



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

JAN 13 1991

OFFICE OF
WATER

Honorable Lois D. Cashell
Secretary
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
825 North Capitol Street, NE
Washington, D.C. 20426

Dear Ms. Cashell:

I am writing on behalf of the Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) Office of Water to help clarify issues regarding the application of Clean Water Act Section 401 state water quality certification to Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) licenses. This letter was precipitated by FERC documents addressing Section 401 certification: a letter of July 25, 1990, to James Elder, Director, Office of Water Enforcement and Permits, from Fred Springer of your staff; and portions of a June 5, 1990, Report of the Staff of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission to the Water and Power Subcommittee of the U.S. Senate Energy and Natural Resources Committee.

The FERC report (page 4) asserts that state Section 401 certification conditions on FERC licenses related to "fish, wildlife, vegetation and recreation" are inappropriate. However, protection of water quality involves far more than just addressing water chemistry. Rather, protection of water quality includes protection of multiple elements which together make up aquatic systems including the aquatic life, wildlife, wetlands and other aquatic habitat, vegetation, and hydrology required to maintain the aquatic system. Relevant water quality issues include the toxicity and bioaccumulation of pollutants, the diversity and composition of the aquatic species, entrapment of pollutants in sediment, stormwater and nonpoint source impacts, habitat loss, and hydrologic changes. A State may need to address any one or combination of these factors in particular circumstances in order to meet the mandates of the Clean Water Act (CWA) articulated in Section 101(a) "to restore and maintain the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of the nation's waters."

State water quality standards form the backbone for formulating Section 401 decisions. EPA regulations (40 CFR Part 131) implementing Section 303(c)(2)(A) of the CWA require that States adopt water quality standards having three basic components: use designations, criteria to protect those uses, and an antidegradation policy. EPA regulations direct that, where attainable, States must designate uses to meet the CWA goal in Section 101(a)(2) of water quality which "provides for the protection and propagation of fish, shellfish, and wildlife, and provides for recreation in

and on the water." States must develop criteria designed to protect and maintain these designated water uses. States are not limited to adopting chemical-specific criteria, but are exhorted to adopt narrative and numerical criteria (40 CFR 131.11(b)). In addition, EPA's Fiscal Year 1991 Operating Guidance provides that by September 30, 1993, all States are to adopt biological criteria into their water quality standards. EPA regulations also require that States adopt antidegradation policies providing for protection of existing uses and the level of water quality necessary to maintain those uses. In the case of fill activities in wetlands, existing use requirements are met if the activity does not cause or contribute to significant degradation of the aquatic environment as defined in the guidelines developed under Section 404(b)(1) of the CWA.

In its letter, FERC expressed concern that States may be imposing conditions in hydropower licenses which go beyond EPA water quality standard requirements. As we explained above, water quality standards go well beyond chemical-specific criteria. In addition, Section 510(1) of the CWA expressly reserves the right of States to adopt or enforce "(A) any standard of limitation respecting discharges of pollutants, or (B) any requirement respecting control or abatement of pollution" that are equal to or more stringent than Federal standards or limitations. If a State imposes conditions or denies certification beyond the bounds of its authority, such conditions or denials may be challenged through the State administrative and judicial system.

The FERC letter inquires about EPA's authority to limit State Section 401 decisions. As noted earlier, States have the authority to impose more stringent environmental standards. In addition, EPA's authority under Section 401 is limited. While EPA approves State water quality standards and, if necessary, promulgates Federal water quality standards, we do not have the authority to countermand State Section 401 certification decisions. The only exception is that EPA regulations (40 CFR Section 124.55(c)) provide for EPA to disregard State certification conditions or


¹ We acknowledge some divergence in State Court decisions interpreting Section 401 certification authority. Compare In re Lava Diversion Project, 717 P. 2d 1274 (Ore. App. 1986) (allowing consideration of State land use planning in the State's 401 certification conditions) with Fourth Branch Associates v. Department of Environmental Conservation, 550 N.Y.S. 2d 769 (Albany Co., 1989) (limiting State certification decision to whether project will violate water quality standards). These decisions, however, were reached without any consideration of the views of EPA, the primary Federal agency responsible for implementation of the CWA. In any case, Section 401(d) of the CWA gives the States authority to place any conditions on water quality certification that are necessary to assure that the applicant will comply with effluent limitations, water quality standards, standards of performance, or pretreatment standards (Sections 301, 302, 303, 306, and 307 of the CWA) and with "any other appropriate requirements of State law."

certification denials when the grounds for the decision is that State law allows a less stringent permit condition. Under Section 401(a)(1), EPA has authority to conduct Section 401 certification decisions in cases where the State does not have the authority. For example, EPA issues certifications for South Dakota and for some Indian Tribes. In addition, Section 401(a) gives EPA specific responsibilities for notification and recommendations in cases where a discharge may affect the waters of any State other than the State in which the discharge originates.

EPA has issued, and will continue to issue, guidance and technical assistance for States to use in developing water quality standards and in implementing their Section 401 programs. Guidance on implementing water quality standards is included in EPA's Water Quality Standards Handbook. Recently, EPA issued program guidance on biological criteria (April 1990), and guidance on water quality standards for wetlands (July 1990). In addition, EPA is developing sediment criteria guidance and biological effects-based testing procedures for contaminated sediments, revisions to the water quality standards regulation, and other guidance as needed. In April 1989, we issued a handbook for States on the application of Section 401 certification to wetlands. Finally, as the principal agency responsible for administering the CWA, EPA routinely communicates its interpretation of statutory provisions such as those under Section 401 to State and Federal agencies.

I hope that this letter has clarified EPA's position on the broad range of elements that States need to include in their water quality standards to protect the quality of the nation's waters, the application of these and other considerations in Section 401 certification, and EPA's role in the certification process. If you have any questions regarding this letter or wish to meet to discuss water quality issues as they relate to your agency, please call me or have your staff contact Martha Prothro, Director, Office of Water Regulations and Standards (382-5400).

Sincerely yours,



LaJuana S. Wilcher
Assistant Administrator