#### REGION 1 RLF WORKSHOP JUNE 5, 2012



Site & Borrower/Subgrantee Eligibility Review



#### **Getting Started**

- When you first encounter a potential site for your RLF, what do you do?
- You want to know if the potential site and borrower or subgrantee (applicant) are eligible.
- So, you ask some questions.



• The site must be a Brownfield:

"real property, the expansion, redevelopment, or reuse of which may be complicated by the presence or potential presence of a hazardous substance, pollutant, or contaminant"





#### Sites Not Eligible

- > Listed or proposed for listing on NPL
- > Subject to unilateral administrative orders, court orders, administrative orders on consent, or judicial consent decrees issued or entered into under CERCLA
- Subject to the jurisdiction, custody, or control of the US Government (does not include tribal land)



- Sites Eligible with a Property-Specific Determination (Add-Backs)
  - > Planned or ongoing CERCLA removal action
  - Permit issued under Solid Waste Disposal Act (SWDA), Federal Water Pollution Control Act (FWPCA), Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA), or Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA)
  - Subject to corrective action under Resource Conservation & Recovery Act (RCRA) 3004(u) or 3008(h) or to a corrective action permit or order
  - Land disposal unit submitting a closure notification under RCRA subtitle C and for which closure requirements have been specified in a plan or permit
  - Portion of a facility at which there has been a release of PCBs subject to remediation under TSCA
  - Portion of a facility receiving funding from LUST Trust Funds



- Property-Specific Determination Criteria
  - > Protect human health & the environment AND either:



- Promote economic development or
- Enable the creation, preservation, or addition to parks, greenways, undeveloped property, other recreational property, or non-profit purposes



#### Question # 2 What Type of Contamination is Present?

- Hazardous Substances Sites or Co-Mingled
  - ✓ Metals, PAHs, PCBs, etc.
  - ✓ Asbestos
  - ✓ Lead Paint

- ✓ Controlled Substances
- ✓ Mine Scarred Lands
- Co-Mingled Hazardous Substances & Petroleum

- Petroleum Sites
  - ✓ Underground Storage Tanks (USTs)
  - √ Tank Farms
  - ✓ Gas & Service Stations



# Question # 3 Is the Applicant Eligible?

#### For Hazardous Substances & Co-Mingled

- 1. Is the applicant potentially liable under CERCLA § 107?
- Does the applicant have a CERCLA liability protection or defense?

#### For Petroleum

The State will determine if:

- 1. The site is Relatively Low Risk
- 2. The site has No Viable Responsible Party
- 3. The Applicant is Not Liable
- 4. The site is Not Subject to RCRA § 9003



#### Hazardous Substances & Co-Mingled CERCLA § 107

1. Is the applicant potentially liable under CERCLA § 107?

The applicant is a potentially liable party if they:

- ✓ Are the current owner or operator
- Owned or operated the property at the time of disposal of hazardous substances
- Arranged for hazardous substances to be disposed of or transported for disposal [generator]
- ✓ Transported hazardous substances to the property



Borrowers do not have to own the property during cleanup. However, the borrower **and** the current owner cannot be liable for contamination at the site.

9



#### Hazardous Substances & Co-Mingled CERCLA Liability Protection or Defense

2. Does the applicant have a CERCLA liability protection or defense?

#### CERCLA Liability Protections or Defenses

- a. Bona Fide Prospective Purchaser
- Involuntary Acquisition by units of state or local government
- d. Contiguous Property Owner (rare)
- e. Innocent Landowner (rare)





### Hazardous Substances & Co-Mingled Bona Fide Prospective Purchaser

#### a. <u>Bona Fide Prospective Purchaser (BFPP)</u>

- ✓ The applicant conducted all Appropriate Inquiries (AAI) PRIOR to acquisition of property
- All disposal of hazardous substances occurred PRIOR to their acquisition of property
- The applicant is not liable for contamination at the property or affiliated with any other person potentially liable for contamination at the property
- ✓ The applicant is complying with all Continuing Obligations AFTER acquiring the property



- Did the applicant perform All Appropriate Inquiry (AAI) before acquiring the property?
  - The applicant conducted an ASTM E1527-05 Phase I Environmental Site Assessment or AAI compliant due diligence to:
    - ✓ Determine prior uses and ownership of the property.
    - Assess site conditions for evidence of releases or threatened releases of hazardous substances at the property.





#### AAI Timing

- ✓ AAI was performed within one year prior to acquisition of property, and
- Within 180 days prior to acquisition of property, the following aspects were performed or updated:
  - Interviews with past and present owners, operators, and occupants;
  - Searches for recorded environmental cleanup liens;
  - Reviews of federal, tribal, state, and local government records;
  - Visual inspections of the facility and of adjoining properties;
  - The declaration by the environmental professional.



- If the applicant acquired the property <u>after</u>
   November 2006
  - ✓ The applicant must have completed AAI.
  - ✓ EPA recognizes the following to be AAI compliant:
    - <u>ASTM E1527-05</u> "Standard Practice for Environmental Site Assessments: Phase I Environmental Site Assessment Process", or
    - <u>ASTM E2247-08</u> "Standard Practice for Environmental Site Assessments: Phase I Environmental Site Assessment Process for Forestland or Rural Property"



- If the applicant acquired the property on or after May 31, 1997 to November 1, 2006
  - > EPA recognizes the following to be AAI compliant:
    - ASTM E1527-97 & ASTM E1527-00 "Standard Practice for Environmental Site Assessment: Phase I Environmental Site Assessment Process"



- If the applicant acquired the property <u>before</u>
   May 31, 1997
  - The following factors are taken into account in determining compliance:
    - Specialized knowledge or experience of purchaser
    - Relationship of purchase price to value of property if not contaminated
    - Commonly known or reasonably ascertainable information about the property
    - Obviousness of presence or likely presence of contamination at the property
    - Ability to detect contamination by appropriate inspection



#### Hazardous Substances & Co-Mingled

Involuntary Acquisition by State & Local Govs

- b. Did the applicant acquire ownership or control involuntarily through:
  - Bankruptcy,
  - Tax delinquency,
  - Eminent Domain, or
  - ✓ Abandonment?

If so, the applicant is not considered an owner for the purposes of CERCLA liability.



The exclusion from liability does not apply if they **caused** or **contributed** to the release of hazardous substances.



### Hazardous Substances & Co-Mingled Continuing Obligations

- Did the applicant comply with Continuing Obligations?
  - In order to maintain liability protection after acquiring the property, all applicants must:
    - ✓ Provide all legally required notices due to any discovery or release of a hazardous substance
    - Exercise appropriate care by taking reasonable steps to stop or prevent continuing or future releases and exposures to human health and the environment
    - Provide full cooperation, assistance and access to allow for response actions or natural resource restoration
    - Comply with land use restrictions and institutional controls
    - Comply with information requests and subpoenas.



### Petroleum Sites State Determination

- The State will make all petroleum determinations at the properties being considered for your RLF.
- Get them involved right away to determine site eligibility.





### Petroleum Sites State Determination

- The State will look at the following criteria:
  - The site is relatively low risk compared to other petroleum-only sites in state
  - 2. The site has No Viable Responsible Party
  - 3. The Applicant is Not Liable
  - 4. The site is Not Subject to RCRA § 9003





# Petroleum Sites No Liable Viable Party

- There is no liable party, or
- There is a liable party, but the party is not financially viable to pay for the cleanup





#### Petroleum Sites No Viable Party

#### No Viable Party

- ✓ Party is viable if it is financially capable of performing the activity to be funded by the grant.
- ✓ General assumptions:
  - Ongoing businesses or companies and government entities are viable
  - Defunct or insolvent companies are not viable
  - Individual responsible parties are not viable



# Petroleum Sites Applicant is Not Liable

- Applicant Not Liable for Contamination
  - ✓ Applicant for a loan or subgrant did not:
    - Dispense or dispose of petroleum contamination
    - Own the site when petroleum was dispensed or disposed
    - Exacerbate contamination
  - Applicant for loan or subgrant took reasonable steps with regard to the contamination:
    - Stopping continuing releases
    - Preventing threatened future releases
    - Preventing or limiting exposure to earlier petroleum releases



#### **Next Steps**

- Now that you have pondered these questions, what is the next step?
  - > Every situation is different. We cannot tell you the answers without knowing the facts.
  - Provide the information outlined in the RLF Property & Borrower/Subgrantee Eligibility "form" to your Project Officer.
  - For Petroleum Sites: Talk to your State and provide information to help them make the petroleum determination.