

**Libby Asbestos Superfund Site
April 8, 2015 - O&M Work Meeting Discussion Notes
Libby Schools Administration Building**

AT A GLANCE:

**Next Meeting: May 20, 2015
8 a.m. – 10 a.m. Libby Schools Administration Building**

AGENDA for the Next Meeting

- 1. Public involvement schedule**
- 2. IC worksheet findings to date**

PARTICIPANTS at the February 10, 2015 Work Meeting

Participating: Rebecca Thomas, Donna Martin (TAG), Jennifer Lane, Jeni Flatow, Tom Stoops, Carolyn Rutland, Jenn McCully, Nick Raines, Geoff McKenzie, and Tommy Cook; Facilitator: Sandy Matheny

THE AGENDA

- 1. Preparing for ICs worksheet interview sessions**
- 2. Removal program history**

DISCUSSION NOTES

- 1. Preparing for ICs worksheet interview sessions**

Underlying intent for ICs – are they:

- a. Simple to implement? Do they make sense?
- b. Cost effective?
- c. Enforceable?

We discussed and refined how the IC worksheet might be used in meetings with the community:

- What would make this IC implementable?
- Use a couple scenarios. A flow chart may be helpful. Tie back to specific ICs.
- An informational flyer will be included with the IC worksheet.
- A separate paper/paragraph/graphic could be used to talk about what is known/not known regarding costs of implementing the proposed ICs.
- A Reader's Digest version could be helpful.
- What does permit process look like? (fee, free/low cost/stipulations/repercussions)

2. Removal Action History

Current thought is that it would be useful to provide a narrative as well as a graphic explanation in describing the removal action history and its evolution to now.

Removal action can be broken into three major categories: (1) cleaned up, (2) nothing present, and (3) put into a “holding pattern” to be dealt with later (which is now). Highlights and other parts of the story which could be included in the narrative:

- Focus on what is left behind
- 5/30 point protocol change, pilot study
- Not all vermiculite has asbestos present (including vermiculite in gardening soils for sale at garden centers, etc.)
- Points out which properties will need to be revisited
- Describes the “protectiveness” nature of the action
- Addressed ‘known’ worst properties first
- Approach to interior (e.g. crawl spaces, attics, living spaces, detached structures) changed

No handouts at this meeting
