# ELECTRONIC REPORTING TOOL (ERT)

# USER'S GUIDE


# Version 6 February 2022

Developed by AMEC

For U.S. Environmental Protection Agency



Welcome Page



ERT Main Menu Screenshot

### Table of Contents Part 1 Electronic Reporting Tool User Manual

CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	1
What is the ERT?	1
ERT Main Parts	1
ERT Application	1
Project Data Set	
Excel Spreadsheets	
Basic Workflow	2
CHAPTER 2: BEFORE YOU BEGIN	3
Test Plan	
Entering Sampling Data	3
CHAPTER 3: GETTING STARTED	5
Verify that you have a Version of Microsoft Access that will Run the ERT	5
Downloading and Installing the ERT	5
Example Data	6
Starting the ERT	6
Project Data Sets	7
Create New Project Data Set	
Select Project Data Set	
Save Project Data Set As	
CHAPTER 4: CREATE TEST PLAN	10
Navigating and Using the Tool	
Data Entry Process.	
Requested Information	
Screen Navigation	
Screen Help Tips	
Test Plan Tabs	
Facility/Tester Tab	
Locations/Methods Tab	
Regulations Tab	
Process/APCD Tab	
Methods Continued Tab	
Audit/CalibrationsTab Schedule Tab	
Reviewers Tab	
Attachments Tab	
Adding an Attachment	

CHAPTER 5: TEST DATA	41
Run Data	
Add New Run Data - Spreadsheet Import	
Add New Run Data - Directly	
Select Run Data	
Correcting Run Data Entry Information	
Delete Run Data	
Change Run Number	
Change Run Date	
Isokinetic/ Measured Method Test Data	47
Method Setup Tab	
Header Data Tab	
Point Data Tab	
Lab Data Tab	
Sample Data Tab	
Sampling/Stack Data Results Tab	
Cyclone Cut Size Tab	
·	
Emissions Tab	71
Paired Train QA/QC Tab	72
Instrumental Method Test Data	
Method Setup Tab	
Calibrations Tab	
ITM Run Results Screen	
Emissions Tab	
Performance Specification Data	
CEMS Information and Run Data	
CEMS Calibration Drift Data	
Relative Accuracy Results	
Calibration Drift Results	
Process Data	
Process Run Data Tab	
APCD Run Data Tab	
Lab Data Tab	
Tester DQ Assessment	
Attachments	
Completeness Check	
Report Verification	95
Creating an ERT Submission Package File	
Compacting a Project Data Set	
Compacting the ERT	
Project Submittal History/Creating the ERT Package for Regulatory Agency Submittal	
CHAPTER 6: ACCESSING TEST PLANS AND DATA FORREVIEW	100
CHALLEND. ACCESSING LEST FLANS AND DATATONNEVIEW	

Obtaining Test data for Review	
How to Obtain and View ERT Submissions from WebFIRE	
CHAPTER 7: REGULATORY AGENCY REVIEW	
Test Plan Review	
Test Plan Review Locations/Methods	
QA Information	
Testing Observation and Report Review	
Regulatory Field Observation Documentation	
Regulatory Assessment of Supporting Documentation Emissions Results	
Comprehensive Regulatory Test Assessment	
CHAPTER 8: PRINTED REPORTS	
Test Plan	
Test Plan Review	
Full Test Report (excludes RATA)	
Sampling Location Table	
Test Parameters Table	
Sampling/Stack Data Results SummaryTable	
Sampling/Stack Data Results DetailTable	
Emissions Summary Table	
Emissions Summary Table withLimits	
Process Run Data Table	
APCD Run Data Table	
Process Lab Run Data Table	
Attachments	
This is a list of attachments contained in the ERT file	
Completeness Questions	
Regulatory Review Questions	
Relative Accuracy Results	
Method 30B QA/QC	

CHAPTER 9: ADMINISTRATION	
Help/ System Reports	
APPENDIX A: CALCULATIONS	
Calculations and Decision Criteria Determination for RATAs	
ERT Emission/Concentration Calculations	
Instrumental Test Method (ITM) Run Results	
Method 30B Sample Data RATA Results	
Sample Train Parameters	
Stack Gas	
APPENDIX B: METHODS	
APPENDIX C: FREQUENTLY ASKEDQUESTIONS	
APPENDIX D: SCC TREE	
PART 2_CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	1
What is the ERT?	1
ERT Main Parts	1
ERT Application	
Project Data Set	
Excel Spreadsheet	2
Basic Workflow	2
CHAPTER 2: GETTING STARTED	3
Verify that you have a Version of Microsoft Access that will Run the ERT	3
Downloading and Installing the ERT	3
Example Data	4
Starting the ERT	4
Project Data Sets	
Create New Project Data Set	
Select Project Data Set	6
Save Project Data Set As	6
CHAPTER 3: CREATING A WOOD HEATER APPLICATION	8
Navigating and Using the Tool	9
Data Entry Process	9
Screen Navigation	9

Screen Help Tips	9
General Information	
Manufacturer's Information	11
EPA-Approved Test Laboratory	
EPA-Approved Third-Party Certifier	
Compliance Statements Acknowledgements	14
Compliance Statements Cont.	14
Signatures	
CHAPTER 4: WOOD HEATER LAB DATA ENTRY	17
Run Data	
Add New Run Data	
Add New Run Data – Spreadsheet Import	
Delete Run Data	
Efficiency Data (CSA B415.1-10)	31
Header Data (CSA B415.1-10)	
Point Data	
Show Calculations	
Show Results	
PM Data (ASTM E2515-11)	
Preburn	
Fuel Length (in): Measured length of the piece of wood; red font when	
Fuel weight (lbs): Weight of the piece of wood	
Eri	
Box A, Box B and Box C Box B	
Box C – 1-hour Option	
Hydronic Heater	
Ambient	
Results / Efficiency	
Show Results	
Show Efficiency Calcs	
CHAPTER 5: WOOD HEATER SUMMARY TABLES	37
Hydronic Heaters	
Data Summary Part A	
Data Summary Part B	
Additional Information	
Annual Weighting	
Adjustable Burn Rate	
Data Summary	
Annual Weighting Additional Information	

Single Burn Rate	
Data Summary	
Annual Weighting	
Additional Information	
Pellet Stove	
Summary Results – Pellet Stoves	
Summary Results – CSA B415.1	
Summary Results – Totals	
Forced Air Furnace	
Data Summary	
Data Summary Part B	
Hydronic Heater – Partial Thermal Storage	
Data Summary Part A.	
Data Summary Part B	
Data Summary Part C	
Data Summary Part D	
Additional Summary Information Part E	
Annual Weighting	
CHAPTER 6: WOOD HEATER CHECKLIST	
CHAPTER 6: WOOD HEATER CHECKLIST	
General and General Information	
Checklist	
CHAPTER 7: WOOD HEATER ATTACHMENTS	10
CHAPTER 7: WOOD HEATER ATTACHMENTS	
CHAPTER 8: ADMINISTRATION	50
Help/ System Reports	

Figure 1 - Security Warning	6
Figure 2 - ERT Main Menu	7
Figure 3 - Project Data Set of the ERT Main Menu	7
Figure 4 - Creating a Project Data Set	
Figure 5 - Select Project Data Set Browse Window	9
Figure 6 - Save Project Data Set as Template	
Figure 7 - ERT Main Menu	10
Figure 8 - Test Plan Facility/Tester Tab	11
Figure 9 - Test Plan Permit/SCC Tab	14
Figure 10 - Selecting SCC	15
Figure 11 - SCC selection menu with no established process units	
Figure 12 - Source Classification Code information when no units are available	
Figure 13 - Test Plan Location/Methods Tab	17
Figure 14 - Test Location Information Panel	
Figure 15 - Add Target Parameters	
Figure 16 - select Location, Method and Compounds	
Figure 17 - Deternine Method by Selecting Compound	
Figure 18 - Custom Method Information	
Figure 19 - Add Emissions/Concentrations	
Figure 20 - Select Emissions/Concentrations	
Figure 21 - Test Plan Regulation Tab	
Figure 22 - Regulation Limits	
Figure 23 - Test Plan Process/APCD Tab	
Figure 24 – Add Process Information	
Figure 25 - Process Lab Information	
Figure 26 - Attachments - Source/Process Flow Diagram	
Figure 27 - Control Devices	
Figure 28 - Test Plan Methods cont. Tab	34
Figure 29 - Test Plan Audit/Calibrations Tab	35
Figure 30 - Test Plan Screen Tab	
Figure 31 - Test Plan Reviewers Tab	
Figure 32 - Test Plan Attachments Tab	
Figure 33 - Attachments Options	
Figure 34 - Run Data Details	
Figure 35 - Import from Spreadsheet Option Dialog	
Figure 36- Import Field Run Data Window	
Figure 37- View Imported Data Windows	
Figure 38 - Enter New Run Key Data Window	
Figure 39 - Select Run Data	
Figure 40- Delete Run Window	
Figure 41 - Rename Run Number	
Figure 42 - Confirmation for renaming run number	
Figure 43 - Run Data Details Screen for Isokinetic/Manual Methods	
Figure 44 - Isokinetic Method: Method Setup Tab	
Figure 45 - Single Train Isokinetic Method: Header Data	
Figure 46- Paired Train Manual Method: Header Data	
Figure 47- Volume of liquid collucted sub menu	
Figure 48 - Isokinetic Method: Point Data Tab	
Figure 49- Paired Sample Train Point Data Tab	

Figure 50- Isokinetic Method: Lab Data Tab	62
Figure 51 - Paired Sample Train: Sample Data Screen	63
Figure 52- Isokinetic Method: Sampling/Stack Data Results Tab	67
Figure 53- Paired Sampling Trains: Stack Data Results Tab	67
Figure 54 - Cylcone Cut Size Tab and Point to Point Isokinetic calculations	70
Figure 55 - Emissions Tab	
Figure 56- QA/QC Tab	72
Figure 57 - Run Data Details for Instrumental Methods- Method Setup Tab	74
Figure 58 - Calibrations Tab	76
Figure 59 - ITM Run Results Tab	
Figure 60 - ITM Run Results, stack Parameters and Calibration Set selection	79
Figure 61 - Emissions Tab	
Figure 62 - Performance Specification Run Data Details	
Figure 63 - CEMS Calibration and Drift Data Entry	
Figure 64 - RATA results report	
Figure 65 - Calibration Drift Results Report	
Figure 66 - Process Run Data Tab	
Figure 67 - Run Navigation Bar	
Figure 68 - APCD Run Data Tab	
Figure 69 - Lab Data Tab	
Figure 70 - Tester Comments window	
Figure 71 - Attachment Tab	
Figure 72 - Completeness Check: Quality Assessment Questions	
Figure 73 - Final Test Report Verification Window	
Figure 74 - Access 2007 Compacting of ERT	
Figure 75 - Project Submittal History Area of the ERT Main Menu	
Figure 76 - Missing Items List in ERT	
Figure 77 - Create ERT Submission Package File Menu	
Figure 78 - Associate Reference Method Data Runs with Process Runs	
Figure 79 - Blank Associate Data Runs with Process Runs	
Figure 80 – PDS Submittal Data	
Figure 81 – Notice of Creation of ERT file	
Figure 82 - WebFIRE Seach Engine	
Figure 83 - WebFIRE Search Results	
Figure 84 - Test Plan Review Facility /Permit Information	
Figure 85 - Test Plan Review Locations/Methods Tab	
Figure 86 – Location, Stack and Flow Rate Information and Traverse Details	
Figure 87 – Complete Protocol Evaluation Calculations Screen Shot	
Figure 88 - Regulatory Field Observation Documentation Window	
Figure 89 – Regulatory Review of Quality Assessment Questions	
Figure 90 - Completeness and Regulatory Review Quality Assessment Questions	
Figure 91 - QAQ's Show Data	
Figure 92 - Cascading Multiple Windows	
Figure 93 - Test Report Review - Average Emissions	
Figure 94 - Test Reviewer Comments	
Figure 95- Report Selection Menu	
Figure 96 - Test Plan Report Print Preview Screen	
Figure 97 - Test Plan Review Comments	
Figure 98 - The ERT Help/Administration Screen	
- I	

Figure 99 - Security Warning	4
Figure 100 - ERT Main Menu	
Figure 101 - Project Data Set of the ERT Main Menu	5
Figure 102 - Creating a Project Data Set	6
Figure 103 - Select Project Data Set Browse Window	7
Figure 104 - Save Project Data Set as Template	7
Figure 105 – ERT Main Menu, Wood Heater Section	8
Figure 106 - Wood Heater Module on Main Menu	
Figure 107 - General Information	9
Figure 108 - Wood Heater Application Manufacturer's Information	11
Figure 109 - Wood Heater Application EPA-Approved Test Laboratory	
Figure 110 - Wood Heater Application EPA-Approved Third Party Certifier	
Figure 111 - Wood Heater Application Compliance Statement Acknowledgements	
Figure 112 - Wood Heater Application Compliance Statements Continued	
Figure 113 – Attach documents related to each compliance statement.	
Figure 114 - Wood Heater Application Signatures.	
Figure 115 – Wood Heater Lab Data Entry on ERT Main Menu	
Figure 116 - Run Data Details	
Figure 117 – Add New Run Data	
Figure 118 – Add New Run for Efficiency Data (CSA B415.1-10)	
Figure 119 - Select Run Added	18
Figure 120 – Method CSA B415.1-10 tabs to be completed	
Figure 121 – Add New Run for PM Data (ASTM E2515-11)	
Figure 122 – Import Spreadsheet	
Figure 123 – Linking Data From Different Worksheets in a Workbook	
Figure 124 – Import Successful	20
Figure 125 – Select Run Data	
Figure 126 – Delete Run Data Menu	
Figure 127 - Delete Run	
Figure 128 – Efficiency Data CSA B415.1-10 Header Data	
Figure 129 – Efficiency Method CSA B415.1-10 Point Data	
Figure 130 – Efficiency Data CSA B415.1-10 Show Calculations and Show Results Buttons	
Figure 131 – Efficiency Data CSA B415.1-10 Show Calculations	
Figure 132 – Efficiency Data CSA B415.1-10 Show Results	
Figure 133 – Particulate Matter (ASTM E2515-11) Preburn Data	
Figure 134 – Fuel Information Tab (ASTM E2515-11)	
Figure 135 - Particulate Matter Data (ASTM E2515-11) Box A	
Figure 136 - Particulate Matter Data (ASTM E2515-11) Box B	
Figure 137 - PM Data (ASTM E2515-11) Box $C - 1$ Hour Option	
Figure 138 - ASTM E2515-11 Temperature Data – Hydronic Heater	
Figure 139 – ASTM E2515-11 Ambient Data	
Figure 140 - ASTM E2515-11 PM Results and CSA 415.1-10 Efficiency Results	34
Figure 141 – ASTM E2515-11Particulate Matter Data Results	
Figure 142 – ASTM E2515-11PM Results and CSA 415.1-10 Efficiency Calculations	
Figure 143 – Wood Heater Summary Table Menu	
Figure 144 - Hydronic Heaters – Data Summary Part A	
Figure 145 - Hydronic Heaters – Data Summary Part B	
Figure 146 - Hydronic Heaters – Additional Information	
Figure 140 - Hydronic Heaters – Annual Weighting	
rigate i i rivatome ricatelo rimual i ergitting	

Figure 148 - Adjustable Burn Rate Stove – Data Summary	39
Figure 149 - Adjustable Burn Rate Stove - Annual Weighting	39
Figure 150 - Adjustable Burn Rate Stove – Additional Information	40
Figure 151 – Single Burn Rate Stove – Data Summary	41
Figure 152 – Single Burn Rate Stove – Annual Weighting	41
Figure 153 - Single Burn Rate Stove – Additional Information	41
Figure 154 - Pellet Stoves – Summary Results	
Figure 155 - Pellet Stoves – Summary Results (CSA B415.1)	42
Figure 156 - Pellet Stoves - Summary Results - Totals	42
Figure 157 - Forced Air Furnace – Data Summary	43
Figure 158 - Forced Air Furnace – Data Summary Part B	43
Figure 159 – Hydronic Heater – Partial Thermal Storage Data Summary Part A	44
Figure 160 – Hydronic Heater – Partial Thermal Storage Data Summary Part B	44
Figure 161 - Hydronic Heater - Partial Thermal Storage Data Summary Part C	45
Figure 162 - Hydronic Heater - Partial Thermal Storage Data Summary Part D	45
Figure 163 – Hydronic Heater – Partial Thermal Storage – Additional Summary Information	45
Figure 164 – Hydronic Heater – Partial Thermal Storage – Annual Weighting	46
Figure 165 - Wood Heater Checklist Menu	47
Figure 166 – Wood Heater Checklist – General Information	47
Figure 167 – Wood Heater Checklist	48
Figure 168 - Wood Heater Attachment Menu	
Figure 169 - Wood Heater Attachments list	49
Figure 170 – The ERT Help/Adminstration Screen	50

### Chapter 1: Introduction

Thank you for using this version of EPA's Electronic Reporting Tool (ERT). Please keep checking *Electronic Reporting Tool (ERT) Webpage* for the latest version of ERT and the user's manual.

#### What is the ERT?

The ERT is used to electronically create stationary source sampling test plans and reports which are submitted to regulatory agencies, provide a means for regulatory agencies to give comments on a test plan, to document the test program, to calculate results and to submit (or resubmit) the test results as an electronic report to the regulatory agency. Additionally, the ERT provides a means for individuals to review and comment on the submitted test report. Certain EPA regulatory programs require the use of the ERT or compatible XML schema to submit compliance tests reports. The ERT allows one to create a compressed submittal package, which consists of the test data and an XML export file. Users can then upload the submission package file to the EPA's Central Data Exchange (CDX)/CEDRI: Compliance and Emissions Data Reporting Interface. After a processing period, the test reports will be stored in the EPA's WebFIRE database accessible through the <u>WebFIRE Webpage</u>.

#### **ERT Main Parts**

When you open the ERT for the first time, you will see the Microsoft Access Application. The application, which consists of the main screen, internal screens and menu buttons, allows one to create a Project Data Set (PDS). The PDS contains all information required, plus any attachments. The Microsoft Excel import spreadsheet is an optional part of the ERT. You can use it to enter manual source test data and subsequently import that data into the ERT.

#### **ERT** Application

The ERT Application is a Microsoft Access Database. To run the ERT, you must have Microsoft Access 2010, 2013, 2016 or the runtime version of Microsoft Access. The runtime version is available for free from the Microsoft Access Download Center. Before running the ERT for the first time, please refer to Chapter 3: <u>Getting Started for instructions</u>.

#### **Project Data Set**

The Project Data Set (PDS) is a Microsoft Access Database file generated by the ERT Application which, depending on the stage of completion, may contain the Test Plan, Test Plan Review (by the Regulatory Agency), Test Report Data and/or Test Report Assessment (by the Regulatory Agency). This is the file that will be exchanged between the source test contractor, the client and the state agency, and the EPA. Each PDS contains information for test reports from one emissions source. When you create a new PDS, you are prompted for a file name for the PDS that is created. The file is created automatically in a "ProjectData" directory by the ERT. You may change the location of the "ProjectData" directory if you wish. The last PDS used is remembered by the ERT when restarted. There is no limit on the number of PDS files, but only one PDS can be opened at a time.

#### **Excel Spreadsheets**

The Excel spreadsheet can be used as an option for entering test data into the ERT. There are three template spreadsheets available that are prepared for import. Manual and isokinetic test run data, Method 30B data and instrumental data can be added to the appropriate spreadsheet and then imported into the ERT. Users have the option of incorporating these spreadsheets into their legacy spreadsheets and then importing the data into the ERT.

#### **Basic Workflow**

The basic workflow is as follows (though other workflows are possible):

- Source Test Owner
  - Creates a partial test plan with basic information on facility and process requiring testing and target analytes to be included.
  - Emails the ERT PDS to source Test Company for completion.
- Source or Testing Company
  - Creates the test plan/report [Note: The test *plan* is part of the test *report*. You have the option to submit a test *plan* electronically to the regulatory agency before testing].
  - Creates the ERT Submission Package File.
  - Submits the ERT Submission Package File to regulatory agency.
- Regulatory Agency
  - Reviews test plan, if submitted, communicates with source/testing company, as necessary.
  - Approves test plan or marks areas where more information is needed.
- Source or Testing Company
  - Updates the test plan, if requested by the regulatory agency, creates new ERT Submission Package File, and resubmits to the agency.
  - If approved by regulatory agency, performs testing.
  - Enters run data into spreadsheet and imports into the ERT or directly enters data into the ERT.
  - Enters lab data into the ERT.
  - QA check data entered into the ERT.
  - Attaches supporting documentation.
  - Creates the "ERT Submission Package" file.
  - Submits the "ERT Submission Package" file to Regulatory Agency or EPA.
- Regulatory Agency
  - Reviews test report.

### Chapter 2: Before You Begin

Here are some tips to help complete each section of the ERT.

#### **Test Plan**

Completing the test plan accomplishes two interrelated processes in the ERT. First, it is the vehicle used to inform all the parties associated with the planned test program of the needed details about the specific process unit to be tested, the test matrix (test methods, number of runs, duration of runs, analytical finish, etc.), the process information to be collected, the QA/QC activities, and the safety requirements. Second, the test plan provides the foundation for the test report since the information that is in the test plan is used in some aspect of a comprehensive test report.

Although, the operating permit is not needed to input the minimum information required to complete a test plan in the ERT, it is recommended that a copy of the operating permit for the affected source be available. The permit will provide most of the site identification information needed for the ERT.

Test location information, process descriptions, air pollution control device information and parameter monitoring information are the same as normally required for test plans (see EPA Emissions Measurement Center Guideline Document 42, <u>Preparation and Review of Site Specific Test Plans</u>).

The ERT requires detailed process information. This information is important in properly characterizing the emission process and is necessary for EPA to develop and update its emissions factors (EF) database. EF data is typically represented as a mass rate of emissions per process parameter (i.e. lb pollutant/ton of product made). The process data are needed to determine the value of the denominator.

**Required** facility and process information includes, but is not limited to:

- Process rate information,
- Source Classification Code (SCC) Facility Registration Number, and,
- Air Pollution Control Device (APCD) operating parameters.

#### **Entering Sampling Data**

The ERT allows entering run field data two ways:

- 1. Entering data into the ERT spreadsheet and then importing the data into the ERT.
- 2. Entering the data directly into the ERT.

The spreadsheet option is provided for users that are more comfortable using spreadsheets. The three ERT spreadsheet templates provided on the ERT website may also be incorporated into users' proprietary field data spreadsheets. Users can link cells from their spreadsheets to the cells in the ERT spreadsheet templates. This allows for quicker data entry into the ERT and reduces the likelihood of key punch errors. There are 3 import spreadsheets available:

- 1. ERT\_Manual\_Methods\_DE\_Template-Empty.xltm (Isokinetic or manual methods)
- 2. ERT\_Manual\_Methods\_DE\_30B\_Template-Empty.xltm (Method 30B data)
- 3. ERT\_Instrumental\_Template-Empty.xltm (Instrumental methods-Method 3A, 6C, 7E, 10 or 25A.)

The ERT has been designed to accept data for most of the individual test methods commonly used today. Although we recognize that some test methods may be combined to minimize the number of sample trains in operation (Method 5/26A, Method 29 and Method 5/202), ERT has not been set up to include all possible combinations. Therefore, if a single train is used for multiple methods which the ERT is not currently capable of combining (example: Method 5 and Method 8), data for each method must be added to the ERT separately.

To avoid the need to enter the same run data multiple times, we recommend the use of the included Excel spreadsheet and importing the data into each method, as appropriate.

At this time, the instrumental test data can be entered by hand or by import of the spreadsheet. If the Instrumental Template is used, the gas cylinder information tab will import the information into the ERT under item 16 of the "*Test Plan*" tab.

### Chapter 3: Getting Started

#### Verify that you have a Version of Microsoft Access that will Run the ERT

If you have Microsoft Access version 2007:

• Verify that you have at least Service Pack 2 installed. Open Microsoft Access, click on the MS circle in the upper left corner of the Access window, click on "*Access Options*" at the bottom of the window, click on "*Resources*" in the left column. At the bottom of the window just below the text "about Microsoft Office Access 2007" the software (Microsoft Office Access 2007) and the Service Pack level is identified. If Service Pack 2 is installed, the text "SP2 MSO" will be between two sets of numbers that are in parentheses. If you do not have Service Pack 2 installed, click on "*Check for Updates*" and follow the directions to install the updates from Microsoft. Many corporate computers do not allow users to install software and you will need to contact your information technology center and have them update your software. The 2007 version is no longer supported by Microsoft.

If you have Microsoft Access version 2010, 2013, or 2016: Any Service Pack level is acceptable in order to run the ERT Application.

If you do **NOT** have Microsoft Access:

- You will need to download and install the runtime version of Microsoft Access from the Microsoft Access Download Center. A link to the download center is provided on the EPA ERT website. Please download a version that is the version of office you have installed on your computer.
- MS Access 2010 Runtime.
- MS Access 2013 Runtime.
- MS Access 2016 Runtime.
- After installing the Runtime version of Microsoft Access, follow the instructions below to install and run the ERT.

If you have Windows 10, only 1 Office version can be on the computer. The operating system is incompatible with two versions of the same program. For instance, if a computer has Access 2010 and 2013 on it, they will not work and one will need to be removed.

#### **Downloading and Installing the ERT**

The EPA <u>*Electronic Reporting Tool (ERT) Webpage*</u> contains the latest versions of the ERT, the spreadsheet templates, the user's guide, and example data sets.

Once you've determined that you are running a version of MS Access which is capable of running the ERT Application, follow these two steps.

1. Download the latest version of the program application. This file includes the latest version of the ERT and the user's guide.

2. To run the ERT, right click on the downloaded zip file and select "Open". Select a destination for the extracted files. Go to the destination folder and double click on ERTv6.accdb file. It is recommended that the ERT program file and the data set files be located on a local drive (ie. C: or desktop). Some functionality of the ERT is lost across servers or external drivers.

#### **Example Data**

The EPA's website also contains example data for use with the ERT. Download the files from the ERT Project Data Set example link. This file includes an example Project Data Set (PDS) and the associated spreadsheet. Unzip the files to your hard drive and use the ERT to select the ERT TEST-PDS.accdb file. See the Selecting a Project Data Set section for more information on selecting a PDS.

#### Starting the ERT

To start the ERT, double click ERTv6.accdb file from the location where you've installed the ERT application.

Depending on how your version of Access is configured, you may see a "Security Warning" window (as shown below) when you try to start the ERT.

	5-∂-⊽		1		U	ERT v 6 8/28/2020	Ĵ	Ù	- 🗆 X
File	Home Creat	e Exter	nal Data	Database Tools	Help	$\wp$ Tell me what yo	u want to do		
View	Paste	t Painter	ERT	Algebra Ascending Ty Sele	ection •	New	Σ O ab -		< 運程 MI× Щ× 数→ 三三三 Ⅲ・
Views	Clipboard	r <u>s</u>			Wel	come to the	e		Text Formatting
🧵 SE	CURITY WARNING	Some active		ELECT	RONI	<b>IC REPORT</b>	NG TOOL		×
				Macro Single Step			?	×	
				Macro Name: AutoExec Condition: Action Name: RunCode Arguments: DoStart()	Sa	Continue Continue	Step All Macr Continue Error Number: 2001		

When the ERT is first opened, the following screenshot may be seen (Figure 1):

Figure 1 - Security Warning

Select "Stop all Macros" and the red X in the upper right-hand corner of the Macro Single Step box. Once the Macro box is closed, enable all macros in the yellow Security Warning banner. This should enable the program torun. Once continue is selected, the ERT – Main Menu will be displayed (Figure 2).

<b>⊟</b> 5°∂°⊽		E	RT v 6 8/28/2020	Lowe, Theresa 🕕 — 🗆 🗙
File Home Create	External Data Data	base Tools Help	eta  Tell me what you want to	do
View View View	Filter	ing Selection - ding Advanced - e Sort Toggle Filter	Refresh All + X Delete	→ ↓     B     I     U     !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
/iews Clipboard	Sort Sort	& Filter	Records	Find Text Formatting
	ER	T - Main Me	nu	
Setup / Test Plan	Test Data	Regulatory Agency	Printed Reports	Wood Heaters
Test Plan	Run Data	Review Test Plan Review	Select Report / Data	Wood Heater Application
Quick Jumps	Process Data	Regulatory Field	Table	Wood Heater
SCC	Tester DQ Assessment	Observation Documentation Regulatory Assessment of		Lab Data Entry
Process Info	Attachments	Supporting Documentation Emissions Results	Administration	Wood Heater Summary Tables
Locations/Methods	Completeness Check	Comprehensive Regulatory	Help / Sys. Reports	Wood Heater Checklist
	Report Verification	Test Assessment		Wood Heater
			- L	Attachments
Select Project Data Set	Create New Project Data Set	Save Project Data Set As	Compact Project Data Set	
Current Project No Pr Data Set:	oject Data Set Selected Please	Select or Create a new Project	ct Data Set by selecting the buttons abo	ove
Project Submittal Histo	rv:			
		Create ERT Subm	nission Package File	

Figure 2 - ERT Main Menu

#### **Project Data Sets**




Figure 3 - Project Data Set of the ERT Main Menu

The Project Data Set (PDS) is a Microsoft Access file that contains the information for all the source tests performed at a single emissions source. This includes the test plan, run data, process data, test report, test review and any supporting documentation that has been included as attachments. When the PDS is sent to the regulatory agency, the agency can use the ERT to review and approve the PDS for the source test. When attachment file sizes are small, the

ERT's file can be emailed through many corporate, commercial, state and Federal email systems.

You can select, create, save as, or compact a PDS from the "*ERT Main Menu*" (Figure 3). The first time you create a PDS, you will select "**Create New Project Data Set**" (Figure 4). Thereafter, you can select the project data set and click on "**Save Project Data Set As**" to save the entire PDS with another name or to save only the test plan part of the first data set as a template.

#### **Create New Project Data Set**

🖼 New Project Data Set Information	- =	x
Project Data Set Folder:		
C:\Devapps\ERT\ProjectData\		
Project Data Set File Name:		
Create New Project Data Set Close without creating Project Data	Set	

Figure 4 - Creating a Project Data Set

- Click "Create New Project Data Set" from the "*Project Data Set*" area of the ERT main menu.
- Browse for the location of the folder to store the PDS or let it stay in the default folder.
- Enter a name for the PDS file in the "Project Data Set File Name" box.
- Click "Create New Project Data Set" to create a PDS with the name you entered in the folder you created.

#### Select Project Data Set

- Click "Select Project Data Set" from the *project data set* area of the ERT Main Menu. A "*Browse*" menu like shown in Figure 5 will appear.
- Select the PDS from the default folder (ProjectData) or browse to the folder containing the desired PDS and select the file and click "**Open**".

#### Save Project Data Set As

Source tests for similar sources may contain some of the same information. To keep from having to enter the same information for similar tests, the ERT can save the currently selected PDS as a template. When this happens, a new PDS is created with the current test plan information saved and all the other data deleted. However, the attachments are not deleted and will need to be removed. The new template PDS can then is used as a starting point for a similar source test. The ERT also can save all of current PDS data into a new PDS.

Browse							? 🔀
Look in:	🛅 Example	PDS	~	٢	- 🖄	×	📬 📰 •
My Recent Documents Desktop My Documents My Computer My Network Places	Example P Example_P	pledata.zip C Kiln Raw-Mill_05-03-2012_15-59_PKG.zip opulated PDS, Unit 1_05-23-2012_14-29_PKG.zip CKiln_Raw-Mill.accdb opulated_PDS.accdb					
	File <u>n</u> ame:				~		
	Files of <u>type</u> :	Project Data Set (*.mdb; *.accdb; *.zip)			~		
Tools 🔹			C	Oł			Cancel

Figure 5 - Select Project Data Set Browse Window

- Click "Save Project Data Set As" from the ERT main menu. The window shown in Figure 6 will appear.
- Click "**Yes**" to save the current PDS as a Template (saving test plan data only).
- Click "No" to save the current PDS (saving all data).
- Click "Cancel" to cancel the operation.

Microsoft Office Access
Save this Project Data Set as a Template? A Template saves only the Test Plan information.
Yes No Cancel

Figure 6 - Save Project Data Set as Template

<b>ਜ</b> 5ਾ ਟੇਾ ₹				ERT	v 6 8/28/2020			Low	e, Theresa 🛛 🔲			×
ile <mark>Home</mark> Crea	ate External [		ase Tools H	· .	P Tell me what	you want	to do			~	_ 1_	
iew Paste	, Filt	Z↓ Descend	ing Advance Sort Toggle I	ed * R	efresh All • X Delete •	ABC	Find	BI <u>U</u> A⊸ªZ⊸	ஊ    ⊨ = = =		_ 3_	
ews Clipboard		Sort	& Filter		Records		Find		Text Formatting	I	ß	
frmMainMenu 🗙		ER	T - Main	Men	u							
Setup / Test Plan	Test D	ata	Regulatory Ag Review	lency	Printed Repo	orts	Wood	Heaters				
Test Plan	Run Da	ita	Test Plan Rev	iew	Select Report / Table	Data		Heater cation				
Quick Jumps	Process I		Regulatory Fi Observation Docum					Heater ta Entry				
SCC Process Info	Tester DQ As Attachm		Regulatory Assess Supporting Docum		Administer		Wood	Heater				
Locations/Methods	Completenes		Emissions Res	ults	Administrat Help / Sys. Rep		Wood	y Tables Heater				
	Report Veri	fication	Comprehensive Re Test Assessm					cklist Heater				
								nments				
Select Project Data Se	et Create New Pr	roject Data Set	Save Project Data	Set As	Compact Project Data	Set						
Current Project Data Set:	: \Users \tlowe \Deskto	op\example data s	ets\GinA.accdb - Da	ate Created	: 5/20/2020							
Project Submittal His	story:		Create I	ERT Submiss	ion Package File							
Action *	<ul> <li>✓ SubmitDate ↓</li> </ul>	SubmittedTo 👻	SubmittedFr 🗸	Con	nment 🗸		Pkg Name	•				
Record: I4 4 1 of 1	► ► ► ► T No	Filter Search	4					F				
		Jearen										

Figure 7 - ERT Main Menu

The ERT Main Menu shows the functional areas (Figure 7).

- "Setup / Test Plan" with "Quick Jumps"
- "Test Data"
- "Regulatory Agency Review"
- "Printed Reports"
- "Administration"
- "Project Data Set"
- "Project Submittal History"

If you are working with a new (empty) project data set (PDS) you will only be able to access the "*Setup / Test Plan*" functions of the ERT. After you have completed entering the setup information, you will be able to access the other menu items. If you have already entered data into a PDS (or will be working with the example dataset provided on the website) and it has not already loaded, click the <u>"Select Project Data Set" button</u> and follow the file select dialog instructions.

#### Navigating and Using the Tool

😑 Test Plan						
Test Plan Title	Emission	is Testing of Wood C	hip Dryer 2		Test Plan Date:*	1/2/2014
Facility/Tester Pe	ermit/SCC Locat	tions/Methods   Regulations	ons Process,	APCD Methods con	nt. Audit/Calibrations Sd	hedule Reviewers Attach.
Bacility Nan	ne: *					
Environ M	ental Concious	Furniture Co.				
Address: *	666 66th St I	N Ave		AFS Number:		
City: *	Boisenberry			Industry NAICS:	30701415	Search on the Web
State/Zip:*	NC 👻	27854-4866		FRS: *	27562	Search on the Web
County: *	Alleghany Co	)	•	State ID:		
Contact: *	Enviro M. Co	ncious		_		
Phone: *	(919) 666-26	526		Catitude:		
Fax:	(919) 666-62	262		Longitude:		
email: *	enviro.concio	ous@enviroconcious.c	com			
Provide the second s	* OAQPS	missions Factors & Pr /EMAD (C312-02) ch Triangle Park	olicy Applica		Attach Test	Company Certification
State/Zi	p:* NC	• 27711				
Contact:	* Ronald	E. Myers		Attach Field T	eam Lead Certification	
Phone:		41-5407				
Fax:	· ·	41-1065				
email: *	myers.	ron@epa.gov				Next Page
(* required	fields)					

Figure 8 - Test Plan Facility/Tester Tab

#### **Data Entry Process**

To begin the data entry process, click "**Test Plan**" in the "*Setup / Test Plan*" column on the ERT main menu. The screen shown in Figure 8 will appear. This screen contains a series of data entry tabs that cover the information required for a test plan/test report. [Recall a test *plan* is not required by the EPA to be submitted. However, a state air agency may require/request that it be sent to them. Keep in mind, these fields should be filled in before starting to enter field data collected by stack testing.]

There are 10 tabs or sections in the test plan module: "Facility/Tester," "Permit/SCC," "Regulations," "Process/APCD," "Locations/Methods," "Methods cont.," "Audit/Calibrations," "Schedule," "Signatures," and "Attachments."

#### **Requested Information**

The information requested has been selected to adequately characterize a facility, the regulatory use of the data, and what tests are to be performed. In general, providing this information will give the test plan reviewer enough information to evaluate the test plan without needing additional information. However, it is not possible to create a generic list of information that includes all the information for all test plan scenarios. Use comments and attachments to provide information in the test plan to facilitate review whenever possible. Complete all sections to speed up the test plan review and approval process. You may access specific sections of the test plan data entry form by clicking the other control buttons on the ERT main menu (e.g. "Locations/Methods").

You must complete the red asterisk data fields in the test plan to be able to submit the ERT file through CDX/CEDRI.

#### **Screen Navigation**

Move from one section to the next by clicking the "**Next Page**" button located in the bottom right corner of the screen or by clicking on the desired tab of the data entry form. You will generally have two options for entering data in the form, either typing in the spaces provided or using the copy and paste method to extract information from other electronic documents.

#### **Screen Help Tips**

Moving the cursor over the blue circled question mark displays a "pop up" help tip window that provides a detailed description of what is needed for that field.

#### **Test Plan Tabs**

#### Facility/Tester Tab

Enter information about the facility and the testing company. The fields are as follows:

Facility Name:*	The public or commercial name of the facility site (i.e., the full name that commonly appears on invoices, signs, or other business documents).
Address: *	The address that describes the physical (geographical) location of the front door or main entrance of a facility site, including urban-style street address or rural address.
City: *	The city in which the facility resides.
State/Zip: *	The two-letter state and mailing zip code in which the facility resides. Use the drop-down menu to select the two-letter postal code for the State.
County: *	The county or parish in which the facility is located. Use the drop- down menu to select the county. These will be available after the postal code for the State is selected. One use of this information is a search criterion to identify a facility which is in the regulatory jurisdiction of a local or tribal agency.
Contact: *	The person with knowledge of the facility's operations during the test program who can assist reviewers of the test plan or test report if they have questions.
Phone: *	The phone number of the contact or the facility.
Fax:	The facsimile number of the facility through which the contact can assist the reviewers (optional).
Email: *	A working email address of the contact which can be used to assist the reviewers.
AFS Number:	EPA AIRS Facility System (AFS) number.

Industry NAICS:	North American Industry Classification System.
FRS: *	EPA Facility Registry System number (FRS). A web link to EPA's Envirofacts web page is provided should you not know the FRS number. Several search methods are available to locate the facility and obtain the FRS number and other information on the facility.
State ID:	The state identification number as provided by a state air pollution control agency.
Latitude:	Latitude of emission release point (typically the stack), with a minimum of 5 decimal places.
Longitude:	Longitude of emission release point (typically the stack), with a minimum of 5 decimal places.
Testing Company: *	The public or commercial name that commonly appears on invoices, signs or other business documents. A button is adjacent to the data entry field to attach a copy of certification documentation including that the testing firm qualifies as an AETB as described in ASTM D7036-12 Standard Practice for Competence of Air Emission Testing Bodies.
Address: *	The standard address used to send mail to an individual with the source test company.
City: *	The state in which the source test company resides.
State/Zip: *	The two-letter state and mailing zip code of the source test company.
Contact: *	The person with knowledge of the design and conduct of the source test program. A button is adjacent to the data entry field to attach a copy of certification documentation including that the test team lead is a Qualified Individual as described in ASTM D7036-12 Standard Practice for Competence of AirEmission Testing Bodies.
Phone: *	The phone number of the source test company through which the contact can assist the reviewers.
Fax:	The facsimile number of the source test company through which the contact can assist the reviewers (optional).
Email: *	A working email address through which the contact can assist the reviewers.
Project Number:	The assigned project number for the testing project by the test company (optional).

#### \* indicate required fields

Note: If you have access to the Internet, clicking on "Search on the Web" link will connect to a website that allow you to search for your NAICS or FRS number.

#### Permit/SCC Tab

est Plan Title: * BP - De	ecatur Works, Decatur A	L - AB8103 RATA-2012	Test Plan I	Date:* 12/11/2011	- 0
cility/Tester Permit/SCC Re	gulations Process/APCD L	ocations/Methods   Methods cont.	Audit/Calibrations	Schedule Reviewers Attach	ı.]
Air Permit Number:	712-0002-X058				
Permitted State Sour	ce ID/Name: AB8103	Hot Oil Furnace			
Permitted Maximum P	Process Rate:	178.3 MMBtu/hr			
Maximum Normal Ope	ration Process Rate:	100-150 MMBtu/hr			
Target Process Rate	for Testing:	90 MMBtu/hr			
Operational Hours Pe	r Year:	5500			
SCC:					
SCC/Desc · *	10200601	External Combustion Boilers -	Industrial - Nat	ral Cas - > 100 Million	
SCC/Desc.: *		External Combustion Boilers - BTU/hr			
③ <u>SCC/Desc.</u> : * Target Parameter:	* 10200601 Heat Input	BTU/hr	cess Rate:	Million Btus/hr	
Target Parameter:		BTU/hr	cess Rate:		
		BTU/hr	cess Rate:	Million Btus/hr	
Target Parameter: Target Parameter Description (if		BTU/hr	cess Rate: f Measure:	Million Btus/hr	

Figure 9 - Test Plan Permit/SCC Tab

The "Permit/SCC" tab screen (Figure 9) is where permit information is inputted, including process rate information. Also, this is where the Source Classification Code (SCC) is selected by clicking on the "Select SCC from list" button. SCCs are 8-digit codes that represent a specific emission process, oftentimes for a specific industry. If you do not know the correct SCC, source descriptions in the relevant section of AP-42 may provide you the code or part of the code otherwise you should contact the facility. It is very important to select the proper SCC for the emission process you've tested.

The fields on this tab are described as follows:

Air Permit Number:	State or Federal Permit Number.
Permitted State Source ID/ Name:	Many state and local agencies have alphanumeric identifiers for individual process operating units with an associated name describing the unit. If the regulatory agency to which this test will be sent has a specific identifier for the unit tested, enter it in this location.
Permitted Maximum Process Rate:	Rate as listed in Title V or state permit.
	Part 1 -14

Maximum Normal Operation Process Rate:	Rate as listed in Title V or state permit.
Target Process Rate for Testing:	Value of the target process rate for the test program.
Operational Hours Per Year:	Normal hours the facility operates in a year.
SCC/Desc:	The Source Classification Code (SCC) is selected through the use of the "Select SCC from list" button. Yellow fields are copied from another form (Figure 10 - Selecting SCC) and cannot be edited from the yellow highlighted field.
Target Parameter:	For most SCC's, this field is automatically filled based on the SCC selected. For those SCC's without an established target process parameter, this will be a user established parameter.
Process Rate:	The rate units used to quantify the feed or output level of the target parameter for the source process
Pollutant Unit of Measure:	The unit of measure for the target pollutants measured during the test. The time units in the denominator for the process rate and the pollutant unit of measure must be the same. Additional pollutant units of measure may be selected in "Locations/Methods" tab.
Target Parameter Description:	Description of the identified target parameter and associated process rate and pollutant unit of measure if the text used in the fields requires clarification.

== Se	lect SCC			- 1	=	x
		SCC   Units   Measure   Materials   Action				
		10200104   Lb   Tons   Anthracite   Burned		]		
	Level 1	External Combustion Boilers	*			
	Level 2	Industrial	*			
	Level 3	Anthracite Coal	*			
	Level 4	Traveling Grate (Overfeed) Stoker	~			
		In Select Time Unit (will be Process Rate and Comp				
		ОК				

Figure 10 - Selecting SCC

**Note:** The fields with yellow background are filled in automatically when the SCC is selected from the series of dropdown lists.

While many SCCs have one or more established sets of emissions units and units for quantifying the process rate, there are also many which do not have a set of units for process rates. Figure 11 shows a short list of SCCs where there are four SCCs with established units for the process rate and four SCCs where there are no established units to measure the process rate.

Description	SCC8	UNIT	MEASURE	MATERIAL	ACTION
Bleaching	30504160				
Calcining, calciner NEC	30504149				1
Calcining, flash calciner	30504142	Lb	Tons	Clay	Produced
Calcining, multiple hearth furnace	30504141	Lb	Tons	Clay	Produced
Calcining, rotary calciner	30504140	Lb	Tons	Clay	Produced
Drying, apron dryer	30504132	Lb	Tons	Clay	Produced
Drying, dryer NEC	30504139	Chesher		Candens	577 GL. 22. 67 CM 20
Drying, rotary dryer	30504130				

Figure 11 - SCC selection menu with no established process units

When a user selects one of the SCCs where there is no established set of units for the process rate, the selection of one of these SCCs will initiate a sub menu shown in Figure 12 which allows the user to establish a set of units for documenting the process rate variable to associate with the measured emissions.

Pollutant Unit:	Lb
	Emission factor unit numerator; units associated with pollutant emitted (as in "LB" in "LB of NOx per tons of coal burned")
Measure:	Tons
	Emission factor unit denominator; units associated with material processed (as in "TONS" in "Lb of NOx per TONS of coal burned")
Material:	×
	Material processed (as in "COAL" in "Lb of NOx per tons of COAL burned")
Action:	~
	Action performed on the material (as in "BURNED" in "Lb of NOx per tons of coal BURNED")

Figure 12 - Source Classification Code information when no units are available

The process variable is divided into four parameters.

- The first parameter is the units used to measure the pollutants. A default of pounds (Lb) is pre-populated in the "*Pollutant Unit*" field. Other units may be selected either from the drop- down list or users may add emissions units. Users should limit their selection to units which are available as a rate (i.e. /hr or /minute) in the "*Add Emissions/Concentrations*" area of the "*Locations/Methods*" tab (Item 2b).
- The second parameter is "*Measure*" which is the units used to measure the process rate. Several existing units for measurement are available and include but not limited to tons, megawatt-hour, and pounds. Additional units of measurement may be added should the required measurement units not be in the list.

- The third parameter is "*Material*." The parameter material is the designation of what material is measured as an indicator of the process rate. As with "*Measure*" many items are available in the drop-down list of existing materials. Also, the user may add a parameter describing the material used to describe the process rate.
- Lastly, "*Action*" is used to describe what action is used to describe the measured material. The drop-down list includes many existing actions that have been used to describe other process rates. If the user cannot find a suitable action to describe the process rate measurement, an additional action may be added.

Upon completion of the selection of the pollutant unit, measure, material and action, clicking on "**OK**" will return you to the SCC selection list where the time unit for measuring the process rate should be selected. The default time unit is hour but others may be selected from the drop-down list. The time unit must be the same as the time unit used to measure the pollutant. Clicking on "**OK**" will return you to the tab for the entry of "*Permit/SCC*" information. You will notice that the fields "*Target Parameter*," "*Process Rate*" and "*Pollutant Unit of Measure*" will have the items that were selected in the emissions factor selection screen. You may provide more detail on the target parameter used to describe the process rate if needed.

#### Locations/Methods Tab




Figure 13 - Test Plan Location/Methods Tab

You can input sampling locations and sampling methods using this screen (Figure 13).

1. Please enter sampling location information (all dimensions in inches): Section 1 is where sampling location information is entered. For existing test locations, you can click in the Location column which will open the "Test Location Information" screen. You must click on the "Add Location" for a new location. The different locations must have a unique name which must be entered before the remaining fields become activated. Multiplesampling locations may be provided for emissions sources requiring inlet and outlet testing, for

different operating conditions or with multiple emissions locations. The ERT does not currentlysum or average emissions from multiple locations. As a result, for sources with multiple inlets or outlet locations, testers are required to calculate the sum or average of these multiple stacks and provide the resulting information in the "*Tester DQ Assessment*" section.

When you click "Add Location," you'll see a window like that shown in Figure 14 - Test Location Information. Enter a unique location name. Then select either inlet or outlet. All dimensions should be entered in inches. If a "*Round Duct Diam*" is entered, the "*Duct Length*" and "*Duct Width*" fields will be inactivated. If "*Duct Length*" and "*Duct Width*" values are entered, the "*Equivalent Diameter*" will be calculated automatically.

Enter the "*Up Stream Distance from Disturbance*" (Distance A- Fig 1-1, Reference Method 1 (RM1)) and "*Down Stream Distance fromDisturbance*" (Distance B -Fig 1-1, RM1) and click on the "**Calc Points**" button. The number of "*Total Traverse Points*" required by RM 1 will be automatically calculated. You may change the number in the"*Total Traverse Points*" field to reflect the proposed or actual number of traverse points.




Figure 14 - Test Location Information Panel

Enter the values for percent oxygen, percent moisture, stack temperature and gas flow that you expect to exist during the emissions test in the far-right data fields. Select "*Yes*" in the "*Non-Isokinetic Traverse*" field if this is an instrumental test method (such as Method 10, 7E, 6C or 25A). Select "*No*" if this is an isokinetic or manual extractive test method which Particulate Traverse or a traverse which requires isokinetic sampling. Check the box if a control device is present prior to the test location.

## If Method 1a is being used, enter the port location measured in inches for "*Up Stream Pt. Loc.* (*M1A*)" and "*Down Stream Pt. Loc.* (*M1A*)".

The fields are described as follows:

Location:	Enter a unique sampling location name, such as inlet, stack, ESP inlet, scrubber outlet, etc.
Inlet/Outlet:	Inlet or outlet flow direction.
Round Duct Diam.:	Round duct diameter (in inches). The diameter of the sampling location, cross-section if round. Use/leave as zero (0) if the location is rectangular.
Duct Length:	Duct length or depth measured in inches. If the sampling location is rectangular, input the length or depth of the duct. Use/leave as zero (0) if the location is circular or round.
Duct Width:	Duct width measured in inches. If the sampling location is rectangular, input the width of the duct. Use/leave as zero (0) if the location is circular or round.
Equivalent Diameter:	Equivalent diameter of a rectangular duct as calculated per Method 1. This value is calculated from the duct dimensions.
Up Stream Distance from Disturbance:	Measurement site is upstream from flow disturbance (Distance A).
Down StreamDistance from Disturbance:	Measurement site is downstream from flow disturbance (Distance B).
Total Traverse Points:	Total number of sampling or traverse points. This value is calculated.
Ports:	Number of access or sampling ports used for testing.
Assumed Stack O <sub>2</sub> %:	Anticipated oxygen content of the stack gas in percent
Assumed Moisture %:	Anticipated moisture content of the stack gas in percent
Temp (F):	Anticipated temperature of the stack gas in degrees Fahrenheit
ACFM:	Anticipated flow rate of the stack gas in actual cubic feet per minute
	<b>D</b> (1.10)

Non- Particulate Traverse:	Selection of Yes/No of whether method is a particulate or non-particulate traverse.
Emissions are Controlled:	Check box for Controlled device was present.
Up Stream Port Location:	For Method 1a only. Location of disturbance upstream measured in inches.
Down Stream Port Location	or Method 1a only. Location of disturbance downstream measured in inches.

*2a. Please provide the following information for each test parameter:* Section 2a is where the test methods, target pollutants and test parameters for each test location. To add test methods and target parameters to a test location, click the "Add Target Parameters" button to select a location, method and compound, as seen in Figure 15 – Add Target Parameters. Once there, you'll see Figure 16 – Select Method and Compounds for Location. You can either select a method directly from the drop-down list or click "[select method by compound]".

la	. Please provide the	e following information	for each test p	arameter. (Red	uired before test dat	a entry] Add Target Parameters
	Location 🗸	Target Parameter 🚽	Test Method 🗸	Num Test Runs 👻	Test Run Duration 👻	Comments -
	Inlet	Arsenic	Method 29	3	64	
	Inlet	Cadmium	Method 29	3	64	
	stack	Chromium	Method 29	3	64	
	stack	Lead	Method 29	3	64	
	stack	Manganese	Method 29	3	64	
	stack	Nickel	Method 29	3	64	
	stack	Silver	Method 29	3	64	
	stack	Zinc	Method 29	3	64	
	stack	Total organic compounds (T	Method 25A	3	64	
	stack	Mercury	Method 30B	3	60	
	Inlet	Mercury	Method 30B	3	60	

Figure 15 - Add Target Parameters

Select Method and Compounds for	Location _ = 📼 🗙
Select Loca	ation, Method, and Compounds
Select Location: Select Method: Inlet V Method 29 V Select from All Compounds	(select method by compound)         Number of Test Runs:         Test Run Duration (mins):           3         64
Available Compounds: 17 Antimony Cobalt Filterable Particulate Selenium Silver Phosphorus (yellow or white) Zinc Copper Chromium Mercury Barium Lead Thallium Magnesium Nickel Hold Dtt or Shift to select	Selected Compounds:     2       Arsenic     Cadmium       >>>     Cadmium       <
multiple records	Save Selected Items

Figure 16 - select Location, Method and Compounds

Once the method has been selected "*Available Compounds*" box will automatically populate, as in screen. You must move the compounds you are measuring to the "*Selected Compounds*" window on the right. To do so use the arrow buttons:

- Select one or more of the available compounds or selected compounds. To select multiple compounds, hold the "*Ctrl*" key and click other compounds.
- Available or selected compounds are moved using one of the four buttons between the two windows.
  - The ">" arrow shifts the selected compound(s) to the right.
  - The ">>" arrow shifts all the compounds to the right.
  - The "<<" shifts all the compounds listed in the "*Selected Compounds*" box to the left.
  - The "<" shifts only selected compounds to the left.

You must enter the number of test runs and the duration of the test runs. If you have selected one of the Performance Specifications, you can enter a "1" into the number of runs and the total duration of the tests in the test run duration. In addition, you must also create an entry for the reference test method(s) at the same location which will be used to evaluate relative accuracy of the CEM by the performance specification. You should enter the expected total test runs required for the RATA and the individual run durations.

	and Compounds for Lo	ation	- = ×
	Select Locatio	n, Method, a	and Compounds
Select Location: Main Stack	Method 29 💌	select method by compound)	Number of Test Runs: Test Run Duration (mins):
Select from	n All Compounds		
E Determine Meth	od by Selecting Compo	und	_ = X
Select Compou	und to Determine Method:	Method Selec	ted:
Select Compou	Ind to Determine Method:	Method Selec	ted:

Figure 17 - Deternine Method by Selecting Compound

Rather than selecting the test method as described above, you may select the method from a list of compounds. By clicking the button "(**select method by compound**)," a window like the one shown in Figure 17 – Determine Method by Selecting Compound is available. Scrolling down this list presents in alphabetical order all of the available pollutants, the test methods which may be used to measure these pollutants and a short descriptor of the test method. Selecting the pollutant and test method line will populate the method in the "*Method Selected*" field. Clicking in the "*Use Selected Method*" block will place the test

method in the "*Select Method*" field. You will need to reselect the compound and any additional compounds from the available list as described above.

To complete the addition of a test method for the test location, you must enter the number of test runs which you propose and the proposed duration of the test runs. Clicking on "Save Selected Items" will populate the fields in item 2a. Clicking on "Exit" will return to item 2a without populating the fields. Once you have returned to item 2a with the populated method and pollutants, you can review, add or edit the fields "Lb/Hr Limit," "Num Test Runs," "Test Run Duration" and "Comments" without returning to the selection screen. You can revise the number of test runs, test run duration and comments directly in the form. Revisions of the Location, Target parameter and Test Method require deleting the row and reentering the information with one of the "Add Target Parameters" procedures described above. If you have already identified Emissions/Concentrations for the Location/Target Parameter/Test Method combination, you should delete these before deleting the row(s). To delete a row, move the cursor across the row until the cursor changes from a white arrow pointing up and to the left to a smaller black arrow pointing directly right across the row which you wish to delete. Select the whole row by clicking the left mouse button, and then either depress the delete key, backspace key or select delete after depressing the right mouse button.




Figure 18 - Custom Method Information

If the method you used is not listed in the drop-down menu, you may be able to choose "*Custom*." When the selection is made, the "*Custom Method Information*" window, Figure 18 – Custom Method Information, will open. When you choose a custom method, you must enter a unique "*Custom Method Name*". The "*Custom Method Description*" is optional. Select "*Yes/No*" to the required question of "*Is this an Isokinetic or Manual Method*." Click on "Close and Save" to save the new method and return to the Parameter form, or "Exit without Saving" to return to the "*Parameter*" form without saving changes.

If you choose custom pollutant, you will be asked to enter the CAS number. The ERT provides a link to the National Institute of Standards and Technologies (NIST) Material Measurement Laboratory (MML) website where you may search for a CAS number and

molecular weight. You should enter the CAS number including hyphens, a unique custom compound name (preferably one of the names listed on the NIST site) and molecular weight. Clicking on "**Close and Save**" will enter the custom pollutant in the "*Selected Compounds*" column. Clicking on "**Save Selected Items**" will cause the test location, test method and custom pollutant to populate one of the lines in item 2a. While the custom pollutant compound will be saved as one of the test parameters, it will not be saved as one of the available compounds in the "*Select Method and Compounds for Location*" menu. To use this custom compound at another location or with another method, you will have to complete the custom pollutant menu again.

	Locat 🚽	Method 🚽	Units of Measure 🚽	Corre 🚽	Corrected % 👻	Process Rate, Parameter	· ·
	stack	Method 10	ppm		0		
	stack	Method 5	grains/dscf		0		
	stack	Method 5	lb/hr		0	Pounds/hr of Steam Produced	
Re	cord: I4	<1 of 3 → →	I ▶ II ▼ No Filter S	earch			

Figure 19 - Add Emissions/Concentrations

• 2b. Please select the Emissions Units of Measure for each location: Section 2b is for entering the units for reporting of the emissions. After adding one or more test locations in item 1 and adding the test methods and target analytes in item 2a you can enter the units for reporting the emissions in item 2b, shown in Figure 19 – Add Emissions/Concentrations. Emissions units which include process information are not available in this area, although this area allows you to pair the emission rate and the process rate parameter which are used to calculate a process rate-based emissions value. Begin by clicking on "Add Emissions/Concentrations Units" and the window in Emissions/Concentrations Screen will populate. The process rate parameter should only be associated with an emission rate (i.e. lb/hr, lb/min, lb/sec) and the time units (i.e. hr, min, sec) should be the same for both the emissions rate and the process rate will need to be added to <u>Section 6a</u> before being able to select it in 2b.




Figure 20 - Select Emissions/Concentrations

Select a combination of test location and method in the "Location – Method," field which combines values from numbers 1 and 2a above. Be sure to move the units you are using from the "Available" box to the "Selected" box on the right as in Figure 20 – Select Emissions/Concentrations. If the selected emission concentration in the "Available" box has the word "corrected" on the end of it, two prompts will occur in succession. To the first prompt, "Enter Corrected Analyte (O2 or CO2)" for the concentration. To the second prompt, "Enter Corrected Percentage (i.e. 7)" for the concentration being corrected. If you are correcting to  $O_2$  or  $CO_2$ , you must include the uncorrected concentration. Once you entered all of the information, the only three columns that can be edited directly in the table are "Corrected Analyte," "Corrected %" and "Process Rate Parameter." If one of the "Units of Measure" chosen is a pollutant emission rate, you may pair this emission unit with a "Process Rate Parameter" which has been entered in 6a of the "Process/APCD" tab. You must use one of the available parameters that are available on the drop-down list and that have the same time units as the emission rate. If the correct parameter is not available, you should go to the "*Process/APCD*" tab to add the information required to create the correct parameter rate. For more detail on the creation of a process rate parameter, see the directions for *Process/APCD Screen*, section 6a p29.

The following is a description of the fields:

Location:

The choices which are available were those location names which were entered in Item 6. If the required location is missing, return to item 1 to add the location name required. This is required and will be used by the ERT during the process of entering test run data.

Method:	The choices which are available were those test methods which were entered in Item 2a. The field identifies the method used to measure the analyte emissions. This is also required by the ERT and will be used during the process of entering test run data.
Emission/Concentration:	The emission concentration or mass rate unit of measure that is being calculated.
Correcting Diluent:	O2 or CO2.
Correction %:	The percentage of the analyte is corrected.
Process Rate Parameter:	One of the items from items from section 6a of the "Process/APCD" tab which includes a time unit. This parameter should be selected to pair with a test method having a unit of measure which includes the same time unit. Typically, the first listed parameter in section 6a is paired with a test method having lb/hr emission units. Process parameters other than listed in the first line of section 6 may be created if the emission limit has a unit of measure different from the default emission factor unit of measure.

# **Regulations Tab**

t Plan Title: Birdsal	Center (12-9137	Center (12-9137)			Test Plan Date:* 1/18			/2013 Open Expande			
ty/Tester Permit/SCC Loo	ations/Methods Regulation	Process/APCD	Methods cont.	Audit/	Calibrations	Schedule	Reviewers	Attach.	l.		
3. What is the speci	fic purpose, Data Qua	lity <mark>Objectives</mark>	and Data Qu	Jality	Indicators	for the	propose	d testing	<u>]</u> ?		
Part 60 (NSPS)	NSR/PSD	The purpose	of the testing	is to de	emonstrate	compliand	e of	~			
Part 61 (NESHAP) SIP			each source with the standard for particulate matter per								
Part 63 (MACT)	and the second			MMBTU of heat input stated in Table 1 to Subpart JJJJJJ of 40 CFR Part 63.							
Part 65 (MACT)	State Rule										
RATA	Other (describe:)							~			
List all state and fe	deral regulations that	t apply to the	numbered to	ctings	A	dd Regulatio	n				
Regulation Descrip		Comp	and the second second second second	(7.598) (7.598)	Unit of Meas	ure Li	mit 🗸				
	IMBTU of heat input - 40 C F		ounu.	•	Ib/million BTU		0.03				
40CFR60 Subpart FF (	Coal Preparation Plants NS	Dpacity			percent(%)		20				
Lange and											
	s be used for other r				on invento	ries,					
permit applicatio	ns, etc.) beyond that	stated above	er yes, exp	plain.							
No						Previous	Page	Next Page	ſ		
						L'icvious l					

Figure 21 - Test Plan Regulation Tab

The Regulations screen shown in Figure 21 - Test Plan Regulations Tab has three sets of fields describing the purpose for the tests. Check all boxes that apply to the tests which are to be performed to identify the general regulatory purpose for which the test will be used. Use the text boxes to input detailed information on the test purpose, data quality requirements and regulations pertaining to the test.

- 3. What is the specific purpose, Data Quality Objectives and Data Quality Indicators for the proposed testing? Ten check boxes are available to identify the general regulatory programs which form the primary reason(s) for performing the emissions test (Part 60 NSPS, Part 61 NESHAP, Part 63 MACT, Part 65 MACT, RATA, NSR/PSD, SIP, Section 114, State Rule, and Other describe). Check all that apply. Use the text box to the right of the check boxes to provide additional information. This information would include details describing the purpose when the "Other" box is checked. The text box may also contain additional detail such as those pollutants that are of primary interest. This text box may also be used to identify the "Data Quality Objectives" (DQO's) for the test, "Data Quality Indicators" (DQI's) which will be collected, and the criteria which the DQI's will be used to determine whether the test program met the DQO's.
- 4. List all state and federal regulations that apply to the proposed testing: The majority of source tests are conducted to demonstrate compliance with a Federal, State or local emission limit. These emission limits are typically codified in a regulation or permit. The "Add Regulation" button is available to identify any state and federal regulations that

apply to the emissions test. Click on the "Add Regulation" button to open the form shown in Figure 21 - Test Plan Regulation Tab for entering a new regulation data. The Regulation Screen will open as in Figure 22. Double click on a Non Part 60-63 Rule Description to edit the contents of an existing entry. The drop-down list under "*Part SubPart*" on the *Test Plan Regulations* tab and the new regulations *Regulation Limits* form list the Federal regulations in Part 60, 61 and 63.

Regulation Limits     Part-SubPart     5.     Part-SubPart     Image: Compound:     Unit of Measure     Limit:     Page: Next Page		Part-SubPart	+ 1	Non Part 60/63 Rule Description: (click to edit) +	Compound:	*	Unit of Measure 👻	Limit 👻
Regulation         5.       Part-SubPart         Image: State of the			•	Reg Desc Test PTB	Arsenic		lb/hr	0.002
Regulation         5.         Part-SubPart         Image: State Compound:         Unit of Measure         Limit:         Pane	-							
5. Part-SubPart 5. Non Part 60/63 Rule Description: (click to edit) 6 Compound: Unit of Measure Limit: Page Next Page		Regulation Limi	ts					
5. Non Part 60/63 Rule Description: (click to edit)  Compound: Unit of Measure Limit: Page Next Page				Regulation				
5. Non Part 60/63 Rule Description: (click to edit)  Compound: Unit of Measure Limit: Page Next Page								
R       Non Part 60/63 Rule Description: (click to edit)       for         C	~							
C Compound: Unit of Measure Limit: Page Next Page		Part-SubPart						
C Compound: Unit of Measure Limit: Page Next Page	5.	Part-SubPart						
Page NextPage		[						
Page NextPage		[					for	
Page Next Page		[					for	
Page Next Page		[					for	
		Non Part 60/63		le Description: (click to edit)			for	
		Non Part 60/63		le Description: (click to edit)	Measure	Limit:		
Exit Save and Exit		Non Part 60/63		le Description: (click to edit) Unit of	Measure		Dags Next	Page

Figure 22 - Regulation Limits

The fields are described as follows:

Part-SubPart:	The regulatory citation which requires the test for the compound and specifies the identified limit. For example: 40CFR60 Subpart UUU.
Regulation Description:	The default description of the selected Part-SubPart. For clarity and conformation, you may also add a generic identifier for the regulatory citation. For example: NSPS for Mineral Calciners and Dryers. If the testing is not performed to demonstrate compliance with an existing regulation, you should provide a general description of the purpose for the test.
Compound:	The regulated or targeted compound. Use the drop-down list to select the regulated compound and the regulatory unit of measure. If the compound and/or unit of measure for the regulatory limit for the pollutant are not shown, you will need to exit this screen and go to the <u>Locations/Methods Screen</u> to enter the compound in section 2a and the units of the standard in section 2b.
Unit of Measure:	The units of measurement for the compound within the regulation or the desired units used to describe the emissions. The units of measure are tied to the selection of the target compound.

The numerical value for the compound as expressed in the Unit of Measure.

• 5. Will the test results be used for other regulatory purposes (e.g., emission inventories, permit applications, ect.) beyond that stated above? If yes, explain: List the secondary reasons for performing this emissions test. If known, list those pollutants that are of secondary interest. For example, determine emissions of CO, THC, VOC and condensable PM emissions for use in emissions inventory reporting and determination of fees.

**Note**: Pressing "**Shift F2**" will expand the currently selected text field to a larger window to allow for easier editing and will allow changes in the Font (size, style, Effects etc.).

### Process/APCD Tab

5	!		Test Pla	n Date:'	•	1/2/2	2014	Open Expand
y/Tester Permit/SCC Locations/Methods Regulations Process	s/APCD	Methods cont.	Audit/Calib	orations S	Schedule	Review	vers	Attach.
a. Enter the process data to be documented duri	ng test	ing. (Requir	ed before	test data	a entry)			Add Process
Process Parameter: (click to view/edit)	<b>→</b> P	rocess Rate 👻	Pollutant	Unit 🚽	Measu	re 🗸		
Anthracite Burned	To	ns/hr	Lb	Т	ons		/h	1
Maximum 6 min opacity measured	Pe	ercent/min		P	ercent		/n	
Carbon Monoxide concentration measured at breach	PF	M/min		P	PM		/n	
Wood Fed into Dryer	То	ns/hr	Lb	Т	ons		/h	
Dryer Temperature At Outlet	De	egree F/min		C	egree F		/n 🎙	•
4		-					•	
b. Enter the process lab data to be documented o	luring t	testing.						Add Lab
Analysis Required: (click to view/edit)	*	Units 🗸		Comme	nts		<b>v</b>	
Wood Moisture Content of feed material		percent	Comments	is this o	ioina to ri	un over.		1
Wood Moisture Content of product		percent						
Wood density of feed material		lb/ton					-	
Wood density of feed material a. Please give a brief description of the source (in process flow diagram:	ncluding	lb/ton	uipment)	and att	ach so	urce o	nr (	Attach File
Wood density of feed material a. Please give a brief description of the source (ir process flow diagram: PROCESS DESCRIPTION		j control equ		and att	ach so	urce o	nr [	Attach File
Wood density of feed material a. Please give a brief description of the source (ir process flow diagram: PROCESS DESCRIPTION Gjure 2-1 illustrates the basic processing steps for OSB	product	<b>g control equ</b> ion. The step	is are:	and att	ach so	urce o	or (	Attach File
Wood density of feed material a. Please give a brief description of the source (ir process flow diagram: PROCESS DESCRIPTION	product	<b>g control equ</b> ion. The step	is are:	and att	ach so	urce o	nr (	Attach File
Wood density of feed material a. Please give a brief description of the source (ir process flow diagram: PROCESS DESCRIPTION Gjure 2-1 illustrates the basic processing steps for OSB	product and slic	<b>g control equ</b> ion. The step	is are:	and att	ach so	urce o		Attach File
Wood density of feed material a. Please give a brief description of the source (ir process flow diagram: PROCESS DESCRIPTION Figure 2-1 illustrates the basic processing steps for OSB Logs are slashed, debarked, cut into shorter lengths,	product and slic	<b>g control equ</b> ion. The step	is are: vafers.	and att		(		Control Device
Wood density of feed material a. Please give a brief description of the source (ir process flow diagram: PROCESS DESCRIPTION Figure 2-1 illustrates the basic processing steps for OSB Logs are slashed, debarked, cut into shorter lengths, b. Control Devices: (Required before test data entry)	product and slic	ion. The step ed into thin w	is are: vafers.			(	Add	Control Device
Wood density of feed material a. Please give a brief description of the source (ir process flow diagram: PROCESS DESCRIPTION Figure 2-1 illustrates the basic processing steps for OSB Logs are slashed, debarked, cut into shorter lengths, b. Control Devices: (Required before test data entry) Control Device: (click to view/edt)	product and slic	ion. The step ed into thin w	is are: vafers.		alue 🗸	(	Add (	Control Device
Wood density of feed material A. Please give a brief description of the source (ir process flow diagram: PROCESS DESCRIPTION Figure 2-1 illustrates the basic processing steps for OSB Logs are slashed, debarked, cut into shorter lengths, b. Control Devices: (Required before test data entry) Control Device: (click to view/edt) FABRICELTER	product and slic	ion. The step ed into thin w	is are: vafers.		alue 🗸 O	(	Add (	Control Device
Wood density of feed material A. Please give a brief description of the source (ir process flow diagram: PROCESS DESCRIPTION Figure 2-1 illustrates the basic processing steps for OSB Loga are slashed, debarked, cut into shorter lengths, Control Devices: (Required before test data entry) Control Device: (click to view/edt) FABRIC FITER MEDIUM TEMPERATURE, LE. 180F <t<25 at="" boler="" landfll<="" td=""><td>product and slic</td><td>ion. The step ed into thin w</td><td>is are: vafers.</td><td></td><td>alue - 0 0</td><td>(</td><td>Add (</td><td>Control Device</td></t<25>	product and slic	ion. The step ed into thin w	is are: vafers.		alue - 0 0	(	Add (	Control Device
Wood density of feed material A. Please give a brief description of the source (ir process flow diagram: PROCESS DESCRIPTION Figure 2-1 illustrates the basic processing steps for OSB Logs are slashed, debarked, cut into shorter lengths, b. Control Devices: (Required before test data entry) Control Device: (click to view/edt) TABRCFITE: FABRCFITE: FA	product and slic	ion. The step ed into thin w	is are: vafers.		alue - 0 0	(	Add (	Control Device
Wood density of feed material A. Please give a brief description of the source (ir process flow diagram: PROCESS DESCRIPTION Figure 2-1 illustrates the basic processing steps for OSB Loga are slashed, debarked, cut into shorter lengths, Control Devices: (Required before test data entry) Control Device: (click to view/edt) FABRIC FITER MEDIUM TEMPERATURE, LE. 180F <t<25 at="" boler="" landfll<="" td=""><td>product and slic</td><td>ion. The step ed into thin w</td><td>is are: vafers.</td><td>Target Va</td><td>alue - 0 0</td><td>Comr</td><td>Add (</td><td>Control Device</td></t<25>	product and slic	ion. The step ed into thin w	is are: vafers.	Target Va	alue - 0 0	Comr	Add (	Control Device

Figure 23 - Test Plan Process/APCD Tab

The Process/APCD is to enter process and Air Pollution Control Device (APCD) data (Figure 23).

• *6a. Enter the process data to be documented during testing:* Section 6a is where process data is documented. Process data is quantifiable information on operational parameters for the production unit or controls. Process data includes documentation of parameters that may be used after the test for compliance assurance monitoring, indicators that the facility was operating at representative operating conditions or indicators of the performance of installed control equipment. It might include fuel feed rate, average steam output, one or more temperatures of the process, scrubber pressure drop, scrubber water flow, ESP current or another measurable parameter. Some process activity information might be used to calculate the emissions limit, for example x pounds of pollutant per ton of clinker. Process data is process activity information might also be information required in a Title V permit. *Process data is* process data is process information might also be information required in a Title V permit. *Process data is* process data is process information process data is p

Limit:

*required.* You can either type the information in the fields directly or click the "Add **Process**" button. If there are no populated process parameter lines, you should go to the *Permit/SCC Screen* to select the SCC and associated process parameter for the tested unit. The first field is pre-populated and highlighted yellow, which means the ERT completed this field based on the SCC you selected or an activity indicator that you established when you selected the SCC. But if the information in a yellow field is incorrect it can be changed by returning to the Permit/SCC Screen where it was established. For example, if the activity information is not correct, returning to the SCC selection may show that the SCC had multiple default activity parameters and the one selected was incorrect. By changing the selection, the first process data parameter will change to the process units identified in the SCC selection list, additional process parameters may be added by clicking on "Add **Process**" which will display the "*Process Information*" menu shown in Figure 24. This menu is identical to the menu used to populate the SCC activity parameter when no default parameter was established for that SCC.




Figure 24 – Add Process Information

To add or change the fields under the different column headings you must click in either the "*Process Parameter*" or the "*Process Rate*" columns. You will see a box like Figure 24. If the box is not visible, it may be hidden behind the test plan menu. By clicking on the test plan menu bar and moving it to a different location, you will see the "*Process Information*" menu.

Below is a description of the fields:

Process Parameter:	Process data parameter(s) documented during testing. Entries with a yellow background were pre-populated based on the SCC. Since the text is a combination of text from the Material and Action fields, you must enter or change text in those fields to change the text in this field.
Process Rate:	This is populated with a combination of the text entered in the Measure field and the Time Unit specified in the field to the right of the Measure field. As with the Process Parameter field, to change this field, you must change the text in the other fields. Process Information used to generate process-based emissions (i.e. lb/Ton of Clinker) must use the same units specified for the emission rate time unit.
Pollutant Unit:	For a process base emission, this is the pollutant mass unit which will become the numerator unit (i.e. lb as in lb/Ton of Clinker). For process information that is not directly associated with mass emissions of a pollutant (such as a process temperature, reactant feed rate or opacity) no pollutant unit is required.
Measure, Material, Action:	These items are the same as were defined in the section for selecting the SCC. While a significant number of descriptors are provided in the drop-down lists, almost all are primarily for creating an emission unit that combines an emission rate with a process rate. You may provide text which better describes the process parameter and the process rate information. For example, the recording of stack opacity could be described by a Measure of "COM percent", Time Unit of "/min", Material of "Maximum 6 min opacity" and Action of "measured".
Target Low:	The lower bound of the process data information. This may be an expected value.
Target High:	The higher bound of the process information. This may be an expected value.
Comments:	Any comments concerning the process data which would clarify what is being measured, how it is measured and for what purpose.

Caution: Clicking "Exit" will not save your entry. Click "Save and Exit" to save.

• *6b. Enter the process lab data to be documented during testing:* Section 6b is where process lab data is entered. List the process materials requiring lab analysis to determine some characteristic of feed, output or byproduct from process. Like section 6a, this is quantifiable information that details what is going on during testing (for example, feed material moisture content or the results of a proximate or ultimate analysis of the fuel, etc). You can directly enter information into the Units or Comments fields or click the "Add

**Lab**" button to open a form for easy entry, as seen in Figure 25 – Process Lab Information. Clicking in the "*Analysis Required*" field of an existing parameter will also open the form.

🔳 Process Lab Inf	ormation	x
	Process Lab Information	
Analysis Required:	Wood Moisture Content of feed materia	
Units:	percent	
Comments:	Comments) is this going to run over). What happens then?	
	Exit Save and Exit	

Figure 25 - Process Lab Information

The fields are described as follows:

Analysis Required: A description of the lab analysis. This should include any specification describing the specific preparation and analytical finish rather than a generic term. For example: carbon content by ASTM D 3176 is preferred over carbon content.

Units: Units measured within the analysis.

Comments: Any comments related to the process lab data.

• 7a. Please give a brief description of the source (including control equipment) and attach source or process flow diagram: Section 7a is where you will give a description of the source, a description of the control equipment, and attach at least one process flow diagram. It is recommended that you provide a brief description in this text area so that the description is available to a reviewer without opening an attachment and is produced in the printed test plan and test report. You should use attachments for complex or more detailed descriptions and diagrams.

You may submit multiple attachments (Figure 26).




Figure 26 - Attachments - Source/Process Flow Diagram

To attach a file, click on the "**Attach File**" button. Double click on the "*paperclip*" icon to open the "*Attachments*" screen, as seen in Figure 26. Click the "**Add**" button to add a file as an attachment. Once a file has been added as an attachment, click on "**OK**" to return to the "*Source/Process Flow Diagram*" screen. The number of attachments will show to the right of the paperclip. (For more information concerning attachments, see <u>Chapter 4: Attachments</u> <u>Screen</u>.)

Note: When an attachment is associated with an item the "Attach File" button will turn blue.

• 7b. Control Devices: Section 7b is where you will list all emissions control devices in order of process flow. You MUST enter a control device. If no control device exists, you must select "Uncontrolled" (first selection in the dropdown list). An extensive list of process controls and/or add-on control devices are available using the dropdown list. To enter a control device, you can either click the first blank cell in the "Control Device" column or click on the "Add Control Device" button. You should choose one of the control devices from dropdown lists unless a generic description of the installed control is not present. If a control is not on the list, you can type the name of the device directly into the field. You should ensure that you list all control devices which influence the emissions of the pollutants being quantified during the test. All devices you select in section 7b should also be described in section 7a with additional information to explain details which cannot be entered in section 7b.

You should also ensure that all parameters required to document the performance of each control device are listed in item 7a. You should identify the most critical APCD performance monitoring parameter that will be used for continuous compliance demonstration, describe the parameter in the comments field and provide the units of measure for that parameter. An existing entry can be edited by clicking in any column except the Control Device column. As described earlier, clicking in the "*Control Device*" column will open the "*Air Pollution Control Device Information*" screen – Figure 27.

Location -	Control Device : (click to view/edit)	+	Units 🚽	Targ	get 🔺
V CE	NTRIFUGAL COLLECTOR (CYCLONE) - MEDIUM EFFICIENC		inches of H20		
FA	BRIC FILTER		degree F		
W	ET ELECTROSTATIC PRECIPITATOR		GPM	1	-
- h	III.				•
Devices			Previous Pa	ge	Next Page
Ai	r Pollution Control Device Information				
Location:	stack	-		_	
<b>Control Device</b>	FABRIC FILTER	-	e		
Units:	FABRIC FILTER	-		-	
	FABRIC FILTER - LOW TEMPERATURE, I.E. T<180F		•		
Target Value:	FABRIC FILTER - MEDIUM TEMPERATURE, I.E. 180F <t<25 FIBER MIST ELIMINATOR</t<25 		C:\Deva Mental (		
			2014.4		
Comments:	FLABING				
Comments:	FLARING FLOATING BED SCRUBBER				
Comments:	FLOATING BED SCRUBBER Flue Gas Desulfurization (FGD)				
Comments:	FLOATING BED SCRUBBER Flue Gas Desulfurization (FGD) FLUE GAS RECIRCULATION				
Comments:	FLOATING BED SCRUBBER Flue Gas Desulfuization (FGD) FLUE GAS RECIRCULATION FLUID BED DRY SCRUBBER				
Comments:	FLOATING BED SCRUBBER Flue Gas Desulfuization (FGD) FLUE GAS RECIRCULATION FLUID BED DRY SCRUBBER FREEBOARD REFRIGERATION DEVICE				
Comments:	FLOATING BED SCRUBBER Flue Gas Desulfuization (FGD) FLUE GAS RECIRCULATION FLUID BED DRY SCRUBBER			•	

Figure 27 - Control Devices

The fields are described as follows:

Location:	The selection of inlet or stack for location of APCD control device.
Control Device:	A name or description of the control device as listed in the pull-down list.
Units:	Units of measure for the most critical operating parameter for the control device.
Target Value:	The desired or expected value for the control device operating parameter.
Comments:	Any comments pertaining to the control device, explanation of the operating parameter or method of collecting the operating parameter.

# **Methods Continued Tab**

/Tester       Permit/SCC       Regulators       Process/APCD       Locations/Methods       Methods cont.       Audit/Calibrations       Schedule       Signatures       Attach         Describe       below or attach complete documentation of the test method followed includding all.       diffications and/or deviations. If major modification and/or alternative methods requested. attach         documentation of request AND approval. including dates.       Attach File         stead of using the procedures prescribed in NC rule 25NC725-3, we propose using a combination of thethods 20 and Method 31S procedures. These include purging with Nitrogen and the use of Methelene         Does the proposed sampling location meet the minimum EPA Method 1 criteria for acceptable measurement sites? Please list below or attach the supporting       Ves ♥ No	Describe below or attach complete documentation of the test methods requested, attach difications and/or deviations. If major modification and/or alternative methods requested, attach documentation of request AND approval, including dates. Attach File istead of using the procedures prescribed in NC rule 25NC7725-3, we propose using a combination of ethod 202 and Method 315 procedures. These include purging with Nitrogen and the use of Methelene horde as the extracant. In addition, we propose to use accente as a finish solvent following the Methelene Does the proposed sampling location meet the minimum EPA Method 1 criteria for acceptable measurement sites? Please list below or attach the supporting documentation. Attach File Has absence of cyclonic flow been verified prior to testing. If yes, please attach supporting documentation. Attach File		Test Plan Date	5/25/2009
addifications and/or deviations. If major modification and/or alternative methods requested, attach documentation of request AND approval, including dates. Attach File         stead of using the procedures prescribed in NC rule 25NC725-37, we propose using a combination of tethod 202 and Method 315 procedures. These include purging with Nitrogen and the use of Methelene thoride as the extracant. In addition, we propose to use acctone as a finish solvent following the Methelene         Does the proposed sampling location meet the minimum EPA Method 1 criteria for acceptable measurement sites? Please list below or attach the supporting documentation. Attach File       Ves V No         b. Has absence of cyclonic flow been verified per EPA Method 1 (Section 2.4)? If no, absence of cyclonic flow must be verified prior to testing. If yes, please attach supporting documentation. Attach File       Ves V No         y. Lass absence of cyclonic flow been verified per EPA Method 1 (Section 2.4)? If no, absence of cyclonic flow as part of the initial velocity traverse       Ves V No         supporting documentation. Attach File       Ves V No         y. clock the method that will determine the oxygen concentration :       Wes         13A-instrumental       Ves	difications and/or deviations. If major modification and/or alternative methods requested, attach documentation of request AND approval. including dates. Attach File stead of using the procedures. These include purging with Nitrogen and the use of Methelene horide as the extracant. In addition, we propose to use acetone as a finish solvent following the Methelene horide as the extracant. In addition, we propose to use acetone as a finish solvent following the Methelene Does the proposed sampling location meet the minimum EPA Method 1 criteria for: acceptable measurement sites? Please list below or attach the supporting documentation. Attach File Attach File Has absence of cyclonic flow been verified per EPA Method 1 (Section 2.4)? If no, absence of cyclonic flow must be verified prior to testing. If yes, please attach supporting documentation. Attach File	/Tester Permit/SCC Regulations Process/APCD Locations/Methods Methods con	nt. Audit/Calibrations Sch	edule Signatures Attach
addifications and/or deviations. If major modification and/or alternative methods requested, attach documentation of request AND approval, including dates. Attach File         stead of using the procedures prescribed in NC rule 25NC725-37, we propose using a combination of tethod 202 and Method 315 procedures. These include purging with Nitrogen and the use of Methelene thoride as the extracant. In addition, we propose to use acctone as a finish solvent following the Methelene         Does the proposed sampling location meet the minimum EPA Method 1 criteria for acceptable measurement sites? Please list below or attach the supporting documentation. Attach File       Ves V No         b. Has absence of cyclonic flow been verified per EPA Method 1 (Section 2.4)? If no, absence of cyclonic flow must be verified prior to testing. If yes, please attach supporting documentation. Attach File       Ves V No         y. Lass absence of cyclonic flow been verified per EPA Method 1 (Section 2.4)? If no, absence of cyclonic flow as part of the initial velocity traverse       Ves V No         supporting documentation. Attach File       Ves V No         y. clock the method that will determine the oxygen concentration :       Wes         13A-instrumental       Ves	difications and/or deviations. If major modification and/or alternative methods requested, attach documentation of request AND approval. including dates. Attach File stead of using the procedures. These include purging with Nitrogen and the use of Methelene horide as the extracant. In addition, we propose to use acetone as a finish solvent following the Methelene horide as the extracant. In addition, we propose to use acetone as a finish solvent following the Methelene Does the proposed sampling location meet the minimum EPA Method 1 criteria for: acceptable measurement sites? Please list below or attach the supporting documentation. Attach File Attach File Has absence of cyclonic flow been verified per EPA Method 1 (Section 2.4)? If no, absence of cyclonic flow must be verified prior to testing. If yes, please attach supporting documentation. Attach File	Describe below as a branche describe a fabric state		440
documentation of request AND approval, including dates.       Attach File         nstead of using the procedures prescribed in NC rule Z5NC725-3, we propose using a combination of itehod 202 and Method 315 procedures. These include purging with Nitrogen and the use of Methelene         Does the proposed sampling location meet the minimum EPA Method 1 criteria for acceptable measurement sites? Please list below or attach the supporting       □ Ves ☑ No         documentation.       Attach File       □         • Has absence of cyclonic flow been verified per EPA Method 1 (Section 2.4)? If no, absence of cyclonic flow must be verified prior to testing. If yes, please attach       □ Ves ☑ No         • Has absence of cyclonic flow approved the initial velocity traverse       □ Ves ☑ No         • Select the method that will determine the oxygen concentration. :       If yes, please initial velocity traverse         • Select the method that will determine the oxygen concentration. :       ĭ	documentation of request AND approval, including dates.       Attach File         stead of using the procedures prescribed in NC rule 25NC7725-3, we propose using a combination of ethod 202 and Method 315 procedures. These include purping with hitrogen and the use of Methelene horide as the extracant. In addition, we propose to use acetone as a finish solvent following the Methelene         Does the proposed sampling location meet the minimum EPA Method 1 criteria for acceptable measurement sites? Please list below or attach the supporting documentation. Attach File       Image: Press Plance Plance         documentation.       Attach File       Image: Plance Plance Plance Plance       Image: Plance Plance Plance Plance         Has absence of cyclonic flow been verified prior to testing. If yes, please attach supporting documentation.       Image: Plance Pla			
tethod 202 and Method 315 procedures. These include purging with Nitrogen and the use of Methelene thorde as the extracant. In addition, we propose to use acetone as a finish solvent following the Methelene thorde as the extracant. In addition, we propose to use acetone as a finish solvent following the Methelene acceptable measurement sites? Please list below or attach the supporting documentation. Attach File Attach File Supporting documentation. Attach File yelsonic flow will be determined as part of the initial velocity traverse Select the method that will determine the oxygen concentration : 13A-instrumental	ethod 202 and Method 315 procedures. These include purging with Nitrogen and the use of Methelene horide as the extracant. In addition, we propose to use acetone as a finish solvent following the Methelene Does the proposed sampling location meet the minimum EPA Method 1 criteria for acceptable measurement sites? Please list below or attach the supporting documentation. Attach File . Has absence of cyclonic flow been verified per EPA Method 1 (Section 2.4)? If no, absence of cyclonic flow must be verified prior to testing. If yes, please attach supporting documentation. Attach File	documentation of request AND approval, including dates.	ach File	
thoride as the extracant. In addition, we propose to use actione as a finish solvent following the Methelene          Does the proposed sampling location meet the minimum EPA Method 1 criteria for acceptable measurement sites? Please list below or attach the supporting       Ves INO         documentation.       Attach File       Image: Comparison of the propose to use action as a finish solvent following the Methelene         b. Has absence of cyclonic flow been verified per EPA Method 1 (Section 2.4)? If no. absence of cyclonic flow must be verified prot to testing. If yes, please attach       Image: Ves Image: Comparison of the cyclonic flow will be determined as part of the initial velocity traverse         yclonic flow will be determined as part of the initial velocity traverse       Select the method that will determine the oxygen concentration .         43A-instrumental       Image: Comparison of the cyclonic in the oxygen concentration in the initial velocity in the initial	Norice as the extracant. In addition, we propose to use acetone as a finish solvent following the Methelene         Does the proposed sampling location meet the minimum EPA Method 1 criteria for acceptable measurement sites? Please list below or attach the supporting         Image: the proposed sampling location meet the minimum EPA Method 1 criteria for acceptable measurement sites? Please list below or attach the supporting         Image: the proposed sampling location meet the minimum EPA Method 1 criteria for acceptable measurement sites? Please list below or attach the supporting         Image: the proposed sampling location meet the minimum EPA Method 1 (Section 2.4)? If no, absence of cyclonic flow must be verified prior to testing. If yes, please attach supporting documentation. (Attach File)			
Dess the proposed sampling location meet the minimum EPA Method 1 criteria for acceptable measurement sites? Please list below or attach the supporting documentation. Attach File  Ves V No  Attach File  Attach File  Attach File  Ves V No  Attach File  Ves V No  absence of cyclonic flow been verified per EPA Method 1 (Section 2.4)? If no. absence of cyclonic flow must be verified prior to testing. If yes, please attach supporting documentation. Attach File  Ves V No  Select the method that will determine the oxygen concentration :  13A-instrumental	Does the proposed sampling location meet the minimum EPA Method 1 criteria for acceptable measurement sites? Please list below or attach the supporting       □ Yes ♥ No			
Attach File  Ves No  Attach File  Ves No  Attach File  Ves No  Attach File  Ves No  Supporting documentation. Attach File  Ves No	Acceptable measurement sites? Please list below or attach the supporting documentation. Attach File  Has absence of cyclonic flow been verified per EPA Method 1 (Section 2.4)? If no, absence of cyclonic flow must be verified prior to testing. If yes, please attach supporting documentation. Attach File	II II C II COLLUI L'OOD		mediciene
Accumentation. Attach File	acceptable measurement sites:       Prease ist perior of accent the supporting         documentation.       Attach File         .       Has absence of cyclonic flow been verified per EPA Method 1 (Section 2.4)? If no.         absence of cyclonic flow must be verified prior to testing. If yes, please attach       □ Yes ♥ No         supporting documentation.       Attach File			Ves No
	Has absence of cyclonic flow been verified per EPA Method 1 (Section 2.4)? If no, absence of cyclonic flow must be verified prior to testing. If yes, please attach supporting documentation. Attach File		e supporting	
yclonic flow will be determined as part of the initial velocity traverse  Select the method that will determine the oxygen concentration :  AA-instrumental				<u>~</u>
13A-instrumental		absence of cyclonic flow must be verified prior to testing. If y		Ves V No
13A-instrumental		absence of cyclonic flow must be verified prior to testing. If y supporting documentation. Attach File		Ves V No
13A-instrumental		absence of cyclonic flow must be verified prior to testing. If y supporting documentation. Attach File		Ves V No
	. Select the method that will determine the oxygen concentration :	absence of cyclonic flow must be verified prior to testing. If y supporting documentation. Attach File		Ves V No
	3A-instrumental	absence of cyclonic flow must be verified prior to testing. If y supporting documentation. Attach File	yes, please attach	Ves 🗹 No
		absence of cyclonic flow must be verified prior to testing. If y supporting documentation. Attach Fle yclonic flow will be determined as part of the initial velocity traverse . Select the method that will determine the oxygen concentration	yes, please attach	Ves V No
Next Page	Next Page	absence of cyclonic flow must be verified prior to testing. If y supporting documentation. Attach Fle yclonic flow will be determined as part of the initial velocity traverse . Select the method that will determine the oxygen concentration	yes, please attach	Ves V No

Figure 28 - Test Plan Methods cont. Tab

Methods Continued screen is the continuation of the "Locations/Methods" screen (Figure 28).

- 8. Describe below or attach complete documentation of the test method followed including all modifications and/or deviations: In this section, it is suggested that the promulgation date of any specified test method be identified. Test methods which are not readily available free on the internet should be attached to the ERT for use by people reviewing the test plan or test report. If modifications and/or alternative methods are being proposed or were used, you must attach a document describing the proposed modification to the test plan and a copy of the request AND approval (including dates) to the test report. If the modification/alternative method was approved verbally by a regulatory agency, the name and date of the approval should be included. Written formal approval should be attached using the "Attach File" button. Test methods that are different from those published in the *Federal Register* should also be attached. Follow the steps in Item 7a to attach a file.
- 9. Does the proposed sampling location meet the minimum EPA Method 1 criteria for acceptable measurement sites: In this section, answer the question about Method 1 criteria by checking "yes" or "no". If Method 1 criteria are not met, explain why the methods used at the sampling location provide a representative sample and document any approval, as applicable, To attach a file, click on "Attach File" and follow the steps in Item 7a.
- 10. Has absence of cyclonic flow been verified per EPA Method 1 (Section 2.4): In this section, answer the question about cyclonic flow by checking "yes" or "no." This field is for the documentation of the absence of cyclonic flow. If the "no" checkbox has been selected, enter documentation of why and approval, as applicable, or attach documentation and approval by clicking on "Attach File" and following the steps in Item 7a.

• 11. Select the method that will determine the oxygen concentration: If flue gas characterization is for molecular weight purposes only, you may select: Method 2: M2- assign 29.0 Mol. Wt: Ambient air, assign a molecular weight of 29.0 (per Method 2). Method 3: M3- mol Wt. Orsat or Fyrite: Molecular weight only, Orsat or Fyrite. M3-assign 30.0 Mol. Wt. combustion source: Combustion source, assign 30.00 for molecular weight.  $M3 - CO_2$  or  $O_2$  and Stoichiometric calc: Using CO<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>2</sub>, or stoichiometric calculation M3A: Instrumental Using Orsat emission rate correction M3B:

factors

### Audit/Calibrations Tab

ye: an <u>13. Has</u>	s, you must audit samp	contact ar le. equipment l	AASP to			f EPA audit sam chase and delive			✓ Yes	<b>_</b>
		Apiani.		rated withi	n the pas	t 12 months?			Ves	-se astro
	all calibrati ocedures?						(	<b>Ve</b>	s 🗌 No [	<b>⊽</b> N/A
15. Is a	dilution sys	item (via El	PA Method	205) prop	osed?		ſ			
						tions for all proj			- ·	⊽N/A
test	methods.	Include as	much info	mation as	is known	at this time.	oosed instr	rumen	tal	
test	t methods.	Include as Compound(Ar	much info	mation as	<b>is known</b> CertValı ↓	at this time.	posed instruction	rumen	- ·	•
Lest Air-CC4	CyllD 17492	Include as Compound(Ar Zero Air	much info	mation as	is known CertVal≀ ↓ 0	at this time.	CertDate 5/11/20	rumen	tal ExpDate	•
Air-CC4	CyllD 17492	Include as Compound(Ar Zero Air CO2-O2	much infor nalyt - Cert	mation as	<b>is known</b> CertValı ↓	at this time. UncertainPerce • 0 1	posed instruction	<b>umen</b>	tal	009
Air-CC4 C02-C0 C02-S0	CyllD • 17492 C-81020	Include as Compound(Ar Zero Air CO2-O2	much infor nalyt - Cert G1	mation as	<b>is known</b> CertVak ↓ 0 5.08	at this time. UncertainPerce - 0 1 1	CertDate 5/11/20 5/26/20	<b>umen</b>	tal ExpDate 5/26/2 1/24/2	009

Figure 29 - Test Plan Audit/Calibrations Tab

The "*Audit/Calibrations*" screen (Figure 29) is for data relating to the test method and the calibration of the testing equipment.

- 12. Do any of the proposed test methods require analysis of EPA audit samples: The test method should indicate whether or not audit samples are required. Select "Yes" or "No."
- 13. Has all testing equipment been calibrated within the past 12 months: It is expected that your response to this question will be "Yes." If the answer is "No," please use the text

box for the explanation. You can attach calibration documentation in the "*Attachments*" tab of the ERT.

- *14. Will all calibration gases be certified by EPA Traceability Protocol procedures:* If the answer is "*No*", use the text box for the explanation. If the answer is not applicable, select "*N/A*."
- 15. Is a dilution system (via EPA Method 205) proposed: Select "Yes," "No" or "N/A."
- 16. If applicable, list the expected calibration gas concentrations for all proposed instrumental test methods: Input information on the calibration gases to be used for any instrumental methods. For the test plan, you may enter incomplete information to provide the test plan reviewer the gases that you intent to use and the approximate concentrations. However, once the test is completed, this Item **MUST** be completed accurately since the instrumental test methods data processing uses the "CertValue" in calculating the concentrations.

The following is a description of the fields:

CylID:	This is the cylinder ID provided by the provider and listed on the calibration certificate. For cylinders having more than one calibration gas, input the cylinder once for each gas and include a prefix or suffix with the cylinder ID.
Compound (Analyte):	Input the gas name for the compound with the indicated certification value.
Certification Procedure:	Give the certification procedure used.
Certified Value:	Input the certified value of calibration gas.
Uncertain Percent:	Input the percent uncertainty of the gas from the certificate.
CertDate:	Date the calibration gas was certified.
ExpDate:	Date the certification of calibration expires.

# **Schedule Tab**

st Plan Title: • Emissions Testing of Wood Chip Dryer 2         lity/Tester Permit/SCC Regulations Process/APCD Locations/Methods Methods cont.         17. What is the proposed test schedule2         """Field set up will be conducted on Aug 15, 2005. Testing to be conducted on Aug 16, 2005.""	Test Plan D		J/25/2009 Attures Attach.
17. What is the proposed test schedule?	Audit/Calibrations	Schedule Signa	
"""Field set up will be conducted on Aug 15, 2005.			~
""Field set up will be conducted on Aug 15, 2005. Testing to be conducted on Aug 16, 2005.""			^
A STREET OWNER AND THE STREET OWNER	0910000000000	10-20-110-20-1	~
18. Additional comments:			
Scaffolding to be installed by plant the week prior to testing.			
19. Required Personal Protection Equipment:			
Safety Shoes, Hardhat, Safety Glasses, Ear Plugs, Gloves.			
			Next Page

Figure 30 - Test Plan Screen Tab

The Schedule screen (Figure 30) concerns the scheduling of the test and any preparations for that test.

- **17.** *What is the proposed test schedule:* This field is primarily to advise the regulatory authority and facility the dates that emissions testing will be performed, including any set updates.
- 18. Additional comments: Provide any additional comments about the test.
- **19. Required Personal Protection Equipment:** This field is primarily to advise the regulatory authority of the type of personal protective equipment that will be required for them to use to access the sampling location and any other locations that are associated with the performance of the test program. It also serves to inform the facility of the source tester's knowledge of the required protective equipment they will use during the source test program.

# **Reviewers Tab**

Permit/SCC Regulation:	Process/APCD Locations/Methods Methods cont.	Audit/Calibrations Sche	dule Reviewers Attach
<b>Permitted Facili</b>	ty Representative		
Name:	Gerald Brown		
Email:	Gerald.Brown@ashgrove.com		
Title:			
Company: Date Revie	Ash Grove Cement Company		
	wed:		
	wed:		
Testing Company			
<u>Testing Compan</u> Name:     Email:	<b>y Representative</b> David Bagwell dbagwell@horizonengineering.com		
Insting Company       Name:       Email:       Title:	y Representative David Bagwell (dbagwell@horizonengineering.com Managing Member		
Testing Compan       Name:       Email:       Title:       Company:	y Representative David Bagwell dbagwell@horizonengineering.com Managing Member Horizon Engineering, LLC		
Insting Company       Name:       Email:       Title:	y Representative David Bagwell dbagwell@horizonengineering.com Managing Member Horizon Engineering, LLC		

Figure 31 - Test Plan Reviewers Tab

The "*Reviewers*" screen (Figure 31) obtains data for the Reviewers of the test. All the fields on this screen are required. The two representative blocks are as follows:

- Permitted Facility Representative: The person authorized to represent the facility being tested.
- Testing Company Representative: The person authorized to represent the testing company.

Note: This is NOT an electronic signature!

# **Attachments Tab**

acility/Tes	ter Permit/	SCC Locations/Methods	Regulations	Process/APCD	Methods	s cont.	Audit/Calibration	s Schedule	e Reviewers	Attach.
		AttachDe	SC		•		0			
Sou	rce/Proce	ss Flow Diagram					U(0)			
		thod Request and Ap	proval (Iter	n 8) (optiona	I)		Ū(0)			
EPA	Method 1	LLocation Supporting	Documenta	ation (Item 9)	, (optic		U(0)			
		Absence Supporting					<b>U</b> (0)			
Pre	Test Mete	er Boxes/DGMs Calib	rations				U(0)			=
Pos	t-Test Met	ter Boxes/DGMs Calib	orations				U(0)			
Noz	zles Calib	rations					Ū(0)			
Pito	ts Calibra	tions					U(0)			
The	rmocoupl	es Calibrations					U(0)			
Sam	pling Loca	ations Dimensions an	d Point Loc	ations			0(1)			
Run	Field Dat	a Sheets					U(0)			
Moi	sture Rec	overy					<b>U</b> (0)			
Lab	Data						U(0)			
Cha	in-of-Cust	tody					<b>U</b> (0)			
Obs	erver Con	nments					U(0)			
Doc	umentatio	on of competence as	an AETB or	QI for station	ary so		<b>U</b> (0)			
Lab	oratory Ac	creditation Certificat	ion				U(0)			
Fiel	d Notes						<b>U</b> (0)			
Inte	rference/	Response Time/Conv	verter Effici	ency/Stratifi	cation		U(0)			
Pro	ess and A	PCD Monitor Calibra	tions				<b>U</b> (0)			
Cali	bration Ga	as Certificates (Item 1	L6)				U(0)			-
Record:	H 4 1 of	21 🕨 🖻 🛤 🕅 🕅 No I	Filter Searc	h						
- doul - sele	ole click on ct "add" to	attachment: 1 the "paper clip" symb add a file o view a file	ol bottor Tips to - Crea - Atta - Use - Atta	m row of the a reduce the PDF te PDF directly fi ch individual com descriptive file na	ittachde file size: rom applic ponents r ames (i.e. nage files	ation, not com (JPG, G	nter the descrip umn. Then add piled material eld-data_11-11-11 SIF, PNG) or CGM	your attac	hment.	in the us Page shed

Figure 32 - Test Plan Attachments Tab

The Attachments screen (Figure 32) allows you to attach any documentation pertaining to the Test Plan.

#### Adding an Attachment

Several of the questions in the test plan section allow the user to import files as attachments to the test plan. If you added an attachment in one of the earlier tabs, the attachment tab will show a number "1" (or however many attachments were added) next to the paperclip in parentheses.

To add attachments to an existing named documentation area, double click on the paperclip. A window like that in Figure 33 will open. If files were attached previously, the file name will be listed in the field. If no files were attached, the field will be blank and all but the "Add…" button will be faded. In both situations, to add an attachment, click on the "Add…" button to display a Windows file selection menu.

In this screen you can add additional attachments by double clicking the paperclip next to the description that applies. If your type of attachment isn't specifically listed, follow these steps:

- 1. Click the empty lower left-hand cell and add a description.
- 2. Double click the paperclip in the cell to the right of the description.

3. Follow the prompts to add your attachment(s).

These steps can be repeated if you have multiple types of attachments.

(Note: Many of the requested files will be part of the test report and are not required or available at the time the test plan is prepared.)

Attachments	×
Attachments (Double-click to open)	
I Traverse point layout.pdf	<u>A</u> dd <u>R</u> emove <u>Open</u> <u>S</u> ave As Sa <u>v</u> e All
ОК	Cancel

Figure 33 - Attachments Options

Click "**OK**" to save the changes and return to the "*Test Plan*" attachment screen. Click "**Cancel**" to return to the "*Test Plan*" attachment screen without saving the changes. The number of attachments will be beside the paper clipimage.

Note: When one or more files are attached to a documentation area, when you double click on the paperclip, a window will open. In addition to adding attachments as described above; one can click on one of the file names and then click on "Open", "Remove", "Save As..." or "Save All..." to open, remove or save the selected attachment(s). Simply follow the prompts.

Note: If you cannot see the paperclip image, it is likely that the PDS you are using was created by older ERT version. The old PDS files have an OLE object field instead of an attachment file type. As a result; PDS file sizes in ERT version 3 are greater than ERT version 4 and 5. In addition, very large attachments may not be able to be viewed because of memory constraints. If so, revise the PDS file to the ERT version 4 and 5 file type which with an .accdb extension. If you create a new PDS, you will see the paper clips. If the existing ERT version 3 PDS is extensive and was created in, you can change the PDS to a version 4 format by:

1) Save all the attachments in the old ERT file using a descriptive file name;

- 2) Create a new blank PDS using ERT version 4;
- 3) Close ERT, open MS Access and load the blank PDS;
- 4) Delete all the tables in the PDS except "tblAttachments;"
- 5) In the Access menu, select "External Data" then select "Import" "Access";
- 6) Use "Browse" to locate the ERT version 3 PDS;
- 7) Specify the importing of all tables, queries, forms;

8) Click "OK";

- 9) Select the "Select All" button;
- 10) Deselect "tblAttachments" and click "OK";
- 11) Close Access and open the new PDS.

# Chapter 5: Test Data

# **Run Data**



Figure 34 - Run Data Details

The ERT separates methods into three basic categories – single train isokinetic/manual methods, paired train manual methods and instrumental methods. Currently, only the paired sampling train for mercury by Method 30B can be documented in the ERT. For a single train isokinetic/manual method, to complete the "*Test Data*" section you may either import the data from a spreadsheet or manually enter the data. For a paired train manual method and an instrumental method, you must enter the data manually.

#### Add New Run Data - Spreadsheet Import

Only one sample location data set can be imported at a time.

There are 3 import spreadsheets available:

- 1. ERT\_Manual\_Methods\_DE\_Template-Empty.xltm (Isokinetic or manual methods)
- 2. ERT\_Manual\_Methods\_DE\_30B\_Template-Empty.xltm (Method 30B data)
- 3. ERT\_Instrumental\_Template-Empty.xltm (Instrumental methods-Method 3A, 6C, 7E, 10 or 25A.)

#### DO NOT DELETE COLUMNS FROM TEMPLATE OR FROM ERT.

If you have your field data organized in a spreadsheet format consistent with the <u>ERT data entry</u> <u>template</u>, <u>includes instructions (XLT 361 K)</u> importing the majority of information for the "*Header Data*" and "*Point Data*" tabs for single train manual test methods as follows:

- Click "**Run Data**" in the "*Test Data*" area of the "*ERT- Main Menu*" to bring up the "*Run Data Details*" Screen, as in Figure 34.
- Click on the "Add New Run Data" button to add data.




Figure 35 - Import from Spreadsheet Option Dialog

This brings up a spreadsheet option dialog. Click "**Yes**" button to import the data from a spreadsheet. Click the "**No**" button to manually add data directly into the ERT.

Once "Yes" is selected, an Import Field Run Data form opens.

🔳 Import Field Ru	ın Data			_		$\times$
☑ 1.	Select Location	Gin A 🗸				
	Select Method	Method 10 🗸	(Note: Importing data from an ITM spreadshe methods included in the spreadsheet)	et will imp	nort all / T.N.	/
☑ 2.	Select Import File (xls or xml)	C:\Users\tlowe\Desktop\example dat sets\Instrumental import test.xlsx	a			
<b>3</b> .	View/Edit Imported Record	ds				
4.	Add imports into main data	a table				

Figure 36- Import Field Run Data Window

- **Step 1:** Select the *location* and the *method* from the drop-down lists, as in Figure 36. A checkmark will automatically appear in the box to the left of the red number 1 after the selection.
- Step 2: Click the # 2 and select the spreadsheet in the browse window, then click "OK" or "*Open*" to select the spreadsheet. The file path will appear beside step 2 "*Select Spreadsheet File*", as shown in Figure 36.
- Step 3: Click the # 3 to view the imported data. You will see two tables as in Figure 37 View Imported Data Windows. One table is the header data and one table is the point data from the spreadsheet.

Review and edit the data in these windows. Click on the "**X**" in the top right corner of each window to close them when you have finished your review.

4 Location	<ul> <li>Method</li> </ul>	- RunNumbe -	RunDate 🗸	JobNumb	e - Person	ne 🗸 🛛	Pb 🗸 Ps	tatic 🗸 🛛	FilterNum	- F	FilterNum2	, F			
Stack	Method 29	Test 1	4/24/2017	170128			29.03	-0.1	)	0		0			
Stack	Method 29	Test 2	4/25/2017	170128			28.92	-0.17	)	0		0			
*															
Imported Point	Data													-	
10	ocation	<ul> <li>Method</li> </ul>	👻 Run # 🗸	Job # 🚽	Run Date 🗸	Poi	Begil -	Fr -	Clock	-	Gas Metr -	Velocit -	Orifice Pres. D - O	ra Pres Ac 🖌	Stack Ter
Stack		Method 2		170128	4/24/201		0		0.001		704.415	0.15		1.5	
Stack		Method 2		170128	4/24/201		3	_			706.47	0.13		1.3	
Stack		Method 2		170128	4/24/201		6				708.49	0.12		1.2	
Stack		Method 2		170128	4/24/201		9				710.37	0.12		1.2	
Stack		Method 2	9 Test 1	170128	4/24/201	7 2	12	15			712.22	0	0	0	
Stack		Method 2	9 Test 1	170128	4/24/201	70	15	18			712.22000	0.14	1.4	1.4	
Stack		Method 2	9 Test 1	170128	4/24/201	70	18	21			714.21	0.13	1.45	1.45	
Stack		Method 2	9 Test 1	170128	4/24/201	70	21	24			716.25	0.13	1.4	1.4	
Stack		Method 2	9 Test 1	170128	4/24/201	73	24	27			718.27	0.135	1.4	1.4	
Stack		Method 2	9 Test 1	170128	4/24/201	70	27	30			720.28	0.21	2.1	2.1	
Stack		Method 2	9 Test 1	170128	4/24/201	70	30	33			722.67	0.14	1.5	1.5	
Stack		Method 2	9 Test 1	170128	4/24/201	70	33	36			724.74	0.14	1.5	1.5	
Stack		Method 2	9 Test 1	170128	4/24/201	74	36	39			726.82	0.12	1.3	1.3	
Stack		Method 2	9 Test 1	170128	4/24/201	70	39	42			728.7	0.11	1.2	1.2	
Stack		Method 2	9 Test 1	170128	4/24/201	70	42	45			730.55	0.15	1.55	1.55	
Stack		Method 2	9 Test 1	170128	4/24/201	7 0	45				732.66	0.11	1.2	1.2	
Stack		Method 2	9 Test 1	170128	4/24/201	75	48	51			734.51	0.11	1.2	1.2	
Stack		Method 2	9 Test 1	170128	4/24/201	_	51				736.32	0.09		0.95	
Stack		Method 2		170128	4/24/201		54				737.97	0.09	0.1	0.1	
Stack		Method 2		170128	4/24/201		57	60			739.66	0.11	1.15	1.15	
Stack		Method 2		170128	4/24/201		60				741.52	0.07	0.8	0.8	
Stack		Method 2	9 Test 1	170128	4/24/201	7 0	63	66			743.05	0.07	0.77	0.77	
Stack cent	Fz 📀	Method 2		470128 人 128											

Figure 37- View Imported Data Windows

• Step 4: Click the # 4 to add the imported data into the main data tables.

• Step 5 – Click "OK" on the data imported successfully dialog and then close the "*Import Field Run Data*" window.

# Add New Run Data - Directly

	Add New Run Data	a Key Information			
Location - Met	hod:	RunNumber:	Ru	nDate:	
	~				
	Add Run Data	Exit without Adding Ru	ın		

Figure 38 - Enter New Run Key Data Window


Run data do not have to be imported from spreadsheets. They can be manually entered directly into the ERT "*Run Data Details*" screens. To do this:

• Click "Add New Run Data" from the "*Run Data Details*" window (see Figure 34 - Run Data Details).

Click "No" from the import from spreadsheet option dialog (see

Microsoft Access

Do you want to import data from a Spreadsheet or XML file?



×

- Figure 35 Import from Spreadsheet Option Dialog). You will be prompted to enter a location method, run number, and run date for the run data to be inputted.
- Select the "*Location Method*" from the pick list.
- Enter the "*Run Number*".
- Enter the "*Run Date*".
- Click the "Add Run Data" button to save data, or click "Exit without Adding Run" to return to "*Run Data Screen*" without saving data.
- This will add the key information for the run data to be input. The display will then show either the "*Run Data Details*" screens for an isokinetic run or an "I*nstrumental Method*" run depending on the method selected.
- Once the location, method, run number and date have been entered, you must find that run under the drop-down list called "*Select Location Method:*" (highlighted in yellow) to enter data or view that run.
- For both single train and paired train manual or isokinetic methods, you must repeat this process to add runs until all test runs have been added.
- For instrumental methods, add the first run following the above instructions. Once the first run is complete, additional runs should be added. To add the additional runs, go to

the "*ITM Run Results*" tab of the "*Run Data Details*" screen. Select the "Add New Run" button located in the lower right corner of the form. Adding runs from the "*ITM Run Results*" tab using this button will copy a significant amount of calibration, Analyzer, Operating Parameters and test setup information to the created the additional runs. The post-test bias data will be copied to the pre-test bias check of the new run.

# Select Run Data

cility: SSI Facility				Open Ex	par
mitted Source ID/Descript	ion: Incinerator				ב
Select Location - Method:		$\checkmark$	Add New Run Data	Delete Run Data	
	Location-Method		runnumber		
Select Run:	Stack - Method 10		2	nge Run Date	
	Stack - Method 10		3		
	Stack - Method 10		5		
	Stack - Method 26A		1		
	Stack - Method 26A		2		
	Stack - Method 26A		3		
	Stack - Method 30B		1		
	Stack - Method 30B		2		
	Stack - Method 30B		3		
	Stack - Method 3A CO2		M5/26A R1		
	Stack - Method 3A CO2		M5/26A R2		
	Stack - Method 3A CO2		M5/26A R3		
	Stack - Method 3A O2		M5/26A R1		
	Stack - Method 3A O2		M5/26A R2		
	Stack - Method 3A O2		M5/26A R3		

Figure 39 - Select Run Data

To view the data for the different runs when you are on the Run Data Detail screens:

- Select the "*Location Method Run*" from the list.
- Click on the "<" or ">" button to scroll through runs of selected locations and methods. The "*Method Setup*" tab will be the same for all runs of the same method. The effects of scrolling through runs can be seen on the other tabs. Calculations made on 0 values will result in a field with #Error.
  - Selecting either "<" or ">" will change the run number in the field to the left of the symbols. In addition to changing the run number, changes in the run data details entered in the tabs below the run identifier are presented.

#### **Correcting Run Data Entry Information**

Incorrect entry of test data information can be corrected either by deleting the incorrect runs, changing the run numbers associated with one or more runs, or changing the date associated with one or more runs. The following three sections describe the procedures to perform these corrections.

#### Delete Run Data

Select Locatio	on - Method: Sta	ck - Method 10		Add New Run Data	Delete Run Data	
Select Run:	Method 10 - 4			Change Run Number	Change Run Date	
4ethod Setup Cali	ibrations ITM Run P	Results Emissions	😑 Delete Run		- 🗆	$\times$
			I	Delete Run Data		
Run: Run Date: Start Time:	4 1/1/2018	Flow Rate, DSC Moisture, CO2,	Select Location - M (press Shift or C	lethod - Run to De Ctrl to select multip		
End Time:		02,	Location-Method-Run Stack - Method 10 - 1 Stack - Method 10 - 2 Stack - Method 10 - 3		method Method 10 Method 10 Method 10	
ANAL Make: Model:	YZER	OPE Oper Units(?	Stack - Method 10 - 4 Stack - Method 26A - 1 Stack - Method 26A - 2 Stack - Method 26A - 3 Stack - Method 30B - 1		Method 10 Method 26A Method 26A Method 26A Method 30B	
	as Cylinde evel ID	No. Re Time Inte er Cert. Sy Value Re	Stack - Method 30B - 2 Stack - Method 30B - 3 Stack - Method 3A CO2 Stack - Method 3A CO2 Stack - Method 3A CO2 Stack - Method 3A CO2 - Stack - Method 3A CO2 -	- M5/26A R2 - M5/26A R3	Method 30B Method 30B Method 3A CO Method 3A CO Method 3A CO Method 3A O2	
	iero Ipscale		Stack - Method 3A O2 - I Stack - Method 3A O2 - I	M5/26A R2	Method 3A O2 Method 3A O2 Method 3A O2	
	iero pscale		Celete R	un Data Exit	> without Deleting Run	

Figure 40- Delete Run Window

Deleting the run data will delete all header, point and lab data for the selected run.

- Click the "**Delete Run Data**" button from the "*Run Data Details Screen*". The list of location-method-runs contained in the ERT file be displayed.
- Select the "Location Method Run" that is to be deleted from the list.
- Click the "**Delete Run Data**" button to permanently delete the data and return to the "*Run Data Details*" screen. There will be no warning to confirm the run is to be deleted; if Delete Run Data is selected, the data will be removed.
- Click on "Exit without Deleting Run" to keep the data and return to the "*Run Data Details*" screen.

#### Change Run Number

To change the run number for the selected Location – Method – Run:

- Click the "Change Run Number" button from the Run Data Details screen.
- Select the "*Location Method Run*" from the list.

😑 Rename R	lun		_		×
	Ren	ame Run			
Select Locatio	n - Method - Run to Rena	ne:	New Ru	ın Numb	er:
Gin A - Method	10 - 2	~			
	Rename Run Number	Exit without Renam	ing Run		

Figure 41 - Rename Run Number

• Enter the new run number.

🖽 Rename Run				×
Ren	ame Run			
Select Location - Method - Run to Renar	ie:	New Ru	ın Numbe	er:
Stack - Method 10 - 1	~	4		
Rename Run Number	Exit without Renamin	ıg Run		
Microsoft Access You are about to rename run dat Method 10 and Run Number = 1		nd Methoo	×	
	Yes	No	0	

Figure 42 - Confirmation for renaming run number

- Click the "Change Run Number" button. You will be prompted to confirm the renaming. Click "Yes" on the rename confirmation dialog to change the run number. When the run is successfully renamed, a confirmation box will pop-up "Run was renamed!" Select "Ok" and return to the "*Run Data Details*" screen. Click "No" to return to the "*Run Number*" window without saving.
- Click the "Exit without Changing Run Number" to return to the "*Run Data Details*" screen without saving changes.

#### Change Run Date

This will change the date for the selected location – method – run - date.

- Click the "Change Run Date" button from the run data details screen.
- Select the "*Location Method Run Date*" from the pick list.
- Enter the new run date.
- Click the "Change Run Date" button. You will be prompted to confirm the renaming. Click "Yes" on the rename confirmation dialog to change the date and return to the "*Run Data Details*" screen. Click "No" to return to the "*Run Date*" window without saving.
- Click the "Exit without Changing Run Date" to return to the "*Run Data Details*" screen without saving changes.

# **Isokinetic/ Measured Method Test Data**

	Cooler Stack - Method 5		Ac	ld New Run Data	Delete Run Data
Select Run: Method 5	- 111		. Cha	ange Run Number	Change Run Date
d Setup Header Data P	oint Data 🛛 Lab Data 🗍 Samı	pling/Stack Data R	esults Cyclone Cu	t Size Emissions	
ompounds for this Lo	ocation / Method:	ĺ.	View / Edit Loc	ation Information	
Location	- Target Parameter	→ Lb/Hr Limit →	Test Method	✓ Num Test Runs	- Test Run Du
Cooler Stack	Filterable Particulate		Method 5	3	60
	N No Filter	Search	4		
		Search	4		÷
ecord: H		Search	•		•
Add Target Paramete			4		•
Add Target Paramete	rs	on / Method:		Process Rate, Par	
Add Target Paramete issions / Concentra Locat - Method Cooler S Method 5	tions for this Locatio Emission/Concentrat • Ib/hr	on / Method:	Corrected %    0	Process Rate, Par	
Add Target Paramete issions / Concentra Local - Method	tions for this Locatio	on / Method:	Corrected %	Process Rate, Par	
Add Target Paramete issions / Concentra Locat - Method Cooler S Method 5	tions for this Locatio Emission/Concentrat • Ib/hr	on / Method:	Corrected %    0	Process Rate, Par	
Add Target Paramete issions / Concentra Local - Method Cooler S Method 5	tions for this Locatio Emission/Concentrat • Ib/hr	on / Method:	Corrected %    0	Process Rate, Par	
Add Target Paramete issions / Concentra Local - Method Cooler S Method 5	tions for this Locatio Emission/Concentrat • Ib/hr	on / Method:	Corrected %    0	Process Rate, Par	

Figure 43 - Run Data Details Screen for Isokinetic/Manual Methods

As stated previously there are three categories of stack test methods in the ERT currently: single train isokinetic/manual methods, paired train manual methods and instrumental methods. Depending on the method selected, the ERT will display different run data details tabs. For single train Isokinetic methods, the tabs include:

- "Method Setup"
- "Header Data"
- "Point Data"
- "Lab Data"
- "Sampling/Stack Data Results"
- "Cyclone Cut Size"
- "Emissions"

You can import field data information for up to nine isokinetic test runs from a spreadsheet (see <u>Add New Run Data - Spreadsheet Import</u>) or manually enter the information into the appropriate screens. Importing data from spreadsheets populates only data for the header data tabs and the point data tabs, to produce the emissions calculations users are required to enter the lab data by clicking on the "Lab Data" tab.

For paired train manual methods, the tabs include:

- "Method Setup"
- "Header Data"
- "Point Data"
- "Sample Data"
- "Sampling/Stack Data Results"
- "Emissions"

- "QA/QC"
- For instrumental methods, the tabs include:
  - "Method Setup"
  - "Calibrations"
  - "ITM Run Results"
  - "Emissions"

#### **Method Setup Tab**

		Cooler Stack - Method 5		Ad	d New Run Data 📗	Delete Run Data
Select R	un: Method 5	- 111		Cha	nge Run Number	Change Run Date
d Setup	Header Data P	oint Data 🛛 Lab Data 🗍 Sam	pling/Stack Data Res	ults Cyclone Cut	: Size Emissions	
		and the standards		View / Edit Loca	tion Information	
		ocation / Method:				
		<ul> <li>Target Parameter</li> </ul>	→I Lb/Hr Limit →		-I Num Test Runs -	and the second se
Cooler S	Stack	Filterable Particulate	0	lethod 5	3	60
		M LT MAR THAN	Carrol	4		
			Search	•		•
	<ul> <li>✓ 1 of 1</li> <li>→</li> <li>Target Parameter</li> </ul>		Search			•
Add	Target Paramete			•		•
Add	Target Paramete	rs	on / Method:		Process Rate, Para	Þ meter v
Add issions Locat - Cooler S	Target Paramete / Concentra Method Method 5	rs tions for this Locatio	on / Method:	Corrected % -	Process Rate, Para	meter 🗸
Add issions Locat - Cooler S	Target Parameter	tions for this Locatio	on / Method:	Corrected % -	Process Rate, Para	meter -
Add issions Locat - Cooler S	Target Paramete / Concentra Method Method 5	tions for this Location Emission/Concentrat Ib/hr	on / Method:	Corrected % -	Process Rate, Para	meter -
Add ssions Locat - Cooler S	Target Paramete / Concentra Method Method 5	tions for this Location Emission/Concentrat Ib/hr	on / Method:	Corrected % -	Process Rate, Para	meter 🗸
Add ssions Local • Cooler S	Target Paramete / Concentra Method Method 5	tions for this Location Emission/Concentrat Ib/hr	on / Method:	Corrected % -	Process Rate, Para	meter -

Figure 44 - Isokinetic Method: Method Setup Tab

The Method Setup tab contains a common set of fields for all three categories of test methods. The fields in this section will be pre-populated based on information entered in the test plan. However, they can be modified:

- *View / Edit Location Information:* Allows you to revise the test location information supplied during the test plan development
- *Add Target Parameters:* Allows you to add target parameters for this run at this location/method.
- *Add Emissions/Concentrations:* Allows you to add emissions/concentrations for this run at this location/method.
- Delete Target Parameters or Emission/Concentrations: Highlight the row of the emission/concentration by clicking on the gray cell to the left of the column named "Location" and press the keyboard "Delete" button. When you are in the correct column, the cursor will change from a white arrow pointing up and left (<sup>ស</sup>) to a black arrow (⇒pointing right).
- *Delete Process Rate, Parameter*: To delete the process rate, parameter you must block all of the text in the field and then press the keyboard "**Delete**" button. It should be

noted that the process rate parameter should only be associated with an emission rate and the time units (i.e. lb/hr, lb/min, lb/sec) should be the same for both the emissions rate and the process rate.

• *Change Process Rate Parameter*: To add or change the process rate parameter, click within the field and then on the down symbol ( ) to reveal the drop-down list of available process parameters. You should choose a process parameter only for emissions rates that have the same time units as the emissions rate (i.e. tons of material processed/hr and lb of pollutant/hr).

# Header Data Tab

Facility: Environ Mental Co Permitted Source ID/Descript	ncious Furniture Co.		_ E ) Open Expand
Select Location - Method:	stack - Method 29	Add New Run Data	Delete Run Data
Select Run: Method 29 -	1 <	> Change Run Number	Change Run Date
Nethod Setup Header Data Poir	nt Data Lab Data Sampling/Stack Dat	a Results Cyclone Cut Size Emissions	
Method:	RunNumber: RunD	ate:	
Method 29	1 12/23/	2004	
Equipment ID	Calibration	Checks Pre Mid	Post
Dry Gas Meter:	Y: \$.991	Vacuum:	
Control	DH@: 2.05	Leak Check Total Volume: 0.002	
Umbilical:	Cp: 0.84	Leak Rate:	
StackTC:	Dn: 0.297	Pitot:	<b>•</b>
TedlarBag:	Ambient	Nozzle:	
OrsatPump:	Pb: 30.04	Stack TC:	
Probe/Pitot:	Pstatic: -0.18 Temperature	3 Vic: 461.9 Vic Components	1
Nozzle:	Temperature	Micromanometer ID:	
Filters		Sensitivity:	
FilterNum1: 12	Concentrations (run ID if u		
FilterNum2 13	% CO2: 0 - User Entered -	· 💌	
FilterNum3	% O2: 13.2		
Def	aults Fue	Type: Wood	
tstd 68 Pstd	29.92 % CO 0 Fd	9240 Fw Fc	1830

Figure 45 - Single Train Isokinetic Method: Header Data

Most of the components in the "*Header Data*" tab are common between the single train and paired train sampling methodologies. The information for the single train may be imported from spreadsheets. The information for both methodologies may be entered directly into the fields. Figure 45 shows the layout of the single train or isokinetic train "*Header Data*" tab.

🖃 Run Data Details				
Facility: City of Anacortes WWTP			Open Expanded	
Permitted Source ID/Description:				
Select Location - Method: Sewage	Sludge Incinerator Exhaust - Metho	d 30B 🔍 🛛 Add New Run Data	Delete Run Data	
Select Run: Method 30B - 3		Change Run Number	Change Run Date	
Method Setup Header Data Point Data	Sample Data Stack Data Results	Emission QA/QC		
Method: Runi	Number: RunDate:			
Method 30B	3 4/20/2017	Trap Manufacturer:		
Lab Reported MDL:*	5 ng Mercury	TrapAnalysisSource:		
Low point in calibration curve:*	10 ng ng Vitist	Analysis Technician:		
High point in calibration curve:* Est. MDL from Breakthrough results:	2000 ng Gas Meter Units.*	Analysis Method:		
Expected Mass of Hg to be collected:	1500 ng liters V	Checks Pre	Post	
Run Technician Name:		Sample A Sample	B Sample A Sample B	
Equipment ID	Calibration	Vacuum(in Hg):* 8	3 7 7	
Sample A Sample B	Sample A Sample B	Leak Rate* 0.007	0 0	
Dry Gas Meter:	Y: * 0.988 0.99	Leak Rate %: 0.00 0.00		
Control Console:	Cp:*	Stack TC: No 🗸 No 🗸		
StackTC:	DH@:	Sorbent Trap TC: Yes Ves Probe TC: Yes Ves Ves Ves Ves Ves Ves Ves Ves Ves V		
Probe/Pitot:				
Defaults	Ambient	Stack Gas Parameters	(run ID if used)	
tstd * 68 Pstd * 29.92 % CO	Pb: * 30.12	Flow Rate dscfm(Qsd):	- Calculated -	
	0 Pstatic:*	% H2O:	- calculated -	
Fuel Type:	Vic Components *	Concentrations		
Fd 0 Fw 0 Fc	Sample A:	% CO2: *	- User Entered - 🗸 🗸	
Cielde marked with * are serviced to order	,	% O2: * 9.69	- User Entered - 🗸	
Fields marked with * are required to calcu	ulate emissions / concentrations.			

Figure 46- Paired Train Manual Method: Header Data

Figure 46 shows the layout of the paired train "*Header Data*" tab. Below are descriptions of the fields for both methodologies. Fields present in only one methodology will be identified.

Analytical Set Up:	The analytical Set up area is only present in the paired train sampling methodology. Information included in the "Analytical Set Up" area provides the critical parameters the analyst established during the initial instrument calibration in preparation for the analysis. Except for the "Run Technician" name, every data element is used in the acceptance assessment of the sample results.
Lab Reported MDL:	The laboratory must establish their minimum detection limit (MDL). Method 30B requires that the MDL must be determined at least once for the analytical system using an MDL study such as that found in section 15.0 to Method 301.

Low Point of the Calibration Curve:	This is the lowest mass which the technician selects for calibration of the analytical instrument. Method 30B states that the lowest point of the calibration curve should be five and preferably ten times the MDL. Since Method 30B includes an acceptance criterion that a valid analysis result must be within the calibration range, the low point of the calibration curve establishes the minimum analytical result which meets the acceptance criteria.
High Point of the Calibration Curve:	This is the highest mass which the technician selects for calibration of the analytical instrument. As with the low point of the calibration curve, the high point of the calibration curve establishes the maximum analytical result which meets the acceptance criteria.
Est MDL from breakthrough results:	This value is a calculated value based upon the trap 2 results. The estimated MDL multiplies the standard deviation of the trap 2 mass by the one tailed t-value at the 99% significance level with a degree of freedom of the number of samples less one.
Expected Mass of Hg to be collected:	This is the mass value of Hg expected to be collected in Section 1 of the sample trap. The expected mass is used to assess the acceptability of the spike level used during the field recovery test. Method 30B section 8.2.6.1 indicates that the pre-sampling spike mass must be within 50 to150 percent of this expected mass.
Run Technician Name:	This field is the name of the person that operated the equipment used to collect the sample. (Optional)
Mercury Mass Units:	This field provides the metric units associated with all the reported mass values used in the sample data tab. Metric mass values available range from grams (g) to picograms (pg). You should select mass units which will display the trap two values with at least number in the one place.
Gas Meter Units:	This is the units that the dry gas meter displays. The ERT limits selection to liters, cubic meters and cubic feet. You should select the display units for the gas meters used to collect the sample and shown on the point data sheet.
Sampling Media Information:	This area is only present in the paired train sampling methodology. Information in this area is used to identify the supplier of the sampling media, analytical instrumentation, the analyst and the analysis method.
Trap Manufacturer:	This is the manufacturer of the sample cartridge or sleeve containing a sorbent media (typically activated carbon
	Part 1 - 52

	treated with iodine or some other halogen) with multiple sections separated by an inert material such as glass wool. These sorbent traps are optimized for the quantitative capture of elemental and oxidized forms of Hg and can be analyzed by multiple techniques.
Trap Analysis Source:	This is the combined equipment and apparatus used to perform sample analyses. This includes any associated sample preparation apparatus e.g., digestion equipment, spiking systems, reduction devices, etc., as well as analytical instrumentation such as UV AA and UV AF cold vapor analyzers.
Analysis Technician:	This is the name of the person operating the trap analysis equipment. (Optional)
Analysis Method:	This is information to identify the method used extract, prepare and analyze the collected samples. Recovery techniques may include acid leaching, digestion, and thermal desorption/direct combustion. Example analytical techniques include, but are not limited to, ultraviolet atomic fluorescence (UV AF), ultraviolet atomic absorption (UV AA) with and without gold trapping, and X-ray fluorescence (XRF) analysis.
Equipment ID:	Information provided in the equipment ID area is used to identify specific pieces of equipment used for the test run. Identifiers which may be used include but are not limited to manufacturers' product name and serial numbers or test company identification numbers.
Dry Gas Meter:	The dry gas meter is the piece of hardware responsible for quantifying the volume of gas passing through the meter. Typically, this is a diaphragm or bellows meter. The bellows in the meter drive an odometer-like counter indicating the total volume of gas which has passed through the meter. The ID is necessary for calibration documentation purposes. (Optional)
Control Console:	The control console describes the combination of the dry gas meter, pumps, temperature controllers, manometers, pressure transducers and vacuum gauge. The ID is necessary for calibration documentation purposes. (Optional)
Umbilical:	This is the sample gas transport line from the sample box to the control console or meter box. The umbilical usually consists of bundled tubing, thermocouple, electrical lines, etc., used to control the probe and sample

	box filter temperatures. The Umbilical ID is present only on the "Single Train" headertab. (Optional)
StackTC:	This is the ID of the y for calibration documentation purposes.
TedlarBag:	This is the ID of a Tedlar bag, if used. The Tedlar bag ID is present only on the "Single Train" header tab. (Optional)
OrsatPump:	This is the ID of the pump used for filling a Tedlar bag, for example. The Orsat Pump ID is present only on the "Single Train" header tab. (Optional)
Probe/Pitot:	This is the sample probe and or Pitot equipment identification number. The Pitot is the piece of hardware used to measure the velocity pressure of the stack gas. The ID is necessary for calibration documentation purposes.
Nozzle:	The nozzle ID is necessary for calibration documentation purposes. The Nozzle ID is present only on the "Single Train" header tab.
Filters:	Information provided in the filters area is used to identify the specific filter used for the test run. The numbers assigned to each of the filters is necessary for associating laboratory analyses with the specific test program. Since filters are not used with the paired train methods which can be documented in the ERT, the filter numbers are present only on the "Single Train" header tab.
FilterNum1:	For particulate sampling, enter the filter's unique identification number.
FilterNum2:	This is for the second filter ID, if two filters are used.
FilterNum3:	This is for the third filter ID, if three filters are used.
Calibration:	Documenting equipment IDs allows for the calibration data for the specific equipment used in sampling to be included with the test data.
Y:	This is the dry gas meter correction coefficient, gamma, of an isokinetic sampling train meterbox (such as used for Method 5 sampling). The value is determined by the calibration of the dry gas meter with a volume device traceable to the National Institute of Standards and

	Technology (NIST). The units of gamma are dimensionless and should be between 0.95 and 1.05.
DH@:	Delta H @ ( $\Delta$ H <sub>@</sub> ) is the orifice pressure differential in inches of H <sub>2</sub> O of an isokinetic sampling train meterbox (such as used for Method 5 sampling) that correlates to 0.75 cfm at 528°R and 29.92 in Hg.
Cp:	The Pitot tube coefficient for an S-type Pitot can range from 0.80 to 0.88 but is usually between 0.84 and 0.864. The default value allowed for S-type Pitots' meeting the Method 2 design specification and which have not been calibrated is 0.84. Any S- type Pitot with a Cp other than 0.84 should also include documentation of calibration by the procedure described in Section 10 of Method 2. The Cp for a standard Pitot is 0.99.
Dn (in):	The nozzle diameter is measured in inches. Since at this time the nozzle diameter is not needed with the paired train methods which can be documented in the ERT, the nozzle diameter is present only on the "Single Train" header tab.
Checks:	The following parameters refer to leak checking of various equipment components. "Pre" refers to checks done before the start of a run, "mid" is in reference to checks performed sometime during the run (such as between port changes), and "post" means after the run. For paired train sampling, there are fields for documenting checks for both sample trains verses a single sampling train system.
Vacuum- Pre & Post:	The vacuum at which the pre and post sampling train leak checks were performed.
Leak Check Total Volume, Mid:	For single sampling trains, this is the total volume recorded by the dry gas meter (DGM) during all leak checks performed between the pre-test and post-test leak checks. This volume is subtracted from the total sample volume recorded for the test run. These leak checks are typically conducted during sampling port changes. Since the ERT capable test method which requires paired sampling trains does not allow for leak checks with port changes this field is present only on the single train "Header Data" tab.
Leak Rate- Pre & Post:	These include the pre- and post-test sampling train leak check rates. For Method 5, the post-test leak rate must be less than or equal to 0.02 acfm. For paired sampling trains, both sampling trains must have leak rates below 4%. The

	pre-test leak rate is compared to the initial sampling rate and the post-test leak rate is compared to the average sampling rate.
Pitot- Pre, Mid, & Post:	These include the pre, mid, and post-test leak check results, as applicable. This field is present only on the single train "Header Data" tab.
Nozzle- Pre, Mid, & Post:	These are pull-down selections for nozzle inspections for dents, nicks, etc. This field is present only on the single train "Header Data" tab.
Stack TC- Pre, Mid & Post:	These include the pre, mid, and post-test results of the thermocouple check, as applicable. Since the ERT capable test method which requires paired sampling trains also requires the recording of sorbent trap and probe temperatures, there are checks for these thermocouples.
Defaults:	The following parameters refer to the EPA standards.
Tstd:	This is the standard temperature which defaults to EPA standard of 68 degrees F. When the test method performed requires the use of a different standard temperature, the "68" in this field should be changed to the temperature specified.
Pstd:	This is the standard pressure which defaults to EPA standard of 29.92 inches of mercury. When the test method performed requires the use of a different standard pressure, the "29.92" in this field should be changed to the pressure specified.
% CO:	This is the carbon monoxide percentage which defaults to zero (0).
Fuel Type:	The selection of the Fuel Type with this drop-down menu populates the three F-factor fields below this selection with the values presented in Table 19-2 of EPA Method 19. In addition, "Override" may be selected and fuel specific F-factors as calculated by equations 19-13, 19-14 and 19-15 in EPA Method 19 may be entered in the appropriate F-factor field. The values entered are based upon an ultimate analysis of the fuel or combination of fuels using equations 19-16, 19-17 and 19-18 of EPA Method 19.
Fd:	The value "Fd" is the ratio of the quantity of dry effluent gas generated by combustion to the gross calorific value of the fuel. When combined with the oxygen

	concentration, the emission rate in lb/MMBtu can be calculated from the dry pollutant emissions concentration. By selecting the fuel type, the F-factor, "Fd," from US EPA Reference Method 19 is populated in this field. If override is selected, the user should enter the "Fd" as calculated by equation 19-13 or 19-16 of US EPA Reference Method 19.
Fw:	The value "Fw" is the ratio of the quantity of wet effluent gas generated by combustion to the gross calorific value of the fuel. When combined with the wet oxygen concentration and the moisture concentration, the emission rate in lb/MMBtu can be calculated from the wet emissions concentration. By selecting the fuel type, the F-factor, "Fw," from US EPA Reference Method 19 is populated in this field. If override is selected, the user should enter the "Fw" as calculated by equation 19-14 or 19-17 of US EPA Reference Method 19.
Fc:	The value "Fc" is the ratio of the theoretical carbon dioxide produced during combustion to the higher heating value of the fuel combusted. When combined with the carbon dioxide, the emission rate in lb/MMBtu can be calculated from either the wet or dry emissions concentration. By selecting the fuel type, the F-factor, "Fc," from US EPA Reference Method 19 is populated in this field. If override is selected, the user should enter the "Fc" as calculated by Equation 19-15 or 19-18 of US EPA Reference Method 19.
Ambient:	Information provided in this area is used to identify ambient air surrounding the sampling location.
Pb:	The barometric pressure of the sampling location. If the pressure is reported to sea level conditions, adjust the pressure for the elevation of the location above sea level. A 0.1 inches Hg decrease for every 100 feet of elevation is typically used.
Pstatic:	The static pressure, inches of water, of the sampling location.
Temperature:	Ambient Temperature in degrees F. The ambient temperature field is present only on the single train "Header Data" tab.

Ir	nitial	Final	
Impinger 1:	564.3	548.5	
Impinger 2:	704.3	711.2	
Impinger 3:	492.4	499.1	
Impinger 4:	0	0	
Impinger 5:	0	0	
Impinger 6:	0	0	
Silica Gel:	744.9	758.8	
	Close		
nange Vlc?			
2 Do you to			1.0000000407
	replace the curren	it VIc value with this value: 1	1.99990234374

Figure 47- Volume of liquid collucted sub menu

Vlc Components:	The following parameters refer to "Vlc," where "Vlc" is the volume of liquid condensate. The "Vlc" is the volume or mass of water condensed from the sample gas and collected in the impingers of an isokinetic sampling train.
Vlc:	Click on "Vlc Components" button on the single train "Header Data" tab to open a form shown in Figure 47 - Volume of liquid collected sub menu. to enter "Vlc Components". Enter the values for the initial volume or mass and final volume or mass for up to 6 Impingers and the silica gel. When complete, click on "Close". A prompt will appear with the new calculated "Vlc" value to verify that the current "Vlc" value should be replaced with the new "Vlc" value. Click "Yes" to replace current value. Click "No" to close without replacing the current "Vlc" value if you selected "Yes" to the prompt the "Vlc" value will populate the "Vlc" data field in the header date tab. The paired train header tab does not have the capability to document individual impinger contents at this time.
Stack Gas Parameters:	This area is only present in the paired train sampling methodology. Information in this area link the flow rate, stack temperature and moisture to a different run like Method 5 or Method 29. These values should be calculated in an isokinetic train. "User entered" can also be used to add the data, if the method utilized is not available in the ERT.

Flow Rate dscm (Qsd):	Flow rate of the stack gas tested in dry standard cubic meters.
Temperature (ts):	Temperature of the stack gas tested in Fahrenheit.
% H2O:	Moisture content of the stack gas tested in percent.
Concentrations:	The following two parameters refer to the concentrations of carbon dioxide and oxygen in the gas stream as measured by one of several other test methods'
% CO2:	The carbon dioxide percent of the gas stream tested. The pull- down to the right of the field for the CO <sub>2</sub> concentration provides access to the results of instrumental measurements of CO <sub>2</sub> .
% O2:	The oxygen percent of the gas stream tested. The pull- down to the right of the field for the O <sub>2</sub> concentration provides access to the results of instrumental measurements of O <sub>2</sub> .
Micromanometer ID:	This area is only present in the single train sampling methodology. This is the identification number of the micromanometer.
Sensitivity:	This is the units of inches of water column.

# **Point Data Tab**

e	Select Location - Method: stack - Method 29 🕢 🕞 Add New Run Data Delete Run I								te Run D	ata
	Select F	Run: Method	29 - 2		▼ < >	Chan	ge Run Number	Chan	ge Run (	Date
00	d Setup	Header Data	Point Data	Lab Data Sampli	ng/Stack Data Results	Cyclone Cut S	Size Emissions			
Method: RunNumber: RunDate:										
	Method 29			2	12/23/2004					
				-	10,20,200 /					
1	Poir 🗸	BeginTim 🗸	EndTim 🗸	Clock 🗸	GasMeter 🚽	DeltaP 🗸	OrificePresDesir	- C	<b></b>	
	A1	0	4	3:31:00 PM	741.792	0.28	1.	26		
	A2	4	8	3:35:00 PM	743.955	0.28	1.	26		
	A3	8	12	3:39:00 PM	746.118	0.31	1.	39	=	
	A4	12	16	3:43:00 PM	748.281	0.31	1.	39		
	A5	16	20	3:47:00 PM	750.444	0.29	1.	30		
	A6	20	24	3:51:00 PM	752.608	0.29	1.	30 l		
	A7	24	28	3:55:00 PM	754.771	0.2	0.	90		
	A8	28	32	3:59:00 PM	756.934	0.2	0.	90		
	B1	32	36	4:03:00 PM	759.097	0.29	1.	30		
	B2	36	40	4:07:00 PM	761.260	0.29	1.	30		
	B3	40	44	4:11:00 PM	763.423	0.3		35		
	B4	44	48	4:15:00 PM	765.586	0.3		35		
	B5	48	52	4:19:00 PM	767.749	0.27		21		
	B6	52	56	4:23:00 PM	769.912	0.27	1.	21	-	
I (		1111						•		

Figure 48 - Isokinetic Method: Point Data Tab

Although the point data for single train and paired train sampling are similar, the point data screen for paired trains provides additional columns for documenting comparable information for the duplicate components for the combined test train. The point data for the single sampling train may be imported from the spreadsheet. Data for both the single sample train and the paired sampling train can be manually entered in the point data tab (Figure 48). Use the side and bottom scroll bars to view more information. Recall at any time you can change the width of the columns in Access by placing the cursor over the split between the columns and clicking and dragging the column to the desired width.

ted Sourc	viron Mental Conci e ID/Descriptior		re Co. Drye	r 2				Open Expanded				
ect Locatio	on - Method: st	tack - Metho	od 308		Add Nev	v Run Data	Delete Run D	ata				
Select Run:	Method 30B - 1	1	<u>•</u>	< >	Change R	Run Number	Change Run D	Date				
Setup He	ader Data Point I	Data Sam	ple Data 🛛 Stack 🛛	ata Results   Emiss	ion QA/QC							
Ma	thod:	Du	nNumber:	RunDate:								
	od 30B		1	11/10/2013	_							
	BeginTir - Er		Clock 🗸				OrificePres -	OrificePres -	StackTer -	StackTe -	ProbeTempA -	ProbeTempB -
D5		0		204.870	144.810							
4	0	3		205.578	145.587		1.63	1.657	203	203		
3	3	6	11:55:41 AM	206.280	146.367		1.49	1.433	204	204	248	
2	6	9	11:58:34 AM	206.973	147.141		1.34	1.342	203	203		
1	9	12	12:01:26 PM	207.675	147.918		1.70	1.697	202	202		
A5	12	15	12:04:19 PM	208.374	148.689		1.67	1.638	200	200		
4	15	18	12:07:12 PM	209.070	149.460		1.63	1.732	204	204	249	
3	18	21	12:10:05 PM	209.769	150.237		1.70	1.671	206	206		
2	21	24	12:12:58 PM	210.471	151.014		1.41	1.504	206	206		
1	24	27	12:15:50 PM	211.170	151.794		1.69	1.743	205	205		
B5	27	30	12:18:43 PM	211.863	152.565		1.47	1.417	204	204	244	
4	30	33	12:21:36 PM	212.568	153.339	0.146	1.73	1.786	208	208	260	260
2	36	42	12:30:14 PM	213.279	154.116	0.136	1.39	1.468	218	218	253	253
3	33	36	12:24:29 PM	213.972	154.887	0.16	1.48	1.448	219	219	262	262
1	42	39	12:27:22 PM	214.671	155.658	0.139	1.56	1.621	217	217	256	256
			40.00.07.014	045 267	156,429	0.166	1.68	1.611	215	215	261	261
C5	39	45	12:33:07 PM	215.367	150.429	0.100	1.00	1.011	213	213	201	201

Figure 49- Paired Sample Train Point Data Tab

Below is a description of the column fields:

Point:	The sampling point label, such as A1, A-1, D-2, etc.
BeginTime:	The cumulative sampling time that sampling at the sample point was started, in minutes. Port changes DO NOT reset the time to zero (0).
EndTime:	The cumulative sampling time, in minutes, that sampling at the sample point was ended, and is the begin time plus the sampling time per point. Values in end time are used in calculating Net Run Time and isokinetics.
Clock:	The actual clock time at the start of sampling at a point.
GasMeter:	The dry gas meter volume reading at the beginning of the sampling at a point. This means that the final volume reading is recorded in a row without a point label and no other recorded point data. Sometimes the sampling data is recorded at the end of sampling at a point which would require that the first volume reading is recorded without any other sampling data. Values in "Gas Meter" are used in the calculation of sample volume metered, standard sample volume metered, isokinetics, calculated moisture content of gas stream, dry mole fraction of water, wet molecular weight of gas stream, velocity of gas stream, and actual and standard stack gas flow. For paired sample train tests there are two columns for recording the gas meter volumes.
DeltaP:	The velocity pressure (delta p) expressed in inches of water. Values in "Delta P" are used in the calculation of isokinetics, average delta p, velocity of gas stream and actual and standard stack gas flow.

OrificePresDesired: This is the orifice pressure setting required for sampling isokinetically, measured by inches water. For paired sample train tests there are two columns for recording the desired orifice pressure. OrificePresActual: Orifice pressure sampled or reached, measured by inches of water. The values in the "Orifice Pressure Actual" are used in the calculation for "Delta H," sample volume corrected to standard conditions, isokinetics, moisture percentage, dry mole fraction of water, velocity of gas stream and actual and standard stack gas flow. For paired sample train tests there are two columns for recording the actual orifice pressure. StackTemp: Stack temperature is the temperature of the effluent gas at the sampling point and is expressed as degrees F. The values in the stack temp are used in the calculation for isokinetics, moisture percentage at saturation, dry mole fraction of water, wet molecular weight of sampled gas stream, average stack temperature, velocity of gas stream, and actual and standard stack gas flow. For paired sample train tests there are two columns for recording the stack temperature. ProbeTemp: This is the temperature of the sampling probe, degrees F. For paired sample train tests there are two columns for recording the probe temperature. Trap Temp: This is the temperature of the sample collection traps, degrees F. For paired sample train tests there are two columns for recording the trap temperature. There is no column for trap temperature for single train sample methods. FilTempIn: This is the filter temperature entering the filter box or compartment, degrees F. All filter temperatures should be the temperature measured by a thermocouple in direct contact with the sample gas. Where a sampling protocol requires the monitoring of two filter temperatures, this may be used as the exit gas temperature for the first filter. For example, this would be the Method 5 filter temperature for a combined Method 5 and Method 202 sampling train. FilTempOut: This is the filter temperature exiting the filter box or compartment, degrees F. Where a sampling protocol requires the monitoring of two filter temperatures, this may be used as the exit gas temperature for the second filter. For example, this would be the Method 202 filter temperature for a combined Method 5 and Method 202 sampling train. This is the temperature of sample gas exiting silica gel impinger, degrees FinalExitTemp: F. For paired sample train tests there are two columns for recording the final exit temperature. DryGasInlet: This is the dry gas meter inlet gas temperature, expressed as degrees F.

DryGasOutlet:	This is the dry gas meter outlet gas temperature, expressed as degrees F. The values in the dry gas outlet are used in the calculation for dry gas meter temperature, sample volume corrected to standard conditions, isokinetics, moisture percentage of stack gas, dry mole fraction of water, wet molecular weight of sampled gas stream, average stack temperature, velocity of gas stream and actual and standard stack gas flow. For paired sample train tests there are two columns for recording the dry gas outlet temperature.
PumpVac:	This is the vacuum of the sampling pump, measured in inches of mercury. For paired sample train tests there are two columns for recording the pump vacuum.
SampleRate:	This is the sampling rate, measured in cubic ft per min. For paired sample train tests there are two columns for recording the sampling rate. For paired sample train tests this is in the units of measure selected on the "Header Data" tab and is a required field which is used in the quality analysis of the test.
Notes:	These are any observations or comments concerning the test run.

## Lab Data Tab

	Select Run: Method 29 - 2			▼ <	>	Change Run Number	Cha	ange Run Date
hod	Setup Header Data Point D	ata Lab Da	ta Samplin	ng/Stack Dat	a Results Cyclon	e Cut Size Emissions		
	Method: Method 29	Ri	nNumber: 2		RunDate: 12/23/2004			
1,	Compound -	Mass -	Units -	Flag 👻	С	omments	•	
4	and	20	mg					
S	Silver	23	mg					
Ν	lickel	23	mg					
Ν	Manganese	23	mg					
L	ead	23	mg					
C	Chromium	23	mg					
				i				

Figure 50- Isokinetic Method: Lab Data Tab

The single sample "*Lab Data*" screen presents a limited amount of information and the comparable paired sample screen is named "*Sample Data*." Enter the lab data for each compound. Below is a description of the single sample "*Lab Data*" fields:

Compound: Analyte name from the "Setup" window.

- Mass: Sample catch weight reported from the lab.
- Units: The mass units, including: gm (grams), mg (milligrams), ug (micrograms), ng (nanograms) or pg (picograms).
- Flag: Lab quantifier comment about the sample data, which may be ND, EMPC, J, etc. EMC Guidance document GD-051F recommends using the following flags for stack test results which have multiple reported fractions: BDL (below detection level) – all analytical values used to calculate and report an in- stack emissions value are less than the laboratory's reported detection level(s); DLL (detection level limited) – at least one but not all values used to calculate and report an instack emissions value are less than the laboratory's reported detection level(s); and ADL (above detection level) - all analytical values used to calculate and report an in-stack emissions value are greater than the laboratory's reported detection level(s).
- Comments: Observations or comments. EMC Guidance document GD-051F recommends the reporting of individual components and laboratory detection level(s) in the comment field. Each component should be provided in the order of the sampling train with comas separating the individual values. Values which are below the detection limit should be enclosed with brackets and the value proceeded with a less than sign. For example, a four-fraction sample would be reported as 0.036, [<0.069], 1.239, [<0.945]. It is suggested that the method to address below detection level results should be included in the comment field as well as a summary of the methodology used to establish the detection level.

## Sample Data Tab




Figure 51 - Paired Sample Train: Sample Data Screen

For paired sample trains, the "*Sample Data*" screen contains only eight fields for the user to provide information. The remaining information presented is intermediate calculations and final calculated results based upon the user entered information.

Below is a description of the user entered and calculated fields:

Trap ID:	This is usually an alphanumeric code as required by section 6.1.1 of Method 30B which uniquely identifies a cartridge or sleeve containing a sorbent media with two sections separated by an inert material.
Section 1 Hg Mass:	This is the mass determined by the analysis of the first section of the sorbent trap and the inert separation material. The units of measure displayed after the date entry fields are the units selected on the paired train "Header Data" screen.
Section 1 Spike Value:	This is the mass which was spiked (added) to "Section 1" of one of the pairs of traps used for the Field Recovery Test. All spiked samples will be one of the tests for the "Field Recovery Study." The mass spiked should be between 50 and 150 percent of the expected mass collected on the unspiked samples.
Section 1 Spike Recovery:	For samples which were spiked, the concentration calculated by Method 30B Equation 30B-6 is presented. The units of measure are $\mu g/dscm$ .
Section 1 Spike Recovery Mass:	For samples which were spiked, the product of the spike recovery concentration and the volume of gas sampled are presented. The units of measure are those selected in the "Header Data" screen.
Section 1 Recovery Percentage:	For samples which were spiked, the percentage of spike mass recovered calculated by Method 30B Equation 30B-7 is presented. While there are no specifications for individual recoveries, the average of all the Field Recovery tests should be between 85% and 115%.
Section 2 Hg Mass:	This is the mass determined by the analysis of the second section of the sorbent trap. The units of measure displayed after the entry fields are the units selected on the "Header Data" screen. You should adjust the units of measure on the "Header Data" screen such that all figures for the mass are visible within the three visible decimal places of this field.

Section 2 Breakthrough:	This is the breakthrough percentage from the section 1 mass. Method 30B Equation 30B-2 calculates breakthrough by dividing the Section 1 sorbent trap results by the Section 2 sorbent trap results. The units of measure are percent. The acceptability of the breakthrough percentage is dependent on the average concentration for the test run.
Initial Sampling Rate:	This is the initial sample flow rate entered in the point data by the source tester. This value will be used to assess the acceptability of the Pre-test leak rate and the ability of the source tester to maintain this sampling rate during the test period. The units of the sampling rate are those selected in the "Header Data" screen.
Average Sampling Rate:	This is the average sampling rate achieved by the source tester during the run. This value will be used to assess the acceptability of the Post-test leak rate and the ability of the source tester to maintain this sampling rate during the test period. The units of the sampling rate are those selected in the "Header Data" screen.
Maximum deviation from sampling rate:	This is the maximum sampling rate deviation from the average sampling rate. While there are no criteria for acceptability, this value is an indicator of the source testers' ability to achieve the intent of the method to maintain a constant sample flow rate. The units of the deviation are in percent.
Total Sample Volume:	This is the total volume of gas sampled through the collection traps. The units of this value are Cubic Meters. This value will be compared to the average sample volume collected during the "Field Recovery" study tests.
Spl Vol deviation from field recovery run:	This is the percentage deviation from the average sample volume recorded for the "Field Recovery" study tests. To meet the acceptability requirements of Method 30B Section 8.3.3.3, each test run must be within 20 percent of the volume for the "Field Recovery" study tests.
Sample Mercury Mass Collected:	This is the sum of the mass collected on Section 1 and Section 2 reduced by any mass spiked on Section 1. The units of measure are those selected in the "Header Data" screen.

Sample Mercury Concentration (Cd):	This is the dry concentration of mercury measured by each of the two sampling trains based upon the "Sample Mass" collected and the "Total Volume Sampled". The units of measure for the concentration are in $\mu$ g/dscm.
Sample Mercury Concentration (Cw):	This is the wet concentration of mercury measured by the two sampling trains based upon the dry concentration and the measured moisture content. The units of measure for the concentration are $in\mu g/scm$ .
Test Run Mercury Concentration:	This is the average of the paired trap concentrations. Although the values are displayed to five significant figures users should base any decision on local policy for rounding and use of significant figures. EPA policy is that final values should be rounded to two or three significant figures.
Paired Trap Agreement Relative Deviation:	This is the relative difference between the two samples as calculated by Method 30B Equation 30B- 5. The unit of measure is percent. The criteria specified in Method 30B for acceptance depends upon the average measured concentration.

## Sampling/Stack Data Results Tab

					Data Delete Run Data
Select Run: M	lethod 29 - 2	•	< >	Change Run Nu	Imber Change Run Date
Setup Header	Data Point Data L	ab Data Sampling/St	ack Data Results Cyc	lone Cut Size Emis	sions
Metho	J: R	unNumber:	RunDate:		
Method :	29	2	12/23/2004		
Sampling Trai	in Parameters:		Stack Gas F	arameters:	
tRunTime:	54	% H20:	32.04	Vs:	33.04
etTravPts:	16	% H20sat:	31.69	Dstk:	72
1:	0.297	Mfd:	0.6831	Dwdth:	0
<b>5</b> :	0.84	% CO2:	5.5	Dingth:	0
	0.991	% O2:	15	As:	28.274
): 	30.04	% CO + N2:	79.5	Qsd:	32,752.9
eltaH:	0.87	Fo:	1.07	Qaw:	56,050.4
n:	34.610	Md:	29.48	MMBtu/Hr:	60.0391816317654
1:	88.59	Ms:	25.90		
nstd:	33.214	Pg:	-0.18		
c:	332.7	Ps:	30.03	View	All Runs
vstd:	15.66	ts:	159.50	view	
• I:	93.1	DeltaPavg:	0.2658336475638		

Figure 52- Isokinetic Method: Sampling/Stack Data Results Tab

mitted Source ID	)/Description:	DR2	Dryer 2				
elect Location -	Method: stat	k - Method 30B		-	Add New Run Data	Delete Run	Data
Select Run:	4ethod 30B - 1		< >		hange Run Number	Change Run	Date
od Setup Header	Data Point Da	ta Sample Data	Stack Data Results	Emission QA/	2C		
Metho		RunNumber:					
Method	30B	1	11/10/20	)13			
Sampling	j Train Paran	neters:		Stack Gas	Parameters:		
			% H20:	74.47	Vs:	18.77	
	Sample A	Sample B	% H20sat:	7.66	Dstk:	72	
NetRunTime:	60	60	Mfd:	0.9234	Dwdth:	0	
NetTravPts:	19	19	% CO2:	7	Dingth:	0	
Cp:	0.83	0.83	% O2:	13.2	As:	28.274	
Y:	0.99	0.99	% CO + N2:	79.8	Qsd:	2253.1	
Pb:	29.85	29.85	Fo:	1.10	Qaw:	31,842.2	
DeltaH:	1.34	1.34	Md:	29.65	MMBtu/Hr:	#Error	
Vm:	0.494	0.547	Ms:	40.78			
tm:	60.0526316	59.7894737	Pg:	-0.5			
Vmstd:	0.498	0.551	Ps:	29.81			
Vic components:	34	31	ts:	167.25	View All R	luns	
Vwstd:	1.60	1.46	DeltaPavg:	0.15039			

Figure 53- Paired Sampling Trains: Stack Data Results Tab

This tab shows results for a specific run, which were calculated from data in the Header Data and Point Data tabs. Except for the percent isokinetic field at the bottom left of the Sampling/Stack Data Results tab as shown in Figure 52, the data elements displayed are identical. Since the Paired Sampling trains have two independent sampling systems, the

paired sampling train Stack Data Results tab has a separate column for each sampling train in the *Sampling Train Parameters* area as shown in Figure 53. None of the fields are editable.

By placing your mouse over either the abbreviations or the data fields and pausing for about two seconds, a popup tip will appear explaining the abbreviations. This feature is not used for either "*Net Run Time*" or "*Net Traverse Points*" as these are easily deciphered.

Click the "**View All Runs**" button to display a print-ready window showing the results from all runs in a side-by-side manner.

Below is a desc NetRunTime:	cription of the fields: Net time in minutes of run.
NetTravPts:	Net number of traverse points.
Dn:	Diameter of nozzle, inches.
Cp:	Pitot coefficient.
Y:	Meter box coefficient, Gamma.
Pb:	The barometric pressure of the sampling location, inches of mercury.
DeltaH:	DGM orifice pressure differential, inches of water.
Vm:	Sample Volume metered, actual cubic feet.
Tm:	Dry gas meter temperature, degrees Fahrenheit.
Vmstd:	Sample volume corrected to standard conditions, DSCF.
Vlc:	Equivalent volume of liquid water collected in moisture sample, ml.
Vwstd:	Volume of water collected at standard conditions, SCF.
%I:	Percent Isokinetic, percentage.
% H2O:	Calculated moisture content of sampled gas stream, percentage.
% H2Osat:	Calculated moisture content of saturated gas stream, percentage.
Mfd:	Dry mole fraction, 1-BWS.
% CO2:	Carbon Dioxide concentration of sampled gas stream, percentage.
% O2:	Oxygen concentration of sampled gas stream, percentage.
% CO + N2:	Balanced gas concentration of sampled gas stream, percentage.

Fo:	Ratio of excess oxygen and carbon dioxide. Calculation uses (20.9- $%O_2$ )/ $%CO_2$ .
Md:	Dry molecular weight of sampled gas stream, lbs/lb-mole.
Ms:	Wet molecular weight of sampled gas stream, lbs/lb-mole.
Pg:	Static pressure of sampled gas, inches of water.
Ps:	Absolute pressure of sampled gas, inches of Hg.
Ts:	Temperature of sampled gas, degrees F.
DeltaPavg:	Average Pitot tube differential pressure, inches of water.
Vs:	Velocity of gas stream, feet per second.
Dstk:	Diameter of exhaust, inches.
Dwdth:	Width of exhaust, inches.
Dlngth:	Length of exhaust, inches.
As:	Area of stack, feet squared.
Qsd:	Dry volumetric flow rate of exhaust at standard conditions, DSCFM.
Qaw:	Actual volumetric flow rate of exhaust, ACFM.
MMBtu/Hr:	Heat Rate, mmBtu per hour.
<b>Note:</b> Fields with "#	Error" is a result of missing or incomplete Run data. See the descriptions for the point data columns to identify data entry errors that may cause one or more field in the sampling results tab to display "#Error." Errors in the sampling results may also be due to errors in one of the fields in "Calibration," "Concentration," or volume of liquid components (Vlc).

# Cyclone Cut Size Tab

	Lethod: Gin A - Method 201A	Add New Run Data	Delete Run Data
Select Run: Me	thod 201A - 1 🔍 < 💈	Change Run Numbe	r Change Run Date
Setup Header D	ata Point Data Lab Data Sampling/Stack Data Re	esults Cyclone Cut Size Emission	s
: These res	ults are only valid for Methods using	PM10 and PM2.5 Cyclor	ne Head!
Meth Method		Date: Show Point to 2/9/2008 Point Isokinetics	
	PM10-2.5 Results Calculation		
u:	Stack Gas Viscosity	183.6	
Qs:	Flow Rate at Cydone Conditions, ACFM	0.471	
Qsrd:	Sampling Rate at Standard Conditions, DSCFM	0.416	
Nre:	Reynolds Number	3197	
C:	Cunningham Correction Factor	1.082	
D50_PM10:	Cut Diameter of PM10 Cydone I, Micrometers	9.95	
D50_PM2.5:	Cut Diameter of PM2.5 Cydone IV, Micrometers	2.07	

Run # 📲	Point -	kuntime 🝷	Gasivieter 👻	velocity -	DeitaP Sqrt 👻	Gas velocity (It/s) 👻	Gas volume (dscr) 👻	Pt. to Pt. Iso. (%) 📲
1	1	5.21	508.067	0.4	0.63	37.59	2.2	100.5
1	2	5.99	510.500	0.46	0.68	40.61	2.7	99.0
1	3	4.43	513.500	0.34	0.58	34.80	1.7	100.9
1	4	3.9	515.400	0.3	0.55	32.85	1.4	101.2
1	5	5.73	517.000	0.44	0.66	39.45	2.5	98.8
1	6	7.16	519.750	0.55	0.74	44.19	3.5	101.2
1	1	6.9	523.700	0.53	0.73	43.55	3.3	99.6
1	2	5.73	527.400	0.44	0.66	39.41	2.4	96.9
1	3	4.56	530.100	0.35	0.59	35.23	1.7	95.7
1	4	3.91	532.000	0.3	0.55	32.97	1.3	91.5
1	5	4.81	533.450	0.37	0.61	36.57	1.9	99.6
1	6	3.52	535.600	0.27	0.52	31.14	1.2	99.5
1			536.940					

Figure 54 - Cylcone Cut Size Tab and Point to Point Isokinetic calculations

These results are calculated for every isokinetic method. However, they are only intended for methods using PM10 and PM2.5 cyclone heads. Click on the value to expand the value to twelve positions to the right of the decimal. Select the Show Point to Point Isokinetics Button for the Method 201A point-to-point isokinetic calculations.

#### **Emissions Tab**

elect Run: Method 23 - 1	ta Lab D	ata Sampling,		K Data Results C	Change Run	Number (	Dhange Run Date
					-	-	
Method:	Ru	Number:		RunDate:	Associ		1
Method 23		1		3/21/2012		×)	
Compound		Elb/hr	÷	Elb/hr / of C +	ng/dscm	<ul> <li>ng/dscm</li> </ul>	( 📤
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD		3.79E-08		#Error	8.23E-02	1.02E-01	
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF		8.80E-09		#Error	1.91E-02	2.36E-02	
1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF		4.67E-10		#Error	1.01E-03	1.25E-03	
1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD		1.13E-08		#Error	2.45E-02	3.02E-02	
1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF		1.00E-08		#Error	2.18E-02	2.69E-02	
1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD		1.59E-08		#Error	3.45E-02	4.26E-02	
1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF		8.40E-09		#Error	1.82E-02	2.25E-02	
1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD		1.20E-08		#Error	2.61E-02	3.22E-02	
1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF		5.43E-10		#Error	1.18E-03	1.46E-03	•
cord: I4 4 1 of 26 🕨 🕅	H K	No Filter Sei	arch	▲	III		16

Figure 55 - Emissions Tab

This tab shows the calculated emissions/concentrations for each compound by run. Generally, these fields are not editable. Columns other than "Compound" are dependent on the "Emissions / Concentrations" selected in the "Methods Setup" tab (Figure 44 -Isokinetic Method: Method Setup Tab). If a process rate variable has been associated with an emission rate, there will be an additional column which presents the process-based emissions calculation. You will see the text "#Error" in this column until the test run has an associated process rate selected. To calculate the process based emissions select the process run using the drop-down menu in the far right salmon colored field below the text "Associated Process Run" which is circled in Figure 55 - Emissions Tab. The "#Error" will be replaced by the quotient of the test run emission rate divided by the process rate for the selected process run.

Below is a descr Compound:	ription of a few of the more common column headings: Analyte name from setup window.
Gr/dscf:	Grain per dry standard cubic feet.
Gr/dscf@7%O2:	Grain per dry standard cubic feet corrected to 7% O <sub>2</sub> .
Elb/hr:	Pounds per hour.
Elb/Tons of:	Pounds per Ton of The full text of the divisor (Tons of) is dependent on the choice of process variable selected under the "Process Rate

Parameter" heading of the "Emissions / Concentration" area of the "Method Setup" tab.

#### Paired Train QA/QC Tab

ity: Environ Mental Concious Fu itted Source ID/Description: DR		Open Expanded					
lect Location - Method: stack - N	1ethod 30B	Add New Run Data Delete Run Data					
Select Run: Method 30B - 1	<b>• &lt; &gt;</b>	Change Run Number Change Run Date					
d Setup Header Data Point Data	Sample Data Stack Data Results En	nission QA/QC					
QA/QC Test or Specification -	Acceptance Criteria 🔹	Demonstrated Performance -					
Pre-test leak check	≤4% of target sampling rate	The pre-test leak check for Sample A was 4% of the target (initial) sample rate therefore meeting the acceptance criteria of $\le 4\%$ of target sampling rate. The pre-test leak check for Sample B was 4% of the target (initial) sample flow rate therefore meeting the acceptance criteria of $\le 4\%$ of target sampling rate.					
Post-test leak check		The post-test leak check for Sample A was 0.01 l/min which is 4.05% of the average sample flow rate of 0.25 l/min, and therefore met the acceptance criteria of $\leq 4\%$ of target sampling rate. The post-test leak check for Sample B was 0.01 l/min which is 4.04% of the average sample flow rate of 0.27 l/min, and therefore met the acceptance criteria of $\leq 4\%$ of target sampling rate.					
Sample flow rate.		The maximum flow rate variation for Sample A was 6.5% of the initial sample flow rate of 0.233 I/min. The maximum flow rate variation for Sample B was 6.2% of the initial sample flow rate of 0.258 I/min.					
Test run total sample volume.	Within ± 20% of total volume sampled during field recovery test.	The total volume sampled for Sample A was 0.01 M3 and for Sample B was 0.02 M3 verses the average sample volume of 0.05 M3 for the field recovery test spiked samples. The maximum deviation of the total volume sampled was 252.9% of the total volume sampled during the field recovery test. One or both samples were greater than the ± 20% acceptance criteria					
Sorbent trap section 2 breakthrough		The average Hg concentration was >1 $\mu g/dscm$ . The Hg in section 2 was 1.5 $\mu g$ for Sample A and 3.5 $\mu g$ for Sample B for breakthroughs of 2.586% for Sample A and 2.778% for Sample B, thus meeting the acceptance criteria for Hg concentrations >1 $\mu g/dscm$ of s 10% of section 1 Hg mass. For Sample A the Hg in section 2 was 550% of the section 1 Hg mass therefore this sample may meet the concentration 1 Hg mass therefore this sample may meet the the Hg resonance 1 Hg hg mass that the the resonance that end to the the Hg resonance that end to the the Hg resonance that the the Hg resonance th					
Paired sorbent trap agreement.	≤ 10% Relative Deviation	The average Hg concentration was >1 µg/Acm. The Hg concentration ws 4.25 µg/Acm for Sample A and 4.484 µg/dscm for Sample B for a paired sorbent trap agreement of 2.68% relative deviation (RD), thus meeting the ≤ 10% acceptance criteria.					

Figure 56- QA/QC Tab

For paired sampling trains, there is a QA/QC tab (Figure 56) which identifies several of the QA/QC specifications contained in the test method and the Acceptance Criteria specified in the test method. In addition, the last column has text which describes the specific conditions for deciding the acceptability criteria and an acceptability assessment. Because of differences in display of numbers by the software, and variations in the rounding and significant figures conventions of different programs, the values presented show more decimal places than necessary. The acceptability assessment uses EPA's criteria of carrying all digits through the calculations and rounding to two significant places except when otherwise indicated. It is assumed that acceptance criteria presented in the method with one or two places are to be evaluated at two significant figures.

Due to the length of the text, you may not be able to see all the information for a QA/QC specification, you can adjust the row heights or column widths. Do this by placing your cursor in the row indicator on the left or column header above until the cursor changes to a symbol indicating the ability to change the width or height.

QA/QC specifications which are assessed include:

- Pre-test leak check
- Post-test leak check
- Sample flow rate
- Test run total sample volume
- Sorbent trap section 2breakthrough

- Paired sorbent trap agreement
  Field recovery test
  Sample analysis
  Calibration Curve

# Instrumental Method Test Data Method Setup Tab

ect Loc	ation - Method	i: Boiler AB8103 Outlet - M	ethod 7E	Ad	d New Run Data	Delete Run Data
Select R	un: Method 7	5-1	< >	Cha	nge Run Number	Change Run Date
d Setup	Calibrations   IT	M Run Results Emissions				
_			Vie	w / Edit Location	Information	
		ocation / Method: II	M			
	B8103 Outlet	<ul> <li>Target Parameter</li> <li>Nitrogen oxides (NOx)</li> </ul>	→I Lb/Hr Limit →	Test Method . Method 7E	Num Test Runs 🗸 9	Test Run Du 21
	1 of 1	🕨 陆 🔣 No Filter	Search	•		•
	Target Paramete	rs				
Add	Target Paramete	rs itions for this Locatic	on / Method:			
Add nissions Local +	Target Paramete / Concentra Method	tions for this Locatio	Corrected Anal: +		Process Rate, Para	meter 🖌
Add Dissions Local + Boiler Al	Target Paramete / Concentra Method E Method 7E	Emission/Concentrat      ppm corrected		3	Process Rate, Para	meter 🗸
Local - Boiler Al Boiler Al	Target Paramete / Concentra Method	tions for this Locatio	Corrected Anal: +		Process Rate, Para	meter 🗸

Figure 57 - Run Data Details for Instrumental Methods- Method Setup Tab

As mentioned on page 40, you must enter the instrumental method test data manually. Begin by returning to the "*Audit/Calibrations*" tab in the "*Setup / Test Plan*" area. Update or input the calibration gases certified cylinders information in Item 16, as shown in Figure 29 - Test Plan Audit/Calibrations Tab. When you update or input the calibration gas cylinder information, you must enter a unique name in the "*CylID*" column for each gas that was used in the emissions test. For cylinders which contain multiple calibration gases, you can make the cylinder identification number unique with the addition of the compound and range indicator (as an example see the names used under "*CylID*" in Figure 29). Once unique cylinder identification is provided in the "*CylID*" column, you should ensure that the remainders of the columns contain the correct information as is documented by the certificate provided by the cylinder supplier.

In addition, you must enter all the instrumental test method data required for any Performance Specifications prior to entering the CEM data associated with the reference method test runs.

Once you have performed the audit calibration update, the procedure is similar to inputting isokinetic data with the exception that the tabs in the "*Run Data Details*" screen for Instrumental Methods differ from those of the isokinetic methods screen. These tabs include: "*Method Setup*," "*Calibrations*," "*ITM Run Results*," and "*Emissions*". While the information in the "*Method Setup*" and "*Emissions*" are identical to the isokinetic methods

screens, the differences in the test methods require different information than isokinetic test methods.

To minimize data entry time and reduce data entry errors, it is suggested that the first run be completed prior to adding subsequent test runs. The ERT uses information in the completed test run to pre-populate the next test run. For example, all information in the "*Calibrations*" tab is retained and selected information in the "*ITM Run Results*" tab is transferred to the appropriate field for the next run if the Peach "Add Next Run" button located at the lower right portion of the ITM Run Results Tab. Users can revise these prepopulated fields if needed. In addition, to minimize warning messages, users should enter the specific required information (like units) suggested in the below instructions before entering the majority of the remaining information.

As is the case with the method setup screen for the isokinetic methods, the fields in this section will be pre-populated based on information entered in the test plan. However, they can be modified without returning to the test plan by using the following:

- *View / Edit Location Information:* Allows you to revise the test location information supplied during the test plan development (see Figure 14 Test Location Information Panel for more information).
- *Add Target Parameters:* Allows you to add target parameters for this run at this location/method (see Figure 16 select Location, Method and Compounds for more information).
- *Add Emissions/Concentrations:* Allows you to add emissions/concentrations for this run at this location/method (see Figure 19 Add Emissions/Concentrations for more information).
- **Delete Target Parameters or Emission/Concentrations**: Highlight the row of the emission/concentration by clicking on the gray cell to the left of the column named location and press the keyboard "**Delete**" button.
- **Delete Process Rate, Parameter**: To delete the process rate, parameter you must block all of the text in the field and then press the keyboard "**Delete**" button. It should be noted that the process rate parameter should only be associated with an emission rate and the time units (i.e. lb/hr, lb/min, lb/sec) should be the same for both the emissions rate and the process rate.
- Change Process Rate Parameter: To add or change the process rate parameter, click within the field and then on the down symbol (▼) to reveal the drop-down list of available process parameters. You should choose a process parameter only for emissions rates that have the same time units as the emissions rate (i.e. tons of material processed/hr).

## **Calibrations Tab**

elect	Location	- Metho	d: stack - Method 2	5A			Add N	New Run Data	Delet	te Run Data
Sele	ct Run:	Method 2	25A - St-m25a-1		<ul> <li></li> </ul>	>	Chang	e Run Number	Chan	ge Run Date
d Setu	p Calibr	ations [	TM Run Results Emis	ssions						
ct and	System C	alibration	s:							
Cal	ibration	Gas	Cylinder	Cert.			Dat	te Of		
Set:	Mode	Label	ID	Value	Response	Error %	Certification	Expiration		
	Direct	Zero	CO2-CC-81020 🗸	5.08	-0.1	-1.11	5/26/2006	5/26/2009		
9	pan	Low	CO2-CC-81020 🗸	5.08	51.8	10.05	5/26/2006	5/26/2009		
	465	Mid	CO2-SG913371 🗸	10.92	259	53.35	1/24/2005	1/24/2008		
	105	High	NOx-CC2555 💟	156.6	465	66.32	1/24/2005	5/18/2008		
	System	Zero	CO2-CC-81020 🗸	5.08	0.1	-11.12	5/26/2006	5/26/2009		
		Upscale	NOx-XCO3034.	414	258.1	55.51	3/29/2006	3/29/2008		
Cal	ibration	Gas	Cylinder	Cert.			Dat	te Of		
Set:	Mode	Label	ID	Value	Response	Error %	Certification	Expiration		
0	Direct	Zero	~		0					
<u>م</u>	pan	Low	~		0					
	0	Mid	~		0					
		High	~		0					
	System	Zero	~		0					
		Upscale	~		0					

Figure 58 - Calibrations Tab

The results of the instrument calibration and system zero and span responses must be entered in the "*Calibrations*" tab prior to data entry in the "*ITM Results*" tab. In addition, you should enter the "*set*" number that must not be zero and the "*span*" values for before you select any calibration cylinders under "*Cylinder ID*". After entering a "*set*" number and "*span*" value, select the "*Cylinder ID*" from the pick list. For each selected "*Cylinder ID*," the orange fields will be automatically filled using information that was entered in the "*Audit/Calibrations*" tab of the "*Test Plan*". You will note that when you select the "*Cylinder ID*," the ERT will display an error percent in the yellow fields. Initially this value is based upon calculations using the certification value and a response of zero. When you enter the actual response for the "*Direct and System Calibrations*" you will note that the calculated error percent changes.

**Note:** Be sure to input the span value before inputting the responses; otherwise a non-fatal error message is generated (which may be ignored).

Below is a description of the columns:

Calibration Set:	The number used to associate this set of calibrations with the run data entered in the "ITM Run Results" tab.
Calibration Mode:	Direct or System.
Span:	Span concentration used to calculate percent bias and percent drift. Generally, the span value is set at the concentration of the high-level

	calibration gas although the value is dependent on the method being used.
Gas Label:	Direct Mode includes Zero, Low, Mid and High. System mode includes zero and upscale. Calibration level of measurement range.
Cylinder ID:	Cylinder identification number on the gas cylinder and any additional label to make this identifier unique for the gas and concentration. This field is populated by the selection of one of the available ID's entered in the test plan section of the ERT.
Cert. Value:	Calibration gas certified concentration. This field is automatically populated from the information entered in the test plan section of the ERT.
Response:	The analyzer's response to gas injection. This is the value measured by the instrument when challenged with gas from the gas cylinder identified in the Cylinder ID column.
Error %:	Difference between certified value and analyzer measurement. Specific calculation procedures are dependent on the reference method being performed.
Date of Certification:	Date cylinder received certification for use. This field is automatically populated from the information entered in the test plan section of the ERT.
Date of Expiration:	Date cylinder certification expires. This field is automatically populated from the information entered in the test plan section of the ERT.

#### **ITM Run Results Screen**




Figure 59 - ITM Run Results Tab

Enter the results from the test run. If you are correcting your result to % oxygen or % Carbon dioxide, it would be best to enter those instrumental data first then you can link the data in the subsequent instrumental data. If you followed the guidance presented in the first section of "*Instrumental Test Method Data*," only one blank run was created. By completing the information in the "*ITM Run Results*" for one run and adding new runs with the "Add New Run" button on this page, much of the information on this page will be pre-populated on the pages of the new runs. If "*ITM Run Results*" have been completed on some but not all runs or if the number of runs entered is equal or greater than specified in the test plan, the "Add New Run" button will be available on only the last run. If the "Add New Run" button is not visible or each run had different calibrations, new runs can be added using the "Add New Run Button near the top of the screen.

To complete the information on the "*ITM Run Results*" tab, it is suggested that the units for "Cavg" be selected before selecting the calibration set or entering any system response information. If you start entering data in the "Start Time" field, you can use the tab key to progress through all data entry fields without using the mouse to change fields. If flow rate, moisture percent, CO<sub>2</sub> concentration percent or O<sub>2</sub> concentration percent have been documented in the ERT by other tests, you can select the test and run ID using the dropdown menu to populate these fields (Figure 60 - ITM Run Results, stack Parameters and Calibration Set selection). You should associate the test run data with the calibration gas set by selecting the set from the "Set" pick list. Figure 59 - ITM Run Results Tab shows the use of both the selection of other stack test results for populating the stack parameter information and the use of the set pick list for associating calibration sets with the test run. Enter the zero and upscale system responses for the pre- and post-test calibrations. Then record the test run average concentration value measured by the instrument in "*Cavg*". The value in "Cgas" will be calculated. If the source is a combustion unit you can select the fuel type and the F-factors for that fuel will be populated in the orange field below the "Fuel Type" selection field. If the "Fuel Type" option "Override" is selected, you can enter fuel specific F-factors based upon an analysis of the combusted fuel.

Charles and the second s	• - Decatur Works ce ID/Description:	AB8103 Hot	Oil Furnace						
Select Locati	on - Method: Boi	ler AB8103 Outlet - Method	7E	~	Add N	ew Run (	Data	Delete R	un Data
Select Run	Method 7E - 9	×	< >		Change	e Run Nu	mber	Change F	Run Date
hod Setup Ca	librations ITM Run	Results Emissions							
				(Run Io	d's if sele	cted from	n another i	run)	
Run:	9	Flow Rate, SCFM:	0		- User	Entered	-	~	
Run Date:	1/11/2012	Moisture, %:	0		- User	Entered	2	~	
Start Time:	11:52:00 AM	CO2, %:	0		- User	Entered	-	×	
End Time:	12:12:00 PM	02, %:	4.4		- User	Entered	2	~	
Colorestation and		Fo:	0	- User	Entered		-	12220	
Model: [ S/N: [ Calibration	400CLD T04053 Gas Cylind	Units(%,ppm No. Readings Time Interval o er Cert. System	s/Avg.:	1 m Boiler /	AB8 103 C AB8 103 C AB8 103 C	)utlet - M )utlet - M )utlet - M	lethod 3A lethod 3A	02 - 6 02 - 7 02 - 8	4.6 4.4 4.3 4.4 4.4
et: Mode	Level ID	Value Respons	se Bias %	Drift %	Cavq:	25.66	ppmvd	V Un	its
18 🗸 Pre	Zero N2Z	0 0	.3 0.58		Cgas:	24,526	ppmvd	Un	its
Set Zero Cyl II				Method	^		1		
5 N2Z 5 N2Z				Method 3A O Method 3A O	T				
				Method 3A O					
7 N27				Method 3A O		Add	New Run	1	
7 N2Z 3 N2Z				Method 3A O	2	14			
8 N2Z 9 N2Z	0 0	2M-CC2438 9.9	Boller AB810.	MELLION 24 O	4				
8 N2Z 9 N2Z 21 N2Z	0 0 0.1 SC	DxM-SA 12: 24.8	Boiler AB810:	Method 6C	-				
8 N2Z 9 N2Z 21 N2Z 10 N2Z	0 0 0.1 SC 0 N	DxM-SA 12: 24.8 E DxM-SA 12: 24.9 E	Boiler AB810: Boiler AB810:	Method 6C Method 7E	<b>A</b> .,				
8 N2Z 9 N2Z 21 N2Z 10 N2Z 11 N2Z	0 0 0.1 SC 0 NO 0 NO	DxM-SA 12: 24.8 F DxM-SA 12: 24.9 F DxM-SA 12: 24.9 F	Boiler AB810: Boiler AB810: Boiler AB810:	Method 6C Method 7E Method 7E	-				
8 N2Z 9 N2Z 21 N2Z 10 N2Z 11 N2Z 12 N2Z	0 O 0.1 SC 0 N( 0 N( 0 N(	DxM-SA 12: 24.8 E DxM-SA 12: 24.9 E DxM-SA 12: 24.9 E DxM-SA 12: 24.9 E DxM-SA 12: 24.9 E	Boiler AB810: Boiler AB810: Boiler AB810: Boiler AB810:	Method 6C Method 7E Method 7E Method 7E	2.				
3         N2Z           9         N2Z           10         N2Z           11         N2Z           12         N2Z           13         N2Z	0 0 0.1 SC 0 N4 0 N4 0 N4 0 N4	DXM-SA 12: 24.8         F           DXM-SA 12: 24.9         F	Boiler AB810: Boiler AB810: Boiler AB810: Boiler AB810: Boiler AB810:	Method 6C Method 7E Method 7E Method 7E Method 7E	£.,				
8 N2Z 9 N2Z 21 N2Z 10 N2Z 11 N2Z 12 N2Z	0 0 0.1 SC 0 NK 0 NK 0 NK 0 NK	DxM-SA 12: 24.8         II           DxM-SA 12: 24.9         II	Boiler AB810: Boiler AB810: Boiler AB810: Boiler AB810:	Method 6C Method 7E Method 7E Method 7E Method 7E Method 7E	-				
8 N2Z 9 N2Z 21 N2Z 10 N2Z 11 N2Z 12 N2Z 13 N2Z 14 N2Z	0 0 0,1 SC 0 N4 0 N4 0 N4 0 N4 0 N4 0 N4	DxM-SA         12:         24.8         1           DxM-SA         12:         24.9         1	Boiler AB810: Boiler AB810: Boiler AB810: Boiler AB810: Boiler AB810: Boiler AB810:	Method 6C Method 7E Method 7E Method 7E Method 7E Method 7E Method 7E					
N2Z N2Z 1 N2Z 0 N2Z 1 N2Z 2 N2Z 3 N2Z 4 N2Z 5 N2Z	0 0 0.1 St 0 N4 0 N4 0 N4 0 N4 0 N4 0 N4 0 N4 0 N4 0 N4	DxM-SA         12:         24.8         1           DxM-SA         12:         24.9         1	Boiler AB8 10: Boiler AB8 10: Boiler AB8 10: Boiler AB8 10: Boiler AB8 10: Boiler AB8 10: Boiler AB8 10:	Method 6C Method 7E Method 7E Method 7E Method 7E Method 7E Method 7E Method 7E					

Figure 60 - ITM Run Results, stack Parameters and Calibration Set selection

If additional test runs are required and the "Add New Run" button is visible within the green "*ITM Run Results*" screen, you can add the next run by clicking on this button. The screen then updates with the next numbered run pre-populated in the *run* field. The run date field will have the same run date. In addition, the "*ANALYZER*" section, the "*OPERATING PARAMETER*" section, the pre-test calibrations and the fuel type fields will be pre- populated with information from the previous run. The start time, end time, flow rate, moisture, CO<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>2</sub>, Post-test calibrations and "*Cavg*" will be empty. In addition to entering data into the empty fields, you can revise any pre-populated fields or change the calibration set.

If you select a run ID associated with the test you are viewing, or a Run ID from another test, the Run ID will be automatically filled. If the ID is not associated, an alert box stating, "*Data type mismatch in criteria expression*" will appear and the system will not record the run results. If this happens, close the "*Run Data Details*" screen and click on the "*Data Details*" tab's "**Run Data**". Select the "*Location – Method*" and begin again.

You can click on "**Delete Run Data**" on "*Run Data Details*" screen to remove erroneous run data.

Field descriptions are below:

Run: Prefilled, but editable, number of run.

Run Date: Date run was performed.

Start Time:	Hour-minute-second AM/PM that run was performed. Time can be entered as 24-hour time or 12-hour time with the AM/PM extension and the time will revert to the latter time format.
End Time:	Hour-minute-second AM/PM that run was completed. Time can be entered as 24-hour time or 12-hour time with the AM/MP extension and the time will revert to the latter time format.
Flow Rate, SCFM:	The standard cubic feet per minute volumetric flow rate.
Moisture, %:	Percentage moisture in gas.
CO2, %:	Percentage carbon dioxide, CO <sub>2</sub> .
O2, %:	Percentage oxygen, O <sub>2</sub> .
Fo:	Ratio of excess Oxygen and Carbon Dioxide. Calculation uses (20.9 - $%O_2$ )/ $%CO_2$ .

# **Analyzer Information**

Make:	Analyzer Make.
Model:	Analyzer model number.
S/N:	Serial Number of Analyzer.

# **Operating Parameters**

Operating Range:	Acceptable range of fluctuations of concentrations of analytes being measured.
Units (%, ppm, ppb);	Units used for the operating range.
No. Readings/Avg:	Number of readings or average number of readings.
Time Interval of Avg:	Time interval between readings.
Fuel Type:	Drop-down menu of fuel types. The selection of the fuel type populates the three F-factor fields below this selection with the values presented in Table 19-2 of EPA Method 19. In addition, "Override" may be selected and fuel specific F-factors as calculated by equations 19-13, 19-14 and 19-15 in EPA Method 19 may be entered in the appropriate F-factor. The values entered are those calculated based upon an ultimate analysis of the fuel or combination of fuels using Equations 19-16, 19-17 and 19-18 of EPA Method 19.
Fd:	The value "Fd" is the ratio of the quantity of dry effluent gas generated by combustion to the gross calorific value of the fuel. When combined with the oxygen concentration, the emission rate in lb/MMBtu can be

	calculated from the dry pollutant emissions concentration. By selecting the fuel type, the F-factor, "Fd", from US EPA Reference Method 19 is populated in this field. If "Override" is selected, the user should enter the "Fd" as calculated by Equation 19-13 or 19-16 of US EPA Reference Method 19.
Fw:	The value "Fw" is the ratio of the quantity of wet effluent gas generated by combustion to the gross calorific value of the fuel. When combined with the wet oxygen concentration and the moisture concentration, the emission rate in lb/MMBtu can be calculated from the wet emissions concentration. By selecting the fuel type, the F-factor, "Fw", from US EPA Reference Method 19 is populated in this field. If "Override" is selected, the user should enter the "Fw" as calculated by Equation 19-14 or 19-17 of US EPA Reference Method 19.
Fc:	The value "Fc" is the ratio of the theoretical carbon dioxide produced during combustion to the higher heating value of the fuel combusted. When combined with the carbon dioxide, the emission rate in lb/MMBtu can be calculated from either the wet or dry emissions concentration. By selecting the fuel type, the F- factor, "Fc", from US EPA Reference Method 19 is populated in this field. If "Override" is selected, the user should enter the "Fc" as calculated by Equation 19-15 or 19-18 of US EPA Reference Method 19.
Calibration Set:	The number assigned to the set of readings. The number used to associate this data to the data entered in "Calibrations" tab.
Calibration Mode:	Pre (before) and post (after) readings.
Gas Label:	Calibration level of measurement range, assigned as zero or upscale.
Cylinder ID:	Cylinder identification number on the gas cylinder and label.
Cert. Value:	Prefilled calibration gas certified concentration.
Instrument Response:	The manufactured listing of the proper instrument response settings.
System Bias %:	Calculated percentage of bias.
Drift %:	Calculated percentage of drift.
Cavg:	Average gas concentration displayed by gas analyzer.
Cgas:	Average gas effluent concentration.

## **Emissions Tab**

e	t Location - Method: Boil lect Run: Method 7E - 6				Add New Run Data Change Run Number	Delete Run Data Change Run Data
	Method:	RunNumber 6	Associated Process Run:		s dry: 7 ppmvd	
	compound +	Elb/hr 🗸	Elb/hr / Million Btu: •	ppm	• ppm@3%O2 •	lb/mmBti
	Nitrogen oxides (NOx)	5.752+00	5.23E-02	2.44670.	1 2.65E+01	3.21E-02
	cord: M 📢 1 of 1 🗼 M	H K No	Filter Search	4		

Figure 61 - Emissions Tab

This shows the calculated emissions/concentrations for each compound by run. Column headings other than "*compound*" are based upon the output units selected in the "Emissions / Concentrations" area of the "Setup / Test Plan" or the "Method Setup" of the "Run Data Details" screen (see Figure 57 - Run Data Details for Instrumental Methods- Method Setup Tab).

Some of the more common column names are as follows: Compound: Analyte name from Setup window.

Elb/hr: Emissions, pounds per hour.

Elb/Million Btu: Pounds per Million Btu... Units in this form are calculated from the emissions rate (pounds per hour) and the process rate (Million Btu per hour) The full text of the divisor (million Btu...) is dependent on the choice of process variable selected under the "Process Rate Parameter" heading of the "Emission/ Concentration" area of the "Method Setup" tab.

ppm Concentration, parts per million.

- ppm@7%O2: Concentration, pounds per million corrected to 7% O<sub>2</sub>.
- Lb/mmBtuO2 The pounds per million Btu of fuel combusted when calculated using one of the F-factors.

## **Performance Specification Data**

The ERT calculates Continuous Emissions Monitoring Systems (CEMS) Relative Accuracy Test Audits (RATAs) and Calibration Drift using the instrumental test method results from ERT data entered for Method 3A, Method 10, Method 7E or Method 6C as described above and the manual entry of the continuous emissions monitoring systems data as described below. The first step in the process is the addition of at least nine test runs of the applicable reference method. The user should verify that the reference method emissions are in the same units generated by the CEMS. Next, you should click on the "Add New Run Data" as described in <u>Chapter 5: Add New Run Data - Directly.</u> When you select one of the performance specifications, you will notice that the fields for "Run Number" and "Run

**Date**" are no longer visible. Clicking on "Add Run Data" will create the forms for documenting the performance specification. To enter data into the performance specification forms you should select the performance specification from the "Select Location – Method"

*menu. The performance specification* "**Run Data Details**" *screen as shown in* \

nitted	Cotton Source ID/De	scription:									Open Expanded
ielect I	ocation - Met	hod: Gin A -	PST NOx to PS	2			$\sim$	Add New Ru	n Data	Delete	e Run Data
								Change Run 1	Number	Chang	e Run Date
S Inform	nation and Run D	ata CEMS Ca	libration Drift [	ata							
	RA Start [	Date	RA	End Date	2			🗌 Use We	t Values	;	
DD	My Standard			Lb/Hr S	tand	ard					
Ox	ygen Correct	ion		Lb/MN	BTU :	Standard					
PP	M@O2 Stand	ard		Select	ммв	TU to Use	co	2 ~			
	-			00.000			00.	<u> </u>			
tor re	lated CEMS	data for ea	ch referer	co moti	od r	IN					
Rt -	RunDate 🚽					Lb/Hr 🚽	Lb	/MMBTU 🚽	Exclude	Run 🚽	
1	8/4/2009	8:00 AM		0					Γ		
2	8/4/2009	8:30 AM	8:51 AM	0					Г	7	
3	8/4/2009	9:00 AM	9:21 AM	0					Ē	1	
4		9:30 AM	9:51 AM	0					Г	]	
5		10:00 AM		0					Ē	]	
6		10:30 AM		0					Γ	1	
7	8/4/2009	11:00 AM		0					Г	1	
8	8/4/2009	11:30 AM		0							
9	8/4/2009	12:00 PM		0					Ē		
-	57 .72005	22.00110		-					L	_	

Figure 62 - Performance Specification Run Data Details will be displayed.

	Location - Met	hod: Gin A - P	ST NOx to PS	2			Add New R	un Data	Delete R	un Data	
							Change Ru	n Number	Change F	lun Date	
3 Infor	mation and Run D	ata CEMS Cali	bration Drift [	Data							
	RA Start D	Date	RA	End D	ate		🗌 Use W	et Values			
							,				
PF	Mv Standard			Lb/H	Ir Standa	ard					
0	uran Correcti	ion	-	16/		tandard					
0)	kygen Correcti	ion		LD/I	VIIVIBIUS	tandard					
PF	M@O2 Stand	ard		Sele	ect MMB	TU to Use	CO2 🗸				
tor r	elated CEMS	data for ear	h roforo	nco m	othod ri	in					
Rt +		StartTim + E				Lb/Hr 🚽	Lb/MMBTU -	Exclude	Run 🚽		
1	8/4/2009	8:00 AM	8:21 AM	0		20,111 1	20,1111010		7		
		8:30 AM									
2	8/4/2009	6.5U AIVI	8:51 AM	0							
_	8/4/2009 8/4/2009	9:00 AM	8:51 AM 9:21 AM	0							
2											
2	8/4/2009	9:00 AM	9:21 AM	0					]		
2 3 4	8/4/2009 8/4/2009	9:00 AM 9:30 AM	9:21 AM 9:51 AM	0							
2 3 4 5	8/4/2009 8/4/2009 8/4/2009	9:00 AM 9:30 AM 10:00 AM	9:21 AM 9:51 AM 10:21 AM	0 0 0							
2 3 4 5 6	8/4/2009 8/4/2009 8/4/2009 8/4/2009	9:00 AM 9:30 AM 10:00 AM 10:30 AM	9:21 AM 9:51 AM 10:21 AM 10:51 AM	0 0 0							
2 3 4 5 6 7	8/4/2009 8/4/2009 8/4/2009 8/4/2009 8/4/2009	9:00 AM 9:30 AM 10:00 AM 10:30 AM 11:00 AM	9:21 AM 9:51 AM 10:21 AM 10:51 AM 11:21 AM	0 0 0 0							
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	8/4/2009 8/4/2009 8/4/2009 8/4/2009 8/4/2009 8/4/2009	9:00 AM 9:30 AM 10:00 AM 10:30 AM 11:00 AM 11:30 AM	9:21 AM 9:51 AM 10:21 AM 10:51 AM 11:21 AM 11:51 AM	0 0 0 0 0							
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	8/4/2009 8/4/2009 8/4/2009 8/4/2009 8/4/2009 8/4/2009	9:00 AM 9:30 AM 10:00 AM 10:30 AM 11:00 AM 11:30 AM	9:21 AM 9:51 AM 10:21 AM 10:51 AM 11:21 AM 11:51 AM	0 0 0 0 0							
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	8/4/2009 8/4/2009 8/4/2009 8/4/2009 8/4/2009 8/4/2009	9:00 AM 9:30 AM 10:00 AM 10:30 AM 11:00 AM 11:30 AM	9:21 AM 9:51 AM 10:21 AM 10:51 AM 11:21 AM 11:51 AM	0 0 0 0 0							
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	8/4/2009 8/4/2009 8/4/2009 8/4/2009 8/4/2009 8/4/2009	9:00 AM 9:30 AM 10:00 AM 10:30 AM 11:00 AM 11:30 AM	9:21 AM 9:51 AM 10:21 AM 10:51 AM 11:21 AM 11:51 AM	0 0 0 0 0							
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	8/4/2009 8/4/2009 8/4/2009 8/4/2009 8/4/2009 8/4/2009	9:00 AM 9:30 AM 10:00 AM 10:30 AM 11:00 AM 11:30 AM	9:21 AM 9:51 AM 10:21 AM 10:51 AM 11:21 AM 11:51 AM	0 0 0 0 0							

Figure 62 - Performance Specification Run Data Details

There are two data entry screens for performance specifications. The one labeled "CEMS Information and Run Data" is used to document the RATA data of the Plant CEMS, while the one labeled "CEMS Calibration Drift Data" is used to document the performance of the sevenday calibration drift evaluation. Figure 62 - Performance Specification Run Data Details is a screen shot of the blank tab for entering RATA CEMS information and Figure 63 is a screen shot of the blank tab for entering CEMS calibration drift information. RATAs on a dry basis or on a wet basis can be calculated. If a RATA on a wet basis is desired, select the check box.

## **CEMS Information and Run Data**

The RATA CEMS tab has two fields for the date of the relative accuracy assessment, five fields for the entry of the applicable emissions limitations and three columns of fields for the reported CEM emissions data. As shown in the columns labeled "Run," "RunDate," "StartTime" and "EndTime" are pre-populated with information from the reference method test runs. The five columns for entry of CEMS data include "O2", "PPM," "PPM@O2," "Lb/Hr" and "Lb/MMBTU". The reference method emissions calculated by the ERT for these pre-populated test run identifiers will be used in the calculations of the relative accuracy but are not presented in this CEMS data entry screen.

Field descriptions for the "CEMS Information and Run Data" are as follows:

RA Start Date:	This is the date of the first test run for evaluating the relative accuracy of the CEMS.
RA End Date:	This is the date of the last test run for evaluating the relative accuracy of the CEMS.
PPMv Standard:	This is the emissions limitation standard when the measured pollutant is not corrected for dilution.
Oxygen Correction:	This is the oxygen concentration used to standardize the concentration values for the measured pollutant.
PPM@O2 Standard:	This is the emissions limitation standard when the measured pollutant is corrected for dilution using the measured oxygen concentration.
Lb/Hr Standard:	This is the emissions limitation standard when the measured pollutant is expressed as an hourly emissions rate.
Lb/MMBTU Standard:	This is the emissions limitation standard when the measured pollutant is expressed as a ratio of the mass emissions per unit of fuel energy.
Run:	These are the run numbers which are pre-populated from the reference test method for the pollutant and the parameter used for correcting the pollutant.
Run Date:	These are the dates of the test runs which are pre-populated from the reference test method for the pollutant and the parameter used for correcting the pollutant.
Start Time:	These are the start times of the test runs which are pre-populated from the reference test method for the pollutant and the parameter used for correcting the pollutant.
End Time:	These are the end times of the test runs which are pre-populated from the reference test method for the pollutant and the parameter used for correcting the pollutant.
PPM:	These are the uncorrected concentrations for the pollutant or diluent measured by the CEMS during the reference method test runs. These values are entered by the user.
Lb/Hr:	These are the emissions rates in pounds per hour for the pollutant measured by the CEMS during the reference method test runs. These values are entered by the user.
Lb/MMBTU:	These are the emissions factors in pounds per million Btu fuel combusted for the pollutant or diluent measured by the CEMS during the reference method test runs. These values are entered by the user.

Exclude Run: This column contains boxes which may be checked to exclude runs from the relative accuracy calculations. Up to three boxes may be selected but at least nine runs must remain for calculation of the RA. All runs (including those selected for exclusion) will be presented in the RATA report. All runs which have not been excluded will be used to calculate the relative accuracy.

Data entry is required in only those standards fields where there is an emissions limitation for which the CEMS is used for measuring the pollutant of interest. Those fields for the other units of emissions standards may be left empty. Users are required to enter CEMS data only for the units of emissions of the standards which apply to the tested source. If there are emissions limits in two or more sets of units, the user will need to enter data for all the units of standards which apply. When an emissions standard in units of PPM corrected to a specified oxygen level is used, data entry is required for EPA Method 3A for O<sub>2</sub>, the reference method for the pollutant, the CEMS O<sub>2</sub> concentration and the uncorrected CEMS pollutant concentration. The ERT will use the diluent concentrations to calculate the corrected pollutant concentrations.

## **CEMS Calibration Drift Data**

elect Locati	on - Metho	Dd: Boiler AB8103 C	Outlet - PST CO to PS4	~	Add New Run Data Change Run Number	Delete Run Data Change Run Dat
Information a	and Run Dat	a CEMS Calibration	Drift Data			
Enter Cali	bration D	rift Data				
Day - 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Low - 21 22 19 18 20 25 20 20	High - 110 105 95 93 100 120 100 100	CD End Date Analyzer Span Low Cal. Known High Cal. Known			
			Ca	libration D	rift Results	

Figure 63 - CEMS Calibration and Drift Data Entry

The second performance specification data entry tab labeled "*CEMS Calibration Drift Data*" is used to enter the data required for the CEMS calibration drift calculations. This tab has fields for the end date of the drift assessment, the analyzer span value, the low calibration gas value, the high calibration gas value and seven pairs of fields for the results of the daily low and high calibrations.

Field descriptions for the "CEMS Calibration Drift Data" are as follows:

Day:	This is the numerical order of the consecutive days used for assessing the calibration drift results.
Low:	These are the results of the daily low calibrations of the CEMS.
High:	These are the results of the daily high calibrations of the CEMS.
CD End Date:	This is the date of the last day for the calibration drift assessment.
Analyzer Span:	This is the operational range of the CEM instrument.
Low Cal. Known:	This is the low calibration gas value for the CEMs.
High Cal Known:	This is the high calibration gas value for the CEMs.

After entering the required data in the tabs "*CEMS Information and Run Data*" or "*CEMS Calibration Drift Data*," the results can be displayed. Click on the box below the data entry fields "**Relative Accuracy Results**" or the "**Calibration Drift Results**," respectively. For the calculation of the RATA results, users are permitted to exclude up to three test runs from the calculations by checking the box in the "*Exclude Run*" column. Users which select more than three runs for exclusion will receive a pop-up warning stating that they can only exclude up to 3 runs. Also, users that do not leave nine or more runs available for calculating the relative accuracy will receive a pop-up warning stating that they must have 9 runs for the RA report. The ERT will not perform calculations if there are not nine runs remaining.

Rel	ative .	Accur	acy Re	esults						Boile	er AB8103		
											PST CC	to PS4	
Facil	ity RATA D	ATA CO-NO	o-so							RAStart	Date 7/4/	2012	
										RAEndE	Date 7/4/:	2012	
													_
Run	Ref PPM	CEMS PPM	Ref. PPM@3%02	CEMS PPM@3%02	Ref. Lb/Hr	CERMS Lb/Hr	Ref.	CERMS	PPM	Differe PPM@3%02	Lb/Hr	Lb/MMBTU	Ex
1	94.89	106.00	104.21	116.33	8.69	9.44	0.0770	0.0885	11.11	12.13	0.75	0.0115	
10	81.74	75.00	88.73	64.23	7.49	8.30	0.0656	0.0750					
11	46.17	65.00	50.67	55.67	4.29	6.50	0.0375	0.0980				-	
2	92.91	98.90	103.29	116.93	8.63	7.89	0.0764	0.0879	5.99	13.64	-0.74	0.0115	
3	91.42	93.90	100.39	96.88	8.37	8.96	0.0742	0.0742	2.48	-3.52	0.59	0.0000	
4	92.22	96.00	100.65	111.58	8.53	9.04	0.0744	0.0854	3.78	10.93	0.51	0.0110	
5	92.23	88.80	101.28	103.82	8.53	9.46	0.0749	0.0720	-3.43	2.54	0.93	-0.0029	
6	89.11	83.60	96.67	85.56	8.24	8.28	0.0715	0.0636	-5.51	-11.11	0.04	-0.0079	
7	88.20	73.50	95.11	79.21	8.16	8.26	0.0703	0.0619	-14.70	-15.90	0.10	-0.0084	
8	90.14	82.90	97.78	84.60	8.37	8.61	0.0723	0.0717	-7.24	-13.18	0.24	-0.0006	
9	90.62	111.10	98.31	128.39	8.46	9.83	0.0727	0.0733	20.48	30.08	1.37	0.0006	
ums	1								12.97	25.61	3.78	0.0148	
vg's	91.30	92.74	99.74	102.59	8.44	8.86	0.0737	0.0754	1,44	2.85	0.42	0.0016	
				Standard Devi	ation				10.55	15.22	0.61	0.0079	
			C	onfidence Coe	fficient				8.11	11.70	0.47	0.0061	
				Emissions Star	ndard				182.00	199.00	16.88	0.1474	
		Relative	Accuracy usi	ing the Referen	nce Method	<or 10<="" =="" td="" to=""><td>96)</td><td>1</td><td>&lt; or =</td><td>&gt;</td><td>&lt; or =</td><td>&lt; or =</td><td></td></or>	96)	1	< or =	>	< or =	< or =	
				6					10.46	14.58	10.49	10.4943	
		Pa	Intive Assure	cy using the St	nedned ( c au	- 10 50( )			N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
		n.	active Accura	cy using the st	andaro( <or< td=""><td>= (0 570)</td><td></td><td>1</td><td></td><td>10000</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></or<>	= (0 570)		1		10000			
	12.02		. 2020						5.25	7.31	5.25	5.25	
	Relative	Accuracy us	ing 5ppmv ab	solute differen	nce (Perform	ance Specifi	ication 4A onl	y)	>	>	S	2	
									9.55	14.54			
3	The Relative						-	than 50% of the			Specificatio	n 4A.	
		5528-33285	and the second second				Contraction Contraction	eater than 50%			1971 - Contra 19		
	The Rela	tive Accurac	y assessment i	for CO using co	rrected ppm	did not mee	et the accepta	nce critera spe	cified in Per	formance Spe	cification 44	<b>b</b> -	
		The averag	e Reference M	Method measu	rements of h	ourly CO em	nissions were a	greater than 50	% of the ide	ntified standa	rd.		
Th	e Relative Ac	curacy asses	sment for CO	using hourly er	nissions met	at least one	of the accept	ance critera spe	ecified in Pe	rformance Spe	ecification 4	and 4A.	
-		The av	erage Referer	ice Method Ib.	/MMBtu CO	measureme	nts were great	ter than 50% of	the identifi	ed standard.			
The Re	lative Accura	6.025.0.00				Constant of the second second		acceptance cr		A LONG CONTRACTOR	ance Specific	ation 4 and	
				seniel georeticitat		4A.						And Andrewson and Andrewson Andrewson and Andrewson and	

Figure 64 - RATA results report

A "Relative Accuracy Results" report like Figure 64 - RATA results report will be produced if the "Relative Accuracy Results" box is selected. This report includes the ERT calculated emissions for the reference test method, the emissions the user entered for the CEMS, the differences between the reference test method and the CEMS and the averages of each of the data selected for inclusion by the user. Below the averages of the differences, the RATA

results report presents the calculated standard deviation, the confidence coefficient, the relative accuracy calculations using the reference

method and the relative accuracy calculations using the emissions standard. The descriptions to the left of the calculations of the relative accuracy provide a summary of the acceptance criteria and when they are to be applied. Above each of the calculated values for the "*Relative Accuracy Results*" report is one of the mathematical symbols "< or =" or ">" indicating the relative value of the relative accuracy compared to the acceptance criteria.

While the Relative Accuracy values are presented to more than two significant figures, the symbols are assigned based upon the US EPA criteria for significant figures and rounding conventions. The EPA conventions for calculating and reporting were clarified in a June 6, 1990 memorandum titled *Performance Test Calculation Guidelines* and reiterated in the Office of Compliances <u>Clean Air Act National Stack Testing Guidance</u>. Below the descriptions and relative accuracy calculations are descriptions of the decision criteria used for selecting the performance criteria calculation and a written statement comparing the calculated results to the acceptance criteria. While Figure 64 presents calculations for all units of emissions, a RATA for only one set of units will have printed results for only the units where there are standards, calculated test results and CEM data.

#### **Calibration Drift Results**

Likewise, if the "**Calibration Drift Results**" box is selected, a report similar to **Figure 75** - Calibration Drift Results report will be produced. The "*Calibration Drift Report*" includes the measured responses for the daily calibrations at the low and high values, the differences between the responses and the calibration standard for each day, and the percent of span that the differences represent. Below the calibration drift results the report presents the criteria specified in the performance specification for acceptance.

Cal	ibration Dr	ift Results			bon	Boiler AB8103 Outle PST CO to PS4			
Day	Low Response	High Response	Low PPM Drift	High PPM Drift	Low % of Span	High % of Spar			
1	22.00	105.00	2.00	5.00	1.33	3.33			
2	19.00	95.00	-1.00	-5.00	-0.67	-3.33			
3	18.00	93.00	-2.00	-7.00	-1.33	-4.67			
4	20.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
5	25.00	120.00	5.00	20.00	3.33	13.33			
6	20.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
7	20.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			

Figure 65 - Calibration Drift Results Report

## **Process Data**

Click the "**Process Data**" button in the "*Test Data*" area of the main menu to display the "**Process Data**" screen. This allows entry of process run data, APCD run data and lab data that was identified to be captured in the test plan.

#### **Process Run Data Tab**

Run Data 🛛 L	ab Data						
	Add A Run	Delete This Run					
	Value -	Units -	- Target Lo' -	Target Hig 🗸	comme		
ed	4	Tons		0			
	0	percent		4			
le concentr		ppm		250			
	-	Tons/Hr		125			
	0	deg F		325			
el Flow	0	Ft^3/min		25			
						_	
	ed ntration	Value      Value      Value      Value      A     tration 0     de concentra 0     ed 0     mperature 0			Value     Units     Target Lor     Target Hig       ed     4     Tons     0       ntration     0     percent     4       de concentra     0     ppm     250       ed     0     Tons/Hr     125       mperature     0     deg F     325	✓ Value ↓     Units     ✓ Target Lor ↓     Target Hig ↓     comminant       ed     4     Tons     0     0       ntration     0     percent     4     4       de concentra     0     ppm     250     250       ed     0     Tons/Hr     125     125       mperature     0     deg F     325	✓ Value ✓     Units     ✓ Target Lor ✓     Target Hig ✓     comm       ed     4     Tons     0        ntration     0     percent     4        de concentra     0     ppm     250        ed     0     Tons/Hr     125        mperature     0     deg F     325

Figure 66 - Process Run Data Tab

This list was created during the test plan on item 4a. (See Figure 23 - Test Plan Process/APCD Tab\_section for more information.)

The name, units, target low and target high are prefilled with data from item 4a, and *are not editable*. If corrections to the information shown under these columns are required, you should close this window and click on "*Process Info*" under the "*Setup / Test Plan*" area of the "*Main Menu*". Corrections to the information in the first line which is highlighted yellow may be a default established by the selection of the SCC. However, if the name and units were established by the user during the selection of the SCC, you may be able to return to the SCC selection area under the "*Setup / Test Plan*" to revise this information. Process activity rate information (i.e., the name is a production or feed material parameter and the units have a denominator of time), consistent with a measured emissions rate calculated by the ERT may be paired with the emissions rate in the "*Emissions*" tab of "*Run Data Details*" for either an isokinetic or instrumental test method.

- Enter the value for the process name for the duration of the run. Enter comments as needed for the run.
- Move to the next or previous runs by using the navigation bar at the bottom of the screen.

• Click the "Add a Run" button to add a new process run.

- To delete run data, highlight the row by clicking on the gray cell before cell containing the name of the run. Click on "**Delete This Run**". The first run cannot be deleted. You should be extremely wary of deleting individual rows as this may introduce unintended consequences where this row is used to calculate a process based emissions. You may wish to use Windows Explorer to duplicate the Project Data Set prior to performing a row deletion and evaluate the results of the deletion.
- Note: Only the "Value" and "Comments" columns are active on this tab

#### APCD Run Data Tab

-8	Pro	cess Data	Details								-		x
ac	ility:	Envir	on Mental	Concious	Furniture Co.								
Per	mitte	d Source ID/I	Description	n: DR2	_	Dryer	2						
P	roces	s Run Data	APCD Ru	n Data	ab Data								
												_	
	Run	1			Add A Run		Delete this	Run					
			APC	DName		-	Value 👻	UOM -	TargetValu 🗸	comments	-		
		FABRIC FI	LTER				10		0				
Ы					I TEMPERA	ATURE	0		0				
Ы		BOILER A		FILL			0		0		_		
		BAGHOUS	E				0		0		_		
							0		0		_		
	*										_		
Ш											_		
Ш											_		
Ы											_		
											_		
Ш											_		
Ш											_		
Ш											-		
Ш											_		
	•												
		ord: 🛯 🕂 1	-61	5 NI 199	📉 No Filt		earch	1					
Ľ'	Rec	bru: ₩ ₹ 1	011	P PI PI2	IN NO FIIT	er  S	earch						
-													

Figure 68 - APCD Run Data Tab

This list was created during the test plan on item 5b (see Figure 27 - Control Devices - Test Plan Process/APCD Control Devices editing for more information). Using the data from item 5b, the "*APCD Name*", "*UOM*", and "*TargetValue*" are prefilled.

- Enter the value for the APCD parameter associated with the name for the duration of the run.
- Enter comments for the APCD run as needed.
- Move to the next or previous runs by using the navigation bar.
- Click the "Add a Run" button to add a new process run.
- Click the "**Delete This Run**" button to delete run data.

• Note: Only the "Value" and "Comments" columns are active on this tab.

#### Lab Data Tab

🗐 Process Data Details								
Fac	ility:	Environ Mental Concious Furniture Co.						
Pen	mitte	d Source ID/Description: DR2 Dryer 2						
P	roce	s Run Data APCD Run Data Lab Data						
l ,								
Н	Rur	1 Add A Run Dele	ete this Run					
Н		Name •	Value 🗸	UOM -	comments			
Ш		Wood Moisture Content of feed material	50	percent				
Ы		Wood Moisture Content of product	50	percent				
ы		Wood density of feed material	0	lb/ton				
Н		Wood density of product	0	lb/ton				
Н	*							
Ш								
Ш								
Ш								
Ш								
ы								
Ы								
Ш								
Ш								
Ш								
ы					,			
	Re	ord: M 🔸 1 of 1 🔰 M 👫 🐺 No Filter Search	1 I					
_								

Figure 69 - Lab Data Tab

This list was created during the test plan on item 4b (see Figure 25 - Process Lab Information section for more information).

- Enter the value for the lab data results for the parameter identified by the name for the duration of the run. You should ensure that the value entered is consistent with the units of measure specified.
- Enter comments for the value entered in the lab data run as needed.
- Move to the next or previous runs by using the navigation bar.
- Click the "Add a Run" button to add a new process run.
- Click the "Delete This Run" button to delete run data.
- Note: Only the "Value" and "Comments" columns are active on this tab.

# **Tester DQ Assessment**




Figure 70 - Tester Comments window

Click the "**Tester DQ Assessment**" button in the "*Test Data*" area of the "*ERT Main Menu*" to allow entry of any comments from the tester on: 1) their assessment of the validity of the test, 2) the representativeness of the process operation, 3) an assessment of the achievement of the data quality objectives, 4) the use of the data quality indicators supporting the statements about meeting the DQO's, 5) documentation on the conduct of the tests, 6) explanations of the test results, and 7) any other statements about the use of the test for other purposes.

This is a freeform text field that is unlimited in the amount of text that can be entered. This text will be included in the printed test report.

The "**Tester DQ Assessment**" tab can be used to enter a narrative of the test plan, any deviations from methods, mishaps or problems during testing, a summary or discussion of the results, etc. **It is highly recommended that testers provide comments in this section.** 

# Attachments

=8 T(	est Plan										_ = x
Tes	t Plan T	tle: * Er	nissions Te	sting of Wood	l Chip Dryer 2			Test Plan	Date:*	5/25/2009	
Facilit	ty/Tester	Permit/SCC	Regulations	Process/APC	D Locations/Me	thods Me	thods cont.	Audit/Calibration	s Schedule	Signatures Attach.	
				۸++	achDesc				Right Cl	ick to add file 👻 🔺	π
	Source	/Process F	low Diagra		acribesc				Night Ci	Package	1
	Source/Process Flow Diagram Alternate Method Reques and Approval (Item 8) (optional)									- denage	
	EPA Method 1Location Supporting Documentation (Item 9) (optional)									Package	
				-	mentation (It		,				
	Pre-Te	st Meter B	oxes/DGM	s Calibration	15						
	Post-Te	est Meter	Boxes/DGN	As Calibratio	ns						
	Nozzle	s Calibrati	ons								
	Pitots Calibrations										J
	Thermocouples Calibrations										
	Sampli	ng Locatio	ns Dimens	ions and Poi	nt Locations						
	Run Field Data Sheets										
	Moisture Recovery										
	Lab Data										
	Chain-of-Custody										
	Observer Comments									Package	
	APCD Diagram										
Re	cord: M	< 1 of 16	► E E	🖹 No Filter	Search					•	
-	To add or view an attachment: - double click on the "paper clip" symbol - select "add" to add a file - select "view" to view a file			" symbol	bottom row of Tips to reduce 1. set your sc 2. attach indiv	the attace the PDF anner on idual com	chdesc colu file size: 200 DPI w oponents fi	enter the descrip umn. Then add hen scanning do les that match t a single file with	your attac ocuments. the	e attachment in the hment. Finished	

Figure 71 - Attachment Tab

Once the test data have been entered, click "Attachments" in the "*Test Data*" area of the "*ERT Main Menu*". This will display the "*Attachments*" screen from the test plan.

All documents to support the test need to be included as attachments here. See Adding an Attachment section for more information on how to attach files.

## **Completeness Check**

The ERT provides a means for the stack test report writer, the source test company test reviewer, the tested company representative and others to systematically review the ERT project data file for completeness prior to the creation of the "*Submission Package File*" and submission to **CEDRI**. The ERT checks those specific locations in the Project Data Set to determine whether text has been entered in the field for a required or optional piece of documentation or an attachment has been provided for the item.

As shown in **Figure 72**, the "*Quality Assessment Questions*" are in the salmon colored column with the ERT provided response in the next column. There are three groups of questions: The first group is for information about the facility and general test report documentation; The second group is about documentation of manual or isokinetic test methods; and the third group is about documentation of instrumental test methods. Users can not change the questions. Nor can the user change the ERT provided response. The user can cause a change in the response by entering information in the required field or providing the supporting documentation in the attachments area. The "*Completeness Check*" screen includes a "*Note*" providing general instructions for using this function of the ERT. This screen also includes a column labeled "*Comment*" where the Facility representative or their contractor may provide supplementary information. Any comment(s) provided will not

affect the ERT's response to the question but may provide the Regulatory Agency Reviewer with information that they may not otherwise know. The last column identifies what area of the ERT contains the documentation necessary for assessing the proper response to the question. Clicking in the cell identifying the ERT area will open the item identified. Once open, the information or attachment may be reviewed, revised or added as necessary. You will not see a change in the response to the question until you click in the "**Update Completeness Answers**" which will force the ERT to update the responses.

ality Assessment Questions			
Completeness			
:ERT will answer the salmon colored Completeness questions with a "Yes" or "No" based on the response is provided in the licable area or a file is attached in the applicable line. Clicking in the "Click to Show ERT Obta" column will show the table area or attachment. Selecting the "Ubdate Completeness Answers" buttom will refress the answers based on any new net entered into ERT. The Completeness onswers can only be changed by entering data into the applicable areas or adding Answers Desting the Source or Testing Company can add or cild data in the Project Data Set.	9		
Question	- Answer - Comme	nt - Click to Show ERT Data -	
s a full description of the process and the unit being tested (including installed controls) provided?	Yes	(Test Plan Item 7a & 7b) - Review process documentation.	
Has a detailed discussion of source operating conditions, air pollution control device operations and the representativeness of measurements made during the test been provided?	Yes	Review the sourde/testers Test Data Quality Assessment.	
Were the operating parameters for the tested process unit and associated controls described and reported?	Yes	Review Process Run Data.	
s there an assessment of the validity, representativeness, achievement of DQO's and usability of the data?	Yes	Review the Tester's DQ assessment	
lave field notes addressing issues that may influence data quality been provided?	No	Review Field Notes.	
	No	Review calibration	

Figure 72 - Completeness Check: Quality Assessment Questions

A more detailed list of questions is available for State/local agencies to use as a check sheet for their review of the test report. Users preparing the report for submission may use this more detailed list of questions to more completely assess the completeness of the documentation provided to support the representativeness, precision and accuracy of the test report. The preparer of the test report should not respond to this more detailed list of questions as they are intended only for State/local agency source test assessment.

#### **Report Verification**

rennicieu racini	y Representative	
Name:	Terrence M. Welch	
Title:		
Company:	BP - Decatur Works	
Email:		
Date Signed	: 4/4/2012	
	ion and belief formed after reasonable formation in this test report are true,	
statements and in	ion and belief formed after reasonable	
statements and in	ion and belief formed after reasonable formation in this test report are true,	
statements and in Testing Company	ion and belief formed after reasonable formation in this test report are true, y Representative	
statements and in Testing Company Name:	ion and belief formed after reasonable formation in this test report are true, y Representative Jeremy Hutchens	
statements and in Testing Company Name: Title:	y Representative Jeremy Hutchens Project Manager	

Figure 73 - Final Test Report Verification Window

Click the "**Report Verification**" button in the "*Test Data*" area of the "*ERT Main Menu*". The two types of reviewers are as follows:

- *Permitted Facility Representative*: The person authorized to represent the facility being tested. Enter the representative's name, title, company and date reviewed.
- *Testing Company Representative:* The person authorized to represent the testing company. Enter the representative's name, title, company and date reviewed.
- Note: This is NOT an electronic signature! The person submitting the final ERT file to EPA's Central Data Exchange (CDX) will be required to register as a report submitter for the facility and receive an Cross- Media Electronic Reporting and Recordkeeping Rule (CROMERR) compliant electronic signature agreement which will allow that individual to provide an electronic signature with the submission of the file to EPA through the CDX/CEDRI.

# Creating an ERT Submission Package File

#### Compacting a Project Data Set

Microsoft Access files can be very large. By clicking on "**Compacting a Project Data Set**" you can reduce the file size of the project data set. This will not affect the quality of content of the file. Do this by clicking "Compact Project Data Set" from the project data set area of the ERT main menu. A message will alert you when the process is complete.

#### Compacting the ERT

Because of the way Microsoft Access manages memory allocation, the file size of the ERT program will grow. If you have Access 2010, or runtime program from 2010, or later, installed then the file will automatically compact itself upon closing the program.

For versions of Access 2007, the user will need to periodically compact the ERT. Do this by following these steps:

- 1. Click the Microsoft Access icon on the upper left of the ERT Access program. This will open the Microsoft administration tools window, as seen in Figure 74.
- 2. In the left menu of the Administration tools window, click on the "Manage" icon. A submenu will open in submenu selection space in the right most part of the window
- 3. Click on the "Compact and Repair Database" selection to compact the ERT.




Figure 74 - Access 2007 Compacting of ERT

# Select Project Data Set Create New Project Data Set Save Project Data Set As Compact Project Data Set Current Project C:\Devapps\ERT\ProjectData\PST Test.accdb Create ERT Submitsion Package File Project Submittal History: Create ERT Submission Package File Action SubmitDate SubmittedTo SubmittedFr Submit Test Plan \* Record: H I of 1 H K No Filter Search 4

Project Submittal History/Creating the ERT Package for Regulatory Agency Submittal

Figure 75 - Project Submittal History Area of the ERT Main Menu

The "*Project Submittal History*" area of the ERT allows you to create an ERT submission package file and keep track of where the PDS is in the workflow of the source test process. (Please see the previous <u>Basic Workflow</u> section for more information on the workflow process). At the completion of each step when a ERT package is created (test plan, test plan review, test report, test report review / approval), the action, date submitted, to whom it is being submitted, who made the submission, and any special comments are entered in this area.

If the data set is required to be submitted to CDX/CEDRI, an ERT submission package file must be created. Make sure the ERT project dataset is located on the local drive (either on the C: drive or on the desktop). A submission may have difficulties being created if the file is not on a local drive (Thumb drives and servers have caused issues in the past). To create a submission file, click "**Create ERT Submission Package File.**" If any required fields are not complete, a window will open with a list of links to the screens. Click on the links to the screens to complete the fields. Once the field window is closed, click on the "**Re-Check Data**" button. When all the required fields have been completed, the "*Create ERT Submission File*" window will open, shown in Figure 76, in which the file preparation menu is activated.

📑 frmRequiredShow	_ = x
The item(s) below are missing and are required to create a Submission Package File. Please click on the link below and add the data. Then click "Re-Check Data".	Re-Check Data
Missing Required Information (click to enter/view data)	*
Facility County	

Figure 76 - Missing Items List in ERT

Complete the steps	_ ■ X s below to create an ERT Submission File
	st and Process Run Associations lata Set Submittal Data
	7/12/2012
3. Create ERT Su	Optional Steps

Figure 77 - Create ERT Submission Package File Menu

2	Location -	Method -	RunNumbe -	Proci +	SCC		
	Stack	Method 10	1	1	10200701		
	Stack	Method 10	2	2	10200701	=	
	Stack	Method 10	3	2	10200701		
	Stack	Method 23	1	1	10200701	-	
	Stack	Method 23	2	2	10200701		
	Stack	Method 23	3	2	10200701		
	Stack	Method 25A	1	1	10200701		
	Stack	Method 25A	2	2	10200701		
	Stack	Method 25A	3	2	10200701		
	Stack	Method 26A	1	3	10200701		
	Stack	Method 26A	2	3	10200701		
	Stack	Method 26A	3	4	10200701		
	Stack	Method 29	1	3	10200701	-	

Figure 78 - Associate Reference Method Data Runs with Process Runs

Select 1. Set/Review Test and Process Run Associations of Figure 77 - Create ERT Submission Package File Menu and you will see the above screen. This allows you to associate the process data with the test run data. Even if you associated process data with test run data in the emissions tab of the run data details screen, you will need to make the associations in this screen. <u>THIS IS REOUIRED FOR SUBMITTING TO CDX/CEDRI</u>. Successful association of the data will result in a table, as in Figure 78 - Associate Reference Method Data Runs with Process Runs. Click on "**View WebFIRE Export**" to see results in spreadsheet format.

	Location	-	Method	•	RunNumbe +	Proci +	SCC	+
*								
-								

Figure 79 - Blank Associate Data Runs with Process Runs

When submitting a PDS with only test plan data there will be no run or process data to associate. Click on "**Continue**" button to skip this process and continue to create the submission package file.

Create ERT Submission File		- • ×
Complete the step	os below to create an ERT Submission File	
✓ 1. Set/Review Te	est and Process Run Associations	
Enter Project E	Data Set Submittal Data	
Action	Submit Test Report	
Date:	3/11/2016	
Submitted To:	Client, Regluatory Agency (state local), EPA	
Submitted To Email:		
Submitted From:	Preparer, Certifier	
Submitted From Email:		
Comment:		
3. Create ERT Su	ubmission	
	Optional Steps	
4a. Go to the CDX	Website 4b. Email Submission File	

Figure 80 – PDS Submittal Data

Click the number 2 to "*Enter Project Data Set Submittal Data*". This will activate the fields so that the data can be entered. Select the action from the dropdown list and enter the other information in the fields. The actions are as follows:

- "Submit Test Plan"
- "Notice of Deficiency Test Plan"
- "Resubmit Test Plan"
- "Approve Test Plan"
- "Submit Test Report,"
- "Notice of Deficiency Test Report"
- "Resubmit Test Report"
- "Approve Test Report"
- "Request Additional Information"
- "Other"

While you may create a submission file without entering information in all the fields, this information will be saved in the "*Project Submittal History*" as documentation of the activities associated with the source test program.




Figure 81 – Notice of Creation of ERT file

Click on number 3 to "*Create ERT Submission*." An action window will appear with instructions as it creates the ERT Submission file, a PDS zip file and an ERT Submission zip file. When the "**Finished**" is clicked, the location of the field will be reported in the field. This screen should not take a long time to create. If it does take a long time (more than 5 minutes), most likely there is an issue with the data or the file. For instance, if the file is saved on a server and not a local drive, an ERT submission package file will not be created. It will give a blue spinning wheel and will not advance to creating a .zip file.

If the internet is active, by clicking on 4a to "*Go to the CDX Website*", you will be linked to the CDX website. By clicking on 4b to "*Email Submission File*", the local email will open with a reminder to attach the file from the provided location.

**Note:** If clicking on 4a to "**Go to the CDX Website**" generates a "**Cannot Connect to Proxy Error,**" click on "**Internet Options**", then on "**Advanced**." Check to be sure the SSL and TLS protocols are enabled under the security section.

### Chapter 6: Accessing Test Plans and Data for Review Obtaining Test data for Review

Test data can be obtained for review in two ways:

1. If an ERT submittal package was submitted through EPA's Central Data Exchange

(CDX), the data can be accessed in CEDRI after submission or WebFIRE - 60 days after submission to CDX/CEDRI (see instructions below).

2. States or other delegated authorities can review test data if the ERT file is sent to them (via email, CD, etc).

#### How to Obtain and View ERT Submissions from WebFIRE

1. Go to EPA's <u>WebFIRE webpage</u>:

2. Scroll down to the paragraph titled "Search and Retrieve WebFIRE Report Submissions"

3. Click the "Search for reports" text below the list of available search criteria.

Start Date		(M	IM/DD/YYYY)
End Date		(M	IM/DD/YYYY)
	Start and End Date refer to	a spa	n of time that the files were submitted to EPA
Facility Name			
State	NEW JERSEY NEW MEXICO NEW YORK	(E)	
	NORTH CAROLINA	-	Control-Click for multiple selections
County	NC - Alamance NC - Alexander NC - Alleghany	) (E)	
	NC - Anson	*	Control-Click for multiple selections
City			
Zip Code			
Report Type(s) FRS ID	Performance Test Reports	-	
SCC			
	Submit Search Reset	]	

Figure 82 - WebFIRE Seach Engine

4. A page with the available search criteria as shown in Figure 82 will be displayed.

5. Enter your desired search criteria and click the "**Submit Search**" icon OR you can leave the search criteria fields blank (this may result in a long list of files). Currently, four types of reports are available in WebFIRE. If you limited your search to "*Performance Test Reports*," the last column will only have "*ERT*" as the "**Report Type**." The results of your search as shown in Figure 83 will be displayed. You can adjust the column widths to see more of the text and you can click on the column heading to change the order of the displayed results.

The following acronyms are used to designate the report type in the results table:

ERT = Performance test reports

EVAL= Performance evaluations

NCOS = Notification of compliance status reports

AER = Air emissions reports

#### Report Search Results

Organ	Facility	City	Ŧ	State	County	Submission Date	Document Name	Size (Bytes)	Report Type
AIR A	Lehigh Cement Company	Union Bridge		MD	Carroll	08/07/13	Lehigh Cement Company 08-07-2013	3066893	ERT
AIR C	Arcelor Mittal Weirton LLC	Weirton		WV	Hancock	09/19/13	Arcelor Mittal Weirton LLC 09-19-201	970197	ERT
AIR C	Lehigh Cement Company LLC	York		PA	York	06/14/13	Lehigh Cement Company LLC 06-14	1773958	ERT
AIR C	Argos USA Harleyville Plant	Harleyville		SC	Dorchester	07/25/13	Argos USA Harleyville Plant 07-25-20	37053495	ERT
AIR C	Lafarge Whitehall Cement Plant	Whitehall		PA	not provided	10/29/12	Lafarge Whitehall Cement Plant 10-29	11566809	ERT
AK ST	AK Steel Middletown Works	Middletown		ОН	Butler	01/23/13	AK Steel Middletown Works 01-22-20	4069041	ERT
AMERI	AEP John W. Turk Jr. Power Plant	Fulton		AR	Fulton	07/30/13	AEP John W. Turk Jr. Power Plant 07	15953629	ERT
ARCEL	ArcelorMittal Indiana Harbor LLC	East Chicago		IN	Lake	12/12/13	ArcelorMittal Indiana Harbor LLC 12-1	1613961	ERT
ARGO	Argos Cement LLC	Calera		AL	United States	07/22/13	Argos Cement LLC 07-22-2013 09-5	80579	ERT
ASH G	Ash Grove Cement Company	Clancy		MT	Jefferson	04/26/13	Ash Grove Cement Company 04-26-2	3084960	ERT
ASH G	Ash Gr5ove Cement Company	Leamington		UT	Juab	04/10/13	Ash Gr5ove Cement Company 04-10	11082392	ERT
ASH G	Ash Grove Cement. Inc.	Chanute		KS	Neosho	12/18/12	Ash Grove Cement. Inc. 12-18-2012	3258768	ERT

Figure 83 - WebFIRE Search Results

6. All files in the "*Document Name*" column are Zip files – these files contain a Project Data Set (PDS) file created by the ERT application. To review a test report, use one of the following procedures:

a. Click the name of the zip file you wish to review and click "Open."

b. Depending on your operating system, you can click "**Extract**", "Unzip" or drag the file to a folder. This will save the file to the location you specify. Make sure you are cognizant of the location and have selected a location that you will remember.

c. Open the ERT application.

d. In the ERT, click "Select Project Data Set" and choose the file (it will have an extension of either .accdb or .mdb, depending on the version of the ERT that was used to create the file). You will see that the location and name of the extracted file will be displayed in the "*Current Project Data Set*" box

#### OR

a. Click the name of the zip file you wish to review and click "Save."

b. Save the zip file to a location you will remember.

c. Open the ERT application.

d. In the ERT, click "Select Project Data Set" and choose the zip file. The ERT application will extract the PDS from the zip file and store it in the same directory as the zip file. You will see that the location and name of the extracted file will be displayed in the "*Current Project Data Set*" box.

e. CAUTION: Use this procedure only the first time you open the PDS. The use of this procedure will overwrite the existing PDS and you may lose any saved changes. Subsequent times that you open the PDS, using step d. of the first procedure will preserve changes you made to the PDS.

# Chapter 7: Regulatory Agency Review Test Plan Review

📰 Test Plan Revie	ew			- = ×
	Emissions Testing of Wood Chip		Test Plan Date: 1/2/201	4 Regulatory Agency Review Accepted (Yes, No, N/A)
Facility/Permit Loc	cations/Methods Regulations Process/	APCD   Methods cont.   Audit/Cal	brations Schedule Reviewers Attach.	
Facility Name:		Testing Comp		Facility Info:
Environ Ment	tal Concious Furniture Co.	Emissions F	actors & Policy Applications Group	Yes V
Address:	666 66th St N Ave	Address:	OAQPS/EMAD (C312-02)	Tes 💌
				Add/View Comment
City:	Boisenberry	City: State/Zip:	Research Triangle Park	
State/Zip:	NC 27854-4866	State/Zip:	NC 27711	
County:	Alleghany Co	Contact:	Ronald E. Myers	Test Co. Info:
Contact:	Enviro M. Concious	Phone:	(919) 541-5407	Yes 💌
Phone:	(919) 666-2626	Fax:	(919) 541-1065	
Fax:	(919) 666-6262	email:	myers.ron@epa.gov	Add/View Comment
email:	enviro.concious@enviroconcious.c	om Project No.:		
AFS Number:		SCC/Desc.:	View Field Team Lead Cert	ification
Industry /SCC/NAIS:	30701415		ombustion Boilers - Commercial/Instituti Coal - Hand-fired	onal -
FRS:	110020338963	Antinacite	Coal - Halla-filea	
State ID:				
Lat./Long.:	47.521947 -111.18106	4		_Source info:
Air Permit N	umber: NC666-1234			
Permitted S	ource ID and Name: DR2	Dryer 2		No
Permitted M	laximum Process Rate:	175 Tons per Hour		Add/View Comment
Maximum No	ormal Operation Process Rate:	150 Tons per Hour		
Target Proc	ess Rate for Testing:	125 Tons per Hour		
Operational	Hours Per Year:	2000		Vext Page

Figure 84 - Test Plan Review Facility /Permit Information

This section of the ERT may be used by a person evaluating the proposed source test protocol and if necessary identifying areas requiring improvement. Generally, if performed, the evaluation is performed by a regulatory agency employee. Upon receipt of a completed test plan, the reviewer (typically the state or other delegated authority) may access the database by selecting the appropriate project data set (see *Selecting a Project Data Set* section for more information on selecting a project data set) and clicking "**Test Plan Review**" in the "*Test Plan Review*" area of the "*ERT Main Menu*".

The test plan will be displayed in a split window that contains the test plan as submitted for review on the left side and several areas with check boxes and buttons to access comment areas on the right side. Each of the areas are associated with key elements of the test plan. The left side of the test plan review is nearly identical with respect to the tabs identifying the type of information and the layout of information contained on each tab area to the test plan. This provides an organized "step-through" process for the test plan review.

Select "*Yes*" or "*No*" on each section based on whether the information provided is acceptable or not. If "*No*", click the "**Add/View Comment**" button to explain why the information is not acceptable and request what additional information is needed.

Upon completion, update the "*Submittal History*" and return the Project Data Set to the tester. (See the <u>*Project Submittal History*</u> section for more information on how to update the history).

#### **Test Plan Review Locations/ Methods**

	e: Emissions	Testing of	Wood Chip	Dryer 2			an Date:	1/2/2	014	Regulatory Agency Review Accepted (Yes, No, N/A)
bulbarrath Lo	ocations Mathod	C. Danielation	D			/Calibrations Sch				
								rs Attach.		
. Please e	enter sampli	ng location	informati	ion. (all dim	ensions in	inches)	iew File			
Locati	tion: ( click to view	w/edit) 👻	Inlet/Outl 🗸	Total Trave 👻	Ports -	Round Duct Diar	n 🗸 Duct Lei 🗸	Duct Wid +	Equiv	
Inlet			Inlet	16	2	19.5	0	0		Item 1:
stack			Outlet	16	2	72	0	0		
										Yes 💌
(									•	Add/View Comment
a. Please	e provide the	e following	informatio	on for each	test paran	neter.				QA
Lo	e provide the	Target Pa		<ul> <li>Test Meth</li> </ul>		Test Runs 🖌 Tes		Com	men	
Lo Inlet	·	Target Pa Arsenic		<ul> <li>Test Meth</li> <li>Method 29</li> </ul>		Test Runs - Tes 3	64	Com	men 🔺	Item 2a:
Lo Inlet Inlet	·	Target Pa Arsenic Cadmium		<ul> <li>Test Meth</li> <li>Method 29</li> <li>Method 29</li> </ul>		Test Runs - Tes 3 3	64 64	Com		
Inlet Inlet stack	·	Target Pa Arsenic Cadmium Chromium		<ul> <li>Test Meth</li> <li>Method 29</li> <li>Method 29</li> <li>Method 29</li> </ul>		Test Runs - Tes 3 3 3	64 64 64	Com		Item 2a:
Lo Inlet Inlet	·	Target Pa Arsenic Cadmium		<ul> <li>Test Meth</li> <li>Method 29</li> <li>Method 29</li> </ul>		Test Runs - Tes 3 3	64 64	Com		Item 2a:
Lo Inlet Inlet stack stack stack	ocation -	Target Pa Arsenic Cadmium Chromium Lead Mangagese	rameter	<ul> <li>Test Meth</li> <li>Method 29</li> <li>Method 29</li> <li>Method 29</li> <li>Method 29</li> <li>Method 29</li> </ul>		Test Runs         Test           3         3           3         3           3         3	64 64 64 64	Com		Item 2a:
Lo Inlet Inlet Stack Stack Stack Record: H	< 1 of 12 >	Target Pa Arsenic Cadmium Chromium Lead Mannanese H H K T	No Filter S Concentr	Test Meth     Method 29     Method 59     method 59     method 59	od v Num	Test Runs         Test           3         3           3         3           3         3           111         111	64 64 64 64 64			Item 2a:
Lo Inlet Inlet Stack Stack Stack Record: H Eb. Please Locat -	I of 12 +	Target Pa Arsenic Cadmium Chromium Lead Manoanese PI Pa KI Emissions / Vunts of I	vo Filter	Test Meth     Method 29     Method 59     method 59     method 59	od - Num	Test Runs         Test           3         3           3         3           3         1           III         III           On.         0rrected % •         Pr	64 64 64 64		•	Item 2a:
Local - stack	I of 12 + Select the E Method Method 25A	Target Pa Arsenic Cadmium Chromium Lead Manganese PI PA KI Emissions / Units of I Ib/hr	No Filter S Concentr	Test Meth     Method 29     Method 59     method 59     method 59	od v Num	Test Runs         Test           3         3           3         3           3         1           3         1           0         0	64 64 64 64 64		•	Item 2a: No v Add/view Comment
Local - stack stack stack stack stack tb. Please	I of 12 + Select the F Method SA Method 25A	Target Pa Arsenic Cadmium Chromium Lead Manganese H H K K K Emissions / Vuits of I Ib/hr ppm	No Filter S Concentr Measure -	Test Meth     Method 29     rearch     Corrected A	od v Num	Test Runs         Test           3         3           3         3           3         3           3         0           0         0	64 64 64 64 64		•	Item 2a: No • Add/New Comment
Local - stack stack stack stack stack stack stack stack stack stack	I of 12 Method Method 25A Method 25A	Target Pa Arsenic Cadmium Chromium Lead Manoanese H H2 K f Emissions / Units of I Ib/hr ppm ppm correct	Vo Filter S Concentr Measure -	Test Meth Method 29 Method 29 Method 29 Method 29 Method 29 Method 29 Corrected A	od v Num	Test Runs         Test           3         3           3         3           3         3           0         0           0         7	64 64 64 64 64		•	Item 2a: No v Add/view Comment
Inlet Inlet Inlet Stack Stack Stack Record: If Local - Stack I Stack I Inlet I	I of 12 Select the I Method 25A	Target Pa Arsenic Cadmium Chromium Lead Managanese. ► ► ► ► ► ► ► ► ► ► ► ► ► ► ► ► ► ► ►	No Filter	Test Meth     Method 29     rearch     Corrected A	od v Num	Test Runs         Test           3         3           3         3           3         3           111         111           On.         P           0         0           7         7           7         7	64 64 64 64 64		•	Item 2a: No v Add/view Comment
Local - stack stack sta	1 of 12	Arsenic Cadmium Chromium Lead Mananese. P1 the K1 Emissions / Units of 1 Ib/hr ppm opree grains/dsc arains/dsc	No Filter S Concentr Measure - cted f corrected	Test Meth     Method 29     Corrected A     O2     O2	od v Num	Test Runs         Test           3         3           3         3           3         3           0         0           0         7	64 64 64 64 64		•	Item 2a: No • Add/View Comment
Inlet Inlet Inlet Stack Stack Stack Record: If Local - Stack I Stack I Inlet I	1 of 12	Arsenic Cadmium Chromium Lead Mananese. P1 the K1 Emissions / Units of 1 Ib/hr ppm opree grains/dsc arains/dsc	No Filter S Concentr Measure - cted f corrected	Test Meth Method 29 Method 29 Method 29 Method 29 Method 29 Method 29 Corrected A	od v Num	Test Runs         Test           3         3           3         3           3         3           111         111           On.         P           0         0           7         7           7         7	64 64 64 64 64		•	Item 2a: No • Add/View Comment

Figure 85 - Test Plan Review Locations/Methods Tab

For Item 1, the reviewer will select "*Yes*" or "*No*" on each section depending on whether the information provided is acceptable or not. If "*No*", click the "Add/View Comment" button to explain why the information is not acceptable and request what additional information is needed.

In Item 1, below the "*Yes*" or "*No*" response, click on the "**QA**" button, as the red box in **Figure** 85 indicates, to open the quality assurance calculations screen. The "*Protocol Evaluation Calculations*" screen will open, Figure 86. There are two sets of results for the calculations depending on the selection of location as "inlet" or "stack."

#### **QA Information**

ocation	nlet		-	Inlet	Hours/Ye	ar: 2000		ſ
ound("):	19.5 Length("):	0 Width(	): O Equiv	/. <b>("):</b> 19.5	Temp(F):		ACFM:	
tack and	d Flow Rate Info	rmation						
SCFM:	Assumed Moisture %:	Moisture % @Saturation:	@ Assumed DSCFM:	DSCFM Satura		Assumed Stack O2 %:		l
		#Error			#Error			
raverse	Details							
Non-Par	ticulate Traverse	Diameters to Disturbance	Traverse Point Calculation	Required Tra	averse/Flow	Methods:		
ownstream	From ("): 0	0.00		EPA Metho	ds 1 & 2			
pstream Fro	om ("): 280	14.36	12					

Figure 86 – Location, Stack and Flow Rate Information and Traverse Details

In the "*Protocol Evaluation Calculations*" screen, select the "*Location*" as "inlet". The calculations from the data as provided in Item 6 will fill the orange fields. The calculations based on the data entered in the "*Regulations*," "*Locations*," "*Methods*" and "*Concentrations*" areas of the test plan will fill the gray fields. Use the calculations to determine if the proposed sampling protocol is acceptable.

The fields are as follows: Hours/Year:	Hours location operates in a year.
Round ("):	Round duct diameter in inches.
Length("):	Duct length or depth measured in inches.
Width("):	Duct width measured in inches.
Equiv.("):	Equivalent diameter of a rectangular duct.
Temp.(F):	Temperature in degrees F.
ACFM:	Actual cubic feet per minute.
<b>Stack and Flow Rate Information:</b> SCFM:	Source gas emission rate in cubic feet per minute.
Assumed Moisture %:	Assumed percentage moisture.
Moisture % @ Saturation:	Calculated moisture content of saturated gas stream percentage.
Moisture % @ Assumed DSCFM:	Assumed percentage moisture at point of dry standard flow rate in cubic feet perminute.
Assumed Stack O2 %:	Oxygen concentration of sampled gas stream, percentage.
Traverse Details: Non-Particulate Traverse:	Checked if "Yes" if the test location includes non-particulate traverse.
Downstream From ("):	Distance to downstream disturbance in inches.
Upstream From ("):	Distance to upstream disturbance in inches.
Diameters to Disturbance/Downstream:	Number of equivalent diameters to the downstream disturbance.
Diameters to Disturbance/Upstream:	Number of equivalent diameters to the upstream disturbance.
Traverse Point Calculation/Downstream:	Calculated number of traverse points from downstream disturbance.
Traverse Point Calculation/Upstream:	Calculated number of traverse points from upstream disturbance.
Required Traverse/Flow Methods:	Required Method for calculation of flow rate.

Note: Fields with "#Error" is a result of missing or incomplete run data.




Figure 87 – Complete Protocol Evaluation Calculations Screen Shot

In the "*Protocol Evaluation Calculations*" screen, select the "*location*" as "stack". The calculations from the pre-selected data as provided in Item 6 will fill the orange fields. The editable fields have white background. Based on the data entered in the "*Regulations*," "*Locations*," "*Methods*" and "*Concentrations*" areas of the test plan, the calculations will populate the fields with gray background. Use the calculations to determine whether the protocol sampling information is acceptable or not.

The red background of the "*USE*" column under "*Reporting Threshold Allowables*" is the values which the tester/reviewer should use in calculations of the selected method. This calculated value is based on a New Jersey formula using the look-up values in the following columns.

Check the box if the test-run includes non-particulate traverse.

The editable fields beneath the comparison of *EPA Method 25 vs. 25A* determine the calculation of the outlet ppm.

The editable fields in the "*Metals*" section include the run duration (hr), the front half sample volume, and the back-half sample volume. They directly affect the calculations in the gray boxes. The columns beneath "*ICAP*," "*AAS/CVAAS*," "*GFAAS*" and "*ICPMS*" can have either a green, yellow or red background. The green color indicates the estimates for the calculated values fall within EPA measurement capabilities at the compliance limits. Red indicates that the calculated values fall outside the EPA measurement capabilities at the compliance limits. Yellow indicates there is a potential issue somewhere within the proposed test protocol or analytical finish. It may indicate that the estimated values for the test run or analytical finish are close to the measurement capabilities of the selected combination compared with the compliance limits.

The editable fields of organics & gases include the sample volume (L) and the impinger start volume (mL). The changes affect the calculations for the parameter.

The fields below the calculations are as follows:

<b>Parameters of Interest:</b> Grains * 64.799 = mgs:	The conversion of grains to milligrams.
Lb/hr limit:	The flow limit in lb/hr of location of run.
Mg/30cf:	The milligrams per sample rate, where the minimum is 30 cubic feet.
<b>EPA Method 25 vs. 25A</b> Inlet VOC lbs:	The pounds of volatile organic compounds in inlet stream.
% Carbon:	The percent of weight fraction of carbon in VOC.
% Production:	The percent of carbon of VOC (i.e., %carbon * Inlet VOC).
CE:	The capture efficiency typically from permit.
DE:	The destruction efficiency, typically found on permit.
Outlet ppm:	The emission of carbon through outlet in parts per million. If emission is less than 50 ppm carbon, select Method 25A. If emission is greater than 50 ppm carbon, select Method 25.
<b>Reporting Threshold Allowables:</b> Parameter:	The analyte/ target parameter reported.
Method:	The allowable test method for the analyte.
USE:	The calculated value based on the New Jersey lookup table values following.
Reporting lb/yr:	The look up values for number of reported pounds per year.
Lbs/hr:	The analyte allowable pounds per hour.
SOTA lbs/yr:	The analyte value in lbs per year in state-of-art stack.
Lbs/hr:	The analyte in pounds per hour in state-of-the-art stack.

Reporting lb/hr:	The reportable analyte in pounds per hour in state- of-the-art stack.
SOTA tons/year:	The analyte measurement in tons per year in state- of-the-art stack.
Metals: Run Duration (hr):	The number of hours of duration of the run. The selection will affect the calculations of the table below.
Front Half Sample Volume:	Select the volume of the front half of the stack in run. The selections are from 30 to 500 in increments of 5.
Back Half Sample Volume:	Select the volume of the back half of test sample of the stack in run. The selections are 25 to 500 in increments of 5.
Parameter:	The test analyte being measured.
Lb/hr limit:	The test analyte's test limit in pounds per hour.
Ug/train:	Micrograms of analyte per sampling train.
ICAP:	The calculated Inductively Coupled Argon Plasma.
AAS/CVAAS:	The Atomic Absorption Spectrometry technique utilizing Cold Vapor Atomic Absorption Spectrometry detection technique of measuring the analyte in lower concentration ranges.
GFAAS:	The Graphite Furnace Atomic Absorption Spectrometry technique utilizing graphite furnace technique of measuring the analyte in lower concentration ranges.
ICPMS:	The Inductively coupled Plasma/Mass Spectrometry technique for measuring trace amounts of the analyte.
Anal. Ug/ml:	The calculated value of micrograms per milliliter of the analyte.
Mg/dscm 7% O2:	The micrograms of analyte particulate per dry standard cubic meter corrected to $7\% O_2$ .

<b>Organics &amp; Gases:</b> Sample Volume (L):	Select the test sample volume collected in liters. The list range is from 15 to 180 in increments of 15.
Impinger Start Volume (mls):	Select the volume in the impinger at the start of the test measured in milliliters. The range is from 0 to 20 in increments of 1.
Parameter:	The test run selected parameters for organics and gases.
Lb/hr Limit:	The pre-selected pound/hour limit of the parameter.
MW:	The calculated molecular weight of the parameter.
ppm limit:	The calculated approximate parts per million of the parameter.
Solubility:	The calculated solubility of the parameter, if applicable.
Boiling Point:	The calculated boiling point of the parameter, if applicable.
Polarity:	The calculated polarity of the parameter, if applicable.
ug/Train:	The approximate calculation of micrograms per sampling train. I
mp ugs:	The calculated micrograms of the impinger.

#### **Testing Observation and Report Review**

The Regulatory Agency Review section in the ERT Main Menu contains four areas of interest. They include:

- Regulatory Field Observation Documentation
- Regulatory Assessment of Supporting Documentation
- Emissions Results
- Comprehensive Regulatory Test Assessment

#### **Regulatory Field Observation Documentation**




Figure 88 - Regulatory Field Observation Documentation Window

In this area, the observations made by the "*Regulatory Agency*" field observer may provide comments directly into the text box, or attach a file that contains his/her observations of the performance of testing at the facility. (See <u>Attachments Screen</u> for more information on how to attach a file).

#### **Regulatory Assessment of Supporting Documentation**

uality Assess	ment Questions			Open	Expanded				
Completeness	Regulatory Review								
viewer's Name: gulatory Agency: viewer's Email: viewer's Phone:			Review Date:						
documentation prov estion field) will ha provided to substan	rided in the test report. Res ve precedence over autom ntiate any determination o	sponses made by the applicable nated responses made by the ERT	salmon shaded cells) are determined regulatory reviewer (rows with no sh in the Completeness assessment. Co uestions. The regulatory reviewer m	hading of the Comments should	Include ompleteness Questions	8			
Is a description a	nd drawing of test loca	Questic tion provided?	n			Answer •	Comment +	Click to Show ERT Data • (Test Plan Item 1 or Attachment) - Review dimensions and diagram.	
method prior to		that the tester's assertion tha	and obtained approval for deviat t deviations were not required t					(Test Plan Item 8) - Review test method documentation.	
Were all test me	thod deviations accepta	able?						(Test Plan Item 8) - Assess deviations and approval documents.	
Is a full description	on of the process and th	he unit being tested (includir	ng installed controls) provided?					(Test Plan Item 7a & 7b) - Review process documentation.	
	scussion of source oper nade during the test be		n control device operations and	the representativer	iess of			Review description of source operation, APCD operation and sampling for representativeness.	
Is there documer	ntation that the require	ed process monitors have bee	en calibrated and that the calibra	tion is acceptable?				Review process monitoring data.	

Figure 89 – Regulatory Review of Quality Assessment Questions

The "*Regulatory Assessment of Supporting Documentation*" is an extension of the "*Completeness Check*" questions. The Quality Assessment Questions (QAQ) screen (Figure 89 – Regulatory Review of Quality Assessment Questions) provides a check list to assist in the assessment of the test report. While there may be several reasons for the assessment, one

would be for the regulatory agency to be assured that the information contained in the report is sufficiently complete, accurate and representative for the purposes which were intended. Unlike the "Completeness Check," no answers have been selected. To determine the responses for the "Completeness Check," the user of the QAQ screen can switch between the "Completeness Check" questions and the "Regulatory Review" questions by clicking on the two tabs. Alternatively, the screen can be expanded to show both the "Completeness Check" questions and the "Regulatory Review" questions by selecting the "Include Completeness Questions" box (in large red circle as shown in Figure 90). The "Completeness Questions" are highlighted with salmon colored shading and include the ERT response. In some instances, the questions are identical to those which the ERT provided a response based upon the presence of a response or attachment. However, while the ERT only checks that information has been entered in the field or that an attachment has been provided, the assessor can determine if that information provided meets the requirements for that item.

Quality Assess	sment Questions	Open Expanded
Completeness	Regulatory Review	<u>^</u>
viewer's Name: gulatory Agency: viewer's Email: viewer's Phone:	Review Date:	
documentation pro estion field) will ha provided to substa	d comments associated with the Completeness Questions (salmon shaded cells) are determined by the pres wided in the test report. Responses made by the applicable repulatory reviewer (rows with no shading of th was precedence over automated responses made by the RTI in the Completeness assessment. Comments sho nitate any determination of sufficiency for the individual questions. The regulatory reviewer may not modi to set, only answer the questions and provide comments.	Completeness Questions
	Question - Ansı -	Comment   Click to Show ERT Data
Is there docume calibration is acc	entation that the required process monitors have been calibrated and that the ceptable?	Review process monitoring data.
Was the process	capacity documented?	Review stated process capacity.
Was the process	s operating within an appropriate range for the test program objective?	Compare process rate during test with proposed range.
Were process da	ata concurrent with testing?	Review process monitoring data.
Were data inclu	ded in the report for all parameters for which limits will be set?	Compare data collected to Title V permit requirements.
Is there an asses the data?	ssment of the validity, representativeness, achievement of DQO's and usability of Yes	Review the Tester's DQ assessment
and the measure	iscuss the representativeness of the facility operations, control device operation, ements of the target pollutants, and were any changes from published test cess and control device monitoring protocols identified?	Review the Tester's DQ assessment

Figure 90 - Completeness and Regulatory Review Quality Assessment Questions

The "*Regulatory Review*" screen contains a heading to identify the name, agency, email and phone number of the individual responsible for the review. A date for the review is also available and may be selected using the calendar (small red circle) pop up. Below the heading is a "*Note*" providing a short description of the function of the "*Completeness*" question rows (salmon shaded question cells) and use of the "*Answer*" and "*Comment*" areas. Below the "*Note*" are the "*Questions*" providing a guide for the reviewer. To the right of the "*Question*" is the "*Answer*" which is selected by a drop-down answer (Blank, N/A, Yes and No). None of the questions require a response since the reviewer may have higher priorities and thus limits the review to the most critical areas. In many instances, the reviewer may limit the review to the "*Emissions Results*" and a brief assessment of the ERT completeness responses, thus none of the questions will have a response. Even with a

complete review of the questions, many questions may not have a response since the questions may cover a test which was not required nor conducted. If an "*Answer*" is selected, the reviewer may provide a note in the "*Comment*" column to the right of the response justifying or clarifying the response. While the reviewer's observation may not be necessary for a blank, "N/A" or "Yes" response, it is expected that a justification and/or explanation would be provided for a negative response. There is no limit on the text length allowed in the "*Comment*" fields and you may adjust the width of the columns or the height of rows to allow you to see all the text in the cell.

ality Assessment Questions	Open Expanded
Completeness Regulatory Review	
ewer's Name:	Review Date:
latory Agency:	
ewer's Email:	
ewer's Phone:	
The answers and comments associated with the Completeness Questions (salmon cumnitation provided in the test report. Besponses made by the spplicable regulated tion field) will have precedence over automated responses made by the ERT in the test in the Project Data Set, only answer the questions and provide comments.	tory reviewer (rows with no shading of the Completeness assessment. Comments should Questions
Question	Answer      Comment     Click to Show ERT Data
Vere thermocouple calibrations within method criteria?	Review Thermocouples Calibrations
vas the pitot tube inspection acceptable?	Review Pitots Calibrations
Vere nozzle inspections acceptable?	Review Nozzles Calibrations
Vere flow meter calibrations acceptable?	Tal Test Plan
	Test Plan Title: Emissions Testing of Wood Chip Dryer 2 Test Plan Date: 1/2/2014 Open E
Vas the Method 1 sample point evaluation included in the report?	Padity/Tester         Permit/SCC         Locations/Methods         Regulations         Process/APCD         Methods cont.         Audit/Calbrations         Schedule         Reveivers         Attach.           Image: Strate in the strate
vas the Method 1 sample point evaluation included in the report?	
	B. Describe below or attach complete documentation of any non standard test method used. Describe all modifications and/or deviations from published methods. Attach dated documentation of ALL non verbal request AND approval for modifications and/or alternative methods requests. Instead of using the procedures prescribed in NC rule 25NC7725-3, we propose using a combination of Method 202 and Method 315 procedures. These include purping with Hirtogen and the use of Methodene Chindre as the
vere the appropriate number and location of sampling points used?	S. Describe below or attach complete documentation of any non standard test method used. Describe all modifications and/or deviations from published methods. Attach dated documentation of ALL non verbal request AID approval for modifications and/or alternative methods requests. Instead of using the procedures prescribed in NC rule 25NC7725-3, we propose using a combination of Method 202 and Method 315 procedures. These include purging with hitrogen and the use of Methelene Chindre as the extraom. In addumt, we propose to use acceine as a finish solvent following the Metheene Chindre mises as acceptable measurement sites? Please Bit below or attach the supporting I V es in to
vere the appropriate number and location of sampling points used?	<ul> <li>Instead of using the proceedings of the second secon</li></ul>

Figure 91 - QAQ's Show Data

By clicking in the "*Click to Show ERT Data*" cell beside the question the test report area(s) which provide most or all of the documentation supplied by the facility is opened as shown in Figure 91 - QAQ's Show Data. There are some questions which have documentation in multiple areas to fully document the parameter covered by the comment. For example, there may be attachments which provide additional detail which is not provided in another area. In some cases, two windows will open. In other cases, you may open multiple cascading windows by clicking on the "Attach File" button associated with the field associated with the original item. Figure 92 shows an example of cascading open windows. The original field in the "Quality Assessment Question" sheet that caused the first window to open is

circled in red. This larger circle points to the window which opened and the smaller red circle identifies the button used to open the "*Attached File*" window to show the files containing additional details. You can switch between the different windows while compiling or editing the text in the "*Comment*" field or deciding on the response. It is suggested that you have some area of every window which you are using visible when another window is above it to facilitate switching between windows. In some cases, when some areas of the ERT are opened, you will hear a "bell" when you try to switch to another window. In these cases, you will need to close the higher-level window to be able to open the other window.

								- 0
uality Assessment (	Questions		🗌 Ор	en Expanded				
Completeness Regula	atory Review							
iewer's Name:		Review Date:						
ulatory Agency:								
iewer's Email:								
iewer's Phone:								
ocumentation provided in th stion field) will have preced rovided to substantiate any	ts associated with the Completeness Questi he test report. Responses made by the applic lence over automated responses made by th determination of sufficiency for the individ y anwser the questions and provide commen	able regulatory reviewer (rows with no s e ERT in the Completeness assessment. Co ual questions. The regulatory reviewer n	hading of the omments should	Include Completeness Questions				
	Question	<ul> <li>Answi</li> </ul>	- Comment Cli	ck to Show ERT Dat	-			
s a description and drawi	ing of test location provided?		Att	est Plan Item 1 or tachment) - Review nensions and agram.	$\mathcal{D}$			
is there documentation t	hat the source or the test company sou		- L		_			-
	ublished test method prior to conducti							
ester's assertion that dev operations that are typica	viations were not required to obtain da al for the facility?	Test Plan Title> Emissions Te	sting of Wood Chip D	oryer 2	Test	Plan Date:*	1/2/2014 Oper	n Expande
Were all test method dev	viations acceptable?	Facility/Tester Permit/SCC Locations/M	lethods Regulations	Process/APCD   Method	s cont. Audit	/Calibrations Schedule	Reviewers Attac	h.
		1. Please enter sampling loc	ation information.	. (all dimensions in	inches)			
		(Required before test data ent	ry)			Add	Location Attai	ch File
		Location: ( click to view/edit)			Round Duc		luct Wid + Equivalen	
s a full description of the	e process and the unit being tested (inc	Inlet stack	Inlet Outlet	16 2	72	0	0 0.0	
provided?			outor				0.0	
_			-		7			
=8 A	Attachments			- = ×	Distance fro	n downstream disturbar	ice)	
las a detailed disc			Show All Attachmen		Contraction (Der	uired before test da	Add T	Target meters
perations and the	Attachm	onts	- 0		THE REAL PROPERTY OF	Test Run Duration 🗸	Comments	*
rovided?	Sampling Locations Dimensions and Poi		<b>U</b> (1)		3	64		
*	amping countons officersions and rol		0(0)		3	64		-
			0(0)		3	64		-
s there documenta he calibration is ad					3	64		-
ne calibration is ad					3	64		
					3	64		
			-		3	64		
					3	64		
					3	60		_
					3	60		_
		o add more attachment items, enter th					minninger (Cap say to - V	0.00
- d	double click on the "paper clip" symbol b	ottom row of the attachdesc column. T			n.		missions/Concentratio	ons
- d - s	double click on the "paper clip" symbol b select "add" to add a file	ottom row of the attachdesc column. T ps to reduce the PDF file size:			rrected % +	Add E Process Rate, Param		
- d - s	double click on the "paper clip" symbol b select "add" to add a file select "view" to view a file	ottom row of the attachdesc column. T ps to reduce the PDF file size: Create PDF directly from application,	hen add your attach		rrected % +			ons
- d - s	double click on the "paper clip" symbol b select "add" to add a file Ti select "view" to view a file -	ottom row of the attachdesc column. T ps to reduce the PDF file size: Create PDF directly from application, Attach individual components not compiled ma Use descriptive file names (i.e. M29-field-data	hen add your attach terial _11-11-11.pdf)		rrected % +			
- d - s	double click on the "paper clip" symbol b select "add" to add a file select "view" to view a file	ottom row of the attachdesc column. T ps to reduce the PDF file size: Create PDF directly from application, Attach individual components not compiled ma	hen add your attach terial _11-11-11.pdf)		rrected % +			ons

Figure 92 - Cascading Multiple Windows

#### **Emissions Results**

y: Environ Mental Concious Furniture Co. ted Source ID/Description: DR2	Drver 2					Open Exp	anded			
			_							
ect Compounds to view: Show All Compound	nds		-							
ge Emissions										
cable State and Federal Regulations for this Test	Report:									
Regulation	- -	Compound	-	Limit 🗸	Unit	*				-
40CFR63 Supbart XXX Mercury	Mer	cury		1	ng/dscm					
40CFR63 Subpart xxx	Arse	enic		0.25	lb / Tons of Anthrac	te Burned:				
pound Emissions:										
location	Co	mpound -	t	Unit of M	easure -	Run1 -	Run2 -	Run3 -	Run Average	
stack - Method 29	Lead		gr/dsc		easure •		1.07E-02		9.62E-03	
stack - Method 29	Lead			f@7%O2		1.56E-02	2.52E-02		2.00E-02	
stack - Method 29	Mangan	050	gr/dsc	_		8.63E-03	1.07E-02		9.62E-03	
stack - Method 29	Mangan		0.1	f@7%O2		1.56E-02		1.92E-03	2.00E-02	
stack - Method 29	Mangan		lb/hr	1077002				2.12E+00	2.47E+00	=
stack - Method 30B	Mercury		lb/hr			5.32E-02	1.35E-02	1.20E-02	2.62E-02	
stack - Method 30B	Mercury		gr/dsc	f		2.75E-03	6.55E-04	6.23E-04	1.34E-03	
Inlet - Method 30B	Mercury		lb/hr			2	0.000	0.202 04	#Error	
Inlet - Method 30B	Mercun		ug/dso	m					#Error	
	Mercury	,,	Eg/hr			2.41E+01	6.12E+00	5.45E+00	1.19E+01	
stack - Method 30B	Mercury		Eg/mi	1		3.82E-01			3.82E-01	
			0.		Cubic Feet of E		9.64E-04	1.00E-03	5.09E-03	
stack - Method 30B	-	/		/		1.14E+04		2.58E+03	5.84E+03	
stack - Method 30B stack - Method 30B 3 6	Mercury			m@7%O2						
stack - Method 30B stack - Method 30B 3 6 stack - Method 30B	-	/		:m@7%O2 :m		6.30E+03	1.50E+03	1.43E+03	3.08E+03	
stack - Method 30B stack - Method 30B 3 6 stack - Method 30B stack - Method 30B	Mercury	/	ug/dso ug/dso				1.50E+03	1.43E+03	3.08E+03 7.82E+02	
stack - Method 30B stack - Method 30B 3 6 stack - Method 30B stack - Method 30B stack - Method 30B	Mercury Mercury Mercury	/ / /	ug/dso ug/dso	cm cm@7%O2		6.30E+03 7.82E+02	1.50E+03			

Figure 93 - Test Report Review - Average Emissions

Upon receipt of a completed test report, you may access and review the data by selecting the appropriate project data set (see the <u>Selecting a Project Data Set</u> for more information on selecting a project data set) and clicking the "**Emissions Results**" button in the "**Regulatory Agency Review**" area of the "**ERT Main Menu**". The top part of the screen shows the applicable state and/or federal regulation for the test report as was entered in Item 2 of the "**Regulations Screen**" of the test plan section of the "**ERT Main Menu**". As shown in Figure 93 - Test Report Review - Average Emissions, the "**Emissions Results**" screen provides a quick method to directly compare the measured emissions with the regulatory requirements provided in the "**Setup/Test Plan**" area of the ERT. As is evident in the figure, there is a limited amount of detail associated with the test program and some additional review may be desirable for a proper assessment of the screen shows the emissions for each compound. Click on the record arrows in the bottom left of the screen to scroll through the compounds. The columns are as follows:

#### **Applicable State and Federal Regulations for this Test Report:**

Regulation:	The title of the regulation (auto-populated from information entered in the test plan).
Compound:	The analyte applicable in the regulation.
Limit:	The upper limit of the analyte concentration.

Unit: The unit of regulation measurement. **Compound Emissions:** Location: A unique sampling location name, such as inlet, stack, ESP inlet, scrubber outlet.etc and the Method used. Compound: The analyte collected and analyzed. Unit of Measure: The emission concentration or mass rate that is being calculated. Run 1: Emission results of the compound of interest in the unit of measure for Run 1. Run 2: Emission results of the compound of interest in the unit of measure for Run 2. Run 3: Emission results of the compound of interest in the unit of measure for Run 3. Run Average: Average mission results of the compound of interest in the unit of measure for Run 1, 2 and 3.

Alternatively, you may select the "**Run Data**" from the "**ERT - Main Menu**" then select the location and method to view from the "*Select Location – Method*" pick list. Accessing the test information at this level allows you to review and identify details about the individual data entered by the report preparer and or intermediate calculations or QA/QC indicators which are not available using the high level "*Emissions Results*" screen. For details on the contents of these more detailed screens, you should go to the appropriate location of this User Manual in either Chapter 4: Create Test Plan or Chapter 5: Test Data

#### **Comprehensive Regulatory Test Assessment**





In this area, the Regulatory Agency test reviewer can enter additional comments which are not provided in the "*Regulatory Assessment of Supporting Documentation*" or provide

other information which the Regulatory Authority wishes to make. Comments may be entered directly into the text box.

# Chapter 8: Printed Reports

In the "*Printed Reports*" section of the ERT "*Main Menu*," you have the option to print whichever section(s) of the test report you choose. You can view the report or table on screen, export the report or table to Microsoft Word, or create a .pdf of the report or table. Click on the "**Select Report/Data Table**" and a screen like Figure 95- Report Selection Menu will open. The type of reports includes:

- Test Plan
- Test Plan Review
- Full Test Report (excludes RATA's)
- Sampling Location Table
- Test Parameters Table
- Sampling/Stack Data Results Summary Table
- Sampling/Stack Data Results Summary Table
- Sampling/Stack Data Results Detail Table
- Emissions Summary Table
- Emissions Summary Table with Limits
- Process Run Data Table
- APCD Run Data Table
- Process Lab Run Data Table
- Attachments
- Completeness Questions
- 30B QA/QC (if Method 30B is entered into the file)
- Regulatory Review Questions
- Location PST (RATA results, if RATA entered into the file)

Another way to access the Relative Accuracy Results is to open the "Run Data" screen of the "CEMS Information and Run Data" tab, click on the "Relative Accuracy Results." (See *Performance Specification Data* for more information). The RATA results are not included when you print the "*Full Test Report*." As a result, you will need to add the printed RATA results to the "*Full Test Report*" pages.

		•
Test	Plan	
	Plan Review	
	Test Report (excludes RATA's)	
200000	oling Location Table	
	Parameters Table	
	oling/Stack Data Results Summary Table	
1000	oling/Stack Data Results Detail Table	
	sions Summary Table	
	sions Summary Table with Limits	
1007	ess Run Data Table	
	O Run Data Table	
	ess Lab Run Data Table chments	
2.00.000	pleteness Questions	
	nod 30B QA/QC	
meti		
	View Report/Table on Screen	
	Export Report/Table to Microsoft Word	j.

Figure 95- Report Selection Menu

#### **Test Plan**

		Test Plan			
	Emissions Testi	ng of Wood Chi	p Dryer 2		
		5/25/2009			
Facility In	formation:	Testing Con	фану:		
Enviror	Mental Concious Furniture Co.	Emissions F	actors & Policy Ap	plications Group	
666.6	6th St N Ave	OAQPS/E	MAD (C312-02)		
		┥ └───			
Boise			-	IC 27711	
	Enviro M. Concious	_	lonald E. Myers		
Phone:	(919) 666-2626	_	919) 541-5407		
Fax: Email:	(919) 666-6262		919) 541-1065		
Email:	enviro concious@enviroconcious.com	Emair	1yersron@epa.gov		
State ID:		Project Nu	mber:		
Industry I	NAICS: 30701415 AFS #:		FRS #	27562	
		d Source ID/Nam		21302	
NC666-1		Dryer 2	-		
		al Operation Pro	cess Rate: 1	arget Process T	est Rate
175 T ons	sper Hour 150 Tons	per Hour		125 Tons per Ho	r
SCC / Des		tion Boilers - Indus	trial - Anthracite Co	oal - Traveling G	rate
	(Overfeed) Stoker	r			
1 Whati	s the specific purpose for the proposed test	ting?			
	mine compliance with NSPS and State SIP e	-	8		
	1 CAM monitoring parameters as stated in Ti				
	state and federal regulations that apply to	the proposed test	ing:		
2. List all			d Lin	it U	nit
	Regulation Description	Compoun		10 U	
	Regulation Description c Test PTB	Arsenic	0.0	02 1b	(hr
Reg Des 3. Will th	c Text PTB e test results be used for other regulatory :	Arsenic purposes (e. g., emi	0.00	02 1b	der .
Reg Des 3. Will th perm	c Text PTB e test results be used for other regulatory it applications, etc.) beyond that stated ab	Arsenic purposes (e.g., emi ove? If yes, expla	0.00 ission inventories, in.		
Reg Des 3. Will th perm Results	c Text PTB e test results be used for other regulatory :	Arsenic purposes (e.g., emi ove? If yes, expla	0.00 ission inventories, in.		
Reg Des 3. Will th perm Results v Consolo	c Test FTB e test results be used for other regulatory it applications, etc.) bevond that stated ab will be used for establishing total PM (filteral	Aramic purposes (e.g., emi ore? If yes, expla ble and condensable	0.00 ission inventories, in.		
Reg Des 3. Will th perm Results <u>Consolo</u> 4a. Enter	c Test PTB e test results be used for other regulatory it applications, etc.) bevond that stated ab will be used for establishing total PM (filteral dated Emissions Reporting the process data to be documented during Process Parameter	Aramic purposes (e.g., emi ore? If yes, expla ble and condensable	0.00 ission inventories, in.		
Reg Des 3. Will th perm Results Consolo 4a. Enter Anthraci	c Test PTB e test results be used for other regulatory it applications, etc.) bevond that stated ab will be used for establishing total PM (filteral dated Emissions Reporting the process data to be documented during <u>Process Parameter</u> ite Ewned	Aronic purposes (e. g., emi ove? If ves, expla ble and condensable ; testing. Usin Tonu'hr	0.00 ission inventories, in. e) emissions as requ Target Value 0	aired by State for	
Reg Des 3. Will th perm Results : Consolo 4a. Enter Anthraci PTB Tes	c Test PTB e test results be used for other regulatory it applications, etc.) beyond that stated ab will be used for establishing total PM (filteral dated Enissions Reporting the process data to be documented during Process Panae eer is Bonned & Shoff	Aranic purposes (e. g., emi ore? If ves, expla ble and condensable g testing. Umins Tons hr dfkd	0.00 ission inventories, in. e) emissions as requ Target Value 0 90	aired by State for	
Reg Des 3. Will th perm Results : Consolo 4a. Enter Anthraci PTB Tes Oxygen	c Test PTB e test results be used for other regulatory ; it applications, etc. ) beyond that stated ab will be used for establishing total PM (filteral dated Enrissions Reporting the process Reporting Process Panaseer is Burned Shuff Concentration	Arianic purposes (e. g., emi ore? If yea, expla ble and condensable stesting. Units Tons'hr difid percent	0.00 ission inventories, in. e) emissions as requ Target Value 90 4	aired by State for	
Reg Des 3. Will th perm Results Consolo 4a. Enter Asthraci PTB Tes Crygen Casbos I	c Test PTB e test results be used for other regulatory it applications, etc.) bevond that stated ab will be used for establishing total PM (filteral dated Emissions Reporting the process data to be documented during <u>Process Parameter</u> to Burned a Stuff Commentation Monoxi de concentation	Arisecie purposes (e.g., emi ore? Ifves, expla- ble and condensable steating, Usins Tons hr dified percent ppm	0.00 ission inventories, in. a) emissions as requ D 90 4 250	aired by State for	
Reg Des 3. Will th perm Results ' Consolo 4a. Enter Anthraci PTF Tei Crysten Catho a 1 Dryer W	c Test PTB e test results be used for other regulatory it applications, etc.) bevond that stated ab will be used for establishing total PM (filteral dated Emissions Reporting the process data to be documented during <u>Process Parameter</u> its Burned & Shuff Concentration Monoxid & concentration lood F eed	Arastic purposes (e.g., emi ove? Ifves, expla ble and condensable testing, Usins Tonshr diftd parcent ppm Tonshr	0.00 ission inventories, in. e) entissions as requ 0 90 4 250 125	aired by State for	
Reg Des 3. Will th perm Results : Consolo 4a. Enter Anthraci PTE Tes Cuygen Cateo al Dryer W	c Test PTB e test results be used for other regulatory it applications, etc.) bevond that stated ab will be used for establishing total PM (filteral dated Emissions Reporting the process data to be documented during <u>Process Parameter</u> to Burned a Stuff Commentation Monoxi de concentation	Arisecie purposes (e.g., emi ore? Ifves, expla- ble and condensable steating, Usins Tons hr dified percent ppm	0.00 ission inventories, in. a) emissions as requ D 90 4 250	aired by State for	
Reg Des 3. Will th perm Results : Consolo 4a. Enter Asteraci PTE Ten Coygen Catons : Dyper Co	c Test PTB e test results be used for other regulatory ; it applications, etc.) beyond that stated ab will be used for establishing total PM (filteral dated Enrissions Reporting the process Parameter its Burned is Burned is Burned Concentration Monoxid & concentration lood Feed utlet Temperature	Arastic purposes (e.g., emi ove? Ifves, expla ble and condensable testing, Usins Tonshr diftd parcent ppm Tonshr	0.00 ission inventories, in. e) entissions as requ 0 90 4 250 125	aired by State for	<u> </u>

Figure 96 - Test Plan Report Print Preview Screen

The test plan preparer must enter the information in the screens of "*Facility/Tester*" (Figure 8 - Test Plan Facility/Tester Tab); "*Permit/SCC*" (Figure 9 - Test Plan Permit/SCC Tab); "*Locations/Methods*" (Figure 13 - Test Plan Location/Methods Tab); "*Regulations*" (Figure 21 - Test Plan Regulation Tab); "*Process/APCD*" (Figure 23 - Test Plan Process/APCD Tab); "*Methods continued*" (Figure 28 - Test Plan Methods cont. Tab); "*Audit/Calibrations*" (Figure 29 - Test Plan Audit/Calibrations Tab); "*Schedule*" (Figure 30 - Test Plan Screen Tab); "*Reviewers*" (Figure 31 - Test Plan Reviewers Tab); and "*Attachments*" (Figure 32 - Test Plan Attachments Tab). After these have been completed, several types of reports can be created. You begin by clicking the "Select Report/Data Table" button in the "*Printed Reports*" area of the "*ERT Main Menu*". You will then see a screen like is shown in Figure 95- Report Selection Menu - Report selection menu but without the drop-down list of report types. Clicking the  $\checkmark$  to the right of the white

rectangle, will open the report types, such as the one shown in Figure 96 - Test Plan Report Print Preview Screen.

Selecting "**Test Plan**" from the menu will activate the four buttons below the menu selection field. The four buttons are "**View Report/Table on Screen**," "**Export Report/Table to Microsoft Excel**," and "**Create PDF of Report/Table**." By selecting "**View Report/Table on Screen**" a "*Final Test Plan Report*" like **Figure 98** will be created and opened on your desktop screen. You can adjust the location and size of the window and thus view the produced report. You can also magnify the size of the report and scroll around the opened page. Since only one page at a time is visible, you can see other pages of the report by clicking on the arrows at the bottom left of the screen.

You can either press "*Ctrl+P*" to print the pages to any of your available printers or you can close the window and use one of the other three options. You can produce a Microsoft Word file which approximates the document generated to the desktop screen. While the Word file may be edited to improve the appearance or correct minor imperfections, the effort to produce a desired appearance may not justify the extra effort required. You may also produce a Microsoft Excel file. This file row is not formatted to produce the document previewed but separates the individual elements which are printed into different column and rows. Lastly, you may produce an Acrobat PDF file which can be emailed, or attached to the ERT as a time stamped file for documentary purposes.

#### **Test Plan Review**

Test Plan Review	Comments
rescriminationew	comments
Test Plan Section	Field ID
Facility Information	FacName
Comment	
name and email addres prior t	•
name and email addres prior t Also, the logitude does not ap	
name and email addres prior t Also, the logitude does not ap the emission test the correct l	to submitting the test report. pear to be correct. I think that the Logitude should be a negative number. Please verify during atitude and logitude for the centerline of the stack.
name and email addres prior t Also, the logitude does not ap the emission test the correct I <b>Test Plan Section</b>	io submitting the test report. pear to be correct. I think that the Logitude should be a negative number. Please verify during atitude and logitude for the centerline of the stack. Field ID
name and email addres prior t Also, the logitude does not ap the emission test the correct I <b>Test Plan Section</b> Source Information <b>Comment</b> While a targer processing rate	io submitting the test report. pear to be correct. I think that the Logitude should be a negative number. Please verify during atitude and logitude for the centerline of the stack. Field ID

Figure 97 - Test Plan Review Comments

Selecting "*Test Plan Review*" produces a printed report that looks like Figure 97 - Test Plan Review Comments. While this report may be mailed, emailed or attached to the ERT "*Project Data Set*," this information is included in the PDS for use by both the person reviewing the plan and the preparer. While the size of the PDS of a plan makes it amenable to send as an email attachment, some reviewers may wish to transmit their review by paper or as a separate electronic file. If the agency returned the test plan comments in the PDS, the tester can click on "**Test Plan Review**" in the "*Printed Reports*" area of the "*ERT Main Menu*". Click on the "**Test Plan Review**" button to view the agency's comments on the test plan. No fields are editable in the comments.

The "*Project Data Set Submittal History*" will show if the agency approved the test plan or requires more information if a submittal package is created. You can update the test plan based on the agency's comments, update the "*Submittal History*," and resubmit the Project Data Set.

#### Full Test Report (excludes RATA)

Selecting "*Full Test Report*" will produce a file comprised of the components of a typical complete test report. The report will have a cover page with signature blocks for the facility representative and the test company representative. Reports of the RATA results are not produced during the creation of the "*Full Test Report*" and must be generated separately. Attachments like RATA results are not included in the "*Full Test Report*." However, a list of attachments is provided in the report. If the regulatory authority requires the attachments, each attachment must be produced separately for inclusion in the file or printed report. Any changes made to the "*Test Plan*" sections to reflect as tested changes will be produced in the "*Full Test Report*." The full data set includes all the individual report components listed below the "*Full Test Report*." Details of the information in each of these components are described below.

#### **Sampling Location Table**

This table includes the information related to all sampling locations. This includes the location, round duct diameter, rectangular duct length, rectangular duct width, equivalent diameter, distance from upstream disturbance, distance from downstream disturbance, number of traverse ports and minimum traverse points. These values were provided in the test plan item 6.

#### **Test Parameters Table**

This table includes the full data set for the parameters of the test plan. This includes the location, target parameter, test method, number of test runs, test run duration, sample points and comments.

#### Sampling/Stack Data Results Summary Table

This report includes a summary of all location – methods run with calculated data with average. These include isokinetic and instrumental test data. The report includes the location-method; run numbers; test dates; run start and finish times; net run time (minutes); dry gas meter volume sampled (dscf); moisture content of stack gas (%); moisture saturation at stack gas temperature (%), or moisture (%); carbon dioxide (%); oxygen (%); average stack gas temperature (degrees F); dry volumetric flow rate (dry scfm); actual wet volumetric flue gas flow rate (acfm); percent isokinetic of sampling rate(%); F-Factor (dscfm/mmBtu @ %O<sub>2</sub>); fuel type; Fw; and Fc.

#### Sampling/Stack Data Results Detail Table

This report includes the details of all location – methods per run. The report includes: location- method; run number; test date; run start and finish time; net traversing points; net run time (minutes); nozzle diameter (inches); Pitot tube coefficient; dry gas meter calibration factor; barometric pressure (inches of mercury); average orifice meter differential (inches in water); dry gas meter volume sampled (cubic feet); average dry gas meter temperature (degree F); dry gas meter volume sampled (dscf); total moisture collected (g);volume of water vapor (standard cubic feet); moisture content of stack gas (%); moisture saturation at stack gas temperature (%); dry mole fraction; carbon dioxide (%); oxygen (%); carbon monoxide & nitrogen (%); fuel factor; dry molecular weight (lb/lb-mole); wet molecular weight (lb/lb-mole); flue gas static pressure (inches of water); Absolute flue gas pressure (inches of mercury); average stack gas temperature (degrees F); average velocity head (inches of water); average stack gas velocity (feet/second); stack cross-sectional area (squared feet); dry volumetric flow rate (dry scfm); actual wet volumetric flu gas flow rate (acfm); percent isokinetic of sampling rate (%); percent excess air (%); F-Factor (dscfm/mmBtu @  $\%O_2$ ); round duct diameter (inches); rectangular duct width (inches); rectangular duct length (inches); Fw; Fc.

#### **Emissions Summary Table**

This summary report includes all of the compound data for each run of a location-method. For each compound, the table provides for each run the run number, Mmass (mg); gr/dscf; gr/dscf @ 7%  $O_2$ ; and average of these.

#### **Emissions Summary Table with Limits**

This report is identical to the "*Emissions Summary Table*" with the addition of any regulatory emission limits which were provided in Item 4 "*Regulations*" of the "*Test Plan*."

#### **Process Run Data Table**

This data table contains all of the process run data. This includes the name; run number; value provided; UOM; target value; and any comments per run.

#### **APCD Run Data Table**

This data table contains the air pollution control device data. This includes the name of the control device; run number; value provided; UOM; target value; and any comments per run.

#### **Process Lab Run Data Table**

This data table contains the process parameters requiring lab analysis. This includes the name of the process parameter; run number; value provided; UOM; and any comments per run.

#### Attachments

This is a list of attachments contained in the ERT file.

#### **Completeness Questions**

This data table contains the list of the "Completeness Questions" describing those components of a manual test program and an instrumental test program. The ERT's assessment of the presence or absence of each component is also provided along with any comments provided by the report preparer concerning those components described in the question.

#### **Regulatory Review Questions**

This data table contains the list of "*Regulatory Review Questions*" which in addition to the "*Completeness Questions*" include supplementary detail questions about the presence and quality of components within those broad categories. The regulatory agency responses to the detail questions are provided as well as any comments justifying or describing the response.

#### **Relative Accuracy Results**

Due to the complexity and variations in Relative Accuracy Tests the reports cannot be produced with the current test report generator in the ERT. To produce a report of the *RATA* results, you should open the *Run Data* area, select the Performance Specification to be printed from the "*Select Location-Method*" area of the "*Test Data Details*", then produce the report by selecting "*Relative Accuracy Results*" at the bottom right of the screen. Once the report is shown on the screen, you can print the report to any printer available from your computers print menu. You may also depress the right mouse button then select "Export" and select one of the options. If available, selecting "PDF" replicates the report on the screen. Selecting "Word RTF file" produces a file which when imported into MS Word is usable but not easily edited to improved appearance.

The "*Relative Accuracy Results*" data table contains the reference test method results and the CEM results in the emissions concentration, rate or fuel energy units specified in the reference test method run data emissions and the CEM output, the arithmetic average of the runs performed, the individual run differences between the two measurement systems, the arithmetic averages of the differences, the standard deviations of the differences, the confidence coefficient of the differences, the relative accuracy calculated using the reference method and the relative accuracy calculated using the emissions standard.

#### Method 30B QA/QC

This data table includes the list of Method 30B runs that do not meet QA/QC specifications. The report includes the stack run number, the specifications, and acceptance criteria.

# Chapter 9: Administration Help/SystemReports

ERT Help / Administra	ERT Help / Administration Screen		
ERT Version:	Check for New ERT		
Release Date: 2/14/2016	Check Version on Startup		
ERT Version History	Web Links EPA ERT Home Page		
Field Result Calculations	Industry NAICS Search		
Emission/Concentration Calculations	FRS Search		
ERT User Guide	CAS Number Search Method Information		
ERT Methods and Target Parameters CDX Home Page			
ERT Data Dictionary	Audit Sample Info.		
ERT Support			
Theresa Lowe Paul Baker U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Amec Foster Wheeler Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards Sector Policies and Programs Division, Measurement Policy Group, D243-			
05 RTP NC 27711 Tel. 919.541.4786 Fax 919.541.1039			

Figure 98 - The ERT Help/Administration Screen

The administration area of the ERT includes a "Help/System (Sys) Reports" button. Clicking on the button will open the "*ERT Help / administration*" screen, as seen in Figure 98 - The ERT Help/Administration Screen. The ERT version and release date are at the top. The ERT support names and contact information is provided at the bottom. The two middle sections include buttons that provide more information or help, and websites for more information or help.

#### **Buttons:**

ERT Version History	The ERT Versions from oldest to most current with a listing of the descriptions of the updates.
Field Result Calculations	Broken down per test run tabs, a table of the field, field description, and the formula used to calculate the provided value.

Emission/Concentration Calculations	A table providing the formula to provide the calculated value of emission/concentration. The table provides the emission/concentration, and the formula used.
ERT User's Manual	If the file "uman.pdf" is available in the folder with the ERT; the" <i>Users Manual</i> " will be accessed for the user to read. If the file is not available, an alert reminds the user to download the user's manual from the ERT website.
ERT Methods and Target Parameters	Clicking on this tab brings up a table that lists all source test methods which the ERT is capable of documenting. The table identifies the methods by number with their associated description and the compound(s) associated with the test method

## ERT Data Dictionary

#### Web Links:

EPA ERT Home Page	The ERT home page within the CHIEF web pages.
	https://www.epa.gov/electronic-reporting-air-emissions/
	electronic-reporting-tool-ert
Industry NAICS Search	North American Industry Classification (NAICS) website.
•	http://www.census.gov/eos/www/naics/
FRS Search	Federal Registry System (FRS). https://www.epa.gov/enviro/frs-
	<u>query-page</u>
CAS Number Search	Chemical Name search to get the Chemical Abstract Service
	identifier associated with a gas or chemical.
	http://webbook.nist.gov/chemistry/name-ser.html
Method Information	The main page for the Emissions Measurement Center which
	provides information on test methods for measuring pollutants
	from stationary sources and other sources.
	https://www.epa.gov/emc/
CDX Home Page	Central Data Exchange website;
-	.https://cdx.epa.gov/epa_home.asp

# Appendix A: Calculations Calculations and Decision Criteria Determination for RATAs

The numerous, interrelated and complex calculations and decisions criteria for Performance Specifications 2, 3 and 4 for  $O_2$ , CO, NO<sub>x</sub> and SO<sub>x</sub> preclude a simple listing of all the equations and logic statement in a printed document format. To document the calculations used in the ERT for the Performance Specifications, an Excel Spreadsheet is attached to this page for users to download and examine to access the calculations, logic decisions and decision criteria. To examine or save the Excel Spreadsheet, open the attachments module of Adobe Acrobat. To open the module, click on the paper clip symbol of the left side of screen. The spreadsheet is named RATA\_Evaluation.xlsx. Click on the file and select the option desired (open in the native application or save attachment). This procedure may vary depending on the version of Acrobat that are using.

#### **ERT Emission/Concentration Calculations**

Units of Measure grains/dscf	Calculations and Conversion Formulas used in ERT Format(7000*[lb/dscf],'Scientific') AS [gr/dscf]
grains/dscf corrected O2	Format([gr/dscf]*(20.9-[CorrPerc])/(20.9-[PercO2]),'Scientific') AS [gr/dscf@[CorrPerc]%O2]
grains/dscf corrected CO2	Format([gr/dscf]*([CorrPerc])/([PercCO2]),'Scientific') AS [gr/dscf@[CorrPerc]%CO2]
grams/hr	Format(60*[Qsd]*[lb/dscf]*453.592,'Scientific') AS [g/hr]
grams/minute	Format([Qsd]*[lb/dscf]*453.592,'Scientific') AS [g/min]
grams/sec	Format([Qsd]*[lb/dscf]*453.592/60,'Scientific') AS [g/sec]
kg/day	Format(0.06*[Qsd]*[lb/dscf]*453.592*24,'Scientific') AS [kg/day]
kg/hr	Format(0.06*[Qsd]*[lb/dscf]*453.592,'Scientific') AS [kg/hr]
kg/year	Format(0.06*[Qsd]*[lb/dscf]*453.592*24*365,'Scientific') AS [kg/year]
lb/cf NG	[lb/dscf] AS [lb/dscfNG]
lb/day	Format(60*[Qsd]*[lb/dscf]*24,'Scientific') AS [lb/day]
lb/hr	Format(60*[Qsd]*[lb/dscf],'Scientific') AS [lb/hr]
lb/million BTU using CO <sub>2</sub>	Format([lb/dscf]*[Fc]*100/[PercCO2],'Scientific') AS [lb/mmBtuCO2]
lb/million BTU using O <sub>2</sub>	Format([lb/dscf]*[Fd]*20.9/(20.9-[PercO2]),'Scientific') AS [lb/mmBtuO2]
lb/minute	Format([Qsd]*[lb/dscf],'Scientific') AS [lb/min]

<u>Units of Measure</u> lb/trillion BTU using CO <sub>2</sub>	<u>Calculations and Conversion Formulas used in ERT</u> Format([lb/dscf]*[Fc]*10000000/[PercCO2],'Scientific') AS [lb/TBtuCO2]
lb/trillion BTU using O2	Format([lb/dscf]*[Fd]*1000000*20.9/(20.9- [PercO2]),'Scientific')AS[lb/TBtuO2]
lb/year	Format(60*8760[Qsd]*[lb/dscf],'Scientific') AS [lb/Year]
mg/dscm	Format([lb/dscf] * 453.592 * 35.32*10^3,'Scientific') AS [mg/dscm]
mg/dscm corrected O2	Format([mg/dscm]*(20.9-[CorrPerc])/(20.9-[PercO2]),'Scientific') AS [mg/dscm@[CorrPerc]%O2]
mg/dscm corrected CO2	Format([mg/dscm]*([CorrPerc])/([PercCO2]),'Scientific') AS [mg/dscm@[CorrPerc]%CO2]
mg/hr	Format(60*[Qsd]*[lb/dscf]*453.592*1000,'Scientific') AS [mg/hr]
ng/dscm	Format([lb/dscf] * 453.592 * 35.32*10^9,'Scientific') AS [ng/dscm]
ng/dscm corrected O2	Format([ng/dscm]*(20.9-[CorrPerc])/(20.9-[PercO2]),'Scientific') AS[ng/dscm@[CorrPerc]%O2]
ng/dscm corrected CO2	Format([ng/dscm]*([CorrPerc]/[PercCO2]),'Scientific') AS [ng/dscm@[CorrPerc]%CO2]
ng/hr	Format(60*[Qsd]*[lb/dscf]*453.592*1000*1000*1000,'Scientific') AS [ng/hr]
ng/joule using CO <sub>2</sub>	Format([lb/dscf]*[Fc]*429.922614182135*100/[PercCO2],'Scientific') AS[ng/jouleCO2]
ng/joule using O <sub>2</sub>	Format([lb/dscf]*[Fd]*429.922614182135*20.9/(20.9- [PercO2]),'Scientific') AS [ng/jouleO2]
percent(%)	format([lb/dscf] * 385.3 / [Fwt] * 10^2, 'Scientific') as [Percent(%)]
percent(%) corrected O2	Format([percent(%)]*(20.9-[CorrPerc])/(20.9-[PercO2]),'Scientific') AS [mg/dscm@[CorrPerc]%O2]
percent(%) corrected CO2	Format([percent(%)]*([CorrPerc])/([PercCO2]),'Scientific') AS [percent(%) @[CorrPerc]%CO2]
pg/dscm	Format([lb/dscf] * 453.592 * 35.32*10^12,'Scientific') AS [pg/dscm]
pg/dscm corrected O2	Format([pg/dscm]*(20.9-[CorrPerc])/(20.9-[PercO2]),'Scientific') AS[pg/dscm@[CorrPerc]%O2]
pg/dscm corrected CO2	Format([pg/dscm]*([CorrPerc]/[PercCO2]),'Scientific') AS [pg/dscm@[CorrPerc]%CO2]

<b>Units of Measure</b>	<b>Calculations and Conversion Formulas used in ERT</b>
Ppb	Format([lb/dscf] * 385.3 / [Fwt] * 10^9, 'Scientific') as [ppb]
ppb corrected O2	Format([ppb]*(20.9-[CorrPerc])/(20.9-[PercO2]),'Scientific') AS[ppb@[CorrPerc]%O2]
ppb corrected CO2	Format([ppb]*([CorrPerc]/[PercCO2]),'Scientific') AS [ppb@[CorrPerc]%CO2]
ppm	Format([lb/dscf] * 385.3 / [Fwt] * 10^6, 'Scientific') as [ppm]
ppm corrected O2	Format([ppm]*(20.9-[CorrPerc])/(20.9-[PercO2]),'Scientific') AS[ppm@[CorrPerc]%O2]
ppm corrected CO2	Format([ppm]*([CorrPerc]/[PercCO2]),'Scientific') AS [ppm@[CorrPerc]%CO2]
ppt	Format([lb/dscf] * 385.3 / [Fwt] * 10^12, 'Scientific') as [ppt]
ppt corrected O2	Format([ppt]*(20.9-[CorrPerc])/(20.9-[PercO2]),'Scientific') AS[ppt@[CorrPerc]%O2]
ppt corrected CO2	Format([ppt]*([CorrPerc]/[PercCO2]),'Scientific') AS [ppt@[CorrPerc]%CO2]
tons/day	Format(60*[Qsd]*[lb/dscf]*.0005*24,'Scientific') AS [tons/day]
tons/hr	Format(60*[Qsd]*[lb/dscf]*.0005,'Scientific') AS [tons/hr]
tons/year	Format(60*[Qsd]*[lb/dscf]*.0005*24*365,'Scientific') AS [tons/year]
ug/dscm	Format([lb/dscf] * 453.592 * 35.32*10^6, 'Scientific') AS [ug/dscm]
ug/dscm corrected O2	Format([ug/dscm]*(20.9-[CorrPerc])/(20.9-[PercO2]),'Scientific') AS[ug/dscm@[CorrPerc]%O2]
ug/dscm corrected CO2	Format([ug/dscm]*([CorrPerc]/[PercCO2]),'Scientific') AS [ug/dscm@[CorrPerc]%CO2]
ug/hr	Format(60*[Qsd]*[lb/dscf]*453.592*1000*1000,'Scientific') AS [ug/hr]
MMBtu/hr	IIf([fd]=0,'',CDbl((60*[Qsd]/[Fd])*((20.9-[PercO2])/20.9))))

#### Instrumental Test Method (ITM) Run Results

<u>Field ID</u>	Field Description	<u>Field</u> <u>Calculations</u>
CalPreZSysBias	Calibration Pre Zero Cylinder Bias	FormatNumber(100 * (Crv - Cv) / CS, 2);
		Crv =Instrument Response; Cv=Cylinder Response; CS=Span Response
CalPreHSysBias	Calibration Pre High Cylinder Bias	FormatNumber(100 * (Crv - Cv) / CS, 2);
		Crv =Instrument Response; Cv=Cylinder Response; CS=Span Response
Cgas	Cgas	CalcCgas = (Cavg - Co) * (Cma / (Cm - Co))
CalPostZSysB	Calibration Zero Post Response	FormatNumber(100 * (Crv - Cv) / CS, 2);
		Crv =Instrument Response; Cv=Cylinder Response; CS=Span Response
CalPostHSysB	Calibration High Post Response	FormatNumber(100 * (Crv - Cv) / CS, 2);
		Crv =Instrument Response; Cv=Cylinder Response; CS=Span Response
CalPostZDrift	Calibration Post Zero Cylinder Drift	Abs(Me.CalPostZSysBias - Me.CalPreZSysBias)
CalPostHDrift	Calibration Post High Cylinder Drift	Abs(Me.CalPostHSysBias - Me.CalPreHSysBias)
Cgasw	Cgasw	Me.Cgas = Me.Cgasw / (1 - (Me.MoisturePerc /100))

Method 30B S	Method 30B Sample Data				
Field ID	Field Description	ERT Field Calculations			
AM3	Section 1 Spike Recovery (Crec)A	SpikeRecovery([AM1],[AVT],[AMS], [BM1],[BVT], [Forms]![frmRunDataDetails Master]![subForm]![subfrmHdrData30B]! [MercuryMassUnits]) Function SpikeRecovery(M11,VT1, Ms, M12, VT2, MMUnits) As Variant If Nz(M11, 0) = 0 Or Val(Nz(Ms)) = 0 Or Val(Nz(VT1))= 0 Or Val(Nz(VT2)) = 0 Then SpikeRecovery = ""ElseSpikeRecovery = Abs(((M11/ VT1) - (M12 /VT2)) * MassScalar(MMUnits)) End If End Function			
BM3	Section 1 Spike Recovery (Crec)B	SpikeRecovery([BM1],[BVT],[BMS],[AM1],[AVT], [Forms]![frmRunDataDetails Master]![subForm]![subfrmHdrData30B]![MercuryMassUnits]) Function SpikeRecovery(M11, VT1, Ms, M12, VT2, MMUnits) As Variant If Nz(M11, 0) = 0 Or Val(Nz(Ms)) = 0 Or Val(Nz(VT1)) = 0 Or Val(Nz(VT2)) = 0 Then SpikeRecovery = "" ElseSpikeRecovery = Abs(((M11 / VT1) - (M12 / VT2)) *MassScalar(MMUnits)) End If End Function			
SRMA	Section 1 Spike Recovery Mass A	IIf(Nz([AM3],"")="","",ABS[AM3]*[AVT]/MassScalar([Forms]![frmRunDataDetails Master]![subForm]![subfrmHdrData30B]! [MercuryMassUnits]))			
SRMB	Section 1 Spike Recovery Mass B	IIf(Nz([BM3],"")="","",Abs([BM3]*[BVT])/MassScalar([Forms]![frmRunDataDetails Master]![subForm]![subfrmHdrData30B]! [MercuryMassUnits]))			
ASRP	Section 1 Recovery Percentage A	IIf(Nz([AM3],"")="","",Round(Abs (([SRMA]/[AMS])*100),3))			
BSRP	Section 1 Recovery Percentage B	IIf(Nz([BM3],"")="","",Round(Abs(([SRMB]/[BMS])*100),3))			
AB	Section 2 Breakthrough (%B)A	IIf(Nz([AM1])="" OrNz([AM2])="","",Round([AM2]/[AM1]*100,3))			
BBP	Section 2 Breakthrough (%B)B	IIf(Nz([BM1])="" OrNz([BM2])="","",Round([BM2]/[BM1]*100,3))			
ISRA	Initial Sampling Rate A	[Forms]![frmRunDataDetailsMaster]![subform]![subfrmLabData30B]![InitA]			
ISRB	Initial Sampling Rate B	[Forms]![frmRunDataDetailsMaster]![subForm]![subfrmLabData30B]![InitB]			
ASRA	Average Sampling Rate A	Forms]![frmRunDataDetailsMaster]![subForm]![subfrmLabData30B]! [AvgOfSampleRateA]			
ASRB	Average sampling rate B	[Forms]![frmRunDataDetailsMaster]![subForm]![subfrmLabData30B]! [AvgOfSampleRateB]			

Field ID	Field Description	ERT Field Calculations
MaxDevA	Maximum deviation from sampling rate A	[Forms]![frmRunDataDetailsMaster]![subForm]![subfrmLabData30B]![MaxDevA]
MaxDevB	Maximum deviation from sampling rateB	[Forms]![frmRunDataDetailsMaster]![subForm]![subfrmLabData30B]![MaxDevB]
AV	Total Sample Volume (Vt)A	[Forms]![frmRunDataDetailsMaster]![subForm]![subfrmLabData30B]![VtA]
BVT	Total Sample Volume (Vt)B	[Forms]![frmRunDataDetailsMaster]![subForm]![subfrmLabData30B]![VtB]
SampDev A	Spl Vol deviation from field recovery run A	Round(100*Abs([AVT][Forms]![frmRunDataDetailsMaster]![subForm]! [subfrmLabData30B]![AvgSpikeVolume])/[AVT],1)
SampDevB	Spl Vol deviation from field recovery run B	Round(100*Abs([BVT]- [Forms]![frmRunDataDetailsMaster]![subForm]! [subfrmLabData30B]![AvgSpikeVolume])/[BVT],1)
SMCA	Sample Mercury Mass Collected A	[AM1]-[AMS]+[AM2]
SMCB	Sample Mercury Mass Collected B	[BM1]-[BMS]+[BM2]
CdA	Sample Mercury Concentration (Cd)A	HGConcentration2([AM1],[AM2],[AMS],[AVT],[Forms]! [frmRunDataDetailsMaster]![subForm]![subfrmHdrData30B]! [MercuryMassUnits]) Function HGConcentration2(M1, M2, Ms, VT, MMUnits) As Single If Nz(M1, 0) = 0 Or Nz(VT, 0) =0 Then HGConcentration2 = 0 Else HGConcentration2 = (Nz(M1, 0) + Nz(M2, 0) - Val(Nz(Ms, 0))) / VT * MassScalar(MMUnits) End If End Function
CdB	Sample Mercury Concentration (Cd)B	HGConcentration2([BM1],[BM2],[BMS],[BVT],[Forms]! [frmRunDataDetailsMaster]![subForm]![subfrmHdrData30B]![MercuryMassUnits]) Function HGConcentration2(M1, M2, Ms, VT,MMUnits) As Single If Nz(M1, 0) = 0 Or Nz(VT,0) = 0 Then HGConcentration2 = 0 Else HGConcentration2 = (Nz(M1, 0) + Nz(M2, 0) - Val(Nz(Ms, 0))) / VT * MassScalar(MMUnits) End If End Function
CwA	Sample Mercury Concentration (Cw)A	Round([CdA]*(1-([Forms]![frmRunDataDetailsMaster]![subForm]! [subfrmCalcData30B].[Form]![lowH20]/100)),3)
CwB	Sample Mercury Concentration (Cw)B	Round([CdB]*(1- ([Forms]![frmRunDataDetailsMaster]![subForm]! [subfrmCalcData30B].[Form]![lowH20]/100)),3)
DryAMC	Test Run Mercury Concentration	IIf(Nz([CdA])="" Or Nz([CdB])="","",Round((([CdA]+[CdB])/2),3))

WetAMC	Test Run Mercury Concentration Wet	IIf(Nz([CwA])=""OrNz([CwB])="","", Round((([CwA]+[CwB])/2),3))
RD	Paired trap agreement relative deviation	IIf(Nz([CdA])="" Or [CdB])/([CdA]+[CdB]))*100,4)))
RATA Results Field ID Fiel	d Description	Field Calculations
raPPM Rela	ative Accuracy using the Reference Method	(Abs([avgPPMdif])+Abs([ccPPMdif]))/ [avgRefPPM]*100
rasPPM Rela	ative Accuracy using the Standard	(Abs([avgPPMdif])+Abs([ccPPMdif]))/[PPMvStandard]*100
ra5PPM Relati	ve Accuracy using 5ppmv absolute	differenceIIf([PPMvStandard]<200, Abs([avgPPMdif])+[ccPPMdif],")
Sample Train I Field ID NetRunTime	Parameters Field Description Net Run Time, minutes	<b>Field Calculations</b> Max([EndTime])
NetTravPts	Net Traversing Points	Sum(IIf(Len(Trim([velocity]))>0,1,0))
NetTravPtsA	Net Traversing Points-Sample A	Sum(IIf(Len(Trim([StackTempA]))>0,1,0))
NetTravPtsB	Net Traversing Points-Sample B	Sum(IIf(Len(Trim([StackTempB]))>0,1,0))
Dn	Nozzle Diameter, inches	Min([DnHDR])
Ср	Pitot Tube Coefficient	Min([CpHDR])
СрА	Pitot Tube Coefficient – Sample A	Min([CpHDRA])
СрВ	Pitot Tube Coefficient – Sample B	Min([CpHDRB])
Y	Dry Gas Meter Calibration Factor	[YHDR]
YA	Dry Gas Meter Calibration Factor A	[YHDRA]
YB	Dry Gas Meter Calibration Factor B	[YHDRB]
Pb	Barometric Pressure, inches of Hg	Min([PbHDR])
DeltaH	Average Orifice Meter Differential, inches	of water Avg([OrificePresActual])

Field II Del	<b>D</b> eltaHA	<b>Field Description</b> Average Orifice Meter A Differential, inches of H <sub>2</sub> O	<b>Field Calculations</b> IIf([NetTravPtsA]>0,[OrificePresActualA]/[NetTravPtsA],0)
Del	eltaHB	Average Orifice Meter B Differential, inches of H <sub>2</sub> O	IIf([NetTravPtsB]>0,[OrificePresActualB]/[NetTravPtsB],0)
Vm	n	Dry Gas Meter Volume Sampled, cubic feet	Format(Max([gasmeter])-Min([gasmeter])+Min([InitDGM]) Min([FinalDGM]),"#.000")
Vm	mA	Dry Gas Meter Volume Sampled, cubic feet – Sample A	([MaxGasMeterA]-[MinGasMeterA])*35.315
Vm	nB	Dry Gas Meter Volume Sampled, cubic feet – Sample B	([MaxGasMeterB]-[MinGasMeterB])*35.315
tm		Average Dry Gas meter Temperature, °F	((Avg(nz(([DryGasInlet],0))+Avg(nz([DryGasOutlet],0)))/2,"#.00"
tm	А	Average Dry Gas meter Temperature, °F - Sample A	Avg([DryGasA])
tml	В	Average Dry Gas meter Temperature, °F - Sample B	Avg([DryGasB])
Vm	nstd	Dry Gas Meter Volume Sampled, dscf	IIf([vm]>0,FormatNumber([Vm]*[Y]*((Min([tstdhdr])+460)/ Min([pstdhdr])) dscf *(([pb]+([deltah]/13.6))/([tm]+460)),3),0)
Vm	nstdA	Dry Gas Meter Volume Sampled, dscf - Sample A	[VmA]*[YA]*(([tstd]+460)/[pstd])*(([pbA]+([deltaha]/13.6))/([tmA]+460))
Vm	nstdB	Dry Gas Meter Volume Sampled, dscf - Sample B	[VmB]*[YB]*(([tstd]+460)/[pstd])*(([pbB]+([deltahb]/13.6))/([tmB]+460))
Vlc	c	Total Moisture Liquid Collected, g	Min([vlc])
Vlc	cA	Total Moisture Liquid Collected, g – Sample A	VlcComA
Vlc	cB	Total Moisture Liquid Collected, g – Sample B	VlcComB
Per	rcI	Percent Isokinetic of Sampling Rate, %	Iif(len([vs])>0,FormatNumber((144*100*Min([PstdHDR])*(460+[ts]) *[Vmstd])/((60*3.14159265358979/4)*(460+Min([tstdHDR]))*[Ps]* [Vs]*[Mfd]*[NetRu nTime]*([Dn]^2)) 1 -1) "")

[Vs]\*[Mfd]\* [NetRu nTime]\*([Dn]^2)),1,-1),"")

Stack Gas Field ID PercH2O	Field Description Moisture Content of Stack Gas, %	Field Calculations IIf(Val([vmstd])+Val([vwstd])>0,FormatNumber((100*Val ([Vwstd]))/(Val([Vws td])+Val([Vmstd])),2,-1),0)
PercH2Osat	Moisture Saturation at Stack Gas Temperature,	PercH20sat: IIf(Val([ps])>0,FormatNumber(IIf([ts]<213,(10^(6.6911-(3144/([ts]+390.86))))*100/[Ps],100),2),0)
Mfd	Dry Mole Fraction	1-(IIf(Val([PercH20])>Val([PercH20sat]),[PercH20sat],[PercH20])/100)
PercCO2	Carbon Dioxide, %	Min(Nz([PercCO2HDR],0))
PercO2	Oxygen, %	Min(Nz([PercO2HDR],0))
PercCOplus N2	2 Carbon Monoxide & Nitrogen, %	100-[PercO2]-[PercCO2]
Fo	Fuel Factor	FormatNumber(IIf([percco2]>0,(20.9-[PercO2])/[PercCO2],0),2,-1)
Md	Dry Molecular Weight, lb/lb-Mole	Format((0.44*[PercC02])+(0.32*[Perc02])+(0.28*(100-[PercC02]- [Perc02])),"#.00")
Ms	Wet Molecular Weight, lb/lb-mole	Format(([Md]*[Mfd])+18*((IIf(Val([PercH20])>Val([PercH20sat]), [PercH20sat],[PercH20]))/100),"#.00")
Pg	Flue Gas Static Pressure, inches of water	Min([PgHDR])
Ps	Absolute Flue Gas Pressure, inches of water	Format([Pb]+([Pg]/13.6,"#.00")
Vwstd	Volume of Water Vapor, standard cubic feet	FormatNumber([Vlc]*((460+Min([tstdHDR]))*21.85*0.002201)/ (Min([PstdHDR])*18.0),2)
VwstdA	Volume of Water Vapor, standard cubic feet Sample A	FormatNumber([VlcA]*((460+Min([tstd]))*21.85*0.002201)/ ([Pstd])*18.0),2)
VwstdB	Volume of Water Vapor, standard cubic feet Sample B	FormatNumber([VlcB]*((460+Min([tstd]))*21.85*0.002201)/ ([Pstd])*18.0),2)
ts	Average Stack Gas Temperature, °F	Avg([stacktemp])
tsA	Average Stack Gas Temperature, °F Sample A	Nz([tsHDR],0)

<b>Field ID</b> tsB	<b>Field Description</b> Average Stack Gas Temperature, °F Sample B	<b>Field Calculations</b> Nz([tsHDR],0)
DeltaPavg	Average Velocity Head, inches of water	Avg([velocity]^0.5)^2
Vs	Average Stack Gas Velocity, feet/second	IIf(Val([ps])*Val([Ms])>0,FormatNumber(85.49*[Cp]*((460+[ts])* [DeltaPavg]/([Ps]*[Ms]))^0.5,2,-1),"")
Dstk	Round Duct Diameter, inches	Min([DuctDiam])
Dwdth	Rectangular Duct Width, inches	Min([DuctWidth])
Dlngth	Rectangular Duct Length, inches	Min([DuctLength])
As	Stack Cross-Sectional Area, square feet	FormatNumber(IIf([dwdth]>0,[dwdth]*dlength,3.14159* min([DuctDiam])^2/4/144,3,-1)
Qsd	Dry Volumetric Flow Rate, dry scfm	IIf(len([vs])>0,FormatNumber((60*[Mfd]*(Min([tstdHDR])+460)*[Ps]* [Vs]*As])/(([ts]+460)*Min([PstdHDR])),1),"")
Qaw	Actual Wet Volumetric Flue Gas Flow Rate, acfm	IIf(len([vs])>0,FormatNumber(60*[Vs]*[As],1),"")

#### Appendix B: Methods

CARB Method 428 - Dioxin, Furan, PCB CARB Method 429 - Polycyclic Organic Matter (19 Compounds) CTM - 027 - Procedure for Collection and Analysis of Ammonia in Stationary Sources Custom - Select to enter custom method Method 0010 - Modified Method 5 Sampling Train Method 0011 - Sampling for Selected Aldehyde and Ketone Emissions from Stationary Sources Method 0023A - Sampling Method for Polychlorinated Dibenzo-p-Dioxins and Polychlorinated Dibenzofuran Emissions from Stationary Sources Method 0061 - Determination of Hexavalent Chromium Emissions from Stationary Sources Method 1 - 4 - Flowrate / Moisture Method 10 - Carbon Monoxide-NDIR. Method 101 - Mercury from Chlor-Alkali Plants (Air) Method 101A - Mercury from Sewage Sludge Incinerators Method 102 - Mercury from Chlor-Alkali Plants (Hydrogen Streams) Method 103 - Beryllium Screening Method Method 104 - Beryllium Emissions Determination Method 108 -Particulate & Gaseous Arsenic emissions Method 12 - Inorganic Lead Method 13A - Total Fluoride (SPADNS Zirconium Lake) Method 13B - Total Fluoride (Specific Ion Electrode) Method 17 - In-Stack Particulate (PM) Method 17/202 - Combination of Methods 17 and 202 Method 201A - Filterable PM10/PM2.5 (In stack CRS) Method 201A/202 - Total PM10/PM2.5 (filterable and condensable) Method 202 - Condensable Particulate Matter Method 23 - Dioxin and Furan (02/91 FR Copy). Method 25A - Gaseous Organic Concentration (Flame Ionization) Method 25B - Gaseous Organic Concentration (Infrared Analyzer) Method 26 - Hydrogen Chloride, Halides, Halogens Method 26A - Hydrogen Halide & Halogen-Isokinetic Method 29 - Metals Emissions from Stationary Sources Method 306 - Chromium Emissions Electroplating/Anodizing Method 306A - Chromium Emissions Electroplatin/Anodizing(Mason Jar Method) Method 308 - Methanol Emissions (Appeared in Federal Register 11/14/97). Method 30B - Method 30B Method 315 - PM and MCEM from Aluminum Production Facilities Method 316 - Sample & Analysis for Formaldehyde emissions in the Mineral Wool & Wool Fiberglass Industries.

Method 3A CO2 - CO2 - Instrumental Method 3A O2 - O2 - Instrumental Method 5 - Particulate Matter(PM) Method 5/202 - Combination of Methods 5 and 2 Method 5@320F - Filterable Particulate (filter temperature of 320F) Method 5@320F/202 - Combination of Methods 5 @320F and 202 Method 5A - PM Asphalt Roofing (Particulate Matter) Method 5B - PM Nonsulfuric Acid (Particulate Matter) Method 5F - PM Fluid Catalytic Cracking Unit Method 6C - SO2 - Instrumental. Method 7E - NOx - Instrumental. Method 8 - Sulfuric Acid Mist Mod. Method 26A Subpart S - Determination of Chlorine and Chlorine Dioxide OTM - 29 - Sampling and Analysis for Hydrogen Cyanide Emissions from Stationary Sources OTM - 46 - Revisions to Method 23 PST CO to PS4 - Performance Specification 4 for Carbon Monoxide PST CO2 to PS3 - Performance Specification 3 for Carbon Dioxide PST NOx to PS2 - Performance Specification 2 for Nitrogen Oxides PST O2 to PS3 - Performance Specification 3 for Oxygen PST SO2 to PS2 - Performance Specification 2 for Sulfur Dioxide PST Hg to PS12B – Performance Specification 12B for Mercury sorbent traps PST VOC to PS8 - Performance Specification 8 for VOC CEMS

#### Appendix C: Frequently Asked Questions

FAQs are posted at: https://www.epa.gov/electronic-reporting-air-emissions/electronic- reporting-tool-ert-tips-and-frequently-asked-questions

1. How can I get the ERT to run on my computer?

Verify you have a version of Microsoft Access® that will run the ERT.

If you have Microsoft Access version 2007:

Verify that you have at least Service Pack 2 installed.

Open Microsoft Access, click on the MS circle in the upper left corner of the Access window, Click on "Access Options" at the bottom of the window, Click on "Resources" in the left column. At the bottom of the window just below the text "about Microsoft Office Access 2007" the software (Microsoft Office Access 2007) and the Service Pack level is identified.

If Service Pack 2 is installed, the text "SP2 MSO" will be between two sets of numbers that are in parentheses.

If you do not have Service Pack 2 installed, click on "Check for Updates" and follow the directions to install the updates from Microsoft. Many corporate computers do not allow users to install software and you will need to contact your Information Technology Center for them to update your software.

If you have Microsoft Access version 2010:

Any Service Pack level is acceptable in order to run the ERT Application. If you do NOT have Microsoft Access or have an earlier version than 2007:

You will need to download and install the runtime version of Microsoft Access see Downloading and Installing the ERT in this manual.

After installing the runtime version of Microsoft Access, download the ERT ZIP file to your hard drive and extract the manual and the database to a folder. Open the program with MS Access.

2. Is there a way I can stop the ERT security warnings?

If you have Microsoft Access 2007, to avoid these warnings every time you run ERT, make the ERT directory and all subdirectories "Trusted Locations".

To make the ERT directory a Trusted location, close the ERT application, open Microsoft Access, Click on the MS circle in the upper left corner of the Access window,

Click on "Access Options" at the bottom of the window, Click on "Trust Center" in the left column, Click on "Trust Center Settings", Click on Trusted Locations", Click on "Add new location". Browse for the location or directory where you saved the ERT application (the file ERT4.accdb). Select this location and click on the box to the left of "Subfolders of this location are also trusted" to enable these locations.

Click on "OK". Verify that the Path that you selected is one of the trusted locations. Click "OK" to close the Trust Center window then the Access Options window. Close Access. Reopen the ERT application.

3. Are the ERT calculations correct for the Volume of Water Vapor Collected and for the Dry Gas Meter Volume? I get a different value when I use the EPA Method 5 calculations for those parameters.

Neither the ERT nor your calculations are incorrect.

In <u>EPA Method 5</u>, options are available in the equations for calculating the volume of gas sample measured by the dry gas meter, corrected to standard conditions  $(V_{m(std)})$  and the equation for calculating the volume of water vapor in the gas sample, corrected to standard conditions  $(V_{w(std)})$ .

In both instances, there are two equations presented in Method 5 for each calculation. The first equation presented in Section 12.3 and 12.4 are ones which present the variables associated with the sampling equipment and test conditions and constants for standard conditions and gas characteristics. The values for these constants are presented in Section 12.1 but are rounded to four significant figures. K1 = 17.64 R/in Hg for English units. The ERT does not round and uses the standard conditions of 68 F and 29.92 in Hg. This will cause a calculation difference.

The second equation presented in these sections of Method 5 use a single calculated value (K1 and K2) replacing all these constants. The calculation uses four to five significant digits and then rounds the result to four significant figures. When K1 and K2 are calculated with all the constants rounded to four significant figures and the resulting value rounded to four significant figures, the result is a different value than the K1 and K2 presented in Method 5.

The ERT calculations use the procedures used to generate the second equations. Since the ERT allows for different standard temperatures and pressures to accommodate State and local agency rules, the values used are the values used to calculate the K1 and K2 in the method. Prior to rounding, these values may differ at the third to fifth significant digit. But when the policy to round the final results to two significant digits is followed there is no difference. For emissions limits expressed to three significant digits, the values using the published K1 and K2 do not introduce calculation errors due to multiple rounding.

4. How do I submit my files electronically to EPA?

You must first register with the CDX. See the CDX webpage for registration instructions.

Only files generated by the ERT program can be submitted electronically to EPA via the CDX. Detailed instructions for uploading ERT files are included in the <u>CDX/CEDRI Guide</u> and on the CEDRI submission webpage.

The ERT Submission File will be in the format of a Zip file. This Zip file contains two files: one Zip and one XML file. Your file must be in the proper format for a successful submittal. Please do not change the file name that was generated by the ERT software.

How do I submit my files to EPA if I can't use ERT files? (For instance, the test data includes 5. methods not supported by the ERT).

Only data collected using the test methods listed on the <u>ERT website</u> (<u>http://www.epa.gov/ttn/chief/ert/ert\_info.pdf</u>) should be submitted electronically to WebFIRE. If you have used non ERT supported test methods, data should be submitted as described by your state/local agency.

6. How do I submit my ERT files to EPA if the data includes confidential business information (CBI)?

Sources who claim that some of the information being submitted in their performance tests is confidential business information (CBI) must mail a completed ERT file including the CBI on a compact disk or other commonly used electronic storage media clearly marked as CBI to U.S. EPA/OAPQS/CORE CBI Office, Attention: WebFIRE Administrator, MD C404-02, 4930 Old Page Rd., Durham, NC 27703. In addition, the same ERT file with the CBI omitted must be submitted to EPA via CDX.

My CDX registration has been approved, and my ERT files are in the correct format. I keepgetting the message that my submission to CEDRI was not successful. Why is this?

Recently the CDX Help Desk verified that this is happening because of the java cache on the user's desktop. Complete instructions for clearing the cache can be found on page 2 of <u>the CDX\CEDRI user</u> <u>Guide</u>. (PDF, 81pp4M).

I am already registered to submit TRI-ME (or TSCA, RCRA, CEDRI etc.) data through EPA's
CDX. Can I extend my authorization to other data systems?

At present, three CDX data flows support Electronic Signature Agreement (ESA) reuse functionality: CEDRI, TSCA, and TRI. CEDRI users can re-use TSCA's ESAs – this is already in place and doesn't require any changes on the CDX side. A TSCA user can simply add a CEDRI dataflow to their dataflow list and electronically sign their ESA without a need to go through LexisNexis or paper validation process.

However, CEDRI users cannot reuse TRI's ESAs, because TRI's identity proofing threshold is set at a lower level than that of CEDRI's. CEDRI requires a wet ink signature and a phone call, whereas TRI only requires a wet ink signature. Due to these slightly more stringent requirements, CEDRI users cannot re-use TRI's ESAs.

eGGRT dataflow doesn't currently support ESA reuse, so eGGRT users that register for CEDRI will have to go through standard identity proofing process prior to getting access.

#### Appendix D: SCC Tree

102xxxxElectric GenerationAnthractic Coll, PulverizedICHAnthractic CollAnthractic CollICHICHBituminous Coll, PulverizedICHICHBituminous Coll, PulverizedICHICHSubbituminous Coll, PulverizedICHICHSubbituminous CollICHICHSubbituminous CollICHICHSubbituminous CollICHICHICHICHICHSubbituminous CollICH	SCC	scc1_desc	scc3_desc	scc6_desc
Image: Section of the section of t	101XXXXX	External Combustion Boilers	Electric Generation	Anthracite Coal, Pulverized
InterfaceInterfaceInterfaceInterfaceInterfaceInterfaceInterfaceSublitminos Coal PubricedInterfaceInterfaceSublitminos CoalInterface				Anthracite Coal
Image: Subbit minous Coal, Pulverized       Image: Subbit minous Coal       Image: Subbit minou				Bituminous Coal, Pulverized
International CoolSubbluminous CoolInternational CoolPulverized LigniteInternational CoolPulverized LigniteInternational CoolResidual Oil - Grade 6International CoolResidual Oil - Grade 6International CoolDestilate Oil - Grade 5 and 2International CoolDestilate Oil - Grade 4International CoolPetroleum CokeInternational CoolWood/Bark WasteInternational CoolWood/Bark WasteInternational CoolModelInternational CoolBagaseInternational CoolB				Bituminous Coal
Image: style is a				Subbituminous Coal, Pulverized
Image: set of the				Subbituminous Coal
Image: style im				Pulverized Lignite
Image: set of the				Lignite
Image: state in the state in				Residual Oil - Grade 6
Image: style s				Residual Oil
IndexNatural GasIndexIndexPetroleum Refinery GasIndexIndexPetroleum CodeIndexIndexWood/Bark WasteIndex <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>Distillate Oil - Grades 1 and 2</td></td<>				Distillate Oil - Grades 1 and 2
Image: series of the series				Distillate Oil - Grade 4
IndexPetroleum CokeIndexIndexWood/Bark WasteIndexIndexWood/Bark WasteIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexSolid WasteIndexIndexSolid WasteIndexIndexBiomass SolidsIndexIndexBiomass SolidsIndexIndexBiomass SolidsIndexIndexBiomass UquidsIndexIndexBiomass UquidsIndexIndexBiomass UquidsIndexIndexBiomass UquidsIndexIndexBiomass UquidsIndexIndexBiomass UquidsIndexIndexBiomass UquidsIndexIndexBiomass UquidsIndexIndexBiomass UquidsIndexIndexBiomass UquidsIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexMathanelIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexSubbitminous CoalIndex </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Natural Gas</td>				Natural Gas
Image: series of the series				Petroleum Refinery Gas
Image: set of the				Petroleum Coke
IndexIndexBagasseIndexIndexSolid WasteIndexIndexBiomass SolidsIndexIndexBiomass SolidsIndexIndexBiomass SolidsIndexIndexBiomass Liquid WasteIndexIndexBiomass LiquidsIndexIndexBiomass LiquidsIndexIndexBiomass LiquidsIndexIndexMethanolIndexIndexMethanolIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexCoal-based SynfuelIndexIndexOther OlaIndexIndustrialAnthracte CoalIndexIndustrialIndustrialIndexIndustrialSubbituminous CoalIndexIndustrialIndustrialIndexIndustrialIndustrialIndexIndustrialIndus				Wood/Bark Waste
IndextSolid WasteIndextIndextBiomass SolidsIndextIndextIndextIndextIndextBiomass LiquidsIndextIndextBiomass LiquidsIndextIndextGeothermal Power PlantsIndextIndextIndextIndextIndextGeothermal Power PlantsIndextIndextGeothermal Power PlantsIndextIndextIndextIndextIndextIndextIndextIndextGeothermal Power PlantsIndextIndextIndextIndextIndextIndextIndextIndextGeothermal Power PlantsIndextIndextIndextIndextIndextIndextIndextIndextGeothermal Power PlantsIndext<				Liquified Petroleum Gas (LPG)
Image: series of the series				Bagasse
Image: series of the series				Solid Waste
Image: Section of the section of th				Biomass Solids
Image: series of the series				Liquid Waste
Image: series of the series				Biomass Liquids
Image: series of the series				Geothermal Power Plants
Image: section of the section of th				Methanol
IndustrialWaste Coal102XXXXIndustrialOther Oil102XXXXIndustrialAnthracite Coal102XXXXIndustrialBituminous Coal1000IndustrialSubbituminous Coal1000IndustrialIndustrial1000IndustrialSubbituminous Coal1000IndustrialProcess Gas1000IndustrialSubbituminous Coal1000IndustrialSubbituminous Coal1000IndustrialSubbituminous Coal1000IndustrialSubbituminous Coal1000IndustrialSubbituminous Coal1000Industrial				Hydrogen
IndustrialOther Oil102XXXXXIndustrialAnthracite Coal102XXXXXIndustrialBituminous CoalIndustrialSubbituminous CoalIndustrialIndus				Coal-based Synfuel
102XXXXIndustrialAnthracite Coal102XXXXXIndustrialBituminous Coal1000000000000000000000000000000000000				Waste Coal
Image: second				Other Oil
Image: state in the state in	102XXXXX		Industrial	Anthracite Coal
Image: select				Bituminous Coal
Image: section of the section of th				Subbituminous Coal
Image: select				Lignite
Image: state stat				Residual Oil
Image: sector				Distillate Oil - Grades 1 and 2
Image: select				Natural Gas
Image: Model with the second secon				Process Gas
Liquified Petroleum Gas (LPG)				Petroleum Coke
				Wood/Bark Waste
Bagasse				Liquified Petroleum Gas (LPG)
				Bagasse

SCC	scc1_desc	scc3_desc	scc6_desc
102xxxxx	External Combustion Boilers	Industrial	Solid Waste
			Liquid Waste
			Tire-derived Fuel
			Methanol
			Gasoline
			Kiln-dried biomass
			Wood Residuals
103XXXXX		Commercial/Institutional	Anthracite Coal
103/////			Bituminous Coal
			Subbituminous Coal
			Lignite
			Residual Oil - Grade 6
			Residual Oil
			Distillate Oil - Grades 1 and 2
			Distillate Oil - Grades 1 and 2 Distillate Oil
			Natural Gas
			Process Gas
			Landfill Gas
			Wood/Bark Waste
			Liquified Petroleum Gas (LPG)
			Biomass
			Solid Waste
			Liquid Waste
105XXXXX	External Combustion	Space Heaters	Industrial
			Commercial/Institutional
201XXXXX	Internal Combustion Engines	Electric Generation	Distillate Oil (Diesel)
			Natural Gas
			Gasified Coal
			Process Gas
			Landfill Gas
			Kerosene/Naphtha (Jet Fuel)
			Liquid Waste
			Equipment Leaks
			Wastewater, Aggregate
			Flares
202XXXXX		Industrial	Distillate Oil (Diesel)
			Natural Gas
			Diesel
			Dual Fuel (Oil/Gas)
			Dual Fuel
			All Fuels
			Residual/Crude Oil

SCC	scc1_desc	scc3_desc	scc6_desc
202xxxxx	Internal combustion Engines	Industrial	Process Gas
			Kerosene/Naphtha (Jet Fuel)
			Liquified Petroleum Gas (LPG)
			Methanol
			Gasoline
			Equipment Leaks
			Wastewater, Aggregate
203XXXXX		Commercial/Institutional	Distillate Oil (Diesel)
			Natural Gas
			Gasoline
			Diesel
			Digester Gas
			Landfill Gas
			Kerosene/Naphtha (Jet Fuel)
			Liquified Petroleum Gas (LPG)
			Equipment Leaks
			Wastewater, Aggregate
204XXXXX		Engine Testing	Aircraft Engine Testing
			Rocket Engine Testing
			Turbine
			Reciprocating Engine
			Equipment Leaks
			Wastewater, Aggregate
260XXXXX		Off-highway 2-stroke Gasoline Engines	Industrial Equipment
265XXXXX		Off-highway 4-stroke Gasoline Engines	Industrial Equipment
270XXXXX		Off-highway Diesel Engines	Industrial Equipment
273XXXXX		Off-highway LPG-fueled Engines	Industrial Equipment
285XXXXX		Railroad Equipment	Diesel
288XXXXXX		Fugitive Emissions	Other Not Classified
301XXXXX	Industrial Processes	Chemical Manufacturing	Adipic Acid
			Ammonia Production
			Carbon Black Production
			Charcoal Manufacturing
			Chlorine
			Chloro-alkali Production
			Cleaning Chemicals
			Explosives (Trinitrotoluene)
			Hydrochloric Acid
			Nitric Acid
			Paint Manufacture
			Varnish Manufacturing
			Phosphoric Acid

SCC	scc1_desc	scc3_desc	scc6_desc
301XXXXX	Industrial Processes	Chemical Manufacturing	Plastics Production
			Phthalic Anhydride
			Printing Ink Manufacture
			Sodium Carbonate
			Sulfuric Acid
			Synthetic Organic Fiber
			Cellulosic Fiber Production
			Synthetic Rubber (Manufacturing Only)
			Ammonium Nitrate Production
			Normal Superphosphates
			Triple Superphosphate
			Ammonium Phosphates
			Terephthalic Acid/Dimethyl Terephthalate
			Elemental Sulfur Production
			Pesticides
			Aniline/Ethanolamines
			Inorganic Pigments
			Chromic Acid Manufacturing
			Sodium Bicarbonate
			Hydrogen Cyanide
			Urea Production
			Nitrocellulose
			Lead Alkyl Manufacturing (Electrolytic Process)
			Organic Fertilizer
			Butyl rubber production
			Epichlorohydrin Elastomer Production
			Adhesives
			Animal Adhesives
			Casein
			Ethylene Propylene Rubber Production
			HypalonTM Production
			Neoprene Production
			Nitrile Butadiene Rubber Production
			Polybutadiene Rubber Production
			Polysulfide Rubber Production
			Styrene Butadiene Rubber and Latex Production
			Pharmaceutical Production
			Polyether Polyols Production
			Acrylonitrile Butadiene Styrene Resin Production
			Methyl Methacrylate Butadiene Styrene Resin Production
			Polyethylene Terephthalate Resin Production
			Polystyrene Resin Production

SCC	scc1_desc	scc3_desc	scc6_desc
301XXXXX	Industrial Processes	Chemical Manufacturing	Styrene Acrylonitrile Resin Production
			Maleic Anhydride Copolymers Production
			Alkyd Resin Production
			Inorganic Chemical Manufacturing (General)
			Hydrogen
			Acetal Resins
			Amino/Phenolic Resin Production
			Polycarbonate Production
			Polyvinyl Chloride and Copolymers Production
			Epoxy Resin Production
			Non-nylon Polyamide Production
			Polypropylene Production
			Polymethyl Methacrylate Production
			Cellophane Manufacturing
			Cellulose Ethers Production
			Polymerized Vinylidene Chloride Production
			Polyvinyl Acetate Emulsions Production
			Polyvinyl Alcohol Production
			Acetone/Ketone Production
			Maleic Anhydride
			Asbestos Chemical
			Elemental Phosphorous
			Boric Acid
			Potassium Chloride
			Aluminum Sulfate Manufacturing
			Formaldahyde, Acrolein, Acetaldehyde, Butyraldehyde
			Organic Dyes/Pigments
			Chloroprene
			Chlorine Derivatives
			Brominated Organics
			Fluorocarbons/Chlorofluorocarbons
			Chlorinated Paraffins Production
			Ammonium Sulfate
			Organic Acid Manufacturing
			Acetic Anhydride
			Esters Production
			Acetylene Production
			Hydrazine Production
			Phthalate Plasticizers Production
			Bisphenol A
			Butadiene

B3000000         Industrial Processes         Chemical Manufacturing         Cycloheanon/Cycloheanol           Image: Control of Control of Cycloheanon/Cycloheanol         Image: Cycloheanon/Cycloheanol           Image: Control of Cycloheanol         Ethylene Docto           Image: Control of Cycloheanol         Ethylene	SCC	scc1_desc	scc3_desc	scc6_desc
Image: style s				
Image: section of the section of th				
IndexIndexEthyl BenzeneImage: ConstructionEthyl BenzeneImage: ConstructionEthyl MethacrylareImage: ConstructionStyrerin (Sycrot)Image: ConstructionEthyl MethacrylareImage: ConstructionButylene, Ethylene, Propylene, Olefin ProductionImage: ConstructionButylene, Ethylene, Propylene, Olefin ProductionImage: ConstructionPropriese DideImage: ConstructionStyreneImage: ConstructionStyreneImage: ConstructionEthylene, Propylene, Olefin ProductionImage: ConstructionStyreneImage: ConstructionStyreneImage: ConstructionEthylene Notomene ProductionImage: ConstructionEthylene Notomene ProductionImage: ConstructionEthylene Notomene ProductionImage: ConstructionEthylene Notomene ProductionImage: ConstructionImage: C				
Image: Section of Section Sec				
Image: set of the				
Image: Section of the section of t				
Image: state in the state in		-		
Image: Section of Section o				
Image: Stand				
Image: series of the series		-		
Image: Style in the step is the style in the step is the style in the step in				
Image: Style in the style				
Image: Section of the section of th				
Image: All production       Linear Alkylbenzene         Image: All production       Ethylidene Norbornene Production         Image: All production       Ethylene Giycol         Image: All production       Etherene Production         Image: All production       Etherene Production         Image: All production       Glycol Ethers         Image: All production       Glycol Ethers         Image: All production       Glycol Ethers         Image: All production       Benzener/Toluene/Aromatics/Xylenes         Image: All production       Image: Chemical Manufacturing: Antimony Oxides         Image: All production       Image: Chemical Manufacturing: Chamical Manufactu				
Image: Section of Section Secti				
Image: Section of the section of th		-		
Image: state in the state in				
Image: state in the state in				
Image: Section of Sectio				
Image: series of the series		-		
Image: Section				
Inorganic Chemical Manufacturing: Antimony OxidesInorganic Chemical Manufacturing: Fumed SilicaInorganic Chemical Manufacturing: Quaternary Ammonium CompoundsInorganic Chemical Manufacturing: Quaternary Ammonium CompoundsInorganic Chemical Manufacturing: Sodium CyanideInorganic Chemical Manufacturing: Uranium HexafluorideInorganic Chemical Storage: Fixed Roof Tanks				
Inorganic Chemical Manufacturing: Fumed SilicaInorganic Chemical Manufacturing: Quaternary Ammonium CompoundsInorganic Chemical Manufacturing: Quaternary Ammonium CompoundsInorganic Chemical Manufacturing: Sodium CyanideInorganic Chemical Manufacturing: Uranium HexafluorideInorganic Chemical Manufacture PathopInorganic Chemical Manufacturing: Uranium HexafluorideInorganic Chemical Manufacture PathopInorganic Chemical Manufacture PathopInorganic Chemical Manufacture PathopInorganic Chemical Storage: Fixed Roof Tanks				
Image: Section of Contract Section of S		-		
Inorganic Chemical Manufacturing: Sodium CyanideInorganic Chemical Manufacturing: Uranium HexafluorideInorganic Chemical Storage: Fixed Roof Tanks				
Inorganic Chemical Manufacturing: Uranium HexafluorideImage Chemical ManufactureImage Chemical Manufacture				
Image: series of the series				Inorganic Chemical Manufacturing: Sodium Cyanide
Carbon Tetrachloride         Carbon Tetrachloride         Allyl Chloride         Allyl Alcohol         Epichlorohydrin         Epichlorohydrin         Nitroglycerin Production         Explosives Manufacture - Pentaerythritol Tetranitrate (PETN)         Explosives Manufacture - RDX/HMX Production         General Processes         Equipment Leaks         General Processes         Mastewater Treatment         Wastewater, Points of Generation         Inorganic Chemical Storage: Fixed Roof Tanks				Inorganic Chemical Manufacturing: Uranium Hexafluoride
Allyl Chloride         Allyl Alcohol         Epichlorohydrin         Epichlorohydrin         Nitroglycerin Production         Explosives Manufacture - Pentaerythritol Tetranitrate (PETN)         Explosives Manufacture - RDX/HMX Production         General Processes         Equipment Leaks         General Processes         Wastewater Treatment         Wastewater, Points of Generation         Inorganic Chemical Storage: Fixed Roof Tanks				Chlorobenzene
Image: series of the series				Carbon Tetrachloride
Image: section of the section of th				Allyl Chloride
Image: constraint of the second sec				Allyi Alcohol
Image: sequence of the sequenc				Epichlorohydrin
Image: Section of Sectin of Sectin of Sectin of Section of Section of Section of Section o				Nitroglycerin Production
Image: Section of Sectin of Sectin of Sectin of Section of Section of Section of Section o				Explosives Manufacture - Pentaerythritol Tetranitrate (PETN)
Image: Constraint of the system of the sy				Explosives Manufacture - RDX/HMX Production
Image: set				General Processes
Image: set				Equipment Leaks
Image: Second system     Wastewater Treatment       Image: Second system     Wastewater, Points of Generation       Image: Second system     Inorganic Chemical Storage: Fixed Roof Tanks				
Image: Matrix of Generation     Wastewater, Points of Generation       Image: Matrix of Generation     Inorganic Chemical Storage: Fixed Roof Tanks				
Inorganic Chemical Storage: Fixed Roof Tanks				
				Inorganic Chemical Storage: Floating Roof Tanks

scc	scc1_desc	scc3_desc	scc6_desc
301XXXXX	Industrial Processes	Chemical Manufacturing	Inorganic Chemical Storage: Pressure Tanks
301//////	Industrial Frocesses		Fugitive Emissions
			Fuel Fired Equipment
	-		Other Not Classified
302XXXXX	Industrial Processes	Food and Agriculture	Alfalfa Dehydration
50277777			Coffee Roasting
			Instant Coffee Products
			Instant Coffee Products
			Cotton Ginning
			Feed and Grain Country Elevators
			Grain Millings
			Feed Manufacture
			Beer Production
	-		Distilled Spirits
	-		Wines, Brandy, and Brandy Spirits
			Fish Processing
			Meat Smokehouses
			Starch Manufacturing
	-		Sugar Cane Refining
	-		Sugar Beet Processing
			Peanut Processing
			Candy Manufacturing
			Vegetable Oil Processing
			Beef Cattle Feedlots
			Range Cattle
			Dairy Cattle
			Feedlot Cattle
			Silage pile - AFO
			Silage TMR - AFO
			Eggs and Poultry Production
			Broilers
			Layers
			Turkeys
			Swine
			Sheep
			Horses
			Other Livestock
			Cotton Seed Delinting
			Seed Products and Processing
			Mushroom Growing
			Dairy Products
			Export Grain Elevators

SCC	scc1_desc	scc3_desc	scc6_desc
302XXXXX	Industrial Processes	Food and Agriculture	Bakeries
			Tobacco Processing
			Bakers Yeast Manufacturing - Dry Yeast
			Bakers Yeast Manufacturing - Compressed Yeast
			Deep Fat Frying
			Animal/Poultry Rendering
			Carob Kibble
			Cereal
			Vinegar Manufacturing
			Cellulose Food Casing Manufacture
			Ethanol Production
			Biodiesel Production
			Equipment Leaks
			Wastewater, Aggregate
			Wastewater, Points of Generation
			Fugitive Emissions
			Fuel Fired Equipment
			Other Not Specified
303XXXXX	Industrial Processes	Primary Metal Production	Bauxite Ore Processing
505/0000			Alumina Electrolytic Reduction
			Aluminum Hydroxide Calcining
			Metallurgical Coke Manufacturing
			Primary Copper Smelting
			Ferroalloy Production
			Lead Production
			Magnesium
			Molybdenum
			Titanium
			Gold Processing
			Barium Ore Processing
			Integrated Iron and Steel Manufacturing
			Taconite Iron Ore Processing
			Metal Mining (General Processes)
			Zinc Production
			Leadbearing Ore Crushing and Grinding
			Alumina Processing - Bayer Process
			Equipment Leaks
			Wastewater, Aggregate
			Wastewater, Aggregate
			Wastewater, Points of Generation
			Fugitive Emissions
			Fuel Fired Equipment

SCC	scc1_desc	scc3_desc	scc6_desc
	_		Other Not Classified
304XXXXX	Industrial Processes	Secondary Metal Production	Aluminum
			Copper
			Grey Iron Foundries
			Lead
			Lead Battery Manufacture
			Magnesium
			Steel Foundries
			Zinc
			Malleable Iron
			Nickel
			Steel Manufacturing
			Furnace Electrode Manufacture
			Metal Heat Treating
			Lead Cable Coating
			Miscellaneous Casting and Fabricating
			Miscellaneous Casting Fabricating
			Metallic Lead Products
			Equipment Leaks
			Wastewater, Aggregate
			Wastewater, Aggregate
			Wastewater, Points of Generation
			Fugitive Emissions
			Fuel Fired Equipment
			Other Not Classified
305XXXXX	Industrial Processes	Mineral Products	Asphalt Roofing Manufacture
			Asphalt Concrete
			Brick and Structural Clay Products Manufacture
			Calcium Carbide
			Castable Refractory
			Cement Manufacturing (Dry Process)
			Cement Manufacturing (Wet Process)
			Clay Ceramics Manufacture
			Clay and Fly Ash Sintering
			Coal Mining, Cleaning, and Material Handling
			Concrete Batching
			Fiberglass Manufacturing
			Frit Manufacture
			Glass Manufacture
			Gypsum Manufacture
			Lime Manufacture
			Mineral Wool Manufacturing

SCC	scc1_desc	scc3_desc	scc6_desc
305XXXXX	Industrial Processes	Mineral Products	Perlite Manufacturing
			Phosphate Rock
			Stone Quarrying - Processing (See also 305320)
			Salt Mining
			Potash Production
			Magnesium Carbonate
			Magnesium Carbonate
			Construction Sand and Gravel
			Diatomaceous Earth
			Industrial Sand and Gravel
			Lightweight Aggregate Manufacture
			Ceramic Electric Parts
			Asbestos Mining
			Vermiculite
			Feldspar
			Abrasive Grain Processing
			Bonded Abrasives Manufacturing
			Coated Abrasives Manufacturing
			Pulverized Mineral Processing
			Pyrrhotite
			Mining and Quarrying of Nonmetallic Minerals
			Clay processing: Kaolin
			Clay processing: Ball clay
			Clay processing: Fire clay
			Clay processing: Bentonite
			Clay processing: Fullers earth
			Clay processing: Common clay and shale, NEC
			Asphalt Processing (Blowing)
			Talc Processing
			Mica
			Sandspar
			Catalyst Manufacturing
			Bulk Materials Elevators
			Bulk Materials Conveyors
			Bulk Materials Storage Bins
			Bulk Materials Open Stockpiles
			Bulk Materials Unloading Operation
			Bulk Materials Loading Operation
			Bulk Materials Screening/Size Classification
			Bulk Materials Separation: Cyclones
			Bulk Materials: Grinding/Crushing
			Calcining

SCC	scc1_desc	scc3_desc	scc6_desc
305XXXXX	Industrial Processes	Mineral Products	Equipment Leaks
			Wastewater, Aggregate
			Wastewater, Aggregate
			Wastewater, Points of Generation
			Fugitive Emissions
			Fuel Fired Equipment
			Other Not Defined
306XXXXX	Industrial Processes	Petroleum Industry	Process Heater
			Catalytic Cracking Unit
			Blowdown Systems
			Wastewater Treatment
			Vacuum Distillation
			Cooling Towers
			Fugitive Emissions
			Flares
			Sludge Converter
			Asphalt/Bitumen Production
			Fluid Coking Unit
			Coke Handling System
			Petroleum Coke Calcining
			Catalytic Reforming Unit
			Catalytic Hydrotreating Unit
			Hydrogen Generation Unit
			Merox Treating Unit
			Crude Unit Atmospheric Distillation
			Light Ends Fractionation Unit
			Gasoline Blending Unit
			Hydrocracking Unit
			Alkylation Unit
			Sour Gas Treating Unit
			Sulfur Recovery Unit
			Incinerators
			Lube Oil Refining
			Remediation: Soil
			Remediation: Son Remediation: Vapor Extract
			Remediation: Vapor Extract
			Re-refining of Lube Oils and Greases
			Fugitive Emissions
			Fugitive Emissions
			Other Units/Processes
307XXXXX	Industrial Processes	Pulp and Paper and Wood Products	Sulfate (Kraft) Pulping
30/ ۸۸۸۸	Industrial Processes		Sulfite Pulping

307XXXXX	Industrial Processes	Pulp and Paper and Wood Products	Neutral Sulfite Semichemical Pulping
		-	Semi-chemical (non-sulfur)
			Soda
			Neutral Sulfite Semichemical Pulping
			Paper and Paperboard Manufacture
			Pulpboard Manufacture
			Secondary Fiber Pulping
			Paper and Paperboard Manufacture
			Wood Pressure Treating
			Particleboard Manufacture
			Plywood Operations
			Particleboard Manufacture
			Plywood Operations
			Sawmill Operations
			Medium Density Fiberboard (MDF) Manufacture
			Oriented Strandboard (OSB) Manufacture
			Paper Coating and Glazing
			Miscellaneous Paper Processes
			Mechanical Pulping Operations
			Miscellaneous Paper Products
			Hardboard (HB) Manufacture
			Fiberboard (FB) Manufacture
			Laminated Veneer Lumber Manufacture
			I-Joist Manufacture
			Glulam Manufacture
			Laminated Strand Lumber (LSL) Manufacture
			Parallel Strand Lumber (PSL) Manufacture
			Furniture Manufacture
			Miscellaneous Wood Working Operations
			Bulk Handling and Storage - Wood/Bark
			Fugitive Emissions
			Other Not Classified
			Other Not Classified
308XXXXX	Industrial Processes	Rubber and Miscellaneous Plastics Products	Tire Manufacture
			Tire Retreading
			Other Fabricated Plastics
			Fiberglass Resin Products
			Foam Production
			Plastic Products Manufacturing
			Vinyl Floor Tile Manufacturing
			Equipment Leaks
			Wastewater, Aggregate

Acc         RAG GEN         RAG GEN         RAG GEN           08800000         Industrial Processes         Nuber and Miscellineous Plastics Products         Fuel First Equipment           0880000         Industrial Processes         Fabricated Metal Products         Other Not Specified           08900000         Industrial Processes         Fabricated Metal Products         Abravie Cleaning Of Metal Parts           0800000         Industrial Processes         Fabricated Metal Parts         Abravie Cleaning Of Metal Parts           0800000         Industrial Processes         Fabricated Metal Parts         Comersion Company Of Metal Parts           0800000         Industrial Processes         Fabricated Metal Parts         Comersion Company Of Metal Parts           0800000         Industrial Processes         Industrial Processes         Comersion Company Of Metal Parts           0800000         Industrial Processes         Industrial Processes         Processes         Processes           080000         Industrial Processes         Industrial Processes         Processes         Processes           080000         Industrial Processes         Industrial Processes         Processes         Processes           080000         Industrial Processes         Industrial Processes         Processes         Processes           08000000	scc	scc1_desc	scc3_desc	scc6_desc
Image: Section of the section of t				
IndexIndexOther Not Specified389000000000000000000000000000000000000	3087777			
19300000         Industrial Processes         Pathicated Metal Products         General Processes           1         Acressive Bisting of Metal Protocol         Acressive Bisting of Metal Parts           1         Incomposition of Metal Parts         Metaling of Metal Parts           1         Incomposition Consigned Metal Products         Metaling Operations           1         Incomposition Consigned Metal Products         Conversion Consigned Metal Products           1         Incomposition Consigned Metal Products         Metal Parts           1         Incomposition Consigned Metal Parts         Metal Parts				
Image: Section of the section of t	2002/22/			
Image: style styleAbraive Cleaning of Metal PartsImage: style styleImage: sty	309XXXXX	Industrial Processes	Fabricated Metai Products	
IndexIndexWeidingIndex <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>				
InterpretationElectroplating OperationsIncome ServicesConversion Cosing Of Metal ProductsIncome ServicesPrecious Metals RecoveryIncome ServicesChemical Milling of Metal ProductsIncome ServicesChemical Milling Of Milling Milling Milling Milling Milling Milling MillingIncome ServicesChemical Milling Of Milling Chemical Milling MillingIncome ServicesChemical Milling Chemical Milling MillingIncome		-		
Image: series of the series		-		
InterpretationPrecision Metals RecoveryImage: Image: Imag		-		
Image: set of the		-		
Image: series of the series				
Image: set of the				
Image: Section of the section of t				
Image: set of the				
Image: state in the state in				
Image: set of the		_		
Image: set of the		_		
Image: state in the state in		-		Metal Deposition Processes
Image: series of the series				Resistance Welding
Image: section of the section of th				Brazing
Image: SprayingThermal SprayingImage: SprayingOxyfuel CuttingImage: SprayingOxyfuel CuttingImage: SprayingArc CuttingImage: SprayingArc CuttingImage: SprayingArc Welding: General: Consummable and Non-consummable ElectrodeImage: SprayingShielded Metal Arc Welding (SMAW)Image: SprayingGas Metal Arc Welding (SMAW)Image: SprayingImage: Spraying				Soldering
Image: section of the section of th				Oxyfuel Welding
Image: series of the series				Thermal Spraying
Image: section of the section of th				Oxyfuel Cutting
Image: series of the series				Arc Cutting
Image: series of the series				Arc Welding: General: Consummable and Non-consummable Electrode
Image: series of the series				Shielded Metal Arc Welding (SMAW)
Image: section of the section of th				Gas Metal Arc Welding (GMAW)
Image: series of the series				Flux Cored Arc Welding (FCAW)
Image: Constraint of the second sec				Electrostag Welding (ESW)
Image: section of the section of th				Gas Tungsten Arc Welding (GTAW)
Image: section of the section of th				Plasma Arc Welding (PAW)
Image: series of the series				
Image: section of the section of th				
Image: series of the series				
Image: section				
Industrial Processes     Oil and Gas Production     Fuel Fired Equipment       310XXXXX     Industrial Processes     Oil and Gas Production     Crude Oil Production       Autural Gas Processing     Natural Gas Processing     Process Heaters				
Industrial Processes     Oil and Gas Production     Other Not Classified       S10XXXX     Industrial Processes     Oil and Gas Production     Crude Oil Production       Image: Stream of the				
310XXXXX       Industrial Processes       Oil and Gas Production       Crude Oil Production         Image: Stress of the stress				
Image: Matrix I Gas Processing       Image: Matrix I Gas Processing       Image: Process Heaters	310XXXXX	Industrial Processes	Oil and Gas Production	
Process Heaters	-			

SCC     scc1_desc     scc3_desc     scc6_desc	
311XXXXX         Industrial Processes         Building Construction         Construction: Building Contractors	
Demolitions/Special Trade Contracts	
312XXXXX Industrial Processes Machinery, Miscellaneous Miscellaneous Machinery	
313XXXXX Industrial Processes Electrical Equipment Electrical Switch Manufacture	
Light Bulb Manufacture	
Fluorescent Lamp Manufacture	
Fluorescent Lamp Recycling	
Mercury Oxide Battery Manufacture	
Manufacturing - General	
Manufacturing - General Processes	
Semiconductor Manufacturing	
Electrical Windings Reclamation	
Electrical Windings Reclamation	
Equipment Leaks	
Wastewater, Aggregate	
Wastewater, Points of Generation	
Process Heaters	
Other Not Classified	
314XXXXX Industrial Processes Transportation Equipment Automobiles/Truck Assembly Operations	
Brake Shoe Debonding	
Auto Body Shredding	
Welding/Soldering Automotive Repair	
Boat Manufacturing	
Equipment Leaks	
Wastewater, Aggregate	
Wastewater, Aggregate       Wastewater, Point of Generation	
Wastewater, Point of Generation       Other Not Classified	
Health Care - Hospitals	
Health Care - Crematoriums	
Dental Alloy (Mercury Amalgams) Production	
Thermometer Manufacture	
Laboratories	
X-rays	
Commercial Swimming Pools - Chlorination-Chlorinatio-Chlorination-Chlorination-Chlorination-Chlorination-Chlo	oroform
Air-conditioning/Refrigeration	
316XXXXX         Industrial Processes         Photographic Film Manufacturing         Product Manufacturing - Chemical Preparation	
Product Manufacturing - Surface Treatments	
Product Manufacturing - Finishing Operations	
Support Activities - Cleaning Operations	
Support Activities - Storage Operations	

SCC	scc1_desc	scc3_desc	scc6_desc
			Support Activities - Material Transfer Operations
			Support Activities - Separation Processes
			Support Activities - Other Operations
317XXXXX	Industrial Processes	NGTS	Natural Gas Transmission and Storage Facilities
320XXXXX	Industrial Processes	Leather and Leather Products	Other Not Classified
330XXXXX	Industrial Processes	Textile Products	Miscellaneous
			Carpet Operations
			Fabric Finishing
			Fugitive Emissions
360XXXXX	Industrial Processes	Printing and Publishing	Typesetting (Lead Remelting)
300//////			Flexographic
			Rotogravure
			Lithographic
385XXXXX	Industrial Processes	Cooling Tower	Process Cooling
390XXXXX	Industrial Processes	Cooling Tower In-process Fuel Use	Anthracite Coal
3307777			
			Bituminous Coal Lignite
			Residual Oil
			Distillate Oil
			Natural Gas
			Process Gas
			Coke
			Wood
			Liquified Petroleum Gas
			Solid Waste
			Liquid Waste
			Fuel Storage - Fixed Roof Tanks
			Fuel Storage - Floating Roof Tanks
200200000			Fuel Storage - Pressure Tanks
399XXXXX	Industrial Processes	Miscellaneous Manufacturing Industries	Process Heater/Furnace
			Paint Stripping: Non-chemical
			Miscellaneous Manufacturing Industries
			Miscellaneous Industrial Processes
401XXXXX	Chemical Evaporation	Organic Solvent Evaporation	Dry Cleaning
			Degreasing
			Cold Solvent Cleaning/Stripping
			Knit Fabric Scouring with Chlorinated Solvent
			Solvent Storage
			Fugitive Emissions
402XXXXX	Chemical Evaporation	Surface Coating Operations	Surface Coating Application - General
			Coating Oven - General
			Coating Oven Heater

SCC	scc1_desc	scc3_desc	scc6_desc
402XXXXX	Chemical Evaporation	Surface Coating Operations	Fabric Coating/Printing
			Fabric Dyeing
			Paper Coating
			Large Appliances
			Magnet Wire Surface Coating
			Automobiles and Light Trucks
			Metal Can Coating
			Metal Coaling
			Wood Furniture Surface Coating
			Metal Furniture Operations
			Flatwood: Wood Building Products
			Plastic Parts
			Large Ships
			Aerospace
			Miscellaneous Metal Parts
			Steel Drums
			Glass Mirrors
			Glass Optical Fibers
			Semiconductors
			Fabric Printing
			Fabric Coating, Knife Coating
			Fabric Coating, Roller Coating
			Fabric Coating, Dip Coating
			Fabric Coating, Transfer Coating
			Fabric Coating, Extrusion Coating
			Fabric Coating, Melt Roll Coating
			Fabric Coating, Coagulation Coating
			Fabric Dyeing
			Equipment Leaks
			Wastewater, Aggregate
			Wastewater, Points of Generation
			Fugitive Emissions
			Fuel Fired Equipment
			Miscellaneous
403XXXXX	Chemical Evaporation	Petroleum Product Storage at Refineries	Fixed Roof Tanks (Varying Sizes)
			Floating Roof Tanks (Varying Sizes)
			Variable Vapor Space
			Fugitive Emissions
			Other Not Classified
404XXXXX	Chemical Evaporation	Petroleum Liquids Storage (non-Refinery)	Bulk Terminals
40-17.0 -	Chermon 2027		Bulk Plants
	-		Oil and Gas Field Storage and Working Tanks

SCC	scc1_desc	scc3_desc	scc6_desc
404XXXXX	Chemical Evaporation	Petroleum Liquids Storage (non-Refinery)	Petroleum Products - Underground Tanks
405XXXXX	Chemical Evaporation	Printing and Publishing	Letter Press
			Flexographic
			Lithographic
			Rotogravure
			Screen Printing
			Digital Printing
406xxxxx	Chemical Evaporation	Transportation and Marketing of Petroleum Products	Tank Cars and Trucks
			Marine Vessels
			Gasoline Retail Operations - Stage I
			Filling Vehicle Gas Tanks - Stage II
			Pipeline Petroleum Transport - General - All Products
			Consumer (Corporate) Fleet Refueling - Stage II
			Consumer (Corporate) Fleet Refueling - Stage I
			Fugitive Emissions
407xxxxx	Chemical Evaporation	Organic Chemical Storage	Fixed Roof Tanks - Anhydrides
			Fixed Roof Tanks - Alcohols
			Fixed Roof Tanks - Alkanes
			Fixed Roof Tanks - Alkenes
			Fixed Roof Tanks - Amides
			Fixed Roof Tanks - Amines
			Fixed Roof Tanks - Aromatics
			Fixed Roof Tanks - Carboxylic Acids
			Fixed Roof Tanks - Esters
			Fixed Roof Tanks - Ethers
			Fixed Roof Tanks - Glycol Ethers
			Fixed Roof Tanks - Glycols
			Fixed Roof Tanks - Halogenated Organics
			Fixed Roof Tanks - Isocyanates
			Fixed Roof Tanks - Ketones
			Fixed Roof Tanks - Mercaptans
			Fixed Roof Tanks - Nitriles
			Fixed Roof Tanks - Nitro Compounds
			Fixed Roof Tanks - Phenols
			Fixed Roof Tanks - Miscellaneous
			Floating Roof Tanks - Acid Anhydrides
			Floating Roof Tanks - Alcohols
			Floating Roof Tanks - Aldehydes
			Floating Roof Tanks - Alkanes
			Floating Roof Tanks - Alkenes
			Floating Roof Tanks - Amides
			Floating Roof Tanks - Amines

SCC	scc1_desc	scc3_desc	scc6_desc
407xxxxx	Chemical Evaporation	Organic Chemical Storage	Floating Roof Tanks - Aromatics
			Floating Roof Tanks - Carboxylic Acids
			Floating Roof Tanks - Esters
			Floating Roof Tanks - Ethers
			Floating Roof Tanks - Glycol Ethers
			Floating Roof Tanks - Glycols
			Floating Roof Tanks - Halogenated Organics
			Floating Roof Tanks - Ketones
			Floating Roof Tanks - Mercaptans
			Floating Roof Tanks - Nitriles
			Floating Roof Tanks - Phenols
			Floating Roof Tanks - Miscellaneous
			Underground Storage Tanks
			Pressure Tanks - Anhydrides
			Pressure Tanks - Alcohols
			Pressure Tanks - Aldehydes
			Pressure Tanks - Alkanes
			Pressure Tanks - Alkenes
			Pressure Tanks - Alkynes
			Pressure Tanks - Amines
			Pressure Tanks - Aromatics
			Pressure Tanks - Ethers
			Pressure Tanks - Ethers
			Pressure Tanks - Halogenated Organics
			Pressure Tanks - Halogenated Organics
			Pressure Tanks - Isocyanates
			Pressure Tanks - Ketones
			Pressure Tanks - Mercaptans (Thiols)
			Pressure Tanks - Phenols
			Pressure Tanks - Miscellaneous
			Miscellaneous Chemicals
40xxxxx	Chemical Evaporation	Organic Chemical Transportation	Equipment Leaks
			Organic Chemicals
410xxxxx	Chemical Evaporation	Dry Cleaning	Petroleum Solvent - Industrial
			Petroleum Solvent - Commercial
			Petroleum Solvent - Equipment Leaks
			Petroleum Solvent - Wastewater, Aggregate
			Petroleum Solvent - Wastewater, Aggregate
			Petroleum Solvent - Wastewater, Points of Generation
411xxxxx	Chemical Evaporation	Aerosol Cans	Filling Facilities
425xxxxx	Chemical Evaporation	unknown	unknown
490xxxxx	Chemical Evaporation	Organic Solvent Evaporation	Solvent Extraction Process

SCC	scc1_desc	scc3_desc	scc6_desc
490xxxxx	Chemical Evaporation	Organic Solvent Evaporation	Waste Solvent Recovery Operations
			Rail Car Cleaning
			Tank Truck Cleaning
			Air Stripping Tower
			Freon Recovery/Recycling Operations
			Fuel Fired Equipment
			Miscellaneous Volatile Organic Compound Evaporation
501XXXXX	Waste Disposal	Solid Waste Disposal - Government	Municipal Waste Incineration
			Open Burning Dump
			Hospital/Medical/Infectious Waste Incineration (HMIWI)
			Municipal Solid Waste Landfill
			Landfill Dump
			Municipal Solid Waste Landfill
			Other Incineration
			Fire Fighting
			Publicly Owned Treatment Works
			Sewage Sludge Incineration
			Equipment Leaks
			Auxillary Fuel/No Emissions
502XXXXX	Waste Disposal	Solid Waste Disposal - Commercial/Institutional	Open Burning
			Incineration: Special Purpose
			Asbestos Removal
			Equipment Leaks
			Auxillary Fuel/No Emissions
503XXXXX	Waste Disposal	Solid Waste Disposal - Industrial	Incineration
			Open Burning
			Municipal Waste Incineration
			Incineration
			Landfill Dump
			Municipal Solid Waste Landfill
			Wastewater Treatment
			Treatment, Storage, Disposal/TSDF
			Asbestos Removal
			Municipal Solid Waste Landfill
			Sewage Sludge Incineration
			Equipment Leaks
			Auxillary Fuel/No Emissions
504XXXXX	Waste Disposal	Site Remediation	General Processes
			Excavation/Soils Handling
			Stabilization/Solidification
			Capping
			In Situ Venting/Venting of Soils

scc1_desc	scc3_desc	scc6_desc
- Waste Disposal	Site Remediation	Air Stripping of Groundwater
		Thermal Desorption
		Biological Treatment
		Equipment Leaks
		Wastewater, Aggregate
		Wastewater, Aggregate
		Wastewater, Points of Generation
		General Processes
		Incineration
		Hospital/Medical/Infectious Waste Incineration (HMIWI)
		Apartment Complex Incineration
		Municipal Solid Waste Landfill
		Sewage Sludge Incineration
		Wastewater Treatment
Waste Disposal	Solid Waste Disposal - Institutional	Hospital/Medical/Infectious Waste Incineration (HMIWI)
		Municipal Solid Waste Landfill
		Sewage Sludge Incineration
		Wastewater Treatment
		Waste Disposal       Site Remediation         Image: Second se

## ELECTRONIC REPORTING TOOL (ERT)

# USER'S GUIDE FOR

## WOOD HEATER CERTIFICATION FOR

#### COMPLIANCE APPLICATION AND


## LABORATORY TEST DATA

Version 6


#### August 2020

Developed by Wood

For U.S. Environmental Protection Agency



Welcome Page



ERT Main Menu Screenshot

#### Table of Contents Part 2

CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.
What is the ERT?	Error! Bookmark not defined.
ERT Main Parts ERT Application Project Data Set Excel Spreadsheet	Error! Bookmark not defined. Error! Bookmark not defined.
Basic Workflow	Error! Bookmark not defined.
CHAPTER 2: GETTING STARTED	ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.
Verify that you have a Version of Microsoft Access that will Run the ERT	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Downloading and Installing the ERT	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Example Data	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Starting the ERT	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Project Data Sets Create New Project Data Set Select Project Data Set Save Project Data Set As	Error! Bookmark not defined. Error! Bookmark not defined.
CHAPTER 3: CREATING A WOOD HEATER APPLICATION	ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.
Navigating and Using the Tool Data Entry Process Screen Navigation Screen Help Tips	Error! Bookmark not defined. Error! Bookmark not defined.
General Information	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Manufacturer's Information	Error! Bookmark not defined.
EPA-Approved Test Laboratory	Error! Bookmark not defined.
EPA-Approved Third-Party Certifier	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Compliance Statements Acknowledgements	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Compliance Statements Cont	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Signatures	Error! Bookmark not defined.
CHAPTER 4: WOOD HEATER LAB DATA ENTRY	ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.
Run Data Add New Run Data Add New Run Data – Spreadsheet Import Delete Run Data	Error! Bookmark not defined. Error! Bookmark not defined.

Efficiency Data (B415.1-10)	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Header Data	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Point Data	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Show Calculations	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Show Results	Error! Bookmark not defined.

PM Data (E2515-11)Error! Bookmark not defined.			
Preburn	Error! Bookmark not defined.		
Box A, Box B and Box C	Error! Bookmark not defined.		
Box B	Error! Bookmark not defined.		
Box C – 1-hour Option	Error! Bookmark not defined.		
Hydronic Heater	Error! Bookmark not defined.		
Ambient	Error! Bookmark not defined.		
Results / Efficiency	Error! Bookmark not defined.		
Show Results	Error! Bookmark not defined.		
Show Efficiency Calcs	Error! Bookmark not defined.		

#### CHAPTER 5: WOOD HEATER SUMMARY TABLES ...... ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.

Hydronic Heaters	
Data Summary Part A	
Data Summary Part B	
Additional Information	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Annual Weighting	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Adjustable Burn Rate	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Data Summary	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Annual Weighting	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Additional Information	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Single Burn Rate	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Data Summary	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Annual Weighting	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Additional Information	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Pellet Stove	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Summary Results – Pellet Stoves	
Summary Results – CSA B415.1	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Summary Results – Totals	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Forced Air Furnace	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Data Summary	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Data Summary Part B	
Hydronic Heater – Partial Thermal Storage	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Data Summary Part A	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Data Summary Part B	
Data Summary Part C	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Data Summary Part D	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Additional Summary Information Part E	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Annual Weighting	Error! Bookmark not defined.
CHAPTER 6: WOOD HEATER CHECKLIST	ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.
General and General Information	Error! Bookmark not defined.

CHAPTER 7: WOOD HEATER ATTACHMENTS	ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.
CHAPTER 8: ADMINISTRATION	ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.
Help/ System Reports	Error! Bookmark not defined.

Figure 1 - Security Warning	6
Figure 2 - ERT Main Menu	7
Figure 3 - Project Data Set of the ERT Main Menu	7
Figure 4 - Creating a Project Data Set	8
Figure 5 - Select Project Data Set Browse Window	9
Figure 6 - Save Project Data Set as Template	9
Figure 7 - ERT Main Menu	10
Figure 8 - Test Plan Facility/Tester Tab	11
Figure 9 - Test Plan Permit/SCC Tab	14
Figure 10 - Selecting SCC	15
Figure 11 - SCC selection menu with no established process units	16
Figure 12 - Source Classification Code information when no units are available	16
Figure 13 - Test Plan Location/Methods Tab	17
Figure 14 - Test Location Information Panel	18
Figure 15 - Add Target Parameters	20
Figure 16 - select Location, Method and Compounds	20
Figure 17 - Deternine Method by Selecting Compound	20
Figure 18 - Custom Method Information	21
Figure 19 - Add Emissions/Concentrations	22
Figure 20 - Select Emissions/Concentrations	23
Figure 21 - Test Plan Regulation Tab	24
Figure 22 - Regulation Limits	20 27
Figure 23 - Test Plan Process/APCD Tab	28
Figure 24 – Add Process Information	28
Figure 25 - Process Lab Information	31
-	31
Figure 26 - Attachments - Source/Process Flow Diagram	
Figure 27 - Control Devices	33
Figure 28 - Test Plan Methods cont. Tab	34
Figure 29 - Test Plan Audit/Calibrations Tab	35
Figure 30 - Test Plan Screen Tab	37
Figure 31 - Test Plan Reviewers Tab	38
Figure 32 - Test Plan Attachments Tab	39
Figure 33 - Attachments Options	40
Figure 34 - Run Data Details	41
Figure 35 - Import from Spreadsheet Option Dialog	41
Figure 36- Import Field Run Data Window	42
Figure 37- View Imported Data Windows	42
Figure 38 - Enter New Run Key Data Window	43
Figure 39 - Select Run Data	44
Figure 40- Delete Run Window	45
Figure 41 - Rename Run Number	46
Figure 42 - Confirmation for renaming run number	46
Figure 43 - Run Data Details Screen for Isokinetic/Manual Methods	47
Figure 44 - Isokinetic Method: Method Setup Tab	48
Figure 45 - Single Train Isokinetic Method: Header Data	49
Figure 46- Paired Train Manual Method: Header Data	50
Figure 47- Volume of liquid collucted sub menu	57
Figure 48 - Isokinetic Method: Point Data Tab	59
Figure 49- Paired Sample Train Point Data Tab	60
Figure 50- Isokinetic Method: Lab Data Tab	62
	vi

Figure 51 - Paired Sample Train: Sample Data Screen	63
Figure 52- Isokinetic Method: Sampling/Stack Data Results Tab	67
Figure 53- Paired Sampling Trains: Stack Data Results Tab	67
Figure 54 - Cylcone Cut Size Tab and Point to Point Isokinetic calculations	70
Figure 55 - Emissions Tab	71
Figure 56- QA/QC Tab	72
Figure 57 - Run Data Details for Instrumental Methods- Method Setup Tab	74
Figure 58 - Calibrations Tab	76
Figure 59 - ITM Run Results Tab	77
Figure 60 - ITM Run Results, stack Parameters and Calibration Set selection	79
Figure 61 - Emissions Tab	82
Figure 62 - Performance Specification Run Data Details	83
Figure 63 - CEMS Calibration and Drift Data Entry	86
Figure 64 - RATA results report	87
Figure 65 - Calibration Drift Results Report	88
Figure 66 - Process Run Data Tab	89
Figure 67 - Run Navigation Bar	89
Figure 68 - APCD Run Data Tab	90
Figure 69 - Lab Data Tab	91
Figure 70 - Tester Comments window	92
Figure 70 - Attachment Tab	92
•	93
Figure 72 - Completeness Check: Quality Assessment Questions	94
Figure 73 - Final Test Report Verification Window	95
Figure 74 - Access 2007 Compacting of ERT	90 97
Figure 75 - Project Submittal History Area of the ERT Main Menu	
Figure 76 - Missing Items List in ERT	98
Figure 77 - Create ERT Submission Package File Menu	98
Figure 78 - Associate Reference Method Data Runs with Process Runs	99
Figure 79 - Blank Associate Data Runs with Process Runs	99
Figure 80 – PDS Submittal Data	100
Figure 81 – Notice of Creation of ERT file	101
Figure 82 - WebFIRE Seach Engine	102
Figure 83 - WebFIRE Search Results	103
Figure 84 - Test Plan Review Facility /Permit Information	104
Figure 85 - Test Plan Review Locations/Methods Tab	105
Figure 86 – Location, Stack and Flow Rate Information and Traverse Details	105
Figure 87 – Complete Protocol Evaluation Calculations Screen Shot	107
Figure 88 - Regulatory Field Observation Documentation Window	111
Figure 89 – Regulatory Review of Quality Assessment Questions	111
Figure 90 - Completeness and Regulatory Review Quality Assessment Questions	112
Figure 91 - QAQ's Show Data	113
Figure 92 - Cascading Multiple Windows	114
Figure 93 - Test Report Review - Average Emissions	115
Figure 94 - Test Reviewer Comments	116
Figure 95- Report Selection Menu	119
Figure 96 - Test Plan Report Print Preview Screen	120
Figure 97 - Test Plan Review Comments	121
Figure 98 - The ERT Help/Administration Screen	125
Figure 99 - Security Warning	4
Figure 100 - ERT Main Menu	5
	vii

Figure 101 - Project Data Set of the ERT Main Menu	5
Figure 102 - Creating a Project Data Set	6
Figure 103 - Select Project Data Set Browse Window	7
Figure 104 - Save Project Data Set as Template	7
Figure 105 – ERT Main Menu, Wood Heater Section	8
Figure 106 - Wood Heater Module on Main Menu	8
Figure 107 - General Information	9
Figure 108 - Wood Heater Application Manufacturer's Information	11
Figure 109 - Wood Heater Application EPA-Approved Test Laboratory	12
Figure 110 - Wood Heater Application EPA-Approved Third Party Certifier	13
Figure 111 - Wood Heater Application Compliance Statement Acknowledgeme	
Figure 112 - Wood Heater Application Compliance Statements Continued.	15
Figure 113 – Attach documents related to each compliance statement.	16
Figure 114 - Wood Heater Application Signatures	16
Figure 115 – Wood Heater Lab Data Entry on ERT Main Menu	17
Figure 116 - Run Data Details	17
Figure 117 – Add New Run Data	17
Figure 118 – Add New Run for Efficiency Data (CSA B415.1-10)	18
Figure 119 - Select Run Added	18
Figure 120 – Method CSA B415.1-10 tabs to be completed.	18
Figure 121 – Add New Run for PM Data (E2515-11)	19
Figure 122 – Import Spreadsheet	19
Figure 123 – Linking Data From Different Worksheets in a Workbook	20
Figure 124 – Import Successful	20
Figure 125 – Select Run Data	20
Figure 126 – Delete Run Data Menu	21
Figure 127 - Delete Run	21
Figure 128 – Efficiency Data (CSA B415.1-10) Header Data	23
Figure 129 – Efficiency Method CSA B415.1-10 Point Data	24
Figure 130 – Efficiency Data (B415.1-10) Show Calculations and Show Result	
Figure 131 – Efficiency Data CSA B415.1-10 Show Calculations	25
Figure 132 – Efficiency Data (B415.1-10) Show Results	25
Figure 133 – Particulate Matter (ASTM E2515-11) Preburn Data	27
Figure 134 – Fuel Information Tab (ASTM E2515-11)	28
Figure 135 - Particulate Matter Data (E2515-11) Box A	29
Figure 136 - Particulate Matter Data (E2515-11) Box B	31
Figure 137 - PM Data (E2515-11) Box C – 1 Hour Option	31
Figure 138 - Temperature Data (E2515-11) Hydronic Heater	32
Figure 139 - PM Data (E2515-11) Ambient	33
Figure 140 - PM Data (E2515-11) Results / Efficiency	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Figure 141 – Particulate Matter Data (E2515-11) Show Results	35
Figure 142 - PM Data (E2515-11) Show Efficiency Calculations	36
Figure 143 – Wood Heater Summary Table Menu	37
Figure 144 - Hydronic Heaters – Data Summary Part A	37
Figure 145 - Hydronic Heaters – Data Summary Part B	38
Figure 146 - Hydronic Heaters – Additional Information	38
Figure 147 - Hydronic Heaters – Annual Weighting	38
Figure 148 - Adjustable Burn Rate Stove – Data Summary	39
Figure 149 - Adjustable Burn Rate Stove – Annual Weighting	39
Figure 150 - Adjustable Burn Rate Stove – Additional Information	40
	viii

Figure 151 – Single Burn Rate Stove – Data Summary	41
Figure 152 – Single Burn Rate Stove – Annual Weighting	41
Figure 153 - Single Burn Rate Stove – Additional Information	41
Figure 154 - Pellet Stoves – Summary Results	42
Figure 155 - Pellet Stoves – Summary Results (CSA B415.1)	42
Figure 156 - Pellet Stoves – Summary Results - Totals	42
Figure 157 - Forced Air Furnace – Data Summary	43
Figure 158 - Forced Air Furnace – Data Summary Part B	43
Figure 159 – Hydronic Heater – Partial Thermal Storage Data Summary Part A	44
Figure 160 – Hydronic Heater – Partial Thermal Storage Data Summary Part B	44
Figure 161 - Hydronic Heater – Partial Thermal Storage Data Summary Part C	45
Figure 162 - Hydronic Heater – Partial Thermal Storage Data Summary Part D	45
Figure 163 – Hydronic Heater – Partial Thermal Storage – Additional Summary	Information 45
Figure 164 – Hydronic Heater – Partial Thermal Storage – Annual Weighting	46
Figure 165 - Wood Heater Checklist Menu	47
Figure 166 – Wood Heater Checklist – General Information	47
Figure 167 – Wood Heater Checklist	48
Figure 168 – Heater Attachment Menu	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Figure 169 - Wood Heater Attachments list	49
Figure 170 – The ERT Help/Adminstration Screen	50

# Chapter 1: Introduction

Thank you for using this version of EPA's Electronic Reporting Tool (ERT). Please keep checking <u>Electronic</u> <u>Reporting Tool (ERT) Webpage</u> for the latest version of ERT and the user's manual.

### What is the ERT?

The ERT is a Microsoft Access application used to electronically create wood heater certification for compliance application package, calculate results and submit (or resubmit) the test results as an electronic report to the EPA. Additionally, the ERT provides a means for individuals to review and comment on the submitted certification for compliance application package. Users can then send the application package file to the EPA via email to WoodHeaterReports@epa.gov.

### **ERT Main Parts**

When you open the ERT for the first time, you will see the Microsoft Access Application. The application, which consists of the main screen, internal screens and menu buttons, allows one to create a Project Data Set (PDS). The PDS contains all information required, plus any attachments. The Microsoft Excel spreadsheet upload is an optional part of the ERT. You can use the completed spreadsheet template to import data into the ERT for ASTM Method 2515.

#### **ERT** Application

The ERT Application is a Microsoft Access Database. To run the ERT, you must have Microsoft Access 2010, 2013, 2016 or the runtime version of Microsoft Access. The runtime version is available for free from the Microsoft Access Download Center. Before running the ERT for the first time, please refer to Chapter 2: <u>Getting Started</u> for instructions.

#### **Project Data Set**

The Project Data Set (PDS) is a Microsoft Access Database file generated by the ERT Application which, depending on the stage of completion, may contain the wood heater application, wood heater lab data, wood heater summary tables, wood heater checklist and wood heater attachments. This is the file that will be exchanged between the manufacturer, laboratory, third party reviewer, and the EPA. Each PDS contains information for test reports from one wood heater firebox design. When you create a new PDS, you are prompted for a file name for the PDS that is created. The file is created automatically in a "ProjectData" directory by the ERT. You may change the location of the "ProjectData" directory if you wish. The last PDS used is remembered by the ERT when restarted. There is no limit on the number of PDS files, but only one PDS can be opened at a time.

#### **Excel Spreadsheet**

The Excel spreadsheet can be used as an option for entering test data for ASTM 2515-11 into the ERT. There is a template spreadsheet available that is prepared for import. Test data can be added to the spreadsheet and then imported into the ERT. Users have the option of incorporating this spreadsheet into their legacy spreadsheets and then importing the data into the ERT. DO NOT DELETE **COLUMNS** FROM THE ERT TABLES or the SPREADSHEET TEMPLATES. If a column is deleted from the ERT application, calculations may not work. If columns are removed from spreadsheets, importing the spreadsheet will not work correctly.

### **Basic Workflow**

The basic work flow is as follows (though other work flows are possible):

- Manufacturer
  - Creates a project data set and begins providing information in the wood heater application about the wood heater being tested.
  - Emails the ERT PDS to laboratory for Lab data entry to be completed.
- Laboratory Testing Company
  - Creates the test report containing data and supporting documentation for ASTM E2515-11 and CSA B415.1-10
- Third party reviewer
  - Reviews test report and completes the wood heater checklist to ensure the application package is complete.
  - Sends the certification for compliance application package to the manufacturer for completion.
- Manufacturer
  - Submits the completed certification for compliance application package to EPA at WoodHeaterReports@epa.gov.
- EPA
  - Reviews the completed certification for compliance application.

# Chapter 2: Getting Started

# Verify that you have a Version of Microsoft Access that will Run the ERT

If you have Microsoft Access version 2007:

Verify that you have at least Service Pack 2 installed. Open Microsoft Access, click on the MS circle in the upper left corner of the Access window, click on "Access Options" at the bottom of the window, click on "Resources" in the left column. At the bottom of the window just below the text "about Microsoft Office Access 2007" the software (Microsoft Office Access 2007) and the Service Pack level is identified. If Service Pack 2 is installed, the text "SP2 MSO" will be between two sets of numbers that are in parentheses. If you do not have Service Pack 2 installed, click on "Check for Updates" and follow the directions to install the updates from Microsoft. Many corporate computers do not allow users to install software and you will need to contact your information technology center and have them update your software.

If you have Microsoft Access version 2010, 2013, 2016, or 2019: Any Service Pack level is acceptable in order to run the ERT Application.

If you do **NOT** have Microsoft Access:

- You will need to download and install the runtime version of Microsoft Access from the Microsoft Access Download Center. A link to the download center is provided on the EPA ERT website. Please download a version that is the version of office you have installed on your computer.
- MS Access 2010 Runtime.
- MS Access 2013 Runtime.
- <u>MS Access 2016 Runtime</u>.

After installing the Runtime version of Microsoft Access, follow the instructions below to install and run the ERT.

If you have Windows 10, only 1 Office version can be on the computer. The operating system is incompatible with two versions of the same program. For instance, if a computer has Access 2010 and 2013 on it, they will not work and one version will need to be removed.

# **Downloading and Installing the ERT**

The EPA <u>*Electronic Reporting Tool (ERT) Webpage*</u> contains the latest versions of the ERT, the spreadsheet, the user's guide, and example data sets.

Once you've determined that you are running a version of MS Access which is capable of running the ERT Application, follow these two steps.

- 3. Download the latest versions program files. This file includes the latest version of the ERT and the user's guide.
- 4. To run the ERT, right click on the downloaded zip file and select "*Open*". Select a destination for the extracted files. Go to the destination folder and double click on ERTv6.accdb file. It is

recommended that the ERT program file and the data set files be located on a local drive (ie. C: or desktop). Some functionality of the ERT is lost across servers.

## **Example Data**

The EPA's website also contains example data for use with the ERT. Download the files from the ERT Project Data Set example link. This file includes an example Project Data Set (PDS) and the associated spreadsheet. Unzip the files to your hard drive and use the ERT to select the ERT\_WHATEST-PDS.accdb file. See the <u>Selecting a Project Data Set</u> section for more information on selecting a PDS.

#### **Starting the ERT**

To start the ERT, double click ERTv6.accdb file from the location where you've installed the ERT application.

Depending on how your version of Access is configured, you may see a "Security Warning" window (as shown below) when you try to start the ERT.

When the ERT is first opened, the following screenshot may be seen (Figure 99):

8	5° 0°	÷			ERT v Dev	12/6/2019				-	×
ile	Home	Create	External Dat	a Database Tools	Help 🔎	Tell me what you	want to do				
	SECURITY WA	RNING Some a		has been disabled. Click	for more details.	Enable Conten	t				×
			ERT ERT				-		×		
					Welcom	e to the			_		
				Macro Single Step			?	$\times$			
				Macro Name:			Step				
				AutoExec			Stop All Macr	os			
				Condition:			Continue				
			c								
				A - K N							
				Action Name: RunCode			Error Number:				
				Arguments:			2001				
				DoStart()							
			l	·							
					Con	tinue					
					Con	unue					

Figure 99 - Security Warning

Select "Stop all Macros" and the red X in the upper right-hand corner of the Macro Single Step box. Once the Macro box is closed, enable all macros in the yellow Security Warning banner. This should enable the program torun. Once continue is selected, the ERT – Main Menu will be displayed (Figure 100).




Figure 100 - ERT Main Menu

# **Project Data Sets**

Select Project Data	Set	Create New Project Data Set	Save Project Data Set As	Compact Project Data Set
Current Project Data Set:				

Figure 101 - Project Data Set of the ERT Main Menu

The Project Data Set (PDS) is a Microsoft Access file that contains the information for all the tests performed on a single firebox. This includes the application, run data, test report, test review and any supporting documentation that has been included as attachments. When the PDS is sent to the EPA, the agency can use the ERT to review and approve the PDS.

You can select, create, save as, or compact a PDS from the "*ERT Main Menu*" (Figure 101). The first time you create a PDS, you will select "**Create New Project Data Set**" (Figure 102). Thereafter, you can select the project data set and click on "**Save Project Data Set As**" to save the entire PDS with another name.

#### **Create New Project Data Set**

Project Data Set Folder:
Project Data Set File Name:
Create New Project Data Set Close without creating Project Data Set

Figure 102 - Creating a Project Data Set

- Click "Create New Project Data Set" from the "Project Data Set" area of the ERT main menu.
- Browse for the location of the folder to store the PDS or let it stay in the default folder.
- Enter a name for the PDS file in the "Project Data Set File Name" box.
- Click "Create New Project Data Set" to create a PDS with the name you entered in the folder you created.
- If you receive a "Could not use'C:\(location of file and file name).accdb'; file already in use error, check the folder where you saved the file, and select the project data set as described below.

#### Select Project Data Set

- Click "Select Project Data Set" from the *project data set* area of the ERT Main Menu. A "*Browse*" menu like shown in Figure 103 will appear.
- Select the PDS from the default folder (ProjectData) or browse to the folder containing the desired PDS and select the file and click "**Open**".

#### Save Project Data Set As

Tests for similar fireboxes may contain some of the same information. To keep from having to enter the same application information for similar tests, the ERT can save the currently selected PDS as a different name. When "Save Project Data Set As" is selected, a pop-up asks "Save this Project Data Set as a Template? A Template saves only the Test Plan information and Wood heater Application (if applicable)." If "Yes" is selected, a new file name is entered and the PDS will be created saving the Wood Heater Application but deleting all the data in the Wood Heater Lab Data Entry portion of the Wood heater module. If "No" is selected, a new file name will be entered and the PDS will be created with the new name and will contain all the data in the original file.

ganize 🔻 🛛 New folder					
.matplotlib	Name	Date modified	Туре	Size	
🧊 3D Objects	Database.mdb	7/23/2019 11:06 AM	Microsoft Access	20,084 KB	
> AppData	ERTv5-WS.ACCDB	9/23/2019 12:36 PM	Microsoft Access	20,728 KB	
🗸 📃 Desktop	🚯 R. pellet stove.accdb	12/10/2019 4:16 PM	Microsoft Access	2,240 KB	
adjustable	🚯 Pellet stove.accdb	12/10/2019 4:15 PM	Microsoft Access	1,984 KB	
> desktop					
FAF					
> HH					
pellet					
> ProjectData					
RTCs					
Shortcuts					
> single burn rate					
Time cards					
> Wood Heaters non					
Documents					
> 🔮 Documents					
Downloads					
<ul> <li>Downloads</li> <li>Havorites</li> </ul>					
<ul> <li>Downloads</li> <li>         Favorites         Links     </li> </ul>					
<ul> <li>Downloads</li> <li>Havorites</li> </ul>					

Figure 103 - Select Project Data Set Browse Window

- Click "Save Project Data Set As" from the ERT main menu. The window shown in Figure 104 will appear.
- Click "**Yes**" to save the current PDS as a Template. (Saves the Test Plan and Wood Heater Application information only)
- Click "No" to save the current PDS (saving all data).
- Click "Cancel" to cancel the operation.




Figure 104 - Save Project Data Set as Template

# Chapter 3: Creating a Wood Heater Application

Setup / Test Plan	Test I	Data	Regulatory Revie		Printed Re	ports	Wood Heaters
Test Plan	Run D	ata	Test Plan F	Review	Select Report Table		Wood Heater Application
Quick Jumps	Process	Data	Regulator Observation Do		TEDIE		Wood Heater Lab Data Entry
SCC	Tester DQ As	ssessment	Regulatory Ass Supporting Doc				Wood Heater
Process Info	Attachn	nents	Emissions F		Administra	ation	Summary Tables
Locations/Methods	Completene	ess Check	Comprehensive		Help / Sys. R	eports	Wood Heater Checklist
		Report Verification					
Select Project Data Current Project Data Set:		ification Project Data Se	test Asser		iompact Project Dai	ta Set	Wood Heater Attachments
Current Project Data Set:	Set Create New P				ompact Project Dat	ta Set	
Current Project	Set Create New P		et Save Project D			ta Set	
Current Project Data Set: roject Submittal F	Set Create New P	Project Data Se	et Save Project D	Data Set As C	n Package File	ta Set	
Current Project Data Set: roject Submittal F	Set Create New P	Project Data Se	et Save Project D	Jata Set As C	n Package File	ta Set	Attachments
Current Project Data Set: roject Submittal H	Set Create New P	Project Data Se	et Save Project D	Jata Set As C	n Package File	ta Set	Attachments

Figure 105 – ERT Main Menu, Wood Heater Section

The different sections of the Wood Heater module are accessed from the corresponding main menu buttons (Figure 105 and 106).




Figure 106 - Wood Heater Module on Main Menu

- *Wood Heater Application* button allows user access to view General Information, Manufacturer Information, EPA-Approved Testing Laboratory, EPA-Approved Third-Party Certifier, Compliance Statements, and authorized party Signatures.
- *Wood Heater Lab Data Entry* provides access to testing method run datasets, both Efficiency (B415.1-10) and PM (E2515-11) data. Test runs can be added or deleted here.
- *Wood Heater Summary Tables* are tables generated to provide a summary of the results.
- *Wood Heater Checklist* button accesses the checklist for Wood Heater Manufacturer Information, General Information, Testing Methods and data used.
- The *Wood Heater Attachments* option allows the user to view the documents that have been added for the wood heater firebox that has been tested. Warranty, Engineering Drawings, and Manufacturer Statements can

be added here. This is an attachment summary of the documents from the Compliance Statements Section of the Wood Heater Application.

# Navigating and Using the Tool

Wood Heater Application															_	×
APPLICATION FO	DR A	CERTIFICATE	OF	COMPLI	ANCE PU	RSUANT	TO	40 CFR PA	NRT 6	o su	BPART	S AAA A		1		
		FORMANCE FOR N										ORCED-AIR				
Disclaimer: The statutory pr provisions or requlations, no This document may be revise	or is it	a regulation itself.	In the	event of a di	screpancy, ple	ase refer to	40 CFR	PART 60 Subpar	rts AAA	AND QQ	QQ, Sectio	ons 60.533(b)	and 60.5475(b).			
Red font indicates information	or valu	ies outside the range o	f the m	ethod or the i	regulatory requ	irements							OMB Control N Approval expi			
neral Information Manufactur	er's Inf	ormation EPA-Approv	ed Test	Laboratory	EPA-Approved	Third Party Ce	rtifier	Compliance State	ements	Acknowle	dgements	Compliance S	tatements Cont.	Signatures		_
Manufacturer's Name:	1															
Model(s)/Serial Number(s):														Catalyst		
Heater / Appliance:										Туре	2:					
			~								Full Stora Partial St					
Fuel Tested:											Indoor					
			ř								Outdoor Other					
Method(s):		ASTM E2515-11		ASTM E27	79-10			ASTM E2780	10	Alterna Fest Me						
		28R		28WHH				28WHH-PTS		Used? (specify	r)					
		CSA B415.1-10		ASTM E87	1-82			ASTM E2618	-13							
Physical Address (Street number and Address, not P.O.		· 		·							Mailing	Address:				
City:					State/ Province:	~	ZIP C	ode:			Country	:				
Phone:			Ema	il:							Website	::				
Date of Submission of 30	)-Days	Notice to EPA:						Attach 30 Day	y Lette		e Applica mitted:	ation				
Proposed Test Date:					Actual Test	Date:					Ma	nufacturer	Instructions to	o Lab		
Was the compliance test	t postį	poned or suspend	ed?:	No ~				1								
					-											

Figure 107 - General Information

#### **Data Entry Process**

To begin the data entry process, click "**Wood Heater Application**" in the "**Wood Heaters**" column on the ERT main menu. The screen shown in Figure 107 will appear. This screen contains a series of data entry tabs that cover the information required for an Application for a Certification of Compliance.

There are 7 tabs or sections in the Wood Heater Application section: "General Information," "Manufacturer's Information," "EPA-Approved Test Laboratory," "EPA-Approved Third-Party Certifier," "Compliance Statements\_Acknowledgements," "Compliance Statements Cont.," and "Signatures."

#### **Screen Navigation**

Move from one section to the next by clicking on the desired tab of the data entry form. You will generally have two options for entering data in the form, either typing in the spaces provided or using the copy and paste method to extract information from other electronic documents.

#### **Screen Help Tips**

Moving the cursor over the blue circled question mark displays a "pop up" help tip window that provides a detailed description of what is needed for that field.

# **General Information**

Enter information about the manufacturer of the wood heater appliance, the appliance and the proposed testing of the appliance. The fields are as follows:

Manufacturer's Name:	The public or commercial name of the manufacturer (i.e., the full name that commonly appears on invoices, signs, or other business documents).
Model(s)/Serial Number(s):	A list of models and serial numbers that will use the firebox being tested.
Catalyst (check box):	Is a catalyst used during testing and use of the appliance? Check, if answer is yes.
Heater/Appliance (dropdown):	Select from the dropdown list the type of appliance being tested (Adjustable Burn Rate Stove, Pellet Stove, Single Burn Rate Stove, Forced Air Furnace, Hydronic Heater or Other).
Hydronic Heater Type:	If appliance is Hydronic Heater, select one (Full Storage, Partial Storage, Indoor, Outdoor or Other)
Forced Air Furnace Type:	If appliance is Forced Air Furnace, select one (Small, >65,000 BTU/hr heat output or Large, >65,000 BTU/hr heat output)
Fuel Tested (dropdown):	Select from the dropdown list the type of fuel tested (Crib, Pellet, Cordwood, Wood Chips or Other)
Method(s):	Select all appropriated methods used during the testing (CSA B415.1-10 and ASTM E2515-11 must be selected). If you used an alternative test method, you must attach the Approval letter from the Measurement Technology Group. If you are using a broadly applicable alternative test method, you must attach the appropriate approval letter.
Physical Address:	The address that describes the physical (geographical) location of the front door or main entrance of a manufacturer.
Mailing Address:	The mailing address of a manufacturer.
City:	The city in which the manufacturer resides.
State/Zip:	The two-letter State or Province and mailing zip code in which the manufacturer resides. Use the drop-down menu to select the two-letter postal code for the State/Province.
Country:	The country in which the facility is located.
Phone:	The phone number of the contact or the manufacturer.
Email:	A working email address of the contact which can be used to assist the reviewers.
Website:	Manufacturer's website where tests will be posted according to the regulations

Date of Submission of 30-Days Notice to EPA:	Date of submission of 30-day notice.
Attach 30 Day letter:	Select Attach 30 Day letter to add the letter attachment.
Date Application Submitted:	Date report is submitted. This font will be red if the date is more than 60 days after testing.
Proposed Test Date:	Date testing is proposed to occur.
Actual Test Date:	Date when testing actually occurred.
Manufacturer Instructions To lab:	Attachment of instructions the Manufacturer provided to the lab for the test.
Was the Compliance test Postponed or suspended:	Select No or Yes. If yes, complete Date of EPA notification
Date of EPA notification:	Date when EPA was notified of test postponement or suspension
Reason for postponement or suspension:	Explanation of why test was postponed or suspended.

# **Manufacturer's Information**

The Manufacturer's Information section of the Wood Heater Application contains information specific to the wood heater manufacturer (Figure 108).

🔳 Wood Heater Application											
	RDS OF P ions and t ie event of	ERFORMANCE he EPA regulatio f a discrepancy, p	FOR NEW RE ns described in please refer to	SIDENTIAL WOOD n this document cont 40 CFR PART 60 Subpa	HEATERS, N ain legally b arts AAA AND	IEW RESIDE	NTIAL HYDRO rements. This o ons 60.533(b) a	NIC HEATER		RNACES provisions or	requlations,
General Information Manufacturer's	information	EPA-Approved T	est Laboratory	EPA-Approved Third Pa	arty Certifier	Compliance Sta	atements <u>A</u> ckno	wledgements	Compliance Statements Cont	. Signatures	
Name of person authorized complete this application:	to										
Address (if different from Manufacturer's Address entered before):	_										
City:					State/ Province:	~	ZIP Cod	2:	Country:		
Phone:			Email:				Website:				
Remarks:											~

Figure 108 - Wood Heater Application Manufacturer's Information

Name of person authorized To complete the application:	Name of manufacturer's authorized contact
Address:	The address of a manufacturer person authorized to complete application (if different from Manufacturer's Address on General Information Tab.
City:	The city in which the manufacturer resides.
State/Zip:	The two-letter State or Province and mailing zip code in which the manufacturer resides. Use the drop-down menu to select the two-letter postal code for the State/Province.
Country:	The country in which the manufacturer is located.
Phone:	The phone number of the contact of the manufacturer.
Email:	A working email address of the contact which can be used to assist the reviewers.
Website:	Manufacturer's website where tests will be posted according to the regulations
Remarks:	Area for comments by manufacturer.

# **EPA-Approved Test Laboratory**

The EPA-Approved Test Laboratory section of the Wood Heater Application contains information specific to the Approved EPA Test Laboratory. Name of the Laboratory, Authorized party for the compliance testing, and contact information are located here (Figure 109).

Wood Heater Application											
APPLICATION F	OR A CERTIFIC	ATE OF CO	OMPLIANCE P	URSUA	NT TO 4	0 CF	r par	T 60 SU	BPARTS AAA AND	QQQQ	
Disclaimer: The statutory provisions nor is it a regulation itself. In the ev notice. If you have additional questi	ent of a discrepancy, p	lease refer to 4	0 CFR PART 60 Subpa	rts AAA AND	QQQQ, Secti	ons 60.	533(b) ar				
General Information   Manufacturer's Infor	mation EPA-Approved Te	est Laboratory E	EPA-Approved Third Par	ty Certifier	Compliance St	tatemen	ts Acknow	vledgements	Compliance Statements Cont.	Signatures	
Name of Test Laboratory:											
Name of person Authorized and for Conducting Compliance Test											
Position/Title:											
Address:											
City:				State/ Province:	-		ZIP Cod	2:	Country:		
Phone:		Email:				Web	site:				
Remarks:											

*Figure 109 - Wood Heater Application EPA-Approved Test Laboratory* 

Name of person authorized And/or responsible for Conducting the test:	Name of laboratory's authorized contact.
Conducting the test.	
Position/Title:	Position or title of authorized person conducting the test.
Address:	The address of a laboratory's person authorized to conduct the test.
City:	The city in which the laboratory resides.
State/Zip:	The two-letter State or Province and mailing zip code in which the laboratory resides. Use the drop-down menu to select the two-letter postal code for the State/Province.
Country:	The country in which the laboratory is located.
Phone:	The phone number of the contact of the laboratory.
Email:	A working email address of the contact which can be used to assist the reviewers.

### **EPA-Approved Third-Party Certifier**

The EPA-Approved Third-Party Certifier section of the Wood Heater Application contains information specific to the Approved Third-Party Certifier. The name of the Certifier and information about the authorized party issuing the conformity statement / reviewing the test reports can be found here (Figure 110).

Wood Heater Application						
2015 STANDARDS Disclaimer: The statutory provisions	OF PERFORMANCE FOR NEW F and the EPA regulations described vent of a discrepancy, please refer t	ESIDENTIAL WOOD HEATERS, in this document contain legally I o 40 CFR PART 60 Subparts AAA ANI	NT TO 40 CFR PART 60 SU NEW RESIDENTIAL HYDRONIC HEATE binding requirements. This document is 0 QQQ, Sections 60:533(b) and 60:5475(b inchez.rafael@epa.gov.	RS AND FORCED-AIR FUR not a substitute for those pr	NACES ovisions or regulations,	
General Information Manufacturer's Infor	mation EPA-Approved Test Laboratory	EPA-Approved Third Party Certifier	Compliance Statements Acknowledgements	Compliance Statements Cont.	Signatures	
Name of third-party Certifier: Name of Person Authorized and Test Report and/or Issuing Certi						
Position/Title:		L				
Address:						
City:		State/ Province	:: ZIP Code:	Country:		
Phone:	Email:		Website:			
Remarks:					^	
					*	

Figure 110 - Wood Heater Application EPA-Approved Third Party Certifier

Name of person authorized And/or responsible for	
reviewing the test report:	Name of third-party certifier
Position/Title:	Position or title of third-party certifier.

Address:	The address of a third-party certifier.
City:	The city in which the third-party certifier resides.
State/Zip:	The two-letter State or Province and mailing zip code in which the third-party certifier resides. Use the drop-down menu to select the two-letter postal code for the State/Province.
Country:	The country where the third-party certifier is located.
Phone:	The phone number of the third-party certifier.
Email:	A working email address of the third-party certifier.

#### **Compliance Statements Acknowledgements**

The Compliance Statements section of the Wood Heater Application provides the manufacturer the location to upload documents related to their product. Each statement of acknowledgement has the option to certify or not certify. Based on the requirements for the statement (Figure 111).

😑 Wood H	eater Application			-		×
	APPLICATION FOR A CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE PURSUANT TO 40 CFR PA	ART 60 SUBPART	S A	AA AND QQQQ		
			DRCI			
nor is it a	r: The statutory provisions and the EPA regulations described in this document contain legally binding requirements. Th requilation itself. In the event of a discrepancy, please refer to 40 CFR PART 60 Subparts AAA AND QQQQ, Sections 60.533(to you have additional questions, please contact Rafael Sanchez at 202-564-7028 or via e mail at sanchez.rafael@epa.gov.					
General Info	mation Manufacturer's Information EPA-Approved Test Laboratory EPA-Approved Third Party Certifier Compliance Statements Ac	knowledgements Compliance	e Stat	ements Cont. Signatures		_
Enginee 60.533(I of each differer	eering Drawings Statement ring drawings and specifications of components that may affect emissions (including specifications for each ) and 60.5475(b). Manufacturers may use assembly or design drawings that have been prepared for other p component listed in paragraph (k) of this section. Manufacturers must identify tolerances of components li t from those specified in that paragraph, and show that such tolerances cannot reasonably be anticipated to le emission limits. The drawings must identify how the emission-critical parts, such as air tubes and catalys	urposes, but must desig sted in paragraph (k)(2) o cause wood heaters ir	gnat of 6 h the	e on the drawings the di 0.533(b) and 60.5475(b) model line to exceed th	mensions that are	
	v	Initials:		Attach Drawings Sta	tement	
A stater from th	x Statement Requirement nent whether the firebox or any firebox component (including the materials listed in paragraph (k)(3) of 60. material used for the firebox or firebox component in the wood heater on which certification testing was tration that any such differences may not reasonably be anticipated to adversely affect emissions or efficie	performed, a description				
- Select	Statement -	Initials:		Attach Firebox Stat	ement	
Complia	entification of any claimed confidential business information (CBI). Submit such information under separat nce Program Lead, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW, Room 7149-D, MS:2227A, Washington, DC 20460. Note that ne emission rates in the format of the standard, cannot be claimed as CBI.					
- Select	Statement -	Initials:		Attach CBI Stater	nent	
All docu test res and vali not com greater that cle	certification Statement mentation pertaining to a valid certification test, including the complete test report and, for all test runs: R lits. Documentation must include the items specified in the applicable test methods. Documentation must dity, and must include detailed discussion of all anomalies, whether all burn rate categories were achieved pleted, the data collected during the test run and the reason(s) that the test run was not completed and wh than the rate that an operator can achieve in home use and no greater than is advertised by the manufactur r/ly presents the individual and overall emission rates, efficiencies and heat outputs. Submit the test repor res for electronic reporting specified in § 60.537(f) and 60.5475(f).	include discussion of e , any data not used in tl y. The burn rate for the er or retailer. The test r	ach t ne ca e Iow epo	test run and its appropria alculations and, for any t / burn rate category mus rt must include a summa	ateness est runs t be no ary table	
- Select	Statement -	Initials:		Attach Certification S	tatement	
	nties f the warranties for the model line, which must include a statement that the warranties are void if the unit and void if not operated according to the owner's manual.	is used to burn materia	ıls fo	or which the unit is not c	ertified by	'
	Statement -	Initials:		Attach Warrant	ies	
	Statement -					•
	the submission of the above requirements. certify the submission of the above requirements.					
100110	certary the submission of the above requirements.					

Figure 111 - Wood Heater Application Compliance Statement Acknowledgements

### **Compliance Statements Cont.**

This is a continuation of the Compliance Statement section (Figure 112). The ability to add to the Wood Heater Attachment(s) is/are show cased here (Figure 113).

🗃 Wood Heater Application	- 0	×
APPLICATION FOR A CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE PURSUANT TO 40 CFR PART 60 SUBPARTS AAA A 2015 STANDARDS OF PERFORMANCE FOR NEW RESIDENTIAL WOOD HEATERS, NEW RESIDENTIAL HYDRONIC HEATERS AND FORCED-AIR Disclaimer: The statutory provisions and the EPA regulations described in this document contain legally binding requirements. This document is not a substitute for th nor is it a regulation itself. In the event of a discrepancy, please refer to 40 CFR PART 60 Subparts AAA AND QQQ, Sections 60.533(b) and 60.5475(b). This document may notice. If you have additional questions, please contact Rafael Sanchez at 202-564-7028 or via email at sanchez.rafael@epa.gov.	R FURNACES nose provisions or regulations,	
General Information Manufacturer's Information EPA-Approved Test Laboratory EPA-Approved Third Party Certifier Compliance Statements Acknowledgements Compliance Statements	s Cont. Signatures	
6.Q/A Statement A statement that the manufacturer will conduct a quality assurance program for the model line that satisfies the requirements of paragraph (m) of th	is section.	
	Attach Q/A Statement	
7.Laboratory Sealing of Unit A statement describing how the tested unit was sealed by the laboratory after the completion of certification testing and asserting that such unit will manufacturer in the sealed state until 5 years after the certification test.	l be stored by the	
	Attach Lab Sealing Statement	
8.Statements that the wood heaters manufactured under this certificate will be: (i) Similar in all material respects that would affect emissions as defined in § 60.531 to the wood heater submitted for certification testing, and labele and 60.5478. (ii) Accompanied by an owner's manual that meets the requirements in § 60.536 and 60.5478. In addition, a copy of the owner's manual must be subm Administrator and be available to the public on the manufacturer's web site.		
	Attach Statements	
9. Third Party Certification Statement A statement that the manufacturer has entered into contracts with an approved laboratory and an approved third-party certifier that satisfy the requi this section.	irements of paragraph (f) of	
	Attach 3rd Party Certification Statement	
10.Approved laboratory/third party Statement A statement that the approved laboratory and approved third-party certifier are allowed to submit information on behalf of the manufacturer, includ	ling any claimed to be CBI.	
	Attach Apporved Lab/3rd Party Statement	
11.Manufacturer's Website Certification Test Reports Availability Statement A statement that the manufacturer will place a copy of the certification test report and summary on the manufacturer's web site available to the pub Administrator issues a certificate of compliance.		
Website URL:	Attach Website Certification Statement	
12. Transferability Acknowledgement Statement A statement of acknowledgment that the certificate of compliance cannot be transferred to another manufacturer or model line without written app	roval by the Administrator.	
	Attach Transferability Acknowledge Statement	
13.Statement about Selling Wood Heaters without an EPA Certificate A statement acknowledging that it is unlawful to sell, distribute or offer to sell or distribute an affected wood heater without a valid certificate of con	mpliance.	
	Attach Selling Statement	
4		•

Figure 112 - Wood Heater Application Compliance Statements Continued.

To attach a document, select "Attach . . ." button. A pop-up as illustrated in Figure 113 will open. Double click on the paper clip to open the attachment box. Select Add. A browser window will open and select the attachment to be added and select "Open". The name of the document should appear in the box next to the paperclip. Select "OK." The attachment box will now have the number of documents added in the parenthesis on the right of the paperclip.

B Wood Heater Application				—
APPLICATION	FOR A CERTIFICATE OF COMP	LIANCE PURSUANT TO 40 CFR	PART 60 SUBPARTS AAA	AND QQQQ
		IAL WOOD HEATERS, NEW RESIDENTIAL H		
nor is it a regulation itself. In the e	vent of a discrepancy, please refer to 40 CFR P	cument contain legally binding requirements ART 60 Subparts AAA AND QQQQ, Sections 60.5 4-7028 or via email at sanchez.rafael@epa.go	33(b) and 60.5475(b). This document ma	
	ters manufactured under this certificate ts that would affect emissions as defined	will be: in § 60.531 to the wood heater submitte	d for certification testing, and labe	leds prescribed i§ 60.536
	manual that meets the requirements in to the public on the manufacturer's web	§ 60.536 and 60.5478. In addition, a copy site.	of the owner's manual must be sub Attach Statements	mitted to the
				Attach Statements
).Third Party Certification State A statement that the manufact	📧 Wood Stove Attachments		- 🗆 X	rements of paragraph (f) of
his section.		[	Show All Attachments	
	Wood Heater Manufactured Stateme	nments 🚽	<mark></mark> ປ(1)	Attach 3rd Party Certification Statement
0.Approved laboratory/third p statement that the approved	*		<u>(0)</u>	ing any claimed to be CBI.
				Attach Apporved Lab/3rd Party Statement
1.Manufacturer's Website Cer statement that the manufact dministrator issues a certifica				ic within 30 days after the
Vebsite URL:	To add or view an attachment: - double click on the "paper clip" symbol - select "add" to add a file	To add more attachment items, enter the bottom row of the attachdesc column. The		Attach Website Certification Statement
2.Transferability Acknowledge statement of acknowledgme	- select "view" to view a file	Tips to reduce the PDF file size: - Create PDF directly from application, - Attach individual components not compiled mate - Use descriptive file names (i.e. M29-field-data 1)		oval by the Administrator.
		- Attach compressed image files (JPG, GIF, PNG) - Scan paper documents at 200 dpi		Attach Transferability Acknowledge Statement
	d Heaters without an EPA Certificate t it is unlawful to sell, distribute or offer	to sell or distribute an affected wood he	ater without a valid certificate of co	ompliance.
				Attach Selling Statement

*Figure 113 – Attach documents related to each compliance statement.* 

# **Signatures**

The signature section of the Wood Heater Application. This signature designates the responsible party who certifies the manufacturer complied with the requirements (Figure 114).

Wood Heater A	Application					- [	· L
	PPLICATION FOR A	A CERTIFICATE OF (	COMPLIANCE PURSUA	NT TO 40 CFR PART 60 SU	BPARTS AAA AND (	QQQQ	
		RFORMANCE FOR NEW RE		IEW RESIDENTIAL HYDRONIC HEATEI	RS AND FORCED-AIR FURN	IACES	
nor is it a requia	tion itself. In the event of	a discrepancy, please refer to		inding requirements. This document is ( ) QQQQ, Sections 60.533(b) and 60.5475(b) nchez.rafael@epa.gov.			
neral Information	Manufacturer's Information						
ignature and		EPA-Approved Test Laboratory	EPA-Approved Third Party Certifier	Compliance Statements Advnowledgements			
ignature and		EPA-Approved Test Laboratory	EPA-Approved Third Party Certifier	Compliance Statements Advnowledgements	Compliance Statements Cont. Signature Date:		
ignature and		EPA-Approved lest Laboratory	EPA-Approved Third Party Certifier	Compliance Statements Advnowledgements			
ignature and		EPA-Approved lest Laboratory	EPA-Approved Third Party Certifier	Compliance Statements Advnowledgements			
ignature and		EPA-Approved lest Laboratory	EPA-Approved Third Party Certifier	Compliance Statements Advnowledgements			
	Title			Compliance Statements Advnowledgements	Signature Date:	:	le
e authorized	Title d or responsible party wl	nose signature is above is	certifying that the manufactu		Signature Date:	: quirements of th	Ie
he authorized	Title d or responsible party wl	nose signature is above is	certifying that the manufactu	rer has complied with and will conti	Signature Date:	: quirements of th	Ie

Figure 114 - Wood Heater Application Signatures

i.

# Chapter 4: Wood Heater Lab Data Entry



Figure 115 – Wood Heater Lab Data Entry on ERT Main Menu

# Run Data

😑 Run Data	Run Data Details       Open Expanded         Jufacturer's Name       Open Expanded         Jel/Serial#:       Model/ Serial Number       Heater Type:         Forced Air Furnace       Image: Comparison of the series of the seri						
Manufacture	er:	Manufacturer's Name			Heater Type:       Forced Air Furnace         ✓       Add New Run Data         >       >		
Model/Seria	<b>!</b> #:	Model/Serial Number		Heater Type:     Forced Air Furnace       Add New Run Data     Delete Run Data			
Select M	etho	d:		Heater Type:     Forced Air Furnace       Add New Run Data     Delete Run Data			
			A/Serial Number Heater Type: Forced Air Furnace Add New Run Data				
			Figu	ure 116 - Run D	ata Details		

The ERT has two methods for the wood heater module in the dropdown of "Select Method": Efficiency Data (B415.1-10) and PM Data (E2515-11) (Figure 116). For the Efficiency Data, the data can be copy and pasted from a spreadsheet to the ERT. For Method 2515-11, the data should be imported from the spreadsheet template into the ERT.

### Add New Run Data

Only one run for a method can be added at a time. To begin entering the Efficiency Data (B415.1-10), select the method from the dropdown menu and add a run number and a date the run was conducted, then select Add Run Data (Figure 117 and 118). A box will pop-up and say "Run has been added. Go to the different tabs and add the run data." Select "OK".




Figure 117 – Add New Run Data

ERT User Manual for Wood heater Certification for Compliance Application and Test Data

😑 Enter New Run Key Data		– 🗆 ×									
Add New Run Data Key Information											
Method: * Efficiency Data (B415. 1-10)	RunNumber: *	RunDate: *									
Add Run Data	Exit with	out Adding Run									

Figure 118 – Add New Run for Efficiency Data (CSA B415.1-10)

Go to the Select Method: drop down and select the run (Figure 119).

🔳 Run Data Details

nufacturer: Ma	mulacturer				Open Expar		
del/Serial#: mo	del number	Heater Type:	Hydronic Heater		Print B415 Results	Red font indicates outsi of range of the method	
Select Method:	PM Data (E2515-11) - 5 - 8/8/2020	~	Add New Run Data	Delete Run Data		Print 2515 Results	regulatory requirement.
	Method					Print Efficiency Results	
	Efficiency Data (B415.1-10) - 1 - 3/31/2016 Efficiency Data (B415.1-10) - 2 - 4/1/2016						
	Efficiency Data (B415, 1-10) - 3 - 4/5/2018						
el/Serial#: mod	Efficiency Data (B415.1-10) - 4 - 4/5/2016						
	PM Data (E2515-11) - 1 - 3/28/2016						
	PM Data (E2515-11) - 2 - 3/29/2016						
	PM Data (E2515-11) - 3 - 3/30/2016						
	PM Data (E2515-11) - 4 - 3/31/2016 PM Data (E2515-11) - 5 - 8/8/2020						

Figure 119 - Select Run Added

This will open a form to the tabs (Header Data and Point Data) to enter the data (Figure 120).

	Manufacturer model number		Heater Type:	Hydronic Heater			Open Expanded Print B415 Results		dicates outs the method
lect Methor	Efficiency Data (B415.1-10	) - 1 - 3/31/2016	~	Add New Run Data	Delete Run	Data	Print 2515 Results		requirements
			< >				Print Efficiency Results		
Data Point	Data								
	Run Number:	1	1	Run Date:	3/31/2016				
						_			
	ID (optional):	004-HHW-060-	-1	Appliance Type:	нн	~			
	Test Duration:	142		Temp. Units:	F	~	Category / Load	Capacity:	
	Burn Category:	4		Weight Units:	lb	~	IV:Max capac	ity	~
W	vood Moisture (% dry):	23.30	, i	Fuel Type:	Oak	<b>~</b>	Override	·	
						<u> </u>			
w	ood Moisture (% wet):	18.90		HHV (kJ/kg):	19887		Heat Input (Qin):	0	(Btu/h)
	Load Weight (lb wet):	79.10		% C:	50.00		Heat Output (Qout):	0	(Btu/h)
	Burn Rate (dry kg/h):	12.30		% H:	6.60		Delivered Efficiency (Ndel):	0	(Btu/h)
	Target Load (BTU/hr):	184508		% 02:	42.90		Stack Loss Efficiency (Nstl):	0	(Btu/h)
Tatal Da	rticulate Emissions (g):			% Ash:		_ [	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		,
TOLATPA	rticulate Emissions (g):	24.78		% ASII:	0.50				
		Show Ca	alculations	Sho	w Results				
Source: Apr	nex F, B415.1-10 (R2015) - Per	rformance testing of	f solid-fuel-burning he	ating appliances. (C) 2	010 Canadian Star	ndards Asso	ciation With permission of Canadian Star dard B415.1-10 (R2015) - Performance t	dards	

Figure 120 – Method CSA B415.1-10 tabs to be completed.

#### Add New Run Data – Spreadsheet Import

To begin entering the PM Data collected by ASTM E2515-11 (E2515-11), select the method from the dropdown menu and add a run number and a date the run was conducted, then select Import from Spreadsheet (Figure 121).




Figure 122 – Import Spreadsheet

The spreadsheet import template is used to import Method ASTM 2515-11 data into the ERT. The spreadsheet import template contains the tables of data that are in the ERT. DO NOT DELETE COLUMNS IN SPREADSHEET or in the ERT TABLES. This data can be linked to the company customized spreadsheets. Company customized spreadsheets can be dragged to the template (like Calculations sheet in Figure 122) and the data can be linked to the specific company data cells. For example, Box A can be completed by linking the first row to the appropriate cell to the company spreadsheet. Then the formula can be dragged down to include the complete elapsed time, as in Figure 123.

x	5	¢.,	-		Wood hea	iter 2515 imp	oort sheet1:2	- Excel			? 📧	- = ×	xI	5-
FIL	E HOI	VIE INSE	RT PAGE L	AYOUT F	ORMULAS	DATA REV	/IEW VIEW	ADD-IN	S ACROB	AT TEAM	🔔 Reed F	loss + 🔍	FI	LE HOME
Norn	Page Layout       Page Layout												Norr	mal Page Brea Preview
	Work	book View	5		Zoo	m		Wind	ow	N	lacros	^		Workbo
		• : )	XV	f <sub>x</sub>								~	A2	·
	А	В	С	D	E	F	G	н	I.	J	К	L 🔺		Α
1	ET												1	ET GAS ME
2	1												2	1
3	2												3	2
4	3												4	3
5	4												5	4
6	5												6	5
7	6												7	6
8	7												8	7
9	8												9	8
10	9												10	9
11	10												11	10
12	11												12	11
13	12												13	12
14	13												14	13
15	14												15	
16	15												16	
17	16 E'											117 1	17	

Figure 123 – Linking Data From Different Worksheets in a Workbook

The data for Box A and Box B are pulled from the Calculations sheet in this example. Once the spreadsheet is completed and ready for import, select the file using ing the browse box that opens when "Import from Spreadsheet" button is selected (Figure 121). Once the import is complete, a pop-up box will be displayed as seen in Figure 124.




Figure 124 – Import Successful




Figure 125 – Select Run Data

To view the data for the different runs when you are on the Run Data Detail screens:

• Select the "*Select Method – Run*" from the list.

This will open a form to the tabs for the selected method and run to enter and edit the data (Figure 125).

#### **Delete Run Data**

😑 Run Data De	tails						
Manufacturer:	Manufacturer				Open Expa	nded	
Model/Serial#:	model number	Heater Type:	Hydronic Heater			Print B415 Results	Red font indicates outside of range of the method or
Select Meth	od: Efficiency Data (B415.1-10) - 1 - 3/31/2016	V	Add New Run Data	Delete Run Data		Print 2515 Results	regulatory requirement.
		< >	I			Print Efficiency Results	

#### Figure 126 – Delete Run Data Menu

Run data can be deleted using the Delete Run Data button (Figure 126).

== De	ASTM E2515-11 4		_		×		
		I	Delete Run	Data			
					s)		
		15-11			RunDate 1/21/2016		
	<					>	
		Delete R	un Data	Exit wi	thout Deletin	g Run	

Figure 127 - Delete Run

Deleting the run data will delete all data for the selected run.

- Click the "**Delete Run Data**" button from the "*Run Data Details Screen*" (Figure 126). The list of method-runs contained in the ERT file be displayed.
- Select the "*Method Run*" that is to be deleted from the list.
- Click the "**Delete Run Data**" button to permanently delete the data and return to the "*Run Data Details*" screen. There will be no warning to confirm the run is to be deleted; if Delete Run Data is selected, the data will be removed (Figure 127).
- Click on "Exit without Deleting Run" to keep the data and return to the "Run Data Details" screen.

### Efficiency Data (CSA B415.1-10)

As stated previously, there are two tabs for the Efficiency Data: Header Data and Point Data.

To access or enter efficiency data:

- Select the Wood Heater Lab Data entry button on the main menu.
- Select the method and run number of the efficiency run or add a new run as described above.
- Header tab is displayed.

#### **Header Data**

Enter the Header data for the efficiency testing method (Figure 128). The fields are as follows:

ID (optional): Identification number or code assigned for test program

ERT User Manual for Wood heater Certification for Compliance Application and Test Data

Test Duration:	Length of duration of test					
Burn Rate Category:	Depending on the appliance type, one of 4 categories ranges of burn rate at which test fuel is consumed in a wood appliance. Measured in kilograms or pounds of wood (dry basis) per hour (kg/hr or lb/hr)					
Appliance Type:	Wood burning appliance capable of and intended for space heating or domestic water heating, as defined in the applicable regulation: single burn rate wood stove, adjustable burn rate wood stove, pellet stove, forced air furnace, hydronic heater- full storage, or hydronic heater - partial thermal storage.					
Temp. Units:	Fahrenheit or Celsius					
Weight Units:	Pounds (lb) or kilogram (kg) – must use lbs on load wt.					
Category/Load Capacity:	Select appropriate Category for test from dropdown list					
Wood Moisture (% dry):	The weight of water in wood divided by the weight of the dry wood only (oven- dry weight) expressed as a percentage.					
Wood Moisture (% wet):	Calculated value - The weight of water in wood divided by the combined weight of the water and the wood (green weight), expressed as a percentage.					
Load Weight (lb wet):	Weight of wood on scale.					
Burn Rate (dry kg/h):	Calculated value					
Target Load (BTU/hr):	Maximum load target value – actual BTU/hr must be within 5% of stated Target Load					
Total Particulate Emission	s (g): Total PM from Method ASTM 2515-11 that was run concurrent with Method CSA 415.1-10					
Fuel Type:	When a fuel type is selected, the HHV (kJ/kg), %C, %H, %O2, and %Ash will be populated. These values can be changed manually if they do not match your values.					
Override values:	Values that can be entered for Heat Input (Qin Btu/hr), Heat Output (Qout Btu/hr), Delivered Efficiency (Ndel BTU/hr) or Stack Loss Efficiency (Nstl Btu/hr)					

#### 📧 Run Data Details anufacturer: Manufacturer Open Expa Heater Type: Hydronic Heater Print B415 Results ed font indicates outside range of the method o egulatory requirement. odel/Serial#: model number lect Method: Efficiency Data (B415, 1-10) - 1 - 3/31/2016 Print 2515 Results 🖂 🛛 Add New Run Data 🔹 🖉 Delete Run Data Print Efficiency Results < > Header Data Point Data Run Number: 1 Run Date: 3/31/2016 ID (optional): 004-HHW-060-1 Appliance Type: HH $\sim$ Test Duration: 142 Temp. Units: F $\sim$ Category / Load Capacity: $\sim$ IV:Max capacity $\sim$ Burn Category: 4 Weight Units: Ib Wood Moisture (% dry): 23.30 **Overrides:** Fuel Type: Oak $\sim$ Wood Moisture (% wet): 18.90 HHV (kJ/kg): 19887 Heat Input (Qin): 0 (Btu/h) Heat Output (Qout): 0 (Btu/h) Load Weight (lb wet): 79.10 %C: 50.00 Delivered Efficiency (Ndel): 0 (Btu/h) Burn Rate (dry kg/h): 12.30 % H: 6.60 Target Load (BTU/hr): 184508 % O2: 42.90 Stack Loss Efficiency (Nstl): 0 (Btu/h) Total Particulate Emissions (g): 24.78 % Ash: 0.50 Show Calculations Show Results Source: Annex F, B415.1-10 (R2015) - Performance testing of solid-fuel-burning heating appliances. (C) 2010 Canadian Standards Association With permission of Canadian Standards Association (operating as "CSA Group") 178 Rexdale Blvd, Toronto, ON M9W 1R3 CANADA, material is reproduced from CSA Group's standard B415.1-10 (R2015) - Performance testing of solid-fuel-burning heating appliances. This material is not the complete and official position of CSA Group on the referenced subject, which is represented to solely by the standard in its entrety. While use of the material had been authorized, CSA Group is not responsible for the manner in which the data is presented, not for any representations and interpretations. No further reproduction is permitted. For more information or to purchase standard(s) from CSA Group, please visit http://shop.csa.ca/ or call (1-800-463-6727)

Figure 128 – Efficiency Data (CSA B415.1-10) Header Data

#### **Point Data**

Once the header data is completed, select the Point Data Tab (Figure 129). The following data will need to be entered. The columns can be copied from the spreadsheet and pasted to this table in the same manner you copy and paste in excel.

Elapsed Time:	Time of test recorded in minute (recorded at least every 10 minutes)
Fuel Wt. Remaining (in lbs):	Weight of wood on scale in pounds.
Flue Gas Temp:	Gas sample temperature taken from chimney during test.
Room Temp:	Air temperature in the test room during test.
FG % O <sub>2</sub> :	Percent oxygen in flue gas during test.
FG % CO <sub>2</sub> :	Percent carbon dioxide in flue gas during test.
FG % CO:	Percent carbon monoxide in flue gas during test.

-8	Run Data Details							
Man	ufacturer: Manu	facturer				Open Expan	ded	
Mod	el/Serial#: mode	l number	Heater T	ype: Hydronic Heater			Print B415 Results	Red font indicates outside of range of the method or
1	Select Method:	fficiency Data (B415.1-10) - 1	L - 3/31/2016	Add New Run Dat	a Delete Run Data		Print 2515 Results	regulatory requirement.
			< >				Print Efficiency Results	
Head	der Data Point Data	•						
	Run Numb	er:	J	Run Date:	3/31/2016			
2	Elapsed Time 👻	Fuel Wt. Remain (II -	Flue Gas Temp. 🕞	Room Temp. 🛛 👻	FG % O2 🗸	FG %CO2	- FG % CO -	[
	0	79.1	436	71	12.14	8.4	8 0.68	
	1	79.1	444	70	13.55	6.8	2 1.02	
	2	78.1	447	71	9.79	9.8	.7 0.3	
	3	77 1	451	71	7.05	13 5	90.0	

Figure 129 – Efficiency Method CSA B415.1-10 Point Data

### Show Calculations

Once the point data is completed, go to the Header tab and you can select show calculations or show results (Figure 130, 131 and 132) and print the results of all the runs by selecting the "Print B415 Results," "Print 2515 Results," or "Print Efficiency Results" button.

acturer: Manufacturer /Serial#: model number		Heater Type:	Hydronic Heater		Open Exp	anded Print B415 Results	Red font indic	
ect Method: Efficiency Data (B415.1-10	0) - 1 - 3/31/2016	~	Add New Run Data	Delete Run Da	ta	Print 2515 Results	of range of th regulatory re	
		< >				Print Efficiency Results		
Data Point Data								
Run Number:	1	]	Run Date:	3/31/2016				
ID (optional):	004-HHW-060-	1	Appliance Type:	HH ~				
Test Duration:	142		Temp. Units:	F v		Category / Load C	apacity:	
Burn Category:	4	]	Weight Units:	Ib 🗸		IV:Max capacit	y	~
							_	
Wood Moisture (% dry):	23.30		Fuel Type:	Oak 🗸		Overrides		
Wood Moisture (% wet):	18.90		HHV (kJ/kg):	19887		Heat Input (Qin):	0 <b>(</b> B	tu/h)
Load Weight (lb wet):	79.10	]	% C:	50.00		Heat Output (Qout):	0 <b>(B</b>	tu/h)
Burn Rate (dry kg/h):	12.30		% H:	6.60	Delivere	d Efficiency (Ndel):	0 <b>(B</b>	tu/h)
Target Load (BTU/hr):	184508	]	% 02:	42.90	Stack L	oss Efficiency (Nstl):	0 <b>(</b> B	tu/h)
					Juck E	ss Emclency (NSU).		, cu, nj
Total Particulate Emissions (g):	24.78		% Ash:	0.50				
	Show Ca	alculations	Sho	w Results				
				010 Canadian Stands	La constant	ermission of Canadian Stand	landa.	

Figure 130 – Efficiency Data (B415.1-10) Show Calculations and Show Results Buttons

RunNur 💞	GP CO 👻	MB k 👻	EL CH4 🗸	CalcPercO2 -	MCwb 🗸	EL CO2 🗸	LoadWtkg 🗸		H1
1	000	0.08	323.81	11.59	18.89699918897	319.77	35.89	6.60	112
	27.62	0.13	595.29	13.16	18.89699918897	306.06	35.89	6.60	
1	12.69	0.03	98.85	10.34	18.89699918897	348.16	35.89	6.60	
1	1.28	0.00	7.15	6.52	18.89699918897	361.33	35.89	6.60	
1	1.90	0.01	18.88	6.57	18.89699918897	368.58	35.89	6.60	
1	6.22	0.03	62.39	4.20	18.89699918897	373.17	35.89	6.60	
1	6.25	0.03	68.71	2.85	18.89699918897	379.04	35.89	6.60	
1	6.01	0.03	62.47	2.74	18.89699918897	381.77	35.89	6.60	
1	5.13	0.04	83.82	2.44	18.89699918897	383.02	35.89	6.60	
1	5.92	0.05	98.68	2.27	18.89699918897	383.00	35.89	6.60	
1	6.21	0.04	83.77	2.38	18.89699918897	387.11	35.89	6.60	
1	12.87	0.08	164.62	1.78	18.89699918897	379.84	35.89	6.60	
1	17.57	0.07	151.22	2.01	18.89699918897	381.75	35.89	6.60	
1	7.92	0.05	108.42	2.57	18.89699918897	387.19	35.89	6.60	
1	18.47	0.11	226.08	1.94	18.89699918897	378.51	35.89	6.60	
1	28.30	0.12	239.57	1.85	18.89699918897	379.24	35.89	6.60	
1	10.26	0.11	228.54	1.89	18.89699918897	379.16	35.89	6.60	
1	8.16	0.10	198.44	2.10	18.89699918897	380.64	35.89	6.60	
1	15.19	0.07	138.50	2.51	18.89699918897	385.55	35.89	6.60	
1	6.23	0.06	133.18	2.70	18.89699918897	384.07	35.89	6.60	
1	2.21	0.06	129.99	2.66	18.89699918897	381.32	35.89	6.60	



Show Results

Run Number	1		Run Date	3/31/2016
	HHV Basis	LHV Basis		
Overall Efficiency	76.0%	81.9%		
Combustion Efficiency	98.5%	98.5%		
Heat Transfer Efficiency	77.1%	83.1%	j	
	405.040.04	176 050 05	(04/b)	
HHV Output Rate (kJ/h)	185,913.84	176,359.36	(Btu/h)	
Burn Rate (kg/h)	12.30	27.11	(lb/h)	
Input (kJ/h)	244,587.35	232,017.52	(Btu/h)	
Test Load Weight (kJ/h)	29.11	64.15	dry lb	
MC wet (%)	18.90			
MC dry (%)	23.30			
Particulate (g)	24.78			
CO (g)	659.41			
Test Duration (h)	2.37			
Emissions	Particulate	co		
g/MJ Output	0.06	1.50		
g/kg Dry Fuel	0.85	22.65		
g/h	10.47	278.63		
lb/MM Btu Output	0.13	3.48		
,	0.120	0.10		
Air/Fuel Ratio (A/F)	9.33			

Figure 132 – Efficiency Data (B415.1-10) Show Results

# PM Data (ASTM E2515-11)

### Preburn

Some of this data can be entered into the spreadsheet and imported – Tunnel Traverse information and Temperatures (Figure 133).

The following header data will need to be hand-entered:

ID (optional):		Identification number or code assigned for test program					
Reading Inter	val:	The interval of recorded data in minutes.					
Run time Pre	Burn (min):	Duration of the pre-burn test time.					
Pitot Tube Ini	tial (Cpi):	Calibration of the Pitot tube, usually 0.99.					
Pitot Tube Co	rrection (Cpfc)	Correction factor used for pitot tube.					
Pitot Tube (C	p):	This will be calculated from Cpi multiplied by Cpfc.					
Dilution Tunn lb/lb-mole:	el MW (dry)	Dilution tunnel dry gas molecular weight (may be assumed ato be 29 g/g mole (lb/lb mole).					
Dilution Tunn lb/lb-mole:	el MW (wet)	This will be calculated (if using assumed values $-28.78$ ).					
Dilution Tunn	el H <sub>2</sub> O (%):	Water vapor in gas stream, proportion by volume (assumed to be 0.02 (2.0%					
Dilution Tunn (in H <sub>2</sub> O):	el Static	Static pressure in dilution tunnel in inches of water.					
Tunnel Area (ft <sub>2</sub> ):		Cross-sectional area of the dilution tunnel in square feet.					
Tunnel Traver	rse Information	:					
Pt:	Sampling poir	nt identification					
dP:	delta $P$ – the velocity pressure measurement in the dilution tunnel as measured with the tube						
Temp:	Temperature of dilution tunnel in fahrenheit						
calcsqrtdP:	The calculated column (orange color) is the square root of the delta P.						
The Temperat	ure table:						
ET:	ET: Elapsed Time – time reading at each recorded point in minutes						
Scale Reading	g: Weight of the	e load by the scale recorded at each recorded point in pounds					
Flue Draft:	Temperature of	of the flue draft gas in fahrenheit					
Left Side:	Temperature of	of the left side of the firebox of the appliance in fahrenheit					
Right Side:	Temperature of the right side of the firebox of the appliance in fahrenheit						
Back:	Temperature of	of the back of the firebox of the appliance in fahrenheit					
Top:	Temperature of	of the top of the firebox of the appliance in fahrenheit					
Bottom:	Temperature of	of the bottom of the firebox of the appliance in fahrenheit					
Stove T (avera	age): This is a c	calculated average from the temperatures provided					
Tunnel veloci	ty, initial tunne	l flow and average tunnel flow are calculated values. The barometric pressure					

Tunnel velocity, initial tunnel flow and average tunnel flow are calculated values. The barometric pressure must be entered into Box A header and the update button on the preburn tab must be selected to complete the calculation.

	cturer: Serial#:	Manufacturer model number			Heat	ter Type: Hydr	onic Heater			Open Expa	Print B415 Results		font indicates out	
ele	ct Meth	d: PM Data (E251	5-11) - 1 - 3	3/28/2016		Ad	ld New Run D	ata De	lete Run Data		Print 2515 Results		ange of the metho gulatory requireme	
											Print Efficiency Result	s		
					< >									
URI	Fuel	Box A Box B B	Box <u>C</u> - 1 ho	ur opt. H	ydronic Heater A	mbient Results	/Efficiency							
											Tunnel Travers	e Informa	ation:	
		Run Number:	1		Dilut	tion Tunnel M	W (dry) lb	/lb-mole:	29.00		🛛 Pt 🕶 dF	- Ter	np 🚽 calcsqr	tdP 🚽
											1	0.036	85 0.18973	66596
		Run Date:	3/28/201	16	Dilut	ion Tunnel MV	V (wet) lb	lb-mole:	28.78		10	0.048	85 <b>0.21908</b>	90230
		ID (optional):	004-HH	W-060-1		Diluti	on Tunnel	H2O (%):	2.00		11	0.046	85 0.2144	76105
								. 7				0.045	85 0.21213	
	R	eading Interval:	10			Dilution Tu	nnel Static	(In H2O):	-0.400			0.039	85 0.19748	
	Run	Time PB (mins):	©∩.	Update			Tunnel	Area(ft2):	0 7854	_		0.046	85 0.2144	
	Run	nine r b (ninis).	80	opuate			runner	aca(itz).	0.7834	_		0.045	85 0.21213	
	Pitot Tu	ube Initial (Cpi):	0.99	Pit	ot Tube Corre	tion (Cpfc): 1		Pitot Tul	be (Cp): 0.9	9		0.045	85 0.21213	
		Conditionir		50	noto: cho	uld be conditi	anad for 5	0 hours pr	otoct			0.042	85 0.20493 85 0.21213	
		conditionin	ig nours.		note. sho	ala be conditi	oneu tor 5	onours pr	etest		-	0.052	85 0.22803	
											9	0.05	85 0.22360	
-	eratures				[						- *			
E		CALE READING -			LEFT SIDE 👻				OTTO - Sto		Record: I4 4	of 12 🔸	No 🏹 No	F 4 F
	0	68.		-0.035	6015	6016	6018	6016	6016	6016.2				
	10 20	56. 46.		-0.041 -0.052	6016 6016	6016 6017	6019 6019	6016 6017	6017 6017	6016.8 6017.2	TunnelMala		12.0041	
	30	40.		-0.032	6016	6017	6019	6017	6018	6017.2	Tunnel Veloc	ity:	13.9941	
	40	30.		-0.04	6017	6018	6020	6018	6018	6018.2	Initial Tunne	Flow:	637.655	
	50	24.		-0.041	6017	6018	6020	6018	6018	6018.2				
	60	17.		-0.041	6017	6018	6020	6018	6018	6018.2	Average Tuni	nel Flow:	592.687	
	70	1	3	-0.039	6017	6018	6020	6018	6018	6018.2				
	80	8.	6	-0.044	6017	6018	6020	6018	6019	6018.4	Upd	ate		

Figure 133 – Particulate Matter (ASTM E2515-11) Preburn Data

#### Fuel

The Fuel tab contains the Fire box dimensions – actual and usable if these dimensions are different an area to explain the differences (Figure 134).

Fire Box Dimensions:

Length (in) Actual:	Actual measured length of fire box
Width (in) Actual:	Actual measured width of fire box
Height (in) Actual:	Actual measured height of fire box
Length (in) Usable:	Usable measured length of fire box
Width (in) Usable:	Usable measured width of fire box
Height (in) Usable:	Usable measured height of fire box
Fuel Measurements:	
Fuel Length (in):	Measured length of the piece of wood; red font when
Fuel Width (in):	Measured width of the piece of wood
Fuel Height (in):	Measured height of the piece of wood
Fuel weight (lbs):	Weight of the piece of wood

						Open Expa		Prod Granting Instances which
erial#: model number		Heate	er Type: Hydro	nic Heater			Print B415 Re	of range of the method of
ct Method: PM Data (E2515-1	1) - 1 - 3/28/2016	i	✓ Add	New Run Data	Delete Run Data		Print 2515 Re	sults regulatory requirement
		< >					Print Efficiency	Results
Fuel Box A Box B Box	C - 1 hour ont		hient Peculte / F	Efficiency				
	C Thou opt.	Trydronic Heater A	ibient results / t	Emocricy				
Fire Box Dimensions	Actual	Usable	If usea	ble and actual vol	lume are differe	ent, please exp	lain:	
Length(in):	27.00	27.00					_	
		· · ·	_					
Width(in):		21.25						
Height(in):		21.00						
	0.00	6.07	_					
Volume (ft3):	0.00	6.97					_	
d:								
FuelPiece	✓ Fuel	Length( - Fuel )	Width(i - Fuel	l Height( → Fuel V	Neight 🗸 Load I	Dimensi 🗸		
	+ Fuel	Length( - Fuel ) 24.90	Vidth(i • Fuel 24.50	l Height( + Fuel V 23.50	Weight - Load 8.55	Dimensi 🗸 14,336.18	٦.	
Z FuelPiece	- Fuel				-		٦,	
FuelPiece	+ Fuel	24.90	24.50	23.50 22.70 25.00	8.55	14,336.18 13,959.37 12,285.00	٦	Total Load Weight (lbs): 77.60
FuelPiece 1 2 3 4	+ Fuel	24.90 25.10 21.00 25.30	24.50 24.50 23.40 20.90	23.50 22.70 25.00 23.70	8.55 8.44 11.00 10.50	14,336.18 13,959.37 12,285.00 12,531.85		Total Load Weight (lbs): 77.60 Total Load Volume (ft3): 62.73
FuelPiece	+ Fuel	24.90 25.10 21.00 25.30 24.40	24.50 24.50 23.40 20.90 22.90	23.50 22.70 25.00 23.70 24.20	8.55 8.44 11.00 10.50 8.38	14,336.18 13,959.37 12,285.00 12,531.85 13,521.99		
FuelPiece	- Fuel	24.90 25.10 21.00 25.30 24.40 25.00	24.50 24.50 23.40 20.90 22.90 24.50	23.50 22.70 25.00 23.70 24.20 21.00	8.55 8.44 11.00 10.50 8.38 10.23	14,336.18 13,959.37 12,285.00 12,531.85 13,521.99 12,862.50		
FuelPiece	• Fuel	24.90 25.10 21.00 25.30 24.40 25.00 24.50	24.50 24.50 23.40 20.90 22.90 24.50 24.10	23.50 22.70 25.00 23.70 24.20 21.00 22.70	8.55 8.44 11.00 10.50 8.38 10.23 10.50	14,336.18 13,959.37 12,285.00 12,531.85 13,521.99 12,862.50 13,403.22		Total Load Volume (ft3): 62.73
FuelPiece	✓ Fuel	24.90 25.10 21.00 25.30 24.40 25.00 24.50 25.30	24.50 24.50 23.40 20.90 22.90 24.50 24.10 24.50	23.50 22.70 25.00 23.70 24.20 21.00 22.70 25.00	8.55           8.44           11.00           10.50           8.38           10.23           10.50           10.50	14,336.18 13,959.37 12,285.00 12,531.85 13,521.99 12,862.50 13,403.22 15,496.25		Total Load Volume (ft3): 62.73
FuelPiece	✓ Fuel	24.90 25.10 21.00 25.30 24.40 25.00 24.50	24.50 24.50 23.40 20.90 22.90 24.50 24.10	23.50 22.70 25.00 23.70 24.20 21.00 22.70	8.55 8.44 11.00 10.50 8.38 10.23 10.50	14,336.18 13,959.37 12,285.00 12,531.85 13,521.99 12,862.50 13,403.22		Total Load Volume (ft3): 62.73
FuelPiece	✓ Fuel	24.90 25.10 21.00 25.30 24.40 25.00 24.50 25.30	24.50 24.50 23.40 20.90 22.90 24.50 24.10 24.50	23.50 22.70 25.00 23.70 24.20 21.00 22.70 25.00	8.55           8.44           11.00           10.50           8.38           10.23           10.50           10.50	14,336.18 13,959.37 12,285.00 12,531.85 13,521.99 12,862.50 13,403.22 15,496.25		Total Load Volume (ft3): 62.73
FuelPiece	✓ Fuel	24.90 25.10 21.00 25.30 24.40 25.00 24.50 25.30	24.50 24.50 23.40 20.90 22.90 24.50 24.10 24.50	23.50 22.70 25.00 23.70 24.20 21.00 22.70 25.00	8.55           8.44           11.00           10.50           8.38           10.23           10.50           10.50	14,336.18 13,959.37 12,285.00 12,531.85 13,521.99 12,862.50 13,403.22 15,496.25		Total Load Volume (ft3): 62.73
FuelPiece	✓ Fuel	24.90 25.10 21.00 25.30 24.40 25.00 24.50 25.30	24.50 24.50 23.40 20.90 22.90 24.50 24.10 24.50	23.50 22.70 25.00 23.70 24.20 21.00 22.70 25.00	8.55           8.44           11.00           10.50           8.38           10.23           10.50           10.50	14,336.18 13,959.37 12,285.00 12,531.85 13,521.99 12,862.50 13,403.22 15,496.25		Total Load Volume (ft3): 62.73
FuelPiece	✓ Fuel	24.90 25.10 21.00 25.30 24.40 25.00 24.50 25.30	24.50 24.50 23.40 20.90 22.90 24.50 24.10 24.50	23.50 22.70 25.00 23.70 24.20 21.00 22.70 25.00	8.55           8.44           11.00           10.50           8.38           10.23           10.50           10.50	14,336.18 13,959.37 12,285.00 12,531.85 13,521.99 12,862.50 13,403.22 15,496.25		Total Load Volume (ft3): 62.73

Figure 134 – Fuel Information Tab (ASTM E2515-11)

### Box A, Box B and Box C

Box A, Box B and Box C general header data elements are similar. Box A is the only box that has the barometric pressure and the ambient train leak check information. The data needs to be entered according to the information for each box. The spreadsheet template should be used to import the point data.

### Box A

The header data elements for Box A tab (Figure 135) are as follows:

Reading Interval:	The interval of recorded data, in minutes – <b>MUST be filled to complete</b> calculations
Sample Box:	Sample box identification
Front Filter #:	Sample identification number of front filter
Final Leak Rate (cfm):	Leak rate on Box A front filter sample train in cubic foot per minute
Test Start Time:	Clock test start time
Room Temp (F):	Room temperature of test location, in fahrenheit
Meter Y Factor:	Gamma calibration factor of meter box
Rear filter #:	Sample identification number of rear filter
Final leak Rate CFM:	Leak rate on Box A rear filter sample train in cubic foot per minute
Run time (min):	Duration of test run, in minutes

ERT User Manual for Wood heater Certification for Compliance Application and Test Data

Barometric Pressure:	Barometric pressure at sampling site recorded at the beginning, middle and end of the test, in inches of mercury
Probe Material:	Probed material used to sample flue gas
Ambient Filter#:	Sample identification number of ambient filter
Filter Final Leak Rate:	Leak rate on ambient sample train in cubic foot per minute
Fuel Moisture DB (%):	The weight of water in wood divided by the weight of the dry wood only
	(oven-dry weight) expressed as a percentage.
Volume:	Volume of ambient sample collected, in liters
The Box A Point data (F	Figure 135) is as follows:
ET:	Elapsed Time – time reading at each recorded point in minutes
Gas Meter Volume:	Volume reading at each recorded point dry cubic meter
Delta P:	Velocity pressure in dilution tunnel as measured with the pitot tube at each recorded point of the test in inches of water
Delta H:	Average differential pressure across the orifice meter, if used, in inches of water
Filter Vacuum:	Vacuum across the filter at each recorded point
Scale Weight:	The weight of the fuel on scale at each recorded point, in pounds
Tunnel Temp:	Dilution tunnel temperature at each recorded point, in fahrenheit
Flue Temp:	Flue gas temperature at each recorded point, in fahrenheit
Filter Temp:	Filter temperature at each recorded point – must be below $90^{\circ}$ F
FB Rear Temp:	Firebox rear temperature at each recorded point, in fahrenheit
Impinger Temp:	Impinger temperature at each recorded point, in fahrenheit
Meter Temp:	Meter temperature at each recorded point, in fahrenheit
Ambient Temp:	Ambient temperature at each recorded point, in fahrenheit

lanufacturer:	Manufacturer						Open Ex	panded				
Nodel/Serial#:	model number		Heater T	ype: Hydron	ic Heater			Print B41	5 Results			ates outside e method or
Select Metho	nd: PM Data (E25)	15-11) - 1 - 3/28/2016	5	Add I	New Run Data	Delete Run Data		Print 251	5 Results	reg	latory re	quirement.
			< >					Print Efficier	ncy Results			
REBURN Fuel	Box A Box B	Box C - 1 hour opt.	Hydronic Heater Ambie	nt Results / E	fficiency							
	Run Number:	1	ROOM TE	MP (F): 70.6			Barometr	ic Pressure	BEG	MID	END	Avg.
		■ 3/28/2016	_	.,				(in.Hg.):	30.17	30.17 3	0.17	30.17
Re	eading Interval:	1										
	SAMPLE BOX:	Α	METER Y FA	CTOR : 1.00	2		PROBE	MATERIAL:	SS			
F	RONT FILTER #:	2716&2721	REAR FI	LTER #: 271	7		AMBIEN	NT FILTER #:	2720	VOI	UME:	779.92
INITIAL LEA	AK RATE (CFM):	0.01	FINAL LEAK RATE	(CFM): 0.01			VACUL	IM (in. Hg):	5			
TE	ST START TIME:	1:54:00 PM	RUN TIME	(mins): 142	Update		FUEL MOIST	URE DB(%):	23.3			
Box A Point	Data											
		Upo	date Table					Show	Tunnel Vel	ocity _Propo	rtional Ra	ites
Z ET	- GAS METER	VOLUN - calcSAI	MF 👻 DELTA P 👻	DELTA H 👻	FILTER VAC	<ul> <li>Scale Weigl -</li> </ul>	calcWeig - T	UNNEL TEMP	✓ FLUE	✓ FILTER	·▼ F	B REAR TEI
		0	0 0.044		0.0	)7 79.1	0	1	.23 4	30	74	
9	0	-	0.129 0.044	1.9	9 -0.7	79 79.1	0		27 4	36	76	

Figure 135 - Particulate Matter Data (ASTM E2515-11) Box A

ERT User Manual for Wood heater Certification for Compliance Application and Test Data

# Box B

The header data element	ts for Box B tab (Figure 136) are as follows:
Reading Interval:	The interval of recorded data, in minutes
Sample Box:	Sample box identification
Front Filter #:	Sample identification number of front filter
Final Leak Rate (cfm):	Leak rate on Box B front filter sample train in cubic foot per minute
Test Start Time:	Clock test start time
Room Temp (F):	Room temperature of test location, in fahrenheit
Meter Y Factor:	Gamma calibration factor of meter box
Rear filter #:	Sample identification number of rear filter
Final leak Rate CFM:	Leak rate on Box B rear filter sample train in cubic foot per minute
Run time (min):	Duration of test run, in minutes
Probe Material:	Probed material used to sample flue gas
The Box B Point data (F	Figure 136) is as follows:
ET:	Elapsed Time – time reading at each recorded point in minutes
Gas Meter Volume:	Volume reading at each recorded point dry cubic meter
Flue Draft:	delta P - Velocity pressure of the flue gas as measured with the pitot tube at each recorded point of the test in inches of water $% \left( {{{\mathbf{F}}_{\mathbf{r}}}^{T}} \right)$
Orifice Delta H:	Average differential pressure across the orifice meter, if used, in inches of water
Filter Vacuum:	Vacuum across the filter at each recorded point
Left Side:	Temperature of the left side of the firebox of the appliance at each recorded point in fahrenheit
Right Side:	Temperature of the right side of the firebox of the appliance, in fahrenheit
Filter Temp:	Filter temperature, in fahrenheit
FB Rear Temp:	Temperature of the back of the firebox of the appliance, in fahrenheit
FB Bot Temp:	Temperature of the bottom of the firebox of the appliance, in fahrenheit
Meter Temp:	Meter Temperature, in fahrenheit

Box A Box B RunNumber:	3/28/2016 1 B 2718	dronic Heater Ambie	FACTOR : 1.001	r Run Data D	Velete Run Data	Prin	of ran of ran regulation of ra	ont indicates outside ge of the method or latory requirement.
Box A Box B RunNumber: RunDate: ING INTERVAL: SAMPLE BOX: RONT FILTER #:	Box C - 1 hour opt. Hyd 3/28/2016 1 8 2718	vdronic Heater Ambie METER Y REAR	FACTOR : 1.001	ency		Print E	fficiency Results	
RunNumber: RunDate: ING INTERVAL: SAMPLE BOX: RONT FILTER #:	1 3/28/2016 1 B 2718	vdronic Heater Ambie METER Y REAR	FACTOR : 1.001	1	PF			
RunNumber: RunDate: ING INTERVAL: SAMPLE BOX: RONT FILTER #:	1 3/28/2016 1 B 2718	METER Y REAR	FACTOR : 1.001	1	PF	ROBE MATERIAL: 51	3	
RunDate: ING INTERVAL: SAMPLE BOX: RONT FILTER #:	3/28/2016 1 B 2718	REAR	FILTER #: 2719		PR	ROBE MATERIAL: s:	5	
ING INTERVAL: SAMPLE BOX: RONT FILTER #:	1 B 2718	REAR	FILTER #: 2719		PR	ROBE MATERIAL: 53	5	
SAMPLE BOX: RONT FILTER #:	- В 2718	REAR	FILTER #: 2719		PR	ROBE MATERIAL: 5	5	
RONT FILTER #:	2718	REAR	FILTER #: 2719		PR	ROBE MATERIAL: 5	5	
		_						
K RATE (CFM):	0.01	FINAL LEAK RA						
			TE(CFM): 0.01		V	ACUUM (in. Hg): 4		
T START TIME:	1:54:00 PM	RUN TIM	IE (mins): 142	Update				
ta								
- GAS ME	TER VOLUM + calcs			RIFICE DELTA H				FILTER TEMP
0	0		0	IN ICE DELIA II				
1	0.117	0.117	-0.04	1	L.99 -1	.2 60	018 601	8
2	0.253	0.136	-0.05	1	L.97 -1.8	84 60	018 601	8
	✓ GAS ME	GAS METER VOLUM + calc     O     O     O     O     O     O     O     O     O     O     O     O     O     O     O     O	GAS METER VOLUM + calcSAMPLE RA + FLI     0 0 0 1 0.117	GAS METER VOLUM + calcSAMPLE RA + FLUE DRAFT + O     O     O     O     O     O     O     O     O     O     O     O     O     O	GAS METER VOLUM      calcSAMPLE RA      FLUE DRAFT      ORIFICE DELTA H     O	GAS METER VOLUM      calcSAMPLE RA      FLUE DRAFT      ORIFICE DELTA H      FILTER VAC     O	• GAS METER VOLUM •         calcSAMPLE RA •         FLUE DRAFT •         ORIFICE DELTA H •         FILTER VAC •         LEFT SIDE TEMP           0         0         0         0         0         -1         66           1         0.117         -0.04         1.99         -1.2         66	•         GAS METER VOLUM •         calcSAMPLE RA •         FLUE DRAFT •         ORIFICE DELTA H •         FILTER VAC •         LEFT SIDE TEMP •         RIGHT SIDE TEMP •           0         0         0         0         -1         6018         6011           1         0.117         0.117         -0.04         1.99         -1.2         6018         601

Figure 136 - Particulate Matter Data (E2515-11) Box B

#### Box C – 1-hour Option

Data elements for the Box C – 1-Hour Option (Figure 137) tab are the same as Box B

🖼 Run Data Details			
Manufacturer: Manufacturer Model/Serial#: model number	Heater Type: Hydronic Heater	Open Exp	Print B415 Results Red font indicates outside of range of the method or
Select Method: PM Data (E2515-11) - 1 - 3/28/2016	Add New Run Data	Delete Run Data	Print 2515 Results regulatory requirement. Print Efficiency Results
PREBURN Fuel Box A Box B Box C - 1 hour opt.	Hydronic Heater Ambient Results / Efficiency		
RunNumber: 1			
RunDate: 3/28/2016			
READING INTERVAL: 1			
SAMPLE BOX:	METER Y FACTOR :	PROBE MATERIA	AL:
FRONT FILTER #:	REAR FILTER #:		
INITIAL LEAK RATE (CFM):	FINAL LEAK RATE(CFM):	VACUUM (in. H	g):
TEST START TIME: 1:54:00 PM	RUN TIME (mins): Update	l in the second s	
Box C Point Data			
ET • GAS METER VOLUM • ca	IcSAMPLE RA - ORIFICE DELTA H - FILTE	R VAC • LEFT SIDE TEMP • RIGH	T SIDE TEMP V FILTER TEMP V METER TEMI

Figure 137 - PM Data (E2515-11) Box C – 1 Hour Option

### **Hydronic Heater**

The Hydronic Heater tab (Figure 138) contains information only about the Hydronic Heater testing parameters. If this appliance is not a hydronic heater, this tab can be skipped. The data elements are:

ET:	Elapsed Time – time reading at each recorded point in minutes
APPL GPM:	Volumetric flow rate of water in heat exchange system in gallons per minute
APPL Tin:	T6 - Temperature of return water as it enters the heater/boiler in fahrenheit.
APPL Tout:	T5 - Temperature of hot water supply as it leaves the heater/boiler in fahrenheit.

LOAD GPM:	Vfi – total water volume at the beginning of the test time interval in gallons per minute
LOAD Tin:	T3 – Temperature of cooling water at the inlet of the load side of the heat exchange in fahrenheit
LOAD Tout:	T4 - Temperature of cooling water at the outlet of the load side of the heat exchange in fahrenheit
T PILE:	The thermopile reading the differential water temperature at each recorded point
LD BTU/hr:	The average heat output rate on the load side of the heat exchanger based on water temperature and flow rate
Appl Dry:	Weight of appliance without water
Appl Water:	Weight of the appliance and water

From this data, Hydronic Heater Summary Calculations will be conducted for temperature average at the beginning and ending of the run, Appliance weight and water weight, Appliance average change in temperature, total minutes of run, total BTU, Total BTU with water, Total BTU with water and steel, BTU/hr.

	ata Details											
ufactu	irer: Manufa	acturer							Open Expande	d		
el/Ser	ial#: model	number		He	ater Type: 📕	/dronic Heater				Print B415 Result		ndicates outside of the method or
elect	Method: PM	I Data (E25	15-11) - 1 - 3/28/20	016	$\sim$	Add New Run Data	Delete Run	Data		Print 2515 Result	ts regulato	ry requirement.
				<	>				Pri	int Efficiency Res	ults	
URN	Fuel Box A	Box B	Box C - 1 hour opt	Hydronic Heater	Ambient Resul	ts / Efficiency						
ydror	nic Heater Su	ummary (	Calculations		_							
	ET beg	gin 0			APPL Weight	1850			Total B	TU 416624		
	ET e	nd 142		v	/ater Weight	1485		Tota	al BTU with Wa	ter -12537		
	Tavg Beg	gin 151.5		АРР	L DELTA Tavg	-8.435	Т	otal BTU w	ith H2O and Ste	eel -1560		
	Tavg E	nd 143.0	65		Ср	1.00137902098			BTU	/Hr 170082		
APF	PLWT+Wat	er 3335			Сра	1.000877325		Update	Calculations			
				т	otal Minutes	142						
•	nic Heater P											
			APPLTin 👻	APPL Tout 👻								calcdensity
<i>.</i> 7	0	10.252	131	172	3.965	54	160	98		1850	3335	8.3
	1	10.24	130.29	171.67	3.937	54.28	159.72		192987.75	1850	3335	8.3
	2	10.197	129.8	171.45 171.04	3.957 3.913	54.32 54.44	159.39 159.32				3335 3335	8.3
	3	10.165	130.05									

*Figure 138-ASTM E2515-11 Temperature Data – Hydronic Heater* 

#### Ambient

The Ambient tab (Figure 139) contains the following data elements for the ambient train:

Meter Y Factor:	Gamma calibration factor of ambient meter box
Sample volume (L) start:	Volume recorded at the start of the test
Sample volume (L) end:	Volume recorded at the end of the test
Meter Temp (F) start:	Temperature of the meter box at the start of the test
Meter Temp (F) end:	Temperature of the meter box at the end of the test
Delta H start:	Differential pressure across the orifice meter, if used, in inches of water at the start of the test

Delta H end:	Differential pressure across the orifice meter, if used, in inches of water at the end of the test
Delta P start:	Velocity pressure for ambient probe in inches of water at start of test
Delta P end:	Velocity pressure for ambient probe in inches of water at end of test
Total particulates (mg):	Total mass of particulate matter collected on filter, filter gasket, and probe assembly from ambient train in mg

-8	Run Data Details

anufacturer:	Manufacturer					Open Expa	anded	
del/Serial#:	1		Heater Type:	Hydronic Heater			Print B415 Results	Red font indicates outsid of range of the method o
Select Metho	d: PM Data (E2515-11	l) - 1 - 3/28/2016	$\sim$	Add New Run Data	Delete Run Dat	ta	Print 2515 Results	regulatory requirement.
			< >				Print Efficiency Results	
BURN Fuel	Box A Box B Box G	C - 1 hour opt. Hydron	c Heater Ambient Res	sults / Efficiency				
			Meter Y Factor:	0.000				
				0.999				
			Sample Volume L	Meter Temp (F)	Delta H	Delta P		
		Start:	0	80	0	0		
		End:	779.92	99	0	0		
				Sample Informat	ion			
				Total Sample Vo	lume (Liters):	779.92		
					Volume (ft3):	27.543		
				Average Sample R	ate (ft3/min):	0.194		
				Sample	Time (mins):	142		
				Average Meter Ter	nperature (F):	89.5		
		Total Sa	mple Volume (Stan	dard Conditions) -	Vmstd (dscf):	26.66		
				Total Part	iculates (mg):	0.5		
		Da	rticulate Concentra					
		Pa						
			Particul	late Emission Rate	(grams/hour):	0.0002112676056		

Figure 139 – ASTM E2515-11 Ambient Data

#### **Results / Efficiency**

This tab (Figure 140) displays the results and efficiency information from the particulate matter testing method- ASTM 2515-11. The button *Show Results* and *Show Efficiency Calcs* open windows showcasing this data (Figure 141 and 142). Each filter particulate mass needs to be entered, the train (box) used for one-hour sampling and the mass collected on the one-hour filter and the HHV and LHV for efficiencies. If this is a partial thermal storage unit, the start-up, steady state and end time needs to be recorded and the mass on the filter for each section needs to be entered.




Figure 140 ASTM E2515-11 PM Results and CSA 415.1-10 Efficiency Results

# Show Results

Results for Run Number: 4 Run Date: 3/31/2016		×		
Burn Rate (Kg/Hr Dry): 6.61	Sample A Information	Sample B InformaTion		
Total Sample Volume - Vm:	36.81	36.19		
Average Gas Velocity in Dilution Tunnel - vs (ft/sec):	13.72	13.72		
Average Gas Flow Rate in Dilution Tunnel - Qsd (dscf/hour):	36,528.04	36,528.04		
Total Sample Volume (Standard Conditions) - Vmstd (dscf):	35.24	34.79		
Average Tunnel Temperature (F):	92.4	92.4		
Average Delta P:	0.041	0.041		
Average Gas Meter Temperature (F):	99	96		
Average Delta H (in-H20):	1.99	1.98		
Total Time of Test (mins):	259	259		
Total Particulates (mg):	3.4	3.5		
Particulate Concentration (dry-standard) (grams/dscf):	0.000096469	0.000100596		
Ambient Train (dry-standard) (grams/dscf):	0.000008346	0.000008346		
Net (dry-standard) (grams/dscf):	0.000088122	0.000092250		
Particulate Emission Rate (grams/hour):	3.22	3.37		
Total PM Emissions (grams):	13.90	14.55		
Average Total PM Emissions (grams):	14	1.22		
AVERAGE PARTICULATE EMISSIONS RATE (grams/hour):	3.	.29		
% OF AVERAGE:	97.7	102.3		
Emissions Factor (g/kg-Dry):	0.487	0.510		
ONE HOUR PARTICULATE EMISSIONS RATE (grams/hour):	Select One	e Hour Train		
ONE HOUR PM (grams):	0.500			
ONE HOUR BOX USED:				

Figure 141 – ASTM E2515-11Particulate Matter Data Results

ERT User Manual for Wood heater Certification for Compliance Application and Test Data

# Show Efficiency Calcs

Show Efficiency Calculations opened from the Show Efficiency Calcs button (Figure 142).

Results for Run Number: 4 Run Date: 3/31/2016 ×											
HeatOutput:	170082	Btu/hr	179.326	MJ/hr							
Emissions:	0.1352	lb/MMBtu Out	0.0582	g/MJ	0.8483 g/dry kg	10.4302 g/hr					
Qin:	551,711	BTU	582	MJ							
Qin LHV:	512,450	BTU	540	MJ							
Delivered Efficiency:	72.96%	ndel	78.55%	ndel LHV							
	g/hr	Time (hrs)	Total Grams								
ET:	10.43	2.37	24.68								
Fuel Load:	79.1										
Moisture Content:	23.30										

Figure 142 – ASTM E2515-11PM Results and CSA 415.1-10 Efficiency Calculations

# Chapter 5: Wood Heater Summary Tables



Figure 143 – Wood Heater Summary Table Menu

Summary tables are accessed in the wood heater model by selecting the "Wood Heater Summary Tables" button (Figure 143). Summary tables are determined by the appliance, fuel type, loading and test methods. These summaries are autogenerate from selection made in the application section and data entered into the Wood Heater Lab Data Entry section. All summary tables can be printed by selecting the "Print Summaries" button. The screen shot below have been spliced so all fields can be seen when scrolled to the right within the tool. To expand the title of the column, double click on the column line.

# **Hydronic Heaters**

#### **Data Summary Part A**

79

Hydronic Heaters Data Summary Part A data table (Figure 144).

ummary													
nufacturer:	Manufacturer	s name											
del:	Model Number			Hydronic Heater									
	Print Summ	maries Red font in	ndicates information or values o	outside the range of the method	or the regulation re	quirements							
Summary Part /	A Data Summa	ry Part B Additional Infi	ormation Annual Weighting										
,													
Z Cat Lo	oadCapacity	- Run Number -	Target Load (Btu/hr) 🔻	Actual Load (Btu/br -	Max Load 👻	Actual Load (% of	Max) -	Test Duration (hr) 👻	Wfue	el (Wood V	Veight	t as-fired l	b)
I: < 15%		~ 2	27,676		176,359		15.16%	15.03					~,
II: 16-249		3	44,282		176,359		24.83%	8.85					
III: 25-50	% of max	4	92,254	96,699	176,359		54.83%	4.32					
IV:Max c	apacity	1	184,508	176,359	176,359		95.58%	2.37					
													-
Vfuel (Wo	ood Weig	ht as-fired lb)	- MCave (Wood	Moisture (%DB) 👻	Qin Heat I	nput (Btu) 👻	Qout H	leat Output (Btu	) -	orQin	- C	orQout	-
		7	77	22.40		538,793		446,	755		0		0
		7	76	23.50		527,068		430,	726		0		0
								,			-		
		-	7	22.60		537,914		463,	9/15		0		0

Figure 144 - Hydronic Heaters – Data Summary Part A

548,734

463,910

0

23.30

0

#### **Data Summary Part B**

Hydronic Heaters Data Summary Part B data table (Figure 145).

odel: Model Nu	mber	Hyd	ronic Heater		
Print	Summaries Re	ed font indicates information or values outside	the range of the method or the regulation	on requirements	
Common Parts Data St	ummary Part B	onal Information Annual Weighting			
Summary Part / Data Si	Initiary Part B	onal Information Annual Weighting			
Cat_LoadCapacity	RunNumber 👻	T2 - Min Return Water Temp (F) 👻	ET - Total PM Emissions (g) -	E - PM Output Based (Ib/mmBTU Out) 👻	E - PM Output Based (g/MJ Out)
I: < 15% of max	2	154.04	24.82	0.14	0.06
II: 16-24% of max	3	151.82	12.26	0.07	0.03
III: 25-50% of max	4	143.26	14.28	0.08	0.03
IV:Max capacity	1	125.6	24.78	0.13	0.06

E - PM Output Based (g/MJ Out) 🔻	Eg/hr - PM Rate (g/hr) 🔻	Eg/kg - PM Factor (g/kg) 🔻	Ndel - Delivered Efficiency (%) 👻	Nslm - Stack Loss Efficiency (%) 🔻
0.06	1.65	0.87	54.54%	74.6%
0.03	1.39	0.44	62.33%	73.5%
0.03	3.31	0.50	65.30%	77.5%
0.06	10.47	0.85	72.96%	76.0%

Figure 145 - Hydronic Heaters – Data Summary Part B

#### **Additional Information**

Hydronic Heaters Additional Information data table (Figure 146).

📧 Summary									
	Manufacturer's name								
Model:	Model Number	Hydronic Heater							
	Print Summaries Red font indicates information or values outside the range of the method or the regulation requirements								
Data Summary Part A	Data Summary Part	tion nual Weighting							
Z Maximum	Output Rating- Qmax (Btu/hr) 🕞	Annual Efficiency Rating - Navg (HHV) 🔹	Particulate Emissions - Eavg (g/hr weighted ave) 🔹 Carbon Monoxide - CO (g/min) 🔹						
176,359		75.40%	19.04 659.41						

Figure 146 - Hydronic Heaters – Additional Information

#### **Annual Weighting**

Hydronic Heaters Annual Weighting data table (Figure 147).

	r's name					
Iodel: Model Number	r				Hydronic Heater	
Print Sum	maries Re	ed font indicates info	rmation or	values out	tside the range of the method or the regulation req	uirements
			nnual Weid	ab ting		
ata Summary Part A Data Summa	ary Part B Addition	onal Information				
🗸 Cat_LoadCapacity 👻 R	RunNumber 🕞	Cat 👻	Fi	-	NdelFi-Weighted Delivered Efficiency	▪ EgMJFi-Weighted PM Output (g/MJ)
I: < 15% of max 🗸 2		1		0.437	52.7	0% 0
II: 16-24% of max 3		П		0.238	29.13	2% 0.
III: 25-50% of max 4		III		0.275	31.8	8% 0.
IV:Max capacity 1		IV		0.05	5.9	1% 0
MJFi-Weighted PM Output	t (g/MJ) 👻 Eg	kgFi-PM Factor و	g/kg 👻	EgMMBt	tuFi-Weighted PM Output Ib/MMBtu 🔹	EghrFi-Weighted PM Rate (g/hr) 👻
	0.03		0.38		0.06	0.72
	0.01		0.10		0.02	0.33
	0.01		0.14		0.02	0.91

Figure 147 - Hydronic Heaters – Annual Weighting

ERT User Manual for Wood heater Certification for Compliance Application and Test Data

### Adjustable Burn Rate Data Summary

Displays a data summary of the Adjustable Burn Rate Stove testing (Figure 148).

ummary unnual Weighting Addit	ional Information				
	Date - RunNumber -	Emission Rate (g/Hr) -			CO Emissions (g/MJ O
	2/16/2019 2	2.83	10,482.76 12,141.39	0.7	
	2/17/2016 3	4.93		0.6	
<b>.</b>	2/17/2016 4	7.19	21,899.09	0.6	

Overall Effciency (%) 🕞	CO Emissions (g/MJ Output) 👻	CO Emissions (g/kg Dry Fuel) 👻	CO Emission 👻	ASTM E2515 Emissions - First Hour (g/hr) -
0.7	10.05	129.40	111.03	15.79
0.6	10.36	132.91	132.62	21.22
0.6	8.82	111.01	182.81	14.81
0.6	6.99	85.39	161.48	20.15

Figure 148 - Adjustable Burn Rate Stove – Data Summary

#### **Annual Weighting**

Displays the Annual Weighting of the Adjustable Burn Rate Stove testing (Figure 149).

Summary							
Manufacturer: Manufacturer's Name							
Model: Model/Serial Number			Adjustable Burn R	ate Stove			
Print Summaries Red for	nt indicates info	mation (	or values outside the range of t	he method or the regulation	n requirements		
Data Summary Annual Weighting Additional Informatic	ו						
Cat LoadCapacity	- RunNur -	Cat -	Emission Rate (g/hr) 👻	Burn Rate (Kg/hr)	• Pi •	Ki • N	IdelKi-Weighted Delivered Efficiency 👻
II: 0.8-1.25 kg/hr (1.76-2.76 lb/hr dry basi		н	2.83	0.8			52.6%
II: 0.8-1.25 kg/hr (1.76-2.76 lb/hr dry basis	2	П	4.19	1.0	0 0.38	0.53	72.9%
III: 1.25-1.9 kg/hr (2.76-4.19 lb/hr dry basi	) 3	111	4.93	1.6	5 0.83	0.52	72.9%
IV: Maximum	4	IV	7.19	1.8	9 0.90	0.18	25.5%
NdelKi-Weighted Delivered Efficiency	✓ EgMJI	ki-We	ighted PM Output (g/	MJ) 👻 EgkgKi-PN	A Factor g∕kg →	EgMMBtuKi-	EghrKi-Weighted PM Rate (g/hr)
52	.6%			0.097	1.25	1 0.22	6 1.0
72	.9%			0.172	2.20	3 0.39	9 2.1
72	.9%			0.123	1.54	0.28	5 2.5
25	.5%			0.054	0.66	5 0.12	
	-				51000		

Figure 149 - Adjustable Burn Rate Stove – Annual Weighting

#### **Additional Information**

Displays Additional Information for the Adjustable Burn Rate Stove testing (Figure 150).




Figure 150 - Adjustable Burn Rate Stove – Additional Information

# Single Burn Rate

## **Data Summary**

Displays a data summary for the Single Burn Rate Stove testing (Figure 151).

== Su	mmary						-
	nufacturer: del:	Manufa model a	cturer nd serial number	Sing	le Burn Rate Stove		
		Prin	t Summaries Red fo	nt indicates information or values outside	the range of the method or the regulation requirements	l	
Data S	Summary Ann	ual Weigl	hting Additional Informatio	n			
	Z RunNum	ber 👻	Burn Rate (KG/hr) 🔻	ASTM E2515 Emission (g/Hr) 🔻	ASTM E2515 Emissions - First Hour (g/hr) 👻	CSA B415 Overall Effciency (%) 👻	CSA B415 CO Emissions (g/hr) 🕞
	1		2.74	3.46	4.65	65.4%	136.83
	4		2.96	3.90	5.33	67.4%	142.44

Figure 151 – Single Burn Rate Stove – Data Summary

## **Annual Weighting**

Displays the Annual Weighting for the Single Burn Rate Stove testing (Figure 152).

🗉 Summary									
Manufacturer: Manufacturer									
Model: model and serial nur	nber			Sin	gle Buri	n Rate Stove			
Print Summaries	Red fon	t indicates information (	or values	outside	the ra	nge of the method or the regulation requirements			
Data Summary Annual Weighting Additional Information									
Cat_LoadCapacity	•	RunNumber 🔸	Cat	• F	i -	NdelFi-Weighted Delivered Efficiency	<ul> <li>EgMJFi-Weighted PM Output (g/MJ</li> </ul>	I) -	
IV: Max capacity		1	IV		0.1	0.:	14	0.01	
IV: Max capacity		4	IV		0.1	0.:	13	0.01	
EgMJFi-Weighted PM Output	g/MJ) - Eg	kgFi-PM Factor g/	∕kg →	EgMI	MBtul	Fi-Weighted PM Output Ib/MMBtu 👻	EghrFi-Weighted PM Rate (g/hr) 👻		
	0.01		0.13			0.02	0.35		
	0.01		0.13			0.02	0.39		

Figure 152 – Single Burn Rate Stove – Annual Weighting

#### **Additional Information**

Displays the Additional Information for the Single Burn Rate Stove testing (Figure 153).

😑 Summary							
Manufacturer:	Manufacturer						
Model:	model and serial number			Single Burn Rate Stove			
	Print Summaries	Red font indicates i	information or values outs	ide the range of the method (	or the regulation requirer	nents	
Data Summary Annu	ual Weighting Additional Inf	ormation					
🖉 🛛 Overall a	verage efficiency using	HHV (%) 🚽 👻	Total CO er	nission (g/min)	<ul> <li>PM weighted</li> </ul>	average (g/hr)	*
66.39%			4.65		3.68		

Figure 153 - Single Burn Rate Stove – Additional Information

# **Pellet Stove**

#### **Summary Results – Pellet Stoves**

Displays the Summary Results from the Pellet Stove testing (Figure 154).

nufacturer:	Manufacturer's Name						
del:	Model number		Pellet	Stove			
	Drink Commercian	Deal front indication informer	Kana an unduran au taisle. Al	and the second state of the state of the second state of the secon			
	Print Summaries	Red font indicates informa	tion or values outside tr	ne range of the method or the re	gulation requirements		
nary Results - Pe	ellet Stoves	sults - CSA B415.1 Summary	Results - Totals				
nary Results - Po	ellet Stoves Summary Res	sults - CSA B415.1 Summary	Results - Totals				
nary Results - Pe	ellet Stoves Summary Res	sults - CSA B415.1 Summary	Results - Totals				
nary Results - Pe	ellet Stoves Summary Res	sults - CSA B415.1 Summary	Results - Totals				
				Heat Output (Btu/br)	$(0. \text{Emissions}(a/\min))$	Heating Effciency (% HHV)	
∠ Cat_Load	ICap 👻 RunNumber 🗸	Burn Rate (KG/hr) 🔹	Run Time (Min) 🗸	Heat Output (Btu/hr) 👻	CO Emissions (g/min) 🔻	Heating Effciency (% HHV)	
Z Cat_Load	ICap 👻 RunNumber 🗸		Run Time (Min) 🗸	Heat Output (Btu/hr) + 20,963.94		0 // /	
Cat_Load	ICap - RunNumber -	Burn Rate (KG/hr) - 1.63	Run Time (Min) + 60	20,963.94	0.03	6	68.3
Z Cat_Load	ICap 👻 RunNumber 🗸	Burn Rate (KG/hr) 🔹	Run Time (Min) + 60		0.03	6	68.3
Cat_Load	Cap - RunNumber - V 1 1L	Burn Rate (KG/hr) - 1.63 1.01	Run Time (Min) - 60 180	20,963.94 11,637.12	0.03 0.03	6	68.39 61.19 74.49
Cat_Load	Cap - RunNumber - V 1 1L	Burn Rate (KG/hr) - 1.63	Run Time (Min) + 60 180 120	20,963.94	0.03 0.03 0.04	6	68.39

Figure 154 - Pellet Stoves – Summary Results

#### Summary Results – CSA B415.1

Displays the Summary Results from the Pellet Stove testing with the CSA B415.1 method (Figure 155).

Manufacturer: Model:	Manufacturer's N Model number	lame		Pellet Stove			
	Print Summari	ries	Red font indicates inf	ormation or values outside the ran	ge of the method or the regulation requi	irement	S
mmary Results - Pe	ellet Stoves Summ	mary R	esults - CSA B415.1 Sumr	nary Results - Totals			
mmary Results - Pe	ellet Stoves Summ	mary Re	esults - CSA B415.1 Sum	nary Results <sup>-</sup> Totals			
	ellet Stoves Summ	mary Re		nary Results - Totals	Heating Effciency (% HHV)	Ŧ	Heat Output (Btu/hr) 🕞
				CO Emissions (g/min 👻	<b>e</b> 11 1	• 68.3%	
Cat_Lo			Burn Rate (KG/hr)	CO Emissions (g/min - 3 0.03	(		20,963.94
Cat_Loa			Burn Rate (KG/hr) 1.6	CO Emissions (g/min - 3 0.03 1 0.03	(	68.3%	20,963.94 11,637.12

Figure 155 - Pellet Stoves – Summary Results (CSA B415.1)

#### **Summary Results – Totals**

Displays the Summary Result Totals from the Pellet Stove testing (Figure 156).

😑 Summary				
Manufacturer:	Manufacturer's Name			
Model:	Model number		Pellet Stove	
	Print Summaries	Red font indicates information or valu	es outside the range of the method or the re	egulation requirements
Summary Results - Pe	ellet Stoves Summary Result	ts - CSA B415.1 Summary Results - To	otals	
Z First Hour	r Emissions (g/hr) 🔷 👻	Total PM Emissions (g/hr) 👻	Overall CO Emissions (g/min) 👻	Overall Effciency (% HHV) 🕞
1.3455806129	93708	1.13	0.0289229948654383	64.09%

Figure 156 - Pellet Stoves – Summary Results - Totals

# Forced Air Furnace Data Summary

The data summary from Forced Air Furnace testing (Figure 157).

Summary						
Manufacturer:	Manufacturer's Name					
Model:	Model number		Forced Air Furnace			
	Print Summaries Red	l font indicates information or values	outside the range of the met	nod or the regulation requirements		
ta Summary Dat	ata Summary - Totals					
RunNun	mbor - Target Delivered H	leat Output Range (BTU/hr)	Actual Dolivorod Ho	at Output Pato (PTU/br)	Max Load 🗸	Percent of Maximum Output(%)
2	inder • Target Denvereu H	1500 1500 1500 1500			124264.380693661	
3		6000			124264.380693661	
4		7600			124264.380693661	
1		13000			124264.380693661	
ercent of M	laximum Outout(%)	First Hour Emissions Rat	e (lbs/MMBTU) 🔻	Total Emissions Rate (lb	s/MMBTU) 👻 I	HHV Heat Input Rate (BTU/hr)
ercent of Ma		First Hour Emissions Rat		Total Emissions Rate (Ib		
ercent of Ma	19.97%	First Hour Emissions Rat	1.81059792519406	Total Emissions Rate (Ib	0.43	35,696.4
ercent of Ma	19.97% 54.83%		1.81059792519406 1.52102490870482	Total Emissions Rate (Ib	0.43	35,696.4
ercent of M	19.97% 54.83% <b>70.34%</b>	(	1.81059792519406 1.52102490870482 0.554254005969483	Total Emissions Rate (Ib	0.43 1.24 0.66	35,696.4 97,721.0 122,919.8
_	19.97% 54.83%	(	1.81059792519406 1.52102490870482	Total Emissions Rate (Ib	0.43	35,696.4 97,721.0 122,919.8
ercent of Ma	19.97% 54.83% <b>70.34%</b>	(	1.81059792519406 1.52102490870482 0.554254005969483	Total Emissions Rate (Ib	0.43 1.24 0.66	35,696.4 97,721.0 122,919.8
Summary Manufacturer:	19.97% 54.83% 70.34% 95.59% Manufacturer's Name	0.	1.81059792519406 1.52102490870482 0.554254005969483 0599653534211207	Total Emissions Rate (lb	0.43 1.24 0.66	35,696.4 97,721.0 122,919.8
Summary	19.97% 54.83% 70.34% 95.59% Manufacturer's Name Model number	( O. Forced Air	1.81059792519406 1.52102490870482 0.554254005969483 0599653534211207		0.43 1.24 0.66	35,696.4 97,721.0 122,919.8
Summary Manufacturer:	19.97% 54.83% 70.34% 95.59% Manufacturer's Name Model number	0.	1.81059792519406 1.52102490870482 0.554254005969483 0599653534211207		0.43 1.24 0.66	HHV Heat Input Rate (BTU/hr) - 35,696.4 97,721.0 122,919.8 162,966.0
Summary Manufacturer: Model:	19.97% 54.83% 70.34% 95.59% Manufacturer's Name Model number	( O. Forced Air	1.81059792519406 1.52102490870482 0.554254005969483 0599653534211207		0.43 1.24 0.66	35,696.4 97,721.0 122,919.8
Summary Manufacturer: Model:	19.97% 54.83% 70.34% 95.59% Manufacturer's Name Model number Print Summaries Red font inc	( O. Forced Air	1.81059792519406 1.52102490870482 0.554254005969483 0599653534211207		0.43 1.24 0.66	35,696.4 97,721.0 122,919.8
Summary Manufacturer: Model: Data Summary	19.97% 54.83% 70.34% 95.59% Manufacturer's Name Model number Print Summaries Red font inc a Summary - Totals	( 0. Forced Air	1.81059792519406 1.52102490870482 0.554254005969483 0599653534211207	n requirements	0.43 1.24 0.66 0.05	35,696.4 97,721.0 122,919.8
Summary Manufacturer: Model: Data Summary	19.97% 54.83% 70.34% 95.59% Manufacturer's Name Model number Print Summaries Red font inc a Summary - Totals a Summary - Totals a Summary - Totals	C Forced Air dicates information or values outside the ran dicates information or values outside the ran	1.81059792519406 1.52102490870482 0.554254005969483 0599653534211207 Furnace HHV Efficiency (%) ~ Ove 69.5%	eral Heat Output (BTU/hr) + CO 24,821.45	0.43 1.24 0.66 0.05 Emissions (g/hr) • A 210.90 2	35,696.4 97,721.0 122,919.8 162,966.0 KSTM E2515 Emissions - First Hour (g/hr) + 0.3990221338835
Summary Manufacturer: Model: Data Summary	19.97% 54.83% 70.34% 95.59% Manufacturer's Name Model number Print Summaries Red font inc a Summary - Totals at Input Rate (BTU/hr) - Deliver 35,696.48 97,721.08	C Forced Air dicates information or values outside the ran dicates in	1.81059792519406 1.52102490870482 0.554254005969483 0599653534211207 Furnace HHV Efficiency (%) • Ove 69.5% 69.7%	eral Heat Output (BTU/hr) - CO 24,821.45 68,140.15	0.43 1.24 0.66 0.05 Emissions (g/hr) • A 210.90 2 735.72 4	35,696.4 97,721.0 122,919.8 162,966.0 XSTM E2515 Emissions - First Hour (g/hr) + 0.3990221338835 7.0434932394468
Summary Manufacturer: Model: Data Summary	19.97% 54.83% 70.34% 95.59% Manufacturer's Name Model number Print Summaries Red font inc a Summary - Totals a Summary - Totals a Summary - Totals	C Forced Air dicates information or values outside the ran dicates information or values outside the ran	1.81059792519406 1.52102490870482 0.554254005969483 0599653534211207 Furnace HHV Efficiency (%) ~ Ove 69.5%	eral Heat Output (BTU/hr) + CO 24,821.45	Emissions (g/hr) • A 210.90 2 735.72 4 697.47 2	35,696.4 97,721.0 122,919.8 162,966.0 KSTM E2515 Emissions - First Hour (g/hr) + 0.3990221338835

#### **Data Summary Part B**

The data summary Part B from Forced Air Furnace testing (Figure 158).

😑 Summary					
Manufacturer: Model:	Manufacturer's Name Model Number	Forced Air Furna			
		stes information or values dubtide the range of			
Data Summary Dat	a Summary - Totals				
🛛 🕹 🕹 🕹 🕹	e Emissions Rate (Ibs/MMBTU)	<ul> <li>Delivered HHV Efficiency (%)</li> </ul>	Overall HHV Efficiency (%)	CO Emissions (g/hr)	*
0.5954		85.11%	76.5%	111.33	

Figure 158 - Forced Air Furnace – Data Summary Part B

# Hydronic Heater – Partial Thermal Storage Data Summary Part A

The data summary Part A from Hydronic Heater – Partial Thermal Storage testing (Figure 159).

	Print Summari	es Red font i	ndicates information or values o	Hydronic Heater	or the regulation requi	rements		
ta Summary Part A	ta Summary P	art B Additional Inf	ormation Annual Weighting [	ata Summary Part C Data Sum	mary Part D			
		Due Mussler	Torret Land (Dtu /ha)	A studies of (Day, /las)	Marchand	A - + (0/	Test Duration (ba)	14/6
Cat_LoadCa	<u> </u>	2	27,676	Actual Load (Btu/hr) - 26,737	Max Load - 176,359	15.16%		Wfuel (Wood Weight as-fired lb)
II: 16-24% of r		3	44,282	43,788	176,359	24.83%		
III: 25-50% of	max	4	92,254	96,699	176,359	54.83%		
IV:Max capaci	ty	1	184,508	176,359	176,359	95.58%	2.37	

Wfuel (Wood Weight as-fired lb) 🕞	MCave (Wood Moisture (%DB) 🕞	Qin Heat Input (Btu) 📼	Qout Heat Output (Btu) 👻	orQin 👻	orQout 👻
77	22.40	538,793	446,755	0	0
76	23.50	527,068	430,726	0	0
77	22.60	537,914	463,945	0	0
79	23.30	548,734	463,910	0	0

Figure 159 – Hydronic Heater – Partial Thermal Storage Data Summary Part A

#### **Data Summary Part B**

The data summary Part B from Hydronic Heater – Partial Thermal Storage testing (Figure 160).

Model: mo	del num	ber	Hyd	Hydronic Heater					
	Print S	ummaries Re							
ta Summary Part A	ata Sun	nmary Part B 🔒 dditio	onal Information Annual Weighting Data Su	ummary Part C Data Summary Part D					
Cat_LoadCapac	ity 👻	RunNumber -	T2 - Min Return Water Temp (F) 🝷	ET - Total PM Emissions (g) 🔹	E - PM Output Based (Ib/mmBTU Out) 👻	E - PM Output Based (g/MJ Ou			
I: < 15% of max	$\sim$	2	154.04	24.82	0.14	0.06			
II: 16-24% of ma	x	3	151.82	12.26	0.07	0.03			
III: 25-50% of ma	ах	4	143.26	14.28	0.08	0.03			
IV:Max capacity		1	125.6	24.78	0.13	0.06			

E - PM Output Based (g/MJ Out) 🕞	E g/hr - PM Rate (g/hr) 🔻	Eg/kg - PM Factor (g/kg) 🔻	Ndel - Delivered Efficiency (%) 🔻	Nslm - Stack Loss Efficiency (%) 🕞
0.06	1.65	0.87	54.54%	74.6%
0.03	1.39	0.44	62.33%	73.5%
0.03	3.31	0.50	65.30%	77.5%
0.06	10.47	0.85	72.96%	76.0%

Figure 160 – Hydronic Heater – Partial Thermal Storage Data Summary Part B

#### **Data Summary Part C**

The data summary Part C from Hydronic Heater – Partial Thermal Storage testing (Figure 161).

anufacturer: 🛛	lanufacturer								
	nodel number			Hydronic Hea	ater		-		
_									
	Print Sum	maries Red	font indicates informati	on or values outside the rang	e of the method or	the regulation requi	rements		
a Summary Pärt A	Data Summa	ary Part B Addition	al Information Annual	Data Summary F	Part C Data Summ	arv Part D			
a Summary Part A	Data Summa	ary Part B Addition	al Information Annua	l Weighting Data Summary P	Part C Data Summ	ary Part D			
							Steady State CO 💂	End CO	Total CO
Cat_LoadCa	pacity 👻	RunNumber -	StartUpTime 👻	SteadyStateTime 👻	EndTime 🔸	Startup CO 👻	Steady State CO 👻	End CO 👻	Total CO
	pacity 👻	RunNumber -				Startup CO 👻	Steady State CO + 390.58	End CO - 104.49	Total CO 659.4
Cat_LoadCap IV:Max capaci	pacity – ty –	RunNumber + 1	StartUpTime - 15	SteadyStateTime - 117	EndTime • 10	Startup CO 🔸 164.34	390.58	104.49	659.4
Cat_LoadCar V:Max capaci I: <15% of ma	<mark>pacity →</mark> ity →	RunNumber + 1 2	StartUpTime - 15 15	SteadyStateTime + 117 245	EndTime • 10 10	Startup CO • 164.34 279.16	390.58 262.20	104.49 1,619.19	659.4 2,160.5
Cat_LoadCap	<mark>pacity →</mark> ity →	RunNumber + 1	StartUpTime - 15	SteadyStateTime - 117	EndTime • 10	Startup CO • 164.34 279.16	390.58	104.49	659.4 2,160.5

*Figure 161 - Hydronic Heater – Partial Thermal Storage Data Summary Part C* Data Summary Part D

The data summary Part D from Hydronic Heater – Partial Thermal Storage testing (Figure 162).

	Manufacture	r							
Model:	model numbe	r		Hydron	ic Heater				
	Print Sur	maries Red f	innt indicates inform	ation or values outside the	range of the met	nod or the regulation require	ments		
					. range of the met	iou or ele regulation require			
)ata Summary Part A	Data Summ	ary Part B Additiona	al Information Ann	ual Weighting Data Sumr	nary Part Data	Summary Part D			
Cat_LoadC	apacity ,	RunNumber 👻	StartUpPM 👻	SteadyStatePM 👻	EndPM 👻	Startup PM emission	index (g/kg fuel) 👻	Steady State PM emission index (g/kg fuel) 👻	End PM emission index (g/kg fuel) 👻
IV:Max capa	city	1	0.75	4.75	0.5		0.10	0.13	0.31
I: < 15% of r	nax	2	0.25	5.6	0		0.04	0.50	0.00
II: 16-24% o	fmax	3	0	3	0		0.00	0.09	0.00
II: 16-24% of III: 25-50% of		3 4	0.15	3 3.35	0		0.00	0.09	

Figure 162 - Hydronic Heater – Partial Thermal Storage Data Summary Part D

## **Additional Summary Information Part E**

Additional Summary Information from Hydronic Heater – Partial Thermal Storage testing (Figure 163).




Figure 163 – Hydronic Heater – Partial Thermal Storage – Additional Summary Information

## **Annual Weighting**

Annual weighting from Hydronic Heater – Partial Thermal Storage testing (Figure 164).

Summary									
Manufacturer:	Manufact	urer							
Model:	model nur	mber					Hydronic Heater		
	Print	Summaries	Re	d font indicates in	formation or v	alues ou	tside the range of the method or the regu	ulation requirer	ments
Data Summary Part A	Data Su	mmary Part B	Additio	onal Information	Annual Weigh	ting	ata Summary Part C Data Summary Part	D	
Z Cat_LoadCa	pacity 👻	RunNumb	er 👻	Cat		Ŧ	NdelFi-Weighted Delivered Eff	iciency 👻	EgMJFi-Weighted PM Output (g/MJ) 🔹
l:<15% of m	nax 🗸 🗸	2		1		0.437		52.70%	0.03
II: 16-24% of	max	3		11		0.238		29.12%	0.01
III: 25-50% of	fmax	4		111		0.275		31.88%	0.01
IV:Max capad	city	1		IV		0.05		5.91%	0.00
regulation requireme									
Part D									
EgMJFi-Weight	ed PM O	utput (g/M.	I) -	EgkgFi-PM Fa	ctor g/kg	- EgN	MBtuFi-Weighted PM Output I	b/MMBtu	<ul> <li>EghrFi-Weighted PM Rate (g/hr) -</li> </ul>
0			0.03		0.3			0.0	
			0.01		0.1	.0		0.0	
			0.01		0.1	4		0.0	0.91
			0.00		0.0	4		0.0	

Figure 164 – Hydronic Heater – Partial Thermal Storage – Annual Weighting

# Chapter 6: Wood Heater Checklist



Figure 165 - Wood Heater Checklist Menu

# **General and General Information**

Manufacturer and General Information certification checklist. The same checklist is utilized for Wood/Pellet Stoves, Hydronic Heaters, and Forced Air Furnaces.

frmWSCkList													- 0	>
								0 Certifica Heaters ai						
eneral and General Information Check	_	NERAL INFO	RMA	TION										
Manufacturer's Name:	Gree	entech Manufactu	ring Ir	ic										
Model(s)/Serial @ Number(s):	Crov	Crown Royal Stoves RS7200E										Catalyst	Р	
Heater / Appliance:	Hydr	onic Heater	~						Ту	pe: Full Storage Partial Storage				
Fuel Tested:	Crib		~							Outdoor Other				
Method(s):	Ø	ASTM E2515-11 ASTM E2							ate Test Method (specify)					
		28R		28WHH				28WHH-PTS						
		CSA B415.1-10		ASTM E87	1-82			ASTM E2618-13						
Physical Address (Street number and Address, not P.O. Box):	2716	5 Cresent Drive								Mailing Addres	s:	PO Box 1237		
City:	Inte	rnational Falls			State:	~	ZIP	Code: 56649						
Phone:	218-	283-3416	Ema	il:	ryan@gre	en-tech.mfg	ţ			Website: c	row	nroyalstoves.com		
Date of Submission of 30-Days	Notice	e to EPA:			2/15/2016	5		Attach 30 Day Le	tter Ce	rtification Step			~	
Proposed Test Date:					Actual Tes	st Date:								
Was the compliance test post	poned	or suspended?:		No										

Figure 166 – Wood Heater Checklist – General Information

# Checklist

Checklist of data for the Wood Heater. The same checklist is utilized for Wood/Pellet Stoves, Hydronic Heaters, and Forced Air Furnaces.

		/2020 Certification Checklist for onic Heaters and Forced-Air Furnaces		
and General Information CheckList				_
HECKLIST (for WOOD HEATERS, HYDRONIC HEATER	S, FORCED-A	NIR FURNACES)	Click for	
NSPS Requirement	Meets NSPS?	Comment	more	
Wood heater description?	~			
Pictures of wood heater?	~			
Data summary tables included?	~			
QA plan included?	~			
Certificate of Conformity included in WH application?	~			
Full CBI and non-CBI test report versions included in WH application?	~			
Reported first hour emissions for each burn rate or for partial thermal storage method?	~			
Reported CO in g/Min?	~			
Reported weighted average % efficiency (HHV)?	~			
Weighted average emissions (in g/hr (WH) or lb/mmBTU (HH/FAF))				

Figure 167 – Wood Heater Checklist

# Chapter 7: Wood Heater Attachments



Figure 168- Wood Heater Attachment Menu The attachments added to the Wood Heater are summarized in this section. Additional Documents can also be added at this point in the process to ensure documentation has been provided for each required test and certification. Attachments that are not listed in Figure 169 can be added to the Attachment list. To add attachments go to the \* line and type in the title of the attachment and then add the attachment to the file, as described on page Part2-15

Ξ	🗉 Wood Stove Attachments	-	- 🗆	×
			] Show All Atta	achments
2	Attachments	-	U	
	Alternate Method Request and Approval Letter (o	ptional)	U(0)	
	30 Day Notice to EPA Letter		<b>(0)</b>	
	Engineering Drawings (optional)	<b>(0)</b>		
	Firebox Statement (optional)	<b>(0)</b>		
	CBI (optional)	<b>(0)</b>		
	Valid Certification Statement (optional)	<b>(0)</b>		
	Warranties (optional)		<b>(0)</b>	
	Q/A Statement (optional)	<b>(0)</b>		
	Laboratory Sealing of Unit (optional)	<b>(0)</b>		
	Wood Heater Manufactured Statement (optional)	<b>(0)</b>		
	Third Party Certification Statement (optional)	<b>(0)</b>		
	Approved Lab/Third Party Statement (optional)	<b>(0)</b>		
	Website Certification Statement (optional)	<b>(0)</b>		
	Transferability Acknowledgement Statement (opt	ional)	<b>(0)</b>	
	Statement about Selling Wood Heaters without an	EPA Certificate (optional)	<b>(0)</b>	
	Manufacturer Instructions to Lab		<b>(0)</b>	
*			<b>(0)</b>	
-	- double click on the "paper clip" symbol bottom row o	attachment items, enter the o of the attachdesc column. The		
	- select "view" to view a file - Create PDF d - Attach individ - Use descriptiv - Attach compr	he PDF file size: irectly from application, ual components not compiled mater re file names (i.e. M29-field-data_1: essed image files (JPG, GIF, PNG) o ocuments at 200 dpi	1-11-11.pdf)	

Figure 169 - Wood Heater Attachments list

# Chapter 8: Administration Help/SystemReports

ERT Version: 5	Check for New ERT						
Release Date: 2/14/2016	Check Version on Startup						
ERT Version History	Web Links						
Field Result Calculations	EPA ERT Home Page Industry NAICS Search						
Emission/Concentration Calculations	FRS Search						
ERT User Guide	CAS Number Search Method Information						
ERT Methods and Target Parameters	CDX Home Page						
ERT Data Dictionary	Audit Sample Info.						
ERT Support							
ERT Support Theresa Lowe U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards Sector Policies and Programs Division, Measurement Policy Group, D243- 05 RTP NC 27711 Tel, 919.541.4786 Fax 919.541.1039 Paul.baker@amecfw.com							

Figure 170 – The ERT Help/Adminstration Screen

The administration area of the ERT includes a "Help /System (Sys) Reports" button. Clicking on the button will open the "*ERT Help / administration*" screen. The ERT version and release date are at the top. The ERT support names and contact information is provided at the bottom. The two middle sections include buttons that provide more information or help, and websites for more information orhelp.

#### **Buttons:**

**ERT Version History** 

**Field Result Calculations** 

The ERT Versions from oldest to most current with a listing of the descriptions of the updates.

Broken down per test run tabs, a table of the field, field description, and the formula used to calculate the provided value.

Emission/Concentration Calculations	A table providing the formula to provide the calculated value of emission/concentration. The table provides the emission/concentration, and the formula used.
ERT User's Manual	If the file "uman.pdf" is available in the folder with the ERT; the" <i>Users Manual</i> " will be accessed for the user to read. If the file is not available, an alert reminds the user to download the user's manual from the ERT website.
ERT Methods and Target Parameters	Clicking on this tab brings up a table that lists all source test methods which the ERT is capable of documenting. The table identifies the methods by number with their associated description and the compound(s) associated with the test method
ERT Data Dictionary	

#### ERI Data Dictionary

Web Links:	
EPA ERT Home Page	The ERT home page within the CHIEF web pages.
	https://www.epa.gov/electronic-reporting-air-emissions/
	electronic-reporting-tool-ert
Industry NAICS Search	North American Industry Classification (NAICS)
	website. http://www.census.gov/eos/www/naics/
FRS Search	Federal Registry System
	(FRS). <u>https://www.epa.gov/enviro/frs-query-page</u>
CAS Number Search	Chemical Name search to get the Chemical Abstract
	Service identifier associated with a gas or chemical.
	http://webbook.nist.gov/chemistry/name-ser.html
Method Information	The main page for the Emissions Measurement Center
	whichprovides information on test methods for
	measuring pollutants from stationary sources and other
	sources. <u>https://www.epa.gov/emc/</u>
CDX Home Page Central	
Data Exchange website:	https://cdx.epa.gov/epa_home.asp