**KEY FINDINGS**

1. **Unmitigated climate change is projected to have profound impacts on both water availability and demand in the U.S., compounding challenges from changes in demographics, land use, energy generation, and socioeconomic factors.**

2. **Without global GHG mitigation, damages associated with the supply and demand of water across the U.S. are estimated to range from approximately $7.7-$190 billion in 2100. The spread of this range indicates that the effect of climate change on water supply and demand is highly sensitive to projected changes in runoff and evaporation, both of which vary greatly across future climate projections and by U.S. region.**

3. **Global GHG mitigation is estimated to substantially decrease damages compared to the Reference. Projected benefits under the Mitigation scenario range from $11-$180 billion in 2100, depending on projected future climate. Importantly, global GHG mitigation is projected to preserve water supply and demand conditions more similar to those experienced today.**

**Climate Change and Water Supply and Demand**

Water management in the U.S. is characterized by the struggle to balance growing demand from multiple sectors of the economy with increasingly limited supplies in many areas. Unmitigated climate change is projected to have profound impacts on both water availability and demand in the U.S., compounding challenges from changes in demographics, land use, energy generation, and socioeconomic factors. As temperatures rise and precipitation patterns become more variable, changes in regional water demand and surface and groundwater supplies are expected to increase the likelihood of water shortage for many areas and uses.26

**Risks of Inaction**

The effect of climate change on water supply and demand is highly sensitive to projected changes in runoff and evaporation, both of which vary across future climate projections and by U.S. region (Figure 1). Despite these variations, increased damages of unmitigated climate change are projected in the Southwest and Southeast regions under both climate models, and these damages increase over time. These projections are consistent with the findings of the assessment literature.27 Using climate projections from the IGSM-CAM model, the analysis estimates damages at $7.7 billion in 2100. Despite the majority of U.S. regions showing modest increases in welfare (economic well-being) in 2100, the damages in the Southwest and Southeast are much larger in magnitude, and therefore drive the national total. Highlighting the sensitivity of this sector to the climate model used, the drier MIROC model estimates that net damages could be substantially larger, at approximately $190 billion in 2100.

**Figure 1. Projected Impacts of Unmitigated Climate Change on Water Supply and Demand**

*Estimated change in economic damages under the Reference scenario in 2050 and 2100 compared to the historic baseline for the IGSM-CAM and MIROC climate models (millions 2014$). Results are presented for the 18 2-digit hydrologic unit codes (HUCs) of the contiguous U.S. Yellow, orange, and red areas indicate increased damages, while blue areas indicate decreased damages.*
Reducing Impacts through GHG Mitigation

Global GHG mitigation is projected to substantially reduce damages compared to the Reference (Figures 2 and 3), and importantly, preserve water supply and demand conditions more similar to those experienced today. The IGSM-CAM model estimates that damages are $7.7 billion under the Reference scenario in 2100, while the Mitigation scenario results in an increase in welfare (collective economic well-being of the population) of $3.4 billion. Therefore, mitigation is estimated to result in a total increase in welfare of $11 billion in 2100 compared to the Reference. Using the drier MIROC model, the Mitigation scenario yields damages of approximately $19 billion in 2100; however, this represents avoided damages of approximately $180 billion compared to the Reference scenario (numbers do not sum due to rounding).

**Figure 2. Economic Damages Associated with Impacts on Water Supply and Demand with and without Global GHG Mitigation**

For more information on the CIRA approach and results for the water supply and demand analysis, please refer to Strzepek et al. (2014) and Henderson et al. (2013).