially treated samples from 25 PWSs; median detected 0.00162 ug/L
- State-specific PFOS data from community water systems and private wells Ambient Water:
- Boone, 2019: Detected in 88% of samples from 25 PWSs; median detected 0.00228 ug/L
- Targeted PFOS data from specific ambient water locations

#### Production/Release:

• CDR: Production Volume: 10K - 500K lbs/yr (2002), 0 lbs/yr (2003)

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 234 of 278



# PFAS Analytes Unique to EPA Method 537.1 (LC/MS/MS), 2018

Determination of Selected Per- and Polyfluorinated Alkyl Substances in Drinking Water by Solid Phase Extraction and Liquid Chromatography/Tandem Mass Spectrometry (LC/MS/MS)

Location: EPTDS

#### Analyte

N-ethyl perfluorooctanesulfonamidoacetic acid (NEtFOSAA) N-methyl perfluorooctanesulfonamidoacetic acid (NMeFOSAA) Perfluorotetradecanoic acid (PFTA) Perfluorotridecanoic acid (PFTDA)

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 235 of 278




# N-ethyl perfluorooctanesulfonamidoacetic acid (NEtFOSAA): Background

CASRN	2991-50-6
Contaminant Group	PFAS
PCCL/CCL Status	Not Listed
Health and Occurrence Data Status	<ul> <li>Lacking an EPA health assessment</li> <li>Non-nationally representative finished or ambient water occurrence data available</li> </ul>
Persistence/Mobility/Fate/Transport	NA

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 236 of 278



2355-31-9
PFAS
Not Listed
<ul><li>Lacking an EPA health assessment</li><li>Lacking finished or ambient water occurrence data</li></ul>
NA

 July 2019
 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
 Slide 237 of 278



# Perfluorotetradecanoic acid (PFTA): Background

CASRN	376-06-7
Contaminant Group	PFAS
PCCL/CCL Status	Not Listed
Health and Occurrence Data Status	<ul> <li>Lacking an EPA health assessment</li> <li>Non-nationally representative finished or ambient water occurrence data available</li> </ul>
Persistence/Mobility/Fate/Transport	NA

July 2019 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Slide 238 of 278



# Perfluorotetradecanoic acid (PFTA): Occurrence

Best Available Occurrence Data | Supplemental

#### **Additional Occurrence Data**

Finished Water:

• Boone, 2019: Detected in 0% of partially treated samples from 25 PWSs

Ambient Water:

• Boone, 2019: Detected in 0% of samples from 25 PWSs

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 239 of 278



# Perfluorotridecanoic acid (PFTrDA): Background

CASRN	72629-94-8
Contaminant Group	PFAS
PCCL/CCL Status	Not Listed
Health and Occurrence Data Status	<ul> <li>Lacking an EPA health assessment</li> <li>Non-nationally representative finished or ambient water occurrence data available</li> </ul>
Persistence/Mobility/Fate/Transport	NA

July 2019 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Slide 240 of 278



# Perfluorotridecanoic acid (PFTrDA): Occurrence

**Best Available Occurrence Data** 

Supplemental

#### **Additional Occurrence Data**

Finished Water:

• Boone, 2019: Detected in 0% of partially treated samples from 25 PWSs

Ambient Water:

• Boone, 2019: Detected in 0% of samples from 25 PWSs

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 241 of 278



# **Anticipated Process for Approval of Laboratories Supporting UCMR 5**

Paul Grimmett, U.S. EPA
Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water
Standards and Risk Management Division
Technical Support Center



### Overview

- Applying for EPA approval to support UCMR
- Maintaining approval
- Minimum Reporting Level

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 243 of 278



# **General Expectations**

- Laboratory Approval Program expected to be similar to the process used for all previous UCMR cycles
- Only EPA approved laboratories can analyze UCMR samples collected at PWSs
  - Approval is by method and by individual laboratory locations
  - A laboratory may apply for approval for any method(s)
- Laboratories need to meet:
  - UCMR 5 approval program criteria
  - · Required equipment criteria
  - Laboratory performance criteria
  - · Data reporting in text file format to SDWARS
- Labs would still need to be approved to support UCMR 5 even if already certified by state, primacy entity or accredited through the National Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program (NELAP) for a particular method

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 244 of 278



# **Laboratory Approval General Procedure**

- Step 1: Request to Participate
- Step 2: Registration
- Step 3: Application Package
- Step 4: EPA Review of Application Package
- Step 5: Proficiency Testing (PT)
- Step 6: Written EPA approval

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 245 of 278



# Step 1 – Request to Participate

- Interested laboratories submit a written request to the UCMR Sampling Coordinator@epa.gov
- EPA provides registration material
- EPA provides a custom application package based on registration information

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 246 of 278



# Step 2 - Registration

- Complete registration sheet typically includes:
  - List of the UCMR methods, for which the laboratory sought approval
  - · Laboratory information
  - · Mailing and shipping address
  - · Contact information

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 247 of 278



# **Step 3 – Application Package**

- · Separate application for each method
- Application typically required to include:
  - Proof of current drinking water laboratory certification (for select compliance monitoring methods)
  - · Personnel information
  - Quality Assurance (QA) information
  - Information regarding analytical equipment and sample handling procedures
  - Data submission for each method (e.g., Initial Demonstration of Capability (IDC) study, QC sample results, quantification reports)
- Lab would receive a copy of the Laboratory Approval Manual

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 248 of 278



# **Step 4 – Review of Application Package**

- EPA reviews application package
  - If deficiencies are identified EPA gives the lab an opportunity to make corrective actions and submit new application information
  - If all requested information is present and acceptable, EPA notifies the laboratory that they are eligible to participate in corresponding PT studies

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 249 of 278



# **Step 5 – Proficiency Testing**

- EPA provides method-specific PT samples
- Laboratories:
  - Analyze PT sample(s) for each analyte and method
  - One successful PT per method
  - Successfully report PT data to SDWARS using text file format
  - No PT studies after monitoring begins but audits on-going during monitoring

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 250 of 278



# Step 6 - Written EPA Approval

- After successful participation in a PT study for a specific method, EPA notifies the laboratory in writing
- EPA posts a list of approved laboratories and associated methods at: <a href="https://www.epa.gov/dwucmr">https://www.epa.gov/dwucmr</a>

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 251 of 278



# **Laboratory Approval Manual**

- Procedures for obtaining UCMR approval and procedures for revocation of approval
- · QA requirements
- · QC requirements
  - Minimum reporting level (MRL) verification
  - · Initial demonstration of capability
  - · Initial calibration
  - · Continuing calibration checks
  - Surrogate and internal standard criteria
  - Reagent blanks and fortified blanks
  - QC samples
  - · Spiked field samples
  - Field blank criteria (if required by the method)
- Sample handling requirements

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 252 of 278



### **Typical Criteria for Maintaining Approval**

- Adhere to QA/QC measures in the methods, rule language, and the Laboratory Approval Manual
- Post occurrence data and required QC data via SDWARS within prescribed timeframe
- Respond to inquires or requests from the Laboratory Approval Coordinator
- Participate and pass on-site and/or paper audits

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 253 of 278



### **MRL Background**

- MRL is an estimate of the quantitation level, achievable with a 95% confidence, by at least 75% of laboratories nationwide
- EPA establishes the MRL using data from several laboratories performing Lowest Concentration Minimum Reporting Level (LCMRL) studies
- LCMRL is an estimate of lowest concentration at which measurements of specified quality can be repeatedly made by a particular laboratory
  - · Simultaneous application of precision and accuracy

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 254 of 278



### **MRLs**

- Established to achieve quality and consistency across all UCMR laboratories, while allowing for appropriate national laboratory capacity
- MRLs are generally established as low as is feasible; typically lower than current HRLs and health advisories
- EPA will consider raising MRLs if there is evidence that an MRL is unattainable/impractical

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency


Slide 255 of 278




# **Closing Remarks**

Brenda Bowden, U.S. EPA

Thank you for participating in the UCMR discussion today








- Statements from Stakeholders that registered ahead of time
- · Statements from Stakeholders in the room or online
  - Subject to availability of time
- Additional statements or questions from attendees can be provided to EPA via email:

UCMRWebinar@cadmusgroup.com after the public meeting and webinar







# **Thank You**

Brenda Bowden, U.S. EPA

Thank you for participating in the UCMR discussion today. If you have additional statements that you would like to make: please send them to <a href="https://documer.com/UCMRWebinar@cadmusgroup.com">UCMRWebinar@cadmusgroup.com</a> after the public meeting and webinar.



# **Abbreviations and Acronyms**



- 11Cl-PF3OUdS 11-Chloroeicosafluoro-3-Oxaundecane-1-Sulfonic Acid
- 4:2 FTS 1H, 1H, 2H, 2H-Perfluorohexane Sulfonic Acid
- 6:2 FTS 1H, 1H, 2H, 2H-Perfluorooctane Sulfonic Acid
- 8:2 FTS 1H, 1H, 2H, 2H-Perfluorodecane Sulfonic Acid
- 9CI-PF3ONS 9-Chlorohexadecafluoro-3-Oxanone-1-Sulfonic Acid
- AM Assessment Monitoring
- ADI Acceptable Daily Intake
- ADONA 4,8-Dioxa-3H-Perfluorononanoic Acid
- ATSDR Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 263 of 278



### **Abbreviations and Acronyms**

- AWIA America's Water Infrastructure Act
- BCAN Bromochloroacetonitrile
- **BF** Biodegrades Fast
- **BS** Biodegrades Slowly
- BSA Biodegrades Slowly with Acclimation
- BST Biodegrades Sometimes/Recalcitrant
- CAL DHS California Department of Health Services
- CAR Carcinogenicity
- CCL Contaminant Candidate List
- CDC Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 264 of 278



- CDR Chemical Data Reporting
- CFR Code of Federal Regulations
- CSF Cancer Slope Factor
- **CWS** Community Water System
- DAI/HPLC Direct Aqueous Injection/High Performance Liquid Chromatography
- DAI-LC/MS/MS Direct Aqueous Injection- Liquid Chromatography/Tandem Mass Spectrometry
- **DBAN** Dibromoacetonitrile
- **DBP** Disinfection Byproduct

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 265 of 278



### **Abbreviations and Acronyms**

- **DBP-ICR** Disinfection Byproduct Information Collection Rule
- **DCAN** Dichloroacetonitrile
- D/DBPR Disinfectants and Disinfection Byproducts Rules (including Stage 1 and Stage 2 D/DBPRs)
- **DF** Degrades Fast
- **DFA** Degrades Fast with Acclimation
- **DS** Distribution System
- DSMRT Distribution System Maximum Residence Time
- DWEL Drinking Water Equivalent Level
- **DWPD** Drinking Water Protection Division
- DWSHA Drinking Water Standards and Health Advisories

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 266 of 278



- EPA Environmental Protection Agency
- EPTDS Entry Point to the Distribution System
- et al. And Others
- FDA United States Food and Drug Administration
- FR Federal Register
- FY Fiscal Year
- GAMA Groundwater Ambient Monitoring and Assessment Program
- GC Gas Chromatography
- GC/MS Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 267 of 278



# **Abbreviations and Acronyms**

- GenX Trade Name for a Technology Used to Make High-Performance Fluoropolymers Without the Use of PFOA
- **GW** Ground Water
- **GWRMPs** Ground Water Representative Monitoring Plans
- HA Office of Water Health Advisory
- HAAs Haloacetic Acids
- HAA5 Group of 5 Brominated Haloacetic Acids
- HANs Haloacetonitriles
- HALs Haloacetaldehydes
- Health Canada Health Canada Guidelines for Canadian Drinking Water Quality

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 268 of 278



- HFPO-DA Hexafluoropropylene Oxide Dimer Acid
- HHBP Human Health Benchmark for Pesticides
- HNMs Halonitromethanes
- HSDB Hazardous Substances Data Bank
- IARC International Agency for Research on Cancer
- ICP-AES Inductively Coupled Plasma- Atomic Emission Spectrometry
- IDC Initial Demonstration of Capability
- IRIS Integrated Risk Information System
- kg Kilograms

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 269 of 278



### **Abbreviations and Acronyms**

- **L** Liter
- lbs Pounds
- LC/ESI-MS/MS Liquid Chromatography/Electrospray Ionization/Tandem Mass Spectrometry
- LC/MS/MS Liquid Chromatography/Tandem Mass Spectrometry
- LCMRL Lowest Concentration Minimum Reporting Level
- LLE/GC/ECD Liquid-Liquid Extraction/Gas Chromatography/Electron Capture Detection
- LOAEL Lowest-Observed-Adverse-Effect Level
- LSI Large System Inventory
- MAC Maximum Acceptable Concentration
- MCLG Maximum Contaminant Level Goal

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 270 of 278



- mg Milligrams
- MMWR Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report
- MRDD Maximum Recommended Daily Dose
- MRL Minimum Reporting Level
- NAWQA National Water-Quality Assessment
- NCAR Non-Carcinogenic
- NEtFOSAA N-Ethyl Perfluorooctanesulfonamidoacetic Acid
- NFDHA Nonafluoro-3,6-Dioxaheptanoic Acid
- NMeFOSAA N-Methyl Perfluorooctanesulfonamidoacetic Acid
- NCFAP National Center for Food and Agricultural Policy

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 271 of 278



### **Abbreviations and Acronyms**

- NCOD National Contaminant Occurrence Database
- NELAP National Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program
- NIRS National Inorganics and Radionuclides Survey
- NOAEL No-Observed-Adverse-Effect Level
- NPDWRs National Primary Drinking Water Regulations
- NREC National Reconnaissance of Emerging Contaminants
- NTM Nontuberculous Mycobacteria
- NTNCWS Non-Transient Non-Community Water System
- NTP National Toxicology Program
- OEHHA California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 272 of 278



- OGWDW Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water
- OPP Office of Pesticide Programs
- PA Partnership Agreement
- PBT Persistent, Bioaccumulative, and Toxic
- PDP Pesticide Data Program
- PCCL Preliminary Contaminant Candidate List
- PFAS Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances
- PFBA Perfluorobutanoic Acid
- PFBS Perfluorobutanesulfonic Acid
- PFDA Perfluorodecanoic Acid

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 273 of 278



### **Abbreviations and Acronyms**

- PFDoA Perfluorododecanoic Acid
- PFEESA Perfluoro (2-Ethoxyethane) Sulfonic Acid
- PFHpA Perfluoroheptanoic Acid
- PFHpS Perfluoroheptanesulfonic Acid
- PFHxA Perfluorohexanoic Acid
- PFHxS Perfluorohexanesulfonic Acid
- PFMBA Perfluoro-4-Methoxybutanoic Acid
- PFMPA Perfluoro-3-Methoxypropanoic Acid
- PFNA Perfluorononanoic Acid

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 274 of 278



- PFOA Perfluorooctanoic Acid
- PFOS Perfluorooctanesulfonic Acid
- PFPeA Perfluoropentanoic Acid
- PFPeS Perfluoropentanesulfonic Acid
- PFTA Perfluorotetradecanoic Acid
- PFTrDA Perfluorotridecanoic Acid
- PFUnA Perfluoroundecanoic Acid
- PMP Pesticide Monitoring Program
- PPCP Pharmaceutical and Personal Care Product

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 275 of 278



# **Abbreviations and Acronyms**

- PPRTV Provisional Peer-Reviewed Toxicity Values
- PST Pre-Screen Testing
- PT Proficiency Testing
- PWS Public Water System
- QA Quality Assurance
- QC Quality Control
- RAIS HE CSF Risk Assessment Information System Health Effects Data Cancer Slope Factor
- RBC ChE Red Blood Cell Cholinesterase
- RED Reregistration Eligibility Decision
- RfD Reference Dose

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 276 of 278



- RTECS Registry of Toxic Effects of Chemical Substances
- SDWA Safe Drinking Water Act
- SDWARS Safe Drinking Water Accession and Review System
- SMP State Monitoring Plan
- SPE Solid Phase Extraction
- **SS** Screening Survey
- SSI Small System Inventory
- **SW** Surface Water
- TCAN Trichloroacetonitrile

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 277 of 278



# **Abbreviations and Acronyms**

- TDI Tolerable Daily Intake
- TNCWS Transient Non-Community Water System
- TRI Toxic Release Inventory
- TSCA Toxic Substance Control Act
- **TTHM** Total Trihalomethanes
- UCM Unregulated Contaminant Monitoring
- UCMR Unregulated Contaminant Monitoring Rule
- ug Micrograms
- USDA United States Department of Agriculture
- USGS United States Geological Survey
- WHO World Health Organization

July 2019

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Slide 278 of 278