Appendix A

Project Maps

UIC Permit R9UIC-CA1-FY18-2











Appendix B

Well Schematics

UIC Permit R9UIC-CA1-FY18-2



NOTE: Not drawn to scale.

Alon Bakersfield Refinery

Red Ribbon WD-2; API # 029-88999 Section 22, T29S, R27E M.D.B.&M.







API NO. 029-08304

ALON BAKERSFIE 6451 Rosedale Highway	LD PROPERTY, INC. Bakersfield, CA 93308			
Etchegoin - Chanac				
"RED RIBBON" 7				
S27, T29S, R27E				
Drilled 10/23/43	Elevation (KB) 400'			
	······			

NOTE: Not drawn to scale.



NOTE: Not drawn to scale.

Appendix C

EPA Reporting Forms

UIC Permit R9UIC-CA1-FY18-2

APPENDIX C – EPA Reporting Forms

Form 7520-8: Quarterly Injection Well Monitoring Report

Form 7520-18: Completion Report for Injection Wells

Form 7520-19: Well Rework Record, Plugging and Abandonment Plan, or Plugging and Abandonment Affidavit

\$epa

United States Environmental Protection Agency

Quarterly Injection Well Monitoring Report

	MonthVeer		Month/Voar	Month/Vear		
	wonth/Year		wonth/rear	wonth/tear		
Injection Pressure (PSI)						
1. Minimum						
2. Average						
3. Maximum						
Injection Rate (Barrels/Day)						
1. Minimum						
2. Average						
3. Maximum						
Annular Pressure (PSI)						
1. Minimum						
2. Average						
3. Maximum						
Injection Volume (Barrels)						
1. Monthly Total						
2. Yearly Cumulative						
Temperature (F $^\circ$) - If Specified in UIC Permit						
1. Minimum						
2. Average						
3. Maximum						
pH - If Specified in UIC Permit						
1. Minimum						
2. Average						
3. Maximum						
Other Information Specified in the Permit (Attach Pages if Necessary)						
Permit (or EPA ID) Number	API Nu	umber	Full Well Name			
Certification I certify under the penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted in this document and all attachments and that, based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the information is true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment. (Ref. 40 CFR § 144.32)						
Name and Official Title (<i>Please type or print</i>)	Signature		Date Signed			

INSTRUCTIONS FOR FORM 7520-8

Use this form to submit quarterly injection well monitoring results. Note: owners or operators of Class II wells should use Form 7520-11 to report monitoring results. Please submit a separate form for each well.

On the top row, enter the MONTH and YEAR for each month of the quarter for which monitoring results are being reported.

INJECTION PRESSURE: Enter the minimum, average, and maximum injection pressure that occurred during each month, in pounds per square inch (psi).

INJECTION RATE: Enter the minimum, average, and maximum injection rate, in barrels per day, that occurred during each month.

ANNULAR PRESSURE: Enter the minimum, average, and maximum pressure on the annulus between the tubing and long string casing that occurred during each month, in pounds per square inch (psi).

INJECTION VOLUME: Enter the monthly total and yearly cumulative volume (in barrels) that has been injected.

TEMPERATURE: If the UIC permit requires monitoring of the temperature of the injectate, provide the minimum, average, and maximum temperature that occurred during each month, in degrees Fahrenheit (F°).

pH: If the UIC permit requires monitoring of the pH of the injectate, provide the minimum, average, and maximum values that occurred during each month.

OTHER INFORMATION: If the UIC permit requires any other monitoring, provide the minimum, average, and maximum values that occurred during each month, as appropriate. (Attach pages to this form if necessary.)

PERMIT OR EPA ID NUMBER: Enter the well identification number or permit number assigned to the injection well by the EPA or the permitting authority.

API NUMBER: Enter the number assigned by the local jurisdiction (usually a State Oil and Gas Agency) using the American Petroleum Institute standard numbering system.

FULL WELL NAME: Enter the full name of the well or project.

CERTIFICATION: This form must be signed and dated by either: a responsible corporate officer for a corporation, by a general partner for a partnership, by the proprietor of a sole proprietorship, or by a principal executive or ranking elected official for a public agency.

PAPERWORK REDUCTION ACT NOTICE: The public reporting and recordkeeping burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 24.7 hours per response for operators of Class I hazardous wells, 14.4 hours per response for operators of Class I non-hazardous wells, and 27.9 hours per response for operators of Class III wells. Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resource expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal Agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to the collection of information. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. Send comments on the Agency's need for this information, the accuracy of the provided burden estimates, and any suggested methods for minimizing respondent burden, including the use of automated collection techniques to Director, Collection Strategies Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (2822), 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, D.C. 20460. Include the OMB control number in any correspondence. Do not send the completed forms to this address.

United States Environmental Protection Agency COMPLETION REPORT FOR INJECTION WELLS				
Name, Address, Phone Number and/or Email of Permittee				
State		County		
		-		
Permit (or EPA ID) Number API	Number	Full Well Name		
Locate well in two directions from nearest lines of quarter sec	ction and drilli	ng unit	Latitude	
Surface Location	Pango		Longitude	
	Kange			
ft. from (N/S) Line of quarter section				
ft. from (E/W) Line of quarter section.				
Anticipated Daily Injection Volume (Bbls)		Injection I	nterval (Perforated/Open H	ole Interval)
Average Maximum		Feet		to Feet
Depth to Bottom of Lowermost USDW (Feet)				
		Name of Inication Zone		
Date Drilling Began		Name of Injection Zone		
		Fracture Pressure of Ini	ection Zone	
Date Drilling Completed				
		Permeability of Injection	Zone	
Date Well Completed				
		Porosity of Injection Zon	ne	
Complete Attachments; See Instructions.				
Cartification				
I certify under the penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted in this document and all				
attachments and that, based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the information is true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the				
possibliity of fine and imprisonment. (Ref. 40 CFR § 144.32)				
Name and Official Title (Please type or print)	Signature			Date Signed

INSTRUCTIONS FOR FORM 7520-18

This form must be completed for each injection well. This form is appropriate for all injection well classes, and replaces the previous Form 7520-9 and Form 7520-10. While reports or other information developed by contractors or service companies may be attached, this form must be signed by a responsible entity as described at 40 CFR 144.32.

NAME, ADDRESS, PHONE AND/OR EMAIL OF PERMITTEE: Enter the name and street address, city/town, state, and ZIP code of the permittee. Also provide an email address (if available) and/or a phone number.

Enter the **STATE** and **COUNTY** where the well is located. For States that do not have counties, use the name of that State's equivalent jurisdiction at a more local level.

PERMIT OR EPA ID NUMBER: Enter the well identification number or permit number assigned to the injection well by the EPA or the permitting authority.

API NUMBER: Enter the number assigned by the local jurisdiction (usually a State Oil and Gas Agency) using the American Petroleum Institute standard numbering system.

FULL WELL NAME: Enter the full name of the well or project.

WELL LOCATION: Fill in the complete township, range, and section to the nearest quarter-quarter section. A township is north or south of the baseline, and a range is east or west of the principal meridian (e.g., T12N, R34W). Also include the distance, in feet, from the nearest north or south line and nearest east or west line of the quarter-section. Also, enter the **latitude** and **longitude** of the well in decimal degrees, to five or six places if possible; be sure to include a negative sign for the longitude of a well in the Western Hemisphere and a negative sign for the latitude of a well in the Southern Hemisphere.

ANTICIPATED DAILY INJECTION VOLUME: Enter the anticipated average and maximum daily volume of fluid to be injected, in barrels.

INJECTION INTERVAL: Enter the depths, in feet, to the top and bottom of the perforated hole/open interval of the well through which injected fluids will exit the well. (Note: this is different from the depth of the injection zone.) Provide information about how these were derived, e.g., by attaching a step-rate test or other test results. (See the description of attachments below.)

Enter the **DEPTH TO BOTTOM OF THE LOWERMOST USDW** (i.e., formation containing less than 10,000 mg/L total dissolved solids), in feet.

Enter the **DATE DRILLING BEGAN**, the **DATE DRILLING WAS COMPLETED**, and the **DATE THE WELL WAS COMPLETED** in the appropriate blanks.

Enter information about the permitted injection formation, including the **NAME OF THE INJECTION ZONE**, the calculated **FRACTURE PRESSURE**, and the **PERMEABILITY** and **POROSITY** of the injection zone in the appropriate blanks.

CERTIFICATION: This form must be signed and dated by either: a responsible corporate officer for a corporation, by a general partner for a partnership, by the proprietor of a sole proprietorship, or by a principal executive or ranking elected official for a public agency.

PAPERWORK REDUCTION ACT NOTICE: The public reporting and recordkeeping burden for this collection of information is estimated to average between 3.3 and 3.9 hours per response, depending on the injection well class. Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resource expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal Agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to the collection of information. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. Send comments on the Agency's need for this information, the accuracy of the provided burden estimates, and any suggested methods for minimizing respondent burden, including the use of automated collection techniques to Director, Collection Strategies Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (2822), 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW, Washington, D.C. 20460. Include the OMB control number in any correspondence. Do not send the completed forms to this address.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING ATTACHMENTS TO FORM 7520-18

Please attach the following information to the completion report. Reports prepared by contractors or service companies may be submitted, provided they are clear and legible and the requested information is accessible. Please be sure to specify units as needed, e.g., of depth, pressure, temperature, etc.

I. Geologic Information

1. Provide a geologic description of the rock units penetrated by name, age, depth, thickness, and lithology of each rock unit penetrated.

2. Provide information about the injection formation that supports the information provided on the form, for example: (1) name; (2) depth (drilled); (3) thickness; (4) formation fluid pressure; (5) age of unit; (6) bottom hole temperature; (7) lithology; and (8) bottom hole pressure.

3. Provide chemical characteristics of formation fluid, including a chemical analysis.

4. Provide a description of all USDWs, including: (1) depth below ground surface to base of fresh water (less than 10,000 mg/L TDS); and (2) a geologic description of aquifer units with name, age, depth, thickness, lithology, and average total dissolved solids.

II. Well Design and Construction

1. Provide information on the surface, intermediate, and long string casing and tubing. Describe: the materials used; outside diameter size; weight/foot, grade, and whether new or used; and the depth to which each casing string is set (include appropriate units, e.g., below ground surface, below Kelly bushing, etc.).

2. Provide data on the holes drilled for each casing string, including the bit diameter and depth of hole.

3. Provide data on the well cement for each casing string, such as type/class, additives, amount, method of emplacement, and depth to top of cement.

4. Describe the packer (if used) such as type, name and model, setting depth, and type of annular fluid used.

5. Provide data on centralizers, including number, type, and depth.

6. Provide data on bottom hole completions, including the depth and diameter of the hole.

III. Monitoring Systems. Describe the recording and nonrecording injection pressure gauges, casing-tubing annulus pressure gauges, injection rate meters, temperature meters, and other meters or gauges. Also provide information on constructed monitoring wells such as location, depth, casing diameter, method of cementing, etc.

IV. Logging and Testing Results. Provide a report describing the types of geophysical logs, cores, and other tests performed; date of the logs; the intervals logged; and interpretation of the results. Include a description and the results of deviation checks run during drilling. If requested, provide a final print of all geophysical logs run.

V. As-built Schematic. Provide a diagrammatic sketch of the surface and subsurface construction details of the injection well as-built, showing casing, cement, tubing, packer, etc., with proper setting depths. The sketch should include the well head and gauges.

VI. Mechanical Integrity Testing. Provide data demonstrating mechanical integrity pursuant to 40 CFR 146.08. Describe the method and results of mechanical integrity testing.

VII. Report on the compatibility of injected wastes with fluids and minerals in both the injection zone and the confining zone.

VIII. Report the status of corrective action on deficient wells in the area of review.

IX. Include the anticipated maximum pressure and flow rate at which injection will operate.

X. Stimulation. Describe any stimulation performed, including the interval treated and the materials and amounts used.

United States Environmental Protection Agency WELL REWORK RECORD, PLUGGING AND ABANDONMENT PLAN, OR PLUGGING AND ABANDONMENT AFFIDAVIT						
Name and Address,	Phone Number and/or Email of F	Permittee				
Permit or EPA ID N	umber	API Number		Full Well Name		
State			County			
Locate well in two Surface Location	directions from nearest lines of	quarter section and d	rilling unit Latitude			
Surface Excention Longitude 1/4 of 1/4 of Section Township ft. from (N/S) Line of quarter section ft. from (E/W) Line of quarter section.						
Well Class	Timing of Action (pick one)			Type of Action	(pick one)	
Class I	Notice Prior to Work			Well Rewo	rk	
Class II	Date Expected to Comme	ence		Diamain a		
Class III				Plugging a	nd Abandonment	
Class V	Report After Work			Conversio	n to a Non-Injection Well	
	Date Work Endeu					
Provide a narrative	description of the work planned	to be performed, or tha	t was performed. Use additional	l pages as necessary. See i	nstructions.	
Certification						
I certify under the penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted in this document and all attachments and that, based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the information is true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibliity of fine and imprisonment. (Ref. 40 CFR § 144.32)						
Name and Official	Title (Please type or print)	Signatu	re		Date Signed	

INSTRUCTIONS FOR FORM 7520-19

This form replaces forms 7520-12 and 7520-14. Use this form only when work is planned or has occurred that affects the well's construction or operation as an injection well, including work on the casing, tubing or packer (or for shallow Class V wells, the subsurface fluid emplacement network). Use one form per injection well. While reports or other information developed by contractors or service companies may be attached, this form must be signed by a responsible entity as described at 40 CFR 144.32. Note: operators closing Class V wells should use Form 7520-17.

NAME, ADDRESS, PHONE AND/OR EMAIL OF PERMITTEE: Enter the name and street address, city/town, state, and ZIP code of the permittee. Also provide an email address (if available) and/or a phone number.

PERMIT OR EPA ID NUMBER: Enter the well identification number or permit number assigned to the well by the EPA or the permitting authority.

API NUMBER: Enter the number assigned by the local jurisdiction (usually a State Oil and Gas Agency) using the American Petroleum Institute standard numbering system.

FULL WELL NAME: Enter the full name of the well or project.

Enter the **STATE** and **COUNTY** where the well is located. For States that do not have counties, use the name of that State's equivalent jurisdiction at a more local level.

WELL LOCATION: Fill in the complete township, range, and section to the nearest quarter-quarter section. A township is north or south of the baseline, and a range is east or west of the principal meridian (e.g., T12N, R34W). Also include the distance, in feet, from the nearest north or south line and nearest east or west line of the quarter-section. Also, enter the **latitude** and **longitude** of the well in decimal degrees, to five or six places if possible; be sure to include a negative sign for the longitude of a well in the Western Hemisphere and a negative sign for the latitude of a well in the Southern Hemisphere.

Enter the WELL CLASS, i.e., the class of injection well as defined in 40 CFR 144.6.

TIMING OF THE ACTION: Check *Notice prior to work* if the activity has not yet occurred (i.e., is planned). Check *Report after work* if the activity described has already occurred. As appropriate, include the date the activity is expected to start or the date the activity was completed. (Note this may not be available, e.g., for a plugging plan submitted with a permit application.)

TYPE OF ACTION: Check the appropriate box to describe the kind of activity being reported. Check *Well Rework* for work that was/will be performed on the well after it has already been in operation as an injection well. Check *Plugging and Abandonment* to report on plans for or descriptions of final closure/plugging after use as an injection well. Check *Conversion to a Non-Injection Well* if the well is to be converted to something other than an injection well.

Provide a **NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION** of the work planned to be performed, or that was performed. The narrative should include a description of the main procedures planned or that occurred during the work activity. A service company report, daily report, or similar document may be attached if it includes all the requested information and is clear and legible.

For well reworks, include the following information: The reason for the well rework; depths of activity; type of activity; changes to injection well configuration, well casing, or cement behind casing; any plug added to the well and its depth; any newly drilled interval and its depth; method(s) to demonstrate that the well has mechanical integrity (as applicable); and any deviations from the approved rework plan (as applicable).

For a well plugging plan, include the following information: Reason for the well plugging; number of plugs placed, and their depths; materials used as plugs (e.g., cast iron bridge plug, cement, cement retainer); method to set plugs; and wait-on-cement times, if any. Also provide one or more cost estimates from an independent firm in the business of plugging and abandoning wells to plug the well as described in the plan.

For well plugging affidavit, include the following information: Reason for the well plugging; number of plugs placed, and their depths; materials used as plugs (e.g., cast iron bridge plug, cement, cement retainer); method to set plugs; wait-on-cement times, if any; and any deviations from the approved plugging plan (if applicable).

For conversion to a non-injection well, include the following information: Depths of activity; type of activity; changes to injection well configuration, well casing, or cement behind casing; any plug added to the well and its depth; any newly drilled interval and its depth; depths of new perforations; and method(s) to demonstrate that the well has mechanical integrity (as applicable).

For all of the above activities, include a well sketch depicting the work, results of well tests/logging performed, service company tickets, and any other available information demonstrating how the work was/is to be performed. Also, specify whether depths are below ground surface, relative to Kelly bushing, etc.

CERTIFICATION: This form must be signed and dated by either: a responsible corporate officer for a corporation, by a general partner for a partnership, by the proprietor of a sole proprietorship, or by a principal executive or ranking elected official for a public agency.

PAPERWORK REDUCTION ACT NOTICE: The public reporting and recordkeeping burden for this collection of information is estimated to average between 6.0 and 7.9 hours per response, depending on the injection well class. Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resource expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal Agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to the collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and, transmit or otherwise disclose the information. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. Send comments on the Agency's need for this information, the accuracy of the provided burden estimates, and any suggested methods for minimizing respondent burden, including the use of automated collection techniques to Director, Collection Strategies Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (2822), 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, D.C. 20460. Include the OMB control number in any correspondence. Do not send the completed forms to this address.

Appendix D

Logging Requirements

UIC Permit R9UIC-CA1-FY18-2

APPENDIX D – EPA Region 9 Temperature Logging Requirements

A Temperature "Decay" Log (two separate temperature logging passes) must satisfy the following criteria to be considered a valid Mechanical Integrity Test ("MIT") as specified by 40 CFR §146.8(c)(1). Variances to these requirements are expected for certain circumstances, but they must be approved prior to running the log. As a general rule, the well shall inject for approximately six (6) months prior to running a temperature decay progression sequence of logs.

- 1. With the printed log, also provide raw data for both logging runs (at least one data reading per foot depth) unless the logging truck is equipped with an analog panel as the processing device.
- 2. The heading on the log must be complete and include all the pertinent information, such as correct well name, location, elevations, etc.
- 3. The total shut-in times must be clearly shown in the heading. Minimum shut-in time for active injectors is 12 hours for running the initial temperature log, followed by a second log, a minimum of 4 hours later. These two log runs will be superimposed on the same track for final presentation.
- 4. The logging speed must be kept between 20 and 50 ft. per minute (30 ft/min optimum) for both logs. The temperature sensor should be located as close to the bottom of the tool string as possible (logging downhole).
- 5. The vertical depth scale of the log should be 1 or 2 in. per 100 ft. to match lithology logs (see 7(b)). The horizontal temperature scale should be no more than one Fahrenheit degree per inch spacing.
- 6. The right hand tracks must contain the "absolute" temperature and the "differential" temperature curves with both log runs identified and clearly superimposed for comparison and interpretation purposes.
- 7. The left hand tracks must contain (unless impractical, but EPA must pre-approve any deviations):
 - (a) a collar locator log,
 - (b) a lithology log, which includes either:
 - i. an historic Gamma Ray that is "readable", i.e. one that demonstrates lithologic changes without either excessive activity by the needle or severely dampened responses; or
 - ii. a copy of an original SP curve from either the subject well or from a representative, nearby well.
 - (c) a clear identification on the log showing the base of the lowermost
 - Underground Source of Drinking Water ("USDW"). A USDW is basically a formation that contains less than 10,000 ppm Total Dissolved Solids ("TDS") and is further defined in 40 CFR §144.3.

Appendix E

Region 9 UIC Pressure Falloff Requirements

UIC Permit R9UIC-CA1-FY18-2

EPA Region 9 UIC PRESSURE FALLOFF REQUIREMENTS

Condensed version of the EPA Region 6 UIC PRESSURE FALLOFF TESTING GUIDELINE

Third Revision



August 8, 2002

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- 1.0 Background
- 2.0 Purpose of Guideline
- 3.0 Timing of Falloff Tests and Report Submission
- 4.0 Falloff Test Report Requirements
- 5.0 Planning **General Operational Concerns** Site Specific Pretest Planning
 - Conducting the Falloff Test
- 6.0 7.0 Evaluation of the Falloff Test
 - 1. Cartesian Plot
 - 2. Log-log Plot
 - 3. Semilog Plot
 - 4. Anomalous Results
- 8.0 **Technical References**

APPENDIX

Pressure Gauge Usage and Selection Usage Selection Test Design **General Operational Considerations** Wellbore and Reservoir Data Needed to Simulate or Analyze the Falloff Test **Design Calculations** Considerations for Offset Wells Completed in the Same Interval Falloff Test Analysis **Cartesian Plot** Log-log Diagnostic Plot Identification of Test Flow Regimes Characteristics of Individual Test Flow Regimes Wellbore Storage **Radial Flow** Spherical Flow Linear Flow Hydraulically Fractured Well Naturally Fractured Rock Layered Reservoir

Semilog Plot Determination of the Appropriate Time Function for the Semilog Plot Parameter Calculations and Considerations Skin Radius of Investigation Effective Wellbore Radius Reservoir Injection Pressure Corrected for Skin Effects Determination of the Appropriate Fluid Viscosity Reservoir Thickness Use of Computer Software Common Sense Check

US EPA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

REQUIREMENTS

UIC PRESSURE FALLOFF TESTING GUIDELINE Third Revision August 8, 2002

1.0 Background

Region 9 has adopted the Region 6 UIC Pressure Falloff Testing Guideline requirements for monitoring Class 1 Non Hazardous waste disposal wells. Under 40 CFR 146.13(d)(1), operators are required annually to monitor the pressure buildup in the injection zone, including at a minimum, a shut down of the well for a time sufficient to conduct a valid observation of the pressure falloff curve.

All of the following parameters (Test, Period, Analysis) are critical for evaluation of technical adequacy of UIC permits:

A falloff **test** is a pressure transient test that consists of shutting in an injection well and measuring the pressure falloff. The falloff **period** is a replay of the injection preceding it; consequently, it is impacted by the magnitude, length, and rate fluctuations of the injection period. Falloff testing **analysis** provides transmissibility, skin factor, and well flowing and static pressures.

2.0 Purpose of Guideline

This guideline has been adopted by the Region 9 office of the Evironmental Protection Agency (EPA) to assist operators in **planning and conducting** the falloff test and preparing the **annual monitoring report**.

Falloff tests provide reservoir pressure data and characterize both the injection interval reservoir and the completion condition of the injection well. Both the reservoir parameters and pressure data are necessary for UIC permit demonstrations. Additionally, a valid falloff test is a monitoring requirement under 40 CFR Part 146 for all Class I injection wells.

The ultimate responsibility of conducting a valid falloff test is the task of the operator. Operators should QA/QC the pressure data and test results to confirm that the results "make sense" prior to submission of the report to the EPA for review.

3.0 Timing of Falloff Tests and Report Submission

Falloff **<u>tests</u>** must be conducted annually. The time **<u>interval</u>** for each test should not be less than 9 months or greater than 15 months from the previous test. This will ensure that the tests will be performed at relatively even intervals.

The falloff testing **report** should be submitted no later than 60 days following the test. Failure to submit a falloff test report will be considered a violation and may result in an enforcement action. Any exceptions should be approved by EPA prior to conducting the test.

4.0 Falloff Test Report Requirements

In general, the **<u>report</u>** to EPA should provide:

- (1) general information and an overview of the falloff test,
- (2) an analysis of the pressure data obtained during the test,
- (3) a summary of the test results, and
- (4) a comparison of those results with previously used parameters.

Some of the following operator and well data will not change so once acquired, it can be copied and submitted with each annual report. The **<u>falloff test report</u>** should include the following information:

- 1. **Company name and address**
- 2. **Test well name and location**
- 3. The name and phone number of **the facility contact person**. The contractor contact may be included if approved by the facility <u>in addition</u> to a facility contact person.
- 4. **A photocopy of an openhole log** (SP or Gamma Ray) through the injection interval illustrating the type of formation and thickness of the injection interval. The entire log is not necessary.
- 5. **Well schematic** showing the current wellbore configuration and completion information:
 - X Wellbore radius
 - X Completed interval depths
 - X Type of completion (perforated, screen and gravel packed, openhole)
- 6. **Depth of fill depth and date tagged.**
- 7. **Offset well information:**
 - X Distance between the test well and offset well(s) completed in the same interval or involved in an interference test
 - X Simple illustration of locations of the injection and offset wells
- 8. **Chronological listing of daily testing activities.**
- 9. Electronic submission of the raw data (time, pressure, and temperature) from <u>all</u> pressure gauges utilized on CD-ROM. A READ.ME file or the disk label should list all files included and any necessary explanations of the data. A separate file containing any

edited data used in the analysis can be submitted as an additional file.

- 10. **Tabular summary of the injection rate or rates preceding the falloff test.** At a minimum, rate information for 48 hours prior to the falloff or for a time equal to twice the time of the falloff test is recommended. If the rates varied and the rate information is greater than 10 entries, the rate data should be submitted electronically as well as a hard copy of the rates for the report. Including a rate vs time plot is also a good way to illustrate the magnitude and number of rate changes prior to the falloff test.
- 11. **Rate information from any offset wells completed in the same interval.** At a minimum, the injection rate data for the 48 hours preceding the falloff test should be included in a tabular and electronic format. Adding a rate vs time plot is also helpful to illustrate the rate changes.
- 12. **Hard copy of the time and pressure data** analyzed in the report.
- 13. **Pressure gauge information:** (See Appendix, page A-1 for more information on pressure gauges)
 - X List all the gauges utilized to test the well
 - X Depth of each gauge
 - X Manufacturer and type of gauge. Include the full range of the gauge.
 - X Resolution and accuracy of the gauge as a % of full range.
 - X Calibration certificate and manufacturer's recommended frequency of calibration

14. General test information:

- X Date of the test
- X Time synchronization: A specific time and date should be synchronized to an equivalent time in each pressure file submitted. Time synchronization should also be provided for the rate(s) of the test well <u>and any offset wells</u>.
- X Location of the shut-in valve (e.g., note if at the wellhead or number of feet from the wellhead)

15. **Reservoir parameters (determination):**

- X Formation fluid viscosity, $\mu_f cp$ (direct measurement or correlation)
- X Porosity, φ fraction (well log correlation or core data)
- X Total compressibility, $c_t psi^{-1}$ (correlations, core measurement, or well test)
- X Formation volume factor, rvb/stb (correlations, usually assumed 1 for water)
- X Initial formation reservoir pressure See Appendix, page A-1
- X Date reservoir pressure was last stabilized (injection history)
- X Justified interval thickness, h ft See Appendix, page A-15

16. Waste plume:

- X Cumulative injection volume into the completed interval
- X Calculated radial distance to the waste front, r_{waste} ft
- X Average historical waste fluid viscosity, if used in the analysis, μ_{waste} cp

17. **Injection period:**

- X Time of injection period
- X Type of test fluid
- X Type of pump used for the test (e.g., plant or pump truck)
- X Type of rate meter used
- X Final injection pressure and temperature

18. Falloff period:

- X Total shut-in time, expressed in real time and Δt , elapsed time
- X Final shut-in pressure and temperature
- X Time well went on vacuum, if applicable

19. **Pressure gradient:**

- X Gradient stops for depth correction
- 20. **Calculated test data:** include all equations used and the parameter values assigned for each variable within the report
 - X Radius of investigation, r_i ft
 - X Slope or slopes from the semilog plot
 - X Transmissibility, kh/µ md-ft/cp
 - X Permeability (range based on values of h)
 - X Calculation of skin, s
 - X Calculation of skin pressure drop, ΔP_{skin}
 - X Discussion and justification of any reservoir or outer boundary models used to simulate the test
 - X Explanation for any pressure or temperature anomaly if observed

21. **Graphs:**

- X Cartesian plot: pressure and temperature vs. time
- X Log-log diagnostic plot: pressure and semilog derivative curves. Radial flow regime should be identified on the plot
- X Semilog and expanded semilog plots: radial flow regime indicated and the semilog straight line drawn
- X Injection rate(s) vs time: test well and offset wells (not a circular or strip chart)
- 22. A copy of the latest radioactive tracer run and a brief discussion of the results.

5.0 Planning

The **<u>radial flow portion</u>** of the test is the basis for all pressure transient calculations. Therefore the injectivity and falloff portions of the test should be designed not only to reach radial flow, but to sustain a time frame sufficient for analysis of the radial flow period.

General Operational Concerns

X Adequate storage for the waste should be ensured for the duration of the test

- X Offset wells completed in the same formation as the test well should be shut-in, or at a minimum, provisions should be made to maintain a constant injection rate prior to and during the test
- X Install a crown valve on the well prior to starting the test so the well does not have to be shut-in to install a pressure gauge
- X The location of the shut-in valve on the well should be at or near the wellhead to minimize the wellbore storage period
- X The condition of the well, junk in the hole, wellbore fill or the degree of wellbore damage (as measured by skin) may impact the length of time the well must be shut-in for a valid falloff test. This is especially critical for wells completed in relatively low transmissibility reservoirs or wells that have large skin factors.
- X Cleaning out the well and acidizing may reduce the wellbore storage period and therefore the shut-in time of the well
- X Accurate recordkeeping of injection rates is critical including a mechanism to synchronize times reported for injection rate and pressure data. The elapsed time format usually reported for pressure data does not allow an easy synchronization with real time rate information. Time synchronization of the data is especially critical when the analysis includes the consideration of injection from more than one well.
- X Any unorthodox testing procedure, or any testing of a well with known or anticipated problems, should be discussed with EPA staff prior to performing the test.
- X If more than one well is completed into the same reservoir, operators are encouraged to send at least two pulses to the test well by way of rate changes in the offset well following the falloff test. These pulses will demonstrate communication between the wells and, if maintained for sufficient duration, they can be **analyzed as an interference** test to obtain interwell reservoir parameters.

Site Specific Pretest Planning

- 1. Determine the time needed to reach radial flow during the injectivity and falloff portions of the test:
 - X Review previous welltests, if available
 - X Simulate the test using measured or estimated reservoir and well completion parameters
 - X Calculate the time to the beginning of radial flow using the empirically-based equations provided in the Appendix. The equations are different for the injectivity and falloff portions of the test with the skin factor influencing the falloff more than the injection period. (See Appendix, page A-4 for equations)
 - X Allow adequate time beyond the beginning of radial flow to observe radial flow so that a well developed semilog straight line occurs. A good rule of thumb is 3 to 5 times the time to reach radial flow to provide adequate radial flow data for analysis.
- 2. Adequate and consistent injection fluid should be available so that the injection rate into the test well can be held constant prior to the falloff. This rate should be high enough to

Page 8 of 27

produce a measurable falloff at the test well given the resolution of the pressure gauge selected. The viscosity of the fluid should be consistent. Any mobility issues (k/μ) should be identified and addressed in the analysis if necessary.

- 3. Bottomhole pressure measurements are required. (See Appendix, page A-2 for additional information concerning pressure gauge selection.)
- 4. Use two pressure gauges during the test with one gauge serving as a backup, or for verification in cases of questionable data quality. The two gauges do not need to be the same type. (See Appendix, page A-1 for additional information concerning pressure gauges.)

6.0 Conducting the Falloff Test

- 1. Tag and record the depth to any fill in the test well
- 2. Simplify the pressure transients in the reservoir
 - X Maintain a constant injection rate in the test well prior to shut-in. This injection rate should be high enough and maintained for a sufficient duration to produce a measurable pressure transient that will result in a valid falloff test.
 - X Offset wells should be shut-in prior to and during the test. If shut-in is not feasible, a constant injection rate should be recorded and maintained during the test and then accounted for in the analysis.
 - X Do not shut-in two wells simultaneously or change the rate in an offset well during the test.
- 3. The test well should be shut-in <u>at the wellhead</u> in order to minimize wellbore storage and afterflow. (See Appendix, page A-3 for additional information.)
- 4. Maintain accurate rate records for the test well and any offset wells completed in the same injection interval.
- 5. Measure and record the viscosity of the injectate periodically during the injectivity portion of the test to confirm the consistency of the test fluid.

7.0 Evaluation of the Falloff Test

- 1. Prepare a **Cartesian plot** of the pressure and temperature versus real time or elapsed time.
 - X Confirm pressure stabilization prior to shut-in of the test well
 - X Look for anomalous data, pressure drop at the end of the test, determine if pressure drop is within the gauge resolution
- 2. Prepare a **log-log diagnostic plot** of the pressure and semilog derivative. Identify the

flow regimes present in the welltest. (See Appendix, page A-6 for additional information.)

- X Use the appropriate time function depending on the length of the injection period and variation in the injection rate preceding the falloff (See Appendix, page A-10 for details on time functions.)
- X Mark the various flow regimes particularly the radial flow period
- X Include the derivative of other plots, if appropriate (e.g., square root of time for linear flow)
- X If there is no radial flow period, attempt to type curve match the data
- 3. Prepare a **semilog plot**.
 - X Use the appropriate time function depending on the length of injection period and injection rate preceding the falloff
 - X Draw the semilog straight line through the radial flow portion of the plot and obtain the slope of the line
 - X Calculate the transmissibility, kh/μ
 - X Calculate the skin factor, s, and skin pressure drop, ΔP_{skin}
 - X Calculate the radius of investigation, r_i
- 4. Explain any anomalous results.

8.0 Technical References

- 1. SPE Textbook Series No. 1, "Well Testing," 1982, W. John Lee
- 2. SPE Monograph 5, "Advances in Well Test Analysis," 1977, Robert Earlougher, Jr.
- 3. SPE Monograph 1, "Pressure Buildup and Flow Tests in Wells," 1967, C.S. Matthews and D.G. Russell
- 4. "Well Test Interpretation In Bounded Reservoirs," Hart's Petroleum Engineer International, Spivey, and Lee, November 1997
- 5. "Derivative of Pressure: Application to Bounded Reservoir Interpretation," SPE Paper 15861, Proano, Lilley, 1986
- 6. "Well Test Analysis," Sabet, 1991
- 7. "Pressure Transient Analysis," Stanislav and Kabir, 1990
- 8. "Well Testing: Interpretation Methods," Bourdarot, 1996
- 9. "A New Method To Account For Producing Time Effects When Drawdown Type Curves Are Used To Analyze Pressure Buildup And Other Test Data," SPE Paper 9289, Agarwal, 1980
- 10. "Modern Well Test Analysis A Computer-Aided Approach," Roland N. Horne, 1990
- Exxon Monograph, "Well Testing in Heterogeneous Formations," Tatiana Streltsova, 1987
- 12. EPA Region 6 Falloff Guidelines
- 13. "Practical Pressure Gauge Specification Considerations In Practical Well Testing," SPE Paper No. 22752, Veneruso, Ehlig-Economides, and Petitjean, 1991

- 14. "Guidelines Simplify Well Test Interpretation," Oil and Gas Journal, Ehlig-Economides, Hegeman, and Vik, July 18, 1994
- 15. Oryx Energy Company, Practical Pressure Transient Testing, G. Lichtenberger and K. Johnson, April 1990 (Internal document)
- 16. Pressure-Transient Test Design in Tight Gas Formations, SPE Paper 17088, W.J. Lee, October 1987
- 17. "Radius-of-Drainage and Stabilization-Time Equations," Oil and Gas Journal, H.K. Van Poollen, Sept 14, 1964
- 18. "Effects of Permeability Anisotropy and Layering On Well Test Interpretation," Hart's Petroleum Engineer International, Spivey, Aly, and Lee, February 1998
- 19. "Three Key Elements Necessary for Successful Testing," Oil and Gas Journal, Ehlig-Economides, Hegeman, Clark, July 25, 1994
- 20. "Introduction to Applied Well Test Interpretation," Hart's Petroleum Engineer International, Spivey, and Lee, August 1997
- 21. "Recent Developments In Well Test Analysis," Hart's Petroleum Engineer International, Stewart, August 1997
- 22. "Fundamentals of Type Curve Analysis," Hart's Petroleum Engineer International, Spivey, and Lee, September 1997
- 23. "Identifying Flow Regimes In Pressure Transient Tests," Hart's Petroleum Engineer International, Spivey and Lee, October 1997
- 24. "Selecting a Reservoir Model For Well Test Interpretation," Hart's Petroleum Engineer International, Spivey, Ayers, Pursell, and Lee, December 1997
- 27. "Use of Pressure Derivative in Well-Test Interpretation," SPE Paper 12777, SPE Formation Evaluation Journal, Bourdet, Ayoub, and Pirard, June 1989
- 28. "A New Set of Type Curves Simplifies Well Test Analysis," World Oil, Bourdet, Whittle, Douglas, and Pirard, May 1983

APPENDIX

Pressure Gauge Usage and Selection

<u>Usage</u>

- X EPA recommends that two gauges be used during the test with one gauge serving as a backup.
- X **Downhole pressure measurements** are less noisy and are required.
- X A bottomhole surface readout gauge (SRO) allows tracking of pressures in real time. Analysis of this data can be performed in the field to confirm that the well has reached radial flow prior to ending the test.
- X The derivative function plotted on the log-log plot amplifies noise in the data, so the use of a good pressure recording device is critical for application of this curve.
- X Mechanical gauges should be **calibrated** before and after each test using a dead weight tester.
- X Electronic gauges should also be **calibrated** according to the manufacturer's recommendations. The manufacturer's recommended frequency of calibration, and a copy of the gauge calibration certificate should be provided with the falloff testing report demonstrating this practice has been followed.

Selection

- X The pressures must remain within the range of the pressure gauge. The larger percent of the gauge range utilized in the test, the better. Typical pressure gauge limits are 2000, 5000, and 10000 psi. Note that gauge accuracy and resolution are typically a function of percent of the full gauge range.
- X Electronic downhole gauges generally offer much better resolution and sensitivity than a mechanical gauge but cost more. Additionally, the electronic gauge can generally run for a longer period of time, be programmed to measure pressure more frequently at various intervals for improved data density, and store data in digital form.
- X Resolution of the pressure gauge must be sufficient to measure small pressure changes at the end of the test.

Test Design

General Operational Considerations

- X The injection period controls what is seen on the falloff since the falloff is replay of the injection period. Therefore, the injection period must reach radial flow prior to shut-in of the well in order for the falloff test to reach radial flow
- X Ideally to determine the optimal lengths of the injection and falloff periods, the test should be simulated using measured or estimated reservoir parameters. Alternatively, injection and falloff period lengths can be estimated from empirical equations using assumed reservoir and well parameters.
- X The injection rate dictates the pressure buildup at the injection well. The pressure buildup from injection must be sufficient so that the pressure change during radial flow, usually occurring toward the end of the test, is large enough to measure with the pressure gauge selected.
- X Waste storage and other operational issues require preplanning and need to be addressed prior to the test date. If brine must be brought in for the injection portion of the test, operators should insure that the fluid injected has a consistent viscosity and that there is adequate fluid available to obtain a valid falloff test. The use of the wastestream as the injection fluid affords several distinct advantages:
 - 1. Brine does not have to be purchased or stored prior to use.
 - 2. Onsite waste storage tanks may be used.
 - 3. Plant wastestreams are generally consistent, i.e., no viscosity variations
- X Rate changes cause pressure transients in the reservoir. **Constant rate injection in the test well and any offset wells completed in the same reservoir are critical to simplify the pressure transients in the reservoir.** Any significant injection rate fluctuations at the test well or offsets must be recorded and accounted for in the analysis using superposition.
- X Unless an injectivity test is to be conducted, shutting in the well for an extend period of time prior to conducting the falloff test reduces the pressure buildup in the reservoir and is not recommended.
- X Prior to conducting a test, a crown valve should be installed on the wellhead to allow the pressure gauge to be installed and lowered into the well without any interruption of the injection rate.
- X The wellbore schematic should be reviewed for possible obstructions located in the well that may prevent the use or affect the setting depth of a downhole pressure gauge. The fill depth in the well should also be reported. The fill depth may not only impact the depth of the gauge, but usually prolongs the wellbore storage period and depending on the type of fill, may limit the interval thickness by isolating some of the injection intervals. A wellbore cleanout or stimulation may be needed prior to conducting the test for the test to reach radial flow and obtain valid results.
- X The location of the shut-in valve can impact the duration of the wellbore storage period. The shut-in valve should be located near the wellhead. Afterflow into the wellbore prolongs the wellbore storage period.
- X The area geology should be reviewed prior to conducting the test to determine the thickness and type of formation being tested along with any geological features such as natural fractures, a fault, or a pinchout that should be anticipated to impact the test.

Wellbore and Reservoir Data Needed to Simulate or Analyze the Falloff Test

X Wellbore radius, r_w - from wellbore schematic

- X Net thickness, h See Appendix, page A-15
- X Porosity, φ log or core data
- X Viscosity of formation fluid, μ_f direct measurement or correlations
- X Viscosity of waste, μ_{waste} direct measurement or correlations
- X Total system compressibility, ct correlations, core measurement, or well test
- X Permeability, k previous welltests or core data
- X Specific gravity of injection fluid, s.g. direct measurement
- X Injection rate, q direct measurement

Design Calculations

When simulation software is unavailable the test periods can be estimated from empirical equations. The following are set of steps to calculate the time to reach radial flow from empirically-derived equations:

- 1. Estimate the wellbore storage coefficient, C (bbl/psi). There are two equations to calculate the wellbore storage coefficient depending on if the well remains fluid filled (positive surface pressure) or if the well goes on a vacuum (falling fluid level in the well):
 - a. Well remains fluid filled:

 $C = V_w \cdot c_{waste}$ where, V_w is the total wellbore volume, bbls c_{waste} is the compressibility of the injectate, psi⁻¹

b. Well goes on a vacuum:

$$C = \frac{V_u}{\frac{\rho \cdot g}{144}}$$

 $144 \cdot g_c$ where, V_u is the wellbore volume per unit length, bbls/ft

 ρ is the injectate density, psi/ft g and g_c are gravitational constants

- 2. Calculate the time to reach radial flow for both the injection and falloff periods. Two different empirically-derived equations are used to calculate the time to reach radial flow, t_{radial flow}, for the injectivity and falloff periods:
 - a. Injectivity period:

$$t_{radial\,flow} > \frac{(200000 + 12000s) \cdot C}{\frac{k \cdot h}{\mu}} hours$$

b. Falloff period:

$$t_{radial flow} > \frac{170000 \cdot C \cdot e^{0.14 \cdot s}}{\frac{k \cdot h}{\mu}}$$
 hours

The wellbore storage coefficient is assumed to be the same for both the injectivity and falloff periods. The skin factor, s, influences the falloff more than the injection period. Use these equations with caution, as they tend to fall apart for a well with a large

Page 14 of 27

permeability or a high skin factor. Also remember, the welltest should not only reach radial flow, but also sustain radial flow for a timeframe sufficient for analysis of the radial flow period. As a rule of thumb, a timeframe sufficient for analysis is 3 to 5 times the time needed to reach radial flow.

3. As an alternative to steps 1 and 2, to look a specific distance "L" into the reservoir and possibly confirm the absence or existence of a boundary, the following equation can be used to estimate the time to reach that distance:

$$t_{boundary} = \frac{948 \cdot \phi \cdot \mu \cdot c_t \cdot L_{boundary}}{k} \quad hours$$

where, $L_{boundary} =$ feet to boundary $t_{boundary} =$ time to boundary, hrs

Again, this is the time to reach a distance "L" in the reservoir. Additional test time is required to observe a fully developed boundary past the time needed to just reach the boundary. As a rule of thumb, to see a fully developed boundary on a log-log plot, allow at least 5 times the time to reach it. Additionally, for a boundary to show up on the falloff, it must first be encountered during the injection period.

4. Calculate the expected slope of the semilog plot during radial flow to see if gauge resolution will be adequate using the following equation:

$$m_{semilog} = \frac{162.6 \cdot q \cdot \mathbf{B}}{\frac{k \cdot h}{\mu}}$$

where, q = the injection rate preceding the falloff test, bpd

B = formation volume factor for water, rvb/stb (usually assumed to be 1)

Considerations for Offset Wells Completed in the Same Interval

Rate fluctuations in offset wells create additional pressure transients in the reservoir and complicate the analysis. Always try to simplify the pressure transients in the reservoir. Do not simultaneously shut-in an offset well and the test well. The following items are key considerations in dealing with the impact of offset wells on a falloff test:

- X Shut-in all offset wells prior to the test
- X If shutting in offset wells is not feasible, maintain a constant injection rate prior to and during the test
- X Obtain accurate injection records of offset injection prior to and during the test
- X At least one of the real time points corresponding to an injection rate in an offset well should be synchronized to a specific time relating to the test well
- X Following the falloff test in the test well, send at least two pulses from the offset well to the test well by fluctuating the rate in the offset well. The pressure pulses can confirm communication between the wells and can be simulated in the analysis if observed at the test well. The pulses can also be analyzed as an interference test using an Ei type curve.

X If time permits, conduct an interference test to allow evaluation of the reservoir without the wellbore effects observed during a falloff test.

Falloff Test Analysis

In performing a falloff test analysis, a series of plots and calculations should be prepared to QA/QC the test, identify flow regimes, and determine well completion and reservoir parameters. Individual plots, flow regime signatures, and calculations are discussed in the following sections.

Cartesian Plot

- X The pressure data prior to shut-in of the well should be reviewed on a Cartesian plot to confirm pressure stabilization prior to the test. A well that has reached radial flow during the injectivity portion of the test should have a consistent injection pressure.
- X A Cartesian plot of the pressure and temperature versus real time or elapsed time should be the first plot made from the falloff test data. Late time pressure data should be expanded to determine the pressure drop occurring during this portion of the test. The pressure changes should be compared to the pressure gauges used to confirm adequate gauge resolution existed throughout the test. If the gauge resolution limit was reached, this timeframe should be identified to determine if radial flow was reached prior to reaching the resolution of the pressure gauge. Pressure data obtained after reaching the resolution of the gauge should be treated as suspect and may need to be discounted in the analysis.
- X Falloff tests conducted in highly transmissive reservoirs may be more sensitive to the temperature compensation mechanism of the gauge because the pressure buildup response evaluated is smaller. Region 6 has observed cases in which large temperature anomalies were not properly compensated for by the pressure gauge, resulting in erroneous pressure data and an incorrect analysis. For this reason, the Cartesian plot of the temperature data should be reviewed. Any temperature anomalies should be noted to determine if they correspond to pressure anomalies.
- X Include the injection rate(s) of the test well 48 hours prior to shut-in on the Cartesian plot to illustrate the consistency of the injection rate prior to shut-in and to determine the appropriate time function to use on the log-log and semilog plots. (See Appendix, page A10 for time function selection)

Log-log Diagnostic Plot



Identification of Test Flow Regimes

- X Flow regimes are mathematical relationships between pressure, rate, and time. Flow regimes provide a visualization of what goes on in the reservoir. Individual flow regimes have characteristic slopes and a sequencing order on the log-log plot.
- X Various flow regimes will be present during the falloff test, however, not all flow regimes are observed on every falloff test. The late time responses correlate to distances further from the test well. **The critical flow regime is radial flow from which all analysis calculations are performed.** During radial flow, the pressure responses recorded are representative of the reservoir, not the wellbore.
- X The derivative function amplifies reservoir signatures by calculating a running slope of a designated plot. The derivative plot allows a more accurate determination of the radial flow portion of the test, in comparison with the old method of simply proceeding 1½ log cycles from the end of the unit slope line of the pressure curve.
- X The derivative is usually based on the semilog plot, but it can also be calculated based on other plots such as a Cartesian plot, a square root of time plot, a quarter root of time plot, and the 1/square root of time plot. Each of these plots are used to identify specific flow regimes. If the flow regime characterized by a specialized plot is present then when the derivative calculated from that plot is displayed on the log-log plot, it will appear as a

Page 17 of 27

"flat spot" during the portion of the falloff corresponding to the flow regime.

X **Typical flow regimes observed on the log-log plot** and their semilog derivative patterns are listed below:

Flow Regime	Semilog Derivative Pattern
Wellbore Storage	Unit slope
Radial Flow	Flat plateau
Linear Flow	Half slope
Bilinear Flow	Quarter slope
Partial Penetration	Negative half slope
Layering	Derivative trough
Dual Porosity	Derivative trough
Boundaries	Upswing followed by plateau
Constant Pressure	Sharp derivative plunge

Characteristics of Individual Test Flow Regimes

X Wellbore Storage:

- 1. Occurs during the early portion of the test and is caused by the well being shut-in at the surface instead of the sandface
- 2. Measured pressure responses are governed by well conditions and are not representative of reservoir behavior and are characterized by both the pressure and semilog derivative curves overlying a unit slope on the log-log plot
- 3. Wellbore skin or a low permeability reservoir results in a slower transfer of fluid from the well to the formation, extending the duration of the wellbore storage period
- 4. A wellbore storage dominated test is unanalyzable

X Radial Flow:

- 1. The pressure responses are from the reservoir, not the wellbore
- 2. The critical flow regime from which key reservoir parameters and completion conditions calculations are performed
- 3. Characterized by a flattening of the semilog plot derivative curve on the log-log plot and a straight line on the semilog plot

X Spherical Flow:

- 1. Identifies partial penetration of the injection interval at the wellbore
- 2. Characterized by the semilog derivative trending along a negative half slope on the log-log plot and a straight line on the 1/square root of time plot
- 3. The log-log plot derivative of the pressure vs 1/square root of time plot is flat

X Linear Flow:

- 1. May result from flow in a channel, parallel faults, or a highly conductive fracture
- 2. Characterized by a half slope on both the log-log plot pressure and semilog derivative curves with the derivative curve approximately 1/3 of a log cycle lower than the pressure curve and a straight line on the square root of time plot. 3. The log-log plot derivative of the pressure vs square root of time plot is

The log-log plot derivative of the pressure vs square root of time plot is flat

X Hydraulically Fractured Well:

- 1. Multiple flow regimes present including wellbore storage, fracture linear flow, bilinear flow, pseudo-linear flow, formation linear flow, and pseudo-radial flow
- 2. Fracture linear flow is usually hidden by wellbore storage
- 3. Bilinear flow results from simultaneous linear flows in the fracture and from the formation into the fracture, occurs in low conductivity fractures, and is characterized by a quarter slope on both the pressure and semilog derivative curves on the log-log plot and by a straight line on a pressure versus quarter root of time plot
- 4. Formation linear flow is identified by a half slope on both the pressure and semilog derivative curves on the log-log plot and by a straight line on a pressure versus square root of time plot
- 5. Psuedo-radial flow is analogous to radial flow in an unfractured well and is characterized by flattening of semilog derivative curve on the log-log plot and a straight line on a semilog pressure plot

X Naturally Fractured Rock:

- 1. The fracture system will be observed first on the falloff test followed by the total system consisting of the fractures and matrix.
- 2. The falloff analysis is complex. The characteristics of the semilog derivative trough on the log-log plot indicate the level of communication between the fractures and the matrix rock.

X Layered Reservoir:

- 1. Analysis of a layered system is complex because of the different flow regimes, skin factors or boundaries that may be present in each layer.
- 2. The falloff test objective is to get a total tranmissibility from the **whole reservoir system**.
- 3. Typically described as commingled (2 intervals with vertical separation) or crossflow (2 intervals with hydraulic vertical communication)

Semilog Plot

X The semilog plot is a plot of the pressure versus the log of time. There are typically four different semilog plots used in pressure transient and falloff testing analysis. After plotting the appropriate semilog plot, a straight line should be drawn through the points located within the equivalent radial flow portion of the plot identified from the log-log

Page 19 of 27

plot.

- X Each plot uses a different time function depending on the length and variation of the injection rate preceding the falloff. These plots can give different results for the same test, so it is important that the appropriate plot with the correct time function is used for the analysis. Determination of the appropriate time function is discussed below.
- $\begin{array}{ll} X & \quad \mbox{The slope of the semilog straight line is then used to calculate the reservoir} \\ & \quad \mbox{transmissibility } kh/\mu, \mbox{the completion condition of the well via the skin factor s, and} \\ & \quad \mbox{also the radius of investigation } r_i \mbox{ of the test.} \end{array}$

Determination of the Appropriate Time Function for the Semilog Plot

The following four different semilog plots are used in pressure transient analysis:

- 1. Miller Dyes Hutchinson (MDH) Plot
- 2. Horner Plot
- 3. Agarwal Equivalent Time Plot
- 4. Superposition Time Plot

These plots can give different results for the same test. Use of the appropriate plot with the correct time function is critical for the analysis.

- X The <u>MDH plot</u> is a semilog plot of pressure versus Δt , where Δt is the elapsed shut-in time of the falloff.
 - 1. The MDH plot only applies to wells that reach psuedo-steady state during injection. Psuedo-steady state means the pressure response from the well has encountered all the boundaries around the well.
 - 2. The MDH plot is only applicable to injection wells with a *very* long injection period at a constant rate. This plot is not recommended for use by EPA Region 6.
- X The <u>Horner plot</u> is a semilog plot of pressure versus $(t_p+\Delta t)/\Delta t$. The Horner plot is only used for a falloff preceded by a single constant rate injection period.
 - 1. The injection time, $t_p = V_p/q$ in hours, where V_p =injection volume since the last pressure equalization and q is the injection rate prior to shut-in for the falloff test. The injection volume is often taken as the cumulative injection since completion.
 - 2. The Horner plot can result in significant analysis error if the injection rate varies prior to the falloff.
- X The <u>Agarwal equivalent time plot</u> is a semilog plot of the pressure versus Agarwal equivalent time, Δt_e .
 - 1. The Agarwal equivalent time function is similar to the Horner plot, but scales the falloff to make it look like an injectivity test.
 - 2. It is used when the injection period is a short, constant rate compared to the length of the falloff period.
 - 3. The Agarwal equivalent time is defined as: $\Delta t_e = \log(t_p \Delta t)/(t_p + \Delta t)$, where t_p is calculated the same as with the Horner plot.

X The **superposition time function** accounts for variable rate conditions preceding the falloff.

- 1. It is the most rigorous of all the time functions and is usually calculated using welltest software.
- 2. The use of the superposition time function requires the operator to accurately track the rate history. As a rule of thumb, at a minimum, the rate history for twice the length of the falloff test should be included in the analysis.

The determination of which time function is appropriate for the plotting the welltest on semilog and log-log plots depends on available rate information, injection period length, and software:

- 1. If there is not a rate history other than a single rate and cumulative injection, use a Horner time function
- 2. If the injection period is shorter than the falloff test and only a single rate is available, use the Agarwal equivalent time function
- 3. If you have a variable rate history use superposition when possible. As an alternative to superposition, use Agarwal equivalent time on the log-log plot to identify radial flow. The semilog plot can be plotted in either Horner or Agarwal time if radial flow is observed on the log-log plot.

Parameter Calculations and Considerations

X Transmissibility - The slope of the semilog straight line, m, is used to determine the transmissibility (kh/μ) parameter group from the following equation:

$$\frac{k \cdot h}{\mu} = \frac{162.6 \cdot q \cdot \mathbf{B}}{m}$$

where, q = injection rate, bpd (negative for injection)

B = formation volume factor, rvb/stb (Assumed to be 1 for formation fluid)

m= slope of the semilog straight line through the radial flow portion of the plot in psi/log cycle

k = permeability, md

h = thickness, ft (See Appendix, page A-15)

- μ = viscosity, cp
- X The viscosity, μ , is usually that of the formation fluid. However, if the waste plume size is massive, the radial flow portion of the test may remain within the waste plume. (See Appendix, page A-14)
 - 1. The waste and formation fluid viscosity values usually are similar, however, if the wastestream has a significant viscosity difference, the size of the waste plume and distance to the radial flow period should be calculated.
 - 2. The mobility, k/μ , differences between the fluids may be observed on the derivative curve.

X The permeability, k, can be obtained from the calculated transmissibility (kh/μ) by substituting the appropriate thickness, h, and viscosity, μ , values.

Skin Factor

- X In theory, wellbore skin is treated as an infinitesimally thin sheath surrounding the wellbore, through which a pressure drop occurs due to either damage or stimulation. Industrial injection wells deal with a variety of waste streams that alter the near wellbore environment due to precipitation, fines migration, ion exchange, bacteriological processes, and other mechanisms. It is reasonable to expect that this alteration often exists as a zone surrounding the wellbore and not a skin. Therefore, at least in the case of industrial injection wells, the assumption that skin exists as a thin sheath is not always valid. This does not pose a serious problem to the correct interpretation of falloff testing except in the case of a large zone of alteration, or in the calculation of the flowing bottomhole pressure. Region 6 has seen instances in which large zones of alteration were suspected of being present.
- X The skin factor is the measurement of the completion condition of the well. The skin factor is quantified by a positive value indicating a damaged completion and a negative value indicating a stimulated completion.
 - 1. The magnitude of the positive value indicating a damaged completion is dictated by the transmissibility of the formation.
 - 2. A negative value of -4 to -6 generally indicates a hydraulically fractured completion, whereas a negative value of -1 to -3 is typical of an acid stimulation in a sandstone reservoir.
 - 3. The skin factor can be used to calculate the effective wellbore radius, r_{wa} also referred to the apparent wellbore radius. (See Appendix, page A-13)
 - 4. The skin factor can also be used to correct the injection pressure for the effects of wellbore damage to get the actual reservoir pressure from the measured pressure.
- X The skin factor is calculated from the following equation:

$$s = 1.1513 \left[\frac{P_{1hr} - P_{wf}}{m} - \log \left(\frac{k \cdot t_p}{\left(t_p + 1 \right) \cdot \boldsymbol{\phi} \cdot \boldsymbol{\mu} \cdot c_t \cdot r_w^2} \right) + 3.23 \right]$$

where, s = skin factor, dimensionless

 P_{1hr} = pressure intercept along the semilog straight line at a shut-in time of 1 hour, psi

 $P_{\rm wf}$ = measured injection pressure prior to shut-in, psi

 μ = appropriate viscosity at reservoir conditions, cp (See Appendix, page A-14)

m = slope of the semilog straight line, psi/cycle

k = permeability, md

 φ = porosity, fraction

 $c_t = total compressibility, psi^{-1}$

 r_w = wellbore radius, feet

 $t_p = injection time, hours$

Note that the term $t_p/(t_p + \Delta t)$, where $\Delta t=1$ hr, appears in the log term. This term is usually assumed to result in a negligible contribution and typically is taken as 1 for large t. However, for relatively short injection periods, as in the case of a drill stem test (DST), this term can be significant.

Radius of Investigation

- X The radius of investigation, r_i, is the distance the pressure transient has moved into a formation following a rate change in a well.
- X There are several equations that exist to calculate the radius of investigation. All the equations are square root equations based on cylindrical geometry, but each has its own coefficient that results in slightly different results, (See Oil and Gas Journal, Van Poollen, 1964).
- X Use of the appropriate time is necessary to obtain a useful value of r_i . For a falloff time shorter than the injection period, use Agarwal equivalent time function, Δt_e , at the end of the falloff as the length of the injection period preceding the shut-in to calculate r_i .
 - X The following two equivalent equations for calculating r_i were taken from SPE Monograph 1, (Equation 11.2) and Well Testing by Lee (Equation 1.47), respectively:

$$r_{i} = \sqrt{0.00105 \frac{k \cdot t}{\phi \cdot \mu \cdot c_{t}}} \equiv \sqrt{\frac{k \cdot t}{948 \cdot \phi \cdot \mu \cdot c_{t}}}$$

Effective Wellbore Radius

- X The effective wellbore radius relates the wellbore radius and skin factor to show the effects of skin on wellbore size and consequently, injectivity.
 - X The effective wellbore radius is calculated from the following:

$$r_{wa} = r_w e^{-s}$$

X A negative skin will result in a larger effective wellbore radius and therefore a lower injection pressure.

US EPA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

Reservoir Injection Pressure Corrected for Skin Effects

X The pressure correction for wellbore skin effects, ΔP_{skin} , is calculated by the following:

$$\Delta P_{skin} = 0.868 \cdot m \cdot s$$

- where, m = slope of the semilog straight line, psi/cycle s = wellbore skin, dimensionless
- X The adjusted injection pressure, P_{wfa} is calculated by subtracting the ΔP_{skin} from the measured injection pressure prior to shut-in, P_{wf} . This adjusted pressure is the calculated reservoir pressure prior to shutting in the well, $\Delta t=0$, and is determined by the following:

$$P_{wfa} = P_{wf} - \Delta P_{skin}$$

X From the previous equations, it can be seen that the adjusted bottomhole pressure is directly dependent on a single point, the last injection pressure recorded prior to shut-in. Therefore, an accurate recording of this pressure prior to shut-in is important. Anything that impacts the pressure response, e.g., rate change, near the shut-in of the well should be avoided.

Determination of the Appropriate Fluid Viscosity

- X If the wastestream and formation fluid have similar viscosities, this process is not necessary.
- X This is only needed in cases where the mobility ratios are extreme between the wastestream, $(k/\mu)_w$, and formation fluid, $(k/\mu)_f$. Depending on when the test reaches radial flow, these cases with extreme mobility differences could cause the derivative curve to change and level to another value. Eliminating alternative geologic causes, such as a sealing fault, multiple layers, dual porosity, etc., leads to the interpretation that this change may represent the boundary of the two fluid banks.
- X First assume that the pressure transients were propagating through the formation fluid during the radial flow portion of the test, and then verify if this assumption is correct. This is generally a good strategy except for a few facilities with exceptionally long injection histories, and consequently, large waste plumes. The time for the pressure transient to exit the waste front is calculated. This time is then identified on both the loglog and semilog plots. The radial flow period is then compared to this time.
- X The radial distance to the waste front can then be estimated volumetrically using the following equation:

$$r_{waste plume} = \sqrt{\frac{0.13368 \cdot V_{waste injected}}{\pi \cdot h \cdot \phi}}$$

where, $V_{waste injected} =$ cumulative waste injected into the completed interval, gal $r_{waste plume} =$ estimated distance to waste front, ft h = interval thickness, ft $\phi =$ porosity, fraction

X The time necessary for a pressure transient to exit the waste front can be calculated using the following equation:

$$t_{w} = \frac{126.73 \cdot \mu_{w} \cdot c_{t} \cdot V_{wasteinjected}}{\pi \cdot k \cdot h}$$

where,

 $c_t = total system compressibility, psi^{-1}$

X The **time should be plotted on both the log-log and semilog plots** to see if this time corresponds to any changes in the derivative curve or semilog pressure plot. If the time estimated to exit the waste front occurs before the start of radial flow, the assumption that the pressure transients were propagating through the reservoir fluid during the radial flow period was correct. Therefore, the viscosity of the reservoir fluid is the appropriate viscosity to use in analyzing the well test. If not, the viscosity of the historic waste plume should be used in the calculations. If the mobility ratio is extreme between the wastestream and formation fluid, adequate information should be included in the report to verify the appropriate fluid viscosity was utilized in the analysis.

Reservoir Thickness

- X The thickness used for determination of the permeability should be justified by the operator. The net thickness of the defined injection interval is not always appropriate.
- X The permeability value is necessary for plume modeling, but the transmissibility value, kh/μ , can be used to calculate the pressure buildup in the reservoir without specifying values for each parameter value of k, h, and μ .
- X Selecting an interval thickness is dependent on several factors such as whether or not the injection interval is composed of hydraulically isolated units or a single massive unit and wellbore conditions such as the depth to wellbore fill. When hydraulically isolated sands

are present, it may be helpful to define the amount of injection entering each interval by conducting a flow profile survey. Temperature logs can also be reviewed to evaluate the intervals receiving fluid. Cross-sections may provide a quick look at the continuity of the injection interval around the injection well.

X A copy of a SP/Gamma Ray well log over the injection interval, the depth to any fill, and the log and interpretation of available flow profile surveys run should be submitted with the falloff test to verify the reservoir thickness value assumed for the permeability calculation.

Use of Computer Software

- X To analyze falloff tests, operators are encouraged to use well testing software. Most software has type curve matching capabilities. This feature allows the simulation of the entire falloff test results to the acquired pressure data. This type of analysis is particularly useful in the recognition of boundaries, or unusual reservoir characteristics, such as dual porosity. It should be noted that type curve matching is not considered a substitute, but is a compliment to the analysis.
- X All data should be submitted on a CD-ROM with a label stating the name of the facility, the well number(s), and the date of the test(s). The label or READ.Me file should include the names of all the files contained on the CD, along with any necessary explanations of the information. The parameter units format (hh:mm:ss, hours, etc.) should be noted for the pressure file for synchronization to the submitted injection rate information. The file containing the gauge data analyzed in the report should be identified and consistent with the hard copy data included in the report. If the injection rate information for any well included in the analysis is greater than 10 entries, it should also be included electronically.

Common Sense Check

- X After analyzing any test, always look at the results to see if they "make sense" based on the type of formation tested, known geology, previous test results, etc. Operators are ultimately responsible for conducting an analyzable test and the data submitted to the regulatory agency.
- X If boundary conditions are observed on the test, review cross-sections or structure maps to confirm if the presence of a boundary is feasible. If so, the boundary should be considered in the AOR pressure buildup evaluation for the well.
- X Anomalous data responses may be observed on the falloff test analysis. These data anomalies should be evaluated and explained. The analyst should investigate physical causes in addition to potential reservoir responses. These may include those relating to the well equipment, such as a leaking valve, or a channel, and those relating to the data

acquisition hardware such as a faulty gauge. An anomalous response can often be traced to a brief, but significant rate change in either the test well or an offset well.

- X Anomalous data trends have also been caused by such things as ambient temperature changes in surface gauges or a faulty pressure gauge. Explanations for data trends may be facilitated through an examination of the backup pressure gauge data, or the temperature data. It is often helpful to qualitatively examine the pressure and/or temperature channels from both gauges. The pressure data should overlay during the falloff after being corrected for the difference in gauge depths. On occasion, abrupt temperature changes can be seen to correspond to trends in the pressure data. Although the source of the temperature anomaly to the pressure anomaly can be sufficient reason to question the validity of the test and eliminate it from further analysis.
- X The data that is obtained from pressure transient testing should be compared to permit parameters. Test derived transmissibilities and static pressures can confirm compliance with non-endangerment (Area Of Review) conditions.

Appendix F

Region 9 Step Rate Test Policy

UIC Permit R9UIC-CA1-FY18-2

APPENDIX F – Region 9 Step Rate Test Policy

For reference please refer to:

Society of Petroleum Engineers (SPE) Paper #16798, Systematic Design and Analysis of Step-Rate Tests to Determine Formation Parting Pressure

(This paper may be obtained from the SPE.)

Appendix G

Plugging and Abandonment Plans

UIC Permit R9UIC-CA1-FY18-2

ALON BAKERSFIELD PROPERTY, INC. CLASS I UIC PERMIT APPLICATION, R9UIC-CA1-FY18-2

EPA FORM 7520-6 (REV. 12-11) ATTACHMENT Q

PLUGGING AND ABANDONMENT PLAN

Table of Contents

Q. Pluggin	ng and Abandonment Plan	1
Q.1	Narrative Description of Cement Plug Placement	1
Q.2	Detailed Work Programs	1
Q.3	Schematic Diagrams	1
Q.4	Cementing Program	1
Q.5	EPA Forms 7520-6 and 7520-14	1

List of Exhibits

Exhibit Q-1	Detailed Work Programs
Exhibit Q-2	Schematic Diagrams

Q. Plugging and Abandonment Plan

Detailed plans for plugging and abandonment of existing "Red Ribbon" wells WD-1, WD-2, WD-3, and 7, and replacement injection wells, WD-1D, WD-2D, WD-3D, and 7D, are provided below. The costs provided in Attachment R are used to establish the financial assurance requirements for abandonment of injection wells.

Q.1 Narrative Description of Cement Plug Placement

Cement plugs will be placed across perforated intervals with open-ended tubing in the borehole set with top 100 feet above the highest perforations. Cement plugs will be installed 100 feet above and 100 feet below across the base of fresh water and 100 feet below the bottom of surface casing. All remaining casing surface annuli will be filled with cement. Casing will be cut a minimum of 5 feet below grade and a steel plate will be welded on with well API number permanently inscribed. Soil will be filled with heavy clay-based abandonment mud with a minimum weight of 9.0 lbs/gal and gel-shear strength of 26 lbs/100 sq. ft. Confirmation of location and hardness of all cement plugs are to be witnessed by a DOGGR and EPA representative. DOGGR and EPA will witness and approve the final abandonment.

Q.2 Detailed Work Programs

Detailed work programs and cost estimates for all proposed abandonments for existing wells and replacement wells, including "Red Ribbon" wells WD-1, WD-2, WD-3, 7, WD-1D, WD-2D, WD-3D, and 7D, are included in Exhibit Q-1.

Q.3 Schematic Diagrams

Detailed abandonment schematics are shown in Exhibit Q-2.

Q.4 Cementing Program

The volumes and locations of the cement plugs and abandonment mud to be placed in each well are shown in the schematic diagrams in Exhibit Q-2.

Q.5 EPA Forms 7520-6 and 7520-14

Completed EPA forms 7520-6 and 7520-14 are included with this UIC application.

Exhibit Q-1

Detailed Work Programs

PROPOSED ABANDONMENT PROGRAM ALON BAKERSFIELD PROPERTY, INC. "RED RIBBON" WD-1

Current Conditi	on:
Casing:	8-5/8" 32 lb/ft, K-55, ST&C cemented @ 5,931'.
Cement Plugs:	5,530' to 5,565'
Liner:	7", 23 lb/ft, K-55, LT&C 3,327' to 5,565'.
Perforations:	5,138'-5,156', 5,165'-5,175', 5,180'-5,190', 5,196'-5,210', 5,230 5,300', 5,456'-5,476', 5,480'-5,514'
Effective Depth	5,530'
NOTES: D	OGGR representative to witness clean-out depths, location and

NOTES: DOGGR representative to witness clean-out depths, location and hardness of all cement plugs, mudding operations, perforating, and surface plate.

> Cement plugs: Class G Neat. Abandonment Mud: Minimum weight of 9 lb/gal and minimum gel Shear strength of 26 lbs/100 sq ft.

- 1. MIRU workover rig and circulating equipment. Install and test BOPE.
- 2. Release packer. Pull packer and tubing from well.
- 3. Clean-out well to an effective depth of 5,530'.
- 4. Place cement plug from 5,530' to 2,900'.
- 5. Place abandonment mud from 2,900' to 865'.
- 6. Place cement plug from 865' to 0'.
- 7. RDMO workover rig. Remove well cellar. Excavate well 5' below grade.
- 8. Cut-off all casing strings 5' below grade. Fill all casing annulus with cement.
- 9. Weld steel plate on top of casing with "API #" inscribed on top. Survey well location.
- 10. Back-fill and grade location.

PROPOSED ABANDONMENT PROGRAM ALON BAKERSFIELD PROPERTY, INC. "RED RIBBON" WD-2

Current Con	dition:	
Casing:		8-5/8" 32 lb/ft, K-55, ST&C cemented @ 5,299'.
Liner:		5-1/2 4,500'-5,800'.
Perforations	:	5,116'-5,172', 5,178'-5,198', 5,242'-5,262', 5,340'-5,370',
		5,374'-5,406', 5,454'-5,494', 5,630'-5,670'
Effective De	pth:	5,800'.
NOTES:	DOGC hardn surfae	GR representative to witness clean-out depths, location and less of all cement plugs, mudding operations, perforating, and ce plate.

Cement plugs: Class G Neat. Abandonment Mud: Minimum weight of 9 lb/gal and minimum gel Shear strength of 26 lbs/100 sq ft.

- 1. MIRU workover rig and circulating equipment. Install and test BOPE.
- 2. Release packer. Pull packer and tubing from well.
- 3. Clean-out well to effective depth 5,800'.
- 4. Place cement plug from 5,800' to 2,900'.
- 5. Place abandonment mud from 2,900' to 853'.
- 6. Place cement plug from 853' to 0'.
- 7. RDMO workover rig. Remove well cellar. Excavate well 5' below grade.
- 8. Cut-off all casing strings 5' below grade. Fill all casing annulus with cement.
- 9. Weld steel plate on top of casing with "API #" inscribed on top. Survey location.
- 10. Back-fill and grade location.

PROPOSED ABANDONMENT PROGRAM ALON BAKERSFIELD PROPERTY, INC. "RED RIBBON" WD-3

Current Condition:	
Casing:	8-5/8" 32 lb/ft, N-80, ST&C cemented @ 5,335'.
Liner:	7", 236 lb/ft, LT&C 4,532' to 5,653'.
Perforations:	5,000' to 5,525' @ intervals.
Effective Depth:	5,623'.

NOTES: DOGGR representative to witness clean-out depths, location and hardness of all cement plugs, mudding operations, perforating, and surface plate.

Cement plugs: Class G Neat. Abandonment Mud: Minimum weight of 9 lb/gal and minimum gel Shear strength of 26 lbs/100 sq ft.

- 1. MIRU workover rig and circulating equipment. Install and test BOPE.
- 2. Release packer. Pull packer and tubing from well.
- 3. Clean-out well to effective depth 5,623'.
- 4. Place cement plug from 5,623' to 2,900'.
- 5. Place abandonment mud from 2,900' to 846'.
- 6. Place cement plug from 846' to 0'.
- 7. RDMO workover rig. Remove well cellar. Excavate well 5' below grade.
- 8. Cut-off all casing strings 5' below grade. Fill all casing annulus with cement.
- 9. Weld steel plate on top of casing with "API #" inscribed on top. Survey well location.
- 10. Back-fill and grade location.

PROPOSED ABANDONMENT PROGRAM ALON BAKERSFIELD PROPERTY, INC. "RED RIBBON" 7

Current Condition:	
Casing:	6-5/8" 24 lb/ft, J-55, cemented @ 4,366'.
Liner:	4-1/2", 10.5 lb/ft, J-55, ST&C, cemented @ 3,355'.
Cement Plugs:	3,785' to 3,897'. 4,040' to 4,087'.
Perforations:	3,409'-3,429, 3,455'-3,469', 3,478'-3,479', 3,505'-3,511', 3,515'-3,525', 3,533'-3,561', 3,573'-3,576', 3,579'-3,620', 3,747'-3,765'.
Effective Depth:	3,785'.

NOTES: DOGGR representative to witness clean-out depths, location and hardness of all cement plugs, mudding operations, perforating, and surface plate.

Cement plugs: Class G Neat. Abandonment Mud: Minimum weight of 9 lb/gal and minimum gel Shear strength of 26 lbs/100 sq ft.

- 1. MIRU workover rig and circulating equipment. Install and test BOPE.
- 2. Release packer. Pull packer and tubing from well.
- 3. Clean-out well to effective depth 3,785'.
- 4. Place cement plug from 3,785' to 2,900'.
- 5. If required after evaluation, perform remedial cementing where cement bond logs indicate poor cementing in the upper portions of the well.
- 6. Place abandonment mud from 2,900' to 664'.
- 7. Place cement plug from 664' to 0'.
- 8. RDMO workover rig. Remove well cellar. Excavate well 5' below grade.
- 9. Cut-off all casing strings 5' below grade. Fill all casing annulus with cement.
- 10. Weld steel plate on top of casing with "API #" inscribed on top. Survey well location.
- 11. Back-fill and grade location.

PROPOSED ABANDONMENT PROGRAM ALON BAKERSFIELD PROPERTY, INC. PROPOSED REPLACEMENT WELLS "RED RIBBON" WELLS WD-1D, WD-2D, WD-3D, AND 7D

Condition Prior to Abandonment:

Casing:	8-5/8", 32 lb/ft, N-80, cemented @ 5,800' (estimated).
Perforations:	5,200' to 5,455' (estimated).
Effective Depth:	5,750' (estimated).

NOTES: DOGGR representative to witness clean-out depths, location and hardness of all cement plugs, mudding operations, perforating, and surface plate.

Cement plugs: Class G Neat. Abandonment Mud: Minimum weight of 9 lb/gal and minimum gel Shear strength of 26 lbs/100 sq ft.

- 1. MIRU workover rig and circulating equipment. Install and test BOPE.
- 2. Release packer. Pull packer and tubing from well.
- 3. Clean-out well to effective depth 5,660'.
- 4. Place cement plug from 5,750 to 2,900'.
- 5. Place abandonment mud from 2,900' to 665'.
- 6. Place cement plug from 665' to 5'.
- 7. RDMO workover rig. Remove well cellar. Excavate well 5' below grade.
- 8. Cut-off all casing strings 5' below grade. Fill all casing annulus with cement.
- 9. Weld steel plate on top of casing with "API #" inscribed on top. Survey well location.
- 10. Back-fill and grade location.

Exhibit Q-2

Schematic Diagrams







Exhibit Q-2 Schematic Diagrams





								OMB No. 20	40-0042	Approval Ex	pires 12/31/20	18
or				Unit	ed State	s Environ	mental Protect	tion Agency				
	FA		PL	UGGI	IG A	ND AE	BANDON	MENT P	LAN			
Name a	and Address of	Facility					Name and A	ddress of Ow	ner/Operator		ar see is a .	
6451	Rosedale High	operty, Inc. way, Baker	sfield, CA 93	308	- 		Alon Bake 6451 Rose	ersfield Prope dale Highwa	erty, Inc. y, Bakersfie	eld, CA 933	808	
Lo Se	ocate Well and ection Plat - 640	Outline Unit Acres	on		State Califo	rnia	i a a a na amin'ny fi	County Kern	and and and	Perm N/A	it Number	
		N			Surface	Location	Description					
					1/4	of <u>nw</u> 1	1/4 of <u>.SW</u> 1/4	of <u>nw</u> 1/4 of	Section 2	7_ Townshi	p 29S Rang	e 27E
					Locate Surface Location and <u>160</u>	well in two 1716 ft. 1 1t. from	o directions fr frm (N/S) <u>N</u> (E/W) <u>W</u> Lin	om nearest li Line of quart e of quarter s	nes of quarte er section ection.	er section ar	nd drilling un	it
w			E			IYPE O	F AUTHORIZAT	ION		WELL	ACTIVITY	
					Are Ru	a Permit le	ennt			ASS I ASS II Brine Dispo	eal	
_	<u>+</u>	-┝-┽			Numbe	r of Wells	<u>1</u>			Enhanced R Hydrocarbo	ecovery n Storage	
L			i_i			8			CLA	SS III		
		5		l	.ease Na	Red	Ribbon		Well Num	ber WD-1	D	
	CA	SING AND TU	BING RECOR	D AFTER P	LUGGIN	G		METH	OD OF EMP	LACEMENT	OF CEMENT P	LUGS
SIZE	WT (LB/FT)	TO BE PUT	IN WELL (FT)	TO BE LE	EFT IN W	ELL (FT)	HOLE SIZE		o Balanco M	athod		
3-3/8	48	565		565			17-1/2		e Dump Bail	er Method		
-5/8	32	5750		5750			10-5/8	Th	The Two-Plug Method			
0	conductor			80			17-1/2	Ot	ner			
							1					
ze of H	OLE OF Pipe in w	TO PLUG AND	DABANDON DA	ATA:		PLUG #	1 PLUG #2	PLUG #3	PLUG #4	PLUG #5	PLUG #6	PLUG #
pth to	Bottom of Tubi	na or Drill Pi	ne (ft	inche		8-5/8	665	A construction of	· · · · · · · ·	·		
cks of (Cement To Be l	Jsed (each pl	ug)			1005	564	4	<u></u>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
urry Vo	lume To Be Pu	mped (cu. ft.)				1156	649			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Iculated	d Top of Plug (ft.)				2900	0	<u> </u>				
asured	Top of Plug (if	tagged ft.)				2900	0					
irry Wt.	. (Lb./Gal.)					15.8	15.8					
pe Cem	ient or Other Ma	aterial (Class	111)			G	G	-				
	LIST	ALL OPEN H	OLE AND/OR	PERFORAT	ED INTE	RVALS AN	ND INTERVALS	WHERE CAS	NG WILL BE	VARIED (if a	ny)	
erforati	ions: 5200		5455'	То				From			То	
bandor	nment Mud: 60	55'	2900'									
			2700									
					5							
Imated	Cost to Plug W	/ells							l			
mated												
74,983												
74,983					С	ertifica	tion					
l cert attac infor poss	rtify under the p chments and th rmation is true, sibility of fine a	enalty of law at, based on accurate, an nd imprison	that I have pe my inquiry of d complete. I ent. (Ref 40	rsonally extra those individual am aware	C camined viduals i that ther 2)	ertifica and am fa mmediate re are sign	Ition amiliar with the ely responsible nificant penalt	e information for obtaining ies for submit	submitted in 9 the informa 1ting false in	i this docum ition, I belie formation, Ir	ent and all ve that the including the	
l cer attac infor poss ne and 0	rtify under the p chments and th rmation is true, sibliity of fine a Official Title <i>(P</i>	enalty of law at, based on accurate, an nd imprisonn	that I have pe my inquiry of d complete. I tent. (Ref. 40	rsonally ex those indiv am aware CFR 144.3	C camined viduals i that the 2)	ertifica and am fa mmediate re are sign	amiliar with th amiliar with th ly responsible nificant penalt	e information for obtaining les for submit	submitted in 9 the informa 1tting false in	this docum tion, I belie formation, ir	ent and all ve that the acluding the	
I cert attac infor poss ne and o	rtify under the p chments and th rmation is true, sibility of fine a Official Title (F	enalty of law at, based on accurate, an nd Imprisonn Vease type or	that I have pe my inquiry of d complete. I nent. (Ref. 40 print)	rsonally ey those indiv am aware CFR 144.3	C amined viduals i that thei 2) Signa	ertifica and am fa mmediate 'e are sign ture	amiliar with the ely responsible nificant penalt	e information e for obtaining les for submit	submitted in g the informa tting false in	i this docum ition, I belie formation, Ir	ent and all ve that the iccluding the Date Signed	

Paperwork Reduction Act Notice

The public reporting and record keeping burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 4.5 hours for operators of Class I hazardous wells, 1.5 hours for operators of Class I non-hazardous wells, 3 hours for operators of Class II wells, and 1.5 hours for operators of Class III wells.

Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to the collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and, transmit or otherwise disclose the information. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations are listed in 40 CFR Part 9 and 48 CFR Chapter 15.

Please send comments on the Agency's need for this information, the accuracy of the provided burden estimates, and any suggested methods for minimizing respondent burden, including the use of automated collection techniques to Director, Office of Environmental Information, Collection Strategies Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (2822), Ariel Rios Building, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460; and to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, 725 17th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20503, Attention: Desk Officer for EPA. Please include the EPA ICR number and OMB control number in any correspondence.

EPA Form 7520-14 Reverse

		Contractor and a second		ng belonged. Kan ten patra dan beraka ana da		OMB No. 204	10-0042	Approval Exp	ires 12/31/20 ⁻	18
			United S	States Environ Washingt	mental Protecti on. DC 20460	on Agency				
SEPA		PL	UGGING	AND AE	BANDONI	MENT P	LAN			
Name and Address of	f Facility	en en el terret et le transmission de la seconda de la s	net and an end of the second products of the second second second second second second second second second se		Name and Ad	dress of Owr	ner/Operator	an it is more that over a last more than	and a start of the	
Alon Bakersfield 6451 Rosedale Hi	Property, Inc. ghway, Baker:	sfield, CA 93	308	50 17 and 1 70 17 2003 6 November 2014 and 2014 3	Alon Baker 6451 Rosec	sfield Prope lale Highwa	rty, Inc. y, Bakersfie	eld, CA 933	08	
Locate Well an	d Outline Unit		Sta	ate		County		Permi	it Number	(ner)Preedf (gelassiste neref); ann meanaiste
Section Plat - 64	0 Acres		C	alifornia		Kern	and and an area and and and an	N/A	Hand and The date of the first state of the second state of the se	
	N		Su	rface Location	Description					
				1/4 of <u>nw</u> 1	/4 of <u>SW</u> 1/4 o	f_ <u>nw</u> 1/4 of	Section 2	7 Township	29S Range	e 27E
	L +	-	Loo Sui	cate well in two rface cation ¹⁷³⁸ ft. t	o directions fro	om nearest lir	nes of quarte	er section an	d drilling uni	t
<u>├</u> ── <u>├</u> ── <u>├</u> ──	┝╼┛┠╸╺┽╺╸		and	191 ft. from	(E/W) W Line	e of quarter s	ection.			
				TYPE O	FAUTHORIZATI	ON	1	WELL	ACTIVITY	
] Individual Pe] Area Permit Rule	ermit			ASS I ASS II Brine Dispos	al	
			da sea		[land and	Enhanced Re	ecoverv	
$\vdash \dashv - \vdash \dashv$	·		N	umber of Wells	3 <u>1</u>		lane a	Hydrocarbor	Storage	
				A strate international	neers (NRP eV levels while it is fairer about y and could or to ad	an the first of the state of the	CLA	SS III	5	
	S		1.03	Red Red	Ribbon		Mall Num	WD-21) 	
C	ASING AND TU	JBING RECORI	O AFTER PLU	GING		METH		ACEMENT C	F CEMENT P	LUGS
SIZE WT (LB/FT)	TO BE PUT	IN WELL (FT)	TO BE LEFT	IN WELL (FT)	HOLE SIZE		Delense M	- 4h - d		
13-3/8 48	565		565	and an allow for the first second state and representations	17-1/2		e Balance Mo	er Mothod		
8-5/8 32	5750		5750	10-5/8			e Two-Plug M	lethod		
20 conductor	The second secon		80		17-1/2		er wo-riug n	nethou		
CEMENTIN	G TO PLUG AND	D ABANDON DA	TA:	PLUG #	1 PLUG #2	PLUG #3	PLUG #4	PLUG #5	PLUG #6	PLUG #7
ize of Hole or Pipe in	which Plug W	ill Be Placed (i	nche	8-5/8	13-3/8					
epth to Bottom of Tu	bing or Drill Pi	pe (ft		5750	665					An and the second states and the second
acks of Cement To Be	Used (each pl	ug)		1005	564					And and the second second second
alculated Ten of Blue	umped (cu. ft.)			1156	649	And Pharman (Barlin and States)				
easured Top of Plug	(IL)			2900		A service and the service of the ser	A CONTRACTOR OF CONTRACTOR		A come full reduces a protein spectra	
turne MA (1 + 10 + 11)	in tagged it.)			2900	0	L	Lever contraction of the	The defined on the James Science of Science of Science		
slurry Wt. (Lb./Gal.)				1150	158	The second second second second second	provide and the second se	Control and the second of the product of the second s	An and the second	
/pe Cement or Other	Material (Class	111)		15.8	15.8					1
pe Cement or Other	Material (Class			G	15.8 G					
ype Cement or Other	Material (Class ST ALL OPEN H	III) IOLE AND/OR F	PERFORATED	IS.8	I 15.8 G ND INTERVALS	WHERE CASI	NG WILL BE	VARIED (if a	ny)	
ype Cement or Other Ll: From Perforations: 5200'	Material (Class ST ALL OPEN H	III) IOLE AND/OR F 5455'	PERFORATED To	IS.8 G INTERVALS AN	I5.8 G ND INTERVALS	WHERE CASI From	NG WILL BE	VARIED (if a	ny) To	
vpe Cement or Other Ll From 'erforations: 5200' bandonment Mud:	Material (Class ST ALL OPEN H 665'	III) IOLE AND/OR F 5455' 2900'	PERFORATED To	I 15.8 G INTERVALS AN	I5.8 G ND INTERVALS	WHERE CASI From	NG WILL BE	VARIED (if a	ny) To	
rpe Cement or Other Ll From erforations: 5200' bandonment Mud:	Material (Class ST ALL OPEN H 665'	III) IOLE AND/OR F 5455' 2900'	PERFORATED To	IS.8 G INTERVALS AN	I5.8 G ND INTERVALS	WHERE CASI	NG WILL BE	VARIED (if a	ny) To	
rpe Cement or Other Ll From erforations: 5200' bandonment Mud:	Material (Class ST ALL OPEN H 665'	III) IOLE AND/OR F 5455' 2900'	PERFORATED To	IS.8 G INTERVALS AN	I5.8 G ND INTERVALS	WHERE CASI	NG WILL BE	VARIED (if a	пу) То	
rpe Cement or Other Ll From erforations: 5200' bandonment Mud: timated Cost to Plug 74,983	Material (Class ST ALL OPEN H 665'	III) IOLE AND/OR F 5455' 2900'	PERFORATED To	IS.8 G INTERVALS AN	I 5.8 G ND INTERVALS	WHERE CASI	NG WILL BE	VARIED (if a	пу) То	
Irry Wt. (Lb./Gal.) /pe Cement or Other Ll From erforations: 5200' bandonment Mud: timated Cost to Plug i74,983 l certify under the attachments and information is tru possibility of fice	Material (Class ST ALL OPEN F 665' Wells Penalty of law that, based on e, accurate, an	III) HOLE AND/OR I 5455' 2900' 2900' 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	PERFORATED To rsonally exan those individ am aware tha	Certifica	ation amiliar with the ely responsible nificant penalti	WHERE CASI From	Submitted in grade information of the information o	VARIED (if an	ny) To To ent and all re that the cluding the	
I certify under the attachments and information is trup possibility of fine me and Official Title	Material (Class ST ALL OPEN F 665' Wells Penalty of law that, based on e, accurate, an and imprisonn (Please type of	III) HOLE AND/OR I 5455' 2900' 2900' 1 200' 200'	PERFORATED To rsonally exan those individ am aware tha CFR 144.32)	15.8 G INTERVALS AN Certifica nined and am fr uals immediate it there are sign	Ation amiliar with the aly responsible	WHERE CASI From	Submitted ir the information	vARIED (if an variable of the second variable	ent and all re that the cluding the	
I certify under the attachments and information is true possibility of fine	Material (Class ST ALL OPEN F 665' Wells Wells Penalty of law that, based on e, accurate, an and imprisonn (Please type of	III) HOLE AND/OR I 5455' 2900' 2900' 200' 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1	PERFORATED To rsonally exan those individ am aware tha CFR 144.32)	15.8 G INTERVALS AN Certifica nined and am f uals immediate it there are sign Signature	ation amiliar with the ely responsible nificant penalti	P information for obtaining es for submit	Submitted ir the information	vARIED (if an	ent and all re that the cluding the Date Signed	
The public reporting and record keeping burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 4.5 hours for operators of Class I hazardous wells, 1.5 hours for operators of Class I non-hazardous wells, 3 hours for operators of Class II wells, and 1.5 hours for operators of Class III wells.

Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to the collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and, transmit or otherwise disclose the information. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations are listed in 40 CFR Part 9 and 48 CFR Chapter 15.

								OMB No. 204	10-0042	Approval Exp	oires 12/31/20	18	
01	DA			Uni	ted States	Environ Nashingt	mental Protecti on. DC 20460	on Agency					
			PL	UGGI	NG AI	ND AE	BANDON	MENT P	LAN				
Name a	and Address of	Facility	e e e concer e a ange		en one com	en de ander	Name and Ac	dress of Ow	ner/Operator	en e Color a accesar	and a company of the		
6451	Rosedale High	operty, Inc. way, Baker	sfield, CA 93	308	an karan mangapatan karangap		Alon Baker 6451 Rosec	rsfield Prope lale Highwa	rty, Inc. y, Bakersfie	eld, CA 933	08		
Locate Well and Outline Unit on Section Plat - 640 Acres						rnia	and an	County Kern	ne frankrige Mannach (2000) an an sjoch an	Perm N/A	it Number		
		N			Surface	Location	Description	*****					
	1 1 1		<u> </u>		1/4	of ne 1	/4 of SW 1/4 o	f <u>nw</u> 1/4 of	Section 2	7_ Townshi	p 29S Rang	e 27E	
-	┽╼┝╶┽ ┽╼┝╶┽ ╷┤ <mark>╹</mark> ┝╴┥		- 4 - 4 - 4		Locate v Surface Location and 2023	1823 1823 ft. f	o directions fro frm (N/S) N (E/W) W Line	om nearest lin Line of quarte e of quarter s	es of quarte er section ection.	er section ar	ıd drilling un	it	
w			+ + F			TYPE O	FAUTHORIZATI	ON		WELL	ACTIVITY		
L	$\downarrow _ [_ \downarrow]$					ividual Pe	ermit			ASS I			
					Are	a Permit				ASS II			
	4-1-4·				(Rul	e	perdenance start.			Brine Dispo	sal		
-	<u> </u>				Numbe	r of Wells	1		in the second	Enhanced R	ecovery		
L										nyarocarbo ee iii	storage		
		S				Red	Ribbon	an an Alexandra (an an Alexandra)		100 III	6		
					Lease Na	me		(me) the second second second second	Well Num	ber WD-3		er buller i de neder freche og fog Por	
	CA	SING AND TU	JBING RECORI	D AFTER F	PLUGGING	3		METH	OD OF EMPI	LACEMENT	OF CEMENT P	LUGS	
IZE	WT (LB/FT)	TO BE PUT	IN WELL (FT)	TO BE L	EFT IN W	ELL (FT)	HOLE SIZE	🗸 Th	e Balance Me	ethod			
5-3/8	48	565		565		an and a second second second second	17-1/2	Th	The Dump Bailer Method				
-5/8	32	5750	a baan ah ay too say dahaa ya ah	5750	An Contest Print Contest Contest	a a contra di desendo con gli canto di se a si	10-5/8	Th	Two-Plug N	lethod			
	conductor		n and a second	80	a na Artikation ana ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang an		17-1/2	Oth	ier				
and and a state of the	and the second s	the second contraction of second	and the state of t	A Second Contraction	aan ah maana ah ta'u ta'ay ay ah a	n and the second second second							
	CEMENTING	TO PLUG AN	DABANDON DA	ATA:		PLUG #	1 PLUG #2	PLUG #3	PLUG #4	PLUG #5	PLUG #6	PLUG #	
te of He	ole or Pipe in w	hich Plug W	ill Be Placed (inche		8-5/8	13-3/8		and a second s	particular a basis a basis 1 - De Sel de tarde de serve de ca	and a second second	ting and and all	
ptn to	Bottom of Tubi	ng or Drill Pi	pe (ft			5750	665	a hard and the same of First Address in the	Construction of the second	an an an ann an ann an an an an an an an		a and a sector of a sector of a sector	
CKS OT	ument to Bel	used (each pl	ug)			1005	564	and from an arr it many arranges of	e Se antes a cara caract	and the second	A A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT	Maria and a second as the	
Inty Vo	d Top of Dive	npea (cu. ft.)				1156	649	An of the product of the complete strength		and the second second second		in the second se	
acutated	u rop of Plug (i	t.)				2900	0			press we set a weater that			
asured	I D O T Plug (if	ragged ft.)				2900	U	A Long and La Long and		a de la companya de la companya de la comp	1	1.11.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1	
e Com	ent or Other	torial /Clara	111			15.8	15.8	There is a second second second			A CARL AND A CARL		
o cen	oner Ma	Class				G	G						
	LIST	ALL OPEN H	OLE AND/OR I	PERFORA	TED INTE	RVALS AN	ND INTERVALS	WHERE CASI	NG WILL BE	VARIED (if a	ny)		
rtorati	rrom	and the second state of the second	5455'	То			the Que of a second stage of the second stage of the	From To					
andor	ment Mud. 4	5'	2000	anten di nenerati attana 1. marin di Santa	and an estimation of the second	er an e see a sal di	1				and the star	n kanal na na si sa	
			2900	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					A DECISION AND A DECI				
a terreta de la composition alternet de la composition	n an		alter and a second s	and the second second second	ر میں ایک		a manana ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang an	an ann an an an ann an an an an an an an	and the second second	and the design of the second se	یه در وی این این ایک ایک و محمد ایک وی ایک ایک وی ایک وی ایک و	A second a file model of	
imated	Cost to Plug W	/ells	Sec. Carrier	<u> </u>	<u>n na si na</u>		and an array of the second			and the second of the	and the second		
4.983													
	and address to an a	 Market Research 	eran alkaya na ar ar ar ar ar		en a constante de		the first and the street of the state	anan an sint an an			Para Aran Aran	and an order of	
					С	ertifica	tion						
	11 (a 18												
l cer attac infor	tify under the p chments and th rmation is true, siblility of fine a	enalty of law at, based on accurate, an	that I have pe my inquiry of d complete. I	those indi am aware	examined ividuals in that ther	and am fa mmediate e are sigr	amiliar with the ly responsible nificant penalti	information for obtaining es for submit	submitted in the informa ting false in	this docum tion, I believ formation, Ir	ent and all ve that the cluding the		
l cer attac infor poss	tify under the p chments and th mation is true, sibliity of fine a	enalty of law at, based on accurate, an nd imprisonr	that I have pe my inquiry of d complete. I nent. (Ref. 40	those ind am aware CFR 144.	examined ividuals in that ther 32)	and am fa mmediate e are sigr	amiliar with the ly responsible nificant penalti	information for obtaining es for submit	submitted in the informa ting false in	this docum ation, I believ formation, ir	ent and all ve that the cluding the		
l cer attac infor poss	tify under the p chments and th rmation is true, sibility of fine a Official Title (<i>I</i>	eenalty of law at, based on accurate, an nd imprisonr Please type o	that I have pe my inquiry of d complete. I nent. (Ref. 40 r print)	ersonally e those ind am aware CFR 144.3	examined ividuals in that ther 32) Signa	and am fa mmediate e are sigr ture	amiliar with the ely responsible nificant penaltio	information for obtaining es for submit	submitted in the informa ting false int	this docum tion, I believ formation, Ir	ent and all ve that the icluding the Date Signed		
I cer attac infor poss 1e and <i>telel</i>	tify under the p chments and th rmation is true, sibility of fine a Official Title (<i>i</i> n Ordu	enalty of law at, based on accurate, an nd imprisonr Please type o	r that I have pe my inquiry of d complete. I nent. (Ref. 40 r print) S H Manu	ersonally e those ind am aware CFR 144.	examined ividuals in that ther 32) Signa	and am fa mmediate e are sigr ture	amiliar with the ly responsible nificant penaltic Oudeve	information for obtaining es for submit	submitted in the informa ting false in	a this docum ation, I believ formation, ir	ent and all ve that the including the Date Signed	19	

The public reporting and record keeping burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 4.5 hours for operators of Class I hazardous wells, 1.5 hours for operators of Class I non-hazardous wells, 3 hours for operators of Class II wells, and 1.5 hours for operators of Class III wells.

Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to the collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and, transmit or otherwise disclose the information. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations are listed in 40 CFR Part 9 and 48 CFR Chapter 15.

IVE PA			wasningto	n, DC 20460						
	PLU	GGING A	ND AB	ANDON	MENT P	LAN				
Name and Address of Facility	er om same er omret er afgete og	e and an		Name and Ad	ldress of Ow	ner/Operator	Na inanana ang menun	e e come and a come		
6451 Rosedale Highway Ba	kersfield CA 0330	R	-	Same						
		under inn nan anderske under Jahren ander generatieren under	mer son er er hann gener	An we are a second as the second s	an ya kanala ku tana ku	en al de la competition de la	an tana kana mangangan sa kara tang kana kana kana kana kana kana kana k	an provide a state of a second se	e alle d'a subjection a	
Locate Well and Outline U	nit on	State	Arnia		County	er fan de strand ave tier een sterne	Perm	it Number	and the second	
Section Plat - 640 Acres		Surface			Kern	المريق المراجعين (المريق المريك	N/A	a gangjar , yanginaj trajuri (19 atang mr. ¹⁹⁶ a	رو د مرد المرد من محمد الم	
N		Juliace		Jescription		10	.	19112-04-201		
		Longood 114	4 of 30 1/4	of <u>SW</u> 1/4 o	f <u>11W</u> 1/4 of	Section 2	/Townshi	p 295 Rang	je 27E	
	Locate	well in two	directions fro	m nearest li	nes of quarte	er section an	nd drilling un	nit		
	Surface	2442	1 monte and		•					
		Locatio	n <u>4442</u> ft. fr	m (N/S) N I	ine of quart	er section				
		and	TYPE OF		e of quarter s	ection.				
W	E		lividual Per	mit	ON		WELL	ACTIVITY		
┃ ┝─┽─┝─┽╼┣╸┽		Ar	ea Permit				185 11			
		Ru	le				Brine Dispo	sal		
				1			Enhanced R	ecoverv		
┨ ┝ ┥ ─┝┽ ─ ┣┽		Numbe	er of Wells	1 j			Hydrocarbo	n Storage		
			(and the second	e a construction of the second se		SS III			
S		Lease Na	Red R	ibbon		Well Num	ther 7	ant and the foreign of the second		
CASING AND	TUBING RECORD A	FTER PLUGGIN	G	her (dan berein einer) an einer verein (anter eineren (an berein)) an der	METI		ACEMENT			
SIZE WT (LB/FT) TO BE P		OBELEETIN	VELL (ET)				LACEMENT	OF CEMENT P	LUGS	
5-5/8 24		- 4366	VELL (F1)	HOLE SIZE	Th	e Balance M	ethod			
4-1/2 10.5		- 3355		6-5/8		e Dump Bail	er Method			
11-3/4 54	0.	0 - 564				e Two-Plug N	lethod			
16 Conductor	0.	- 30	and an an an order and a second state of the s	20		her				
CEMENTING TO PLUG	AND ABANDON DATA		PLUG #1	PLUG #2	PLUG #3	PLUG #4	PLUG #5	PLUG #6	BUI	
Size of Hole or Pipe in which Plug	Will Be Placed (incl	he	5 5/8	4 1/2			1.200 #0	1 200 #0	FLU	
Depth to Bottom of Tubing or Dri	l Pipe (ft		3785	664			Sandar da Santa La ela Juga de 22 1947 Millionaria (Mariana) (Mariana) 1	Affred and the factor of the second		
Sacks of Cement To Be Used (eac	ı plug)		184	54				And the based of a rate of the based	1	
Slurry Volume To Be Pumped (cu.	ft.)		212	73		and and the fact that the second			a fanda e ser	
Calculated Top of Plug (ft.)			2900	0	and the Oderse obtain	Contraction of the second seco		and the second second second	10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-1	
Measured Top of Plug (if tagged ft)		2900	0		terine and the second sec	n an	No New York (New York) (New York)	Contraction of the	
Type Cement or Other Material (Cli			15.8	15.8						
of other material (Cla			Class G	Class G	Lange and	1	4. 			
		FURATED INTE	RVALS AND	INTERVALS	WHERE CAS	NG WILL BE	VARIED (if a	ny)		
LIST ALL OPE From	N HOLE AND/OR PER	То	1		Ener	From			То	
LIST ALL OPE From Perforated: 3409'	3765'	То	1		From					
LIST ALL OPE From Perforated: 3409' 3840'	3765'	То			From		1997 - 1997 -		·····	
LIST ALL OPE From Perforated: 3409' 3840' 4062'	3765' 3955' .4160'	То	Press		From	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
LIST ALL OPE From Perforated: 3409' 3840' 4062' Abandonment Mud: 664'	3765' 3955' 4160' 2900'	То			From					

The public reporting and record keeping burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 4.5 hours for operators of Class I hazardous wells, 1.5 hours for operators of Class I non-hazardous wells, 3 hours for operators of Class II wells, and 1.5 hours for operators of Class III wells.

Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to the collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and, transmit or otherwise disclose the information. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations are listed in 40 CFR Part 9 and 48 CFR Chapter 15.

Please send comments on the Agency's need for this information, the accuracy of the provided burden estimates, and any suggested methods for minimizing respondent burden, including the use of automated collection techniques to Director, Office of Environmental Information, Collection Strategies Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (2822), Ariel Rios Building, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460; and to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, 725 17th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20503, Attention: Desk Officer for EPA. Please include the EPA ICR number and OMB control number in any correspondence.

EPA Form 7520-14 Reverse

Sector Plugential control of solution Anny and Address of Pacifity Name and Address of Pacifity Alon Bakersfield Property, Inc. Sime 6431 Rosedal Highway, Bakersfield, CA 93308 Sime Inceste Well and Outline Unit on Section Plat. 460 Access Sime Inceste Well and Outline Unit on Section Plat. 460 Access Sime Inceste Well and Outline Unit on Section Plat. 460 Access Sime Inceste Well and Outline Unit on Section Plat. 460 Access Sime Inceste Well and Outline Unit on Section Plat. 460 Access Sime Inceste Well and Outline Unit on Section Plat. 460 Access Sime Inceste Well and Outline Unit on Section Plat. 460 Access Sime Inceste Well and Outline Unit on Section Plat. 460 Access Sime Inceste Well and Well and Parmit In two directions from narrat lines of quarter section and drilling un Surfaces Sime Inceste Name Red Ribbon Well ACTIVITY Individual Parmit Inceste Name Well ACTIVITY Individual Parmit Inceste Name Inceste Name Red Ribbon Well Number (VP) In Outle Size Inceste Name Well ACTIVITY Internet Name Size of Hold on Plau Outle Number (VP) In Oble LIFT IN Well (FT) HOLE Size Inceste Accesses (Inceste Inceste			Uni	ited States	Environm	ental Protect	ion Agency			51163 12/5 1/20		
PLUGGING AND ABANDONMENT PLAN Name and Address of Facility Name and Address of Country Cou	1			w	ashingto	n, DC 20460	,,					
Name and Address of Facility Name and Address of Counst; Alon BakerShield Property, Inc. 6451 Roscdale Highway, BakerSield, CA 93308 State California Section Pist, edd Acress State N State Section Pist, edd Acress State N State Section Pist, edd Acress California N State Section Pist, edd Acress California N State N Idd of Div		PL	LUGGI	ING AN	DAB	ANDON	MENT P	LAN				
State County Permit Number: N N Surface Location Description NA W Image: Surface Location Description 144 or 13W	dress of Facility sfield Property, I lale Highway, Ba	lnc. akersfield, CA 9.	3308			Name and Ad Same	ddress of Ow	ner/Operator			• ••• •••	
N	Well and Outline U Plat - 640 Acres	Jnit on		State Californ	nia		County Permit Number Kern N/A				den en e	
Image: Section 2.2. Township 295. Ram.	N			Surface L	ocation I	Description			and a standard of the second s	angelaninen en fanne i ne fanne) mer fan den fan	effere die fangender en anne inw	
Locate well in two directions from nearest lines of quarter section and drilling us Surface Surface Locate well in two directions from nearest lines of quarter section and drilling us Surface Locate well in two directions from nearest lines of quarter section and drilling us Surface Locate well in two directions from nearest lines of quarter section and drilling us Surface Locate well in two directions from nearest lines of quarter section and 331 ft. from (EM) W_Line of quarter section. TYPE OF AUTHORIZATION Well ACTIVITY Individual Permit Surface Surface Red Ribbon Well ACTIVITY Lase Name Red Ribbon Well Number of Wells 1 Number of Wells 2 Number of Wells 1 Number of Wells 1 Number of Wells 1 Number of Wells 2 Number of Wells 2 Number of Wells 2 Number of Wells 1 Number of Wells 1 Number of Wells 1 Number of Wells 2 Number of Wells 2 Number of Wells 2 Number of Wells 2 Number of Wells 1 Number of Wells 2 Number of Wells 3 Number of Wells 3 Number of Wells 2 Number of Wells 2 Number of Wells 2 Number of Wells 3 Number of Wells 3 Number of Wells 4 Number of Wells 4 Number of Wells 4 Number of Wells 5 Number of Wells 5 Number of Wells 5 Number of Wells 4 Number of Wells 4 Number of Wells 4 Number of Wells 5 Number of Wells 4 Number of Wells 4 Number of Wells 4 Number of Pulls 4 <				1/4 c	of <u>nw</u> 1/4	of <u>SW</u> 1/4 c	of <u>nw</u> 1/4 of	Section 2	7 Townshi	p 295 Rang	ge 27E	
Surface Loce if (US) Line of quarter section w Image: Construction of the section of the se	╺┝╸┽╼┠╴┥	$\frac{1}{2}$		Locate we	ell in two	directions fro	om nearest li	nes of quart	er section ar	nd drilling un	nit	
Location ⊥/ DPL fm (MS), Line of quarter section. W Location ⊥/ DPL fm (MS), Line of quarter section. M Location ⊥/ DPL fm (MS), Line of quarter section. M Location ⊥/ DPL fm (MS), Line of quarter section. M Location ⊥/ DPL fm (MS), Line of quarter section. M Location ⊥/ DPL fm (MS), Line of quarter section. M Location ⊥/ DPL fm (MS), Line of quarter section. M Location ⊥/ DPL fm (MS), Line of quarter section. M Hold Mathematical Permit Location ⊥/ DPL fm (MS), Line of quarter section. M Hold Mathematical Permit Location ⊥/ DPL fm (MS), Line of quarter section. M Hold Mathematical Permit Rule Photon Disposal Station ⊥/ DPL fm (MS), Line of quarter section. Photon Storage CLASS II Number of Wells ⊥ Number of Wells ⊥ Photon Storage CLASS II Station 1/ DPL fm (MS), NM (Decomposition Permit) Location ⊥/ DPL Permit) The Balance Method 13:3/8 Station 1/ DPL Mathematical Permit The Damp Balier Method The Dump Balier Method 13:3/8 Station 1/ DPL PER ft Station 1/ DPL PER ft Station 1/ DPL Ft The Dump Balier Method 13:3/8 Statio		i-i-i-l	1	Surface	740	5						
W Image: Control (Control (Contro) (Control (Co	-└──┤── │ ──┤			Location	/ 10ft. fr	m (N/S) <u>N</u>	Line of quart	er section				
W Individual Permit CLASS I CLASS I CLASS I B Individual Permit CLASS I CLASS I CLASS I CLASS I B Rea Permit Rule Number of Wells I Number of Wells I Hydrocarbon Storage CLASS II CLASS II S CLASS II S CLASS II Comparison Storage CLASS II S D - 5931 S D - 5931 S D - 765 The Dump Baller Method To B Lept IN WELL (FT) To B ELEPT IN WELL (FT) To B Lept IN WORD D - 5931 12-1/4 The Dump Baller Method The Dump Baller Method To To Pipe In which Plug Will Be Placed (Inche To 13-3/8 Stee of Commot To Be Used (each plug) 611 Ster of The D Be Used each plug 611 Stee of Commot To Be Used (each plug) 611 Stee of Commot To Be Used (each plug) 611 Stee of Commot To Be Used (each plug) 15.8 Stee of Plug (It tagged ft.) 2900 Stee of Plug (It tagged f					TYPE OF		e of quarter s	ection.	WELL	ACTIVITY		
Area Permit CLASS II Brine Disposal Brine Disposal S Brine Disposal CASING AND TUBING RECORD AFTER PLUGGING METHOD OF EMPLACEMENT OF CEMENT I S CASING AND TUBING RECORD AFTER PLUGGING METHOD OF EMPLACEMENT OF CEMENT I S12E WT (LB/FT) TO BE PUT IN WELL (FT) TO BE LUT IN WELL (FT) TO BE LUT IN WELL (FT) 7 23 3327 8-1/2 Image: Comp Baller Method The Dump Baller Method 3-5/8 32 0 - 5931 12-1/4 Image: Comp Baller Method Image: Comp Baller Method 7 23 3327 8-1/2 Image: Comp Baller Method Image: Comp Baller Method 20 Conductor 0 - 40 26 Other Image: Comp Baller Method Image: Comp Baller Method 20 Depth to Bottom of Tubing or Drill Pipe (tt 5530 865 Image: Comp Baller Method Image: Comp Baller Method 20 Conductor 0 - 40 26 Image: Comp Comp Baller Method Image: Comp Comp Comp Baller Method Image: Comp Comp Comp Comp Comp Comp Comp Comp			E	🔽 Indiv	idual Per	mit			ASSI			
Image: state of the state				Area	Permit				ASS II			
Number of Wells 1 Image: Construction of Construction Storage S Image: Construction Storage S Image: Construction Storage Stress CASING AND TUBING RECORD AFTER PLUGGING Mumber of Wells 1 Image: Construction Storage Stress Stress Stress Stress Stress Stress Stress Stress Stress Stress Stress Stress Conductor O - 40 Stress Stress Conductor O - 40 State of Hole or Pipe In which Plug Will Be Placed (Inche T T 13-3/8 Socks of Cement To Be Used (each plug) G11 States of Hole or Pipe In which Plug Will Be Placed (Inche T T States of Hole or Pipe (ft) States of Hole or Pipe (ft) States of Cement or Other Material (Class III) Class G Class G Starry VL LOFCGal. To From To	┝╺┽╼┠╸┽			Rule					Brine Dispo	sal		
S Lase Name Red Ribbon CLASS III SIZE WT (LB/FT) TO BE PUT IN WELL (FT) TO BE PUT IN WELL (FT) HOLE SIZE 3-5/8 32 0 - 5931 12-1/4 The Balance Method 3-3/8 54.5 0 - 5931 12-1/4 The Dump Bailer Method 3-3/8 54.5 0 - 60 26 The Dump Bailer Method 20 Conductor 0 - 40 26 Other 20 Conductor 7 13-3/8 Other Other 20 Conductor 7 13-3/8 Other Other 20 Conductor 7 02 844 Other Other 20 Conductor 2900 O Other Other 20 Starker of Plug (If t	·┝─┽─┠╴┽			Number	of Wells	1		lasal.	Enhanced R	ecovery		
S Red Ribbon Weil Number WD-1 CASING AND TUBING RECORD AFTER PLUGGING METHOD OF EMPLACEMENT OF CEMENT I Size WT (LB/FT) TO BE PUT IN WELL (FT) TO BE LEFT IN WELL (FT) HOLE SIZE 2-5/8 32 0 - 5931 12-1/4 Image: Colspan="2">Image: Colspan="2">METHOD OF EMPLACEMENT OF CEMENT I 3-3/8 34.5 0 - 765 17-1/2 Image: Colspan="2">Image: Colspan="2">METHOD OF EMPLACEMENT OF CEMENT I 20 Conductor 0 - 40 26 Image: Colspan="2">Image: Colspan="2">METHOD OF EMPLACEMENT OF CEMENT I 20 Conductor 0 - 40 26 Image: Colspan="2">Image: Colspan="2" CEMENTING TO PLUG AND ABANDON DATA: PLUG #1 PLUG #3 PLUG #4 PLUG #5 PLUG #5 Depth to Bottom of Tubling on DIII Pleg (tt) 5530 865 Image: Colspan="2">Image: Colspan="2">State of Plug Image: Colspan="2">CEMENTING TO PLUG AND ABANDON DATA: PLUG #1 PLUG #3 PLUG #3 PLUG #4 PLUG #5 PLUG #4 Image: Colspan="2">Colspan="2">Cementing Colspan="2" CEMENTING TO PLUG AND ABANDON DATA: PLUG #1 PLUG #3 PLUG #3 PLUG #4 Image: Colspan="2">Colspan="2" Colspan="2" Colspan="2" Colspan="2"					Provident Andrews	والمروضين بتوجر الوراج والمحمد محرر كالم	CLASS III					
CASING AND TUBING RECORD AFER PLUGGING METHOLO OF EMPLACEMENT OF CEMENT I SIZE WT (LB/FT) TO BE LEFT IN WELL (FT) TO BE LEFT IN WELL (FT) HOLE SIZE 3:578 32 0.5931 12-1/4 The Balance Method 7 2.3 3327 8-1/2 The Balance Method 13:3/8 0505 17-1/2 The Two-Plug Method The Two-Plug Method 20 Conductor 040 26 The Two-Plug Method CEMENTING TO PLUG AND ABANDON DATA: PLUG #1 PLUG #2 PLUG #3 PLUG #4	S		n. De Ro	Lease Nam	Red R	ibbon		Well No.	wD-1	e Marina da Torgana da Arazo (
SIZE WT (LB/FT) TO BE PUT IN WELL (FT) TO BE LEFT IN WELL (FT) HOLE SIZE 3:5/8 32 0.5931 12.1/4 7 23 3327 8-1/2 13:3/8 54.5 0.765 17.1/2 20 Conductor 0.40 26 CEMENTINE TO PLUG AND ABANDON DATA: PLUG #1 PLUG #2 PLUG #3 PLUG #4 PLUG #4 Size of Hole or Pipe in which Plug Will Be Placed (inche 7 13-3/8 0 - Sacks of Cement To Be Used (each plug) 611 733 - - - Silary Vi (Lb/Gal.) 15.8 15.8 - - - - Silary Vi (Lb/Gal.) 15.8 15.8 - - - - Silary Wi (Lb/Gal.) 15.8 15.8 -	CASING AND	D TUBING RECOR	RD AFTER I	PLUGGING	Bertian to set of motor	and a stand and a stand of the stand of the standard stands of the standard standard standard standard standard	MET			ECEMENT		
3-5/8 32 05931 12.1/4 17 10 17 10 <td>(LB/FT) TO BE P</td> <td>UT IN WELL (FT)</td> <td>TO BE L</td> <td>LEFT IN WE</td> <td>LL (FT)</td> <td>HOLE SIZE</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>J. CEWENT F</td> <td>1062</td>	(LB/FT) TO BE P	UT IN WELL (FT)	TO BE L	LEFT IN WE	LL (FT)	HOLE SIZE				J. CEWENT F	1062	
7 23 3327 8-1/2 The Two-Plug Method 13-3/8 54.5 0 - 765 17-1/2 Other 20 Conductor 0 - 40 26 Other Size of Hole or Pipe In which Pug Will Be Placed (Inche 7 13-3/8 PLUG #2 PLUG #3 PLUG #4		an a	0 - 593	1	1969 No. 1 (2009) (2019 Kar 1	12-1/4		e Balance M	ethod or Mothod			
13-3/8 54.5 0 - 765 17-1/2 0 other 20 Conductor 0 - 40 26 0 other CEMENTING TO PLUG AND ABANDON DATA: PLUG #1 PLUG #2 PLUG #3 PLUG #4 PLUG #5 PLUG #4 PLUG #5 PLUG #4 PLUG #5 PLUG #4 PLUG #5 PLUG #4 PLUG #4 PLUG #5 PLUG #4 PLUG #4 PLUG #4 PLUG #5		and a second and a second s	3327			8-1/2	The Two-Plug M		Method	ethod		
20 [0-40 26 CEMENTING TO PLUG AND ABANDON DATA: PLUG #1 PLUG #2 PLUG #3 PLUG #4 PLUG #5 PLUG #4 Size of Hole or Pipe in which Plug Will Be Placed (inche 7 13-3/8 1 1 Depth to Bottom of Tubing or Drill Pipe (ft 5530 865 1 1 Sacks of Cement To Be Used (each plug) 611 733 1 1 Sturry Volume To Be Pumped (cu. ft.) 702 844 1 1 Calculated Top of Plug (ft.) 2900 0 1 1 Measured Top of Plug (ft agged ft.) 2900 0 1 1 Silurry Wt. (Lb./Gal.) 15.8 15.8 1 1 Type Cement or Other Material (Class III) Class G Class G 1 1 LIST ALL OPEN HOLE AND/OR PERFORATED INTERVALS AND INTERVALS WHERE CASING WILL BE VARIED (if any) To From To From To Periorated: 5138' 5514' 1 1 Abandonment Mud: 865' 2900' 1 1 stimated Cost to Plug Wells \$66,116 566,116 I certify under the penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted in this document and all attachments and that, based on my inquiry o			0 - 765	diante de la constante de constant Internet de la constante de la constante Internet de la constante de la constante de la constante	aternational and a second second	17-1/2	Ot	her				
Size of Hole or Pipe In which Plug Will Be Placed (inche PLUG #7 PLUG #3 PLUG #4 PLUG #4 <td></td> <td></td> <td>10-40</td> <td>and an an an and the second</td> <td></td> <td>26</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td>			10-40	and an an an and the second		26					-	
Depth to Bottom of Tubing or Drill Pipe (ft 5530 865	Pipe in which Plug	g Will Be Placed ((inche		PLUG #1	PLUG #2	PLUG #3	PLUG #4	PLUG #5	PLUG #6	PLUG	
Sacks of Cement To Be Used (each plug) 511 733	n of Tubing or Dril	ll Pipe (ft		5	530	865		in the second state of the	A set of the first the transfer of the set o	A A A AND COMPANY AND		
Slurry Volume To Be Pumped (cu, ft.) 702 844	t To Be Used (eacl	h plug)		ij	11	733	Count of the provide the test of the second			 The extreme of a sub-transmission of a sub- transmission management of a sub-transmission of the sub-transmission of a sub-transmission of a sub-transmission of a sub-transmission of a sub-transmission of a sub-transmission of a sub-transmission of a sub-transmission of a sub-transmission of a sub-transmission of a sub-transmission of a sub-transmission of a sub-transmission of a sub-transmission of a sub-transmission of a	1 10.00 00 00 00 00 00 10.00 00:00:00:00 1	
Calculated Top of Plug (if: agged ft.) 2900 0 Measured Top of Plug (if agged ft.) 2900 0 Slurry Wt. (Lb./Gal.) 15.8 15.8 15.8 Type Cement or Other Material (Class III) Class G Class G 1 LIST ALL OPEN HOLE AND/OR PERFORATED INTERVALS AND INTERVALS WHERE CASING WILL BE VARIED (if any) From To Pertorated: 5138' 5514'	o Be Pumped (cu.	. ft.)		7	02	844		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		and a second second second	1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.	
Slurry Wt. (Lb./Gal.) 15.8 15.8 15.8 Type Cement or Other Material (Class III) Class G Class G Class G LIST ALL OPEN HOLE AND/OR PERFORATED INTERVALS AND INTERVALS WHERE CASING WILL BE VARIED (if any) From To Perforated: 5138' 5514' Abandonment Mud: 865' 2900' Image: Stimated Cost to Plug Wells stimated Cost to Plug Wells \$66,116 S66,116 Certification I certify under the penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted in this document and all attachments and that, based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the information is true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment. (Ref. 40 CFR 144.32) ame and Official Title (Please type or print) Signature Date Signed	f Plug (if tagged ft	L)		2	900		Annual Concession in an Internal Process	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	A sufficient state of a state of		Contraction of a state	
Type Cement or Other Material (Class III) Class G Class G Class G LIST ALL OPEN HOLE AND/OR PERFORATED INTERVALS AND INTERVALS WHERE CASING WILL BE VARIED (if any) To From To From To Perforated: 5138' 5514' Abandonment Mud: 865' 2900' Image: Second S	Gal.)	•		1	5.8	15.8	An an an an Anna an Anna an Anna an Anna Anna Anna Anna				14.16	
LIST ALL OPEN HOLE AND/OR PERFORATED INTERVALS AND INTERVALS WHERE CASING WILL BE VARIED (if any) From To Perforated: 5138' 5514' Abandonment Mud: 865' 2900' istimated Cost to Plug Wells istimated Cost to Plug Wells \$66,116 Certification I certify under the penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted in this document and all attachments and that, based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the information is true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment. (Ref. 40 CFR 144.32) ame and Official Title (Please type or print) Signature Date Signed	Other Material (Cla	ass III)		C	lass G	Class G	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	territa ata anal		3	alan a sa sa sa	
From To From To Perforated: 5138' 5514' Image: State of the	LIST ALL OPE	IN HOLE AND/OR	PERFORA	TED INTER	ALS AND	INTERVALS	WHERE CAS	ING WILL BE	VARIED (if a	ny)		
Abandonment Mud: 865' 2900' Stimated Cost to Plug Wells Stimated Cost to Plug Wells \$66,116 Certification I certify under the penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted in this document and all attachments and that, based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the information is true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment. (Ref. 40 CFR 144.32) ame and Official Title (Please type or print)	From	5514	То				From			То		
istimated Cost to Plug Wells \$66,116 Certification I certify under the penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted in this document and all attachments and that, based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the information is true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possiblility of fine and imprisonment. (Ref. 40 CFR 144.32) ame and Official Title (<i>Please type or print</i>) Signature Date Signed	Mud: 865'	2900	dentrations to an observation. And the second second second		an anna , anada li an an an	and an a grant and a start			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	dan a daana daalahaa aada	terre ter	
Stimated Cost to Plug Wells \$66,116 Certification I certify under the penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted in this document and all attachments and that, based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the information is true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment. (Ref. 40 CFR 144.32) ame and Official Title (Please type or print) Signature					1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	an the state of th	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Stimated Cost to Plug Wells \$66,116 Certification I certify under the penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted in this document and all attachments and that, based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the information is true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment. (Ref. 40 CFR 144.32) ame and Official Title (<i>Please type or print</i>) Signature Date Signed	and a second	an and a second se	enter de la constant			an a	an an an airte an	A provide the second se	na dhe na dhe e chuinn an Chuinn an Iorthachail a chuinn a	an a	n anter de la com	
\$66,116 Certification I certify under the penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted in this document and all attachments and that, based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the information is true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment. (Ref. 40 CFR 144.32) lame and Official Title (Please type or print) Signature	stimated Cost to Plug Wells											
Certification I certify under the penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted in this document and all attachments and that, based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the information is true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment. (Ref. 40 CFR 144.32) Name and Official Title (Please type or print) Signature	erande a sea a sea a sea a	r 10 - Anna Alina ann ann ann an				and a second second	Sector Sector Sector				• • • • •	
I certify under the penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted in this document and all attachments and that, based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the information is true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment. (Ref. 40 CFR 144.32) Iame and Official Title (Please type or print) Signature				Ce	rtificat	ion						
attachments and that, based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the information is true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment. (Ref. 40 CFR 144.32) ame and Official Title (Please type or print) Date Signature Date Signature		law that I have pr	ersonally e	examined a	nd am far	niliar with the	information	submitted in	this docum	ent and all		
lame and Official Title (Please type or print) Signature Date Signed	der the penalty of	on my inquiry of	f those ind I am aware	lividuals im e that there	mediately are signi	responsible licant penalti	for obtaining es for submit	g the information in the second se	ation, I believ formation, in	ve that the icluding the		
	der the penalty of ts and that, based n is true, accurate, of fine and impris	onment. (Ref. 40	possibliity of fine and imprisonment. (Ref. 40 CFR 144.32)				leinnatuur					
Helen Darling tell m 1/h A. L.	der the penalty of ts and that, based n is true, accurate, of fine and impris I Title <i>(Please typ</i>	e, and complete. conment. (Ref. 40 ne or print)	0 CFR 144.	Signatu	ire			-	11	Date Signed		

The public reporting and record keeping burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 4.5 hours for operators of Class I hazardous wells, 1.5 hours for operators of Class I non-hazardous wells, 3 hours for operators of Class II wells, and 1.5 hours for operators of Class III wells.

Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to the collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and, transmit or otherwise disclose the information. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations are listed in 40 CFR Part 9 and 48 CFR Chapter 15.

Please send comments on the Agency's need for this information, the accuracy of the provided burden estimates, and any suggested methods for minimizing respondent burden, including the use of automated collection techniques to Director, Office of Environmental Information, Collection Strategies Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (2822), Ariel Rios Building, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460; and to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, 725 17th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20503, Attention: Desk Officer for EPA. Please include the EPA ICR number and OMB control number in any correspondence.

EPA Form 7520-14 Reverse

		United	States Environ	mental Protect	ion Agency	a da financia popularia na manana ana			
SFP∆			Washingt	on, DC 20460					
	PL	UGGINO	G AND A	BANDON	MENT P	LAN			
Name and Address of	Facility			Name and A	ddress of Ow	ner/Operator			
Alon Bakersfield P	roperty, Inc.			Same					
6451 Rosedale High	hway, Bakersfield, CA 93	3308		L	يواديون الدولا الد	an a			
Locate Well and	Outline Unit on	St	ate	and a second	County	in a standard with the second	Perm	nit Number	
Section Plat - 640	Acres	C	California	a na ang sa sa sa sa s	Kern		N/A		
	N	Sı	Irface Location	Description					
			1/4 of <u>nw</u>	1/4 of <u>SW</u> 1/4 of	of <u>nw</u> 1/4 of	Section 2	7 Townshi	ip 29S Rang	e 2
┃ ┝╌┽╼┝╸┽		Lo	cate well in tw	o directions fro	om nearest li	nes of quarte	er section ar	nd drilling un	it
		Su	Irface						
		Lo	cation $\frac{1738}{2}$ ft.	frm (N/S) <u>N</u>	Line of quart	er section			
		an	d <u>161</u> ft. from	(E/W) W_Lin	e of quarter s	ection.			
w		E []	TYPE O	FAUTHORIZAT	ION		WELL		
			Aron Dormit	ermit			ASS I		
			Rule				ASS II Prine Diene		
							Enhanced R	ecoverv	
╽╴┝╾┽╼┝╸┽╵	╺┠╸┽╺┝╶┽╼╽	N	lumber of Wells	<u> </u>			Hydrocarbo	n Storage	
						CLA	SS III		
	S	Lea	se Name Red	Ribbon		Well Num	wD-2		
CA	SING AND TUBING RECOR	D AFTER PLU	GGING		METH		ACEMENT	OF CEMENT P	
SIZE WT (LB/FT)	TO BE PUT IN WELL (FT)	TO BE LEET					LACEMENT	OF CEMENT P	100
5-1/2 17		4061 - 582	9	10LE 312E		e Balance M	ethod		
8-5/8 32		5299		12-1/4		e Dump Bail	er Method		
13-3/8 54.5		0 - 753	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	17-1/2		e iwo-Piug n her	hethod		
20 Conductor		0 - 40		26					
CEMENTING	TO PLUG AND ABANDON D	ATA:	PLUG #	1 PLUG #2	PLUG #3	PLUG #4	PLUG #5	PLUG #6	P
Size of Hole or Pipe in v	vhich Plug Will Be Placed ((inche:	5-1/2	13-3/8					
Depth to Bottom of Tub	ing or Drill Pipe (ft		5800	853					
Slurry Volume To Be Pu	mped (cu. ft.)		415	723					
Calculated Top of Plug (ft.)		478	832					in.
Measured Top of Plug (ii	tagged ft.)		2900	0				· · · · · · · · ·	
Slurry Wt. (Lb./Gal.)			15.8	15.8					
Type Cement or Other M	aterial (Class III)		Class G	Class G					
LIST	ALL OPEN HOLE AND/OR	PERFORATED	INTERVALS A	ND INTERVALS	WHERE CAS	ING WILL BE	VARIED (if a	iny)	
From		То			From			То	
Perforated: 5116	5670								
Abandonment Mud: 8	2900'	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••							
		ana ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang							
2.00									
stimated Cost to Plug V	Vells								
stimated Cost to Plug V \$71,885	Vells								
stimated Cost to Plug V \$71,885	Vells								
stimated Cost to Plug V \$71,885	Velis								
Estimated Cost to Plug V \$71,885			Certifica	ition					
Estimated Cost to Plug V \$71,885 I certify under the p	vells	ersonally exar	Certifica	ition amiliar with the	e information	submitted in	this docum	ent and all	
Estimated Cost to Plug V \$71,885 I certify under the p attachments and th information is true,	veils penalty of law that I have pe nat, based on my inquiry of accurate, and complete.	ersonally exar those individ I am aware tha	Certifica nined and am f uals immediate at there are sig	amiliar with the	e information for obtaining es for submit	submitted in g the informa	this docum	ent and all ve that the	
Estimated Cost to Plug V \$71,885 I certify under the p attachments and th information is true, possibility of fine a	veils penalty of law that I have pe hat, based on my inquiry of , accurate, and complete. I ind imprisonment. (Ref. 40	ersonally exar those individ I am aware tha D CFR 144.32)	Certifica nined and am f luals immediate at there are sig	amiliar with the ly responsible nificant penalti	e information for obtaining es for submit	submitted in g the informa tting false in	this docum Ition, I belie formation, ir	ent and all ve that the ncluding the	
Estimated Cost to Plug V \$71,885 I certify under the p attachments and th information is true, possibility of fine a ame and Official Title (/	vells penalty of law that I have penalty of law that I have penalty based on my inquiry of accurate, and complete. I ind imprisonment. (Ref. 40 Please type or print)	ersonally exar those individ I am aware tha) CFR 144.32)	Certifica mined and am f luals immediate at there are sig Signature	ation amiliar with the ely responsible nificant penalti	e information for obtaining es for submit	submitted in g the informa tting false in	this docum ttion, I belie formation, ir	ent and all ve that the ncluding the Date Signed	

The public reporting and record keeping burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 4.5 hours for operators of Class I hazardous wells, 1.5 hours for operators of Class I non-hazardous wells, 3 hours for operators of Class II wells, and 1.5 hours for operators of Class III wells.

Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to the collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and, transmit or otherwise disclose the information. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations are listed in 40 CFR Part 9 and 48 CFR Chapter 15.

							OMB No. 204	J-0042 A	pproval Expl	res 12/31/201	8	
			Unite	d States	Environme	ental Protect	ion Agency					
♥EPA		PL	UGGIN	IG AN	ID AB		MENT PI	AN				
Name and Addre	ess of Facility	a second contra	- and the second			Mame and A	ddress of Own	er/Operator				
Alon Bakersfi 6451 Rosedal	eld Property, Inc. e Highway, Baker	sfield, CA 93	308	معود المرجع والحروم المرجع	and the case of the	Same	and y days for some of the force of the force for the same law force for	و و محمد کرد کرد و محمد ، محمد و رو محمد می کرد و ک	در می در در در می در اور می می اور می اور در این می اور در می در این می اور در می	ور در افعار در اند اند اندی و انداز ا	والمعادي والمراجع والمراجع	
Locate Well and Outline Unit on Section Plat - 640 Acres					nia nia	n	County Kern	a san isang sa	Permi N/A	t Number	antan anta ana ana ana ana ana	
	N			Surface I	Location D	hiscription	generation of a	and the	20. Maj	pre-college to a	portal distance.	
				1/4 of ne 1/4 of sw 1/4 of nw 1/4 of Section 27 Township 29S Range 27E								
	 	Locate well in two directions from nearest lines of quarter section and drilling unit Surface Location $\frac{1823}{2}$ ft. frm (N/S) N Line of quarter section and 1076 ft. from (E/W) W Line of quarter section										
			. Г		TYPE OF	AUTHORIZAT	TION		WELL	ACTIVITY		
		· [✓ India Area Rule Number 	vidual Per a Permit e · of Wells	mit 1		CLASS I CLASS I CLASS II Brine Disposal Enhanced Recovery				
					yer and the	ang ng n	a waxaya ayaa ayaa ayaa ayaa ayaa ayaa a	CLA	SS III			
s Lease Name						ibbon		Well Num	ber WD-3	(1) Long at a set of the set o		
	CASING AND T	JBING RECOR	D AFTER P	LUGGING			METH	OD OF EMPL	ACEMENT	F CEMENT P	LUGS	
SIZE WT (LB/FT) TO BE PUT IN WELL (FT) TO BE LEFT IN V						HOLE SIZE		Balance M	athod			
-5/8 32 0 - 5171				1.1.1.462-07675-048-0669-09 3 3	12-1/4		- Dumn Bail	er Method				
23			4532 - 50	553	53 8-1/2 The Two-Plug Method							
3-3/8 54.5	Charles and sets	Katananan Intahat Jawakentoa	0 - 746	and the second sec		17-1/2		er	i cui cu			
0 Conduc	tor	an a	0 - 60			26						
CEME	ENTING TO PLUG AN	D ABANDON D	ATA:		PLUG #1	PLUG #2	PLUG #3	PLUG #4	PLUG #5	PLUG #6	PLUG #7	
ize of Hole or Pi	pe in which Plug W	III Be Placed	(inche:		7	8-5/8						
epth to Bottom	of Tubing or Drill P	ipe (ft			5623	846				 Malaysia and Malaysia and Malaysia and Malaysia and Malaysia Malaysia and Malaysia Malaysia and Malaysia 	yan an Angalan an Angalan. Angalan an Angalan an Ang	
acks of Cement	To Be Used (each p	lug)			632	298				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	And Song Products and a second	
lurry Volume To	Be Pumped (cu. ft.)			727	343					a fan e' or fan 't an han d	
alculated Top of	Plug (ft.)				2900	0					 A set of the design of the set of the set	
easured Top of	Plug (if tagged ft.)				2900	0					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
lurry Wt. (Lb./Ga	al.)				15.8	15.8				the state of the state		
pe Cement or C	ther Material (Class	s III)			Class G	Class G						
	LIST ALL OPEN	HOLE AND/OR	PERFORAT	ED INTER	RVALS AN	D INTERVAL	S WHERE CAS	NG WILL BE	VARIED (if a	iny)		
Fi	om		То				From	From To				
erforated: 499	8	5570	handanastaan ahaaraa	an ser ser ser sa se ser se ser s				17 66 (6) 5 (P) (4) 5 5				
Abandonment N	/lud: 846'	2900'				101-1-14-14-14-14-14-14-14-14-14-14-14-14-				2	an an a subset that a	
al ann an tar ann an an an Ar Anna an tarraich an Anna an	ana kawa se penangan ang san ti setan kawa ang	t terreter terreter terreter V terreter terreter terreter	n an ann an a	an a	a local and a local an	a la composition a substance a la substance de		a cara transa a la cara Nasar atra tana a cara a sa		ر میں میں میں میں میں میں میں میں والوں ہے۔ میں میں میں جانب میں میں میں میں میں میں	a on her sold her her her	
stimated Cost to	Plug Wells	and the second s	and the second second		an and a					t in the faith in the second	in and the second s	
\$62,035							an and same					
				С	ertifica	tion	he information	submitted i	n this docum	ent and all		
I certify und	ler the penalty of la	w that I have p	ersonally e	xamined	and am fa	minal with t			in this account	ient and an		
l certify unc attachments information possibliity o	ler the penalty of la s and that, based of ls true, accurate, a of fine and imprisor	w that I have p n my inquiry o nd complete. ument. (Ref. 4	ersonally e f those indi I am aware 0 CFR 144.3	examined ividuals i that the 32)	and am fa mmediate re are sign	ly responsib lificant pena	le for obtainin Ities for submi	g the inform tting false ir	ation, I belie Iformation, i	ve that the ncluding the		
l certify und attachments information possibility o ame and Official	ler the penalty of la s and that, based of is true, accurate, a of fine and imprisor Title <i>(Please type</i>)	w that I have p n my inquiry o nd complete. iment. (Ref. 4 or print)	ersonally e f those indi l am aware 0 CFR 144.3	examined ividuals i that the 32) Signa	and am fa mmediate re are sign	ly responsib lificant pena	le for obtainin Ities for submi	g the inform tting false ir	ation, I belie Iformation, i	ve that the ncluding the Date Signed		
I certify und attachments information possibliity of ame and Official	ler the penalty of la s and that, based of is true, accurate, a of fine and imprisor Title (<i>Please type</i>	w that I have p n my inquiry o nd complete. ument. (Ref. 4 or print)	ersonally e f those indi I am aware 0 CFR 144.3	examined ividuals i that the 32) Signa	and am fa mmediate re are sign iture	ly responsib lificant pena	le for obtainin Ities for submi	g the inform tting false ir	ation, I belie formation, i	Date Signed	19	

The public reporting and record keeping burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 4.5 hours for operators of Class I hazardous wells, 1.5 hours for operators of Class I non-hazardous wells, 3 hours for operators of Class II wells, and 1.5 hours for operators of Class III wells.

Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to the collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and, transmit or otherwise disclose the information. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations are listed in 40 CFR Part 9 and 48 CFR Chapter 15.

					AND THE OWNER OF			OMB No. 2	040-0042	Approval Exp	oires 12/31/20	18
0	-			Unit	ed States	s Environ Washing	mental Protect	tion Agency				
			PL	UGGII			BANDON	MENT	PLAN			
Name a	and Address of	Facility		ale de la	n 19 - Konseg	Care Scartly	Name and A	ddress of O	wner/Operato	r	o ka u substances	e Nota este la secolada que
Alon Bakersfield Property, Inc.Alon Bakersfield Property, Inc.6451 Rosedale Highway, Bakersfield, CA 933086451 Rosedale Highway, Bakersfield, CA 93308								08				
Locate Well and Outline Unit on Section Plat - 640 Acres								County Kern	دین ، در می در از در در در در در در می می می در در در می در	Perm N/A	it Number	tener anatoria ana
N Surface Location Description											 And service the second second back over layers 	na manana ang sang sang sang sang sang sang
1/4 of ne 1/4 of SW 1/4 of nw 1/4 of Section 27 Township 29S Range 27E									e 27E			
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $						well in tw 1 <u>873</u> ft. <u>1</u> ft. from TYPE C	ro directions fr frm (N/S) N (E/W) W Lir DF AUTHORIZAT	om nearest Line of qua le of quarter FION	lines of quart rter section section.	er section an WELL	d drilling un	it
_	- <u> </u>				Individual Permit I Area Permit I Rule					ASS I ASS II Brine Dispos	sal	
-	+				Number of Wells 1					Enhanced R Hydrocarbo	ecovery n Storage	,
	S Lease Name						1997 - 1997 -	Well Nur	nber 7D			
CASING AND TUBING RECORD AFTER PLUGGING METHOD OF EMPLACEMENT OF CEMENT PL								LUGS				
SIZE	WT (LB/FT)	TO BE PUT	IN WELL (FT)	TO BE L	EFT IN W	ELL (FT)	HOLE SIZE		The Balance M	lethod		۵.
13-3/8	48	565	ander and the second	565			17-1/2		The Dump Bai	ler Method		
8-5/8	32	5750	ente e tre i tra d'antino antino calera	5750	میں الاین (۲ میرمدی ۲ می میکنید) میں الاین (۲ میرمدی ۲ می میکنید)		10-5/8		he Two-Plug	Method		
20	conductor	 Sector Strate of Marcan Constraints Sector Strate of Derivative Language Strategy 	at a construction and the construction of the second second second second second second second second second s	80	a Tanan in La Santa Angela ya Kawa	n af a chiante anna 17 mai a chuart a chiante National a chiante anna anna anna anna anna anna anna	17-1/2		Other			
	nandra da na da sana ang kanana ang kanana ang kanana ang Kanang kanang kanang kanang kanang kanang kanang kanang Kanang kanang kanang kanang kanang kanang kanang kanang	, sada anda' i yada na na afaada ay na afaada 	No Politika Politika Politika Bartin Bartin Bartin Politika Politika 2019 - Alfonda Bartan Politika Politika Politika Politika Politika 2019 - Alfonda Politika Politika Politika Politika Politika	 Statistics and statistics and statistics Statistical participation of postform 		enterner et en						
	CEMENTING	TO PLUG AN	DABANDON DA	TA:		PLUG #	#1 PLUG #2	PLUG #3	PLUG #4	PLUG #5	PLUG #6	PLUG #7
ize of H	ole or Pipe in w	which Plug W	ill Be Placed (i	inche:		8-5/8	13-3/8				A man which have a tot for the set	
epth to	Bottom of Tubi	ing or Drill Pi	pe (ft			5750	665	The second as a second second as an			Parallel - of territory and territory	
acks of	Cement To Be l	Jsed (each pl	ug)			1005	564					
lurry Vo	lume To Be Pu	mped (cu. ft.)				1156	649	All and a spectra strange to				
alculate	d Top of Plug (ft.)				2900		A second se				
easured	Top of Plug (if	tagged ft.)				2900	0	A State of the second state			т — т т	Sense in the sense of the sense
urry Wt	. (Lb./Gal.)					15.8	15.8			-	and an	1
pe cen	lent or Other Ma	aterial (Class	111)			G	G					
	LIST	ALL OPEN H	OLE AND/OR	PERFORAT	TED INTE	RVALS A	ND INTERVALS	WHERE CA	SING WILL BE	E VARIED (if a	ny)	
week by the strend strength	From	Calaberrand and enclosing chean and the	EAFE	То				From			То	
bonde	ions: 5200'	C E I	3433	 A possible of the contract of the	materia an anatan antana an anatan			ener bahlen en son bir en stagen en	- 2000 - 10 10 10 10 10 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000		en en ante en entre en	y estimate a substant de la constant de la constant estimate de la constant
VDanuoi		00	2900									
timated 574,300	Cost to Plug V	Vells			ini andra an		nan a shina na shekara na shekara da	nand i se nanda ta ayaanda ta		1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 -		Aur
l cer atta info poss	rtify under the p chments and th rmation is true, sibliity of fine a	penalty of law nat, based on , accurate, an nd imprison	y that I have pe my inquiry of d complete. I nent. (Ref. 40	rsonally e those indi am aware CFR 144.3	C examined ividuals i that the 32)	ertifica and am mmediat re are sig	ation familiar with th ely responsibl Inificant penal	e Informatio e for obtain ties for subr	on submitted i ng the inform nitting false ir	n this docum ation, I belie nformation, ir	ent and all ve that the ncluding the	
me and	Official Title (F	Please type o	r print)		Signa	iture					Date Signed	
klen	Ordu	ay E	FSH Ma	nager		Hele	n Ora	way			8-16 -	-19
A Form	7520-14 (Rev. 1	2-11)		V	and the second second second							

The public reporting and record keeping burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 4.5 hours for operators of Class I hazardous wells, 1.5 hours for operators of Class I non-hazardous wells, 3 hours for operators of Class II wells, and 1.5 hours for operators of Class III wells.

Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to the collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and, transmit or otherwise disclose the information. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations are listed in 40 CFR Part 9 and 48 CFR Chapter 15.

Please send comments on the Agency's need for this information, the accuracy of the provided burden estimates, and any suggested methods for minimizing respondent burden, including the use of automated collection techniques to Director, Office of Environmental Information, Collection Strategies Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (2822), Ariel Rios Building, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460; and to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, 725 17th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20503, Attention: Desk Officer for EPA. Please include the EPA ICR number and OMB control number in any correspondence.

EPA Form 7520-14 Reverse

Appendix H

Operating Data

UIC Permit R9UIC-CA1-FY18-2

H.1 Current and Proposed Injection Rate

Current injection rate information is provided for the period of January 2015 through December 2017 (Exhibit H-1). The injection rates illustrated in Table H-1 are not representative of the fully operational capacity of the facility as refinery operations are currently curtailed. The proposed average daily average injection rates in Section H.1.2 are based on wastewater disposal requirements when the refinery is running at full capacity.

H.1.1 Injection Rates

Location / Well ID	Average Injection Rates	Maximum Observed						
	bbls/day	Injection Rates bbls/day						
"Red Ribbon" WD-1	261	506						
"Red Ribbon" WD-2	252	520						
"Red Ribbon" WD-3 904 1743								
"Red Ribbon" 7	"Red Ribbon" 7 Idle Idle							
bbls - barrels								
Data Source: California Division of Oil Gas and Geothermal Resources								
http://www.conservation.ca.go	http://www.conservation.ca.gov/dog/Pages/Wellfinder.aspx							

Table H-1: Injection Rates, January 2015 through December 2017

H.1.2 Proposed Injection Rate

When operating at full capacity the estimated injection rate for the refinery is an averaged 24,000 bbls per day on an annual basis.

H.2 Injection Pressure

H.2.1 Step-Rate Test

The maximum allowable surface pressure (MASP) is limited such that operation of injection wells will not initiate or propagate fractures in the formation. A Step-Rate test, witnessed by EPA, was performed in November of 2004 on "Red Ribbon" WD-1. The Step-Rate test confirmed that the fracture pressure gradient in this area of the Fruitvale Oil Field is 0.80 pounds per square inch of pressure per foot of depth from ground surface (psi/ft) (Exhibit H-2). This value is consistent with the value recognized by the California Division of Oil, Gas and Geothermal Resources for the southern San Joaquin Valley.¹

This fracture pressure gradient is used as the basis for the calculation of the maximum allowable surface and bottom hole injection pressures. A discussion relative to the determination of the fracture pressure gradient and a complete copy of the Step Rate Test data and analysis is contained in Exhibit H-2.

¹ Bill Guerard, Division of Oil, Gas and Geothermal Resources, Publication No. M13, "Evaluation and Surveillance of Water Injection Projects"

H.2.2 Maximum Allowable Surface Pressure

The MASP is the upper limit for pressure in a well annulus that will cause the formation to fracture. MASP is calculated from the maximum allowable bottom-hole pressure (MABP). The MASP takes into account the weight of the fluid column, 0.4335 psi/ft². Per EPA guidelines, a safety factor of 80% has been applied to the 0.80 psi/ft fracture pressure gradient.

MABP = 80% x 0.80 psi/ft x Top Perforation Depth

The resulting equation for the maximum allowable surface pressure is:

When the refinery is fully operational the injection wells will operate at a maximum pressure of 1,250 psi based on piping and piping component pressure ratings; this maximum pressure is below the calculated MASP for each injection well. The refinery process control center regulates the surface injection pressure to 50 psi lower than the maximum allowable pipe and component pressure. In the event that the piping and associated components are upgraded to allow higher injection pressures, the refinery will limit the maximum allowable surface pressure to 50 psi below calculated MASP values.

The calculated values of the MASP, at an injection rate of 6,000 bbls/day per injection well, are shown in Table H-2. The MASP calculated for "Red Ribbon" WD-1 is 1,506 psi. MASP for "Red Ribbon" WD-2 is 1,500 psi and for "Red Ribbon" 3 is 1,465 psi. Calculated MASP for "Red Ribbon" 7D is 1,492 psi.

Leastion (Wall ID	Top Perf	Friction Loss at	MASP						
	(ft md)	6,000 bbl/day, (psi)	(psi)						
"Red Ribbon" WD-1	5,138	179	1,506						
"Red Ribbon" WD-2	5,116	763	1,500						
"Red Ribbon" WD-3	4,998	751	1,465						
"Red Ribbon" 7D	5,090	156	1,492						
Notes:	Notes:								
Ft md – feet measured depth	Ft md – feet measured depth								
MASP – Maximum allowable sur	face pressure								

Table H-2: Maximum Allowable Surface Pressure

H.3 Annular Fluid

The annulus is the space between the wellbore and casing or between casing and tubing. The annulus is filled with fluid comprised of fresh water, potassium chloride and additives to

² Weight of fluid column is based on the specific gravity of water.

prevent corrosion and bacterial growth. A typical annulus fluid composition is shown in Table H-3.

Annulus pressure and fluid level is continuously recorded at each well using the refinery's electronic monitoring system. Recorded data is instantaneously sent to the control room to be monitored by operators 24 hours per day to assure mechanical integrity of the casing and tubing.

Table H-3 – Additive Concentration in Annular Fluid (Typical)

Additive	CAS Number	Concentration, %				
Potassium Chloride	7447-40-7	2%				
Corrosion Inhibitor (Halliburton Anhib II [™]) ⁽¹⁾						
Ammonium bisulfite	10192-30-0	0.1 – 0.3				
3-(Tridecyloxy)-2-hydroxypropyltrimethylammonium,	68334-55-4	0.1 - 0.3				
chloride						
Trimethylammonium chloride	593-81-7	0.01 - 0.05				
Sodium phosphate, tribasic	7601-54-9	0.01 - 0.05				
Isopropanol	67-63-0	0.05 – 0.1				
Notes: 1 – Halliburton Anhib II [™] is provided as an example,	identification of th	e annular fluid				
additives will be conducted during solicitation of bids for well construction.						

H.4 Injection Fluid Characteristics

In accordance with the Waste Discharge Order 91-102, issued by the California Regional Water Quality Control Board (WDR), samples of wastewater effluent are collected on a weekly and monthly basis and are submitted to a state certified laboratory for analysis. The samples are analyzed monthly for BTEX, MTBE, phenols, TDS, TSS, pH, electrical conductivity, sulfates, chlorine, fluorine, ammonia, oil and grease, heavy metals, and methylcyclohexane. Samples are analyzed on a weekly basis for BTEX, MTBE, phenols, TDS, TSS, pH, electrical conductivity, ammonia, and oil and grease. A list of the sampling and analytical requirements is provided in Exhibit H-4 and the WDR discharge limitations are summarized in Table H-4.

Parameter	Limit			
Total Dissolved Solids (TDS)	2,500 mg/l			
Benzene	500 ug/l			
Ethylbenzene	1,000 ug/l			
Toluene	3,000 ug/l			
Xylenes	3,000 ug/l			
Total Phenols	100 mg/l			
Total Chromium	2.0 mg/l			
Total Discharge, million gallons per day	1.01 MGD			
Total Discharge, minor gallons per day	Equivalent to 38,506 bbls/day			

Table H-4 – Discharge Limitations

A summary of flow-weighted weekly analytical results for samples of injection fluid collected during calendar years 2005, 2006, and 2007 representing conditions when the refinery was in full operation are presented in Exhibit H-3. Exhibit H-4 contains more recent results of laboratory analyses performed on samples of injection fluid collected between 2015 and 2017.

H.4.1 Corrosivity

Hydrogen sulfide (H_2S) is the primary source of corrosion in the refinery. H_2S is entrained in some crudes and created by sulfate reducing bacteria within the wastewater system. The refinery controls corrosion within the wastewater treatment system, piping, and injection wells by controlling pH, applying biocides to control sulfate reducing bacteria, and operating sour water strippers to remove H_2S . The wastewater treatment unit also includes a pH control system that maintains the pH of wastewater between 4 and 8 pH units. The captured H_2S is recovered and converted to elemental sulfur in the sulfur plant. Alon also uses a third-party to maintain a corrosion control program in the refinery. This program is designed to protect piping and equipment from excess corrosion.

H.5 Source of Water

Oily water, non-oily water, and storm water is contained and transported via drain systems to the wastewater treatment units (WWTU). The wastewater stream sent to the injection wells for disposal includes the treated effluent of refinery operations and storm water. No other waste streams are discharged to the injection wells.