

Analysis of Feasibility of Extensive Fracture Development and Fault Activation Induced by Hydraulic Fracturing

Technical Workshop Series: Well Construction/Operation and Subsurface Modeling



George Moridis, Jihoon Kim and Jonny Rutqvist, LBNL Research Triangle Park • April 17, 2013



Hydraulic Fracturing





Sequential Implicit Algorithm



Flow: finite volume (e.g., TOUGH+RealGasH2O)

Geomechanics: finite element (e.g., ROCMECH)

Coupled flow & geomechanic simulator, shortly T+M.





T+M: TOUGH+RealGasH2O+ROCMECH: Flow + thermal + geomechanical processes Rigorously coupled Processes

- Thermo-poro-mechanics (two-way coupling)
- Dynamic multiple continuum approach
- Simultaneous tensile & shear failure
- Leak-off to the reservoir formation from full 3D flow simulation



Code Verification (T+M) 2D Plane strain geomechanics



Numerical and analytical results are in good agreement.



5

Failure scenarios (?)



HF extending from shale to shallow aquifer through the overburden



HF extending from shale to shallow aquifer through weak cement (not discussed today)



3D Domain



Investigate fracture propagation in shale gas reservoirs - properties of Marcellus shale



Fracture Propagation (I)



Fractured areas

Fracture aperture

Example of fracture propagation

Larger fracture aperture near the fracture top



Fracture Propagation (II)

Fast pressure diffusion due to high permeability

8



Saw-tooth (oscillatory) pressure, fracture aperture, displacement





Shear stress study: an example





Coexistence of water & gas



Simple calculation by only the injection volume might significantly underestimate the fracture volume and propagation.

¹⁰ Complex multiphase flow with gravity segregation within the fracture



Water injection: fundamental issues



Water & gas still coexist within the fracture. Water saturation drops at the time when fracturing occurs.



Higher Injection Rate



Higher injection rate = faster fracture propagation



Heterogeneity effects



A strong geological formation can block vertical fracture propagation A strong geological formation with τ_c = 10 MPa







- Developed a hydraulic fracturing simulator
- Investigated fracture propagation scenarios in Marcellus shales
- Identifying the factors controlling fracture propagation
- Estimation based on the injection volume may significantly underestimate the fracture volume & its propagation



Rigorous modeling of fracture propagation & accurate geophysical monitoring are strongly recommended



Failure scenarios (?)

Fault activation during the hydraulic fracturing process

Code: **TOUGH+** RealGasH2O+ FLAC3d





Constant rate of P-increase





Constant rate of injection





Acknowledgements

 The research described in this presentation has been funded by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency through Interagency Agreement (DW-89-922359-01-0, DW-89-922359-01-C) to the Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, and by the Research Partnership to Secure Energy for America (RPSEA - Contract No. 08122-45) through the Ultra-Deepwater and Unconventional Natural Gas and Other Petroleum Resources Research and Development Program as authorized by the US Energy Policy Act (EPAct) of 2005. Information presented is part of the EPA's ongoing study (www.epa.gov/hfstudy). EPA intends to use this, combined with other information, to inform its assessment of the potential impacts to drinking water resources from hydraulic fracturing. Mention of trade names or commercial products does not constitute endorsement or recommendation for use.

Thank you