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Supported by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Secretaría de Medio Ambiente y Recursos Naturales Mexicana, the Port of Houston Authority, Maersk Line and Hamburg Süd
Project Details

- Demonstrate Switching to Low Sulfur Distillate Fuels on an Ocean Going Vessel sailing between Houston and Mexico
- Measure Emission Reductions
- Start Dialog with Mexico about Benefits of Fuel Switching at Mexican Ports
- Discuss with Mexican Government US commitments to establish an Emission Control Area under the International Maritime Organization Treaty for the Prevention of Pollution from Ships
Fuel Switching

- EPA is partnering with Port of Houston Authority to demonstrate fuel switching on two ocean going vessels of Maersk and Hamburg Süd

- Ocean going vessels normally operate on bunker fuel with a sulfur content between 2.5% and 4.5% (e.g., 25,000 and 45,000 ppm sulfur)

- Switching to low sulfur (0.1% or 1,000 ppm) distillate fuel will reduce PM emissions by 88% and SOx emissions by 96%

- Most ships already have additional Marine Distillate Oil/ Marine Gas Oil (MDO/ MGO) tanks
2007 Port of Houston Emissions Estimates

Based upon 2007 Foreign Cargo Movements (USACE)
2007 Port of Houston Foreign Cargo Movements

Based upon 2007 USACE Entrances and Clearances data
Vessels that called upon the Port of Houston in 2007
Installed Power = Total Ship Power x number of calls
2007 Houston to Mexico Foreign Cargo Movements

Based upon 2007 USACE Entrances and Clearances data
Ships traveling between Port of Houston and Mexico

**Trips**

- Altamira: 947
- Veracruz: 401
- Coatzacoalcos: 201
- Cayo Arcas: 330
- Progreso: 71
- Pajaritos: 107
- Tuxpan: 165

**Installed Power**

- Altamira: 14,719
- Veracruz: 2,892
- Coatzacoalcos: 1,426
- Cayo Arcas: 4,153
- Progreso: 575
- Pajaritos: 1,005
- Tuxpan: 1,316
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Maersk Demonstration

Maersk Roubaix
- Container Ship - 1118 TEUs
- 9.7 MW Propulsion Engine
- Medium Speed Engine

Switched from Bunker Fuel (3.3% Sulfur) to Distillate Fuel (0.14% Sulfur) 24 nm from shore at Port of Houston and Port of Progreso, Mexico

Calculated Emission Reductions per call at Progreso
- 45 kg NOx (6%)
- 100 kg PM$_{2.5}$ (84%)
- 745 kg SOx (90%)
- Differential Fuel Cost $1,248

- Fuel Costs Progreso to Houston $28,318
- Represents only 4.4% of voyage fuel costs
Hamburg Süd Demonstration

- **Cap San Lorenzo**
  - Container Ship – 3,739 TEUs
  - 28.8 MW Propulsion Engine
  - Slow Speed Engine

- **Emissions to be measured in port and at sea**

- **Estimated Emissions Reductions / Fuel Costs**
  - **Veracruz (per call)**
    - 90 kg NOx (6%)
    - 130 kg PM\textsubscript{2.5} (88%)
    - 1,192 kg SOx (97%)
    - Differential Fuel Cost $3,273
  
  - **Altamira (per call)**
    - 93 kg NOx (6%)
    - 137 kg PM\textsubscript{2.5} (88%)
    - 1,259 kg SOx (97%)
    - Differential Fuel Cost $3,465

Fuel Costs for Veracruz -> Altamira -> Houston $75,617 – Differential only 9%
Emission Sampling

UC Riverside will conduct measurements of in-use emissions and their reduction from fuel switching

- In compliance with the MARPOL NOx Technical Code (NTC) and other protocols
- Measure emissions on both high- and low-sulfur fuels at both specific engine loads and transient operations, main and auxiliary engines

- Measure all pollutants of interest:
  - NOx, CO, CO$_2$ continuously
  - PM continuously and speciated PM (EC, OC) with discrete filter samples
  - Measure SO$_2$ and calculate SOx from fuel measurements
- Report on engine performance, emission reductions, and implications for Gulf ports

Example of measured gas, PM emissions during a fuel switch
Fuel Switching Project
Draft Vessel Stack Monitoring Plan
Docking/leaving Veracruz, Approaching/docking and leaving Altamira and Approaching/docking Houston

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fuel</th>
<th>Speed (knots)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IFO</td>
<td>18 12 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDO</td>
<td>18 12 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fuel Switch Point (24 nm from shore)
Fuel Switching Issues

- **Fuel Cost**
  - Currently MGO costs ~$210/MT more than HFO

- **Tank Size**
  - MDO/MGO tank size may be an issue – may require larger MDO/MGO tanks or converting some HFO tanks for MDO/MGO

- **Fuel Switching Procedure**
  - Boilers must be slowly turned down to prevent fuel system problems when switching fuels
  - Typical fuel switching takes from ½ to 1 hour

- **Low Sulfur MGO Availability (0.1% Sulfur)**
  - Currently available in Houston
  - Not currently available anywhere else along the test vessel routes

- **Port Programs**
  - Port of Houston to pilot a fuel switching program with Maersk