

Exhibit, Section(s)	Revisions
	<p>“Up to four target analytes and DMCs with maximum %D requirements of less than 40.0% may fail to meet the maximum %D criteria listed in Exhibit D – SVOA, Table 5, but these compounds must still meet the maximum %RSD requirement of 40.0% for the CCV to be considered acceptable.”</p> <p>WITH:</p> <p>“Up to four target analytes and DMCs (i.e., 4 targets + 0 DMCs, 3 targets + 1 DMC, 2 targets + 2 DMCs, 1 target + 3 DMCs, or 0 targets + 4 DMCs) with maximum %D requirements of less than 40.0% may fail to meet the maximum %D criteria listed in Exhibit D – SVOA, Table 5, but these compounds must still meet the maximum %D requirement of 40.0% for the CCV to be considered acceptable.”</p>
Exhibit D – Semivolatiles, Section 9.5.5.4	<p>The language for maximum Percent Difference (%D) technical acceptance criteria in closing Continuing Calibration Verification (CCV) for full scan analysis has been revised:</p> <p>REPLACE:</p> <p>“Up to six target analytes and DMCs...”</p> <p>WITH:</p> <p>“Up to six target analytes and DMCs (i.e., 6 targets + 0 DMCs, 5 targets + 1 DMC, 4 targets + 2 DMCs, 3 targets + 3 DMCs, 2 targets + 4 DMCs, 1 target + 5 DMCs, or 0 targets + 6 DMCs)...”</p>
Exhibit D – Semivolatiles, Section 10.2.3.1	<p>The language for aqueous/water samples final extract volume has been revised:</p> <p>REPLACE:</p> <p>“For aqueous/water samples that underwent GPC cleanup, the extract shall be brought to a final volume equal to $V_{out...}$”</p> <p>WITH:</p> <p>“For aqueous/water samples that underwent GPC cleanup, the extract shall be brought to a final volume equal to $CV_{out...}$”</p>
Exhibit D – Semivolatiles, Section 12.1.2.2, bullet #1	<p>The language has been revised:</p> <p>REPLACE:</p>

Exhibit, Section(s)	Revisions
	<p>“Be prepared with the same procedures and reagents used to extract and cleanup the samples...”</p> <p>WITH:</p> <p>“Be prepared with the same procedures and reagents used to extract and clean up the samples...”</p>
Exhibit D – Semivolatiles, Section 12.2.2.1	<p>The Matrix Spike (MS)/Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) frequency requirements have been revised as follows:</p> <p>“If requested, an MS/MSD analysis shall be performed for each group of 20</p> <p>BEGIN INSERTION</p> <p>or fewer</p> <p>END INSERTION</p> <p>field samples of a similar matrix in an SDG.”</p>
Exhibit D – Semivolatiles, Section 12.3.3.2, NOTE 2	<p>The procedure for low-level soil/sediment and waste Laboratory Control Sample (LCS) preparation has been revised as follows:</p> <p>REPLACE:</p> <p>“For analysis of 1,4-Dioxane only by the full scan method, add 500 µL of LCS spiking solution corresponding to 16 µg of 1,4-Dioxane spiking analyte, and add 500 µL of DMC spiking solution corresponding to 8.0 µg of the DMC (0.80 µg of the SIM DMC if extract separately).”</p> <p>WITH:</p> <p>“For analysis of 1,4-Dioxane only by the full scan method, add 500 µL of LCS spiking solution corresponding to 16 µg of 1,4-Dioxane spiking analyte, and add 500 µL of DMC spiking solution corresponding to 8.0 µg of the DMC.”</p>
Exhibit D – Semivolatiles, Section 17.0, Table 9	<p>The list of target analytes and Deuterated Monitoring Compounds (DMCs) associated with Phenanthrene-d₁₀ internal standard has been revised:</p> <p>REMOVE:</p> <p>“*Fluoranthene”</p> <p>“Fluoranthene-d₁₀ (SIM-DMC)”</p>

Exhibit, Section(s)	Revisions
Exhibit D – Semivolatiles, Section 17.0, Table 10	<p>The list of target analytes associated with Phenanthrene-d₁₀ internal standard has been revised:</p> <p>REMOVE:</p> <p>“Fluoranthene”</p>
Exhibit D – Pesticides, Section 17.0, Table 7	<p>The Gel Permeation Chromatography (GPC) Calibration Verification Solution (µg/mL) column has been revised:</p> <p>REMOVE:</p> <p>“0.040” from the 4,4'-DDD row</p> <p>INSERT:</p> <p>“0.040” in the 4,4'-DDT row</p>
Exhibit D – Aroclors, Section 12.4.1.1	<p>The requirements for Method Detection Limits (MDLs) determination have been revised:</p> <p>REPLACE:</p> <p>“To determine the MDLs for Aroclor 1016 and Aroclor 1260, the Contractor shall perform MDL studies following the procedures in Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Part 136, Appendix B, Revision 2.”</p> <p>WITH:</p> <p>“To determine the MDLs for Aroclor 1016 and Aroclor 1260, the Contractor shall perform MDL studies following the procedures in Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Part 136, Appendix B, Revision 2, with the exception of combining MDL data to assign one MDL for multiple instruments. MDLs are required to be determined for each instrument analyzing samples for the EPA Contract Laboratory Program (CLP).”</p>
Exhibit D – Aroclors, Section 17.0, Table 2, NOTE	<p>The concentrations of surrogates tetrachloro-m-xylene and decachlorobiphenyl in the Aroclor 1242 CS1 Standard have been revised:</p> <p>REPLACE:</p> <p>Aroclor 1016 and 1260 standards can be prepared together but the other Aroclor standards (1221 - 1268) shall be prepared individually. For example, Aroclor 1016/1260 CS3 standard will contain both Aroclor 1016 and Aroclor 1260 at a concentration of 400 ng/mL, and the surrogates</p>

Exhibit, Section(s)	Revisions
	<p>tetrachloro-m-xylene and decachlorobiphenyl at concentrations of 20 and 40 ng/mL, respectively. Aroclor 1242 CS1 Standard will contain only Aroclor 1242, tetrachloro-m-xylene, and decachlorobiphenyl at 100, 20, and 40 ng/mL, respectively.</p> <p>WITH:</p> <p>Aroclor 1016 and 1260 standards can be prepared together but the other Aroclor standards (1221 - 1268) shall be prepared individually. For example, Aroclor 1016/1260 CS3 standard will contain both Aroclor 1016 and Aroclor 1260 at a concentration of 400 ng/mL, and the surrogates tetrachloro-m-xylene and decachlorobiphenyl at concentrations of 20 and 40 ng/mL, respectively. Aroclor 1242 CS1 Standard will contain only Aroclor 1242, tetrachloro-m-xylene, and decachlorobiphenyl at 100, 5.0, and 10 ng/mL, respectively.</p>
<p>Exhibit D – ICP-AES; Mercury; Cyanide; Anions; Hexavalent Chromium; and Total Organic Carbon (TOC), Section 9.6.5</p>	<p>The technical acceptance criteria for calibration blanks have been revised:</p> <p>REPLACE:</p> <p>“The absolute value of each calibration blank result must be less than or equal to the CRQL for aqueous/water samples for the analyte.”</p> <p>WITH:</p> <p>“The absolute value of each calibration blank result must be less than the CRQL for aqueous/water samples for the analyte.”</p>
<p>Exhibit D - ICP-AES; Mercury; Cyanide; Anions; Hexavalent Chromium; and Total Organic Carbon (TOC), Section 9.6.6</p>	<p>The corrective action criteria for calibration blanks have been revised:</p> <p>REPLACE:</p> <p>“If the absolute value of the calibration blank exceeds the CRQL for aqueous/water samples, the analysis shall be terminated, the problem corrected, the instrument recalibrated, the calibration verified, and reanalysis of all affected analytical samples analyzed since the last compliant calibration blank performed for the analytes affected.”</p> <p>WITH:</p> <p>“If the absolute value of the calibration blank is greater than or equal to the CRQL for aqueous/water samples, the analysis shall be terminated, the problem corrected, the instrument recalibrated, the calibration verified, and reanalysis of all affected analytical samples analyzed since the last compliant calibration blank performed for the analytes affected.”</p>

Exhibit, Section(s)	Revisions
Exhibit D – ICP-AES; Mercury; Cyanide; Anions; Hexavalent Chromium; and Total Organic Carbon (TOC), Section 12.1.5.1	<p>The technical acceptance criteria for Preparation Blanks have been revised:</p> <p>REPLACE:</p> <p>“The absolute value of the Preparation Blank result must be less than or equal to the CRQL.”</p> <p>WITH:</p> <p>“The absolute value of the Preparation Blank result must be less than the CRQL.”</p>
Exhibit D – ICP-AES; Mercury; Cyanide; Anions; Hexavalent Chromium; and Total Organic Carbon (TOC), Section 12.1.5.2	<p>The technical acceptance criteria for Preparation Blanks have been revised:</p> <p>“For aqueous/water, soil/sediment, and waste samples, any analyte concentration in the Preparation Blank may be greater than</p> <p>BEGIN INSERTION</p> <p>or equal to</p> <p>END INSERTION</p> <p>the CRQL, if the concentration of the analyte in the associated samples is greater than or equal to 10 times the blank concentration.”</p>
Exhibit D – ICP-AES; Mercury; Cyanide; Anions; Hexavalent Chromium; and Total Organic Carbon (TOC), Section 12.1.5.3	<p>The technical acceptance criteria for Preparation Blanks have been revised:</p> <p>“For aqueous/water, soil/sediment, and waste samples, any analyte concentration in the Preparation Blank may be less than</p> <p>BEGIN INSERTION</p> <p>or equal to</p> <p>END INSERTION</p> <p>the negative CRQL, if the concentration in the associated samples is greater than or equal to 10 times the CRQL.”</p>
Exhibit D – ICP-AES; Mercury; Cyanide; Anions; Hexavalent Chromium; and Total Organic Carbon (TOC), Section 12.1.6.1	<p>The corrective action criteria for Preparation Blanks have been revised:</p> <p>“For aqueous/water, soil/sediment, and waste samples, if any analyte concentration in the Preparation Blank is greater than</p>

Exhibit, Section(s)	Revisions
	<p>BEGIN INSERTION</p> <p>or equal to</p> <p>END INSERTION</p> <p>the CRQL, and the concentration of the analyte in any of the associated samples is less than 10 times the blank concentration, then all samples with less than 10 times the blank concentration shall be reprepared and reanalyzed with appropriate new QC for that analyte.”</p>
<p>Exhibit D – ICP-AES; Mercury; Cyanide; Anions; Hexavalent Chromium; and Total Organic Carbon (TOC), Section 12.1.6.2</p>	<p>The corrective action criteria for Preparation Blanks have been revised:</p> <p>“For aqueous/water, soil/sediment, and waste samples, if any analyte concentration in the Preparation Blank is less than</p> <p>BEGIN INSERTION</p> <p>or equal to</p> <p>END INSERTION</p> <p>the negative CRQL, and the concentration in any of the associated samples is less than 10 times the CRQL, then all samples with less than 10 times the CRQL concentration shall be reprepared and reanalyzed with appropriate new QC for that analyte.”</p>
<p>Exhibit D – ICP-AES, Section 12.3.6.2</p>	<p>The exceptions for post-digestion spike analysis have been revised:</p> <p>REPLACE:</p> <p>“When the Matrix Spike recovery is outside the control limits and the sample result does not exceed four times the spike added, a Post-Digestion Spike analysis shall be performed for those analytes that do not meet the specified criteria (exception: Ag).”</p> <p>WITH:</p> <p>“When the Matrix Spike recovery is outside the control limits and the sample result does not exceed four times the spike added, a Post-Digestion Spike analysis shall be performed for those analytes that do not meet the specified criteria (exceptions: Ag, Sb).”</p>
<p>Exhibit D – ICP-AES, Section 12.7.1.1</p>	<p>The requirements for Method Detection Limits (MDLs) determination have been revised:</p> <p>REPLACE:</p>

Exhibit, Section(s)	Revisions
	<p>“To determine the MDLs, the Contractor shall perform MDL studies following the procedures in Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Part 136, Appendix B, Revision 2.”</p> <p>WITH:</p> <p>“To determine the MDLs, the Contractor shall perform MDL studies following the procedures in Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Part 136, Appendix B, Revision 2, with the exception of combining MDL data to assign one MDL for multiple instruments. MDLs are required to be determined for each instrument analyzing samples for the EPA Contract Laboratory Program (CLP).”</p>
Exhibit D – ICP-MS, Section 9.7.5	<p>The technical acceptance criteria for calibration blanks have been revised:</p> <p>REPLACE:</p> <p>“The absolute value of each calibration blank result must be less than or equal to the CRQL for aqueous/water samples for the analyte.”</p> <p>WITH:</p> <p>“The absolute value of each calibration blank result must be less than the CRQL for aqueous/water samples for the analyte.”</p>
Exhibit D – ICP-MS, Section 9.7.6	<p>The corrective action criteria for calibration blanks have been revised:</p> <p>REPLACE:</p> <p>“If the absolute value of the calibration blank exceeds the CRQL for aqueous/water samples, the analysis shall be terminated, the problem corrected, the instrument recalibrated, the calibration verified, and reanalysis of all affected analytical samples analyzed since the last compliant calibration blank performed for the analytes affected.”</p> <p>WITH:</p> <p>“If the absolute value of the calibration blank is greater than or equal to the CRQL for aqueous/water samples, the analysis shall be terminated, the problem corrected, the instrument recalibrated, the calibration verified, and reanalysis of all affected analytical samples analyzed since the last compliant calibration blank performed for the analytes affected.”</p>
Exhibit D – ICP-MS, Section 12.1.5.1	<p>The technical acceptance criteria for Preparation Blanks have been revised as follows:</p> <p>REPLACE:</p>

Exhibit, Section(s)	Revisions
	<p>“The absolute value of the Preparation Blank result must be less than or equal to the CRQL.”</p> <p>WITH:</p> <p>“The absolute value of the Preparation Blank result must be less than the CRQL.”</p>
Exhibit D – ICP-MS, Section 12.1.5.2	<p>The technical acceptance criteria for Preparation Blanks have been revised as follows:</p> <p>“For aqueous/water, soil/sediment, and waste samples, any analyte concentration in the Preparation Blank may be greater than</p> <p>BEGIN INSERTION</p> <p>or equal to</p> <p>END INSERTION</p> <p>the CRQL, if the concentration of the analyte in the associated samples is greater than or equal to 10 times the blank concentration.”</p>
Exhibit D – ICP-MS, Section 12.1.5.3	<p>The technical acceptance criteria for Preparation Blanks have been revised:</p> <p>“For aqueous/water, soil/sediment, and waste samples, any analyte concentration in the Preparation Blank may be less than</p> <p>BEGIN INSERTION</p> <p>or equal to</p> <p>END INSERTION</p> <p>the negative CRQL, if the concentration in the associated samples is greater than or equal to 10 times the CRQL.”</p>
Exhibit D – ICP-MS, Section 12.1.6.1	<p>The corrective action criteria for Preparation Blanks have been revised:</p> <p>“For aqueous/water, soil/sediment, and waste samples, if any analyte concentration in the Preparation Blank is greater than</p> <p>BEGIN INSERTION</p> <p>or equal to</p>

Exhibit, Section(s)	Revisions
	<p>END INSERTION</p> <p>the CRQL, and the concentration of the analyte in any of the associated samples is less than 10 times the blank concentration, then all samples with less than 10 times the blank concentration shall be reprepared and reanalyzed with appropriate new QC for that analyte.”</p>
Exhibit D – ICP-MS, Section 12.1.6.2	<p>The corrective action criteria for Preparation Blanks have been revised:</p> <p>“For aqueous/water, soil/sediment, and waste samples, if any analyte concentration in the Preparation Blank is less than</p> <p>BEGIN INSERTION</p> <p>or equal to</p> <p>END INSERTION</p> <p>the negative CRQL, and the concentration in any of the associated samples is less than 10 times the CRQL, then all samples with less than 10 times the CRQL concentration shall be reprepared and reanalyzed with appropriate new QC for that analyte.”</p>
Exhibit D – ICP-MS, Sections 12.7.5 and 12.7.6.1	<p>The technical acceptance criteria for internal standard Percent Relative Intensity (%RI) have been revised:</p> <p>REPLACE:</p> <p>“The absolute response of any one internal standard, calculated as %RI, must not deviate more than $\pm 30\%$ from the original response in the calibration blank.”</p> <p>WITH:</p> <p>“The absolute response of any one internal standard, calculated as %RI, must not deviate more than 60-125% from the original response in the calibration blank.”</p>
Exhibit D – ICP-MS, Section 12.8.1.1	<p>The requirements for Method Detection Limits (MDLs) determination have been revised:</p> <p>REPLACE:</p> <p>“To determine the MDLs, the Contractor shall perform MDL studies following the procedures in Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Part 136, Appendix B, Revision 2.”</p>

Exhibit, Section(s)	Revisions
	<p>WITH:</p> <p>“To determine the MDLs, the Contractor shall perform MDL studies following the procedures in Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Part 136, Appendix B, Revision 2, with the exception of combining MDL data to assign one MDL for multiple instruments. MDLs are required to be determined for each instrument analyzing samples for the EPA Contract Laboratory Program (CLP).”</p>
Exhibit D – Mercury, Section 12.4.1.1	<p>The requirements for Method Detection Limits (MDLs) determination have been revised:</p> <p>REPLACE:</p> <p>“To determine the MDLs, the Contractor shall perform MDL studies following the procedures in Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Part 136, Appendix B, Revision 2.”</p> <p>WITH:</p> <p>“To determine the MDLs, the Contractor shall perform MDL studies following the procedures in Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Part 136, Appendix B, Revision 2, with the exception of combining MDL data to assign one MDL for multiple instruments. MDLs are required to be determined for each instrument analyzing samples for the EPA Contract Laboratory Program (CLP).”</p>
Exhibit D – Cyanide, Section 10.3.1.5	<p>The procedure for aqueous/water/SPLP leachate sample preparation by Midi-Distillation has been revised:</p> <p>REPLACE:</p> <p>“Test the sample for nitrate and/or nitrite using an appropriate test strip or kit.”</p> <p>WITH:</p> <p>“Test the sample for nitrate and nitrite using an appropriate test strip or kit.”</p>
Exhibit D – Cyanide, Section 12.4.1.1	<p>The requirements for Method Detection Limits (MDLs) determination have been revised:</p> <p>REPLACE:</p>

Exhibit, Section(s)	Revisions
	<p>“To determine the MDLs, the Contractor shall perform MDL studies following the procedures in Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Part 136, Appendix B, Revision 2.”</p> <p>WITH:</p> <p>“To determine the MDLs, the Contractor shall perform MDL studies following the procedures in Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Part 136, Appendix B, Revision 2, with the exception of combining MDL data to assign one MDL for multiple instruments. MDLs are required to be determined for each instrument analyzing samples for the EPA Contract Laboratory Program (CLP).”</p>
Exhibit D – Anions, Section 9.4.2	<p>The Initial Calibration Verification (ICV) analysis requirements have been revised:</p> <p>“The ICV shall be analyzed immediately after the instrument has been calibrated</p> <p>BEGIN INSERTION</p> <p>and each day, prior to the analysis of the opening CCV and CCB.”</p> <p>END INSERTION</p>
Exhibit D – Anions; Hexavalent Chromium; and Total Organic Carbon (TOC), Section 12.5.1.1	<p>The requirements for Method Detection Limits (MDLs) determination have been revised:</p> <p>REPLACE:</p> <p>“To determine the MDLs, the Contractor shall perform MDL studies following the procedures in Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Part 136, Appendix B, Revision 2.”</p> <p>WITH:</p> <p>“To determine the MDLs, the Contractor shall perform MDL studies following the procedures in Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Part 136, Appendix B, Revision 2, with the exception of combining MDL data to assign one MDL for multiple instruments. MDLs are required to be determined for each instrument analyzing samples for the EPA Contract Laboratory Program (CLP).”</p>
Exhibit E, Section 2.4	The language has been revised as follows:

Exhibit, Section(s)	Revisions
	<p>“The QMP shall document the following: the mission and quality policy of the organization; the specific roles, authorities, and responsibilities of management and staff with respect to QA and QC activities</p> <p>BEGIN INSERTION</p> <p>, including an organization chart</p> <p>END INSERTION</p> <p>; the means by which effective communications with personnel actually performing the work are assured; the processes used to plan, implement, and assess the work performed; the process by which measures of effectiveness for QA and QC activities will be established and how frequently effectiveness will be measured; and the continual improvement based on lessons learned from previous experience.”</p>
Exhibit E, Sections 3.3.1 and 4.4.1	<p>The Submission of the Quality Assurance Project Plan and the Standard Operating Procedures have been revised:</p> <p>REPLACE:</p> <p>“EPA CO”</p> <p>WITH:</p> <p>“Government”</p>
Exhibit F, Sections 6.1 and 6.3.1	<p>References to the EPA Regional CLP COR as participant in on-site laboratory audits and recipient of related correspondence have been revised:</p> <p>REMOVE:</p> <p>“EPA Regional CLP COR”</p>
Exhibit F, Section 4.2.6.2	<p>The language for Proficiency Testing Audits has been revised:</p> <p>“Acceptable, Response Explaining Deficiencies</p> <p>BEGIN INSERTION</p> <p>May Be</p> <p>END INSERTION</p>

Exhibit, Section(s)	Revisions
	<p>Required: Score greater than or equal to 75, but less than 90. Deficiencies exist in the Contractor’s performance. Corrective action response</p> <p>BEGIN INSERTION</p> <p>may be</p> <p>END INSERTION</p> <p>requested by EPA.”</p>
Exhibit G, Section 3.5, Equation 21	<p>The following parameter associated with the calculation of Cleanup Factor has been revised:</p> <p>REPLACE:</p> <p>“CV_{in} = Reported PreparationPlusCleanup/FinalAmount from each cleanup node (μL).”</p> <p>WITH:</p> <p>“CV_{out} = Reported PreparationPlusCleanup/FinalAmount from each cleanup node (μL).”</p>
Exhibit G, Section 3.5, Equation 26A	<p>The definition of the following parameter associated with the calculation of Laboratory Control Sample (LCS) Percent Recovery (%R) for Semivolatiles by Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry (GC/MS), and Pesticides and Aroclors by GC has been revised:</p> <p>REPLACE:</p> <p>“Q_d = The Concentration value from EQs. 4C, 4D, 5D, 5E, 5E-a, 5F, 5G, or 5G-a (μg/L, μg/kg, μg, or μg/cm²). Use a value of 0 (zero) in the calculation when the ReportedResult/ResultType for the result is "Not_Detected".”</p> <p>WITH:</p> <p>“Q_d = The Concentration value from EQs. 4B, 4C, 4D, 5C, 5D, 5E, 5E-a, 5F, 5G, or 5G-a (μg/L, μg/kg, μg, or μg/cm²). Use a value of 0 (zero) in the calculation when the ReportedResult/ResultType for the result is "Not_Detected".”</p>
Exhibit H, Section 3.1.16	<p>The AnalyteGroup Node requirements have been revised:</p> <p>REPLACE:</p>

Exhibit, Section(s)	Revisions
	<p>“Each Analysis node under a SamplePlusMethod node must contain one AnalyteGroup node for each derived analyte calculated from that analysis only (not combining results across analyses) (i.e., Hardness) when required.”</p> <p>WITH:</p> <p>“Each Analysis node under a SamplePlusMethod node must contain one AnalyteGroup node for each derived analyte (e.g., Hardness) calculated from that analysis only (not combining results across analyses) when required. Each AnalysisGroup node under a SamplePlusMethod node must contain one AnalyteGroup Node for each derived analyte calculated from the combined results across analyses in the AnalysisGroup.”</p>
Exhibit H, Section 5.3	<p>The language has been revised:</p> <p>REPLACE:</p> <p>“The Contractor must follow the delivery instructions in Exhibit B – Reporting and Deliverables Requirements, of this Statement of Work (SOW), and deliver the EDD and Portable Document Format (PDF) of the Complete SDG File (CSF) to SMO concurrently. If one of these items is delivered on a later date, the Data Receipt Date (DRD) for the SDG will be the later of the two dates.”</p> <p>WITH:</p> <p>“The Contractor must follow the delivery instructions in Exhibit B – Reporting and Deliverables Requirements, of this Statement of Work (SOW), and deliver the EDD and Portable Document Format (PDF) of the Complete SDG File (CSF) to SMO concurrently. The DRD is the date upon which the last deliverable of the EDD and the PDF file of the CSF are received by SMO. The EDD must pass initial assessment to be considered “delivered”. If the deliverables are due on a Saturday, Sunday, or Federal holiday, then they shall be delivered on the next business day. Compliant deliverables received after this time will be considered late.”</p>
Exhibit H, Section 7.1/Table 1 and Section 7.2/Table 2	<p>The instructions for reporting the Initial Calibration (ICAL) PEM standards in the QCType data element associated with the InstrumentQC node have been revised:</p> <p>REPLACE:</p> <p>“Initial_Performance_Check_PEM” for the PEM standards that are part of the ICAL...”</p>

Exhibit, Section(s)	Revisions
	<p>WITH:</p> <p>"Instrument_Performance_Check_PEM" for the PEM standards that are part of the ICAL..."</p>
Exhibit H, Section 7.1/Table 1	<p>The instructions for Analysis/OriginalLabAnalysisID data element associated with SamplePlusMethod node have been revised:</p> <p>"If a dilution or reanalysis of a previously analyzed sample</p> <p>BEGIN INSERTION</p> <p>extract</p> <p>END INSERTION</p> <p>is performed (with added internal standards for SVOA for example), report the Lab Analysis ID of the original sample extract that was used for the dilution or reanalysis."</p>
Exhibit H, Section 7.1/Table 1 and Section 7.2/Table 2	<p>The instructions for Analysis/Analyte/Result data element associated with InstrumentQC node have been revised:</p> <p>"For ICB and CCB less than</p> <p>BEGIN INSERTION</p> <p>or equal to</p> <p>END INSERTION</p> <p>the negative MDL (-MDL), report a leading "-"."</p>
Exhibit H, Section 7.1/Table 1 and Section 7.2/Table 2	<p>The instructions for Analysis/Analyte/ResultType data element associated with InstrumentQC node have been revised:</p> <p>"Report "Negative" for ICB, CCB, or ICS results less than</p> <p>BEGIN INSERTION</p> <p>or equal to</p> <p>END INSERTION</p> <p>the negative MDL (-MDL)."</p>

Exhibit, Section(s)	Revisions
Exhibit H, Section 7.1/Table 1, Section 7.2/Table 2, and Section 7.3/Table 3	<p>The instructions for ReportedResult/ResultType and Analysis/Analyte/ResultType data elements associated with SamplePlusMethod node have been revised:</p> <p>“Report "Negative" for PB or Inorganic LEB results less than</p> <p>BEGIN INSERTION</p> <p>or equal to</p> <p>END INSERTION</p> <p>the negative MDL (-MDL).”</p>

Appendix, Table	Revisions
Appendix A, Table 1	<p>The Instruction for Column "LabName" in the Preliminary Results Data Deliverable table has been revised:</p> <p>“Report the Lab Name per the instructions for Header/LabName</p> <p>BEGIN INSERTION</p> <p>enclosed in double quotation marks (e.g., "Testing Lab").”</p> <p>END INSERTION</p>
Appendix A, Table 1	<p>The Instruction for Column "AnalyteName" in the Preliminary Results Data Deliverable table has been revised:</p> <p>“Report the Analyte Name per the instructions for ReportedResult/AnalyteName</p> <p>BEGIN INSERTION</p> <p>enclosed in double quotation marks (e.g., "1,1-Dichloroethene").”</p> <p>END INSERTION</p>
Appendix D, Table 1	<p>The Instruction for Column "SampleShipDate" in the Sample Delivery Group (SDG) Traffic Report/Chain of Custody (TR/COC) Records Data Deliverable table has been revised:</p> <p>REPLACE:</p> <p>“Report the date and time the sample was shipped to the laboratory. Format as YYYYMMDDTHH:MM.”</p>

Appendix, Table	Revisions
	<p>WITH:</p> <p>“Report the date the sample was shipped to the laboratory. Format as YYYYMMDD.”</p>
Appendix D, Table 1	<p>The Instruction for Column “CollectionStartDate” in the Sample Delivery Group (SDG) Traffic Report/Chain of Custody (TR/COC) Records Data Deliverable table has been revised:</p> <p>REPLACE:</p> <p>“Report the date and time this sample was collected or sample collection was started. Format as YYYYMMDDTHH:MM.”</p> <p>WITH:</p> <p>“Report the date this sample was collected or sample collection was started. Format as YYYYMMDD.”</p>
Appendix D, Table 1	<p>The Instruction for Column “CollectionEndDate” in the Sample Delivery Group (SDG) Traffic Report/Chain of Custody (TR/COC) Records Data Deliverable table has been revised:</p> <p>REPLACE:</p> <p>“Report the date and time sample collection ended if provided. Otherwise leave null. Format as YYYYMMDDTHH:MM.”</p> <p>WITH:</p> <p>“Report the date sample collection ended if provided. Otherwise, leave null. Format as YYYYMMDD.”</p>
Appendix D, Table 1	<p>The Instruction for Column “AnalysisName” in the Sample Delivery Group (SDG) Traffic Report/Chain of Custody (TR/COC) Records Data Deliverable table has been revised:</p> <p>REPLACE:</p> <p>“Report the Analysis Name.”</p> <p>WITH:</p> <p>“Report the Analysis Name enclosed in double quotation marks (e.g., “1,4-Dioxane”).”</p>