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Executive Summary
This environmental chemistry method (ECM), MRID 40117901, has reported an analytical procedure via GC-ECD for analysis of triallate [S-(2,3,3-triachloroallyl) diisopropylthiocarbamate] and 2,3,3-trichloroprop-2-ene sulfonic acid (TCPSA) in soil with 0.01 ppm as the limit of validation (LOV) for each compound. However, it is uncertain how the LOV value was obtained and the relationship to the limit of detection (LOD) and limit of the quantification (LOQ), which were not reported (Table 1). In addition, there were no quality control and quality assurance (QA/QC) parameters (calibration curve, r² and % matrix spike recovery, etc.) associated with this ECM report.

Table 1. Triallate and TCPSA Analytical Method Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analyte(s) by Pesticide</th>
<th>MRID</th>
<th>Matrix</th>
<th>Method Date (m/d/y)</th>
<th>Registrant</th>
<th>Instrument</th>
<th>Limit of Detection (µg/Kg)</th>
<th>Limit of Quantitation (µg/Kg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Chemistry Method</td>
<td>40117901</td>
<td>Soil</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>GC-ECD</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I. Principle of the Method
Soil samples with triallate and/or TCPSA are extracted with acetonitrile/water using a mechanical shaker and filtered through a Buchner funnel. An aliquot of the extract is diluted with water and partitioned with isooctane to separate triallate. The isooctane fraction is cleaned up, concentrated and quantitated by a GC-ECD. The method also documented the preparation of 8 levels triallate standards ranging from 2.5 µg/L to 35 µg/L in isooctane solution. The aqueous fraction, containing any TCPSA is partitioned with methylene chloride following the cleanup. The methylene chloride fraction is concentrated and passed through a cation exchange column and derivatized with triethylorthoformate in a 100 ºC oil bath for 1 hr, and analyzed using GC-ECD.

II. Recovery Findings
The mean % recoveries and relative standard deviations (RSD) of triallate and TCPSA were not reported. The method only reported the purity data of neat compound for triallate and TCPSA using NMR and GC/MS method (Appendix G).

III. Method Characteristics
The method reported the apparatus and equipment for the triallate and TCPSA residue analysis. It also reported the GC instrument conditions, standard preparations, triallate and TCPSA analytical procedures such as, florisil cleanup, quantification, partition, column chromatography, derivatization and quantification and standard purity determination with few example chromatograms. However, the method did not report the results such as calibration curve with r², LOD, and LOQ. The linearity, repeatability and reproducibility were not established.

IV. Method Deficiencies and Reviewer’s Comments
No QA/QC information were found in this ECM, such as the calibration curve (r²), LOD, LOQ, and the % matrix spike recovery for soil samples. No results for soil sample analysis using this method were reported. The ECM cannot be validated by the EPA reviewer without this QA/QC
data for the ECM as well as a corresponding Independent Laboratory Validation (ILV) Study containing similar ILV QA/QC data.
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