option under 28 U.S.C. 2675(a) shall not accrue until six months after the filing of an amendment.

§ 912.9 [Amended]

a. Amend § 912.9 as follows:

b. In paragraph (b), remove the address “P.O. Box 66640, St. Louis, MO 63166–6640” and add “P.O. Box 3056, St. Louis, MO 63141–0640” in its place.

c. In paragraph (c), remove the address “P.O. Box 66640, St. Louis, MO 63166–6640” and add “P.O. Box 66640, St. Louis, MO 63141–0640” in its place.

Stanley F. Mires,
Chief Counsel, Legislative.

[FR Doc. E8–29299 Filed 12–10–08; 8:45 am]
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RIN 2020–AA46

Civil Monetary Penalty Inflation Adjustment Rule

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is issuing this final Civil Monetary Penalty Inflation Adjustment Rule, as mandated by the Debt Collection Improvement Act of 1996 (DCIA), to adjust for inflation the statutory civil monetary penalties that may be assessed for violations of EPA-administered statutes and their implementing regulations. The Agency is required to review the civil monetary penalties under the statutes it administers at least once every four years and to adjust such penalties as necessary for inflation according to a formula provided in the DCIA. Table 1 of the regulations, which appears near the end of this rule, contains a list of all civil monetary penalty authorities under EPA-administered statutes and the applicable statutory amounts, as adjusted for inflation.

DATES: Effective Date: January 12, 2009.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

Pursuant to section 4 of the Federal Civil Penalties Inflation Adjustment Act of 1990, 28 U.S.C. 2461 note, as amended by the DCIA, 31 U.S.C. 3701 note, each federal agency is required to issue regulations adjusting for inflation the statutory civil monetary penalties (“civil penalties” or “penalties”) that can be imposed under the laws administered by that agency. The purpose of these adjustments is to maintain the deterrent effect of civil penalties and to further the policy goals of the underlying statutes. The DCIA requires adjustments to be made at least once every four years following the initial adjustment. EPA’s initial adjustment to each statutory civil penalty amount was published in the Federal Register on December 31, 1996 (61 FR 69360), and became effective on January 30, 1997. EPA’s second and most recent adjustment to each civil penalty amount was published in the Federal Register on February 13, 2004 (69 FR 7121) and became effective on March 15, 2004 (“the 2004 Rule”).

This rule, specifically Table 1 in 40 CFR 19.4, adjusts in accordance with the DCIA the maximum and, in some cases, the minimum amount of each statutory civil penalty that may be imposed for violations of EPA-administered statutes and their implementing regulations. Table 1 identifies the applicable EPA-administered statutes and sets out the inflation-adjusted civil penalty amounts that may be imposed pursuant to each statutory provision. This rule also clarifies that the adjusted penalty amounts in 40 CFR 19.4 are applicable to violations that occur after the effective date of this rule.

The formula provided by the DCIA for determining the cost-of-living adjustment to statutory civil penalties consists of a four-step process. The first step entails determining the inflation adjustment factor. This is done by calculating the percentage increase by which the Consumer Price Index for all urban consumers (CPI–U) for the month of June of the calendar year preceding the adjustment exceeds the CPI–U for the month of June of the calendar year in which the amount of such civil monetary penalty was last set or adjusted. Accordingly, the inflation adjustment factor for the present adjustment equals the CPI–U for June 2007 (i.e., June of the year preceding this year), divided by the CPI–U for June 2004. Given that the last adjustment was made and published on February 13, 2004, the inflation adjustment for most civil penalties set forth in this rule was calculated by comparing the CPI–U for June 2004 (189.7) with the CPI–U for June 2007 (208.352), resulting in an inflation adjustment factor of 9.83 percent. Certain civil penalties that had not been adjusted since the initial 1996 adjustment were adjusted by an inflation adjustment of 32.96 percent calculated comparing the CPI–U for June 1996 (156.7) with the CPI–U for June 2007 (208.352).

Once the inflation adjustment factor is determined, the second step is to multiply the inflation adjustment factor by the current civil penalty amount to calculate the raw inflation increase. The third step is to round this raw inflation increase according to the section 5(a) of the DCIA. The DCIA’s rounding rules require that any increase be rounded to the nearest multiple of: $10 in the case of penalties less than or equal to $100; $100 in the case of penalties greater than $100 but less than or equal to $1,000; $1,000 in the case of penalties greater than $1,000 but less than or equal to $10,000; $5,000 in the case of penalties greater than $10,000 but less than or equal to $100,000; $10,000 in the case of penalties greater than $100,000 but less than or equal to $200,000; and $25,000 in the case of penalties greater than $200,000. (See section 5(a) of the Federal Civil Penalties Inflation Adjustment Act of 1990, 28 U.S.C. 2461 note, as amended by the DCIA, 31 U.S.C. 3701 note.) Once the inflation increase has been rounded pursuant to the DCIA, the fourth step is to add the rounded inflation increase to the current civil penalty amount to obtain the new, inflation-adjusted civil penalty amount.

For most civil penalties, the amount of the last adjusted civil penalty reflected in Table 1 of the 2004 Rule...
was multiplied by 9.83 percent (the inflation adjustment) and the resulting increase amount was rounded up or down according to the rounding requirements of the statute. In the case of statutory civil penalty amounts that are being adjusted for the first time, such inflation adjustments are capped at a 10 percent increase in accordance with section 31001(s)(2) of the DCIA. For example, because this rule adjusts for the first time the administrative and civil judicial penalty amounts provided pursuant to “Title XIV—Certain Alaskan Cruise Ship Operations” of the Consolidated Appropriations Act of 2001, 33 U.S.C. 1901 note, these civil penalties, once adjusted for inflation, are capped at 110 percent of the original penalty amounts, as enacted. Further, certain civil penalties that had not been adjusted since the initial 1996 adjustment were adjusted by an inflation adjustment of 32.96 percent calculated by comparing the CPI–U for June 1996 (156.7) with the CPI–U for June 2007 (208.352). The last column of Table 1 below reflects the inflation-adjusted civil penalties as of the effective date of this rule. Assuming there are no changes to the mandate imposed by the DCIA, EPA intends to readjust these amounts in the year 2012 and every four years thereafter.

Section 6 of the DCIA provides that “any increase under [the DCIA] in a civil monetary penalty shall apply only to violations which occur after the date the increase takes effect.” (See section 6 of the Federal Civil Penalties Inflation Adjustment Act of 1990, 28 U.S.C. 2461 note, as the DCIA 31 U.S.C. 3701 note.) Thus, the new inflation-adjusted civil penalty amounts may be applied only to violations that occur after the effective date of this rule.

II. Technical Revisions to 40 CFR Part 19—Adjustment to Civil Monetary Penalties for Inflation

After publication of the 2004 Rule, EPA identified errors in certain sections of the regulatory language. Many of these errors also occurred in EPA’s initial Table 1 of 19.4 on December 31, 1996 (61 FR 69360). Because these errors may prove misleading and are in need of clarification, with this rulemaking EPA is correcting the errors described below. The changes made through these corrections are all technical in nature and do not affect the substance of the rule.

A. Technical Revisions to Sections 19.1 and 19.4

EPA is revising Table 1 of section 19.4 to shorten the penalty description to refer only to the title of the statute. In addition, the Agency has added for clarity a column that delineates the statutory penalties, as enacted, before any inflation adjustments were made. Further, EPA is revising Table 1 to clarify that the penalties are effective “after January 30, 1997 through March 15, 2004” rather than using the term “between January 31, 1997 and March 15, 1997.” In addition, because a few of the statutory civil penalty amounts pursuant to statutes implemented by EPA are framed as the minimum penalty as opposed to the statutory maximum penalty that can be assessed for a particular violation, this rule revises sections 19.1 and 19.4 to remove references to a “maximum” civil monetary penalty. Specifically, with this rule, EPA is revising section 19.1 to make clear that 40 CFR Part 19 applies to “each statutory provision under the laws administered by [EPA] concerning the civil monetary penalties which may be assessed in either civil judicial or administrative proceedings.”

Similarly, the rule revises the introductory text to Table 1 of section 19.4 to remove references to “maximum” penalty amounts to read as follows: “The adjusted statutory penalty provisions and their applicable amounts are set out in Table 1. The last column in the table provides the newly effective statutory civil penalty amounts.” Finally, this rule revises the headings under Table 1 of section 19.4 to refer to “penalties effective” rather than “new maximum penalty amount.”

B. Technical Correction of Statutory Maximum Penalty Amount Under the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA)

The row of Table 1 of 19.4, which lists the statutory maximum penalty figures for section 14 of FIFRA, 7 U.S.C. 136l(a)(2), incorrectly reflected a statutory maximum amount of $1,000 for violations after January 30, 1997 through March 15, 2004 and $1,200 for violations after March 15, 2004 for subsequent offenses or violations. EPA should have adjusted TSCA section 207’s maximum civil penalty from $5,000 to $5,500 for violations after January 30, 1997 through March 15, 2004, and from $5,500 to $6,500 for violations after March 15, 2004 through January 12, 2009. In this rule, EPA has not revised Table 1 to increase the section 207 penalties for violations that may have occurred in the past to prevent retroactive application of the higher penalty without the public having received fair notice of the penalty increases. With this rule, EPA is adjusting the civil penalty to reflect the correct penalty amount of $7,500 for violations occurring after the effective date of this rule.

D. Technical Correction Related to Civil Penalty Authorities Under the Clean Water Act (CWA)

EPA discovered an error in Table 1 of 40 CFR 19.4 (hereinafter 19.4), in which section 311(b)(6)(B)(i) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. 1321(b)(6)(B)(i), was cited incorrectly as 33 U.S.C. 1321(b)(6)(B)(i). To correct this error, the Agency is revising Table 1 of 19.4 to reflect the correct citation.
E. Technical Revision Related to Civil Penalty Authorities Under the Marine Protection, Research, and Sanitaries Act (MPRSA)

The row of Table 1 of 19.4 related to section 104B(d) of the MPRSA, 33 U.S.C. 1414b(d), is being revised to add a footnote that reads “[note that 33 U.S.C. 1414b(d)(1)(B) contains additional penalty escalation provisions that must be applied to the penalty amounts set forth in this Table 1. The amount set forth in this Table reflects an inflation adjustment to the calendar year 1992 penalty amount expressed in section 104B(d)(1)(A), which is used to calculate the applicable penalty amount under MPRSA section 104B(d)(1)(B) for violations that occur in any subsequent calendar year.”

F. Technical Correction Related to Civil Penalty Authorities Under the Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA)

The row of Table 1 of 19.4 related to section 1414(c) of the SDWA, 42 U.S.C. 300g–3(c), is being deleted because the enforcement of the public notice requirements under this subsection is accomplished under section 1414(b) of the SDWA, 42 U.S.C. 300g–3(b), or SDWA section 1414(g)(3)(A), 42 U.S.C. 300g–3(g)(3)(A).

G. Technical Correction of Statutory Maximum Penalty Amounts Under the Clean Air Act (CAA)

In the 2004 Rule, the row of Table 1 of 19.4, which listed the statutory maximum civil penalty figures for 42 U.S.C. 7524(a), incorrectly reflected a statutory maximum civil penalty of $32,500 for “manufacturers or dealers” for the manufacture or sale of defeat devices in violation of CAA section 203(a)(3)(B), 42 U.S.C. 7522(a)(3)(B). The correct penalty amount of $2,750 for that violation should have been listed as the same for any person, regardless of whether the violator is a manufacturer or dealer. With this rule, EPA is correcting Table 1 to reflect that the statutory maximum penalty for the manufacture or sale of defeat devices, in violation of CAA section 203(a)(3)(B), 42 U.S.C. 7522(a)(3)(B), is $2,750 for violations occurring after January 30, 1997 through March 15, 2004 and after March 15, 2004 through January 12, 2009. The Agency is not aware of any case in which EPA assessed a civil penalty in excess of the correct statutory amount of $2,750.

H. Clarification of the Effective Date

The DCIA provides that “any increase under [the DCIA] in a civil monetary penalty shall apply only to violations which occur after the date the increase takes effect.” (See section 6 of the Federal Civil Penalties Inflation Adjustment Act of 1990, 28 U.S.C. 2461 note, as amended by the DCIA, 31 U.S.C. 3701 note.) Accordingly, inflation-adjusted civil penalties may be applied only to violations that occur after the effective date of a rule implementing penalty adjustments pursuant to the DCIA. Today’s rule clarifies the top of the fifth column of Table 1 of 19.4 to reflect that the maximum penalty amounts apply for violations occurring after March 15, 2004 (i.e., after the March 15, 2004 effective date of the 2004 Rule), through January 12, 2009.

III. Technical Revisions to 40 CFR 27.3

Regulations Implementing the Program Fraud Civil Remedies Act

A. Technical Revisions to 40 CFR 27.3(a)(1)(iv)

EPA is amending 40 CFR 27.3(a)(1)(iv) to refer to the operative maximum civil penalty amount, as provided in 40 CFR 19.4, that may be imposed by EPA pursuant to section 3802(a)(1) of the Program Fraud Civil Remedies Act (Program Fraud Act), 31 U.S.C. 3802(a)(1). Through this technical amendment, 40 CFR 27.3(a)(1)(iv) will hereafter be revised to conform to the maximum civil penalty amount that can be assessed pursuant to the Program Fraud Act, as adjusted for inflation in accordance with the DCIA under 40 CFR 19.4. Because this technical revision affects only a change to conform 40 CFR 27.3(a)(1)(iv) to be consistent with 40 CFR 19.4, this change does not require notice and comment.

B. Technical Revisions to 40 CFR 27.3(b)(1)(ii)

EPA is amending 40 CFR 27.3(b)(1)(ii) to refer to the operative maximum civil penalty amount, as provided in 40 CFR 19.4, that may be imposed by EPA pursuant to section 3802(a)(2) of the Program Fraud Act, 31 U.S.C. 3802(a)(1). Through this technical amendment, 40 CFR 27.3(b)(1)(ii) will hereafter be revised to conform to the maximum civil penalty amount that can be assessed pursuant to the Program Fraud Act, as adjusted for inflation in accordance with the DCIA under 40 CFR 19.4. Because this technical revision affects only a change to conform 40 CFR 27.3(b)(1)(ii) to be consistent with 40 CFR 19.4, this change does not require notice and comment.

IV. Good Cause

Under 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(B), EPA finds that there is good cause to promulgate this rule without providing for further public comment. In its proposed rule published in the Federal Register on July 3, 2003 (68 FR 39882), EPA provided an opportunity for public comment on the inflation adjustment calculations and rounding rules that EPA has used in this final rule. The primary purpose of this final rule is merely to implement the statutory directive in the DCIA, as amended, to make periodic increases in civil penalty amounts by applying the adjustment formula established by the statute. Thus, because calculation of the increases is formula-driven, EPA has no discretion in updating the rule to reflect the allowable civil monetary penalties derived from applying the formula. Since there is no discretion under the DCIA in determining the correct figure, and EPA cannot vary the amount of the adjustment to reflect any views or suggestions provided by commenters, it would serve no purpose to provide an opportunity for public comment on this adjustment. Thus, further notice and public comment is unnecessary.

Further, EPA is making the technical revisions discussed above without notice and public comment. With regard to Table 1 of section 19.4, EPA is making technical revisions that do not change the substance of the rule but make Table 1 easier to read and amend in the future. For example, EPA is revising Table 1 to shorten the penalty description to refer only to the name of the statute. We have also added for clarity a column that delineates the statutory penalties, as enacted, before any inflation adjustments were made. In addition, this rule clarifies that the penalties are effective “after January 30, 1997 through March 15, 2004” rather than using the term “between January 31, 1997 and March 15, 2004.” Finally, in sections 19.1 and 19.4, this rule removes references to “maximum” penalties because, in a few instances, EPA-administered statutes provide for both minimum as well as maximum civil penalty amounts. These are technical revisions that more accurately reflect the statutory provisions and do not constitute substantive revisions to the rule.

Similarly, the technical correction adjusting the penalty amount of section 14 of FIFRA, 7 U.S.C. 136l(a)(2), to $1,100, does not require notice and public comment because this is the adjusted penalty amount that is required by the DCIA. The statute prescribes a formula that must be followed to determine the allowable statutory civil penalty amounts. The $1,000 and $1,200 figures included in 33 FR 19800 did not comply with the statute. The incorrect penalty amount of $1,000 for
violations after January 30, 1997 through March 15, 2004 was not changed to prevent the assessment of penalties above the statutory maximum amount that was in effect during that time period. The incorrect penalty of $1,200 for violations after March 15, 2004 through January 12, 2009 was changed in Table 1 to prevent the assessment of penalties above the correct statutory maximum amount that should have been listed in Table 1 for that time period. The Agency is not aware of any case in which EPA assessed a civil penalty in excess of the correct statutory maximum civil penalty of $1,100 pursuant to section 14 of FIFRA.

With regard to the technical correction adjusting the penalty amount for section 207 of TSCA, 15 U.S.C. 2647(g), to $7,500, that adjustment can be made without notice and public comment because $7,500 is the adjusted penalty amount that is required by the DCIA. The statute prescribes a formula that must be followed to determine the statutory civil penalty amounts. The $5,000 and $5,500 figures included in the 2004 Rule did not comply with the DCIA. The incorrect penalty amounts have not been changed in the revised Table 1 to prevent the assessment of penalties above the statutory maximums that were in effect during those time periods. The correct statutory maximum penalties of $5,500 for violations after January 30, 1997 through March 15, 2004, and $6,500 for violations after March 15, 2004 through January 12, 2009 have not been listed in the revised Table 1 to prevent retroactive application of a higher penalty without the regulated community receiving fair notice of the increases. EPA’s correction to the maximum penalties that can be imposed under the CAA section 205, 42 U.S.C. 7524(a), is also technical and not substantive in nature. By revising the penalty amount from $32,500 to $2,750, EPA is correcting the maximum penalty to be consistent with the adjusted penalty amount that is required by the DCIA. Notice and public comment on this technical correction is not necessary given that the DCIA prescribes a formula that must be followed to determine the civil penalty amounts and the $32,500 figure included in the 2004 Rule did not comply with the statute. Furthermore, EPA is not aware of any case in which the Agency assessed a civil penalty in excess of the correct statutory maximum penalty of $2,750 per violation of for violations of CAA section 205(a)(3)(B).

In this rule, the correct penalty amount of $2,750 has been listed in Table 1 for violations occurring during both time periods after January 30, 1997 through March 15, 2004 and after March 15, 2004 through January 12, 2009 to prevent the assessment of penalties above the correct statutory maximum amount that should have been listed in Table 1 for those time periods.

EPA’s revisions and corrections to Table 1 of section 19.4 related to the CWA, the MPRSA and the SDWA are also technical rather than substantive in nature and, hence, do not require notice and public comment. In the case of the CWA, this rule corrects an erroneous statutory citation. With regard to the MPRSA, this rule adds a footnote directing the public to the fact that section 104B(d) contains a penalty escalation provision that must be applied to the penalty amounts set forth in Table 1. In addition, this rule corrects Table 1 to delete a reference to section 1414(c) of the SDWA, 42 U.S.C. 300g-3(c), because this subsection governs public notice requirements for public water systems rather than civil penalty authorities under the SDWA. These changes either correct errors in prior rules or, in the case of the MPRSA, refer back to the provisions of that statute. Accordingly, these changes do not require notice and comment.

EPA is amending the regulations implementing the Program Fraud Act, 40 CFR 27.3(a)(1)(iv) and 40 CFR 27.3(b)(1)(ii), to refer to 40 CFR 19.4 so that hereafter 40 CFR 27.3(a)(1)(iv) and 40 CFR 27.3(b)(1)(ii) will conform to the civil penalty inflation adjustments made in accordance with the DCIA to the maximum civil penalty amounts that can be assessed by EPA pursuant to the Program Fraud Act, 31 U.S.C. 3802(a). Because these technical revisions affect only changes to conform 40 CFR 27.3(a)(1)(iv) and 40 CFR 27.3(b)(1)(ii) to be consistent with 40 CFR 19.4, these changes do not require notice and comment.

As required by the DCIA, this rule addresses only inflation adjustments to statutory civil penalty amounts under the statutes identified in Table 1 of 40 CFR 19.4. The technical corrections ensure consistency with the language of the statutes administered by EPA and correct errors in certain formula-driven civil penalty amounts, in accordance with the DCIA. This rule does not address the discretion to impose or not to impose a penalty, nor the procedures that must be followed in initiating an administrative or civil judicial enforcement action involving the assessment of civil penalties. Thus, EPA finds that this constitutes good cause under 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(B).

V. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

A. Executive Order 12866: Regulatory Planning and Review

This action is not a “significant regulatory action” under the terms of Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993) and, therefore, is not subject to review under the Executive Order.

B. Paperwork Reduction Act

This action does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act, 44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq., “Burden” is defined at 5 CFR 1320.3(b). Because this rule does not contain a collection of information, no control number is necessary.

C. Regulatory Flexibility Act

Today’s final rule is not subject to the Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA), 5 U.S.C. 601 et seq., which generally requires an agency to prepare a regulatory flexibility analysis for any rule that will have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The RFA applies only to rules subject to notice and comment rulemaking requirements under the Administrative Procedure Act (APA) or any other statute. Although this rule is subject to the APA, the Agency has made a “good cause” finding that this rule is not subject to the APA’s notice and comment requirements (see Section IV of this notice). Because this rule is not subject to notice and comment rulemaking requirements under the APA or any other statute, this rule is not subject to the regulatory flexibility provisions of the RFA.

D. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

Title II of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (UMRA), 2 U.S.C. 1531–1538, establishes the requirements for federal agencies to assess the effects of their regulatory actions on state, local, and tribal governments and the private sector. This action contains no federal mandates under the provisions of Title II of UMRA for state, local, or tribal governments or the private sector. By applying the adjustment formula and rounding rules prescribed by the DCIA, this rule adjusts for inflation the statutory maximum and, in some cases, the minimum, amount of civil penalties that can be assessed by EPA, in an administrative enforcement action, or by the U.S Attorney General, in a civil judicial case, for violations of EPA-administered statutes and their implementing regulations. Because the
calculation of any increase is formula-driven. EPA has no policy discretion to vary the amount of the adjustment. Given that the Agency has made a “good cause” finding that this rule is not subject to notice and comment requirements under the APA or any other statute (see Section IV of this notice), it is not subject to sections 202 and 205 of UMRA. EPA has also determined that this action is not subject to the requirements of section 203 of UMRA because it contains no regulatory requirements that might significantly or uniquely affect small governments. This rule merely increases the amount of civil penalties that could conceivably be imposed in the context of a federal civil administrative enforcement action or civil judicial case for violations of EPA-administered statutes and their implementing regulations.

E. Executive Order 13132: Federalism

Executive Order 13132, entitled Federalism, 64 FR 43255 (August 10, 1999), requires EPA to develop an accountable process to ensure meaningful and timely input by State and local officials in the development of regulatory policies that have federalism implications.” The term “policies that have federalism implications” is defined in the Executive Order to include regulations that have “substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.” This final rule does not have federalism implications. It will not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government as specified in Executive Order 13132. Thus, Executive Order 13132 does not apply to this rule.

F. Executive Order 13175: Consultation and Coordination With Indian Tribal Governments

Executive Order 13175, entitled Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, 65 FR 67249 (November 9, 2000), requires EPA to develop an accountable process to ensure “meaningful and timely input by tribal officials in the development of regulatory policies that have tribal implications.” As this final rule will not have substantial direct effects on tribal government or the relationship between the federal government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the federal government and Indian tribes, Executive Order 13175 does not apply to this rule.

G. Executive Order 13045: Protection of Children From Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks

EPA interprets Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997) as applying only to those regulatory actions that concern health or safety risks, such that the analysis required under section 5–501 of the Executive Order has the potential to influence the regulation. This action is not subject to Executive Order 13045 because it does not establish an environmental standard intended to mitigate health or safety risks.

H. Executive Order 13211: Actions That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use

This final rule is not subject to Executive Order 13211, Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use, 66 FR 28355 (May 22, 2001), because it is not a significant regulatory action under Executive Order 12866.

I. National Technology Transfer Advancement Act

Section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer Advancement Act of 1995 (“NTTAA”), Public Law 104–113, 12(d), 15 U.S.C. 272 note, directs EPA to use voluntary consensus standards in its regulatory activities unless to do so would be inconsistent with applicable law or otherwise impractical. Voluntary consensus standards are technical standards (e.g., materials specifications, test methods, sampling procedures, and business practices) that are developed or adopted by voluntary consensus standards bodies. The NTTAA directs EPA to provide Congress, through OMB, explanations when the Agency decides not to use available and applicable voluntary consensus standards. This rulemaking does not involve technical standards. Therefore, EPA is not considering the use of any voluntary consensus standards.

J. Executive Order 12898: Federal Actions To Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low-Income Populations

Executive Order 12898, 59 FR 7629 (February 16, 1994), establishes federal executive policy on environmental justice. Its major provision directs federal agencies, to the greatest extent practicable and permitted by law, to make environmental justice part of their mission by identifying and addressing, as appropriate, disproportionately high and adverse human health or environmental effects of their programs, policies, and activities on minority populations and low-income populations in the United States. EPA lacks the discretionary authority to address environmental justice in this final rulemaking. The primary purpose of this final rule is merely to apply the DCIA’s inflation adjustment formula to make periodic increases in the civil penalties that may be imposed for violations of EPA-administered statutes and their implementing regulations. Thus, because calculation of the increases is formula-driven, EPA has no discretion in updating the rule to reflect the allowable statutory civil penalties derived from applying the formula. Since there is no discretion under the DCIA in determining the statutory civil penalty amount, EPA cannot vary the amount of the civil penalty adjustment to address other issues, including environmental justice issues.

K. Congressional Review Act

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 et seq., as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. EPA will submit a report containing this rule and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the Federal Register. A major rule cannot take effect until 60 days after it is published in the Federal Register. This action is not a “major rule” as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

List of Subjects

40 CFR Part 19

Environmental protection, Administrative practice and procedure, Penalties.

40 CFR Part 27

Administrative practice and procedure, Assessments, False Claims, False Statements, Penalties.

Dated: December 4, 2008.

Stephen L. Johnson,
Administrator, Environmental Protection Agency.

For the reasons set out in the preamble, title 40, chapter I of the Code
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of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

1. Revise part 19 to read as follows:

PART 19—ADJUSTMENT OF CIVIL MONETARY PENALTIES FOR INFLATION

Sec.
19.1 Applicability.
19.2 Effective date.
19.3 [Reserved.]
19.4 Penalty adjustment and table.


§ 19.1 Applicability.

This part applies to each statutory provision under the laws administered by the Environmental Protection Agency concerning the civil monetary penalties which may be assessed in either civil judicial or administrative proceedings.

§ 19.2 Effective date.

The increased penalty amounts set forth in the last column of Table 1 to § 19.4 apply to all violations under the applicable statutes and regulations which occur after January 12, 2009. The penalty amounts that were adjusted in EPA’s initial adjustment to each statutory civil penalty amount that was published in the Federal Register on December 31, 1996 (61 FR 69360), and became effective on January 30, 1997, apply to all violations under the applicable statutes and regulations which occurred after January 30, 1997, through March 15, 2004. The penalty amounts that were adjusted in EPA’s second adjustment to each statutory civil penalty amount that was published in the Federal Register on February 13, 2004 (69 FR 7121), and became effective on March 15, 2004, apply to all violations under the applicable statutes and regulations which occurred after March 15, 2004, through January 12, 2009.

§ 19.3 [Reserved.]

§ 19.4 Penalty adjustment and table.

The adjusted statutory penalty provisions and their applicable amounts are set out in Table 1. The last column in the table provides the newly effective statutory civil penalty amounts.

### Table 1 of Section 19.4—Civil Monetary Penalty Adjustment Amounts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>U.S. code citation</th>
<th>Environmental statute</th>
<th>Statutory penalties, as enacted</th>
<th>Penalties effective after January 30, 1997 through March 15, 2004</th>
<th>Penalties effective after March 15, 2004 through January 12, 2009</th>
<th>Penalties effective after January 12, 2009</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7 U.S.C. 136(a)(1)</td>
<td>FEDERAL INSECTICIDE, FUNGICIDE, AND RODENTICIDE ACT (FIFRA).</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>$5,500</td>
<td>$6,500</td>
<td>$7,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 U.S.C. 136(a)(2)</td>
<td>TOXIC SUBSTANCES CONTROL ACT (TSCA).</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>$27,500</td>
<td>$32,500</td>
<td>$37,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 U.S.C. 2647(a)</td>
<td>TSCA</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>$5,500</td>
<td>$6,500</td>
<td>$7,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 U.S.C. 300j(a)</td>
<td>PROGRAM FRAUD CIVIL REMEDIES ACT (PFRA).</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>$5,500</td>
<td>$6,500</td>
<td>$7,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33 U.S.C. 1319(d)</td>
<td>CLEAN WATER ACT (CWA)</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>$27,500</td>
<td>$32,500</td>
<td>$37,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33 U.S.C. 1319(g)(2)(A)</td>
<td>CWA</td>
<td>$100,000/25,000</td>
<td>$110,000/25,000</td>
<td>$32,500/25,000</td>
<td>$37,500/25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33 U.S.C. 1319(g)(2)(B)</td>
<td>CWA</td>
<td>$5,000/100,000</td>
<td>$5,500/110,000</td>
<td>$6,500/125,000</td>
<td>$7,500/150,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33 U.S.C. 1321(b)(6)(B)(i)</td>
<td>CWA</td>
<td>$10,000/125,000</td>
<td>$110,000/1,100,000</td>
<td>$650/32,500</td>
<td>$750/37,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33 U.S.C. 1321(b)(6)(B)(ii)</td>
<td>CWA</td>
<td>$10,000/125,000</td>
<td>$110,000/1,100,000</td>
<td>$650/32,500</td>
<td>$750/37,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33 U.S.C. 1321(b)(7)(A)</td>
<td>CWA</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>$27,500</td>
<td>$32,500</td>
<td>$37,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33 U.S.C. 1321(b)(7)(B)</td>
<td>CWA</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>$27,500</td>
<td>$32,500</td>
<td>$37,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33 U.S.C. 1321(b)(7)(C)</td>
<td>CWA</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>$27,500</td>
<td>$32,500</td>
<td>$37,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33 U.S.C. 1321(b)(7)(D)</td>
<td>CWA</td>
<td>$100,000/3,000</td>
<td>$110,000/3,300</td>
<td>$130,000/4,300</td>
<td>$140,000/4,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33 U.S.C. 1415(a)</td>
<td>MARINE PROTECTION, RESEARCH, AND SANCTIONS ACT (MPRSA).</td>
<td>$50,000/125,000</td>
<td>$55,000/157,500</td>
<td>$65,000/157,500</td>
<td>$70,000/177,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33 U.S.C. 1414(b)(1)</td>
<td>MRPSA</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>$660</td>
<td>$760</td>
<td>$860</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33 U.S.C. 1901 note (see 1409(a)(2)(A)); 1901 note (see 1409(a)(2)(B)); 1409(b)(1))</td>
<td>CACSO</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>$27,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42 U.S.C. 300–2(b)(1)</td>
<td>SDWA</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>$27,500</td>
<td>$32,500</td>
<td>$37,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42 U.S.C. 300–2(c)(1)</td>
<td>SDWA</td>
<td>$100,000/125,000</td>
<td>$110,000/125,000</td>
<td>$110,000/157,500</td>
<td>$160,000/177,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42 U.S.C. 300–2(c)(2)</td>
<td>SDWA</td>
<td>$5,000/125,000</td>
<td>$6,000/125,000</td>
<td>$6,500/157,500</td>
<td>$7,500/177,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42 U.S.C. 300–3(c)</td>
<td>SDWA</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td>$16,000</td>
<td>$16,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42 U.S.C. 301–12(e)</td>
<td>SDWA</td>
<td>$20,000/50,000</td>
<td>$22,000/55,000</td>
<td>$16,000/100,000</td>
<td>$16,000/100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42 U.S.C. 300–4(c)</td>
<td>SDWA</td>
<td>$2,500</td>
<td>$2,750</td>
<td>$2,750</td>
<td>$3,750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42 U.S.C. 300–6(b)(2)</td>
<td>SDWA</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>$27,500</td>
<td>$32,500</td>
<td>$37,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42 U.S.C. 300–23(d)</td>
<td>SDWA</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>$27,500</td>
<td>$32,500</td>
<td>$37,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42 U.S.C. 4852b(d)(5)</td>
<td>RESIDENTIAL LEAD-BASED PAINT HAZARD REDUCTION ACT OF 1992</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$11,000</td>
<td>$11,000</td>
<td>$16,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42 U.S.C. 4910(a)(2)</td>
<td>NOISE CONTROL ACT OF 1972</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$11,000</td>
<td>$11,000</td>
<td>$16,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42 U.S.C. 6928(a)(3)</td>
<td>RESOURCE CONSERVATION AND RECOVERY ACT (RCRA).</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>$27,500</td>
<td>$32,500</td>
<td>$37,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42 U.S.C. 6928(c)</td>
<td>RCRA</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>$27,500</td>
<td>$32,500</td>
<td>$37,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42 U.S.C. 6928(g)</td>
<td>RCRA</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>$27,500</td>
<td>$32,500</td>
<td>$37,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42 U.S.C. 6928(g)</td>
<td>RCRA</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>$27,500</td>
<td>$32,500</td>
<td>$37,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42 U.S.C. 6934(e)</td>
<td>RCRA</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>$5,500</td>
<td>$6,500</td>
<td>$7,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42 U.S.C. 6973(b)</td>
<td>RCRA</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>$5,500</td>
<td>$6,500</td>
<td>$7,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42 U.S.C. 6991a(4)</td>
<td>RCRA</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>$27,500</td>
<td>$32,500</td>
<td>$37,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42 U.S.C. 6991e(d)(1)</td>
<td>RESIDENTIAL LEAD-BASED PAINT HAZARD REDUCTION ACT OF 1992</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$11,000</td>
<td>$11,000</td>
<td>$16,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3802(a)(1)
Table 1 of Section 19.4—Civil Monetary Penalty Inflation Adjustments—Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>U.S. code citation</th>
<th>Environmental statute</th>
<th>Statutory penalties, as enacted</th>
<th>Penalties effective after January 30, 1997 through March 15, 2004</th>
<th>Penalties effective after March 15, 2004 through January 12, 2009</th>
<th>Penalties effective after January 12, 2009</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>42 U.S.C. 6991(d)(2)</td>
<td>RCRA</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$11,000</td>
<td>$11,000</td>
<td>$16,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42 U.S.C. 7413(b)</td>
<td>CAA</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>$27,500</td>
<td>$32,500</td>
<td>$37,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42 U.S.C. 7413(d)(1)</td>
<td>CAA</td>
<td>$25,000/200,000</td>
<td>$27,500/220,000</td>
<td>$32,500/270,000</td>
<td>$37,500/295,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42 U.S.C. 7413(A)</td>
<td>CAA</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>$5,500</td>
<td>$6,500</td>
<td>$7,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42 U.S.C. 7524(a)</td>
<td>CAA</td>
<td>$2,500/25,000</td>
<td>$2,750/27,500</td>
<td>$2,750/32,500</td>
<td>$3,750/37,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42 U.S.C. 7524(c)(1)</td>
<td>CAA</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
<td>$220,000</td>
<td>$270,000</td>
<td>$295,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42 U.S.C. 7545(d)(1)</td>
<td>CAA</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>$27,500</td>
<td>$32,500</td>
<td>$37,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42 U.S.C. 9604(e)(5)(B)</td>
<td>COMPREHENSIVE ENVIRONMENTAL RESPONSE, COMPENSATION, AND LIABILITY ACT (CERCLA).</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>$27,500</td>
<td>$32,500</td>
<td>$37,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42 U.S.C. 9606(b)(1)</td>
<td>CERCLA</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>$27,500</td>
<td>$32,500</td>
<td>$37,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42 U.S.C. 9609(a)(1)</td>
<td>CERCLA</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>$27,500</td>
<td>$32,500</td>
<td>$37,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42 U.S.C. 9609(b)</td>
<td>CERCLA</td>
<td>$25,000/75,000</td>
<td>$27,500/82,500</td>
<td>$32,500/97,500</td>
<td>$37,500/107,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42 U.S.C. 9609(g)</td>
<td>CERCLA</td>
<td>$25,000/75,000</td>
<td>$27,500/82,500</td>
<td>$32,500/97,500</td>
<td>$37,500/107,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42 U.S.C. 11045(a)</td>
<td>EMERGENCY PLANNING AND COMMUNITY RIGHT-TO-KNOW ACT (EPCRA).</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>$27,500</td>
<td>$32,500</td>
<td>$37,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42 U.S.C. 11045(b)</td>
<td>EPCRA</td>
<td>$25,000/75,000</td>
<td>$27,500/82,500</td>
<td>$32,500/97,500</td>
<td>$37,500/107,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42 U.S.C. 11045(c)(1)</td>
<td>EPCRA</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>$27,500</td>
<td>$32,500</td>
<td>$37,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42 U.S.C. 11045(c)(2)</td>
<td>EPCRA</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>$27,500</td>
<td>$32,500</td>
<td>$37,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42 U.S.C. 11045(d)(1)</td>
<td>EPCRA</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>$27,500</td>
<td>$32,500</td>
<td>$37,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42 U.S.C. 14304(a)(1)</td>
<td>MERCURY-CONTAINING AND RECHARGEABLE BATTERY MANAGEMENT ACT (BATTERY ACT).</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>$27,500</td>
<td>$32,500</td>
<td>$37,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42 U.S.C. 14304(g)</td>
<td>BATTERY ACT</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$11,000</td>
<td>$16,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Note that 33 U.S.C. 1414(b)(1)(B) contains additional penalty escalation provisions that must be applied to the penalty amounts set forth in this Table 1. The amounts set forth in this Table reflect an inflation adjustment to the calendar year 1992 penalty amount expressed in section 104B(d)(1)(A), which is used to calculate the applicable penalty amount under MPRSA section 104B(d)(1)(B) for violations that occurred in any subsequent calendar year.

2 CACSO was passed on December 21, 2000 as part of Title XIV of the Consolidated Appropriations Act of 2001, Public Law 106–554, 33 U.S.C. 1341 note. The original statutory penalty amounts of 20,000 and 50,000 under section 1432(c) of the Safe Drinking Water Act, 42 U.S.C. 300f–1, were subsequently increased by Congress pursuant to section 403 of the Public Health Security and Bioterrorism Preparedness and Response Act of 2002, Public Law 107–206, 33 U.S.C. 1341 note, to 100,000 and 1,000,000, respectively. EPA did not adjust these new penalty amounts in its 2004 Civil Monetary Penalty Inflation Adjustment Rule (“2004 Rule”), 69 FR 7121 (February 13, 2004), because they had gone into effect less than two years prior to the 2004 Rule.

PART 27—[AMENDED]

2. The authority citation for Part 27 continues to read as follows:


3. Section 27.3 is amended by revising paragraphs (a)(1)(iv) and (b)(1)(ii) to read as follows:

§27.3 Basis for civil penalties and assessments.

(a) * * *

(1) * * *

(iv) Is for payment for the provision of property or services which the person has not provided as claimed, shall be subject, in addition to any other remedy that may be prescribed by law, to a civil penalty of not more than the operative effective statutory maximum amount, as provided in 40 CFR 19.4,1 for each such claim.

* * * * * *


ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 112

[42 CFR 112.1-112.19]

RIN 2050–AG48

Oil Pollution Prevention; Spill Prevention, Control and Countermeasures Rule; Revisions to the Regulatory Definition of “Navigable Waters”

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Correction.

SUMMARY: This document makes a correction to the preamble of the final rule amending the Oil Pollution Prevention regulation published on November 26, 2008 (73 FR 71941). The final rule announced the vacatur of the July 17, 2002 revisions to the Clean Water Act section 311 regulatory definition of “navigable waters” in accordance with an order, issued by the United States District Court for the District of Columbia (D.D.C.) in
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