

TSCA Section 5(a)(3) Determination for Premanufacture Notice (PMN) P-18-0385

Number: P-18-0385

TSCA Section 5(a)(3) Determination: The chemical substance is not likely to present an unreasonable risk (5(a)(3)(C))

Chemical Name:

Specific: D-Glucopyranose, oligomeric, Bu glycosides, polymers with epichlorohydrin, 2-hydroxy-3-sulfopropyl ethers, sodium salts; CASRN: 2139271-53-5

Conditions of Use (intended, known, or reasonably foreseen)¹:

Intended conditions of use (specific): Manufacture for use as a surfactant in liquid laundry detergent for industrial use, consistent with the manufacturing, processing, use, distribution, and disposal information described in the PMN.

Known conditions of use: Applying such factors as described in footnote 1, EPA evaluated whether there are known conditions of use and found none.

Reasonably foreseen conditions of use: Applying such factors as described in footnote 1, EPA evaluated whether there are reasonably foreseen conditions of use and indentified consumer use as reasonably foreseen based on analogue uses.

Summary: The chemical substance is not likely to present an unreasonable risk of injury to health or the environment, without consideration of costs or other nonrisk factors, including an unreasonable risk to a potentially exposed or susceptible subpopulation identified as relevant by the Administrator under the conditions of use, based on the risk assessment presented below. EPA estimated that the new chemical substance could have limited persistence and the new chemical substance has low potential for bioaccumulation, such that repeated exposures are not expected to cause food-chain effects via accumulation in exposed organisms. Based on EPA's

¹ Under TSCA § 3(4), the term "conditions of use" means "the circumstances, as determined by the Administrator, under which a chemical substance is intended, known, or reasonably foreseen to be manufactured, processed, distributed in commerce, used, or disposed of." In general, EPA considers the intended conditions of use of a new chemical substance to be those identified in the section 5(a) notification. Known conditions of use include activities within the United States that result from manufacture that is exempt from PMN submission requirements. Reasonably foreseen conditions of use are future circumstances, distinct from known or intended conditions of use, under which the Administrator expects the chemical substance to be manufactured, processed, distributed, used, or disposed of. The identification of "reasonably foreseen" conditions of use will necessarily be a case-by-case determination and will be highly fact-specific. Reasonably foreseen conditions of use will not be based on hypotheticals or conjecture. EPA's identification of conditions of use includes the expectation of compliance with federal and state laws, such as worker protection standards or disposal restrictions, unless case-specific facts indicate otherwise. Accordingly, EPA will apply its professional judgment, experience, and discretion when considering such factors as evidence of current use of the new chemical substance outside the United States, evidence that the PMN substance is sufficiently likely to be used for the same purposes as existing chemical substances that are structurally analogous to the new chemical substance, and conditions of use identified in an initial PMN submission that the submitter omits in a revised PMN. The sources EPA uses to identify reasonably foreseen conditions of use include searches of internal confidential EPA PMN databases (containing use information on analogue chemicals), other U.S. government public sources, the National Library of Medicine's Hazardous Substances Data Bank (HSDB), the Chemical Abstract Service STN Platform, REACH Dossiers, technical encyclopedias (e.g., Kirk-Othmer and Ullmann), and Internet searches.

TSCA Section 5(a)(3) Determination for Premanufacture Notice (PMN) P-18-0385

TSCA New Chemicals Program Chemical Category for Polyanionic Polymers (& Momomers)² and test data on the new chemical substance, EPA estimates that the chemical substance has low environmental hazard and potential for the following human health hazards: surfactant effects on the lung. EPA concludes that the new chemical substance is not likely to present an unreasonable risk under the conditions of use.

Fate: Environmental fate is the determination of which environmental compartment(s) a chemical moves to, the expected residence time in the environmental compartment(s) and removal and degradation processes. Environmental fate is an important factor in determining exposure and thus in determining whether a chemical may present an unreasonable risk. EPA estimated physical/chemical and fate properties of the new chemical substance using data for analogue(s) (polymers) and data submitted for the new chemical substance. In wastewater treatment, the new chemical substance is expected to be removed with an efficiency of 95% due to sorption and biodegradation. Removal of the new chemical substance by biodegradation is high. Sorption of the new chemical substance to sludge is expected to be strong and to soil and sediment is expected to be very strong. Migration of the new chemical substance to groundwater is expected to be negligible due to very strong sorption to soil and sediment. Due to low estimated vapor pressure and Henry's law constant, the new chemical substance is expected to undergo negligible volatilization to air. Overall, these estimates indicate that the new chemical substance has low potential to volatilize to air or migrate to groundwater.

Persistence³: Persistence is relevant to whether a new chemical substance is likely to present an unreasonable risk because chemicals that are not degraded in the environment at rates that prevent substantial buildup in the environment, and thus increase potential for exposure, may present a risk if the substance presents a hazard to human health or the environment. EPA estimated degradation half-lives of the new chemical substance using data submitted for the new chemical substance. EPA estimated that the new chemical substance's aerobic and anaerobic biodegradation half-lives are < 2 months. These estimates indicate that the new chemical substance may have limited persistence in aerobic environments (e.g., surface water) and anaerobic environments (e.g., sediment).

Bioaccumulation⁴: Bioaccumulation is relevant to whether a new chemical substance is likely to present an unreasonable risk because substances that bioaccumulate in aquatic and/or

² TSCA New Chemicals Program (NCP) Chemical Categories. <https://www.epa.gov/reviewing-new-chemicals-under-toxic-substances-control-act-tsca/chemical-categories-used-review-new>.

³ Persistence: A chemical substance is considered to have limited persistence if it has a half-life in water, soil or sediment of less than 2 months or there are equivalent or analogous data. A chemical substance is considered to be persistent if it has a half-life in water, soil or sediments of greater than 2 months but less than or equal to 6 months or if there are equivalent or analogous data. A chemical substance is considered to be very persistent if it has a half-life in water, soil or sediments of greater than 6 months or there are equivalent or analogous data. (64 FR 60194; November 4, 1999)

⁴ Bioaccumulation: A chemical substance is considered to have a low potential for bioaccumulation if there are bioconcentration factors (BCF) or bioaccumulation factors (BAF) of less than 1,000 or there are equivalent or analogous data. A chemical substance is considered to be bioaccumulative if there are BCFs or BAFs of 1,000 or greater and less than or equal to 5,000 or there are equivalent or analogous data. A chemical substance is considered

TSCA Section 5(a)(3) Determination for Premanufacture Notice (PMN) P-18-0385

terrestrial species pose the potential for elevated exposures to humans and other organisms via food chains. EPA estimated the potential for the new chemical substance to bioaccumulate using data for analogue(s) (polymers). EPA estimated that the new chemical substance has low bioaccumulation potential based on large predicted molecular volume, which limits bioavailability. EPA estimated that the new chemical substance could have limited persistence and a low potential for bioaccumulation, such that repeated exposures are not expected to cause food-chain effects via accumulation in exposed organisms.

Human Health Hazard⁵: Human health hazard is relevant to whether a new chemical substance is likely to present an unreasonable risk because the significance of the risk is dependent upon both the hazard (or toxicity) of the chemical substance and the extent of exposure to the substance. EPA estimated the human health hazard of this chemical substance based on its estimated physical/chemical properties and by comparing it to structurally analogous chemical substances for which there is information on human health hazard. Absorption of the new chemical substance is expected to be nil via all routes based on its physical/chemical properties. Submitted test (OECD 439) of the new chemical substance reported the test substance was not a dermal irritant in vitro. For the new chemical substance, EPA identified surfactant effects on the lung as hazards based on surfactant properties of the substance. EPA identified a no-observed-adverse-effect-concentration (NOAEC) of 10 mg/m³ based on lung toxicity due to surfactant effects, which was used to derive exposure route- and population-specific points of departure (POD) for quantitative risk assessment.

Environmental Hazard⁶: Environmental hazard is relevant to whether a new chemical substance is likely to present unreasonable risk because the significance of the risk is dependent

to be very bioaccumulative if there are BCFs or BAFs of 5,000 or greater or there are equivalent or analogous data. (64 FR 60194; November 4 1999)

⁵ A chemical substance is considered to have low human health hazard if effects are observed in animal studies with a No Observed Adverse Effect Level (NOAEL) equal to or greater than 1,000 mg/kg/day or if there are equivalent data on analogous chemical substances; a chemical substance is considered to have moderate human health hazard if effects are observed in animal studies with a NOAEL less than 1,000 mg/kg/day or if there are equivalent data on analogous chemical substances; a chemical substance is considered to have high human health hazard if there is evidence of adverse effects in humans or conclusive evidence of severe effects in animal studies with a NOAEL of less than or equal to 10 mg/kg/day or if there are equivalent data on analogous chemical substances. EPA may also use Benchmark Dose Levels (BMDL) derived from benchmark dose (BMD) modeling as points of departure for toxic effects. See <https://www.epa.gov/bmds/what-benchmark-dose-software-bmds>. Using this approach, a BMDL is associated with a benchmark response, for example a 5 or 10 % incidence of effect. The aforementioned characterizations of hazard (low, medium, high) would also apply to BMDLs. In the absence of animal data on a chemical or analogous chemical substance, EPA may use other data or information such as from in vitro assays, chemical categories (e.g., Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development, 2014 Guidance on Grouping of Chemicals, Second Edition. ENV/JM/MONO(2014)4. Series on Testing & Assessment No. 194. Environment Directorate, Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development, Paris, France.

([http://www.oecd.org/officialdocuments/publicdisplaydocumentpdf/?cote=env/jm/mono\(2014\)4&doclanguage=en](http://www.oecd.org/officialdocuments/publicdisplaydocumentpdf/?cote=env/jm/mono(2014)4&doclanguage=en)), structure-activity relationships, and/or structural alerts to support characterizing human health hazards.

⁶ A chemical substance is considered to have low ecotoxicity hazard if the Fish, Daphnid and Algae LC₅₀ values are greater than 100 mg/L, or if the Fish and Daphnid chronic values (ChVs) are greater than 10.0 mg/L, or there are not effects at saturation (occurs when water solubility of a chemical substance is lower than an effect concentration), or the log Kow value exceeds QSAR cut-offs. A chemical substance is considered to have moderate ecotoxicity hazard if the lowest of the Fish, Daphnid or Algae LC₅₀s is greater than 1 mg/L and less than 100 mg/L, or where the Fish or Daphnid ChVs are greater than 0.1 mg/L and less than 10.0 mg/L. A chemical substance is considered to have

TSCA Section 5(a)(3) Determination for Premanufacture Notice (PMN) P-18-0385

upon both the hazard (or toxicity) of the chemical substance and the extent of exposure to the substance. EPA estimated environmental hazard of this new chemical substance based on acute toxicity data submitted on the new chemical substance and SAR predictions for anionic polymers (special class within ECOSAR v 2.0). The new chemical substance falls within the TSCA New Chemicals Category Polyanionic Polymers (& Monomers). Acute toxicity values estimated for fish, aquatic invertebrates, and algae are all >100 mg/L (experimental and/or ECOSAR predictions). Chronic toxicity values estimated for fish, aquatic invertebrates, and algae are all >10 mg/L (experimental and/or ECOSAR predictions). These toxicity values indicate that the new chemical substance is expected to have low environmental hazard. Application of assessment factors of 5 and 10 to acute and chronic toxicity values, respectively, results in acute and chronic concentrations of concern of 20 mg/L (20,000 ppb) and 1 mg/L (1,000 ppb), respectively.

Exposure: The exposure to a new chemical substance is potentially relevant to whether a new chemical substance is likely to present unreasonable risks because the significance of the risk is dependent upon both the hazard (or toxicity) of the chemical substance and the extent of exposure to the substance.

EPA estimates occupational exposure and environmental release of the new chemical substance under the intended conditions of use described in the PMN using ChemSTEER (Chemical Screening Tool for Exposures and Environmental Releases; <https://www.epa.gov/tsca-screening-tools/chemsteer-chemical-screening-tool-exposures-and-environmental-releases>). EPA uses EFAST (the Exposure and Fate Assessment Screening Tool; <https://www.epa.gov/tsca-screening-tools/e-fast-exposure-and-fate-assessment-screening-tool-version-2014>) to estimate general population, consumer, and environmental exposures.

EPA considers workers to be a potentially exposed or susceptible subpopulation (PESS) on the basis of greater exposure potential compared to the general population. EPA also considers PESS in conducting general population drinking water exposures by evaluating risks associated with water intake rates for multiple age groups, ranging from infants to adults. EPA considers consumers of specific products to be a potentially exposed or susceptible subpopulation on the basis of greater exposure potential compared to the general population who do not use specific products.

For this assessment, EPA assessed worker exposure via dermal contact. Inhalation exposures to workers were expected to be negligible. Releases to water, air, and landfill were estimated. Exposure to the general population was assessed via drinking water. Exposure to the general population via fish ingestion, ground water (through landfill leachate), stack and fugitive air inhalation were not assessed because exposures are expected to be negligible (below modeling thresholds). Consumer exposures are not intended but were assessed via dermal contact as reasonably foreseen. Inhalation exposures to consumers are expected to be negligible.

high ecotoxicity hazard, or if either the Fish, Daphnid or Algae LC50s are less than 1 mg/L, or any Fish or Daphnid ChVs is less than 0.1 mg/L (Sustainable Futures <https://www.epa.gov/sustainable-futures/sustainable-futures-p2-framework-manual>).

TSCA Section 5(a)(3) Determination for Premanufacture Notice (PMN) P-18-0385

Risk Characterization: EPA assesses risks to workers considering engineering controls described in the PMN but in the absence of personal protective equipment (PPE) such as gloves and respirators. If risks are preliminarily identified, EPA then considers whether the risks would be mitigated by the use of PPE (e.g., impervious gloves, respirator).

Risks were not evaluated for workers for surfactant effects on the lung via inhalation because inhalation exposures are expected to be negligible. Risks were not evaluated for workers via dermal exposure because no hazards were identified that were relevant to the exposure route.

Risks were not evaluated for the general population via ingestion of drinking water because no hazards were identified that were relevant to the exposure route. Risks were not evaluated for the general population via ingestion of fish and ground water (through landfill leachate) because exposures are expected to be negligible (below modeling thresholds). Risks were not evaluated for the general population for lung surfactant effects via inhalation of stack and fugitive air because exposures are expected to be negligible (below modeling thresholds).

Risks were not evaluated for consumers via dermal exposure because no hazards were identified that were relevant to the exposure route. Risks were not evaluated for consumers for surfactant effects on the lung via inhalation because inhalation exposures are expected to be negligible.

Risks to the environment were not identified based on low hazard.

Because inhalation exposures are not expected, no dermal hazards were identified, and no unreasonable risks to consumers, the general population, or the environment were identified, EPA has determined that the new chemical substance is not likely to present unreasonable risk to human health or the environment under the conditions of use.

12/6/2019
Date: _____

/s/ _____
Tala R. Henry, Ph.D.
Deputy Director for Programs
Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics