Dear Mr. Carroll:

Enclosed is a Statement of Basis describing proposed changes to numeric fracture gradient values in Part 24 of Underground Injection Control (UIC) Program Area Permit UT22197-00000 Version 2 and reasons for them. Also enclosed is a copy of the Public Notice Announcement. If the proposed modifications are issued final by the EPA, they would appear in a revised table in Part 24 of Final Area UIC Permit UT22197-00000 Version 3. No permit language would change as a result of this modification.

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency regulations and procedures for issuing UIC Permit decisions are found in Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations Part 124 (40 CFR §124). These regulations and procedures require a Public Notice and the opportunity for the public to comment on this proposed UIC Permit decision.

The public comment period will run for thirty (30) days from the latest date of publication. You may contact Sallena Rodriguez at (800) 227-8917, extension 312-6279 to obtain the exact deadline for public comments. The Public Notice Announcement will be published in the following publications:

The Vernal Express, Vernal
The Uinta Basin Standard, Roosevelt

The enclosed copy of the Public Notice Announcement and Proposed Modifications to Area UIC Permit UT22197-00000 V2 and Statement of Basis are being sent to afford you an opportunity to also comment on the Draft Modification decision during the comment period. These documents
will also be available on the Region 8 UIC webpage: http://www.epa.gov/region8/water/uic/.

Notice of the EPA's intent to issue this Permit decision may also be sent to any surface
landowner who could be affected by this proposed UIC Permit decision.

A Final decision will not be made until after the close of the comment period. All relevant
comments will be taken into consideration. If any substantial comments are received the
Effective Date of the Final Permit will be delayed for an additional thirty (30) days, as required
by 40 CFR §124.15(b), to allow for any potential appeal of the Final Permit decision.

If you have any questions or comments about the proposed modification, please contact Jason
Deardorff at the letterhead address citing "Mail Code 8P-W-UIC." You may also telephone Jason
Deardorff at (800) 227-8917, extension 312-6583.

Sincerely,

Douglas Minter
Acting Chief, Underground Injection Control Unit
Office of Partnerships and Regulatory Assistance

Enclosures: Proposed Modification to Area UIC Permit UT22197-00000 V2 and Statement
of Basis
Public Notice Announcement

cc: Letter Only:
Uintah & Ouray Business Committee:
  Gordon Howell, Chairman
  Ronald Wopsock, Vice-Chairman
  Tony Small, Councilman
  Phillip Chimburas, Councilman
  Stewart Pike, Councilman
  Bruce Ignacio, Jr., Councilman

  Johnna Blackhair
  BIA - Uintah & Ouray Indian Agency

cc: All Enclosures:
  Mike Natchees
  Environmental Coordinator
  Ute Indian Tribe

  Manual Myore
  Director of Energy & Minerals Dept.
  Ute Indian Tribe
Brad Hill
Acting Associate Director
Utah Division of Oil, Gas, and Mining

Mike Stiewig, Director
Bureau of Land Management, Vernal Field Office

Fluid Minerals Engineering Office
Bureau of Land Management, Vernal Field Office

Larry Crist, Director
U.S. Fish and Wildlife, Salt Lake City

Reed Durfey
District Manager
Newfield Production Company
Myton, Utah
PROPOSED MODIFICATION TO AREA UIC PERMIT UT22197-00000 V2 AND STATEMENT OF BASIS

Area UIC Permit UT22197-00000:
The Portion of Greater Monument Butte Oil Field Located within
The Uintah and Ouray Indian Reservation, Utah

NEWFIELD PRODUCTION COMPANY

Contact: Jason Deardorff
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Ground Water Program, 8P-W-UIC
1595 Wynkoop Street
Denver, Colorado 80202-1129
Telephone: 1-800-227-8917 ext. 312-6583
Summary of Administrative History for Area UIC Permit UT22197-00000:

Final Area UIC Permit UT22197-00000 and Statement of Basis        December 13, 2012
Final Area UIC Permit UT22197-00000 V2 and Statement of Basis        November 5, 2013
Final Area UIC Permit UT22197-00000 V3 and Statement of Basis        Proposed/Pending

This Statement of Basis gives the derivation of proposed modifications to Underground Injection Control (UIC) Permit UT22197-00000 Version 2 and the reasons for them. If the EPA approves the proposed modifications as final they would be included in Final Area UIC Permit UT22197-00000 Version 3 listed above.

General Information and Description of Permit

Permittee: Newfield Production Company
1001 Seventeenth Street, Suite 2000
Denver, CO 80202

Facility: Portion of the Monument Butte Field located within the Uintah and Ouray Indian Reservation, Utah

On December 13, 2012, the EPA issued its final permit decision for Area UIC Permit UT22197-00000 to Newfield Production Company (Newfield) to construct and operate Class II-R (enhanced recovery) injection wells on the portion of the Greater Monument Butte Field located within the Uintah and Ouray Indian Reservation. The area covered by this permit is referred to as the Authorized Permit Area and is described in the Permit as: T8S, R17E; T8S, R18E; T8S, R19E; T9S, R17E Except Sections 31 - 36; T9S, R18E Except Sections 25, 26, 27 and Section 31 - 36; Sections 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, N2 17 & N2 18 T9S, R19E S.L.B. & M., Uintah & Duchesne Counties, Utah, except that any well in this area for which the Endangered Species Act section 7(a)(2) or National Historic Preservation Act section 106 compliance process has not been completed by a federal agency is excluded from coverage under this permit. The EPA’s 2012 decision authorized the continued operation of approximately 470 existing injection wells within the Authorized Permit Area and the continued conversion of oil-gas production wells to additional Class II-R (enhanced recovery) water injection wells. The Permit did not limit the number of Class II-R injection wells within the Authorized Permit Area, but is expected to regulate between 800 and 1,000 injection wells at full field development.

The Authorized Permit Area covers approximately 95 square miles and includes over 1,600 oil-gas wells of which 633 have been converted to or requested as injection wells regulated by the EPA as of July 9, 2014. Figure 1 shows the general location of the Greater Monument Butte Field and Figure 2 shows the Authorized Permit Area in relation to the State of Utah. Figure 3 shows the extent of development of the Monument Butte Field and the portion of the field covered by Area UIC Permit UT22197-00000. For information regarding the hydrogeologic and geologic settings, injection and confining zones, USDWs, considerations under Federal law and cumulative effects to the environmental previously considered by
the EPA, the reader is referred to the Final Statement of Basis for Area UIC Permit UT22197-00000 issued December 13, 2012. This Statement of Basis describes proposed modifications to the Area UIC Permit Version 2 and the reasons for them.

Figure 1: Location of the Uinta Basin and the Greater Monument Butte Field Area.
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Figure 2: Location of the Authorized Permit Area within the State of Utah.
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Figure 3: Map showing the state of development of the Monument Butte Field at the time of permit issue and the portion of the Monument Butte Field covered by Area UIC Permit UT22197-00000. The area outlined in red is the Authorized Permit Area for the portion of the field located within the Uintah and Ouray Indian Reservation.

Background Information Regarding the Proposed Modification

In Final Area UIC Permit UT22197-00000 Version 2, the EPA replaced 97 EPA Reference Sections in Part 24 of the Permit with 65 Fracture Gradient Areas that more accurately reflected the distribution of EPA fracture gradient data within the permit area. Previously, fracture gradient data within 97 EPA-designated Reference Sections were averaged for each section and this average was used to calculate the Maximum Allowable Injection Pressure (MAIP) of each injection well located within that Reference Section. The Permittee expressed concern that this methodology, where the geographic sections of interest are administratively selected instead of data defined, did not take into account variations in geology, pore pressure, and overburden stresses that naturally occur in the field. Additionally, simple averaging of a limited number of data points meant some resulting fracture gradients were overly sensitive to outliers, or unusually high or low data points compared to other data within a Reference Section. The Permittee proposed to overcome the limitations of simple averaging of fracture gradients across administratively selected areas by using an interpolated grid technique that weights each fracture gradient data point based on its location, magnitude and proximity to other permit area locations of known fracture gradients.
The interpolated grid methodology is summarized as follows: First, all available fracture gradient data are assigned to their well locations across a two dimensional grid domain (map) of the permit area. Next, fracture gradient values for grid locations away from these known data points are estimated as a function of each location's distance from the nearest known data point. Grid areas with similar fracture gradients are then delineated into separate Fracture Gradient Areas. Finally, the simple average of known fracture gradient values from each Fracture Gradient Area is calculated. Figure 4 shows an example of how this technique was used to establish fracture gradient areas for a geographic area with four known data points.

![Figure 4: An example of how the interpolated grid technique is used to assign fracture gradients across a geographic area with four known data points.](image)

This technique has several advantages over using fracture gradients averaged from the EPA’s 97 Reference Sections. First, sampling from the interpolated grid appropriately weights each data point geographically, ensuring that closely spaced known data points will have a diminished effect on the resulting average and that geographically isolated data points will be appropriately considered. Second, this approach reduces the impact of data outliers and therefore reduces the risk of skewing the MAIP of injection wells within a Fracture Gradient Area based on a single outlying fracture gradient value. Because the data is distance weighted, natural variability that occurs due to geologic factors are taken into account which also has the effect of reducing pressure differentials across Fracture Gradient Area.
boundaries. For example, the risk of having two proximately located injection wells injecting at vastly
different pressures because they are located on either side of an administratively selected boundary is
reduced because the new, data-defined Fracture Gradient Area boundaries more accurately reflect the
transition of pressure and geologic variation across the field. As a result, fracture gradients determined
utilizing the interpolated grid methodology decrease the risk of the calculated MAIP of an injection well
resulting in the propagation of fractures while at the same time ensuring more consistent injection
pressures across the field for the Permittee.

The 65 Fracture Gradient Areas are part of the Permittee’s reservoir pressure management plan and
enable the reservoir pressure to be maintained in highly developed parts of the field while gradually
increasing the reservoir pressure in parts of the field that are less developed or less pressured than is
ideal for enhanced oil recovery. The EPA expects that over time, as lower pressured areas equilibrate
with higher pressured areas, the number of Fracture Gradient Areas necessary to establish and maintain
pressure equilibrium in the field will decrease as larger portions of the field exhibit similar fracture
gradient values during step rate testing. When this occurs, the EPA or the Permittee may initiate a permit
modification process following protocols at 40 CFR §144.39 to modify the number of Fracture Gradient
Areas in Part 24 of the permit.

As discussed in the Statement of Basis for Final Area UIC Permit UT22197-00000 issued December 13,
2012, the EPA anticipated future permit modifications to Part 24 to increase or decrease the fracture
gradients used for Fracture Gradient Areas and stated this would be handled according to requirements
at 40 CFR §144.39. The EPA stated that such modification would be based on the Permittee’s request
and submission of updated step rate test data to replace existing data points in the EPA’s data set or new
step rate tests conducted on more recently constructed injection wells that would supplement existing
EPA data.

Proposed Modification to Area UIC Permit UT22197-00000 Version 2

On May 23, 2014, the Permittee requested that Part 24 of Area UIC Permit UT22197-00000 V2 be
updated to reflect recently obtained step rate test data from Monument Butte Field injection wells within
the EPA’s permit area. The Permittee included with this request data from 50 recent step rate tests
conducted on EPA-regulated injection wells. The EPA subsequently conducted its own analysis of each
submitted test. Where the EPA’s analysis agreed with the Permittee’s analysis, the fracture gradient for
that well in the EPA’s data set was either updated with a revised fracture gradient or added anew if that
well was not previously included in the data set. Where the EPA calculated a slightly higher or lower
fracture gradient than the Permittee using the same data, the EPA approved whichever fracture gradient
was lower, or more conservative from a USDW protection standpoint. The EPA then recalculated
averages for each Fracture Gradient Area to determine necessary updates to Part 24. During this process,
the EPA determined that several previously assigned Fracture Gradient Area averages had been
incorrectly calculated and these were corrected as part of this modification. Based on recently obtained
step rate test data and corrections made, the EPA determined that average fracture gradient assigned to
the following 36 of 65 Fracture Gradient Areas should be modified as follows:
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fracture Gradient Area</th>
<th>Geographic Description</th>
<th>Current Fracture Gradient</th>
<th>Proposed Fracture Gradient</th>
<th>Delta</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>Section 29 of T8S-18E</td>
<td>0.655</td>
<td>0.772</td>
<td>0.117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>NW quarter of section 22, T8S R17E</td>
<td>0.639</td>
<td>0.755</td>
<td>0.116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Section 31 and the W2 and the W2E2 of section 29, S2 and the E2NE of section 30, T8S-R17E</td>
<td>0.682</td>
<td>0.748</td>
<td>0.066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Section 8, T9S-R18E</td>
<td>0.690</td>
<td>0.736</td>
<td>0.046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Section 5, T9S-R18E</td>
<td>0.704</td>
<td>0.750</td>
<td>0.046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>Section 26 of T8S-R17E</td>
<td>0.744</td>
<td>0.787</td>
<td>0.043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Section 11, 12 and the E2E2 of section 10, T9S-R17E</td>
<td>0.715</td>
<td>0.750</td>
<td>0.035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Section 4, T9S-R18E</td>
<td>0.689</td>
<td>0.724</td>
<td>0.035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Section 1 and E2E2 of section 2 of T9S-R17E and section 6 of T9S-R18E</td>
<td>0.734</td>
<td>0.764</td>
<td>0.031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>W2 of section 24, T8S-R17E</td>
<td>0.631</td>
<td>0.659</td>
<td>0.029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>S2 Section 13, T9S-R17E</td>
<td>0.839</td>
<td>0.867</td>
<td>0.028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>N2 Section 13, T9S-R17E</td>
<td>0.728</td>
<td>0.754</td>
<td>0.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Section 3, and the S2S2, E2NE, N2SW of section 4, and N2N2 of section 9, T9S-R17E</td>
<td>0.772</td>
<td>0.797</td>
<td>0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>S2 and NE quarter of section 22, NW quarter of Section 23, T8S-R17E</td>
<td>0.739</td>
<td>0.761</td>
<td>0.022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>W2 and W2E2 of section 2, T9S-R17E</td>
<td>0.831</td>
<td>0.852</td>
<td>0.021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>E2 of section 24, E2, E2E2W2, and E2W2E2W2 of section 25, T8S-R17E.</td>
<td>0.685</td>
<td>0.705</td>
<td>0.020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>W2W2 and E2W2W2 of section 25, T8S-R17E</td>
<td>0.710</td>
<td>0.730</td>
<td>0.020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>W2 section 36, T8S-R17E</td>
<td>0.732</td>
<td>0.750</td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>S2 of section 31, T8S-R18E</td>
<td>0.736</td>
<td>0.748</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>N2 of section 15, T9S-R17E</td>
<td>0.793</td>
<td>0.804</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Sections 6, 7, 8, 17, 18 and the NW, SW and SE quarters of section 5, T9S-R17E</td>
<td>0.862</td>
<td>0.869</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>E2 of section 36, T8S-R17E</td>
<td>0.694</td>
<td>0.700</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>NW quarter and W2NE and N2SW of section 4 and NE quarter of section 5, T9S-R17E</td>
<td>0.815</td>
<td>0.820</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Sections 21, 28 and the E2E2 of section 29, T8S-R17E</th>
<th>0.763</th>
<th>0.767</th>
<th>0.004</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Sections 32 &amp; 33, T8S-R17E</td>
<td>0.786</td>
<td>0.789</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>Section 21 of T9S-R17E</td>
<td>0.854</td>
<td>0.856</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>S2 and S2N2 of section 9, T9S-R17E</td>
<td>0.824</td>
<td>0.825</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Section 34, T8S-R17E</td>
<td>0.716</td>
<td>0.714</td>
<td>-0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Section 27, T8S-R17E</td>
<td>0.733</td>
<td>0.730</td>
<td>-0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>N2 of Section 31, T8S-R18E</td>
<td>0.698</td>
<td>0.694</td>
<td>-0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Sections 19, 20 and the NW and W2NE quarters of section 30, T8S-R17E</td>
<td>0.748</td>
<td>0.743</td>
<td>-0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Section 14, T9S-R17E</td>
<td>0.732</td>
<td>0.724</td>
<td>-0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>W2 and W2E2 of section 10, T9S-R17E</td>
<td>0.769</td>
<td>0.760</td>
<td>-0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>Section 22 of T9S-R17E</td>
<td>0.783</td>
<td>0.773</td>
<td>-0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>SW quarter of section 23, T8S-R17E</td>
<td>0.740</td>
<td>0.728</td>
<td>-0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Section 16, and S2 of section 15 of T9S-R17E</td>
<td>0.805</td>
<td>0.791</td>
<td>-0.014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For additional information about these proposed revisions to Part 24 of Area UIC Permit UT22197-00000 Version 2, including maps of the permit area, the step rate test data or methodology used, contact Jason Deardorff by email at deardorff.jason@epa.gov or phone at 303-312-6583.
The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) intends to issue an Underground Injection Control (UIC) permit-related action, under the authority of the Safe Drinking Water Act and UIC program regulations, for wells operated by Newfield Production Company under EPA Area UIC Permit UT22197-00000 Version 2, issued final on November 5, 2013. This action would update numeric fracture gradient values in a table within the Permit and would result in maximum allowable injection pressures for enhanced oil recovery injection wells increasing and decreasing. The public notice, which requests comments on this action within 30 days, can be found at the EPA Region 8 UIC program’s website: http://www.epa.gov/region8/water/uic/. Alternatively, the public may contact or call Jason Deardorff at deardorff.jason@epa.gov, 800-227-8917 extension 312-6583 or 303-312-6583 for additional information and to obtain a copy of the public notice and documentation associated with this action.
U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
ANNOUNCEMENT OF PUBLIC NOTICE
OF CHOLLONG WATER PERMIT ACTION

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) intends to issue an Unfettered Discharge Permit to the Chollong (Chollong) Water Flow description, under section 305(b) of the Clean Water Act, and under the Water Act and the Environmental Protection Agency s jurisdiction over the discharge of pollutants into the waters of the United States. EPA hereby proposes to issue a Water Act Section 305(b) Permit to the Chollong Water Advisory Commission (MRMA) to discharge wastewater into Chollong Water, a tributary of Lake Tegucigalpa, under section 305(b) of the Clean Water Act. EPA proposes to issue this Water Act Section 305(b) Permit to the Chollong Water Advisory Commission (MRMA) to discharge wastewater into Chollong Water, a tributary of Lake Tegucigalpa, under section 305(b) of the Clean Water Act. EPA proposes to issue this Water Act Section 305(b) Permit to the Chollong Water Advisory Commission (MRMA) to discharge wastewater into Chollong Water, a tributary of Lake Tegucigalpa, under section 305(b) of the Clean Water Act. EPA proposes to issue this Water Act Section 305(b) Permit to the Chollong Water Advisory Commission (MRMA) to discharge wastewater into Chollong Water, a tributary of Lake Tegucigalpa, under section 305(b) of the Clean Water Act.