

**DOCUMENTATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATOR DETERMINATION**  
**Interim Final 2/5/99**  
**RCRA Corrective Action**  
**Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA725)**

**Current Human Exposures Under Control**

**Facility Name:** Böhler-Uddeholm Specialty Metals, Inc.  
**Facility Address:** 2306 Eastover Drive, South Boston, Virginia 24592  
**Facility EPA ID #:** VAD089022685

1. Has **all** available relevant/significant information on known and reasonably suspected releases to soil, groundwater, surface water/sediments, and air, subject to RCRA Corrective Action (e.g., from Solid Waste Management Units (SWMU), Regulated Units (RU), and Areas of Concern (AOC)), been **considered** in this EI determination?

  **X**   If yes - check here and continue with #2 below.

       If no - re-evaluate existing data, or

       If data are not available skip to #6 and enter "IN" (more information needed) status code.

**BACKGROUND**

**Definition of Environmental Indicators (for the RCRA Corrective Action)**

Environmental Indicators (EI) are measures being used by the RCRA Corrective Action program to go beyond programmatic activity measures (e.g., reports received and approved, etc.) to track changes in the quality of the environment. The two EI developed to-date indicate the quality of the environment in relation to current human exposures to contamination and the migration of contaminated groundwater. An EI for non-human (ecological) receptors is intended to be developed in the future.

**Definition of "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI**

A positive "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI determination ("YE" status code) indicates that there are no "unacceptable" human exposures to "contamination" (i.e., contaminants in concentrations in excess of appropriate risk-based levels) that can be reasonably expected under current land- and groundwater-use conditions (for all "contamination" subject to RCRA corrective action at or from the identified facility (i.e., site-wide)).

**Relationship of EI to Final Remedies**

While Final remedies remain the long-term objective of the RCRA Corrective Action program the EI are near-term objectives which are currently being used as Program measures for the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993, GPRA). The "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI are for reasonably expected human exposures under current land- and groundwater-use conditions ONLY, and do not consider potential future land- or groundwater-use conditions or ecological receptors. The RCRA Corrective Action program's overall mission to protect human health and the environment requires that Final remedies address these issues (i.e., potential future human exposure scenarios, future land and groundwater uses, and ecological receptors).

**Duration / Applicability of EI Determinations**

EI Determinations status codes should remain in RCRIS national database ONLY as long as they remain true (i.e., RCRIS status codes must be changed when the regulatory authorities become aware of contrary information).

**Current Human Exposures Under Control**  
**Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA725)**

Page 2

2. Are groundwater, soil, surface water, sediments, or air **media** known or reasonably suspected to be "**contaminated**"<sup>1</sup> above appropriately protective risk-based "levels" (applicable promulgated standards, as well as other appropriate standards, guidelines, guidance, or criteria) from releases subject to RCRA Corrective Action (from SWMUs, RUs or AOCs)?

	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>?</u>	<u>Rationale / Key Contaminants</u>
Groundwater	<u>      </u>	<u>X</u>	<u>      </u>	<u>See discussion under "Rationale and References"</u>
Air (indoors) <sup>2</sup>	<u>      </u>	<u>X</u>	<u>      </u>	<u>See discussion under "Rationale and References"</u>
Surface Soil (e.g., <2 ft)	<u>      </u>	<u>X</u>	<u>      </u>	<u>See discussion under "Rationale and References"</u>
Surface Water	<u>      </u>	<u>X</u>	<u>      </u>	<u>See discussion under "Rationale and References"</u>
Sediment	<u>      </u>	<u>X</u>	<u>      </u>	<u>See discussion under "Rationale and References"</u>
Subsurf. Soil (e.g., >2 ft)	<u>X</u>	<u>      </u>	<u>      </u>	<u>See discussion under "Rationale and References"</u>
Air (outdoors)	<u>      </u>	<u>X</u>	<u>      </u>	<u>See discussion under "Rationale and References"</u>

       If no (for all media) - skip to #6, and enter "YE," status code after providing or citing appropriate "levels," and referencing sufficient supporting documentation demonstrating that these "levels" are not exceeded.

  X   If yes (for any media) - continue after identifying key contaminants in each "contaminated" medium, citing appropriate "levels" (or provide an explanation for the determination that the medium could pose an unacceptable risk), and referencing supporting documentation.

       If unknown (for any media) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code.

Rationale and Reference(s):

The primary contaminants of concern in the soil and groundwater include barium, beryllium, cadmium, chromium, cobalt, copper, lead, nickel, silver, trichloroethene (TCE), vanadium, and zinc.

Groundwater monitoring data and statistical analysis indicate that a release above background has occurred in groundwater downgradient of the former impoundment closed as a hazardous waste landfill; however, groundwater protection standards have not been exceeded. Based upon facility records and a site visit conducted on June 29, 2006, there is no reason to believe that there are other SWMUs or AOCs with a potential for a release of hazardous constituents to groundwater.

Sediments and air media are not known or reasonably suspected to be "**contaminated**"<sup>1</sup>.

Lime stabilized spent pickle liquor solutions that had collected in the former impoundment were removed in accordance with the NPDES Permit after the impoundment was taken out of service in 1985.

Soils contaminated from hazardous waste/hazardous waste constituents were left in place and a protective cap consisting of clay, pea gravel, a synthetic protective fabric, and uncontaminated soil was placed over the former surface impoundment.

Footnotes:

<sup>1</sup> "Contamination" and "contaminated" describes media containing contaminants (in any form, NAPL and/or dissolved, vapors, or solids, that are subject to RCRA) in concentrations in excess of appropriately protective risk-based "levels" (for the media, that identify risks within the acceptable risk range).

<sup>2</sup> Recent evidence (from the Colorado Dept. of Public Health and Environment, and others) suggest that unacceptable indoor air concentrations are more common in structures above groundwater with volatile contaminants than previously believed. This is a rapidly developing field and reviewers are encouraged to look to the latest guidance for the appropriate methods and scale of demonstration necessary to be reasonably certain that indoor air (in structures located above (and adjacent to) groundwater with volatile contaminants) does not present unacceptable risks.

**Current Human Exposures Under Control**  
**Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA725)**

Page 3

3. Are there **complete pathways** between "contamination" and human receptors such that exposures can be reasonably expected under the current (land- and groundwater-use) conditions?

Summary Exposure Pathway Evaluation Table

<u>Contaminated Media</u>	Potential <u>Human Receptors</u> (Under Current Conditions)						
	Residents	Workers	Day-Care	Construction	Trespassers	Recreation	Food <sup>3</sup>
<del>Groundwater</del>	___	___	___	___			___
<del>Air (indoors)</del>	___	___	___				
<del>Soil (surface, e.g., &lt;2 ft)</del>	___	___	___	___	___	___	___
<del>Surface Water</del>	___	___			___	___	___
<del>Sediment</del>	___	___			___	___	___
Soil (subsurface e.g., >2 ft)				<u>NO</u>			<u>NO</u>
<del>Air (outdoors)</del>	___	___	___	___	___		

Instructions for Summary Exposure Pathway Evaluation Table:

1. Strike-out specific Media including Human Receptors' spaces for Media which are not "contaminated" as identified in #2 above.
2. Enter "yes" or "no" for potential "completeness" under each "Contaminated" Media -- Human Receptor combination (Pathway).

Note: In order to focus the evaluation to the most probable combinations some potential "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combinations (Pathways) do not have check spaces ("\_\_\_"). While these combinations may not be probable in most situations they may be possible in some settings and should be added as necessary.

- X   If no (pathways are not complete for any contaminated media-receptor combination) - skip to #6, and enter "YE" status code, after explaining and/or referencing condition(s) in-place, whether natural or man-made, preventing a complete exposure pathway from each contaminated medium (e.g., use optional Pathway Evaluation Work Sheet to analyze major pathways).
- \_\_\_\_\_ If yes (pathways are complete for any "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combination) - continue after providing supporting explanation.
- \_\_\_\_\_ If unknown (for any "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combination) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code

Rationale and Reference(s):

The protective cap, security and inspection requirements, and post-closure care procedures of the Hazardous Waste Management Post-Closure Permit, which became effective on August 29, 2005, prevents exposure to contaminated subsurface soils at the former impoundment.

<sup>3</sup> Indirect Pathway/Receptor (e.g., vegetables, fruits, crops, meat and dairy products, fish, shellfish, etc.)

## Page 4

\_\_\_\_\_ If no (exposures can not be reasonably expected to be significant (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") for any complete exposure pathway) - skip to #6 and enter "YE" status code after explaining and/or referencing documentation justifying why the exposures (from each of the complete pathways) to "contamination" (identified in #3) are not expected to be "significant."

\_\_\_\_\_ If yes (exposures could be reasonably expected to be "significant" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") for any complete exposure pathway) - continue after providing a description (of each potentially "unacceptable" exposure pathway) and explaining and/or referencing documentation justifying why the exposures (from each of the remaining complete pathways) to "contamination" (identified in #3) are not expected to be "significant."

\_\_\_\_\_ If unknown (for any complete pathway) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code

Not Applicable

This image shows a single sheet of white paper with horizontal blue or grey ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page. There are approximately 20 lines visible. The paper appears to be a standard notebook page or a sheet of stationery. The edges of the paper are slightly irregular, suggesting it might be a scan of a physical document. There is no handwriting or other markings on the page.

<sup>4</sup> If there is any question on whether the identified exposures are "significant" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") consult a human health Risk Assessment specialist with appropriate education, training and experience.

## Page 5

\_\_\_\_\_ If yes (all "significant" exposures have been shown to be within acceptable limits) - continue and enter "YE" after summarizing and referencing documentation justifying why all "significant" exposures to "contamination" are within acceptable limits (e.g., a site-specific Human Health Risk Assessment).

\_\_\_\_\_ If no (there are current exposures that can be reasonably expected to be "unacceptable")- continue and enter "NO" status code after providing a description of each potentially "unacceptable" exposure.

\_\_\_\_\_ If unknown (for any potentially "unacceptable" exposure) - continue and enter "IN" status code

Not Applicable

[illegible]

**Current Human Exposures Under Control**  
**Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA725)**

Page 6

6. Check the appropriate RCRIS status codes for the Current Human Exposures Under Control EI event code (CA725), and obtain Supervisor (or appropriate Manager) signature and date on the EI determination below (and attach appropriate supporting documentation as well as a map of the facility):

  X   YE - Yes, "Current Human Exposures Under Control" has been verified. Based on a review of the information contained in this EI Determination, "Current Human Exposures" are expected to be "Under Control" at the **Böhler-Uddeholm Specialty Metals, Inc.** facility, EPA ID #**VAD089022685**, located at **2306 Eastover Drive, South Boston, Virginia 24592** under current and reasonably expected conditions. This determination will be re-evaluated when the Agency/State becomes aware of significant changes at the facility.

       NO - "Current Human Exposures" are NOT "Under Control."

       IN - More information is needed to make a determination.

Completed by (Original Signed) Date 7/26/06  
Wade M. Smith  
Environmental Engineer Senior

Supervisor (Original Signed) Date 7/31/06  
Leslie A. Romanchik  
Director, Office of Waste Permitting  
Virginia Department of Environmental Quality

Locations where References may be found:

Commonwealth of Virginia  
Department of Environmental Quality  
Office of Waste Permitting  
629 East Main Street  
Richmond, Virginia 23219

Contact telephone and e-mail numbers:

Wade M. Smith  
(804) 698-4125  
wmsmith@deq.virginia.gov

**FINAL NOTE: THE HUMAN EXPOSURES EI IS A QUALITATIVE SCREENING OF EXPOSURES AND THE DETERMINATIONS WITHIN THIS DOCUMENT SHOULD NOT BE USED AS THE SOLE BASIS FOR RESTRICTING THE SCOPE OF MORE DETAILED (E.G., SITE-SPECIFIC) ASSESSMENTS OF RISK.**