

CHAIR Nikki Tinsley Maryland

December 19, 2011

VICE CHAIR John Dawes Pennsylvania

Shawn Garvin U.S. EPA Region 3 Administrator

Bill Achor Pennsylvania

1650 Arch Street

Nancy L. Alexander Virginia

Philadelphia, PA 19103

Nina Beth Cardin Maryland

Dear Mr. Garvin,

Andrew Der Maryland

ndrew Der

Jim Elliott Pennsylvania

Christy Everett

Virginia

C. Victor Funk

Pennsylvania
Rebecca Hanmer

Virginia

Verna Harrison Maryland

Stella M. Koch Virginia

Patricia Levin Pennsylvania

Joseph Maroon Virginia

William D. Martin, Jr. Washington, DC

Karen McJunkin Maryland

Dan Milstein Washington, DC

Deborah Nardone Pennsylvania

Betsy J. Quant Pennsylvania

Angana Shah Washington, DC

Maryland

Charles E. Sydnor, III Maryland

Neil Wilkie Maryland

Charlie Stek

Young Delegate Jess Cadwallender. VA On behalf of the Citizens Advisory Committee (CAC) please accept the following comments on the EPA review of the state trading programs. Thank you for the briefings that have been provided to us and other interested stakeholders and for the opportunity to comment. As we have stated in the past our paramount interest in the trading programs is to help ensure that water quality protection is the main goal of nutrient trading and that accountability and transparency in these programs are sufficient enough to assure the citizens that if trading occurs it will not result in degraded waterways.

Overall we believe the observations and recommendations EPA has provided are a good start and would benefit the jurisdictions' work to meet the Bay TMDL and Watershed Implementation Plans. However, we also believe that EPA should provide more detailed guidance in the final draft. In particular, specific direction for new nonpoint growth, related stormwater management offsets, BMP evaluations, trading in impaired waters, use of uncertainty ratios and dealing with nontraditional sources appear to be common concerns that would benefit from greater EPA guidance. Additionally, trading programs that were developed under the Tributary Strategies will need to be updated to meet the Bay TMDL.

Here are a few jurisdiction specific observations that we wish to highlight as examples of key components of accountability:

Maryland should describe how they will plan for new offsets associated with growth and provide accountability for nonpoint source trades.

Pennsylvania should revise their baseline and credit calculations and publicize the methodology used.

Virginia should develop provisions for net water quality improvements and a public registry in order to improve transparency.



We are hopeful that EPA will require the states to respond to the common recommendations as well as the state specific recommendations as we believe they are considered components of reasonable assurance in the Bay TMDL because the states rely on nutrient trading in their Watershed Implementation Plans. In that regard, we recommend that the final nutrient trading improvements issued in January be included as part of the Two-Year Milestones in order to ensure accountability. We also urge EPA to commit to a reevaluation of the trading programs in 2017 as part of the TMDL reassessment and to continue to monitor ongoing expansion of the Bay state trading programs.

Sincerely,

Time Juneary

Nikki L. Tinsley

Chair, Citizens Advisory Committee

cc: Jeff Corbin, EPA Senior Advisor on Chesapeake Bay and Anacostia River

Nick DiPasquale, Director, Chesapeake Bay Program

Patricia Gleason, EPA