

Cover Sheet for

**ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTRY METHOD**

***Pesticide Name:*** Clodinafop-Propargyl

***MRID #:*** 446461-02

***Matrix:*** Soil

***Analysis:*** LC/MS

This method is provided to you by the Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) Environmental Chemistry Laboratory (ECL). This method *is not* an EPA method but one which was submitted to EPA by the pesticide manufacturer to support product registration. EPA recognizes that the methods may be of some utility to state, tribal, and local authorities, but makes no claim of validity by posting these methods. Although the Agency reviews *all* Environmental Chemistry Methods submitted in support of pesticide registration, the ECL evaluates only about 30% of the currently available methods. Most methods perform satisfactorily but some, particularly the older methods, have deficiencies. Moreover, the print quality of the methods varies considerably because the methods originate from different sources. Therefore, the methods offered represent the best available copies.

If you have difficulties in downloading the method, or further questions concerning the methods, you may contact Elizabeth Flynt at 228-688-2410 or via e-mail at [flynt.elizabeth@epa.gov](mailto:flynt.elizabeth@epa.gov).

VOLUME 3 OF 4 OF SUBMISSION

CGA-302371  
(Metabolic Product of CGA-184927)

**TITLE**

Method of Analysis for the Determination of CGA 302371 (UE Metabolite)  
in Soil by LC/MS

**DATA REQUIREMENT**

Supplement to EPA Guideline No. 164-1

**AUTHOR**

Susan Nelson  
Gary Bruns

**COMPLETION DATE**

March 18, 1998

**PERFORMING LABORATORY**

Enviro-Test Laboratories (ETL)  
9936 - 67 Avenue  
Edmonton, Alberta T6E 0P5


**LABORATORY PROJECT IDENTIFICATION**

ETL Method No. MS 47.00  
Novartis Number 320-98

**SUBMITTER/SPONSOR**

Novartis Crop Protection, Inc.  
Post Office Box 18300  
Greensboro, NC 27419


VOLUME 1 OF 1 OF STUDY





GOOD LABORATORY PRACTICE COMPLIANCE STATEMENT


The document in this submission is an analytical method and is not considered a final report because validation of the method is not included. Therefore, certification of compliance with USEPA Good Laboratory Practice Standards Standards, 40 CFR 160, is not required.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Sunmao Chen, Ph.D.  
Manager, Environmental Residue Studies and  
Agent of Submitter/Sponsor

8/26/98  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

Submitter/Sponsor:

Novartis Crop Protection, Inc.  
P.O. Box 18300  
Greensboro, NC 27419



**ANALYTICAL METHOD MS 47.00**  
**ENVIRO-TEST LABORATORIES (ETL)**  
**9936 - 67 AVENUE**  
**EDMONTON, ALBERTA T6E 0P5**

---

**METHOD TITLE:** Method of Analysis for the Determination of CGA 302371  
(UE Metabolite) in Soil by LC/MS

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** December 1992

**AUTHORS:**

Susan Nelson  
Susan Nelson  
Senior Residue Analyst  
Enviro-Test Labs

March 18/98  
Date

Gary Bruns  
Gary Bruns  
Manager, Pesticide Division  
Enviro-Test Labs

March 18/98  
Date

**TRUE COPY  
OF ORIGINAL**

SM DATE 3/18/98


**TOTAL NO. PAGES:** 20

---

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

TITLE PAGE .....	1
TABLE OF CONTENTS .....	2
SUMMARY FLOWCHART OF ANALYTICAL METHOD .....	3
1.0 INTRODUCTION .....	4
1.1 Scope .....	4
1.2 Principle .....	4
1.3 Method Limits .....	4
2.0 MATERIALS .....	4
2.1 Reagents/Solvents .....	4
2.2 Equipment and Supplies .....	4
2.3 Solutions .....	5
2.4 Analytical Standards and Chemical Structures .....	5
3.0 FORTIFICATION AND CALIBRATION STANDARD SOLUTIONS .....	5
3.1 Preparation .....	5
3.2 Stability .....	6
4.0 METHOD PROCEDURES .....	6
4.1 General Notes .....	6
4.2 Soil Analysis .....	6
5.0 INSTRUMENTATION - THERMOSPRAY LC/MS AND LC/MS/MS .....	7
5.1 Thermospray LC/MS Conditions .....	7
5.2 Performance Criteria .....	8
6.0 CALCULATIONS .....	9
7.0 SAFETY .....	10
8.0 DETECTION LIMITS .....	10
9.0 DISCUSSION .....	10
FIGURE 1: LINEARITY CURVE .....	11
10.0 TABLES .....	12
TABLE 1: RECOVERY DATA FOR CGA 302371 IN SOIL .....	12
TABLE 2: SOIL CHARACTERIZATION SUMMARY .....	14
APPENDIX 1: EXAMPLES LC/MS CHROMATOGRAPHY .....	15-22

---

**SUMMARY FLOWCHART OF ANALYTICAL METHOD**

## 1.0 INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Scope

This method sets forth the procedure for determining the residues of CGA 302371 (UE Metabolite) in soil. CGA 302371 is a metabolite of the herbicide active ingredient CGA 184927. The method is based on a in-house method developed at ETL.

### 1.2 Principle

An analytical method is described for the determination of residues of CGA 302371 in soil. Residues of CGA 302371 are extracted from soil using shaking and sonication with acetone/water (pH3). All residue analysis is accomplished by LC/MS or LC/MS/MS using a C<sub>18</sub> column. Quantitation of results is based on a comparison of peak areas with those of known standards or by using a linearity curve. The method limit of quantitation is 0.0050 ppm.

### 1.3 Method Limits

The minimum limits of detection (LOD) and limits of quantitation (LOQ) for CGA 302371 in soil is 0.001 ppm and 0.005 ppm respectively.

Average recoveries obtained for soils during the method verification were 82%.

## 2.0 MATERIALS

### 2.1 Reagents/Solvents (Equivalent/better grade reagents/solvents may be substituted.)

Acetone - glass distilled, EM Science, OmniSolv®

Acetonitrile - pesticide grade, OmniSolv®

Water, deionized - Millipore Purification System

### 2.2 Equipment and Supplies (Equivalent equipment may be substituted.)

Bottles, centrifuge - polypropylene, 50 mL, Baxter

Centrifuge - Sorvall®, RC2-B with 250 mL rotor head, DuPont Instruments

Filters, 0.45µm, nylon acrodisc - Gelman

Incubator Shaker (controlled environment), New Brunswick Scientific Co.

Nitrogen evaporator with water bath - Organomation Assoc. Inc., Model No.111

Pipettes, volumetric - 10 mL

Test tubes, 50 mL and 15 mL

### 2.3 Solutions

Acetone:Water, pH3 (1:1), adjust the pH of deionized water to  $3.0 \pm 0.2$  with 1N HCl. Mix equal volumes of acetone and acidified water.


### 2.4 Analytical Standard and Chemical Structure:

CGA 302371

Chemical Name: 5-Chloro-3-fluoro-1.H.  
pyridin-2-one

CAS #: Not Available

Source: Novartis Crop Protection



## 3.0 FORTIFICATION AND CALIBRATION STANDARD SOLUTIONS

### 3.1 Preparation

All the standard solutions must be stored in glass at or below 10°C when not in use. Solutions should be allowed to warm to room temperature prior to use. The following is an example procedure for preparing a standard solution. Alternate or additional standards of appropriate weight and volume may be prepared as needed. The "~" symbol indicates approximately.

- 3.1.1 Weigh ~ 0.010 g (corrected for purity) of the analyte CGA 302371 into a 10 mL volumetric flask, record the exact amount, and dilute to the mark with acetonitrile. Cap and mix by inversion. The concentration of this stock standard is ~1000  $\mu\text{g/mL}$ .
- 3.1.2 For the preparation the fortification standards of CGA 302371, transfer 10 mL of the ~1000  $\mu\text{g/mL}$  standard via volumetric class "A" pipettes, to a 100 mL volumetric flask. Dilute to mark with acetonitrile. Cap and mix by inversion. The concentration of this standard is ~100  $\mu\text{g/mL}$ . Concentrations of 1 and 10 ppm standards are prepared in a similar manner.
- 3.1.3 Prepare additional fortification and LC/MS calibration standards by serial dilution with deionized water to give standards from ~0.005  $\mu\text{g/mL}$  to ~10  $\mu\text{g/mL}$ .

### 3.2 Stability

To evaluate the stability, the following formula has been used:

$$\% \text{ Stability} = \left( 1 - \frac{\text{old standard solution}}{\text{new standard solution}} \right) \times 100$$

The old standard solution should give detector responses within 10% or less of those of the new standard solution in order for the given standard solution to be considered stable under the storage conditions.

## 4.0 METHOD PROCEDURES

### 4.1 General Notes

- 4.1.1 The "◆" symbol indicates an optional stopping point after completing the indicated step. Samples may be stored overnight in a refrigerator (at or below 10°C).
- 4.1.2 The "~" symbol indicates approximately.
- 4.1.3 The analytical C18 column must resolve the analyte from any interferences that may be present, well enough to identify and quantitate CGA 302371. The gradient program may need to be modified in order to obtain this resolution.

Alternate columns may be substituted, provided they meet these criteria.

### 4.2 Soil Analysis

(Analysis of CGA 302371)

- ◆ 4.2.1 Weigh 20 g of a prepared\* subsample of soil into a 250 mL polypropylene centrifuge bottle. Untreated control soils may be fortified at this point for determination of recovery. 100-200 µL of the fortification standards are spiked onto the soil and allowed to evaporate and equilibrate for ~15 min.  
  
\*Subsamples may be prepared by mixing with dry ice using a Hobart food chopper. These samples must be free-flowing and homogenous prior to subsampling.
- 4.2.2 Add 20 mL of 1:1 Acetone/water, pH 3 solution to the soil, shake using a platform shaker for ~30 min.
- ◆ 4.2.3 Remove, shake by hand and place in a sonic bath for ~10 minutes.

**4.2 Soil Analysis cont'd**

- 4.2.4 Centrifuge the sample for at least 5 minutes at about 5000 RPM or until separated, and remove a 14.0 mL aliquot into a 16 mL test tube.
- 4.2.5 Using a nitrogen evaporator, evaporate off the acetone and adjust final volume to 7.0 mL with water.
- 4.2.6 Before transferring an aliquot to HPLC vial, filter extracts through 0.45 $\mu$ m filter.
- 4.2.7 Analysis is done by LC/MS or LC/MS/MS using selective ion monitoring (see Section 5.0). These extracts can be stored at -20°C until analyzed.

**5.0 INSTRUMENTATION - THERMOSPRAY LC/MS AND LC/MS/MS**

Note: Equivalent LC/MS instrumentation utilizing other interfaces rather than thermospray interfaces can also be used. The LC/MS conditions will need to be optimized to provide adequate sensitivity, linearity and selectivity for the analysis of CGA 302371. Column switching may be required for instruments using the "heated capillary" technology. LC/MS/MS instrumentation can also be used.

**5.1 Thermospray LC/MS Conditions:**

Instruments used:

Finnigan (San Jose, CA) SSQ 710 with thermospray TSP-2 interface

Waters (Milford, MA) 600 MS systems controller

Waters 717 refrigerated autosampler

Column in-line: (Waters)

HPLC column: Symmetry® C18, 5  $\mu$ m, 4.6  $\times$  250 mm

(Equivalent C18 column may be used.)

Gradient Program: (linear gradient changes)

Time (min.)	% Water	% Acetonitrile
Initial	100	0
10	50	50
15	100	0

The above gradient may be modified to improve resolution and/or chromatography.

% Water - (2% acetic acid/water)

% Acetonitrile - (2% acetic acid/acetonitrile)

Flow rate - 0.9 mL/minute

**5.1 Thermospray LC/MS Conditions: cont'd**Mass Calibration:

During the set-up of the thermospray LC/MS, the mass calibration is done with a 50 ppm standard of CGA 302371. Instrument should be re-calibrated if the quads are cleaned, otherwise the mass axis does not change.

## Post column eluant:

Perkin Elmer HPLC/MS pump continuously adds 0.5 M aqueous ammonium acetate at a flow rate of 0.3 mL/minute.

Injection volume - 100  $\mu$ L

Source temp. - 250°C

Vaporizer - 99°C

TSP on @ 9 min.

TSP off @ 15 min.

Scan time - 0.2 min.

Multiplier - 1200

Approximate Retention Times and Mass		
	m/z Target	R.T. (min.)
CGA 302371	165.1	11.45

Retention times may vary from those present above.

Example chromatograms are attached (see Appendix 1). Note that the retention times may vary from system to system and may require optimization.

The chromatogram trace start at 11 min. since the TSP is turned off until 9 min. and equilibrates for 2 more minutes before the mass spectrometer is turned on.

**5.2 Performance Criteria**

## First Criterion:

Run a standard solution on LC/MS corresponding to a level at 50% LOQ and obtain a signal to noise ratio of at least 9:1.

If this criteria cannot be met, optimize instrument operating parameters or change instrument method parameters such as multiplier voltage, replace the probe or clean the thermospray interface.

**5.3 Performance Criteria cont'd****Second Criterion:**

Run a set of standards of four or more concentration levels, from 0.005 ppm to the highest concentration level to be included in the analysis. Generate a calibration curve for each analyte and obtain a linear regression with a correlation coefficient of at least 0.98 for each analyte. If this criterion is met, the samples may be run with standards interspersed. The first and last sample of each run sequence must be an analytical standard.

**6.0 CALCULATIONS****6.1 Response Factor (R.F.):**

$$R.F. = \frac{\text{Concentration of Standard (ppm)}}{\text{Peak Area of Standard}}$$

**6.2 Concentration (ppm) of Analyte in Soil (wet weight basis):**

$$\text{Conc. (ppm)} = \frac{(\text{Peak Area} \times \text{Avg. R.F.}) \times \text{F.V.}}{\text{g.Extracted}} \times \text{A.F.} \left( \frac{20}{14} \right)$$

Where: Conc.(ppm) = Concentration of analyte in  $\mu\text{g/g}$ (ppm)  
 F.V. = Final sample volume (mL)  
 g.Extracted = initial grams of sample extracted (wet weight)  
 A.F. = Aliquot factor =  $\frac{\text{Extraction volume (mL)}}{\text{Aliquot}} = \frac{20 \text{ mL}}{14 \text{ mL}}$   
 Avg.R.F. = Average of RF bracketing a set of samples.

NOTE: Residues are calculated based on the wet weight of soil, are are not corrected for moisture content.

**6.3 % Recovery:**

$$\% \text{ Recovery} = \frac{\text{Recovery Level (ppm)}}{\text{Fortification Level (ppm)}} \times 100$$

Recovery Level = the recovery found in the spiked control (ppm)

Note: Although average response factors were used to quantitate CGA 302371, a calibration curve calculation would also be acceptable.

## 7.0 SAFETY

Appropriate MSDS's should be available to the study personnel during the conduct of the study. General laboratory safety precautions should be taken. This method does not present any specific risks.

## 8.0 DETECTION LIMITS:

A minimum quantitation limit (MQL) of 0.005 ppm was achieved based on a 20 g sample and 7 mL final volume. The minimum detection limit (MDL) was estimated at 0.001 ppm based on a S/N ratio of about 3:1.


## 9.0 DISCUSSION:

Although only low levels standards were run with the soil spikes, the LC/MS system is linear over a range (0.00226-0.0565 ppm).

Although 5 point linearity curves could be used to quantitate the CGA 302371 (UE), it was found that bracketing response factors was more suitable for thermospray LC/MS.

Acceptable recoveries (ranging from 68-107%) were obtained at all fortification levels (ranging from 0.0057 ppm to 0.57 ppm) (see Table 1). The pooled average recovery was 82% with a relative standard deviation (RSD) of 13%. No interferences were observed in control samples.

FIGURE 1: LINEARITY CURVE

CGA-302371  
JAN:25/1993

## CGA-302371 LINEARITY

CONCENTRATION (PPm)	PEAK AREA	Corrected	Chrom. #
X	Y	Y *	
0.00	0	0.0	----
0.00226	3.5	4.0	99
0.00565	9.8	9.9	92
0.0226	43	39.7	112
0.0565	98	99.3	86

\* To match curve

## Regression Output:

Constant	0
Std Err of Y Est	1.780993
R Squared	0.998134
No. of Observations	5
Degrees of Freedom	4

## 10.00 TABLES:

TABLE 1: RECOVERY DATA FOR CGA 302371 (UE) IN SOIL  
(VALIDATION AND ANALYSIS)\*

LAB SAMPLE #	AMOUNT SPIKED $\mu\text{g/g(ppm)}$	AMOUNT FOUND $\mu\text{g/g(ppm)}$	% RECOVERY
Soil Control	0	0	—
92-P1576-Spk#4	0.0057	0.0042	74
92-P1576-Spk#6	0.0057	0.0046	81
92-P1576-Spk#10	0.0057	0.0061	107
Soil Spike #7	0.0057	0.0060	105
Soil Spike #8	0.0057	0.0050	88
Average % Recovery - 91%			
RSD - 16%			
92-P1576-Spk#3	0.057	0.041	72
92-P1576-Spk#5	0.057	0.043	75
92-P1576-Spk#9	0.057	0.055	96
Soil Spike #1	0.057	0.045	79
Soil Spike #2	0.057	0.045	79
Average % Recovery - 80%			
RSD - 12%			
92-P1576-Spk#2	0.11	0.093	85
92-P1576-Spk#8	0.11	0.075	68
Soil Spike #3	0.11	0.083	75
Soil Spike #3 (diluted)	0.11	0.089	81
Soil Spike #4	0.11	0.081	74
Soil Spike #4 (diluted)	0.11	0.098	89
Average % Recovery - 79%			
RSD - 9.9%			

## 10.00 TABLES: cont'd

TABLE 1: RECOVERY DATA FOR CGA 302371 (UE) IN SOIL cont'd  
(VALIDATION AND ANALYSIS)\*

LAB SAMPLE #	AMOUNT SPIKED $\mu\text{g/g(ppm)}$	AMOUNT FOUND $\mu\text{g/g(ppm)}$	% RECOVERY
92-P1576-Spk#1	0.57	0.45	79
92-P1576-Spk#7	0.57	0.42	74
Soil Spike #5	0.57	0.43	75
Soil Spike #6	0.57	0.42	74

Average % Recovery - 76%

RSD - 3.2%

Overall Average % Recovery - 82%

SD -  $\pm 11$

RSD - 13%

\* This data was pooled from a validation and soil dissipation study analyzed over a period of time. The response factors of the calibration standards varied from day to day thus peak areas are not presented.

## 10.00 TABLES: cont'd

TABLE 2: SOIL CHARACTERIZATION SUMMARY

ETL SAMPLE #	FIELD PROJECT #	% SAND	% SILT	% CLAY	% ORGANIC MATTER
92-1576	3059/90	27	36	37	9.2


  

ETL SAMPLE #	FIELD PROJECT #	pH	CATION EXCHANGE CAPACITY CEC meq/100g	SOIL TYPE
92-1576	3059/90	6.3	32.5	clay loam black

**APPENDIX 1:  
EXAMPLES OF LC/MS CHROMATOGRAPHY**


09-DEC-92

CHRO: uega01  
Samp: 0.00565ppm  
Comm: VALID  
Mode: TSP +QIMS LMR UP LR  
Oper: gb Client: ciba geigy  
Peak: 1000.00 mmu Label wndw: 272 > 436  
Area: 2, 3.00 Baseline : 20, 3  
Disp: Area




09-DEC-92

CHRO: uega02  
 Samp: control soil  
 Comm: VALID  
 Mode: TSP +QIMS LMR UP LR  
 Oper: gb Client: ciba geigy  
 Peak: 1000.00 mmu Label wndw: 273 > 437  
 Area: 2, 3.00 Baseline : 20, 3  
 Disp: Area




09-DEC-92

CHRO: uega04  
Samp: spk 2  
Comm: VALID  
Mode: TSP +QIMS LMR UP LR  
Oper: gb Client: ciba geigy  
Peak: 1000.00 mmu Label wndw: 267 > 430  
Area: 2, 3.00 Baseline : 20, 3  
Disp: Area




09-DEC-92

CHRO: uega07  
Samp: 0.0565ppm  
Comm: VALID  
Mode: TSP +Q1MS LMR UP LR  
Client: ciba geigy  
Oper: gb  
Peak: 1000.00 mmu Label wndw: 268 > 434  
Area: 2.3100 Baseline : 20.3  
Disp: Area



09-DEC-92

CHRO: uegall  
 Samp: spk 8  
 Comm: VALID  
 Mode: TSP +Q1MS LMR UP LR  
 Oper: gb Client: ciba geigy  
 Peak: 1000.00 mmu Label wndw: 269 > 433  
 Area: 2, 3.00 Baseline : 20; 3  
 Disp: Area



Control Soil spiked at the LOQ of  
 0.0057 ppm CGA 302371 (UE)  
 (88% Recovery)